

Perspectives of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine on the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in molecular brain imaging

Francesco Fraioli, Nathalie Albert, Ronald Boellaard, Ilaria Boscolo Galazzo, Matthias Brendel, Irene Buvat, Marco Castellaro, Diego Cecchin, Pablo Aguiar Fernandez, Eric Guedj, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Francesco Fraioli, Nathalie Albert, Ronald Boellaard, Ilaria Boscolo Galazzo, Matthias Brendel, et al.. Perspectives of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine on the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in molecular brain imaging. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2023, 51 (4), pp.1007-1011. 10.1007/s00259-023-06553-1. inserm-04783173

HAL Id: inserm-04783173 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04783173v1

Submitted on 14 Nov 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- **1** Perspectives of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine on the role
- 2 of artificial intelligence (AI) in molecular brain imaging
- 3
- 4 **Author information**

5 Francesco Fraioli

6 Institute of Nuclear Medicine, University College Hospital, London, UK

7 Nathalie Albert

- 8 Department of Nuclear Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich,
- 9 Germany

10 Ronald Boellaard

- 11 Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, location
- 12 VUmc, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

13 Ilaria Boscolo Galazzo

- 14 Department of Engineering for Innovation Medicine, University of Verona,
- 15 Verona, Italy

16 Matthias Brendel

- 17 Department of Nuclear Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich,
- 18 Germany

19 Irene Buvat

- 20 Institut Curie Inserm · Laboratory of Translational Imaging in Oncology, Paris,
- 21 France

22 Marco Castellaro

- 23 Nuclear Medicine Unit, Department of Medicine DIMED, University-Hospital of
- 24 Padova, Italy

25 Diego Cecchin

- 26 Nuclear Medicine Unit, Department of Medicine DIMED, University-Hospital of
- 27 Padova, Italy

28 Pablo Aguiar Fernandez

CIMUS, Universidade Santiago de Compostela & Nuclear Medicine Dept, Univ.Hospital IDIS

31 Eric Guedj

- 32 Département de Médecine Nucléaire, Aix Marseille Univ, APHM, CNRS, Centrale
- 33 Marseille, Institut Fresnel, Hôpital de La Timone, CERIMED, Marseille, France
- 34 Alexander Hammers

- 35 School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London
- 36 St Thomas' Hospital, London SE1 7EH

37 Zoltan Kaplar

Institute of Nuclear Medicine, University College Hospital, London, UK

39 Silvia Morbelli

- 40 Nuclear Medicine Unit, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Department of
- 41 Health Sciences (DISSAL), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

42 Laszlo Papp

- 43 Center for Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, Medical University of
- 44 Vienna, Austria

45 Kuangyu Shi

- Lab for Artificial Intelligence and Translational Theranostic, Dept. of Nuclear
- 47 Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland

48 Nelleke Tolboom

- 49 Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Utrecht University Medical
- 50 Center, The Netherlands

51 Tatjana Traub-Weidinger

- 52 Division of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Biomedical Imaging and Image-
- 53 guided Therapy, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

54 Antoine Verger

- 55 Department of Nuclear Medicine and Nancyclotep Imaging Platform, CHRU
- 56 Nancy, Université de Lorraine, IADI, INSERM U1254, Nancy, France

57 Donatienne Van Weehaeghe

- 58 Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Ghent University Hospital, C.
- 59 Heymanslaan 10, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

60 Igor Yakushev

- 61 Department of Nuclear Medicine, School of Medicine, Technical University of
- 62 Munich, Germany

63 Henryk Barthel

- 64 Department of Nuclear Medicine, Leipzig University Medical Centre, Germany
- 65
- 66
- 67
- 68

^	2
n	ч
~	-

- 70
- 71

72 The current state of AI in nuclear neuroimaging

As for the whole nuclear medicine community, there is great interest in the molecular brain
 imaging field to advance the use of AI in research and translation but foremost in daily clinical
 routine settings.

As seen with previous methodological imaging advancements, the brain is perfect as the 76 77 organ of interest to start with testing such new developments. This is not only because multi-78 modality image co-registration is much easier for the head compared to other body parts, but 79 also because large brain image databases are often easily accessible in case of brain imaging. 80 However, standardization of clinical brain image recording and imaging protocols as well as efficient dissemination of data will be essential before data from different centers can be used 81 82 as input by AI [1]. In this context, it is worth to emphasize that often images are not sufficient for feeding AI algorithms. There is need to have them annotated, and ideally, additional data 83 84 should be associated to the images (patient follow-up, omics, etc.).

For these reasons, large validation studies trough Consortium datasets have emerged in
molecular neuroimaging including Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI),
Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI), and Open Access Series of Imaging Studies
(OASIS). However, more data are still needed for the complete translation to clinical routine
use.

90 Open standard datasets will be essential for the development of AI, even though it may involve significant costs. One possible cost-efficient solution is to make use of realistic Monte 91 92 Carlo simulations techniques for generating in silico neuroimaging datasets, thus allowing for 93 data augmentation from patient data [2, 3]. Another solution to address this challenge is federated learning, which allows AI to be trained on decentralized datasets from multiple 94 95 hospitals, while ensuring data privacy and security. Federated learning has been already 96 applied to training of AI models in different brain PET challenges, such as reconstruction, segmentation, and denoising using brain PET datasets from multiple institutions [4]. An even 97

98 more recent improvement is swarm learning, which combines federated learning with 99 blockchain technologies to further ensure the robustness of the learning process [5].

As with medical imaging in general, in the case of molecular brain imaging, the process from data acquisition to diagnosis involves numerous steps (e.g., image acquisition and reconstruction, image segmentation, extraction of imaging biomarkers, image interpretation, patient stratification).

The next years will show whether AI is, in the case of molecular brain imaging, suitable to support or even, at least in some applications, replace nuclear medicine physicians. As examples, rather straightforward binary decisions like positivity vs. negativity in case of amyloid PET imaging, or rather complex and experience-dependent differential diagnoses like those obtained by FDG PET imaging in dementia disorders or atypical Parkinsonian syndromes might be better obtainable in the future by AI.

110

111 *Image acquisition*

In the data acquisition stage, deep learning models have been utilized to estimate time-of-112 113 flight (ToF) and improve the quantitative accuracy and diagnostic confidence of PET images reconstructed without ToF, specifically for brain PET [6]. In tomographic reconstruction, AI 114 115 has been employed to enhance the quality of PET and SPECT images by reducing noise and enhancing image contrast during reconstruction [7]. Deep learning techniques have also 116 117 demonstrated effectiveness in providing accurate and generalizable PET attenuation and scatter correction methods and, interestingly, attenuation correction methods without CT [8]. 118 Finally, generative adversarial networks have been employed for motion correction in brain 119 120 PET, effectively addressing the challenge of head motion artifacts. Also, they can be used to dramatically shorten scan times/activity amounts needed [9]. 121

122 Segmentation and registration

123 In post-processing, AI-based segmentation can overcome the time-consuming and observer-124 dependent process of manual annotation of brain structures in PET images [10]. AI can also 125 assist in the registration of neuroimaging data, via the alignment of images from different 126 imaging modalities or timepoints and learning the mapping between images and different 127 modalities. Furthermore, AI can facilitate the extraction of meaningful quantitative 128 parameters from the images, such as improved amyloid PET quantification without non-

- specific contributions and amyloid PET quantification without using MRI or CT images [11].
- 130 Moreover, AI might provide non-invasive estimations of the arterial input function for brain
- 131 PET studies, facilitating adoption of absolute quantification in clinical settings [12].

132 Interpretability analysis

133 Interpretability is an essential aspect of AI applications in neuroimaging to promote the 134 development and translation of AI technologies in clinical settings. Clinicians may offer their 135 clinical expertise (supervision) to identify potential biases, errors, or limitations in the model, 136 which can be addressed to improve its performance and generalizability [13]. For instance, a simple supervision can be the role of clinical and imaging experts to check the accuracy of AI 137 138 models in the segmentation process. Some other tasks of AI cannot be easily supervised and require external validation. So-called explainable AI techniques are rapidly emerging to 139 140 improve interpretability, including feature visualization, saliency maps, and decision trees. These can reveal the key features and patterns that contribute to the model's predictions or 141 decisions. Another approach is to incorporate robustness measures, such as adversarial 142 143 training, regularization, and uncertainty quantification, into the AI model to increase its 144 resilience to various types of noise, artifacts, or uncertainties [14].

145

146 Specific clinical applications

147

148 Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological disorders characterized by abnormal 149 excessive firing and synchronization of neurons leading to seizures. The accurate 150 identification of the epileptogenic foci is essential to avoid misdiagnosis and select the correct 151 treatment, especially when resective surgery is necessary in drug-resistant epilepsy [15]. 152 While nuclear medicine neuroimaging is a key diagnostic tool, allowing to evaluate metabolic, neurotransmission, or perfusion abnormalities occurring in people with epilepsy, there is an 153 154 increasing need to define accurate computer-aided tools to support clinicians as interpretation of the scans is highly complex. In this context, AI-based tools pave the way for 155 156 solving such tasks, fostered by the exceptional advancement in the models we have witnessed 157 in the last years. Machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) are currently explored for 158 diverse tasks as cortical lesion localization (mainly for focal cortical dysplasia — FCD), epileptic focus detection/lateralization and brain region segmentation (e.g., hippocampus), or for the 159

diagnosis and prognosis of different epilepsy types. Still, AI has been mostly applied to MRI or
 EEG recordings (especially for seizure identification and forecasting), while their exploitation
 in the nuclear medicine-epilepsy field is still in its infancy, with a few studies largely limited to
 [¹⁸F]FDG-PET briefly reviewed in the following.

164 Despite the methodological advancements, AI applications in molecular imaging of epilepsy 165 are still limited and confined to specific epilepsy types, possibly because of the difficulties in 166 finding large (and annotated) datasets to train and generalize the complex AI-based models, the high heterogeneity of patients with epilepsy, and the need to perform patient-specific 167 168 fingerprinting for clinical application. Multi-center initiatives, coupled with advanced DL 169 models (e.g., multi-task CNNs, autoencoders) and data augmentation methods (e.g., 170 generative adversarial networks or large simulated databases (3)), might help to overcome part of such limitations, providing more generalizable models and a precise fine-grained 171 172 characterization of inter-individual patient variability to progress towards personalized 173 medicine.

Some recent studies have also underlined the importance of combining multi-modal imaging data, such as metabolic PET with structural or functional MRI, often leveraging the value of simultaneous PET/MRI acquisitions [16]. These multi-modal data coupled with AI models can increase the accuracy in predicting the surgical outcomes and detecting focal epilepsy lesions such as FCD [17]. All these approaches therefore deserve further investigations for fully exploiting their potential and exploring their generalizability in the epilepsy workflow.

180 In neurodegenerative and movement disorders, the differential diagnosis can be complex and is highly dependent on the expertise of the reader. Therefore, AI may help not only in the 181 (early) differential diagnosis, especially for less experienced readers, but also in the 182 differential diagnosis of subtypes of dementia or complex cases with non-fully delineated 183 184 pattern of presentation. Multimodal imaging with structural and functional information combined with fluid-based biomarkers is becoming the standard in the diagnostic landscape. 185 186 In this multimodal setting, AI can be particularly helpful for feature selection. Moreover, AI might also give additional clues about the prognosis. However, the biggest challenge in the 187 field of AI in neurodegenerative disease is the very limited number of available standards of 188 truth assessments, i.e., autopsies in previously imaged patients. Future studies need to 189 190 overcome the lack of validation studies across different centers and the lack of harmonization 191 of generally accepted AI algorithms to aid in diagnosis across the neurodegenerative disease spectrum. Moreover, all AI models are data-driven, so pre-processing of imaging data plays a
crucial role. Therefore, pre-processing software also needs to be harmonized and validated.
Accommodation of substantial numbers with standard of truth assessments for validation of

Al application in PET imaging of neurodegenerative disorders remains a challenge and may be solved by large cohorts such as BioFINDER or ADNI [18]. Conversely, PET itself may also be used as a standard of truth assessment for AI-driven analysis of fluid biomarkers or omics data with the goal to find cheap and versatile tools for characterization of neurodegenerative disorders.

200 Al in neuro-oncology is intensively evaluated allowing simplifying steps in the radiomics 201 pipeline such as tumor segmentation, increasing data comparability between observers and 202 more importantly extracting new features from the images of brain tumor patients [19]. Al is currently primarily represented by radiomics analyses, which must be performed according 203 204 to the steps described in the Image Biomarker Standardization Initiative (IBSI) guidelines to 205 ensure standardization of processes [20], providing promising results with good diagnostic performances in various clinical indications. Some further improvements are nevertheless 206 207 required for the generalization of the observed diagnostic performances, by identifying 208 specific radiomic signatures that are easily transposable across centers. Notably, these efforts 209 concern the feature repeatability and harmonization through well-defined multicentric 210 studies. This is particularly meaningful for the field of neuro-oncology since CNS tumors are rare diseases with a limited number of patients, requiring data collection from different 211 212 centers. Studies of PET multi-tracer radiomics analyses and/or combination with 213 multiparametric MRI and clinical parameters are also encouraged. Another important point is that diagnostic performance of radiomics models should systematically be compared to 214 215 conventional parameters to really appreciate the added value of AI-related methods in each 216 clinical indication before implementation in clinical routine. Finally, an important effort is required to make radiomics data accessible at the individual level, providing an additional 217 clinical tool to assist nuclear medicine physicians in their decisions. 218

Psychiatric disorders are an exciting new field of application where the association of imaging and clinical data might foster the diagnosis and evaluation of these disorders. In fact, so far, their assessment relies almost exclusively on clinical interviews using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) nosography. Yet, the DSM reliability is regularly questioned by its iterative modifications, lack of reproducibility of current diagnoses, and

therapeutic resistance of many patients [21]. In this context, more transdiagnostic 224 approaches are emerging, and PET and SPECT imaging could be particularly relevant to 225 explore such disorders mainly characterized by dysfunction, in the absence of morphological 226 227 lesions, with the possible implementation of various targets such as the perfusion, metabolism, neurotransmission and neuroinflammation, and especially the individual 228 229 application of artificial intelligence tools for precision medicine [22]. In this line, machine learning classification from controls has suggested accurate performance to identify patients 230 with attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder using multimodal serotoninergic brain PET 231 232 imaging [23], patients with cocaine dependence using brain perfusion SPECT imaging [24], 233 patients with internet game disorder using metabolic brain PET imaging [25], and patients 234 with major depression using serotoninergic PET imaging or brain metabolic PET imaging, also 235 demonstrating the value of this last exploration to predict the response of deep brain stimulation in this context [26]. Machine-learned analysis of [¹⁸F]FDOPA PET scans of patients 236 237 with schizophrenia also showed good performance for identifying treatment responders and 238 non-responders, with large potential healthcare cost savings [27]. This translation from research to clinical applications will need more numerous multicentric studies and to be 239 240 supported by a paradigm change in psychiatry towards modern approaches of precision medicine. 241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248 **References:**

Pianykh OS, Langs G, Dewey M, Enzmann DR, Herold CJ, Schoenberg SO, Brink JA. Continuous
 learning AI in radiology: Implementation principles and early applications. Radiology.

251 2020;297(1):6–14.

- 252 2. Paredes-Pacheco J, López-González FJ, Silva-Rodríguez J, Efthimiou N, Niñerola-Baizán A,
- 253 Ruibal Á, Roé-Vellvé N, Aguiar P. SimPET-an open online platform for the Monte Carlo
- simulation of realistic brain PET data. Validation for 18 F-FDG scans. Med Phys.

255 2021;48(5):2482–93.

- 256 3. Flaus A, Deddah T, Reilhac A, Leiris N, Janier M, Merida I, Grenier T, McGinnity CJ, Hammers
- 257 A, Lartizien C, Costes N. PET image enhancement using artificial intelligence for better

characterization of epilepsy lesions. Front Med (Lausanne). 2022;16(9):1042706.

- 4. Shiri I, Vafaei Sadr A, Amini M, Salimi Y, Sanaat A, Akhavanallaf A, Razeghi B, Ferdowsi S,
- 260 Saberi A, Arabi H, Becker M, Voloshynovskiy S, Gündüz D, Rahmim A, Zaidi H. Decentralized
- 261 distributed multi-institutional pet image segmentation using a federated deep learning
- 262 framework. Clin Nucl Med. 2022;47(7):606–17.
- 263 5. Warnat-Herresthal S, Schultze H, Shastry KL, Manamohan S, Mukherjee S, Garg V, Sarveswara
- 264 R, Händler K, Pickkers P, Aziz NA, Ktena S, Tran F, Bitzer M, Ossowski S, Casadei N, Herr C,
- 265 Petersheim D, Behrends U, Kern F, et al. Swarm Learning for decentralized and confidential
- clinical machine learning. Nature. 2021;594(7862):265–70.
- 267 6. Sanaat A, Akhavanalaf A, Shiri I, Salimi Y, Arabi H, Zaidi H. Deep-TOF-PET: Deep learning-
- 268 guided generation of time-of-flight from non-TOF brain PET images in the image and
- 269 projection domains. Hum Brain Mapp. 2022;43(16):5032–43.
- 270 7. Reader AJ, Pan B. AI for PET image reconstruction. Br J Radiol. 2023;96(1150):20230292.
- 8. Sari H, Teimoorisichani M, Mingels C, Alberts I, Panin V, Bharkhada D, Xue S, Prenosil G, Shi K,
- 272 Conti M, Rominger A. Quantitative evaluation of a deep learning-based framework to

- generate whole-body attenuation maps using LSO background radiation in long axial FOV PET
 scanners. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2022;49(13):4490–502.
- 275 9. Chen KT, Schürer M, Ouyang J, Koran MEI, Davidzon G, Mormino E, Tiepolt S, Hoffmann KT,
- 276 Sabri O, Zaharchuk G, Barthel H. Generalization of deep learning models for ultra-low-count
- 277 amyloid PET/MRI using transfer learning. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2020;47(13):2998–
- 278 3007.
- 279 10. Oh KT, Lee S, Lee H, Yun M, Yoo SK. semantic segmentation of white matter in FDG-PET using
 280 generative adversarial network. J Digit Imaging. 2020;33(4):816–25.
- 281 11. Kang SK, Kim D, Shin SA, Kim YK, Choi H, Lee JS. Fast and accurate amyloid brain pet
- 282 quantification without MRI using deep neural networks. J Nucl Med. 2023;64(4):659–66.
- 283 12. Kuttner S, Wickstrøm KK, Lubberink M, Tolf A, Burman J, Sundset R, Jenssen R, Appel L,
- Axelsson J. Cerebral blood flow measurements with 15O-water PET using a non-invasive
 machine-learning-derived arterial input function. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.
- 286 2021;41(9):2229-41.
- Salahuddin Z, Woodruff HC, Chatterjee A, Lambin P. Transparency of deep neural networks
 for medical image analysis: A review of interpretability methods. Comput Biol Med.
- 289 2021;4(140):105111.
- 290 14. van der Velden BHM, Kuijf HJ, Gilhuijs KGA, Viergever MA. Explainable artificial intelligence
- (XAI) in deep learning-based medical image analysis, vol. 79. Medical Image Analysis. Elsevier
 B.V; 2022.
- 15. Rogeau A, Lilburn DML, Kaplar Z, Anderson C, Scott CJ, Chowdhury FA, Fraioli F, Bomanji JB.
- 294 Identifying and troubleshooting the pitfalls of ictal/interictal brain perfusion SPECT studies.
- 295 Nucl Med Commun. 2023;44(11):1053–8.
- 16. Flaus A, Jung J, Ostrowky-Coste K, Rheims S, Guénot M, Bouvard S, Janier M, Yaakub SN,
- 297 Lartizien C, Costes N, Hammers A. Deep-learning predicted PET can be subtracted from the

- true clinical fluorodeoxyglucose PET co-registered to MRI to identify the epileptogenic zone in
 focal epilepsy. Epilepsia Open. 2023;8(4):1440–51.
- 300 17. Lin Y, Mo J, Jin H, Cao X, Zhao Y, Wu C, Zhang K, Hu W, Lin Z. Automatic analysis of integrated
- 301 magnetic resonance and positron emission tomography images improves the accuracy of
- detection of focal cortical dysplasia type IIb lesions. Eur J Neurosci. 2021;53(9):3231–41.
- 18. Royse SK, Minhas DS, Lopresti BJ, Murphy A, Ward T, Koeppe RA, Bullich S, DeSanti S, Jagust
- 304 WJ, Landau SM. alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative. validation of amyloid PET
- 305 positivity thresholds in centiloids: a multisite PET study approach. Alzheimers Res Ther.
- 306 2021;13(1):99.
- 307 19. Lohmann P, Galldiks N, Kocher M, Heinzel A, Filss CP, Stegmayr C, Mottaghy FM, Fink GR, Jon
- 308 Shah N, Langen KJ. Radiomics in neuro-oncology: Basics, workflow, and applications.
- 309 Methods. 2021;188:112–21.
- 20. Zwanenburg A, Vallières M, Abdalah MA, Aerts HJWL, Andrearczyk V, Apte A, Ashrafinia S,
- Bakas S, Beukinga RJ, Boellaard R, Bogowicz M, Boldrini L, Buvat I, Cook GJR, Davatzikos C,
- 312 Depeursinge A, Desseroit MC, Dinapoli N, Dinh CV, et al. The image biomarker
- 313 standardization initiative: standardized quantitative radiomics for high-throughput image-
- based phenotyping. Radiology. 2020;295(2):328–38.
- 315 21. Coughlin JM, Horti AG, Pomper MG. Opportunities in precision psychiatry using PET
- neuroimaging in psychosis. Neurobiol Dis. 2019;131:104428.
- Boyle AJ, Gaudet VC, Black SE, Vasdev N, Rosa-Neto P, Zukotynski KA. Artificial intelligence for
 molecular neuroimaging. Ann Transl Med. 2021;9(9):822.
- 319 23. Kautzky A, Vanicek T, Philippe C, Kranz GS, Wadsak W, Mitterhauser M, Hartmann A, Hahn A,
- 320 Hacker M, Rujescu D, Kasper S, Lanzenberger R. Machine learning classification of ADHD and
- 321 HC by multimodal serotonergic data. Transl Psychiatry. 2020;10(1):104.

- Mete M, Sakoglu U, Spence JS, Devous MD Sr, Harris TS, Adinoff B. Successful classification of
 cocaine dependence using brain imaging: a generalizable machine learning approach. BMC
 Bioinformatics. 2016;17(Suppl 13):357.
- 325 25. Jeong B, Lee J, Kim H, Gwak S, Kim YK, Yoo SY, Lee D, Choi JS. Multiple-kernel support vector
- 326 machine for predicting internet gaming disorder using multimodal fusion of PET, EEG, and
- 327 clinical features. Front Neurosci. 2022;30(16):856510.
- 328 26. Brown EC, Clark DL, Forkert ND, Molnar CP, Kiss ZHT, Ramasubbu R. Metabolic activity in
- 329 subcallosal cingulate predicts response to deep brain stimulation for depression.
- 330 Neuropsychopharmacology. 2020;45(10):1681–8.
- 27. Veronese M, Santangelo B, Jauhar S, D'Ambrosio E, Demjaha A, Salimbeni H, et al. A potential
- biomarker for treatment stratification in psychosis: evaluation of an [18F] FDOPA PET imaging
- approach. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2021;46(6):1122–32.
- 334
- 335
- 336

337