

Autoinflammatory Diseases: Germline vs. Somatic Mosaic Variations

Eman Assrawi, Elma El Khouri, Camille Louvrier, William Piterboth, Florence Dastot Le Moal, Farah Diab, Aphrodite Daskalopoulou, Rahma Mani, Quentin Brandao, Romain Levergeois, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Eman Assrawi, Elma El Khouri, Camille Louvrier, William Piterboth, Florence Dastot Le Moal, et al.. Autoinflammatory Diseases: Germline vs. Somatic Mosaic Variations. European Society of Human Genetics, Jun 2024, Berlin (Germany), Germany. inserm-04674708

HAL Id: inserm-04674708 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04674708v1

Submitted on 21 Aug2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Autoinflammatory Diseases: Germline vs. Somatic Mosaic Variations

Eman Assrawi¹, Elma El Khouri¹, Camille Louvrier^{1,2}, William Piterboth², Florence Dastot Le Moal², Farah Diab¹, Aphrodite Daskalopoulou¹, Rahma Mani¹, Quentin Brandao¹, Romain Levergeois², Angela Arenas Garcia¹, Marie Legendre¹, Sonia A. Karabina¹, Serge Amselem^{1,2}, Irina Giurgea^{1,2}

1 Sorbonne Université, Inserm, Childhood Genetic Diseases Laboratory, Höpital Armand-Trousseau, 75012 Paris, France.² Département de Génétique Médicale, APHP Höpital Armand-Trousseau, 75012 Paris, France.

Introduction

Systemic autoinflammatory diseases (SAIDs) consist in a growing group of disorders characterized by clinical and biological inflammation. To date, more than 50 SAID genes, encoding key components of the innate immune system have been implicated in the pathophysiology of SAIDs. The best known autosomal dominant SAIDs, NLRP3-AIDs (or cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated periodic syndromes) (TRAPS), are due to germline variations in *NLRP3* and *TNFRSF1A*, respectively. Recently, the role of mosaic variations in these genes has emerged.

Objectives

This study aims to assess the contribution of somatic mosaic variations in SAID genes and describe the associated disease phenotypes.

Methods

We analyzed leukocyte DNAs from patients collected between 2018 and 2023 using a SAID gene panel (NGS \approx 800X). We then reviewed all mosaic variations in SAID genes recorded in PubMed and *Infevers* databases.

Results

NLRP3-AIDs

During the period of 2018-2023, we have identified 10 pathogenic variations in the *NLRP3* gene (**Figure 1**) including:

- 8 different mosaic variations in 11 independent patients
- 2 different germline variations in 3 independent patients.

A total of **34 mosaic and 88 germline** *NLRP3* variations have been reported in the literature to date (Figure 1).

Clinically, NLRP3 mosaic variations are associated with various NLRP3-AID phenotypes ranging from late-onset urticaria in the context of MWS to CINCA.




Figure 1. The NLRP3 pathogenic variations reported to date (in red the recurrent mosaic variations, in blue the recurrent germline variations, and in **bold** the variations identified in this study).

Our results indicate that the mosaic variations are located in two mutational hotspots (Figure 1, red arrows).


TRAPS

We identified two different mosaic variations (C59R, R97_L100del) in the TWFR5F1A gene in three independent TRAPS patients. Only three mosaic missense variations have been reported in the literature so far, while more than 100 germline variations have been reported since 1982 when TRAPS was first described.

Of the 5 TNFRSF1A mosaic variations identified, 4 are located in a small region of the cysteine-rich domain (CRD) 2, and one involves a cysteine residue of the CRD1 domain (Figure 3).

To date, no difference in disease severity has been observed between the germline and the mosaic variations.

Germline mutations	CpG dimer	Patients' phenotype	Reported in mosaic state
TISOM	Yes	MWS-CINCA (N+30)	No
R262W	Yes	FCAS - MWS (N=23)	No
0305N	Yes	FCAS/MWS – MWS – CINCA (N=23)	No
L355P	No	FCAS (N=17)	No
A441V	Yes	FCAS - MWS - CINCA (N+13)	No
Mosaic mutations	CpG dimer	Patients' phenotype	Reported in germinal state
F5698	Yes	MW5-CINCA (N=10)	CINCA (N=1)
E569G	No	CINCA (N=1)	No
	No No	CINCA (N=1) MWS [N=1]	No No
E569G E369Q			
E569G E569Q V565C D305H	No	MWS (N=1) MWS – CINCA (N=4) CINCA (N=4)	No No No
E569G E369Q Y565C	No No	MWS (N=1) MWS – CINCA (N=4)	No No
E569G E569Q V565C D305H	No No	MWS (N=1) MWS – CINCA (N=4) CINCA (N=4)	No No
E569G E569Q V565C D305H D305A	No No No	MWS (N=1) MWS – CINCA (N=4) CINCA (N=4) MWS (N=1)	No No No





Highlight of the five most frequently mutated aminoacids in mosaic state (in red), in germline attate (in blue) and in both mosaic and germline attate (in green). Cyan: adenosine di-Phosphate (ADP); yellow: Walker A motif; green: Walker B motif

Figure 2. Localization in the 3D structure of NLRP3 of the most frequently reported pathogenic (mosaic and germline) variations. cncA: chronicinfamile neurological cutareous and articular syndrome, FCK: familial cold autoinflammatory syndrome, MVS: Muckle-Wells syndrome

The most frequent germline variations were not found in the mosaic state suggesting that they may be lead to minimal or no symptoms in the mosaic state.

Conversely, the most frequent mosaic variations were rarely reported in the heterozygous state, suggesting that *NLRP3* variations found exclusively in the mosaic state may be incompatible with life if present in the germinal state (Figure 2).




Figure 3. The TNFRSF1A pathogenic variations reported to date (in red the recurrent variations and in **bold** the variations identified in this study).

Other SAIDs

Mosaic and germline variations have been reported in other SAID genes, such as NLRC4 (n=4 and n=15), NOD2 (n=2 and n=35), STING1 (n=2 and n=13), and JAK1 (n=1 and n=4), respectively. However, due to the limited number of patients with mosaic variations in these genes, genotype/phenotype correlation studies are currently precluded.

Noteworthy, most of the mosaic variations identified in SAID genes are widely distributed in various tissues.

Conclusions

This study emphasizes the high frequency of somatic mosaic variations in NLRP3-AIDs. The phenotypic spectrum of NLRP3-AIDs appears to be related to both the germinal/mosaic status and the localization in cryopyrin of the underlying variations.

Mosaic variations in the NLRP3, TNFRSF1A, and NLRC4 genes can cause symptoms even when present at very low allele frequencies (below 5%). Furthermore, misdiagnosis may occur due to the low allele frequency of mosaic variations.

Abbreviations: SAIDs: systemic autoinflammatory diseases, NLRP3-AIDs: NLRP3-associated autoinflammatory diseases, TRAPS: TNFRSF1A-associated periodic syndrome.





