

Moving toward precision medicine to predict drug sensitivity in patients with metastatic breast cancer

M. Bottosso, F. Mosele, S. Michiels, Paul-Henry P.-H. Cournède, S. Dogan, C.

Labaki, F Fabrice André

To cite this version:

M. Bottosso, F. Mosele, S. Michiels, Paul-Henry P.-H. Cournède, S. Dogan, et al.. Moving toward precision medicine to predict drug sensitivity in patients with metastatic breast cancer. ESMO Open, 2024, 9 (3), pp.102247. $10.1016/j.esmoop.2024.102247$. inserm-04638434

HAL Id: inserm-04638434 <https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04638434v1>

Submitted on 8 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

REVIEW

Moving toward precision medicine to predict drug sensitivity in patients with metastatic breast cancer

M. Bottosso^{1,2}, F. Mosele^{1,3}, S. Michiels^{4,5}, P.-H. Cournède⁶, S. Dogan¹, C. Labaki^{7,8} & F. André^{1,3,9,10}*

¹INSERM Unit U981, Gustave Roussy Cancer Campus, Villejuif, France; ²Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy; ³Department of Medical Oncology, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif; ⁴Gustave Roussy, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Villejuif; ⁵Oncostat U1018, Inserm, Université Paris-Saclay, Ligue Contre le Cancer, Villejuif; ⁶Université Paris-Saclay, Centrale Supélec, Laboratory of Mathematics and Computer Science (MICS), Gif-Sur-Yvette, France; ⁷Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston; ⁸Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, USA; ⁹PRISM, INSERM, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif; ¹⁰Paris Saclay University, Gif Sur-Yvette, France

Available online 23 February 2024

Tumor heterogeneity represents a major challenge in breast cancer, being associated with disease progression and treatment resistance. Precision medicine has been extensively applied to dissect tumor heterogeneity and, through a deeper molecular understanding of the disease, to personalize therapeutic strategies. In the last years, technological advances have widely improved the understanding of breast cancer biology and several trials have been developed to translate these new insights into clinical practice, with the ultimate aim of improving patients' outcomes. In the era of molecular oncology, genomics analyses and other methodologies are shaping a new treatment algorithm in breast cancer care. In this manuscript, we review the main steps of precision medicine to predict drug sensitivity in breast cancer from a translational point of view. Genomic developments and their clinical implications are discussed, along with technological advancements that could broaden precision medicine applications. Current achievements are put into perspective to provide an overview of the state-of-art of breast cancer precision oncology as well as to identify future research directions.

Key words: precision medicine, breast cancer, biomarkers, genomics, targeted therapy

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cancer among women, with 2.3 million new cases diagnosed each year. Despite substantial therapeutic improvements, BC remains a major health problem and it is the first cause of female cancer mortality, with 684 996 deaths estimated worldwide each year. $1,2$

One of the major challenges in BC management is its clinical heterogeneity in terms of treatment response and outcome, which reflects a high molecular and cellular heterogeneity. 3 To overcome such biological complexity, technological advances and high-throughput technologies have been widely applied in cancer research, leading to the identification of new prognostic and predictive biomarkers. These efforts have allowed to move forward cancer treatments from an indiscriminate use of cytotoxic agents to a target-guided strategy. Nowadays, recent technologies are

rapidly revolutionizing this field with exciting new potentialities, opening more advanced levels of molecular characterization and leading to new encouraging clinical applications.

Since the development of trastuzumab for the HER2 positive disease, and more recently in the era of antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs), BC has represented a paradigm of precision medicine in solid tumors and one of the most studied cancers in this setting. $4,5$ Several additional biomarkers are now available, such as PIK3CA, ESR1 mutations, and germline BRCA1/2 mutations, while many others are being investigated.⁶⁻⁸ Aiming to overcome the one-size-fits-all approach and to dissect the biological heterogeneity of BC with a biomarker-based approach, cancer precision medicine has opened the way to a new era of breast oncology. In this rapidly evolving field, new challenges and future needs must be addressed to further move precision oncology in clinical practice.

MODELLING CANCER BIOLOGY WITH GENOMICS

The current approach of precision medicine in metastatic BC (mBC) aims to identify targetable genomic alterations in each patient and to match them with the right therapy. $9,10$ This strategy presents a double clinical advantage: on the one hand, a biomarker-guided approach could increase

^{*}Correspondence to: Prof. Fabrice André, Department of Medical Oncology, Gustave Roussy, 114 Rue Edouard Vaillant, 94805 Villejuif, France. Tel: +33-1-42-11-61-59

E-mail: fabrice.andre@gustaveroussy.fr (F. André).

^{2059-7029/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society for Medical Oncology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Figure 1. Milestones of genomics in the path of precision medicine for breast cancer.

treatment efficacy, focusing the therapeutic interventions on tumors harboring specific alterations; and on the other hand, selectively hitting these alterations could improve the safety profile by sparing potential side-effects to patients who are unlikely to derive some benefits. 11 In addition, precision medicine could reduce the financial burden on health care systems, allowing a more cost-effective allocation of resources.¹²

Thus the workflow of precision medicine requires (i) the acquisition of tumor specimens, (ii) the determination of a molecular profile, (iii) data analysis through bioinformatic tools, (iv) the identification of targetable alterations, and (v) the administration of targeted therapy.¹³ In this context, a genomic approach based on DNA sequencing, namely nextgeneration sequencing assays, has been extensively studied (Figure 1).

Genomic drivers

Characterization of the mechanisms of cancer progression with genomics in mBC. DNA sequencing technologies have allowed the identification of multiple mechanisms involved in cancer development and tumor progression, and genomics has rapidly become a cornerstone of precision oncology. 14 Currently, the identification of oncogenic 'drivers', defined as the genomic alterations that lead to malignant transformation and cancer progression, is one of the main applications of a genomic-based precision medicine approach and an essential tool for modeling cancer biology and dissecting BC heterogeneity. 10

The rationale to look for oncogenic drivers at an individual level derives from large studies on patients with mBC reporting the ability of high-throughput technologies to identify genomic alterations leading to cancer progression. Bertucci et al. 15 carried out whole exome sequencing of 617 patients with mBC, identifying recurrent genomic driver alterations guiding cancer progression in a large number of patients. Indeed, genomic mutations widely described in many cancer types, such as TP53 (47%) and PIK3CA (30%), were confirmed, as well as genomic alterations specifically enriched in mBC, such as ESR1 (17%) and KMT2C (10%). Moreover, unexplored targets, such as NF1 (7%), were identified. Subsequent large-scale genomic analyses confirmed a similar shift in the mutational profiles of metastatic disease, with enrichments in potentially targetable drivers.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

Clinical impact of targeting validated genomic drivers. Considering the ability to identify recurrent driver mutations in mBC through DNA sequencing technologies, the clinical impact of a genomic-driven approach was also evaluated, similar to other tumors such as non-small-cell lung cancer and melanoma.^{19,20} For example, PIK3CA mutations can be identified in \sim 40% of hormone receptor (HR)-positive mBC and are associated with chemoresistance and poor outcomes. $21,22$ SOLAR-1 is a randomized phase III trial that assessed the efficacy of alpelisib, an α -selective phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitor, plus fulvestrant in postmenopausal patients with HR-positive/HER2 negative mBC who progressed to aromatase inhibitors. Interestingly, progression-free survival (PFS) was significantly improved by the addition of alpelisib as compared with placebo in the cohort of patients with PIK3CA-mutated mBC [11.0 versus 5.7 months; hazard ratio 0.65, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.50-0.85; $P < 0.001$], while no benefit was observed in the subgroup of patients with PIK3CA-wildtype mBC (7.4 versus 5.6 months; hazard ratio 0.85, 95% CI 0.58-1.25; posterior probability of hazard ratio <1.00, 79.4%). $6,23$ SOLAR1 has been one of the first studies to identify a treatment benefit of an oncogene de-addiction strategy based on the genomic profiling of mBC, demonstrating the possibility of impacting tumor progression by targeting driver alterations. 10 Moreover, the lack of efficacy of the targeted agent in the absence of the specific genomic mutation represented a further validation of the molecular driver alteration. These data provided a proof of concept of the clinical utility of genomics and highlighted the need to carry out genomic testing in these patients.

As previously cited, other validated genomic biomarkers are now available based on randomized phase III studies. The EMERALD trial reported a significant PFS improvement with elacestrant compared with standard of care among patients with ESR1-mutated HR-positive/HER2-negative mBC (hazard ratio 0.55, 95% CI 0.39-0.77; $P = 0.0005$), while no benefit was detected in the subgroup of patients *ESR1* wt (hazard ratio 0.86; 95% CI 0.62-1.18; $P = 0.3$).⁸ The OlympiAD and EMBRACA trials assessed the efficacy of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors in patients with germline BRCA1/2 mutations and HER2-negative mBC, showing an improvement in patient outcome.^{7,24} Several other potential genomic drivers are currently being investigated in mBC, such as AKT1 mutations, FGFR1/2/3 mutations/fusions, and germline/somatic alterations in homologous recombination repair-related genes. However, available results have yielded a mixed picture, with some drugs matched to the genomic alteration demonstrating notable efficacy, while others showing a limited impact, highlighting limitations of the de-addiction strategy.²⁵⁻²⁷ For instance, even tissue-agnostic biomarkers such as hightumor mutation burden failed to predict immune checkpoint blockade response in mBC.^{28,29}

Challenges of oncogene de-addiction. Despite strong biological rationale and encouraging results, the oncogene deaddiction strategy must still address important challenges in some complex scenarios.

One relevant issue is the co-existence of multiple molecular drivers at the tumor cell level. Indeed, in BC, comutations have been reported in a large number of patients, leading to the need for combination therapies. 15 As an illustration, in HER2-positive mBC, the co-existence of ERBB2 amplifications and PIK3CA alterations represents one of the most common scenarios. A combined biomarkers analysis of BOLERO-1 and BOLERO-3 trials showed that the addition of everolimus, a mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor, to the anti-HER2 antibody trastuzumab plus chemotherapy improved the outcomes of patients with HER2-positive mBC and PI3K alterations. Therefore, despite potential challenges in their development and safety, these data endorse the feasibility and the clinical benefit of combinations of customized agents.³⁰

In addition, drug toxicity remains a major issue in a precision medicine approach, with new side-effects emerging. Indeed, although the primary aim of targeted treatments is to selectively target cancer cells, they have been linked to diverse toxicities, often differing from those associated with cytotoxic chemotherapy. In the SOLAR-1 trial, several patients treated with alpelisib developed G3-4 toxicities (most frequently hyperglycemia in 36.6% of cases, rash in 9.9% of cases, and diarrhea in 6.7% of cases) and 25% permanently discontinued alpelisib due to adverse events.⁶ Another point to highlight is pharmacogenomics, which assesses polymorphisms of genes that code for drug-metabolizing enzymes, such as tyrosine kinase inhibitors or ADCs, potentially influencing efficacy and tolerability. $31-34$ For instance, a safety analysis from the ASCENT trial reported different rates of neutropenia in patients receiving sacituzumab govitecan according to the UGT1A1 genotype. 35 A pharmacogenomic approach could therefore guide therapeutic choices and dose modifications, preventing adverse events and improving treatment compliance.

A further issue is the validation of rare genomic alterations, which often remain an unmet medical need. Although the genomic characterization of BC has identified recurrent driver mutations, some oncogenic alterations occur at a very low frequency.¹⁵ Given the challenge of conducting randomized trials for rare genomic segments, the development of targeted therapies presents additional difficulties. Nevertheless, rare genomic alterations may behave like driver mutations, showing a major response to targeted therapies. For instance, an outlier sensitivity to capivasertib, an AKT inhibitor, has been reported in patients with mBC and Cowden syndrome (germline PTEN mutation).³⁶ To overcome these limitations, a new clinical research framework should be promoted for rare genomic segments. In the setting of rare genomic alterations, if a large treatment effect can be expected on a hard clinical endpoint, single-arm registration trials should be explored. In this scenario of a single-arm registration trial, there is a need for historical controls. This will be achieved through the development of large clinicgenomics databases such as the American Association for Cancer Research (AACR) project GENIE.³⁷ Finally, efficacy data should be confirmed, after initial regulatory approval, in larger postapproval studies. 38

Finally, the necessity of a reorganization of pathways of care is emerging. To identify rare targetable mutations a wide screening is required, and therefore a new model based on a flow from broad access to genomic tests to few reference centers. Many initiatives have promoted an equitable use of comprehensive genomic profiling, as highlighted in Europe's Beating Cancer Plan and recent guidelines.³⁹ However, the implementation of precision oncology into routine care still faces challenges in many health systems in terms of infrastructure and reimbursements.⁹

Multigene sequencing and its effect on clinical endpoints. The ability to identify recurrent genomic alterations and the benefit observed by a targeted approach provided the rationale to test multiple genes in individual patients. Indeed, the heterogeneity of oncogenic alterations involved in cancer progression, some of them occurring in a small percentage of patients, requires a molecular selection through wide genomic profiling. 40

The feasibility of molecular screening of mBC based on comprehensive multigene sequencing and the clinical utility of targeted agents have been assessed in different clinical trials.The main features of prospective clinical trials (PubMed searching strategy: 'molecular profiling' OR 'molecular

PResults of the overall population of patients with different cancer types.

sults

of the overall population of patients with different cancer types

screening'OR 'personalized medicine'OR 'genomic profiling') are summarized in Table 1.

These studies provided a proof of concept of the feasibility of using high-throughput technologies for extensively profiling BCs in a timeframe compatible with clinical practice. In addition, most of them showed that a subset of patients derives a clinical benefit from high-throughput genomics. The rate of detection of actionable alterations among patients with mBC was extremely variable, depending on the technologies applied and the number of genes investigated. The benefit showed with target therapy was also highly variable because, for instance, in the SHIVA study matched therapy comprised marketed drugs already approved in other indications. Furthermore, caution should be applied when comparing these data as these studies were conducted at different stages of precision medicine development, during which the availability of target agents has widely changed.

To elucidate the clinical utility of multigene sequencing technologies in daily practice in patients with mBC, a dedicated randomized study was designed. SAFIR02 is a phase II trial that enrolled patients with HER2-negative mBC pretreated with a maximum of one line of chemotherapy (and resistant to endocrine therapy if HR positive). The genomic profile was assessed by next-generation sequencing and comparative genomic hybridization array at baseline. Patients without progressive disease after six to eight cycles of chemotherapy and presenting a targetable genomic alteration were randomized between targeted therapies and maintenance chemotherapy. The primary objective of the study was to evaluate whether targeted therapies improved PFS as compared with maintenance chemotherapy. Out of the 1462 patients included, 646 (44%) had a targetable genomic alteration and 238 (16%) were randomized between maintenance chemotherapy ($n = 81$) and targeted therapy ($n = 157$). Among the 115 patients with an ESMO Scale for Clinical Actionability of Molecular Targets (ESCAT) I/ II genomic alteration, the median PFS was 9.1 months (90% CI 7.1-9.8) and 2.8 months (90% CI 2.1-4.8) in the targeted therapy and maintenance chemotherapy arms, respectively $(P < 0.001)$, whereas in the overall population there was no significant difference in PFS between the two arms ($P =$ 0.109).⁵⁹ These data highlight that results of multigene sequencing should be interpreted in the context of a framework of target actionability, such as ESCAT, OncoKB, or the classification of the Association for Molecular Pathology (Figure 2).60-62

In this direction, liquid biopsy, through the analysis of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), may represent an additional tool to personalize treatment decisions in a dynamic and noninvasive way. In the AURORA trial, Aftimos et al. 17 reported the ability of liquid biopsy to identify cancer mutations. In their study, ctDNA successfully detected the majority of actionable alterations in tissues (60% of cases). In addition, there was a noteworthy finding in 11% of cases, where ESCAT I/II mutations were identified in ctDNA but not in tissue samples. Liquid biopsy may therefore represent a promising complementary tool in precision oncology.

Genome evolution and secondary resistance

Despite our ability to identify recurrent driver mutations and target them, cancer cells eventually develop secondary resistance. Cancer evolution is emerging as a complex and multifactorial process, greatly depending on the acquisition of additional mutations and the subsequent clonal selection under the pressure of endogenous and exogenous factors, such as pharmacological treatments.⁶³ In this context, genomics has also proven to be able to describe the mechanism of genome evolution and, therefore, to characterize the development of resistant clones. For instance, ESR1 mutation has been identified as a mechanism of clinically acquired resistance to previous aromatase inhibitor therapy in patients with HR-positive BC, being present in \sim 20% of patients with mBC treated with an aromatase inhibitor. $64,65$

One of the hot topics in the field of precision medicine is the early identification of resistance mechanisms. This question was addressed in the PADA1 trial, where patients treated with first-line palbociclib plus aromatase inhibitors were monitored for the development of an ESR1 mutation in blood and, in case of detection or increase of the mutation, randomized to switch endocrine therapy to fulvestrant. The trial demonstrated the feasibility and PFS benefit of monitoring resistance-associated mutations by ctDNA analysis in patients with mBC. 66

Moreover, the genomic approach may allow identifying the molecular mechanisms behind the mutational processes that lead to treatment resistance. For instance, APOBEC is a family of cytidine deaminase involved in the transformation of cytidine in thymine, therefore acting as a DNA mutator.⁶⁷ An enrichment in APOBEC mutational signature in HR-positive/HER2-negative mBC compared with early BC was observed, raising the hypothesis that this pathway could be involved in cancer evolution. In the future, the identification of the processes involved in the acquisition of mutations could allow us to block them and delay the occurrence of drug resistance. 15

Characterization of the mechanism of immune suppression by genomics

Beyond the identification of targetable driver mutations, genomics can also characterize the mechanism of immune suppression and guide toward the administration of immune checkpoint inhibitors. The SAFIR02-BREAST IMMUNO trial evaluated the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors as maintenance treatment in patients with HER2-negative mBC ($n = 199$). While a lack of PFS and OS benefit was observed in the overall population, exploratory analyses showed an OS benefit in patients with triple-negative BC $(n = 82;$ hazard ratio 0.54, 95% CI 0.30-0.97; $P = 0.04$), which became even more important in the subgroup of patients with triple-negative BC (TNBC) plus CD274 gain/ amplification, where CD274 is the gene coding for programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1; $n = 23$; hazard ratio 0.18, 95% CI 0.05-0.71; $P = 0.01$). No significant improvement was shown in patients with TNBC and CD274 normal/loss $(n = 32;$ hazard ratio 1.12, 95% CI 0.42-2.99; $P = 0.81$.⁶⁸ CD274 amplification may lead to the overexpression of PD-L1, which may represent a key mechanism of immune suppression in these patients, leading to an outlier sensitivity to anti-PD-L1. Genomics could therefore help identify patients more likely to benefit from immunotherapy and decrease the likelihood of treatment resistance.

BEYOND GENOMICS, ASSESSING NEW DIMENSIONS OF CANCER BIOLOGY

While the sequencing of coding regions of DNA has undoubtedly allowed moving forward in the field of precision medicine, its impact is limited because other components of cancer biology drive cancer progression and/or because new drugs target other biological processes. To further improve the impact of cancer precision medicine, multiple teams have developed new technologies to carry out comprehensive portraits of cancer beyond genomics.

- Cancer cell-related proteins and phosphoproteomics: BC is one of the historical illustrations of the use of protein expression to select patients for targeted therapies. Indeed, ER and HER2 expressions have long been used as criteria for selecting patients for endocrine therapy and HER2-targeted agents, respectively. Phosphoproteomics enables the assessment of protein activation, helping to determine whether a mutation detected through genomics results in pathway activation. 69 For instance, the ribosomal protein S6 (pS6) is phosphorylated by the activation of the mTOR pathway, representing a potential predictive biomarker of sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors. In the BOLERO-3 trial, 569 pretreated patients with HER2-positive mBCs were randomized to receive vinorelbine-trastuzumab plus everolimus or a placebo. Despite a modest PFS benefit in the overall population, a translational analysis suggested how high levels of phosphorylated pS6 were associated with a high sensitivity to everolimus.⁷⁰ Assessing phosphoproteins could be particularly relevant to monitor pharmacodynamics and identify feedback loops in each patient receiving kinase inhibitors, in order to modulate doses, schedule, and develop combinations. Assessment of ontreatment phosphokinome could also be done in circulating tumor cells. 71
- Transcriptomics: The study of RNA transcripts and their functions and can be integrated with genomics to correlate DNA alterations with gene expression. Intrinsic subtypes and further subclassifications have allowed to better classify BC beyond immunohistochemistry classification and to predict chemo-endocrine sensitivity. $72-74$ For instance, nonluminal subtypes within the $HR+/$ HER2- disease have been associated with a poorer response to endocrine therapy, $75,76$ while different transcriptomic profiles have been reported among cells resistant to different CdK4/6 inhibitors, which could have relevant clinical implications.⁷⁷ Therefore transcriptomics represents a powerful tool to understand the phenotypical characteristics of BC and to guide treatment choices. Intrinsic subtypes are now being used as inclusion criteria in several prospective trials (e.g. NCT05207709, NCT04251169, NCT02448420), potentially broadening the use of transcriptomic signatures in clinical practice for mBC. In addition, the analysis of ctDNA through liquid biopsy has been demonstrated to be able to identify a correlation between ctDNA-based signatures and RNAbased intrinsic subtypes, overcoming important

limitations in the transcriptomic analysis of mBC. 78 The feasibility of using transcriptomic profiling for treatment choice was first explored in the WINTHER trial. Patients were evaluated through a gene expression panel carried out in tumors and matched normal tissues. Among the 107 patients assessable for therapy, 38 (35.5%) were selected based on RNA information, proving how transcriptomics substantially increased the number of patients treated with a target agent. 53

- In addition, in the DREAM project gene expression microarrays were associated with the ability to predict drug response in human BC cell lines, and drug sensitivity was improved by the integration of DNA sequencing data. 79 In line with these findings, Pradat et al. 80 carried out an integrative pan-cancer genomic and transcriptomic analysis of refractory metastatic cancers, including 98 BCs. The combination of genomic and RNA-sequencing data allowed to confirm some validated biomarkers of resistance and sensitivity to treatments, as well as to identify new hypothetical resistance mechanisms. With a similar aim, transcriptomic approaches have been explored to identify predictive immune markers. Paré et al. 81 evaluated PD1 messenger RNA expression across multiple cancer types, including BC; the expression of PD1 was associated with antiprogrammed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) efficacy both in The Cancer Genome Atlas and in a prospective validation series, confirming the possibility of developing clinically applicable assays associated with immunotherapy response.
- RNA sequencing could be complemented in the near future by epigenomics. It is now feasible to assess chromatin status on a large scale thanks to the ATAC-seq technology. 82 ATAC-seq could improve the accuracy of RNA-sequencing to identify dysregulated gene expression. For instance, Corces et al. 83 carried out, through ATAC-seq, a systematic chromatin accessibility profiling of 23 cancer types, including 74 samples of BC. BCspecific chromatin patterns were identified, as well as different subgroups of BC according to transposaseaccessible DNA elements. Interestingly, it has been shown by several teams that genes coding for methyltransferase (e.g. KMT2C, KMT2D) are altered in patients who are resistant to endocrine therapy^{15,84} and epigenomic reprogramming has now been established as a hallmark of cancer.⁸⁵ Drugs targeting epigenetics are being tested in clinical trials, and developing ATAC-seq for clinical use could help drive the development of new drug families.
- Microenvironment: The tumor microenvironment represents a key component of cancer biology and, since the development of immune checkpoint blockade therapy, different immunological factors, such as immune checkpoint molecules, cytokines, and immune cell composition, have been extensively studied through different approaches. 86 PD-L1, interacting with PD-1 on immune cells and leading to tumor immune evasion, is the most studied immune checkpoint and a biomarker for

Figure 3. Multimodal integration strategy to recreate a comprehensive cancer profile for each patient. In this holistic approach, each component of cancer biology is evaluated and integrated, including biological biomarkers from translational sciences, multidimensional scores, and data from large patient cohorts. Therefore a cancer avatar summarizing cancer biology can be generated and used for a highly personalized approach.

immunotherapy in mBC. 87 In addition, a better understanding of the crosstalk between tumoral cells and tumor microenvironment allowed to identify other targetable mechanisms of immune evasion, and other immune checkpoint inhibitors are currently under investigation in clinical trials as potential therapeutic targets to improve antitumoral response, such as lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain-containing protein 3 (TIM-3), T-cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT). 88 In the future, technological breakthroughs such as single-cell-based technologies and imaging mass cytometry could enable further characterization of immunological features and the identification of new targetable biomarkers, especially in patients deriving less benefit from current immune checkpoint inhibitors.⁸⁹ Wang et al. showed that multicellular spatial organization assessed by imaging mass cytometry is a determinant of resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients with localized TNBC. 90 Finally, the tumor microenvironment and its crosstalk between cancer cells may play a role in treatment resistance, including targeted agents. For instance, the secretion of interleukin-8 by tumor-associated macrophages and the subsequent activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling has been associated with lapatinib resistance, 91 while an immunosuppressive microenvironment has been associated with resistance to anti-HER2-based neoadjuvant treatment.⁹²

 Spatial biology: Technological developments have also allowed to overcome some limitations of bulk analyses. Spatial transcriptomic methodologies enable spatial profiling of tumoral features, mapping gene activity up to the single-cell level. This could allow a better understanding of spatial tumoral heterogeneity as well as of tumor microenvironment. In addition, these new platforms could be of major interest in predicting which ADC or combination of ADCs should be given to a specific patient. 93

Figure 4. Pipeline illustrating the various stages of a comprehensive precision medicine approach for patients with cancer from basic science to clinical practice. At first, high-throughput technologies, new techniques, and patient-derived ex vivo devices are used to identify unidimensional biomarkers for each patient. Data generated in this way are then integrated to obtain a molecular portrait of each specific tumor, which can then be used to offer personalized treatments for each patient. In addition to the improvement of clinical outcomes, cancer profiles and treatment personalization allow to delay the development of resistance, to predict toxicity, and to guarantee optimal access to therapies. Finally, data generated by clinical trials can further enrich the knowledge of cancer biology, alimenting the pipeline of precision oncology.

 Patient-derived ex vivo devices: Patient-derived ex vivo devices, such as organoids and spheroids, are one of the most promising tools for functional precision medicine. These assays are able to largely preserve the genomic, epigenetic, and histological phenotype of a patient's tumor and to faithfully predict responses to systemic therapies.⁹⁴ Therefore, 3D cell cultures represent an ideal preclinical model not only to study cancer development, but also as personalized platforms for patient-specific drug screening. The potentiality of organoids as a drug screening platform was evaluated with encouraging results, 95 and ongoing trials are exploring the possibility of guiding treatment choice according to organoid sensitivity (NCT04931381). In addition, patient-derived xenograft, developed by transplanting tumoral cells into immunodeficient mice, represents a further tool to preclinically analyze tumor features better recapitulating cancer heterogeneity and tumor microenvironment.^{94,9}

NEW METHODS OF DATA ANALYSIS AND DATA INTEGRATION

Artificial intelligence applied to digital pathology

Artificial intelligence applied to digital pathology could address three challenges in the near future: it could assist the pathologist in the interpretation of markers that are difficult to read, it could detect populations with a high likelihood of presenting a rare molecular alteration, and it could identify new predictors of drug sensitivity. Some proof-of-concept works have already shown how artificial intelligence applied to histological slides may help with relevant oncological questions. For example, Kather et al.⁹⁷ used hematoxylin and eosin slides of colorectal cancer to detect patients with a high likelihood of microsatellite instability. Similarly, Garberis et al. $88-100$ applied a deep learning algorithm to HR-positive/HER2-negative BC treated with upfront surgery to identify features associated with a poor outcome and to predict the risk of distant recurrence within 5 years.

Multimodal data integration

As mentioned in the 'Introduction' section, the long-term goal of precision medicine efforts is to reach cancer avatars that recapitulate the tumor biology at the individual scale, through the integration of multiple translational features, the analysis of data from similar large cohorts, and the creation of multidimensional tools that recapitulate cancer and host features (Figure 3). To reach this goal, there is a need to integrate multiple components of biology derived from unidimensional analyses to define a comprehensive molecular and cellular portrait of the cancer.

There are two different strategies to generate comprehensive molecular portraits. The first one is a bottom-up approach where all the biomarkers that have a significant impact are selected to reduce the dimensions of the data sets, and are further integrated to define a comprehensive portrait of cancer. For example, estrogen receptor expression and PIK3CA mutations are integrated to define a subset of patients with BC who should receive endocrine therapy plus PI3K inhibitors. This strategy of data integration, more conservative, requires that each biomarker is validated in clinical trials.

The other approach to cancer modeling is a top-down approach. It consists in analyzing simultaneously multiple components of biology thanks to a combination of highthroughput technologies in order to integrate the data types and provide deeper biological insights compared with individual omics.^{79,101} They can also be used to develop multisource prediction models using new methods of artificial intelligence learning integrative and compact data representation.¹⁰² This approach requires large sample sizes and has yet to show its clinical impact (Figure 4).

PERSPECTIVES

The advancement in molecular profiling provided by the wider use of biotechnologies has opened new challenges. The ultimate clinical goal of cancer precision medicine is a patient-centered approach with individualized therapies. Although technological improvements will likely allow the identification of targetable driver alterations in most patients, one of the main limitations to face in the next years could be the lack of compounds able to target the identified alterations. In other words, more precise modeling of tumor biology and a deeper fragmentation of cancers in multiple rare subtypes could lead to the conclusion that the needed drug does not exist yet. Therefore the future need to address will be the personalized construction of the specific drugs necessary for each patient with cancer. To overcome the complexity of drug discovery, new tools of clinical pharmacology should be applied to develop new molecules. Ongoing trials are evaluating the feasibility of a patientpersonalized treatment through organoids (NCT04931381) or CAR-T cells (NCT03970382), and in the future, the application of artificial intelligence and pharmacogenomics could accelerate this process. To maximize the potentiality of modern biotechnologies in the evolving landscape of precision oncology, a shift of the therapeutic algorithm toward a further level of individualization should be encouraged and should be thoroughly evaluated in clinical trial programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Figures 3 and 4 have been created with BioRender.com.

FUNDING

None declared.

DISCLOSURE

FM reports receiving consultant fees from Novartis and Pegascy. CL reports research support from imCORE/Genentech. FA received research funding and served as a speaker/advisor (compensated to the hospital) from Roche, AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, Pfizer, Novartis, and Eli Lilly. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- 1. [Malvezzi M, Santucci C, Boffetta P, et al. European cancer mortality](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref1) [predictions for the year 2023 with focus on lung cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref1) Ann Oncol. [2023;34:410-419.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref1)
- 2. Globocan. International. Agency for Research on Cancer. 2020. Available at [https://gco.iarc.fr/today.](https://gco.iarc.fr/today)
- 3. [Lüönd F, Tiede S, Christofori G. Breast cancer as an example of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref3) [tumour heterogeneity and tumour cell plasticity during malignant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref3) progression. Br J Cancer[. 2021;125\(2\):164-175.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref3)
- 4. [Slamon DJ, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, et al. Use of chemotherapy plus a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref4) [monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref4) overexpresses HER2. N Engl J Med[. 2001;344\(11\):783-792](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref4).
- 5. [Modi S, Saura C, Yamashita T, et al. Trastuzumab deruxtecan in pre](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref5)[viously treated HER2-positive breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref5) N Engl J Med. [2020;382\(7\):610-621](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref5).
- 6. [André F, Ciruelos E, Rubovszky G, et al. Alpelisib for PIK3CA-mutated,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref6) [hormone receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref6) N Engl J Med. [2019;380\(20\):1929-1940](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref6).
- 7. [Robson M, Im SA, Senkus E, et al. Olaparib for metastatic breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref7) [cancer in patients with a germline BRCA mutation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref7) N Engl J Med. [2017;377\(6\):523-533](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref7).
- 8. [Bidard FC, Kaklamani VG, Neven P, et al. Elacestrant \(oral selective](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref8) [estrogen receptor degrader\) versus standard endocrine therapy for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref8) [estrogen receptor-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref8) [2-negative advanced breast cancer: results from the randomized](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref8) phase III EMERALD trial. J Clin Oncol[. 2022;40\(28\):3246-3256.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref8)
- 9. [Mateo J, Steuten L, Aftimos P, et al. Delivering precision oncology to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref9) patients with cancer. Nat Med[. 2022;28\(4\):658-665.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref9)
- 10. [Yates LR, Seoane J, Le Tourneau C, et al. The European Society for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref10) [Medical Oncology \(ESMO\) precision medicine glossary.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref10) Ann Oncol. [2018;29\(1\):30-35](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref10).
- 11. [Malone ER, Oliva M, Sabatini PJB, Stockley TL, Siu LL. Molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref11) profi[ling for precision cancer therapies.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref11) Genome Med. 2020;12(1):8.
- 12. [Kasztura M, Richard A, Bempong NE, Loncar D, Flahault A. Cost](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref12)[effectiveness of precision medicine: a scoping review.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref12) Int J Public Health[. 2019;64\(9\):1261-1271.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref12)
- 13. [Arnedos M, Vicier C, Loi S, et al. Precision medicine for metastatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref13) [breast cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref13)-[limitations and solutions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref13) Nat Rev Clin Oncol. [2015;12\(12\):693-704.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref13)
- 14. [Raphael BJ, Dobson JR, Oesper L, Vandin F. Identifying driver muta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref14)[tions in sequenced cancer genomes: computational approaches to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref14) [enable precision medicine.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref14) Genome Med. 2014;6(1):5.
- 15. [Bertucci F, Ng CKY, Patsouris A, et al. Genomic characterization of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref15) [metastatic breast cancers.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref15) Nature. 2019;569(7757):560-564.
- 16. [Angus L, Smid M, Wilting SM, et al. The genomic landscape of met](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref16)[astatic breast cancer highlights changes in mutation and signature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref16) frequencies. Nat Genet[. 2019;51\(10\):1450-1458.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref16)
- 17. [Aftimos P, Oliveira M, Irrthum A, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref17) [analyses of breast cancer primaries and matched metastases in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref17) [AURORA, the Breast International Group \(BIG\) molecular screening](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref17) initiative. Cancer Discov[. 2021;11\(11\):2796-2811](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref17).
- 18. [Garcia-Recio S, Hinoue T, Wheeler GL, et al. Multiomics in primary](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref18) [and metastatic breast tumors from the AURORA US network](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref18) finds [microenvironment and epigenetic drivers of metastasis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref18) Nat Cancer. [2023;4\(1\):128-147](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref18).
- 19. [Yuan M, Huang LL, Chen JH, Wu J, Xu Q. The emerging treatment](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref19) [landscape of targeted therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref19) Signal [Transduct Target Ther](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref19). 2019;4:61.
- 20. [Guo W, Wang H, Li C. Signal pathways of melanoma and targeted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref20) therapy. [Signal Transduct Target Ther](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref20). 2021;6(1):424.
- 21. [Martínez-Sáez O, Chic N, Pascual T, et al. Frequency and spectrum of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref21) [PIK3CA somatic mutations in breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref21) Breast Cancer Res. [2020;22\(1\):45.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref21)
- 22. [Mosele F, Stefanovska B, Lusque A, et al. Outcome and molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref22) [landscape of patients with PIK3CA-mutated metastatic breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref22) Ann Oncol[. 2020;31\(3\):377-386](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref22).
- 23. [André F, Ciruelos EM, Juric D, et al. Alpelisib plus fulvestrant for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref23) [PIK3CA-mutated, hormone receptor-positive, human epidermal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref23) [growth factor receptor-2-negative advanced breast cancer:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref23) final [overall survival results from SOLAR-1.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref23) Ann Oncol. 2021;32(2):208-217.
- 24. [Litton JK, Rugo HS, Ettl J, et al. Talazoparib in patients with advanced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref24) [breast cancer and a germline BRCA mutation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref24) N Engl J Med. [2018;379\(8\):753-763.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref24)
- 25. [Verret B, Bottosso M, Hervais S, Pistilli B. The molecular predictive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref25) [and prognostic biomarkers in metastatic breast cancer: the contri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref25)[bution of molecular pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref25)filing. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(17):4203.
- 26. [Turner NC, Oliveira M, Howell SJ, et al. Capivasertib in hormone](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref26) [receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref26) N Engl J Med. [2023;388\(22\):2058-2070.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref26)
- 27. [Tung NM, Robson ME, Ventz S, et al. TBCRC 048: phase II study of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref27) [olaparib for metastatic breast cancer and mutations in homologous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref27) [recombination-related genes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref27) J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(36):4274-4282.
- 28. [McGrail DJ, Pilié PG, Rashid NU, et al. High tumor mutation burden](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref28) [fails to predict immune checkpoint blockade response across all](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref28) cancer types. Ann Oncol[. 2021;32\(5\):661-672.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref28)
- 29. [Alva AS, Mangat PK, Garrett-Mayer E, et al. Pembrolizumab in pa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref29)[tients with metastatic breast cancer with high tumor mutational](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref29) [burden: results from the Targeted Agent and Pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref29)filing Utilization Registry (TAPUR) Study. J Clin Oncol[. 2021;39\(22\):2443-2451](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref29).
- 30. [André F, Hurvitz S, Fasolo A, et al. Molecular alterations and ever](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref30)olimus effi[cacy in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref30) [overexpressing metastatic breast cancers: combined exploratory](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref30) [biomarker analysis from BOLERO-1 and BOLERO-3.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref30) J Clin Oncol. [2016;34\(18\):2115-2124.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref30)
- 31. Reizine NM, O'[Donnell PH. Modern developments in germline phar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref31)[macogenomics for oncology prescribing.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref31) CA Cancer J Clin. 2022;72: [315-332](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref31).
- 32. [Miteva-Marcheva NN, Ivanov HY, Dimitrov DK, Stoyanova VK. Appli](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref32)[cation of pharmacogenetics in oncology.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref32) Biomark Res. 2020;8:32.
- 33. [Mroz P, Michel S, Allen JD, et al. Development and implementation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref33) [in-house pharmacogenomic testing program at a major academic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref33) health system. Front Genet[. 2021;12:712602.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref33)
- 34. [Kaehler M, Cascorbi I. Pharmacogenomics of impaired tyrosine kinase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref34) [inhibitor response: lessons learned from chronic myelogenous leu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref34)kemia. Front Pharmacol[. 2021;12:696960.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref34)
- 35. [Rugo HS, Tolaney SM, Loirat D, et al. Safety analyses from the phase 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref35) [ASCENT trial of sacituzumab govitecan in metastatic triple-negative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref35) breast cancer. [NPJ Breast Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref35). 2022;8(1):98.
- 36. [Kingston B, Bailleux C, Delaloge S, et al. Exceptional response to AKT](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref36) [inhibition in patients with breast cancer and germline PTEN muta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref36)tions. JCO Precis Oncol[. 2019;3:PO.19.00130.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref36)
- 37. [AACR Project GENIE Consortium. AACR Project GENIE: powering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref37) [precision medicine through an International Consortium.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref37) Cancer Discov[. 2017;7\(8\):818-831](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref37).
- 38. [André F. Developing anticancer drugs in orphan molecular entities](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref38)-[a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref38) [paradigm under construction.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref38) N Engl J Med. 2018;378(8):763-765.
- 39. [Mosele F, Remon J, Mateo J, et al. Recommendations for the use of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref39) [next-generation sequencing \(NGS\) for patients with metastatic can](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref39)[cers: a report from the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref39) Ann Oncol[. 2020;31:1491-1505.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref39)
- 40. [Andre F, Delaloge S, Soria JC. Biology-driven phase II trials: what is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref40) [the optimal model for molecular selection?](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref40) J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(10): [1236-1238.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref40)
- 41. [Von Hoff DD, Stephenson JJ, Rosen P, et al. Pilot study using molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref41) profiling of patients' tumors to fi[nd potential targets and select treat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref41)[ments for their refractory cancers.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref41) J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(33):4877-4883.
- 42. [Tsimberidou AM, Wen S, Hong DS, et al. Personalized medicine for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref42) [patients with advanced cancer in the phase I program at MD](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref42) [Anderson: validation and landmark analyses.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref42) Clin Cancer Res. [2014;20\(18\):4827-4836.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref42)
- 43. [André F, Bachelot T, Commo F, et al. Comparative genomic hybrid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref43)[isation array and DNA sequencing to direct treatment of metastatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref43) [breast cancer: a multicentre, prospective trial \(SAFIR01/UNICANCER\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref43) Lancet Oncol[. 2014;15\(3\):267-274](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref43).
- 44. [Le Tourneau C, Delord JP, Gonçalves A, et al. Molecularly targeted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref44) [therapy based on tumour molecular pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref44)filing versus conventional [therapy for advanced cancer \(SHIVA\): a multicentre, open-label,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref44) [proof-of-concept, randomised, controlled phase 2 trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref44) Lancet Oncol[. 2015;16\(13\):1324-1334.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref44)
- 45. [Schwaederle M, Parker BA, Schwab RB, et al. Precision oncology: the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref45) [UC San Diego Moores Cancer Center PREDICT experience.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref45) Mol Cancer Ther[. 2016;15\(4\):743-752.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref45)
- 46. [Wheler JJ, Janku F, Naing A, et al. Cancer therapy directed by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref46) [comprehensive genomic pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref46)filing: a single center study. Cancer Res. [2016;76\(13\):3690-3701.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref46)
- 47. [Stockley TL, Oza AM, Berman HK, et al. Molecular pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref47)filing of [advanced solid tumors and patient outcomes with genotype-matched](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref47) [clinical trials: the Princess Margaret IMPACT/COMPACT trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref47) Genome Med[. 2016;8\(1\):109.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref47)
- 48. [Massard C, Michiels S, Ferté C, et al. High-throughput genomics and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref48) [clinical outcome in hard-to-treat advanced cancers: results of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref48) MOSCATO 01 trial. Cancer Discov[. 2017;7\(6\):586-595.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref48)
- 49. Mangat PK, Halabi S, Bruinooge SS, et al. Rationale and design of the targeted agent and profiling utilization registry (TAPUR) study. JCO Precis Oncol. 2018;2018. [https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.18.00122.](https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.18.00122)
- 50. [Fisher JG, Tait D, Garrett-Mayer E, et al. Cetuximab in patients with breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref50) [cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and ovarian cancer without KRAS,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref50)

[NRAS, or BRAF mutations: results from the targeted agent and pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref50)filing [utilization registry \(TAPUR\) study.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref50) Target Oncol. 2020;15(6):733-741.

- 51. [Trédan O, Wang Q, Pissaloux D, et al. Molecular screening program to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref51) [select molecular-based recommended therapies for metastatic cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref51) [patients: analysis from the Pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref51)fiLER trial. Ann Oncol. 2019;30(5):757- [765.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref51)
- 52. [Sicklick JK, Kato S, Okamura R, et al. Molecular pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref52)filing of cancer [patients enables personalized combination therapy: the I-PREDICT](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref52) study. Nat Med[. 2019;25\(5\):744-750](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref52).
- 53. [Rodon J, Soria JC, Berger R, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref53) profi[ling expands precision cancer medicine: the WINTHER trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref53) Nat Med[. 2019;25\(5\):751-758](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref53).
- 54. [Réda M, Richard C, Bertaut A, et al. Implementation and use of whole](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref54) [exome sequencing for metastatic solid cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref54) EBioMedicine. [2020;51:102624.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref54)
- 55. [Flaherty KT, Gray RJ, Chen AP, et al. Molecular landscape and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref55) [actionable alterations in a genomically guided cancer clinical trial:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref55) [national cancer institute molecular analysis for therapy choice \(NCI-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref55)MATCH). J Clin Oncol[. 2020;38\(33\):3883-3894.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref55)
- 56. [Turner NC, Kingston B, Kilburn LS, et al. Circulating tumour DNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref56) [analysis to direct therapy in advanced breast cancer \(plasmaMATCH\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref56) [a multicentre, multicohort, phase 2a, platform trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref56) Lancet Oncol. [2020;21\(10\):1296-1308.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref56)
- 57. [Pierobon M, Robert NJ, Northfelt DW, et al. Multi-omic molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref57) profiling guide's effi[cacious treatment selection in refractory meta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref57)[static breast cancer: a prospective phase II clinical trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref57) Mol Oncol. [2022;16\(1\):104-115.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref57)
- 58. [Chen AP, Kummar S, Moore N, et al. Molecular pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref58)filing-based [assignment of cancer therapy \(NCI-MPACT\): a randomized multi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref58)center phase II trial. JCO Precis Oncol[. 2021;5:PO.20.00372](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref58).
- 59. [Andre F, Filleron T, Kamal M, et al. Genomics to select treatment for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref59) [patients with metastatic breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref59) Nature. 2022;610(7931):343- [348.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref59)
- 60. [Mateo J, Chakravarty D, Dienstmann R, et al. A framework to rank](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref60) [genomic alterations as targets for cancer precision medicine: the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref60) [ESMO Scale for Clinical Actionability of molecular Targets \(ESCAT\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref60) Ann Oncol[. 2018;29\(9\):1895-1902](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref60).
- 61. [Chakravarty D, Gao J, Phillips SM, et al. OncoKB: a precision oncology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref61) knowledge base. JCO Precis Oncol[. 2017;2017:PO.17.00011](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref61).
- 62. [Li MM, Datto M, Duncavage EJ, et al. Standards and guidelines for the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref62) [interpretation and reporting of sequence variants in cancer: a joint](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref62) [consensus recommendation of the association for molecular pa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref62)[thology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref62) [American Pathologists.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref62) J Mol Diagn. 2017;19(1):4-23.
- 63. Vendramin R, Litchfi[eld K, Swanton C. Cancer evolution: Darwin and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref63) beyond. EMBO J[. 2021;40\(18\):e108389.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref63)
- 64. [Toy W, Shen Y, Won H, et al. ESR1 ligand-binding domain mutations in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref64) [hormone-resistant breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref64) Nat Genet. 2013;45(12):1439-1445.
- 65. [Turner NC, Swift C, Kilburn L, et al. ESR1 Mutations and overall sur](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref65)[vival on fulvestrant versus exemestane in advanced hormone](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref65) [receptor-positive breast cancer: a combined analysis of the phase III](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref65) SoFEA and EFECT trials. Clin Cancer Res[. 2020;26\(19\):5172-5177.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref65)
- 66. [Bidard FC, Hardy-Bessard AC, Dalenc F, et al. Switch to fulvestrant and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref66) [palbociclib versus no switch in advanced breast cancer with rising](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref66) [ESR1 mutation during aromatase inhibitor and palbociclib therapy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref66) [\(PADA-1\): a randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref66) Lancet Oncol[. 2022;23\(11\):1367-1377](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref66).
- 67. [Swanton C, McGranahan N, Starrett GJ, Harris RS. APOBEC enzymes:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref67) [mutagenic fuel for cancer evolution and heterogeneity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref67) Cancer Discov. [2015;5\(7\):704-712](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref67).
- 68. [Bachelot T, Filleron T, Bieche I, et al. Durvalumab compared to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref68) [maintenance chemotherapy in metastatic breast cancer: the ran](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref68)[domized phase II SAFIR02-BREAST IMMUNO trial.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref68) Nat Med. [2021;27\(2\):250-255.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref68)
- 69. [Harsha HC, Pandey A. Phosphoproteomics in cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref69) Mol Oncol. [2010;4\(6\):482-495](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref69).
- 70. André F, O'[Regan R, Ozguroglu M, et al. Everolimus for women with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref70) [trastuzumab-resistant, HER2-positive, advanced breast cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref70)

[\(BOLERO-3\): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref70) trial. Lancet Oncol[. 2014;15\(6\):580-591.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref70)

- 71. [Sinkala E, Sollier-Christen E, Renier C, et al. Pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref71)filing protein expres[sion in circulating tumour cells using micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref71)fluidic western blotting. Nat Commun[. 2017;8:14622](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref71).
- 72. [Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref72) breast tumours. Nature[. 2000;406\(6797\):747-752.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref72)
- 73. [Bareche Y, Venet D, Ignatiadis M, et al. Unravelling triple-negative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref73) [breast cancer molecular heterogeneity using an integrative multio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref73)mic analysis. Ann Oncol[. 2018;29\(4\):895-902.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref73)
- 74. [Lehmann BD, Bauer JA, Chen X, et al. Identi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref74)fication of human triple[negative breast cancer subtypes and preclinical models for selection](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref74) of targeted therapies. J Clin Invest[. 2011;121\(7\):2750-2767](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref74).
- 75. [Prat A, Lluch A, Turnbull AK, et al. A PAM50-based chemoendocrine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref75) [score for hormone receptor-positive breast cancer with an inter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref75)mediate risk of relapse. Clin Cancer Res[. 2017;23\(12\):3035-3044.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref75)
- 76. [Prat A, Chaudhury A, Solovieff N, et al. Correlative biomarker analysis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref76) of intrinsic subtypes and effi[cacy across the MONALEESA phase III](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref76) studies. J Clin Oncol[. 2021;39\(13\):1458-1467.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref76)
- 77. [Navarro-Yepes J, Kettner NM, Rao X, et al. Abemaciclib is effective in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref77) [palbociclib-resistant hormone receptor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref77)-[positive metastatic breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref77) cancers. Cancer Res[. 2023;83\(19\):3264-3283.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref77)
- 78. [Prat A, Brasó-Maristany F, Martínez-Sáez O, et al. Circulating tumor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref78) [DNA reveals complex biological features with clinical relevance in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref78) [metastatic breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref78) Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):1157.
- 79. [Costello JC, Heiser LM, Georgii E, et al. A community effort to assess](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref79) [and improve drug sensitivity prediction algorithms.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref79) Nat Biotechnol. [2014;32\(12\):1202-1212.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref79)
- 80. [Pradat Y, Viot J, Yurchenko AA, et al. Integrative pan-cancer genomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref80) [and transcriptomic analyses of refractory metastatic cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref80) Cancer Discov[. 2023;13\(5\):1116-1143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref80).
- 81. [Paré L, Pascual T, Seguí E, et al. Association between PD1 mRNA and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref81) [response to anti-PD1 monotherapy across multiple cancer types.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref81) Ann Oncol[. 2018;29\(10\):2121-2128.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref81)
- 82. [Grandi FC, Modi H, Kampman L, Corces MR. Chromatin accessibility](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref82) profiling by ATAC-seq. Nat Protoc[. 2022;17\(6\):1518-1552](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref82).
- 83. [Corces MR, Granja JM, Shams S, et al. The chromatin accessibility](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref83) [landscape of primary human cancers.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref83) Science. 2018;362(6413): [eaav1898.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref83)
- 84. [Razavi P, Chang MT, Xu G, et al. The genomic landscape of endocrine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref84)[resistant advanced breast cancers.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref84) Cancer Cell. 2018;34(3):427-438. [e6.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref84)
- 85. [Hanahan D. Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref85) Cancer Discov. [2022;12\(1\):31-46.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref85)
- 86. [Debien V, De Caluwé A, Wang X, et al. Immunotherapy in breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref86) [cancer: an overview of current strategies and perspectives.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref86) NPJ [Breast Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref86). 2023;9(1):7.
- 87. [Cortes J, Rugo HS, Cescon DW, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref87)[therapy in advanced triple-negative breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref87) N Engl J Med. [2022;387\(3\):217-226.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref87)
- 88. [Gaynor N, Crown J, Collins DM. Immune checkpoint inhibitors: key](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref88) [trials and an emerging role in breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref88) Semin Cancer Biol. [2022;79:44-57](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref88).
- 89. [Xu Y, Su GH, Ma D, Xiao Y, Shao ZM, Jiang YZ. Technological advances](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref89) [in cancer immunity: from immunogenomics to single-cell analysis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref89) and artificial intelligence. [Signal Transduct Target Ther](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref89). 2021;6(1): [312.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref89)
- 90. [Wang XQ, Danenberg E, Huang CS, et al. Spatial predictors of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/optZLM1h8WxmG) [immunotherapy response in triple-negative breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/optZLM1h8WxmG) Nature. [2023;621:868-876](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/optZLM1h8WxmG).
- 91. [Ahmed S, Mohamed HT, El-Husseiny N, et al. IL-8 secreted by tumor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref90) [associated macrophages contribute to lapatinib resistance in HER2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref90) [positive locally advanced breast cancer via activation of Src/STAT3/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref90) ERK1/2-mediated EGFR signaling. [Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref90). [2021;1868\(6\):118995](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref90).
- 92. [Hu X, Liu Y, Zhang X, et al. The anti-B7-H4 checkpoint synergizes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref91) [trastuzumab treatment to promote phagocytosis and eradicate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref91) breast cancer. Neoplasia[. 2020;22\(11\):539-553.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref91)
- 93. [Duan H, Cheng T, Cheng H. Spatially resolved transcriptomics: ad](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref92)vances and applications. [Blood science \(Baltimore, Md\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref92). 2023;5(1):1- [14.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref92)
- 94. [van Renterghem AWJ, van de Haar J, Voest EE. Functional precision](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref93) [oncology using patient-derived assays: bridging genotype and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref93) phenotype. Nat Rev Clin Oncol[. 2023;20\(5\):305-317](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref93).
- 95. [Kastner C, Hendricks A, Deinlein H, et al. Organoid models for cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref94) [research](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref94)-[from bed to bench side and back.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref94) Cancers (Basel). [2021;13\(19\):4812.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref94)
- 96. [Abdolahi S, Ghazvinian Z, Muhammadnejad S, Saleh M, Asadzadeh](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref95) [Aghdaei H, Baghaei K. Patient-derived xenograft \(PDX\) models, ap](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref95)[plications and challenges in cancer research.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref95) J Transl Med. [2022;20\(1\):206.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref95)
- 97. [Echle A, Ghaffari Laleh N, Quirke P, et al. Arti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref96)ficial intelligence for [detection of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer-a multi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref96)[centric analysis of a pre-screening tool for clinical application.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref96) ESMO Open[. 2022;7\(2\):100400](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref96).
- 98. [Garberis IJ, Gaury V, Aubert V, et al. 147P Blind validation of an AI](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref97)[based tool for predicting distant relapse from breast cancer HES](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref97) stained slides. Ann Oncol[. 2022;33:S607.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref97)
- 99. Garberis I, Gaury V, Saillard C, et al. Deep learning allows assessment of risk of metastatic relapse from invasive breast cancer histological slides. bioRxiv. 2022;2022:11.28.518158. Available at [http://biorxiv.](http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2022/12/05/2022.11.28.518158.abstract) [org/content/early/2022/12/05/2022.11.28.518158.abstract](http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2022/12/05/2022.11.28.518158.abstract). Accessed January 25, 2024.
- 100. [Garberis IJ, Saillard C, Drubay D, et al. 1124O Prediction of distant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref99) [relapse in patients with invasive breast cancer from deep learning](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref99) [models applied to digital pathology slides.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref99) Ann Oncol. 2021;32:S921.
- 101. [Rahnenführer J, De Bin R, Benner A, et al. Statistical analysis of high](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref100)[dimensional biomedical data: a gentle introduction to analytical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref100) [goals, common approaches and challenges.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref100) BMC Med. 2023;21(1):182.
- 102. [Benkirane H, Pradat Y, Michiels S, Cournède PH. CustOmics: a ver](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref101)[satile deep-learning based strategy for multi-omics integration.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref101) PLoS Comput Biol[. 2023;19\(3\):e1010921](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2059-7029(24)00015-2/sref101).