

Multi-Modal SARS-CoV-2 Proteins Interactomics Unveil Spatial Patterns of Invasion Featuring 151 Contacts with Human Proteins Essential for Infection

Guillaume Dugied, Estelle Mn Laurent, Mikaël Attia, Jean-Pascal Gimeno, Kamel Bachiri, Payman Samavarchi-Tehrani, Flora Donati, Yannis Rahou, Sandie Munier, Faustine Amara, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Guillaume Dugied, Estelle Mn Laurent, Mikaël Attia, Jean-Pascal Gimeno, Kamel Bachiri, et al.. Multi-Modal SARS-CoV-2 Proteins Interactomics Unveil Spatial Patterns of Invasion Featuring 151 Contacts with Human Proteins Essential for Infection. 2023. inserm-04447618

HAL Id: inserm-04447618 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04447618

Preprint submitted on 8 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Multi-modal SARS-CoV-2 proteins interactomics unveil spatial patterns of

- 2 invasion featuring 151 contacts with human proteins essential for infection
- 3
- 4 Guillaume Dugied^{1,#,*}, Estelle MN Laurent^{2,*}, Mikaël Attia^{1,#,*}, Jean-Pascal Gimeno², Kamel Bachiri²,
- 5 Payman Samavarchi-Tehrani³, Flora Donati⁴, Yannis Rahou^{1,4}, Sandie Munier[,] Faustine Amara¹,
 6 Mélanie Dos Santos¹, Nicolas Soler⁵, Stevenn Volant⁶, Nathalia Pietrosemoli⁶, Anne-Claude Gingras³.
- 7 Georgios A. Pavlopoulos⁷, Sylvie van der Werf^{1,4}, Pascal Falter-Braun^{8,9}, Patrick Aloy^{5,10}, Yves
- 8 Jacob¹, Anastassia Komarova^{1#}, Yorgos Sofianatos⁷§[™], Caroline Demeret^{1#}§[™], Etienne Coyaud²§[™].
- 9 1 Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, UMR 3569, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Molecular Genetics of 10 RNA Viruses, 28 rue du Docteur Roux, F-75015 Paris, France
- 2 Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U1192 Protéomique Réponse Inflammatoire Spectrométrie de Masse PRISM, F-59000
 Lille, France
- 13 3 Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute at Mount Sinai Hospital, Sinai Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- 4 Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, National Reference Center for respiratory viruses, 28 rue du Docteur Roux, F 75015 Paris, France
- 5 Institute for Research in Biomedicine (IRB Barcelona), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Baldiri Reixac
 10 -12,08020 Barcelona, Spain.
- 18 6 Bioinformatics and Biostatistics Hub, Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, F-75015 Paris, France
- 19 7 Institute for Fundamental Biomedical Research, BSRC "Alexander Fleming", 34 Fleming Street, 16672, Vari, Greece
- 8 Institute of Network Biology (INET), Molecular Targets and Therapeutics Center (MTTC), Helmholtz Center Munich,
 German Research Center for Environmental Health, Munich-Neuherberg, Germany
- 9 Microbe-Host Interactions, Faculty of Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU) München, Planegg-Martinsried,
 Germany.
- 24 10 Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Pg. Lluís Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain.
- 25 * contributed equally to this work
- 26 §co-last authors
- [#]present address: : Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Interactomics, RNA and Immunity, 28 rue du Docteur Roux, F 75015 Paris, France.

30 Summary

From a comprehensive proximity-dependent biotinylation (BioID)-based proximal SARS-CoV-2 interactome, we 31 generated a 3D map positioning both SARS-CoV-2 and host proteins within cell volumes. Then, a subset of the 32 host proteome proximal to the viral polypeptides was probed for direct contacts by a semi-quantitative split-33 nanoluciferase assay. We thus provide an intensity map of 414 direct virus-host protein contacts, of which 151 34 35 involved host proteins essential for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Among the 70 virus-host complexes modeled by 36 AlphaFold2, 10 scored positive, providing as many candidate interfaces relevant for structure-driven screening 37 of PPIs-disrupting compounds. Based on our data, we selected and showed that USP13 inhibition potently 38 inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication. This innovative and multi-pronged pipeline provides a resource of unprecedented depth. Its capacity in identifying virus-host PPIs highly relevant for the screening of antiviral 39 40 compounds and its versatility for any emerging human pathogens could make this pipeline a prime tool for viral 41 preparedness.

Keywords: Protein-Protein Interactions, SARS-CoV-2, Systems Biology, BioID, split-nanoluciferase, Alphafold2,
3D interactive topology.

44

45 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic revealed the need to improve our reactivity in developing effective countermeasures 46 when facing emerging pathogens. Controlling the spread of a pathogen in an immunologically naive population 47 requires prevention, containment, as well as the timely implementation of therapeutics to limit transmission and 48 severe health effects. In addition, after over three years, the COVID-19 pandemic persists as a serious public 49 50 health concern, especially in countries with low vaccination rates. Considering the series of COVID-19 waves due to the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants, the control of COVID-19 disease remains challenging. Further 51 52 characterization of SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis at a molecular level is still needed to combat viral mechanisms through antiviral therapeutics. Identifying host proteins to target is necessary for long-term COVID-19 53 management due to their lower mutation rate compared to the viral ones. Therefore, determining virus-host 54 55 protein interfaces and developing targeted therapies to disrupt their contact appears promising for host-targeted 56 therapeutics.

57 Here, we describe an interactomics pipeline that we applied to SARS-CoV-2 and that: (i) can be deployed as 58 soon as the genome of any pathogen is sequenced; (ii) identifies host-pathogen promiscuity within cellular

2

59 territories; (iii) identifies direct pathogen/host contacts possibly essential for infection; and (iv), provide protein-60 protein interaction (PPI) interfaces of strong therapeutic interest.

SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense RNA virus replicating in the cytoplasm and strongly depending on intracellular membranous organelles for its productive life cycle. Nine of the twenty-nine viral proteins are localized in intracellular organelles and exhibit transmembrane domains^{1,2}. Thus, membranes- and organelles-adapted biochemical protocols are best suited to study SARS-CoV-2 proteins interactions within the host cell.

Proteomic studies relying on classical affinity purification methods followed by mass spectrometry (AP-MS)-65 66 based identification of protein complexes have been performed to define the interplay of SARS-CoV-2 proteins 67 with host cell components³⁻⁶. One major limitation of AP-MS is the requirement of maintaining protein-protein 68 interactions (PPIs) throughout the purification process, which necessitates the use of a gentle lysis buffer to 69 solubilize physically associated proteins without disrupting PPIs, hence precluding the detection of PPIs within poorly soluble compartments. The proximity-dependent biotinylation technique (BioID)⁷ allows the identification 70 71 of proximal PPIs of a given BirA_{R118G}(BirA*)-tagged protein in living cells through their covalent biotin labeling, 72 eliminating the requirement for the preservation of PPIs upon verification process. Therefore, proximal partners of the BirA*-tagged bait proteins are captured regardless of their solubility and PPI affinity. Since SARS-CoV-2 73 74 heavily relies on membranous organelle-associated processes, proximal interactomics pipelines, such as BioID, are best suited to explore the protein environment in which SARS-CoV-2 polypeptides operate to hijack host 75 76 cells². We used BioID-based proximal interactomic approaches to delineate the interplay of SARS-CoV-2/human proteins (Fig.S1) and better understand the invasion features of SARS-CoV-2 within cells. This dataset allowed 77 us to construct a 3D map of the approximate relative localizations of virus and host proteins within the cell volume 78 79 (Fig.S1). Featuring a user-friendly interface, this 3D map is an invaluable tool for unraveling the SARS-CoV-2mediated hijacking of specific regions of the host proteome. 80

81 Orthogonal approaches detecting binary PPIs provide insight on the physical contacts between viral and host 82 proteins, which are underlying virus-driven hijacking of the host proteome. Therefore, we assessed direct virushost protein contacts by implementing a split-NanoLuciferase protein complementation assay performed in 83 84 mammalian cells (mN2H)⁸. PPI scoring based on interaction-mediated luciferase signals gave rise to interaction 85 maps highlighting the prevailing virus-host contacts among proximal host proteins (Fig.S1). The systematic exploration of direct virus-host contacts among virus-proximal host proteins revealed 414 direct interactions 86 between 26 viral and 118 host proteins. Intriguingly, 41 of these host proteins (35%) have been reported as 87 critical for SARS-CoV-2 infection in 3+ CRISPR-Cas9 screens, corroborating the central role of direct virus 88 interactors for SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication^{9,10}. Subjecting several virus-host complexes to in silico 89

90 structure prediction using AlphaFold2 Multimer¹¹ or structure-based homology (**Fig.S1**), we obtained multiple 91 high confidence structures. Moreover, using an in-house assay for sensitive and quantitative detection of SARS-92 CoV-2 infection in cell lines, we confirmed the potential of USP13 chemical inhibition to combat SARS-CoV-2 93 replication (**Fig.S1**), thus providing a strong rationale for a structure-directed search of USP13-NSP13 inhibitors.

Overall, our dataset templates the first interactive 3D modeling of the viral-host proteomes interface in the cell volume, providing an unparalleled picture of the SARS-CoV-2 invasion pattern. Our pipeline culminates in identifying high-confidence virus-host protein interfaces, paving the way for identifying PPI-disrupting compounds with high therapeutic potential. Significantly, being applicable to any virus or intracellular pathogen as soon as the genome is sequenced, this pipeline could represent a major asset for responding rapidly to emerging pathogens and improving preparedness efficiency.

100

101 Results

102 The landscape of SARS-CoV-2 proteins' cellular environment and physical interactions cores

We used BioID to capture the neighbors of 27 SARS-CoV-2 polypeptides: (i) 15 of the 16 non-structural proteins 103 that are produced in infected cells from the proteolytic cleavage of the Orf1a/b polyproteins. NSP11 was excluded 104 due to its small size (12 AAs); (ii) the four structural proteins: Spike (S) glycoprotein, Envelope (E) protein, 105 106 Membrane (M) glycoprotein, and Nucleocapsid (N) protein; (iii) eight accessory proteins: Orf3a, Orf3d, Orf6, Orf7a, Orf7b, Orf8, Orf9b, Orf9c (Fig.S1 and supp table 1). Following biochemical assays showing that the 107 BirA*-viral bait proteins phenocopy their untagged counterparts in impairing the innate immune responses 108 (Fig.S2-S4), we proceeded to the identification of proximal host factors of the 27 SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Our 109 110 experiments were performed either in basal conditions (n=3 with N- and C-ter BirA* tag) or following poly(I:C) induction (n=3) to mimic a cellular antiviral response and subsequent host cell proteome remodeling. A total of 111 243 SARS-CoV-2 exploratory BioID samples were generated, and the BioID background was determined with 112 30 negative control runs performed using cells expressing BirA* alone, covering all the experimental settings. 113 High confidence interactors (p-values <0.01) were defined based on fold change (FC) over background and 114 detection in three experimental replicates. Aggregating all identified neighbors across the different replicates and 115 conditions, we obtained 10,125 high confidence proximal interactions between the 27 SARS-CoV-2 proteins and 116 2,593 host partners. 117

118 To benchmark our proximal interactomics dataset, we compared our results to all previously reported SARS-

119 CoV-2 interactions data in the BioGRID database (https://thebiogrid.org/). We observed a high overlap with the proximal interactomic datasets even though the experimental conditions vary substantially with regard to the 120 used systems (BioID, TurboID and BioID2), cell lines (HEK293 and A549), purification protocols and analytical 121 tools. In total, 4,738/10,125 (47%) of our PPIs have been identified in at least one other proximal interactomic 122 study. Conversely, the percentages of the reported proximal interactions that are present in our dataset are 123 81.4% (2903/3565; BioID in HEK293 address only part of the SARS CoVo2 proteome¹²), 38.5% (3003/7810; 124 TurboID in A549¹³) and 24.7% (172/695 BioID2 in A549¹⁴). In addition, 1089 of our 10.125 proximal PPIs were 125 recovered in the body of SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins interactions studies obtained by different methods, i.e. AP-126 MS, AP-WB, Y2H, crystallography (supp table 2). Combining our SARS-CoV-2 interactome datasets with the 127 existing ones, two validated core datasets can be distinguished (supp table 3): (i) a proximal core, supported 128 by other proximity-dependent biotin labeling studies, encompassing 4696 proximal interactions between 27 viral 129 bait proteins and 1,361 host proteins; and (ii), a physical core, *i.e.* the interactions confirmed by 1+ other method 130 detecting proteins complexes, including direct and indirect PPIs. The physical core encompasses 1088 131 interactions between 659 host proteins and 27 viral bait proteins (Fig.1A). Of these physical core interactions. 132 330 were identified only in our proximal interactome, highlighting the benefit of this SARS-CoV-2-host map. 133

134 Functional novelties contributed by our SARS-CoV-2-host proximal interactomics

Gene Ontology (GO) and pathways enrichment analyses of the proximal interactome of each viral bait unravel 135 their cell space and point to targeted processes or pathways (supp table 2, supp table 4, Fig.S5). It confirms, 136 e.q. the prominent targeting of the vesicular compartments reported in previous interactomics studies and 137 expected given the reliance of SARS-CoV-2 replication and transcription on ER-derived double-membrane 138 vesicle formation. We focused on the novelties provided by our dataset from the GO enrichment analysis. We 139 thus compared the GO terms associated with Biological Processes (BP), Cellular Components (CC) and 140 Pathways (KEGG) emerging specifically in our dataset against the combination of GO terms from all the other 141 interactomic datasets (supp table 5). It resulted in the identification of 33 novel pathways overrepresented in 142 the vicinity of viral polypeptides, a number of which relate to reported SARS-CoV-2 infection traits, such as cilium 143 assembly¹⁵, RNA-related processes and various lipids biosynthesis pathways¹⁶ (supp table 5). These data thus 144 145 provide a shortlist of novel PPIs and host factors assigned to pathways known to be altered upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. 146

To further characterize the links between functionally relevant host proteins within the proximal landscape of viral polypeptides, we intersected our BioID dataset with SARS-CoV-2-related CRISPR-Cas9 studies available at BioGRID ORCS <u>https://orcs.thebiogrid.org/</u>. It appears that 187 host factors required for SARS-CoV-2-induced

5

150 cytotoxicity in ≥3 CRISPR-Cas9 screens are present in our BioID dataset, connected to 27 viral bait proteins through 694 proximal interactions (supp table 2). The distribution of interactions involving essential host factors 151 is as follows: (i) 105 PPIs are laying both in the physical and proximal cores connecting 52 essential host factors 152 to 18 baits (Fig. 1B). Of note, this set of PPIs represent super-high confidence PPIs, *i.e.* detected 3+ times by 153 at least two orthogonal methods; (ii) 41 PPIs are in the physical core only and connect 16 essential host factors 154 to 16 viral proteins (Fig.1C); (iii) 297 PPIs are in the proximal core only, connecting 91 essential host factors 155 with to 20 viral proteins (Fig.1D); and (iv), 261 PPIs were uniquely detected in our interactome, connecting 129 156 essential host factors to 27 viral proteins (Fig.1E). Note that among the 187 essential host factors, several are 157 involved in both the physical, the proximal cores through different PPIs they engage with viral proteins. 158

159 Insights in the SARS-CoV-2 proteome hijacking upon anti-viral cellular response

160 Antiviral cellular response induced by the poly(I:C) treatment expectedly remodeled the proximal landscape of viral proteins with ~10% to ~95% of the interactors being impacted, depending on the viral protein (supp table 161 6). Three distinct remodeling patterns could be distinguished in response to infection-mimicking treatment, 162 excluding the viral proteins not reacting (less than 20% of differential hits) or those with less than 30 hits total: 163 (i) net enrichment for S, N, and NSP2; (ii) net depletion for NSP10, Orf3a, Orf6, NSP6, NSP7, Orf9b, Orf3d, E 164 and M; and (iii), exchange for NSP4, NSP12 and Orf9c (Fig. 2A and supp table 6). S and N proteins enter the 165 host cells with the incoming viral particles, and both gained proximal proteins in an antiviral cellular state. S 166 mostly gained ER proteins (93/102), which is a primary target of the virus. The N neighborhood gained the RISC-167 loading complex components (DICER1, TARBP2, PRKRA), as well as proteins involved in the interferon 168 signaling pathway (IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, EIF2AK2 and TRIM26). Additional novel links between viral factors and 169 major antiviral response pathway components emerged. We detected the recruitment of ISG20 and TOMM70 170 into close proximity of Orf9b, which is in accordance with its role in the inhibition of IFN-I response to infection¹⁷. 171 Additionally, we detected ZAP (ZC3HAV1) and TARB2 near N; HERC5 and STAT1 near NSP2; and PARP9 172 near NSP16 (supp table 6). 173

174 A comprehensive three-dimensional SARS-CoV-2 interactome

Since BioID bait proteins label proximal partners within a spherical volume with a ~10-20 nm radius¹⁸, proximal interactomics data capture information about the vicinity of the host factor in the physical space of living cells. Based on these properties, we developed a methodology to approximate the relative positions of viral and host proteins inside a theoretical cellular space (see Methods). By modeling the pairwise BioID proximal interactions into a weighted graph structure and then applying a force-directed graph layout algorithm in three dimensions,

180 we are able to arrive at a set of 3D coordinates for the graph's vertices, representing the positions of viral and host proteins. We generally consider these positions as indicative of the centers of extended cellular regions 181 where molecules of each protein reside. The set of coordinates allows us to infer relative distances between 182 nodes within our abstract 3D space, compare proximities and observe general regions of viral interaction. While 183 difficult to establish a precise, geometric correspondence between our generated 3D volume and the cell's 184 physical volume due to experimental as well as graph modeling limitations (such as in the case of multiple protein 185 localizations which cannot be accurately captured by a single node location), we consider our approximation of 186 the spatial organization of viral and host proteins as forming a "sketch" of their interplay inside the actual physical 187 cell volume. 188

189

190 The global shape of the 3D virus-host network reveals a cluster of 13 viral proteins (Orf3a, Orf3d, M, S, E, NSP3, NSP4, NSP6, Orf8, Orf7a, Orf7b, Orf6 and Orf9c) gathered with human proteins, surrounded by 14 viral proteins 191 dradded out by different sets of partners (Fig.2B). From the density of nodes in the 3D map, we thus inferred 192 that removing the host proteins connected to eight or more viral proteins would avoid potential compartment-193 dependent proximal background. The overall density of the host proteins in the 3D space shows a sharp 194 decrease with radial distance from the cluster's centroid (Fig.S6). When restricting to proteins with a maximum 195 of seven viral interactions, we observe a density peak and a smooth decrease with radial distance from the 196 centroid (Fig.S6). We use the radius of the density inflection point to define a threshold for the cluster size or 197 dense core. This enables us to identify a list of 790 host factors residing within the dense core boundary, which 198 are in their vast majority assigned to membrane categories (325 to the ER membrane, 165 to the vesicle 199 membrane, 109 to the Goldi membrane and 109 to the plasma membrane region) (supp table 7). These 200 membrane-rich organelles are the known primary location of nine viral proteins (Orf3a, M, S, E, NSP3, NSP4, 201 NSP6, Orf7a and Orf7b) ^{1,2}, all of which are included in this core. Expectedly, when removing host proteins with 202 degree 8 or higher, the dense core was relaxed while keeping membrane-bound host proximal partners of a 203 specific set of viral proteins (Fig.2B). Hence, this operation facilitates the extraction of discrete proximity-based 204 205 hypotheses for each viral protein. The resulting 3D virus-host interaction map is available in an interactive web interface (temporarily accessible at http://62.217.122.54/, to be deployed at www.sars-cov-2-interactome.org 206 upon publication) to visualize and explore the SARS-CoV-2-host proteins spatial organization. The regions of 207 pathways-filtered subnetworks can also be captured, revealing their positioning relative to the SARS-CoV-2 208 proteins, thus highlighting coordination between viral proteins to exploit host cells (Fig. 2C). This is well 209 210 exemplified for protein translation, spatially concentrated near NSP1, NPS7, NSP10 and Orf8, with the translation initiation complex restricted to the vicinity of NSP1, and ribosomal subunits close to Orf8, thereby 211

7

distinguishing discrete virus-host interplays regulating translation. In addition, SNARE proteins involved in membrane fusion are specifically near the cluster of viral membrane factors. Other processes are distributed throughout the virus-host proximal network of interactions, such as the cell junction or viral processes.

Assembling data from previous studies in the literature and combining it with our BioID dataset enabled us to get 215 216 a full picture of the SARS-CoV-2-host interplay identified to date by utilizing the same modeling and 3D visualization approach. The overall network shape determined by our dataset is preserved, indicating that the 217 218 graph layout approach provides consistent viral-host neighborhoods from all SARS-CoV-2 interactomics studies. Each released interactome is separately accessible in this global SARS-CoV-2-host 3D map. The expected 219 underrepresentation of membrane-associated PPIs obtained from the AP-MS dataset compared to proximal 220 interactomes emerges in the visualization ("Global comparative view" tab at http://62.217.122.54). At a first 221 glance, the membrane-rich central region of the network is equally enriched in CRISPR-Cas9 hits than regions 222 enriched in soluble factors, stressing the importance of those host proteins for SARS-CoV-2 infection. GO-term 223 224 based filtering of SARS-CoV-2 targeted host factors provides a rather comprehensive picture of the spatial organization of the SARS-CoV-2 proteins in relation to specific parts of the cellular machinery, thus strongly 225 226 increasing the interpretability of the identified SARS-CoV-2 host interactions. The 3D representation of SARS-CoV-2-host proximal interactions is a pioneering step forward toward a more realistic picture of SARS-CoV-2 227 invasion patterns in cells, and PPIs network representation in general. 228

229 Exploring physical contacts of the viral proteins in the proximity interactome dataset

Combining orthogonal PPI detection methods is a well-established option to increase the accuracy of large-scale 230 PPIs maps. We complemented our BioID proximal interactomics dataset with a split-nanoluciferase assay, which 231 senses binary PPIs by protein-fragment complementation of the nanoluciferase enzyme in human cells (mN2H). 232 233 and offers excellent detection performance⁸. PPIs screens by mN2H are supervised, systematic (matrix-based) 234 and semi-guantitative (luciferase-based intensity map interactions), providing a strong orthogonality to the BioID 235 (see methods). We sampled two sets of factors from our BioID dataset for mN2H-based PPIs profiling with the SARS-CoV-2 proteins (Fig. 3A): (i) 144 host factors highly enriched with less than 4 viral bait proteins (based 236 on log2 fold change over background and q-value significance); and (ii) 92 host factors scoring positive in 3+ 237 CRISPR-Cas9 screens. The selected host factors were tested against all 27 viral proteins by mN2H, resulting in 238 comparative virus-host interaction profiles among the selected proximal factors. The full mN2H matrix 239 interrogated about 6372 viral-host protein pairs for direct interaction (supp tables 8 and 9), of which 587 240 correspond to proximal protein pairs as identified by BioID (supp table 9). 241

Among the tested viral-host protein pairs, regardless of their status in BioID, 6% (414/6372) showed direct interactions, involving 118 host proteins. In the protein pairs detected by BioID 21% (123/587) were direct, indicating a 3.5-fold enrichment (**supp table 9**). The mN2H SARS-CoV-2-host contactome determined in this study is provided in **Fig.3B**. It significantly extends our recently released SARS-CoV-2-human contactomes^{9,10}, offering a wealth of novel SARS-CoV-2-host contacts. Significantly, the mN2H-based systematic PPIs profiling uniquely identified 151 direct interactions involving 41 host factors essential for SARS-CoV-2 lethality in 3+ CRISPR-Cas9 screens, of high therapeutic interest.

Signal intensities detected in split-nanoluciferase assays correlate with the interaction strength¹⁹, allowing 249 qualification of interactions according to their distance from the positive PPIs threshold. From the comparative 250 intensity map, distinct sets of interactions easily emerged, such as NSP1 and NSP7 proteins targeting three 251 subunits of the EIF3 translation complex (EIF3L, EIF3G, EIF3H), or the binding of mitochondrial factors by Orf9b 252 (Fig.4A). Other host proteins critical for infection (based on CRISPR hits) are primarily contacted by a single 253 254 viral protein (supp table 9, Fig.4A). The intensity map of SARS-CoV-2-host interactions affords an advanced level of precision of the SARS-CoV-2-host interplay with a subset of the human proteome, including proteins 255 essential for virus-induced cvtotoxicity. 256

Hierarchical clustering of mN2H PPIs profiles of SARS-CoV-2 proteins essentially reflects the 3D map (Fig.4B), 257 suggesting that direct contacts shape the virus-host spatial organization. It also reveals a specialized 258 manipulation of the host machinery by neighboring viral proteins in the 3D map. PPI profile-based clustering 259 separates viral proteins into two main groups: the first one consists of most of the non-structural proteins that 260 261 each exhibit few contacts with host proteins, while the second cluster consists of membrane-associated viral proteins that engage in the majority of direct interactions with the host. The most striking host cluster corresponds 262 to proteins involved in ER organization, which is co-opted by all membrane-associated viral factors (Fig.4B). 263 Other host clusters appear specific to a given viral protein, such as proteins involved in translation initiation (4 264 265 members of the EIF family) or in phosphatidylinositol processes (FLII and WIPI1) that are especially associated with NSP1. NSP3 clusters with proteins involved in negative regulation of proteins' metabolic process (SENP1, 266 267 RTN4, FXR1 and FXR2). Orf3d targeted proteins involved in intramembrane lipid transporter activity (ATP9A, PLSCR1), while Orf9b binds to many mitochondrial proteins (BCL2L13, MFF, MRPL34, NDUFB8 and 268 TMEM242). 269

270 Intraviral interactions profiling

271 In the course of the virus life cycle, viral proteins cooperate to orchestrate the reprogramming of the cell

9

272 machinery. To provide a picture of viral proteins cooperation and expand on previous yeast two-hybrid based datasets^{9,10}, we explored the intrinsic capacities of the SARS-CoV-2 proteins to interact with each other using 273 mN2H-based PPI profiling (supp table 10, Fig.4C). A number of viral heterodimers were detected, including the 274 well-characterized NSP16-NSP10^{20,21}. N-NSP3²². Of note, no binary interactions between the core replicase 275 complex proteins (NSP7-NSP8-NSP12) were detected, possibly due to inappropriate the conformation of the 276 proteins when tested in a pairwise manner, as these proteins are part of a more complex structure needing all 277 three proteins to achieve the hexameric architecture of this complex²³. A hub of intra-viral PPIs emerges within 278 the set of organelles-associated viral factors lying close together in the 3D network and the hierarchical clusters 279 (NSP3, NSP4 and NSP6, E, S, M, Orf7a and Orf7b) (Fig.4D). Thus, direct intra-virus interactions are likely 280 building cooperative anchorages within these cellular regions upon infection. The intraviral PPI data thus helps 281 to refine the SARS-CoV-2-host interactome. Our SARS-CoV-2-host interactions resource as such provides multi-282 level insights into the SARS-CoV-2 cellular invasion pattern, both though the spatial positioning of viral proteins 283 relative to host proteome and the direct virus-host and virus-virus contacts underlying such organization during 284 285 the course of the virus life cycle.

286 **Predictive value of the BiolD-based 3D interaction map**

When plugged into the BioID data-driven 3D map, the mN2H positive host proteins were closely confined around 287 their viral partners (despite the fact that they were not used for the 3D layout modeling) (Fig.5A). We examined 288 the distances between the viral proteins and host factors based on four categories: (i) non-interacting, non-289 proximal proteins (PPIs not detected by either method); (ii) proximal proteins (PPIs detected by BioID only) (iii) 290 direct-contact proteins within the BioID dataset (PPIs detected by both BioID and mN2H) (iv) de-novo identified 291 direct-contact proteins (PPIs detected by mN2H only). We report that directly interacting proteins detected by 292 mN2H and identified within the 6372 viral-host protein pairs tested in mN2H were placed at shorter distances on 293 average than non-interacting proteins (based on mN2H negative signal). This remained true when restricting to 294 the subset of proteins detected by BioID, as well as not detected by BioID: mN2H-positive interactions showed 295 an average distance lower than mN2H-negative ones in both cases (Fig.5B, Fig.S6E-F). While the mN2H-tested 296 297 matrix showed an average viral-host protein distance of 0.58 in 3D model coordinates, pairs identified by mN2H only, BioID only and mN2H & BioID were at average distances of 0.45, 0.29 and 0.25, respectively. These results 298 support the predictive power of the 3D network layout in foretelling volumes enriched in direct PPIs. 299

300 Identifying the interaction interface of host-virus complexes provides an important asset to developing 301 rationalized PPIs-disrupting compounds. We assessed the power of our mN2H matrix of direct interactions to 302 provide virus-host interaction interfaces by *in silico* prediction of the structure of virus-host complexes using AlphaFold-Multimer¹¹. On a selection of 70 virus-host PPIs scoring positive by mN2H and using pDockQ scoring^{24,25}, 10/70 mN2H-positive pairs scored positive (pDockQ >0.23) (**supp table 11**), providing as many high-confidence interfaces (**Fig. S7**) which can serve as a basis for the development of PPI targeting compounds.

306 Significance of the SARS-CoV-2-host contacts to lead to the identification of therapeutic targets

We also applied a search for structural homology between virus-host binary interacting pairs (i.e. pairs that scored positive in mN2H) and human complexes with known structures. The previously reported and found in this study interaction between NSP13 and the human deubiquitinase USP13²⁶, could be derived by homologybased structural modeling with the human UBP14/PRS7 complex (**Fig.5C**). To estimate the potential of targeting NSP13/USP13 with PPIs-disruptive compounds, the involvement of USP13 in SARS-CoV-2 infection has to be demonstrated, given it has not been detected in CRISPR screens.

To address this and meet the need for high-throughput assays that allow screening for compounds with antiviral 313 activity. we developed a time- and cost-effective quantitative readout of SARS-CoV-2-infection in cell lines, which 314 relies on the complementation of the split-nanoluciferase enzyme upon fusion between infected cells, a hallmark 315 of SARS-CoV-2-induced cytopathogenic effect²⁷⁻²⁹. The assay relies on the auto-assembly of two peptides of 316 the nanoluciferase enzyme (a large N-terminal fragment NanoLg and a small C terminal peptide NanoSm, see 317 method), Briefly, the assay is based on infection by SARS-CoV-2 of a co-culture of two SARS-CoV-2-sensitive 318 cell lines, each stably expressing one the complementary fragment (VeroE6-NanoLg or VeroE6-NanoSm, 319 respectively). Infection by SARS-CoV-2 induces the formation of syncytia, where complementary nanoluciferase 320 fragments assemble to form an active enzyme (Fig.5D). A robust and dose-dependent nanoLuciferase signal 321 was detected upon infection with serial dilutions of the strain Wuhan of SARS-CoV-2 (hCoV-19/France/GES-322 1973/2020. EPI ISL 414631) of the co-culture, but not in separated monoculture of each cell line, attesting the 323 324 performance of this assay (Fig.S8). Using this fusion-induced nanoluciferase complementation assay (Nano-Fuse) in VeroE6 cells, we tested the effect of the spautin-1, a previously reported USP13 inhibitor²⁶. We 325 326 observed a dose-dependent decrease of SARS-CoV-2 infection upon spautin-1 treatment (Fig. 5E). The widely used protease inhibitor GC-376, assessed in the same assay had a 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) of 2.3 327 μ M, in accordance with previous reports³⁰ which ascertains that the nanoluciferase complementation assay 328 properly reflects an active SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig.5E). Spautin-1 inhibited SARS-CoV-2 infection more 329 efficiently than GC376, with a calculated IC50 of ~0.8 µM, while no toxicity of the compound was observed (Fig. 330 S8). The dose-response profile suggests that USP13 is important but non-essential for SARS-CoV-2 replication 331 since the inhibition plateaued at 80%, which aligns with its lack of detection in the CRISPR- screens. 332 Nevertheless, our data confirmed the involvement of USP13 in infection by SARS-CoV-2, thus deserving further 333

screening of PPI disruptive compounds. We have also developed a nano-luciferase complementation assay to
 detect SARS-CoV-2, whose sensitivity and simplicity enable high-throughput screening of SARS-CoV-2
 interfering compounds.

337 Discussion

The wealth of SARS-CoV-2 interactomics data sketches the complexity of any virus-host interplay. Our data 338 improves the current knowledge of cell hijacking by SARS-CoV-2 first by providing a high coverage of the virus-339 host landscape, merging data from 27 SARS-CoV-2 polypeptides in basal and infection-mimicking conditions. 340 Combined with the other SARS-CoV-2 interactomes, our dataset significantly increases the number of SARS-341 342 CoV-2-host links validated by \geq 2 orthogonal methods (physical core of virus-host PPI), while overlap with only 343 proximity-dependent interactomes defines a validated proximal core. Our study also identifies 5,114 novel high-344 confidence proximal interactions. From our BioID dataset, we generated an innovative representation, allowing visualization of the relative spatial distribution of virus and host proteins. BioID identifies proteins within a 10-20 345 nm spherical radius around a bait protein, which can be leveraged to position baits and interactors relative to 346 each other. The occupation volumes near viral baits can thus be depicted, providing a geometric map of SARS-347 CoV-2 proteins in the cell. It represents a conceptual advance towards a more realistic description of the virus 348 invasion pattern within the cell's volume and possible strategies to counteract it. Combining the results of all 349 SARS-CoV-2-host interactomes generated to date paves the way for the perturbation of regions emerging as 350 central in cell hijacking, thus offering an avenue for advanced therapeutic paths. In the longer term, the 351 customizable online dashboard will achieve a basal 3D cellular repository obtained by incrementing all human 352 353 proximal interactomic studies to this initial framework, expanding the scope and reach of this approach. While representing the proteins as fixed points in space presents a limitation since it does not adequately capture 354 protein movement inside the cell or multiple localizations, our representation can still serve to produce indicative 355 centroids of volumes where proteins could be localized and a first attempt to depict this has been implemented 356 357 as an option in the online dashboard. A more complete modeling would probably entail abandoning the graph structure and assigning 3D probability densities instead of 3D points to each protein, something akin to a 358 359 probability density "cloud". These densities could then have multiple peaks representing distinct localizations. Using such an extended location formalism is a promising direction for exploiting the wealth of BioID data for a 360 more accurate and realistic cell volume depiction, which we have left for future work. 361

Beyond the big picture, our work is unique in that it systematically captures the direct virus-host contacts within a subset of the proximal interactome, identifying 414 direct virus-host PPIs. These direct PPIs are characterized by shorter than average edges in the geometric SARS-CoV-2-host map, supporting the predictive power of the 365 map on direct contact probability as forebode³¹.

Among the 235 cellular proteins sampled from BioID dataset, 118 displayed direct contacts, of which 41 are 366 essential high confidence factors for SARS-CoV-2-induced toxicity previously identified in 3+ CRISPR-Cas9 367 screens. The two only recently released contactome maps^{9,10}, based on yeast two-hybrid, remarkably showed 368 that the direct targets of viral proteins are part of network communities enriched in proteins genetically associated 369 with the risk of developing severe COVID-19¹⁴, and that such direct SARS-CoV-2 targets are enriched in gene 370 products differentially expressed in samples from COVID-19 patients¹⁵, respectively, Importantly, our pipeline 371 was thus the only one leading to the capture of direct contacts between SARS-CoV-2 proteins and human 372 proteins essential for infection, those PPIs being attractive targets for anti-viral therapeutics exploration. We 373 believe it is likely due to the ability of our pipeline to identify viral proximal factors by BioID independently of their 374 subcellular localization and solubility. Indeed, of the 151 direct PPIs engaging the 41 essential host proteins, 91 375 (60%) involve SARS-CoV-2 proteins associated with membrane-associated sub-cellular compartments (NSP3, 376 377 NSP4, NSP6, S, E, M, Orf3a, Orf7a and Orf7b), and 31 of 41 (76%) targeted essential host proteins are 378 associated with intracellular organelles.

Profiling by mN2H revealed a clustering of the viral proteins with the relative strength of the interactions 379 highlighting the strongest ones, thereby helping to uncover the main drivers of SARS-CoV-2 mediated cell 380 hijacking. This is exemplified by the set of transmembrane proteins (TMED2, TMEM41B, TMEM106B, 381 TMEM242. TMEM33 and TMEM159) exhibiting distinct interaction patterns with viral proteins. The TMEM41B, 382 a poorly characterized ER-localized protein, prominently binds to Orf9b and Orf3a and has been shown to be 383 essential for infection by SARS-CoV-2, and by other human coronaviruses (HCoV-OC43, HCoV-NL63, and 384 HCoV-229E³²) as well as by some flaviviruses³³. It represents a cellular regulation hub co-opted by multiple 385 viruses that is worth countering. Weak interactions represent low affinity or labile PPIs, which may also be 386 functionally relevant. Thus, prioritizing PPIs to be further investigated should consider both the interaction profiles 387 (interaction strength and redundancy) and host protein essentiality for infection. 388

Knowledge of the structure of SARS-CoV-2-host complexes would be a clear asset for screening PPIs-disruptive compounds. The mN2H dataset provides a resource for PPIs modeling, which we trained using a selection of N2H-identified virus-host complexes. This analysis identified 10 virus-host complexes of strong existence probability (DockQ>0.23) and provided structure models. The AlphaFold2-predicted structure of those proteins that are structurally known are close to their experimentally defined structures. Examples include the Rab6A and Rab7A proteins structured in complexes with NSP4 of SARS-CoV-2, which are perfectly superimposable with their experimentally determined crystal structures. 396 The structure of virus-host protein complexes can also be predicted by homology-based modeling, when homology is found with structurally characterized co-complexes. This was the case for NSP13/USP13 interaction 397 398 by structure-based homology with the human UBP14/PRS7 complex. To assess the role of USP13 in SARS-399 CoV-2 infection, we developed a quick, cost-effective and sensitive assay for measuring SARS-CoV-2 infection, based on the complementation of the nanoluciferase enzyme mediated by cell fusion following infection. We 400 then characterized USP13 as important for SARS-CoV-2 replication since its inhibition by Spautin-1 drastically 401 impaired SARS-CoV-2 replication with an IC50 of ~0.8 µM. These data corroborate a previous study²⁶ and point 402 to Spautin-1 as a potential adjuvant therapy. Together, these results validate the NSP13/USP13 interaction as 403 relevant for structure-driven screening of PPIs disruptive drugs. Importantly, they provide proof of principle that 404 our proteomic BioID/mN2H pipeline represents a valuable resource for selecting relevant PPIs for antiviral 405 compound screening. This pipeline is easily adaptable to all emerging human pathogens to prompt the 406 identification of therapeutic targets and, therefore, should account for improved preparedness. 407

408 Literature cited

- Zhang, J., Cruz-Cosme, R., Zhuang, M.-W., Liu, D., Liu, Y., Teng, S., Wang, P.-H., and Tang, Q. (2020). A systemic and molecular study of subcellular localization of SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Signal Transduct Target Ther *5*, 269.
 10.1038/s41392-020-00372-8.
- Mariano, G., Farthing, R.J., Lale-Farjat, S.L.M., and Bergeron, J.R.C. (2020). Structural Characterization of SARS-CoV-2: Where We Are, and Where We Need to Be. Front Mol Biosci 7, 605236. 10.3389/fmolb.2020.605236.
- Stukalov, A., Girault, V., Grass, V., Karayel, O., Bergant, V., Urban, C., Haas, D.A., Huang, Y., Oubraham, L., Wang,
 A., et al. (2021). Multilevel proteomics reveals host perturbations by SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Nature *594*, 246–
 252. 10.1038/s41586-021-03493-4.
- 4. Li, J., Guo, M., Tian, X., Wang, X., Yang, X., Wu, P., Liu, C., Xiao, Z., Qu, Y., Yin, Y., et al. (2021). Virus-Host Interactome and Proteomic Survey Reveal Potential Virulence Factors Influencing SARS-CoV-2 Pathogenesis. Med (N Y) 2, 99–112.e7. 10.1016/j.medj.2020.07.002.
- Gordon, D.E., Hiatt, J., Bouhaddou, M., Rezelj, V.V., Ulferts, S., Braberg, H., Jureka, A.S., Obernier, K., Guo, J.Z.,
 Batra, J., et al. (2020). Comparative host-coronavirus protein interaction networks reveal pan-viral disease
 mechanisms. Science *370*. 10.1126/science.abe9403.
- Gordon, D.E., Jang, G.M., Bouhaddou, M., Xu, J., Obernier, K., White, K.M., O'Meara, M.J., Rezelj, V.V., Guo, J.Z.,
 Swaney, D.L., et al. (2020). A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. Nature *583*,
 459–468. 10.1038/s41586-020-2286-9.
- Roux, K.J., Kim, D.I., Raida, M., and Burke, B. (2012). A promiscuous biotin ligase fusion protein identifies proximal and interacting proteins in mammalian cells. J. Cell Biol. *196*, 801–810. 10.1083/jcb.201112098.
- Choi, S.G., Olivet, J., Cassonnet, P., Vidalain, P.-O., Luck, K., Lambourne, L., Spirohn, K., Lemmens, I., Dos Santos, M., Demeret, C., et al. (2019). Maximizing binary interactome mapping with a minimal number of assays. Nat. Commun. 10, 3907. 10.1038/s41467-019-11809-2.
- 431 9. Kim, D.-K., Weller, B., Lin, C.-W., Sheykhkarimli, D., Knapp, J.J., Dugied, G., Zanzoni, A., Pons, C., Tofaute, M.J.,
 432 Maseko, S.B., et al. (2022). A proteome-scale map of the SARS-CoV-2–human contactome. Nat. Biotechnol., 1–10.
 433 10.1038/s41587-022-01475-z.
- In Zhou, Y., Liu, Y., Gupta, S., Paramo, M.I., Hou, Y., Mao, C., Luo, Y., Judd, J., Wierbowski, S., Bertolotti, M., et al. (2023). A comprehensive SARS-CoV-2-human protein-protein interactome reveals COVID-19 pathobiology and potential host therapeutic targets. Nat. Biotechnol. *41*, 128–139. 10.1038/s41587-022-01474-0.
- 11. Evans, R., O'Neill, M., Pritzel, A., Antropova, N., Senior, A., Green, T., Žídek, A., Bates, R., Blackwell, S., Yim, J., et
 al. (2021). Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. BioRxiv, 2021.10.04.463034.
 10.1101/2021.10.04.463034.
- 12. St-Germain, J.R., Astori, A., Samavarchi-Tehrani, P., Abdouni, H., Macwan, V., Kim, D.-K., Knapp, J.J., Roth, F.P., Gingras, A.-C., and Raught, B. (2020). A SARS-CoV-2 BioID-based virus-host membrane protein interactome and virus peptide compendium: new proteomics resources for COVID-19 research. BioRxiv, 2020.08.28.269175.
 10.1101/2020.08.28.269175.
- 13. Samavarchi-Tehrani, P., Abdouni, H., Knight, J.D.R., Astori, A., Samson, R., Lin, Z.-Y., Kim, D.-K., Knapp, J.J., St-Germain, J., Go, C.D., et al. (2020). A SARS-CoV-2 – host proximity interactome. BioRxiv. 10.1101/2020.09.03.282103.
- May, D.G., Martin-Sancho, L., Anschau, V., Liu, S., Chrisopulos, R.J., Scott, K.L., Halfmann, C.T., Díaz Peña, R., Pratt,
 D., Campos, A.R., et al. (2022). A BioID-Derived Proximity Interactome for SARS-CoV-2 Proteins. Viruses *14*, 611.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/v14030611.

- Robinot, R., Hubert, M., de Melo, G.D., Lazarini, F., Bruel, T., Smith, N., Levallois, S., Larrous, F., Fernandes, J.,
 Gellenoncourt, S., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 infection induces the dedifferentiation of multiciliated cells and impairs
 mucociliary clearance. Nat. Commun. *12*, 4354. 10.1038/s41467-021-24521-x.
- 452 16. Casari, I., Manfredi, M., Metharom, P., and Falasca, M. (2021). Dissecting lipid metabolism alterations in SARS-CoV453 2. Prog. Lipid Res. *82*, 101092. 10.1016/j.plipres.2021.101092.
- Jiang, H.-W., Zhang, H.-N., Meng, Q.-F., Xie, J., Li, Y., Chen, H., Zheng, Y.-X., Wang, X.-N., Qi, H., Zhang, J., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 Orf9b suppresses type I interferon responses by targeting TOM70. Cell. Mol. Immunol. *17*, 998–1000. 10.1038/s41423-020-0514-8.
- 18. Kim, D.I., Birendra, K.C., Zhu, W., Motamedchaboki, K., Doye, V., and Roux, K.J. (2014). Probing nuclear pore complex
 architecture with proximity-dependent biotinylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *111*, E2453–E2461.
 10.1073/pnas.1406459111.
- Vincentelli, R., Luck, K., Poirson, J., Polanowska, J., Abdat, J., Blémont, M., Turchetto, J., Iv, F., Ricquier, K., Straub,
 M.-L., et al. (2015). Quantifying domain-ligand affinities and specificities by high-throughput holdup assay. Nat. Methods
 12, 787–793. 10.1038/nmeth.3438.
- 463 20. Krafcikova, P., Silhan, J., Nencka, R., and Boura, E. (2020). Structural analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 methyltransferase 464 complex involved in RNA cap creation bound to sinefungin. Nat. Commun. *11*, 3717. 10.1038/s41467-020-17495-9.
- Viswanathan, T., Arya, S., Chan, S.-H., Qi, S., Dai, N., Misra, A., Park, J.-G., Oladunni, F., Kovalskyy, D., Hromas,
 R.A., et al. (2020). Structural basis of RNA cap modification by SARS-CoV-2. Nat. Commun. *11*, 3718. 10.1038/s41467020-17496-8.
- Vi, X., Han, Y., Zhou, R., Zhou, Y., and Lei, J. (2023). Structural insights into ribonucleoprotein dissociation by nucleocapsid protein interacting with non-structural protein 3 in SARS-CoV-2. Commun Biol 6, 193. 10.1038/s42003-023-04570-2.
- 471 23. Malone, B., Urakova, N., Snijder, E.J., and Campbell, E.A. (2022). Structures and functions of coronavirus replicationtranscription complexes and their relevance for SARS-CoV-2 drug design. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 23, 21–39.
 473 10.1038/s41580-021-00432-z.
- 474 24. Basu, S., and Wallner, B. (2016). DockQ: A Quality Measure for Protein-Protein Docking Models. PLoS One *11*, e0161879. 10.1371/journal.pone.0161879.
- 476
 25. Bryant, P., Pozzati, G., and Elofsson, A. (2022). Improved prediction of protein-protein interactions using AlphaFold2.
 477
 478
 479
 479
 479
 470
 470
 470
 470
 471
 471
 471
 472
 473
 473
 474
 474
 474
 474
 474
 474
 475
 475
 476
 476
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 477
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478
 478</l
- 478 26. Guo, G., Gao, M., Gao, X., Zhu, B., Huang, J., Luo, K., Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Deng, M., and Lou, Z. (2021). SARS-CoV479 2 non-structural protein 13 (nsp13) hijacks host deubiquitinase USP13 and counteracts host antiviral immune response.
 480 Signal Transduct Target Ther 6, 119. 10.1038/s41392-021-00509-3.
- 481 27. Buchrieser, J., Dufloo, J., Hubert, M., Monel, B., Planas, D., Rajah, M.M., Planchais, C., Porrot, F., Guivel-Benhassine,
 482 F., Van der Werf, S., et al. (2020). Syncytia formation by SARS-CoV-2-infected cells. EMBO J. 39, e106267.
 483 10.15252/embj.2020106267.
- Bussani, R., Schneider, E., Zentilin, L., Collesi, C., Ali, H., Braga, L., Volpe, M.C., Colliva, A., Zanconati, F., Berlot, G.,
 et al. (2020). Persistence of viral RNA, pneumocyte syncytia and thrombosis are hallmarks of advanced COVID-19
 pathology. EBioMedicine *61*, 103104. 10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.103104.
- 487 29. Braga, L., Ali, H., Secco, I., Chiavacci, E., Neves, G., Goldhill, D., Penn, R., Jimenez-Guardeño, J.M., Ortega-Prieto,
 488 A.M., Bussani, R., et al. (2021). Drugs that inhibit TMEM16 proteins block SARS-CoV-2 spike-induced syncytia. Nature
 489 594, 88–93. 10.1038/s41586-021-03491-6.

16

- Ma, C., Sacco, M.D., Hurst, B., Townsend, J.A., Hu, Y., Szeto, T., Zhang, X., Tarbet, B., Marty, M.T., Chen, Y., et al. (2020). Boceprevir, GC-376, and calpain inhibitors II, XII inhibit SARS-CoV-2 viral replication by targeting the viral main protease. Cell Res. *30*, 678–692. 10.1038/s41422-020-0356-z.
- 493 31. Gupta, G.D., Coyaud, É., Gonçalves, J., Mojarad, B.A., Liu, Y., Wu, Q., Gheiratmand, L., Comartin, D., Tkach, J.M.,
 494 Cheung, S.W.T., et al. (2015). A Dynamic Protein Interaction Landscape of the Human Centrosome-Cilium Interface.
 495 Cell *163*, 1484–1499. 10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.065.
- Schneider, W.M., Luna, J.M., Hoffmann, H.-H., Sánchez-Rivera, F.J., Leal, A.A., Ashbrook, A.W., Le Pen, J., Ricardo-Lax, I., Michailidis, E., Peace, A., et al. (2021). Genome-Scale Identification of SARS-CoV-2 and Pan-coronavirus Host Factor Networks. Cell *184*, 120–132.e14. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.006.
- 499 33. Hoffmann, H.-H., Schneider, W.M., Rozen-Gagnon, K., Miles, L.A., Schuster, F., Razooky, B., Jacobson, E., Wu, X.,
 500 Yi, S., Rudin, C.M., et al. (2021). TMEM41B Is a Pan-flavivirus Host Factor. Cell *184*, 133–148.e20.
 501 10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.005.
- 502 34. Kim, D.-K., Knapp, J.J., Kuang, D., Chawla, A., Cassonnet, P., Lee, H., Sheykhkarimli, D., Samavarchi-Tehrani, P.,
 503 Abdouni, H., Rayhan, A., et al. (2020). A Comprehensive, Flexible Collection of SARS-CoV-2 Coding Regions. G3 *10*,
 504 3399–3402. 10.1534/g3.120.401554.
- Solo Solo Vabret, N., Najburg, V., Solovyov, A., Šulc, P., Balan, S., Beauclair, G., Chazal, M., Varet, H., Legendre, R., Sismeiro,
 O., et al. (2019). Y-RNAs Lead an Endogenous Program of RIG-I Agonism Mobilized upon RNA Virus Infection and
 Targeted by HIV. BioRxiv, 773820. 10.1101/773820.
- Sola Sola Coyaud, E., Ranadheera, C., Cheng, D., Gonçalves, J., Dyakov, B.J.A., Laurent, E.M.N., St-Germain, J., Pelletier, L., Gingras, A.-C., Brumell, J.H., et al. (2018). Global Interactomics Uncovers Extensive Organellar Targeting by Zika Virus. Mol. Cell. Proteomics *17*, 2242–2255. 10.1074/mcp.TIR118.000800.
- 37. Perez-Riverol, Y., Bai, J., Bandla, C., García-Seisdedos, D., Hewapathirana, S., Kamatchinathan, S., Kundu, D.J.,
 Prakash, A., Frericks-Zipper, A., Eisenacher, M., et al. (2022). The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass
 spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. Nucleic Acids Res. *50*, D543–D552. 10.1093/nar/gkab1038.
- 514 38. Hu, Y. (2005). Efficient, high-quality force-directed graph drawing. Mathematica journal *10*, 37–71.
- 515 39. Walshaw, C. (2001). A Multilevel Algorithm for Force-Directed Graph Drawing. In Graph Drawing (Springer Berlin 516 Heidelberg), pp. 171–182. 10.1007/3-540-44541-2_17.
- 517 40. Barnes, J., and Hut, P. (1986). A hierarchical O(N log N) force-calculation algorithm. Nature 324, 446–449. 518 10.1038/324446a0.
- 41. Fruchterman, T.M.J., and Reingold, E.M. (1991). Graph drawing by force-directed placement. Softw. Pract. Exp. *21*, 1129–1164. 10.1002/spe.4380211102.
- 42. Van Rossum, G. Python tutorial, Technical Report CS-R9526, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica (CWI),
 Amsterdam, May 1995.
- Hagberg, A., Swart, P., and S Chult, D. (2008). Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using NetworkX
 (Los Alamos National Lab.(LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States)).
- 44. Harris, C.R., Millman, K.J., van der Walt, S.J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N.J., et al. (2020). Array programming with NumPy. Nature *585*, 357–362. 10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2.
- 45. Reback, J., jbrockmendel, McKinney, W., Van den Bossche, J., Augspurger, T., Roeschke, M., Hawkins, S., Cloud, P., gfyoung, Sinhrks, et al. (2022). pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas 1.4.2 10.5281/zenodo.6408044.
- 46. Inc, P.T. (2015). Collaborative data science. Montreal: Plotly Technologies Inc Montral.

- 47. Gagnot, G., Hervin, V., Coutant, E.P., Goyard, S., Jacob, Y., Rose, T., Hibti, F.E., Quatela, A., and Janin, Y.L. (2021).
 Core-Modified Coelenterazine Luciferin Analogues: Synthesis and Chemiluminescence Properties. Chemistry 27, 2112–2123. 10.1002/chem.202004311.
- 48. Coutant, E.P., Goyard, S., Hervin, V., Gagnot, G., Baatallah, R., Jacob, Y., Rose, T., and Janin, Y.L. (2019). Gramscale synthesis of luciferins derived from coelenterazine and original insights into their bioluminescence properties.
 Org. Biomol. Chem. *17*, 3709–3713. 10.1039/c9ob00459a.
- 49. Coutant, E.P., Gagnot, G., Hervin, V., Baatallah, R., Goyard, S., Jacob, Y., Rose, T., and Janin, Y.L. (2020).
 Bioluminescence Profiling of NanoKAZ/NanoLuc Luciferase Using a Chemical Library of Coelenterazine Analogues.
 Chemistry 26, 948–958. 10.1002/chem.201904844.
- 50. Wu, F., Zhao, S., Yu, B., Chen, Y.-M., Wang, W., Song, Z.-G., Hu, Y., Tao, Z.-W., Tian, J.-H., Pei, Y.-Y., et al. (2020). A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature *579*, 265–269. 10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3.

18 This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4573262

542 Methods

543 Plasmids

544 SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins coding sequences were cloned by Gateway recombination system (Life 545 Technologies) into pDEST pcDNA5 FRT/TO N-ter and C-ter BirA*Flag vectors for the BioID (Coyaud et al., 546 2018), and into pciNeo-N2 or pciNeo-C2 ⁸ using the collection of Gateway-compatible entry clones reported in 547 ³⁴ (see Supp Table 1 for sequences). For N2H, cDNA encoding the human proteins to be tested were available 548 pDONR vectors from the human ORFeome collection v8.1 from the Center for Cancer Systems Biology (CCSB) 549 (ORFeome Collaboration, 2016)) or inhouse made clones. Each hORF was introduced into pciNeo-N1 pDEST 550 plasmids by Gateway reaction. All clones were sequence verified.

551 Cell lines

HEK293 cells stably were stably transfected by the different BirA*tag- encoding plasmids as in (Coyaud et al. 2018). Briefly, Flp-In[™] T-REx[™] HEK293 cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich), GlutaMAX[™] and Penicillin-Streptomycin (1x). Using the Flp-In system (Invitrogen), Flp-In[™] T-REx[™] HEK293 stably expressing BirA*Flag or FlagBirA* alone (for control samples), or N- and C-terminally tagged viral bait proteins were generated by cotransfecting pOG44 with each pcDNA5 FRT/TO BirA*Flag-viral protein encoding plasmid and selected with 200 µg/ml hygromycin B

559 Confocal microscopy

Each N-ter tagged viral protein expression was inducted by 1µg/mL tetracycline and 50µM biotin for 24 hrs (in 560 basal or poly(I:C) conditions) in stably transfected Flp-In™ T-REx™ HEK293 cells. Briefly, cells were grown on 561 poly-lysine coated coverslips, rinsed with PBS before fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at RT. After 562 fixation, they were washed 3 times with PBS 0.1% Triton X-100. Cells were then blocked in PBS 0.01% Triton, 563 and 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 min at 4°C. Transfected cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C 564 with monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 mouse antibody (dilution 1:2,000, Sigma-Aldrich). After 3 washes for 10 min with 565 PBS 0.01% Triton X-100, cells were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with the Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated secondary 566 antibody donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (1:2,000, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 488 567 (1:20,000; Invitrogen). Cells were rinsed with PBS, and nuclei were stained with 1 µg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-568 569 phenylindole DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBS for 5 min. Finally, cells were washed, desalted and mounted 570 using Dako Fluorescent Mounting Medium (Agilent Dako, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Acquisition was performed on a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope connected to a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M equipped with an EC Plan-Neofluar
 40×/1.30 numerical aperture and an oil immersion objective (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Processing
 of the images was performed using Zeiss Zen 2 software and assembled using Adobe Illustrator.

574 IFN-dependent luciferase activity assays

ISRE reporter HEK293 cells referred to as STING37 (Lucas-Hourani et al., 2013) were plated in a 24-well plate (2.5 x 10^5 per well). After 24h, cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (11668-019, Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 100 ng of pCIneo-MAVS ³⁵ or the empty vector (pCIneo) with or without 200 ng of pFlag-BirA plasmids expressing viral proteins. 24 h post-transfection cells were lysed by Passive Lysis buffer (E1941, Promega), and luciferase activity was measured with Bright-Glo Luciferase assay system (E2650, Promega).

581 Bio-ID sample generation

BioID samples were prepared from FIp-In™ T-REx™ HEK293 expressing each BirA*-flagged SARS-CoV-2 582 protein or BirA*alone as in ³⁶. Briefly, three independent replicates of two 150 cm2 plates of sub-confluent (60%) 583 cells were incubated for 24 hrs in complete media supplemented with 1 µg/ml tetracycline (Sigma). 50 µM biotin 584 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For poly(I:C) treatment conditions, poly(I:C) (Sigma Sigma-Aldrich; P1530) was 585 transfected at 2µg/mL for 5hrs using PolyJet reagent prior to tetracycline and biotin 5 hours before tetracycline 586 and biotin addition. Cells were collected and pelleted (300 x g, 3 min), washed twice with PBS, and dried pellets 587 were snap frozen. Each cell pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM 588 NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 1:500 protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), 589 590 1:1,000 Turbonuclease (BPS Bioscience) and incubated on an end-over-end rotator at 4°C for 1 hour, briefly sonicated to disrupt any visible aggregates, then centrifuged at 45,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C. Supernatant was 591 592 transferred to a fresh 15 mL conical tube. 25 µl of packed, pre-equilibrated Streptavidin Ultralink Resin (Pierce) were added, and the mixture incubated for 3 hours at 4°C with rotation. Beads were pelleted by centrifugation at 593 300 x g for 2 min and transferred with 1 mL of lysis buffer to a fresh eppendorf tube. Beads were washed once 594 with 1 mL of lysis buffer and twice with 1 mL of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH=8.3), then transferred in 595 ammonium bicarbonate to a fresh centrifuge tube and washed two more times with 1 ml of ammonium 596 bicarbonate buffer. Tryptic digestion was performed by incubating the beads with 1 µg MS-grade TPCK trypsin 597 (Promega, Madison, WI) dissolved in 200 µl of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.3) overnight at 37°C. The 598 following morning, 0.5 µg MS-grade TPCK trypsin was added to the beads and incubated 2 additional hours at 599 37°C. Following centrifugation at 2,000 x g for 2 min, the supernatant was collected and transferred to a fresh 600

eppendorf tube. Two additional washes were performed with 150 μL of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and
pooled with the first eluate. The sample was lyophilized and resuspended in buffer A (2% ACN 0.1% formic acid).
1/3rd of each sample was analyzed per mass spectrometer run.

604 **BioID data acquisition**

MS samples were prepared from three biological replicates of each bait protein fused either with an N-terminal 605 or a C-terminal BirA*Flag epitope tag in basal condition or following poly(I:C) (Sigma-Aldrich; P1530) transfection 606 at 2µg/mL for 5hrs using PolyJet reagent (Signagen) prior to tetracycline and biotin induction, and analyzed on 607 a Thermo Q-Exactive mass spectrometer. Samples were separated by online reversed-phase chromatography 608 using a Thermo Scientific Easy-nLC1000 system equipped with a Proxeon trap column (75 µm ID x 2 cm, 3 µm, 609 Thermo Scientific) and a C18 packed-tip column (Acclaim PepMap, 75 µm ID x 50 cm, 2 µm, Thermo Scientific). 610 The digested peptides were separated using an increasing amount of acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid from 2 to 611 30% for 2 hours at a 300 nL/min flow rate. A voltage of 2.4 kV was applied by the liquid junction to electrospray 612 the eluent using the nanospray source. A high-resolution mass spectrometer Q-Exactive™ Thermo Scientific™ 613 was coupled to the chromatography system to acquire the 10 most intense ions of MS1 analysis (Top 10) in 614 data-dependent mode. The MS analyses were performed in positive mode at a resolving power of 70,000 FWHM, 615 616 using an automatic gain control target of 3e6, the default charge state was set at 2 and a maximum injection 617 time at 120 ms. For full scan MS, the scan range was set between m/z 300 to 1600. For ddMS2, the scan range was between m/z 200 to 2000. 1 microscan was acquired at 17.500 FWHM, an AGC was set at 5e4 ions, and 618 an isolation window of m/z 4,0 was used. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the 619 ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE³⁷ partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD033452 620 (reviewer username: pxd033452@ebi.ac.uk; pw: Z1tHrDTc) 621

622 BiolD data analysis

The proteins were identified by comparing all MS/MS data with the Homo sapiens proteome database (Uniprot, 623 release March 2020, Canonical+Isoforms, comprising 42,360 entries + viral bait protein sequences added 624 manually), using the MaxQuant software version 1.5.8.3. The digestion parameters were defined using trypsin 625 with 2 maximum missed cleavages. The oxidation of methionine and N-terminal protein acetvlation were defined 626 as variable modifications. The Label-free quantification (LFQ) was done keeping the software's default 627 parameters. As for initial mass tolerance, 6 ppm was selected for MS mode, and 20 ppm was set for 628 fragmentation data to match MS/MS tolerance. The identification parameters of the proteins and peptides were 629 performed with a false discovery rate (FDR) at 1%, and a minimum of 2 unique peptides per protein. The LFQ 630

631 values from the 30 control runs (regrouping FlagBirA* and BirA*Flag alone samples, from stable and transiently transfected cell lines, and w/wo poly(I:C) pretreatment) were collapsed to the three highest values for each given 632 ID. These three values were defined as the control group for comparison with viral bait proteins triplicates. The 633 statistical analysis was done by Perseus software (version 1.6.2.3). Briefly, the LFQ intensity of each sample 634 was downloaded in Perseus, and the data matrix was filtered by removing the potential contaminants, reverse 635 and only identified by site. The data were then transformed using the log2(x) function. Before statistical analysis, 636 81 groups (27 bait proteins, N-ter, C-ter and poly(I:C) for each) were defined with 3 replicates per group. Only 637 preys with detected values in all three replicates of a given viral bait protein were kept for further analysis. Missing 638 values were then replaced from the normal distribution separately for each column. Two-sample Student's T-639 test was then performed comparing all three biological replicates of each bait and condition against the three 640 control runs. High-confidence proximal interactors were defined by permutation-based FDR with a cut-off of 0.01. 641 Perseus output with all experimental values is reported in **Supp Table 2** (tab B). 642

643 The matrix (Supp Table 2) shows the average log2 fold change against control and the corresponding p- and gvalues for each bait and condition. The poly(I:C) data were also compared against the control (green font when 644 significant) and the corresponding condition (N-terminal tag for all viral bait proteins, except for NSP1). The 645 poly(I:C) column indicates the status of each interaction when compared to the basal condition. 'Decreased' and 646 'Increased' were defined when the log2 fc against the basal condition was <(-1) or >(+1), respectively, and the 647 p-value comparing the 3 replicates of the two conditions below 0.05. 'Unchanged' corresponds to log2fc values 648 between -1 and +1, and empty cells depict interactors undetected in the basal and poly(I:C) condition. The 649 InDegrees column depicts the number of bait proteins detecting a given interactor, regardless of the condition 650 (N-ter, C-ter or poly(I:C)). This criterion was chosen to filter out the most connected interactors (8+), likely to be 651 organelle-specific background not filtered using the BirA* alone control samples. 2D networks presented in the 652 different figures were generated using the Cytoscape software (v.3.9.1; https://cytoscape.org/). 653

654 Modeling the virus-host interplay in 3D from the BiolD Data set

In order to approximate the regions where proteins mainly reside in the cell volume, we used computational techniques for the layout of graphs in three dimensions. A custom force-directed and multi-stage algorithm was employed due to its general applicability and capability for the generation of high-quality layouts^{38,39–41}. Another advantage offered by the class of force-directed algorithms, making it suitable for our specific case, is the inherent physical attributes and the flexibility of tuning provided by the underlying physics-inspired model producing the layout coordinates. In this approach, the observed BioID proximal interactions (when present) modulate the strength of an attractive force between proximal protein pairs, while repulsive forces universal among all node pairs ensure the separation of regions and the expansion into the available volume. In this way, host proteins previously known to have proximal interactions are pulled close to each other and viral proteins with overlapping sets of host interactors are also brought into relative proximity. Interactors for which there is no pairwise proximal relation are pushed apart, their placement determined by their own set of individual proximal interactions. By simultaneously aggregating all the proximity interactors and their interactions subject to these forces, a global approximate picture of the relative spatial organization of viral proteins with respect to the host proteome is emerging.

669 Interactome network setup and visualization

The starting point for constructing the interactome network is the BioID high confidence PPI data table, each row 670 of the table containing data of a single bait-target interaction. Aggregating all the PPI data, we obtained a total 671 of 10,125 interactions involving 27 baits and 2,593 targets (total of 2,620 nodes) to which we also appended 290 672 mN2H positive interactions not detected by BioID (these latter PPIs are not participating in the layout and 673 therefore do not influence the node coordinates, but are used for displaying purposes). Based on this dataset, 674 we construct an undirected graph where interactors and interactions are represented as the graph's vertices 675 (nodes) and edges (links) respectively. In addition to links due to the observed BioID bait-target interactions, we 676 augment the graph with 3,492 edges representing previously known target-target interactions. We thus obtain a 677 678 final graph with a total of 2,620 vertices and 13,617 edges.

To visualize the graph, our objective is to determine an optimum placement of the nodes in three-dimensional 679 space that would minimize edge crossings and reveal the interactome's structure to the best possible extent. We 680 employ a custom, multi-stage, force-directed graph layout algorithm in 3D, based largely on the spring-electric 681 model outlined by Hu³⁸. We modulate the strength of the attractive (spring) forces in the corresponding physical 682 model with a factor w given by the combination of the BioID enrichment ratio (or fold change, fc) according to 683 the formula: $w = \left[\sum_{i} \log_2(fc)_i\right]^p$, where $p = \frac{1}{2}$ and $i = \{N-ter, C-ter, poly(I:C)\}$, when present for the interaction. 684 For reported target-target interactions where this information is not available we set this factor equal to 1. We 685 also ignore the aforementioned purely mN2H positive (non-BioID) interactions in order to preserve the 686 orthogonality of the two approaches. The node coordinates in 3D obtained by minimizing the energy of the 687 spring-electric physical model are ingested by a plotting package that generates a 3D visualization of the 688 network, assigning a point to each node and a line connecting a pair of nodes when there is an interaction 689 between the corresponding interactors. Our code was written in Python 3.8⁴² and makes use of several modules, 690

691 primarily: NetworkX for graph operations ⁴³, NumPy for numerical computations ⁴⁴, pandas for data manipulation 692 ⁴⁵ and Plotly for visualization ⁴⁶.

693 Interactive web application

In order to make our results available to the community in a usable and informative manner, we have 694 implemented a web application, accessible at http://www.sars-cov-2-interactome.org/. It contains the network 695 visualization, as well as multiple options for selecting and filtering the dataset to view sub-networks, providing 696 the ability to focus on particular areas of interest and explore various levels of detail. The interactive 3D 697 visualization of the interactome is a main ingredient of the dashboard, as it enables navigation within a browser 698 window, zooming and rotating in space, giving an intuitive illustration of the relative localizations of proteins inside 699 the cell volume. In addition, we integrate information about the previously reported SARS-CoV-2 status of 700 interactors and interactions, as well as previously reported interactions between the targets (cf. Supp Table 3 701 for details). The network customization options contain various filters, separated broadly into two levels: on the 702 first level, there are options for: (i) focusing on targets of higher specificity by filtering out targets with a total 703 number of bait interactions (or degree) above a configurable threshold (set to 7 by default); (ii) restricting to 704 targets based on known relevance for SARS-CoV-2 (based on total number of CRISPR screens the given 705 interactor has been identified as functionally important for SARS-CoV-2 infection): (iii) filtering interactions based 706 707 on their poly(I:C) treatment result; or (iv), selecting particular baits of interest and their interactions only. When multiple filters are activated at this level, the resulting dataset is formed by the intersection of the selected criteria. 708 In addition, at this level, we provide options for displaying the previously reported target-target interactions, as 709 well as highlighting previously reported and N2H-validated bait-target interactions. At the second level, there is 710 the functionality to select any number of annotations of interest from three main categories (pathways, biological 711 processes, cellular components) in order to generate an annotated subnetwork, while remaining subject to the 712 filtering selections from the preceding level. In this second level, multiple annotation selections are combined so 713 that the resulting network is the union of the individually annotated subnetworks. Specific targets of interest can 714 also be selected individually, or added to an already generated subnetwork. Notably, there is an option to select 715 716 any number of annotations for which to display their approximate localization overlaid on the network, either as 3D density volumes, or as labels positioned at the volume's centroids ('label cloud'). At any given time, the 717 interactive visualization reflects the user's filtering selections, offering an intuitive view of the particular subset of 718 data under consideration, while the filtered dataset is also visible in table format below the network and 719 downloadable. Finally, there are options for mostly cosmetic attributes of the network visualization, such as the 720 ability to toggle text labels and edges, present a faded view of the full network in the background (thus giving a 721 722 better global view of the location of nodes and edges in the interactome), and display 3D spherical shapes for

the interactors (as opposed to flat 2D circular markers), better representing their physical size and actual location in space. A summary panel of the network with a breakdown of the totals for nodes and edges is presented alongside the network, as well as a panel displayed upon clicking on a node or edge with corresponding metadata, including AlphaFold protein structure predictions. A detailed usage tutorial is also provided as part of the website. The dashboard web application has been implemented using the Dash module in Python 3.8.

728 Mammalian cell-based N2H assay

729 HEK293T cells were seeded at 6x10⁴ cells per well in 96-well, flat-bottom, cell culture microplates (Greiner Bio-One, #655083), and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf 730 731 serum at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Twenty-four hours later, cells were transfected with 100 ng of each N1- and N2/C2expressing plasmids using linear polyethylenimine (PEI MAX 40000; Polysciences Inc; Cat# 24765) to co-732 733 express the protein pairs fused with complementary NanoLuc fragments, F1 and F2⁸. Twenty-four hours after 734 transfection, the culture medium was removed, and 30 µL of 100× diluted NanoLuc substrate⁴⁷ was added to each well of a 96-well microplate containing the transfected cells. Luciferase enzymatic activity was measured 735 using a CentroXS luminometer (Berthold: 2 s integration time). The luciferin substrate used (Q-108) in all the 736 bioluminescence experiments was obtained in a concentrated solution, from the corresponding O-acetylated 737 derivative hikarazine-108, following acidic hydrolysis as previously described^{48,49}. The binary virus-host PPIs 738 were assessed and were independently performed two times, each made in duplicates to quadruplicates. PPIs 739 were monitored using the cellular proteins (CP) fused the F1 nanoluciferase fragment at their N-terminus (N1-740 CP), while the viral proteins were fused to the nanoluciferase F2 fused either at N-, their C-terminus or both. 741 Configuration of the virus-host PPIs matrix was either N1N2 or N1C2, and was selected from the viral bait Bir-742 A* fusion configuration leading to host partners identification in BioID. 743

To score positive PPIs from the tested matrixes, we applied the following pipeline:

1-Log2-transformed averaged RLU signals were normalized to the mean columns signal and mean row signals (Log2 RLU PPI - mean Log2 column - mean Log2 rows). Column and row averages reflect the overall level of viral and cellular protein interaction signals. A Z-score (relative to the mean and standard deviation of the full Normalized PPIs matrix) was applied on normalized data to obtain normal distribution and to calculate a P-value. A significant P-value (< 0.05) or equivalently a Z-score upper to 1.64 were scored as positive PPIs. In this way, positive PPIs are distinguished over non-interacting pairs with high stringency, leading to the identification of high-confidence PPIs.

752 Hierarchical clustering of virus-host PPIs was performed using the R software by using euclidean distance

parameter of the dist function. Clustering was then performed by ward.D method with R software by using pheatmap function.

755 A novel sensitive assay to measure SARS-CoV-2 infection

- cDNA encoding for the nanoluciferase fragment 1-158 (NanoLg) and the 13 aa long c-terminal Nanoluciferase peptide (aa 159-171, Nano-Sm) were cloned in the pLVX-puro vector (Clontech) in fusion with GFP11 (at the Cter of LN) or GFP1-10 (at the N-ter of SN) respectively. Lentiviral vectors were produced by co-transfection of the pLVX-puro NanoLg or pLVX-puro NanoSm plasmids with gag-pol packaging plasmid psPAX2 (Addgene, #12260) and VSV-G envelope expressing plasmid in HEK293T cells using calcium phosphate precipitation. Lentiviral titers were measured by p24 ELISA according to the manufacturer's instructions (Clontech).
- 10⁵ cells Vero E6 were transduced with 5.10⁶ TU NanoLg or NanoSm expressing lentiviruses for 4 hours, then
 cells were grown in DMEM 5% SVF + 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin + 8 µg/ml puromycin. Transduced cells were
 then amplified under puromycin treatment.
- For the SARS-CoV-2 infection assay, Vero E6-NanoLg and Vero E6-NanoSm were plated separately at 25000
 cells/well or co-cultured (12500 of each cell lines mixed/well) in white 96 well plates (Greiner Bio-One, #655083).
 One day after, cells were infected by serial dilutions of SARS-CoV-2 strain 1973 (hCoV-19/France/GES1973/2020, EPI_ISL_414631) in 50 µl of DMEM, then incubated for 24 hours. Infection rates were measured by
 lysing cells after removal of the medium in 30 µl of 100-fold diluted NanoLuc substrate (Promega, #N1110).
 Luciferase enzymatic activity was measured using a CentroXS luminometer (Berthold; 2 s integration time).
- 771

772 Small Molecule Inhibition

773

GC376 (Sigma Aldric) and Spautin-1 (Calbiochem) were dissolved in DMSO to make a 100 mM stock solution.
A 40 mM solution was prepared from the stock in DMSO, and then serial 2-fold dilutions were prepared in DMSO.
The final range of inhibitors was from 40 mM to 0.63 mM (*i.e.* 8 2-fold dilutions), which is 200 times higher than
the tested concentrations. Each compound dilution was diluted 1000-fold in DMEM (giving 2X concentrated
chemicals with equal DMSO concentration for each)

- Vero E6 NanoLg + Vero E6 NanoSm co-cultures (12500 each) plated the day before in 96 white plates were infected with 500 PFU of the previously described SARS-CoV-2 strain in 50 µl DMEM for 1 hour. Then 50 µl of the 2X concentrated compounds were added. Cells were incubated for 24 hours. Infection rate was measured by nanoluciferase activity reading as described above.
- 783 Each compound was measured in biological triplicates, each containing 3 to 8 technical replicates.

- The results are presented as RLU \pm standard deviations. Dose-response curves were plotted using Prism v9 (GraphPad) in which IC₅₀ values were calculated with the variable slope model.
- Cell viability was measured using the Cell-Titer-Glo[®] Luminescent Cell Viability Assay kit (Promega, G7750).

788 AlphaFold-Multimer in silico structure prediction

789

We produced predicted complex structures for the selected pairs of viral-host proteins by running the AlphaFold 790 source code v2.3.2 (git commit hash 2e6a78f) from https://github.com/deepmind/alphafold in multimer mode with 791 default parameters and with full databases via the provided Docker script. For each pair, we ran using input host 792 protein sequence FASTA files from https://www.uniprot.org/ and generated 5 relaxed structures (from different 793 random seeds) for each of the 5 multimer models. We kept the top-ranked relaxed structure in terms of model 794 795 confidence in order to compute a predicted DockQ (pDockQ) score²⁵. Following the CAPRI definitions for the correspondence between DockQ values and accuracy of predicted structures, we deem structures with pDockQ 796 >= 0.23 as positive hits for the purposes of our *in silico* validation. 797

798

799 Figure legends

Fig 1. The physical core of the SARS-CoV-2-host interactome. (A) Physical core representing the SARS-CoV-2 interactions of our BioID dataset that have been identified by orthogonal, physical PPI detection methods. Bait proteins are represented as black nodes, the proximal host proteins by nodes color coded following the number of CRISPR hits as indicated in the color scale for the whole panel; (B-E) subnetworks of virus-host PPIs identified in our BioID dataset and involving host factors scoring in 3+ independent CRISPR screens, subdivided following their profile in the literature (B: In proximal and physical cores; C: in Physical core; D: in Proximal core; E: in Our BioID only).

807 Fig 2. SARS-CoV-2/human proximal network representation. (A) poly(I:C) remodeling of SARS-CoV-2 proteins proteins proximal partners; (left) graphical pipeline; (middle) distribution of proximal interactors changes 808 for each viral bait protein. Horizontal axis depicts the percentage of the whole interactome of each bait 809 significantly changed upon poly(I:C) treatment. Red and blue bars for increased and decreased proximal host 810 partners, respectively. Number of unique host factors are shown on the graph. Bait names are colored according 811 to their status, as depicted in the graphical pipeline; (right) Volcano plots representing one bait of each category 812 (details are available in Supplemental table S6). (B) Global data-driven SARS-CoV-2/human proximal network 813 projection on 2D and its relaxation upon removal of the host proteins targeted by eight or more viral baits. PxIs 814

stands for proximal interactions (C) Spatial localization of functional groups of proteins in the proximal network
 relative to the viral proteins (black nodes), as indicated. (D) Localization of human proteins essential for SARS CoV-2 induced toxicity (3+ CRISPR hits) in the 3D SARS-CoV-2 /Host proximal interaction maps.

- Fig 3. mN2H orthogonal validation of a subset of high confidence interactors. (A) Selection of the interactors and experimental pipeline for the mN2H validation. (B) Full SARS-CoV-2/Human contactome network identified in this study by mN2H.
- Fig 4. mN2H interaction profiling. (A) The relative strength of PPIs, given by the distance of PPI to the positive 821 threshold, is shown for host factors scoring positive with one or more viral factors. Their corresponding number 822 823 of CRISPR Hits is indicated (B) Hierarchical clustering of the SARS-CoV-2 proteins and human host proteins 824 basis of their mN2H interaction profiles. The heatmap represents the signal intensity derived from the relative 825 strength of positive interactions (*i.e.* distance to the threshold). (C) Intra-virus interactions heat map, intraviral interactions were tested in triplicate using three different complementary NanoLuc configurations, the score 826 corresponding to the numbers of positive interactions found. (D) Network representation of intraviral interactions. 827 Thickness of the lines is relative to the distance from the threshold, the green proteins appear associated with 828 organelles that are in close proximity to each other in the 3D network. 829
- Fig 5. Organization and validation of the BiolD and mN2H networks. (A) Snapshot of the 3D network 830 depicting spatially resolved and colored clouds enriched in a subset of GO categories. Merged data from this 831 study and the literature are graphically coded as described in the legend. (B) Violin plot of the viral-host distances 832 in the 3D map according to the PPIs status, as described (Student t-test; p-values as indicated). (C) Modeling of 833 the USP13/NSP13 complex and validation of USP13 as a host target. Molecular docking of USP13/NSP13 834 interaction. (D) Schematic of infection-induced cell fusion assay using nanoluciferase complementation. (E) 835 836 Measured IC50 for Spautin-1 using the nanoluciferase complementation assay. Briefly, a co-culture of Vero E6-NanoLg and Vero E6-NanoSm, plated at equal density the day before infection, was infected at a MOI of 0.01 837 with the Wuhan strain of SARS-CoV-2. Increasing concentrations of Spautin-1 (red) and GC376 (blue) were 838 added at the time of infection. Luciferase was measured 24 hours post-infection as a read-out of infection-839 induced syncytia formation. 840
- 841 Supplemental Figures legends
- Fig.S1. Overview of the pipeline for identification of SARS-CoV-2/human interactome (A) Pipeline of the
 SARS-CoV-2-host interactome identification and potential mining.

Fig.S2. Expression, localization and biotinylation capacities of BirA*Flag-tagged SARS-CoV-2 proteins.
 Confocal imaging BirA*Flag proteins expressed in 293 Flp-In TREx in basal culture. Staining of DAPI, biotin
 (streptavidin- AlexaFluor488; proximal interactors) and anti-FLAG (anti-mouse AlexaFluor647; bait proteins).

Fig.S3. Expression of individual BirA* Flag-tagged SARS-CoV-2 proteins. N-ter and C-ter BirA* Flag-tagged
SARS-CoV-2 expressing cells were lysed with Läemmli buffer, and western Blot were realized with an equivalent
volume of whole cell extract. BirA* Flag-tagged proteins were revealed with anti-FLAG antibody (anti-mouse
HRP secondary antibody), and anti-GAPDH (anti-mouse HRP secondary antibody) was used for protein loading
control.

- Fig.S4. Effect of individual BirA* Flag-tagged SARS-CoV-2 proteins on IFN activation. HEK293-ISRELuc
 reporter cells were co-transfected with BirA*Flag alone or the indicated BirA*Flag SARS-CoV-2 proteins and (A,
 B) MAVS expression plasmid (C, D) pcDNA3. IFN was measured as luciferase (RLU) Fold change over ISRELuc
 activity without MAVS co-expression.
- Fig.S5. Metascape analysis of the enriched targeted categories per viral protein. Metascape Express Analysis report was used to automatically select the functional categories enriched for each viral. The detailed output of the functional enrichment analysis, including the gene list assigned to each category for each bait protein, is provided in Supp Table 4.
- 860 Fig.S6. Metrics and features of the BiolD-based network and 3D map. Analysis of the effect of filtering out 861 host proteins with BioID degree greater than seven on the network topology: (A) Histogram of host protein degree 862 and dependence of the network's total number of nodes and edges. The majority of host proteins have one or 863 two viral interactions. After applying the degree cutoff, around 82% and 53% of nodes and edges respectively are retained. (B) Histogram of viral protein degree before and after filtering for highly specific interactors. We 864 observe that the specificity ratio is generally higher for viral proteins with smaller total number of interactions; 865 Distance-based analysis of the network's 3D representation: (C) Host target density and total population 866 dependence with radial distance from dense core center for the full and filtered (host protein degree <= 7) 867 networks. Identification of dense core size via the radial location of the density interpolated line inflection point 868 for the case of the filtered network. Host proteins below the cutoff are considered to belong inside the dense 869 core, (D) Distance histograms, densities and averages (vertical dashed lines) for all viral-host protein pairs tested 870 in mN2H, including breakdown by mN2H +/- status, and subset of BioID+ interactions. mN2H+ positive pairs are 871 found at lower average distances, their distribution deviating significantly from the overall mN2H matrix 872 distribution and showing similarity to the BioID+ distribution. (E) Distance histograms, densities and averages 873

(vertical dashed lines) restricted to mN2H-tested BioID+ protein pairs including breakdown by mN2H+/- status. Despite having no influence on the construction of the 3D layout, mN2H+ pairs exhibit a lower average distance, reinforcing the predictive power of the map; (F) Distance histograms, densities and averages (dashed lines) restricted to mN2H-tested BioID- protein pairs and breakdown by mN2H+/- status. Despite having no influence on the construction of the 3D layout, N2H+ pairs exhibit a lower average distance, reinforcing the predictive power of the map.

Fig.S7. AlphaFold-Multimer predicted structures of SARS-CoV-2-host complexes. Cartoon representation of the SARS-CoV-2 /Human protein complexes with a pDockQ score >0.23. The viral proteins are shown in light violet, the host proteins in light pink. Protein surfaces are shown. When no structure prediction was available, the resulting unstructured representations obtained by AlphaFold were not shown for the corresponding proteins, owing to the lack of information they bring. The *.pdb files corresponding to each predicted complex are provided as hypertext links in **Supp table 11**.

Fig.S8. Benchmarking of the Nanoluciferase auto-complementation system for SARS-CoV-2 infection
 measurement. Vero E6-NanoLg and Vero E6-NanoSm, either cultured separately or co-cultured, equal density
 were infected with serial dilutions of the Wuhan strain of SARS-CoV-2. Luciferase was measured 24 hours post infection as a read-out of infection-induced syncytia formation.

890 Supplemental tables

Supp. Table 1. List and sequences of SARS-CoV-2_{wuhan} **ORFs used in this study**. Each gene synthesize cDNA encoding viral proteins from the early SARS-CoV-2 strain WuhanHu-1 (GenBank MN908947 ⁵⁰) where obtained from³⁴ as gateway pENTRY clones and transferred in the BioID or mN2H-dedicated plasmides

894 Supp. Table 2. SARS-CoV-2 BiolD High data. Tab A. Full high-confidence proximal interactors. The number of baits detecting a given prey protein is indicated in the 'Indegree' column. The 'Abundance vs background' 895 column represents the ratio between the detected prey in given bait assays against the control group (see Tab 896 for RawQuant Perseus data). The 'poly(I:C) vs. basal' shows the log2 fold LFQ intensity changes between the 897 898 preys detected after poly(I:C) treatment enrichment and the corresponding basal condition. Over 1 is considered as either enrichment or gain (if absent from the basal condition), between 1 and -1 corresponds to unchanged. 899 and below -1 shows decreased or lost hits. When no value is indicated, it corresponds to interactions not 900 detected in the poly(I:C) and the corresponding basal condition. The reported interactions columns display the 901 bait-prey pairs previously identified in the literature in other proximal interactomics or orthogonal physical PPIs 902 detection studies (see Tab C-1 and C-2 respectively for details and references). For each cellular protein, the 903

- number of CRISPR screens where they scored as necessary for SARS-CoV-2 infection is indicated. <u>Tab B</u>. Raw
 output of the Perseus analysis of MaxQuant LFQ results. <u>Tab D</u> ToppCluster analysis for enriched/ gained
 interactors. <u>Tab E</u>. ToppCluster analysis for enriched/ gained interactors after poly(I:C) treatment. <u>Tab F</u>.
 Reported interactions between the viral proximal factors
- 908 Supp. Table 3. Overlap of our SARS-CoV-2 BioID Datasets with reported SARS-CoV2 interactomes
- Supp. Table 4. Functional annotation of high confidence proximal interactors for each bait viral protein
 Functional annotations are generated using a compiled list of proximal interactors from N-ter, C-ter and poly(I:C)
 conditions.
- 912 Supp. Table 5. GO-term analysis of the BioID dataset compared with dataset combining all other 913 interactomes
- 914 **Supp. Table 6. Poly:IC remodeling of SARS-CoV-2 proximal interactomes**. Fold change of proximal 915 interactions is given for each host factor per viral protein, and represented by volcano plots.
- 916 **Supp.Table 7. Factors of the dense core** (less than 0.3 of the centroid in the 3D map coordinates)
- Supp.Table 8. mN2H raw data and normalization. Mean RLU of quadriplicates (Fold Change or FC matrices)
 or duplicates to quadriplicates (CRISPR matrices) of mN2H PPIs are given along with the normalization pipeline
 for mN2H positive signals scoring
- Supp. Table 9. Summary of the mN2H data. The distance to the positive PPIs threshold is given for each virus host PPIs tested. PPIs detection in previous proximal interactomics and physical interactomics studies is given,
 as well as the number of CRISPR Hits of the host factor.
- 923 Supp. Table 10. Intra-virus mN2H raw data and normalization.
- Supp. Table 11. Predictions of SARS-CoV-2-host complexes. pDockQ scores (Tab A) and confidence scores
 (Tab B) of mN2H positive virus-host PPIs obtained by AlphaFold-Multimer. pdb files of the corresponding
 predicted virus-host complex structures are accessible through hypertext links.
- 927 Supp. Table 12. Raw data of the IFN activation signaling pathway upon expression of SARS-CoV-2 928 proteins.
- 929 Acknowledgments

- The operational costs of this project were supported by the *Agence Nationale pour la Recherche* (ANR) Flash COVID-19 funding scheme (DARWIN project), the Task Force Covid19 Institut Pasteur, RECOVER project funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 (grant agreement No. 101003589), Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant n°ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID), the *Pasteur-Weizmann Council*, the French Government's Investissement d'Avenir programme and I-Site Lille.
- 935 Métropole Européenne de Lille (France) Accueil de Talent (MONET) supported E.MN.L.
- 936 G.D. is supported by a doctoral fellowship from Université Paris Cité.
- A.K. and Y.R. were supported by Task force COVID-19 funding (SARS-CoV-2 sensing) and Agence Nationale
 pour la Recherche (ANR) Flash COVID-19 funding scheme (SARS-Cov-2 immunRNAs project).
- Y.S. was supported by the Operational Program Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation, NSRF
 2014-2020, Action code: MIS 5002562, co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Regional
 Development Fund) and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the
 Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 838018.
- G.A.P. was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I) under the "First Call for
 H.F.R.I Research Projects to support Faculty members and Researchers and the procurement of high-cost
 research equipment grant" (grant 1855-BOLOGNA).
- A.-C.G. holds the Canada Research Chair (Tier 1) in Functional Proteomics.
- P.A. and P.F.B. were funded by the European Research Council's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Action
 (Grant Agreement 101003633 RiPCoN).
- E.C. was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the MarieSkłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 843052.
- We would like to thank Antoine Touzé, Yves Gruel and Mathias Faure for sharing their expertise in SARS-CoV-2 related processes. Dushyandi Rajendran kindly provided technical assistance to obtain the BioID vectors. We acknowledge Marc Vidal, David and Michael Calderwood for their fruitful comments and inputs in the framework of VirHostOme consortium. We thank Maxence Wisztorski for technical assistance with MS data, Irène Gadotti and Nathalie Vasseur for their logistic support.

956 Author contributions

957 E.MN.L., P.S-T., A-C.G., E.C., Y.J. and C.D. obtained and cloned the SARS-CoV-2 polypeptides coding sequences. E.MN.L. and P.S.T. produced BioID vectors and E.MN.L. conducted all BioID sample purification. 958 959 E.C. realized the fluorescence microscopy experiments and cell cultures for the BioID part. J-P.G. ensured all mass spectrometry acquisition and contributed to the data analyses conducted by E.C. K.B., Y.R. and A.K. 960 realized the quality controls and luciferase activity assays. G.D., M.A., M.D.S. realized the mN2H experiments. 961 F.D., F.A., S.M., C.D. set up the novel luciferase-based infection assay, F.D. and C.D. performed the follow-up 962 experiments. Y.S., N.S. and P.A. performed the structural modeling analyses. N.P. and S.V. ensured the 963 bioinformatic statistical analyses. P.F-B., P.A., A-C.G., S.VD.W., Y.J., Y.S., C.D. and E.C. contributed to the 964 project development through the VirHostOme consortium assembled ad hoc by P.F.-B to aggregate international 965 interactomics research efforts. E.C., Y.J., C.D., Y.S. and E.MN.L conceived the project. C.D. and E.C. secured 966 funding. Y.S. conceived and coded the online 3D network interactive visualization interface, with inputs from 967 E.C., C.D. and Y.J. and support of G.A.P. E.C., C.D., Y.S., M.A., A.K. and G.D assembled the figures and wrote 968 the paper, with scientific and editorial inputs from Y.J., P.F-B. and E.MN.L. 969

970 Declaration of interests

971 The authors declare no competing interests.

Fig.1



Fig.2









