

Delivery of Anticancer Drugs Using Microbubble-Assisted Ultrasound in a 3D Spheroid Model

Marie Roy, Corentin Alix, Julien Burlaud-Gaillard, Damien Fouan, William Raoul, Ayache Bouakaz, Emmanuelle Blanchard, Thierry Lecomte, Marie-Claude Viaud-Massuard, Noboru Sasaki, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Marie Roy, Corentin Alix, Julien Burlaud-Gaillard, Damien Fouan, William Raoul, et al.. Delivery of Anticancer Drugs Using Microbubble-Assisted Ultrasound in a 3D Spheroid Model. Molecular Pharmaceutics, 2024, Online ahead of print. 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.3c00921. inserm-04374054

HAL Id: inserm-04374054 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04374054v1

Submitted on 5 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Delivery of Anti-Cancer Drugs using Microbubble Assisted Ultrasound in a 3D Spheroid Model

3	Marie Roy ¹ , Corentin Alix ¹ , Julien Burlaud-Gaillard ² , Damien Fouan ¹ , William Raoul ⁴ ,
4	Ayache Bouakaz ¹ , Emmanuelle Blanchard ² , Thierry Lecomte ^{4,5} , Marie-Claude Viaud-Massuard ⁶ ,
5	Noboru Sasaki ⁷ , Sophie Serrière ^{1,3*} ‡, Jean-Michel Escoffre ^{1*} ‡
6	¹ UMR 1253, iBrain, Université de Tours, Inserm, 37032 Tours, France
7	² Inserm U1259, Université de Tours et CHRU de Tours & Plateforme IBiSA des Microscopies,
8	PPF ASB, CHRU de Tours, Tours, France
9	³ Département d'Imagerie Préclinique, Plateforme Scientifique et Technique Analyse des
10	Systèmes Biologiques, Université de Tours, 37032 Tours, France
11	⁴ Inserm UMR 1069, Nutrition Croissance et Cancer (N2C), Université de Tours, France
12	⁵ Department of Hepato-Gastroenterology & Digestive Oncology, CHRU de Tours, France
13	⁶ UMR 1100, Centre D'Etude Des Pathologies Respiratoires, Université de Tours, Inserm, Tours,
14	France
15	⁷ Laboratory of Veterinary Internal Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences; Faculty of
16	Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

1

- 17 RUNNING TITLE
- 18 Acoustically mediated drug delivery in spheroids
- 19 AUTHOR INFORMATION
- 20 Author contributions
- 21 ‡ S.S. and J.M.E. contributed equally to this work. All authors have given approval to the final
- 22 version of the manuscript.
- 23 Corresponding authors
- 24 **S. Serrière, Ph.D. and J.M. Escoffre, Ph.D., UMR 1253, iBrain, Université de Tours, Inserm, 10
- 25 bd Tonnellé, 37032 Tours Cedex 1, France. Tel: +33(0)247366343 (S.S.), Tel: +33(0)247366191
- 26 (J.M.E.). *Email address:* <u>sophie.serriere@univ-tours.fr</u> and <u>jean-michel.escoffre@univ-tours.fr</u>.
- 27 ORCID
- 28 Corentin Alix : 0000-0002-1841-0572
- 29 Julien Burlaud-Gaillard : 0000-0001-5171-9873
- 30 William Raoul : 0000-0002-5040-3372
- 31 Emmanuelle Blanchard : 0000-0002-9541-5190
- 32 Ayache Bouakaz : 0000-0001-5709-7120
- 33 Thierry Lecomte : 0000-0001-5093-0212
- 34 Sophie Serrière : 0000-0002-7113-0254
- 35 Jean-Michel Escoffre : 0000-0003-1041-6950
- 36 Notes
- 37 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

38 ABSTRACT (200 WORDS)

39 Tumor spheroids are promising 3D in vitro tumor models for the evaluation of drug delivery 40 methods. The design of noninvasive and targeted drug methods is required to improve the 41 intratumoral bioavailability of chemotherapeutic drugs and to reduce their adverse off-target 42 effects. Among such methods, microbubble-assisted ultrasound (MB-assisted US) is an innovative 43 modality for noninvasive targeted drug delivery. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the 44 efficacy of this US modality for the delivery of bleomycin, doxorubicin and irinotecan in colorectal 45 cancer (CRC) spheroids. MB-assisted US permeabilized the CRC spheroids to propidium iodide, 46 which was used as a drug model, without affecting their growth and viability. Histological analysis 47 and electron microscopy revealed that MB-assisted US affected only the peripheral layer of CRC 48 spheroids. The acoustically mediated bleomycin delivery induced a significant decrease in CRC 49 spheroid growth in comparison to spheroids treated with bleomycin alone. However, this US 50 modality did not improve the therapeutic efficacy of doxorubicin and irinotecan on CRC spheroids. 51 In conclusion, this study demonstrates that tumor spheroids are a relevant approach to evaluate the 52 efficacy of MB-assisted US for the delivery of chemotherapeutics.

53 KEYWORDS: Microbubble – Ultrasound – Sonoporation – Drug delivery – Spheroid – Colorectal
54 Cancer.

55



Graphical abstract

56 INTRODUCTION

57 Ethical considerations in animal experimentation have led researchers to consider and design new 3D in vitro tumor models for drug screening, drug design, drug targeting and drug 58 59 toxicity, especially when the promising results obtained in 2D in vitro tumor models (e.g., cell 60 monolayers, coculture systems, etc.) have not been reproduced in animal tumor models. Among 61 these 3D models, tumor spheroids are the most exploited for the design and validation of anticancer 62 strategies. As reported in [1], tumor spheroids are 3D aggregates of tumor cells that may or may 63 not be associated with other cell types, such as fibroblasts or immune cells. These cells interact 64 not only with themselves but also with an extracellular matrix (*i.e.*, endogenous or exogenous), thus creating a dense molecular and cellular network, which limits drug access to tumor cells [2,3]. 65 66 The main physiological consequence of such gradients is the establishment of a peripheral layer 67 of proliferative cells, an intermediate layer of quiescent cells and a necrotic core, as described for 68 tumors in vivo [4,5]. Thus, these spheroids partially mimic in vivo tumor physiology, 69 heterogeneity, microenvironment, and drug resistance [6]. These intrinsic properties of the tumor 70 and its microenvironment restrict the extravasation, penetration, targeting and retention of drugs 71 into the tumor tissue, thus resulting in low therapeutic efficacy and severe off-target effects. To 72 overcome these limitations, the design and evaluation of efficient and targeted drug delivery 73 modalities are required to increase the local dose of anticancer drugs at the desired site while 74 reducing side effects to healthy tissues.

Among these modalities, microbubble-assisted ultrasound (MB-assisted US) is a promising physical method for the noninvasive and targeted delivery of various types of anticancer drugs, including chemotherapeutic drugs, nucleic acids (*e.g.*, plasmid DNA, mRNA, siRNA), immunotherapeutics, kinase inhibitors, tumor sensitizers and oncolytic viruses [7–10]. These

79 therapeutics and MBs (*i.e.*, bare or targeted ones) can be administered intratumorally and 80 intravenously depending on the pharmacological properties of both agents and/or the desired 81 therapeutic effect [11]. The therapeutics are either coadministered or administered successively 82 with MBs in vivo and can also be charged on or into the MBs and be administered together. Then, 83 US is applied to the tumor tissue when a quantity of MBs and drugs are sufficiently accumulated 84 [12]. In response to US waves, MBs oscillate close to biological barriers, such as the plasma 85 membrane of tumor cells (after their intratumoral administration) or the blood-tumor barrier (after 86 their intravenous administration), therefore promoting acoustic phenomena (e.g., pulling/pushing 87 processes, microstreaming, shock waves, microjet) [13]. These phenomena transiently permeabilize these barriers to the therapeutics through the stimulation of intracellular (e.g., 88 89 formation of membrane pores and endocytosis), paracellular (e.g., disruption of tight junctions) 90 and transcellular (e.g., transcytosis) pathways. Thus, this US modality enhances the therapeutic 91 efficacy of anticancer drugs by improving their intratumoral bioavailability while minimizing their 92 off-target effects [14].

Since the advent of spheroids, fewer than ten published *in vitro* studies have used spheroids to either design and evaluate US protocols and new formulations of drugs and MBs or to investigate the influence of the tumor microenvironment on therapeutic efficacy [1,15,16]. In this context, the aims of the present study are (i) to evaluate the influence of acoustic pressure and MB concentration on the delivery of a drug model into colorectal cancer (CRC) spheroids and (ii) to investigate whether MB-assisted US is able to deliver different types of chemotherapeutic drugs in these CRC spheroids.

100 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

101 Cell culture

The IVISbrite[®] HCT-116 Red F-luc tumor cell line (Perkin-Elmer[®], Codolet, France) is a 102 103 bioluminescence-producing cell line derived from HCT-116 human colorectal carcinoma. These 104 cells were stably transduced with the redshifted firefly luciferase gene from Luciola Italica. They 105 were cultured in McCoy's 5A (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) supplemented with 10% 106 fetal calf serum (FCS; Eurobio, Courtaboeuf, France) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo 107 Fisher Scientific), and they were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. 108 This cell line has been chosen because it is the most common cell line used to generate CRC 109 spheroids uniformly and reproducibly. In addition, the physiological properties of these spheroids 110 are well documented [17,18]

111 Spheroid formation

112 Adapted from Griseti et al., [19] the cells were seeded at a density of 500 cells/well in a 113 96-well clear round bottom ultralow attachment (ULA) microplate (Dutscher, Bernolsheim, 114 France) and centrifuged at 500 \times g for 3 min. Then, the microplate was incubated at 37 °C in a 115 humidified atmosphere in a 5% CO₂ incubator. The plastic surface of these wells is treated to 116 prevent the attachment of cells to this surface and to promote their aggregation. This ULA surface 117 enables uniform and reproducible 3D multicellular spheroid formation after 3 days. In a 118 preliminary study, a groupwise analysis of spheroid area using a Kruskal-Wallis test showed no 119 significant difference in spheroid uniformity in microplates under our experimental conditions (p 120 > 0.05; n > 400 spheroids from 6 independent experiments). In addition, the intragroup coefficients 121 of variation of spheroid area for each experiment are small (4.6 to 4.8) indicating a greater 122 reproducibility of spheroids in our experimental conditions. The spheroids were spherical and 123 cohesive and have with an average diameter of $300 \pm 10 \,\mu\text{m}$.

124 Anticancer drugs and microbubbles

125 Bleomycin sulfate (B1141000; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and doxorubicin 126 (D2975000; Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO) were dissolved in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered 127 saline solution (DPBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 1 mM stock solution. Irinotecan (Accord 128 Health care AG, Bottmingen, Switzerland) was a generous gift from Dr. Virginie André (Regional 129 Center of Cancerology, Henry Kaplan, CHRU de Tours, France), and its concentration was 20 130 mg/mL. Vevo MicroMarker[®] contrast agents were purchased from VisualSonics-Fujifilm Inc. 131 (Toronto, Canada) [20,21]. These agents are MBs consisting of a gaseous core of nitrogen and a 132 perfluorobutane mixture encapsulated in by a PEGylated phospholipid shell [22]. As previously 133 reported in our in-vitro and in-vivo studies, these MBs are the most effective in delivering 134 therapeutic molecules including anticancer drugs and plasmid DNA[21-23]. They were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions at a final concentration of 2.10⁹ MB/mL. 135

136 Ultrasound device

137 A 1-MHz single-element transducer (IBMF014; NDT Systems, Nashua, NH, USA) was 138 used for membrane permeabilization and drug delivery. The transducer had a diameter of 12.7 139 mm, a natural focal distance at 27 mm and a focal spot of 6 mm. An arbitrary waveform generator 140 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) was used to generate an electrical sinusoidal signal with a central 141 frequency of 1 MHz, pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of 10 kHz and a duty cycle of 40%. The 142 signal was then amplified using a power amplifier (AAP-500-0.2-6-D; ADECE, Veigné, France) 143 before its transmission to the transducer. A separate system using a calibrated capsule hydrophone 144 (HGL-0085; ONDA Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used to determine the lateral and 145 axial acoustic profiles and the sensitivity curve of the transducer. The peak negative pressures 146 (PNP) measured in the cuvette on the axis of transducer were 126, 252, 378 and 504 kPa while 147 these PNP were 100, 200, 300 and 400 kPa in water, respectively.

149 Propidium iodide (PI, P4864; Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a drug model (669.39 Da; 150 hydrodynamic radius of 0.6 nm) to investigate the influence of peak negative pressure (PNP; 100, 151 200, 300 and 400 kPa) and MB concentration (2.107, 4.107 and 8.107 MB/mL) on spheroid 152 permeabilization. This molecule is a non-permeant and fluorescent DNA intercalating agent, 153 which is commonly used as a membrane integrity marker to investigate the membrane 154 permeabilization [24–27]. This fluorescent dye has a very low fluorescent intensity in an aqueous 155 solution, while its quantum yield is 1000-fold enhanced after its intracellular uptake and its binding 156 to DNA. A suspension of 5 spheroids in 1.5 mL of McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with 1 % 157 FCS was placed in a dedicated plastic cuvette. Then, a solution of PI (100 µM final concentration) 158 and MBs was added to the spheroid suspension just before US exposure. The center of the cuvette 159 was immersed in a deionized and degassed water tank at 37°C, and its center was positioned at the 160 focal distance of the transducer (Figure 1). Subsequently, the spheroids were exposed to 1 MHz 161 sinusoid US waves with a pulse repetition period (PRP) of 100 µs, 40 cycles per pulse (*i.e.*, 40 % 162 duty cycle) and for 1 min. Ten minutes later, the penetration and intracellular accumulation of PI 163 into spheroids were assessed using a fluorescence microscope (EVOS, M5000, Thermo Fisher 164 Scientific). A semi-quantitative analysis of microscopic images was performed with ImageJ 165 software (NIH, Bethesda, MA) in order to determine the integrated intensity of PI (a.u./µm²). 166 Representative confocal images of permeabilized spheroids were obtained using LEICA SP8 167 gSTED confocal microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

168 The spheroids were exposed to MB-assisted US in the absence of PI in order to assess the 169 effects of acoustically mediated membrane permeabilization on the spheroid growth and viability 170 following the protocols described below.



Figure 1. Set up and experimental timeline. (A) *In vitro* US setup. (B) Timeline of acoustically mediated drug delivery
experiments on CRC spheroids.

174 Drug Delivery

175 Three chemotherapeutic drugs, including bleomycin (0.1 µM and 1 µM), doxorubicin (1 µM and 10 µM) and irinotecan (0.1 µg/mL and 1 µg/mL), were acoustically delivered (1 MHz, 176 100 µs PRP, 40 cycles/pulse for 1 min in the presence of 4.10⁷ MB/mL) into the spheroids using 177 the same US protocol and setup described above for spheroid permeabilization. The concentrations 178 179 of drugs were selected based on our in vitro preliminary studies. Ten minutes after US exposure, the spheroids were transferred to a 96-well ULA microplate. The final concentrations of FCS and 180 181 antibiotics were increased to 10% and 1%, respectively. Finally, the microplate was incubated at 182 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere in a 5% CO₂ incubator for spheroid growth.

183 Spheroid growth

184 Spheroid growth was monitored by optical microscopy (EVOS, M5000) every two days

185 for ten days after US exposure. Then, the spheroid area was measured using ImageJ software.

186 Spheroid viability

187 On the 13th day post-US exposure, spheroid viability was assessed using the trypan blue 188 exclusion assay. Briefly, the spheroids were harvested and washed with PBS. The spheroids were 189 pooled in two groups and incubated with 50 μ L of Accumax (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 15 min 190 at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere in a 5% CO₂ incubator for enzymatic dissociation. Then, they 191 were dissociated mechanically (rapid pipetting) to generate a cell suspension. One volume of cells 192 was mixed with an equal volume of trypan blue. Finally, the concentration of viable cells was 193 measured using a CountessTM automated cell counter (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA).

194 Transmission electron microscopy

195 As previously reported [28], the spheroids were fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde and 4% 196 paraformaldehyde (Sigma–Aldrich) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) for 24 h. Then, the samples 197 were washed in PBS and postfixed in 2% buffered osmium tetroxide (Agar Scientific, Stansted, 198 UK) for 1 h. After dehydration in a graded series of ethanol solutions (70% and 90% v/v) and 199 propylene oxide (100%), an impregnation step was performed with a mixture of propylene 200 oxide/Epon resin (1:1; Sigma-Aldrich) and then left overnight in pure resin. The samples were 201 then embedded in Epon resin (Sigma-Aldrich), which was allowed to polymerize for 48 h at 60 202 °C. Thin (250 nm) and ultrathin sections (90 nm) of these blocks were generated with a Leica EM 203 UC7 ultramicrotome (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Thin sections were stained with 0.5% toluidine 204 blue (Sigma-Aldrich), and ultrathin sections were stained with 2% uranyl acetate (Agar Scientific 205 Ltd, Stansted, UK) and 5% lead citrate (Sigma–Aldrich). The microscopic observations were made 206 with a transmission electron microscope (JEOL 1011, Tokyo, Japan).

207 Statistical analysis

All quantitative data were analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis's test and Dunn's multiple comparison test for spheroid permeabilization and viability and two-way ANOVA and Dunnett's test for spheroid growth (significance was defined as p < 0.05) with GraphPad Prism v.9.5.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA).

212 RESULTS

213 CRC spheroid permeabilization to small molecules

To evaluate the influence of PNP and MB concentration on the permeabilization level of CRC spheroids, the membrane permeabilization of tumor cells was monitored using a small and nonpermeant drug model, PI, and the permeabilization level was assessed by epifluorescence microscopy.

218 As depicted in Figures 2A and 2B, the simple incubation of spheroids with PI revealed the presence of fluorescence labeling $(1.7 \pm 0.3 \times 10^6 \text{ a.u.}/\mu\text{m}^2)$ inside the spheroids corresponding to 219 220 the presence of dead cells. The exposure of spheroids to 100 kPa in the presence of MBs $(4 \times 10^7 \text{ MB/mL})$ significantly increased their permeabilization to PI compared to PI incubation 221 alone (***p < 0.001; $3.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^6$ versus $1.7 \pm 0.3 \times 10^6$ a.u./ μ m²). The increase in the acoustic 222 223 pressure from 200 to 300 kPa caused spheroid permeabilization similar to that at an acoustic pressure of 100 kPa (p > 0.05; $3.4 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6$ and $4.1 \pm 0.9 \times 10^6$ a.u./µm², respectively). 224 225 However, the exposure of spheroids to 400 kPa induced a 2.5-fold increase in their 226 permeabilization in comparison to their exposure to 100 kPa (****p < 0.0001; $8.5 \pm 1.2 \times 10^6$ 227 *versus* $3.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{6}$ a.u./µm²).

The influence of this range of PNP (from 100 to 400 kPa) on spheroid growth and viability was investigated by optical microscopy over 13 days and using a trypan blue exclusion assay on

11

230 the 13th day of spheroid growth, respectively. The increase in PNP had no significant influence on

231 spheroid growth (Figure 2C) or viability (Figure 2D).





233 Figure 2. Influence of peak negative pressure (PNP) on CRC spheroid permeabilization, growth and viability. CRC 234 spheroids were incubated with 100 μ M PI alone or with MB-assisted US at 100 to 400 kPa for 1 min. (A) 235 Representative fluorescence images of permeabilized spheroids. The scale bar indicates 300 µm. (B) Quantification 236 of PI fluorescence intensity of permeabilized spheroids. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated from 18 CRC 237 spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis's test and Dunn's multiple comparison test. 238 Significance was defined as p < 0.05 (***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001). Then, CRC spheroids were exposed to MB-239 assisted US at 100 to 400 kPa for 1 min. (C) The spheroid growth was assessed over time using optical imaging. Data 240 expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated from 18 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Two-way 241 ANOVA test and Dunnett's test. Significance was defined as p < 0.05 (D) The spheroid viability was evaluated on the 242 13^{th} day post-US exposure, using trypan blue dye exclusion assay. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated

from 18 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal–Wallis's test and Dunn's multiple comparison test. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Then, the influence of MB concentration (2.10⁷, 4.10⁷ and 8.10⁷ MB/mL) on spheroid 245 246 permeabilization to PI was investigated at the given PNP of 400 kPa using the same experimental 247 strategy as shown in Figures 3A and 3B. As described above, the coincubation of spheroids with 248 PI stained the dead cells, thus causing an increase in the associated fluorescence intensity $(1.7 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{6} \text{ a.u./um}^{2})$. The insonation of spheroids in the presence of MBs at 2.10⁷ MB/mL 249 250 led to a significant permeabilization of spheroids compared to PI incubation alone $(***p < 0.0001; 7.1 \pm 1 \times 10^6 \text{ versus } 1.7 \pm 0.3 \times 10^6 \text{ a.u./}\mu\text{m}^2)$. Surprisingly, the doubling or 251 252 quadrupling of the MB concentration resulted in similar permeabilization of spheroids as for an MB concentration of 2.10⁷ MB/mL (p > 0.05; 8.5 ± 1.1×10⁶ and 7.2 ± 1×10⁶ a.u./µm², 253 respectively). In addition, the increase in MB concentration from 2.10⁷ to 8.10⁷ MB/mL did not 254 255 affect either spheroid growth over time (Figure 3C) or viability on the 13th day (Figure 3D). 256 Altogether, these data show that MB-assisted US permeabilizes CRC spheroids without affecting 257 their growth and viability under our experimental conditions.



258

259 Figure 3. Influence of MB concentration on CRC spheroid permeabilization, growth and viability. CRC spheroids 260 were incubated with 100 μ M PI alone or with MB-assisted US at 400 kPa for 1 min in the presence of 2.10⁷, 4.10⁷ or 261 8.10⁷ MB/mL. (A) Representative fluorescence images of permeabilized spheroids. The scale bar indicates 300 µm. 262 (B) Quantification of PI fluorescence intensity of permeabilized spheroids. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was 263 calculated from 18 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis's test and Dunn's 264 multiple comparison test. Significance was defined as p < 0.05 (****p < 0.0001). CRC spheroids were incubated with 100 uM PI alone or with MB-assisted US at 400 kPa for 1 min in the presence of 2.10⁷, 4.10⁷ or 8.10⁷ MB/mL. (C) 265 266 The spheroid growth was assessed over time using optical imaging. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated 267 from 18 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Two-way ANOVA test and Dunnett's test. 268 Significance was defined as p < 0.05 (D) The spheroid viability was evaluated on the 13th day post-MB-assisted US 269 exposure, using trypan blue dye exclusion assay. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated from 18 CRC 270 spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis's test and Dunn's multiple comparison test. 271 Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

In addition, our confocal microscopic observations of permeabilized spheroids revealed heterogeneous permeabilization between the spheroids and within each spheroid. Indeed, the spheroids showed a low or high number of fluorescent cells predominantly located in the outer cell layer of spheroids (**Figure 4**).







278 Impact of US-MB on spheroid structure

Using the same setup and experimental conditions (400 kPa PNP, 4.10⁷ MB/mL) previously described for spheroid permeabilization, ultrastructural modifications of CRC spheroids were investigated using both histology after toluidine blue staining (**Figures 5A and 5B**) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; **Figure 5C**) immediately and 20 min after MBassisted US (in the absence of PI). Optical images of control spheroids (no MB-assisted US

284 exposure) revealed no visually apparent effects on spheroid morphology (Figure 5A). In the 285 control condition, few intercellular disruptions were observed in the peripheral layers of tumor 286 cells, which could be attributed to the putative artifactual effect of sample preparation and handling 287 (Figures 5A and 5B). However, the spheroids appeared less cohesive in structure immediately 288 after MB-assisted US exposure compared to the control spheroids (Figure 5A). The intercellular 289 junctions of tumor cells located in the peripheral layers of spheroids were significantly disrupted 290 in comparison to the control spheroids (**p < 0.01; $37 \pm 2\%$ versus $12 \pm 2\%$; Figure 5B). A 291 slight but nonsignificant decrease in this acoustically disrupted area was observed 20 min after 292 US-assisted MB exposure (p > 0.05; 32 ± 3 % versus 37 ± 2 %; Figure 5B). One noticed that MB-293 assisted US did not seem to affect the structure of the intermediate layer or the core of spheroids 294 under our experimental conditions. Moreover, TEM analysis confirmed these histological 295 observations. The sonicated spheroids exhibited significant changes in cell morphology and 296 organization (*i.e.*, wide intercellular gaps) in the peripheral layer of spheroids, which resulted in 297 loss of spheroid cohesion in this layer compared to the control (Figure 5C). These results 298 demonstrate that MB-assisted US affects only the peripheral layer of CRC spheroids.



Figure 5. Effects of MB-assisted US on spheroid structure. (A) Representative optical images of CRC spheroid slices stained with toluidine blue, immediately or 20 min after MB-assisted US exposure (MB concentration: 4.10^7 MB/mL, US parameters: 400 kPa for 1 min). The scale bar indicates 100 µm. The red square shows peripheral layer of CRC spheroids. (B) Percentage of the disrupted spheroid area after MB-assisted US exposure. Data expressed as mean ± SEM was calculated from 12 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal–Wallis's test and Dunn's multiple comparison test. Significance was defined as p < 0.05 (**p < 0.01). (C) Representative TEM images of disrupted area of CRC spheroids. The scale bar indicates 10 µm.

307 Drug delivery using MB-assisted US

Three anticancer drugs, bleomycin, doxorubicin and irinotecan, were acoustically delivered at two different concentrations inside CRC spheroids using the US setup and parameters (400 kPa PNP, 4.10⁷ MB/mL). The cytotoxic effects of such drugs were investigated by monitoring spheroid growth over 13 days under optical microscopy and by assessing spheroid viability on the 13th day using a trypan blue exclusion assay. 313 Figure 6A displays microscopy images of control spheroids (i.e., no treatment) and 314 spheroids treated once either with bleomycin alone or with bleomycin delivered using MB-assisted 315 US (*i.e.*, 0.1 and 1 µM bleomycin). As shown in this figure, the spheroid size and morphology were highly uniform between all treatment groups on the 3rd day (*i.e.*, images acquired before the 316 317 treatment). This good reproducibility of our spheroid model enabled us to clearly assess their 318 cytotoxic effects over time; here, only the last day of follow-up is shown. Control spheroids 319 exhibited linear growth, and the spheroid-doubling time was close to 5 days (Figure 6B). The 320 incubation of spheroids with 0.1 µM bleomycin significantly reduced spheroid growth over 13 days without inducing spheroid destruction compared to the control condition (****p < 0.0001). 321 322 Consequently, the spheroid-doubling time increased to 6 days. The acoustically mediated delivery 323 of bleomycin at 0.1 µM led to an additional and significant reduction in spheroid growth (without inducing spheroid destruction) in comparison with bleomycin treatment alone (****p < 0.0001). 324 325 This reduction in spheroid growth was associated with the prolongation of spheroid-doubling time, 326 *i.e.*, 7 days. The increase in bleomycin concentration from 0.1 to 1 µM significantly accentuated 327 the slowdown of spheroid growth (****p < 0.0001; Figure 6B) without causing spheroid 328 destruction and increased the spheroid-doubling time to 9 days. One noticed that the therapeutic 329 efficacy of acoustically mediated delivery of bleomycin at 0.1 µM is close to that obtained with bleomycin treatment alone at 1 μ M (p > 0.05). The exposure of spheroids to MB-assisted US in 330 331 the presence of 1 µM bleomycin completely inhibited their growth compared to the bleomycin 332 treatment alone (****p < 0.0001). On the 13th day, the trypan blue exclusion assay confirmed these 333 results (Figure 6C). The acoustically mediated delivery of 0.1 μ M bleomycin induced a 2-fold 334 decrease in spheroid viability compared to bleomycin treatment alone (**p < 0.01). This reduction 335 in spheroid viability was similar to that caused by the treatment of spheroids with 1 μ M bleomycin alone (p > 0.05). As expected, the delivery of 1 µM bleomycin using MB-assisted US significantly decreased spheroid viability compared to bleomycin treatment alone at 1 µM (**p < 0.01). These results show that MB-assisted US potentiates the cytotoxic effect of bleomycin on CRC spheroids.



340 Figure 6. Bleomycin delivery using MB-assisted US. CRC spheroids were incubated with 0.1 or 1 µM bleomycin 341 alone or with MB-assisted US at 400 kPa for 1 min in the presence of 4.107 MB/mL. (A) Representative optical images 342 of CRC spheroids before (Day 3) and 10 days after treatment (Day 13). The scale bar indicates 300 µm. (B) The 343 spheroid growth was assessed over time using optical imaging. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated from 344 8 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Two-way ANOVA test and Dunnett's test. Significance 345 was defined as p < 0.05 (****p < 0.0001). (C) The spheroid viability was evaluated on the 13th day post-MB-assisted 346 US exposure, using trypan blue dye exclusion assay. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis's test 347 and Dunn's multiple comparison test. Significance was defined as p < 0.05 (**p < 0.01).

339

348 As shown in **Figure 7**, the cytotoxic effect of the acoustically mediated delivery of 349 doxorubicin (*i.e.*, 1 and 10 μ M) was investigated following the same protocol reported above. As 350 previously described, control spheroids displayed linear growth with a doubling time close to 5 351 days (Figures 7A and 7B). Doxorubicin treatment alone at 1 µM induced complete inhibition of spheroid growth (****p < 0.0001; Figures 7A and 7B), while treatment with a 10-fold higher 352 353 concentration induced a significant reduction in spheroid area related to an almost complete destruction of the spheroid compared to control spheroids (****p < 0.0001; Figures 7A and 7B). 354 355 Regardless of the doxorubicin concentration, its cytotoxic effect was not potentiated when this 356 anticancer drug was delivered using MB-assisted US inside the spheroids (p > 0.05). These data 357 were also confirmed by trypan blue exclusion assay on Day 13 (Figure 7C). Doxorubicin 358 treatment alone at 1 µM led to a 3.8-fold decrease in spheroid viability compared to the control condition (***p < 0.001; 2.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10⁵ versus 1.0 \pm 0.08 \times 10⁶ viable cells/mL). The acoustically 359 360 mediated delivery of 1 µM doxorubicin did not result in an additional reduction in spheroid 361 viability in comparison to doxorubicin treatment alone (p > 0.05). Similarly, 10 μ M doxorubicin 362 delivered or not using MB-assisted US induced a comparable decrease in spheroid viability $(p > 0.05; 6.0 \pm 1.2 \times 10^4 versus 4.5 \pm 1.8 \times 10^4 viable cells/mL)$. Altogether, these data reveal that 363 364 under our experimental conditions, MB-assisted US does not increase the cytotoxic effect of 365 doxorubicin on CRC spheroids at either selected concentration.





367 Figure 7. Doxorubicin delivery using MB-assisted US. CRC spheroids were incubated with 1 or 10 µM doxorubicin 368 alone or with MB-assisted US at 400 kPa for 1 min in the presence of 4.10⁷ MB/mL. (A) Representative optical images 369 of CRC spheroids before (Day 3) and 10 days after treatment (Day 13). The scale bar indicates 300 µm. (B) The 370 spheroid growth was assessed over time using optical imaging. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated from 371 8 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Two-way ANOVA test and Dunnett's test. Significance 372 was defined as p < 0.05. (C) The spheroid viability was evaluated on the 13th day post- MB-assisted US exposure, 373 using trypan blue dye exclusion assay. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis's test and Dunn's 374 multiple comparison test. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Moreover, the CRC spheroids were treated with irinotecan at 0.1 µg/mL or 1 µg/mL alone or delivered using MB-assisted US. Once again, the spheroids exhibited linear growth with a doubling time close to 5 days under control conditions (**Figures 8A** and **8B**). At a 0.1 µg/mL concentration, slower spheroid growth was observed compared to the control condition (****p < 0.0001; **Figures 8A** and **8B**). The acoustically mediated delivery of such irinotecan 380 concentration induced a slightly more important reduction of spheroid growth compared to the 381 0.1 μ g/mL irinotecan treatment alone, but this result was not significant (p > 0.05). The incubation 382 of spheroids with 1 µg/mL irinotecan alone resulted in a complete inhibition of spheroid growth without generating their destruction in comparison to the control condition (****p < 0.0001; 383 384 Figures 8A and 8B). Unfortunately, the delivery of such irinotecan concentrations using MB-385 assisted US did not lead to an additional and significant decrease in spheroid growth compared to 386 irinotecan treatment alone (p > 0.05). The trypan blue exclusion assay supported these results 387 (Figure 8C). The acoustically mediated delivery of 0.1 μ g/mL irinotecan caused a slight but 388 nonsignificant decrease in spheroid viability in comparison to 0.1 µg/mL irinotecan treatment alone (p > 0.05; $4.0 \pm 0.3 \times 10^5$ versus $5.5 \pm 0.6 \times 10^5$ viable cells/mL). No significant decrease in 389 390 spheroid viability was observed between their treatment with 1 µg/mL irinotecan alone or delivered using MB-assisted US (p > 0.05; $6.0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^4$ versus $5.5 \pm 0.7 \times 10^4$ viable cells/mL). 391 392 These results demonstrate that under our experimental conditions, MB-assisted US does not 393 potentiate the cytotoxic effect of irinotecan on CRC spheroids regardless of its selected 394 concentration.



395

396 Figure 8. Irinotecan delivery using MB-assisted US. CRC spheroids were incubated with 0.1 or 1 µg/mL irinotecan 397 alone or with MB-assisted US at 400 kPa for 1 min in the presence of 4.10⁷ MB/mL. (A) Representative optical images 398 of CRC spheroids before (Day 3) and 10 days after treatment (Day 13). The scale bar indicates 300 µm. (B) The 399 spheroid growth was assessed over time using optical imaging. Data expressed as mean \pm SEM was calculated from 400 8 CRC spheroids. Statistical analysis was performed using Two-way ANOVA test and Dunnett's test. Significance 401 was defined as p < 0.05. (C) The spheroid viability was evaluated on the 13th day post-MB-assisted US exposure, 402 using trypan blue dye exclusion assay. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis's test and Dunn's 403 multiple comparison test. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

404 DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of MB-assisted US for the delivery of anticancer drugs to CRC spheroids. First, the influence of PNP and MB concentration on the permeabilization of these spheroids to small molecules was assessed using PI as a drug model. Our results show that MB-assisted US significantly increases the delivery of this drug model into CRC

409 spheroids (Figures 2A and 2B). As previously reported for cell suspensions or *in vivo*, the 410 permeabilization efficiency depends on PNP [29-31]. The increase in PNP induces a gradual 411 permeabilization of spheroids, and a maximum permeabilization efficiency is reached at a PNP of 412 400 kPa. In future experiments, it could be relevant to investigate in depth the influence of other 413 US parameters on the permeabilization of spheroids. Surprisingly, the increase in MB 414 concentration did not affect the permeabilization efficiency of spheroids (Figures 3A and 3B). 415 The data available in the literature showed that the permeabilization of cells and tissues is 416 dependent on the MB concentration [32–34]. In the near future, the influence of a wider range of 417 MB concentrations as well as the type of MBs (*i.e.*, soft- vs. hard-shelled MBs, bare vs. targeted 418 MBs, poly-vs. mono-disperse MBs, etc.) on spheroid permeabilization will be evaluated with our 419 US setup. The 3D view of permeabilized spheroids using confocal fluorescence microscopy 420 allowed accurate location of permeabilized cells in the peripheral layer of spheroids (Figure 4). 421 Such spheroid phenotype with more or less significant number of permeabilized cells is the most 422 frequently observed phenotype in previous studies. Nevertheless, Paškevičiūtė et al.[35] reported 423 that MB-assisted US enhanced the permeabilization of peripheral and middle layers to doxorubicin 424 (anticancer fluorescent drug, 544 Da) in lung and breast cancer spheroids. This difference observed 425 between our study and those published may be related to the US setup and parameters, MBs (e.g., 426 type, dose, *etc.*), tumor cell line and the dye used as the model drug.

Subsequently, we investigated the bioeffects of MB-mediated US on the architecture of spheroids using TEM and histology after toluidine blue staining. MB-assisted US strongly altered the peripheral cell layers of spheroids. The shape of the tumor cells was modified, and the intercellular junctions were disrupted from the spheroid surface to a depth of 70 nm immediately after US exposure as well as 20 min later (**Figure 5**). These results suggest that the mechanical 432 actions of cavitating MBs take place on the surface and in the outer layers of the spheroids. Due 433 to their micrometric size, the MBs would not diffuse inside the spheroids. To confirm this 434 hypothesis, spheroid permeabilization in the presence of fluorescent MBs and PI could be 435 conducted in real time under a confocal microscope. In addition, our TEM results confirmed our 436 confocal microscopic observations of permeabilized spheroids. This preliminary electron 437 microscopy study is, to our knowledge, the first of its kind in the field of acoustically mediated 438 drug delivery into spheroids.

439 Moreover, we assessed the ability of MB-assisted US to deliver chemotherapeutic drugs, 440 including bleomycin, doxorubicin and irinotecan, into CRC spheroids. These spheroids were 441 treated with two different drug concentrations and then exposed or not to MB-assisted US. The 442 results showed that the cytotoxic effect of bleomycin was potentiated when this drug was delivered 443 using MB-assisted US (Figure 6). In agreement with published data, these results indirectly 444 demonstrated that the increased cytotoxicity of bleomycin could be ascribed to an enhancement in 445 its intracellular uptake through acoustically induced hydrophilic pores [36,37]. The transport of 446 bleomycin across the plasma membrane of tumor cells is governed by the receptor-mediated 447 endocytosis process [38]. The low number of these membrane receptors exposed at the cell surface 448 limits the intracellular accumulation of bleomycin and therefore its cytotoxicity. The exposure of 449 spheroids to MB-assisted US leads to an increased membrane permeability of tumor cells and 450 enables the direct access of bleomycin to their cytoplasm, where its molecular targets are located. 451 These results are in agreement with previous studies conducted in vitro on different human and 452 murine tumor cell lines (i.e., gingival squamous carcinoma, melanoma, glioblastoma and 453 colorectal cancer) [39-41] and in vivo (i.e., gingival squamous carcinoma and melanoma) [39,40]. 454 The acoustically mediated delivery of bleomycin induces a 50% to 80% decrease in cell viability

regardless of the cell line used and experimental conditions (*i.e.*, cell suspension *versus* adherent cells, type and dose of MBs, bleomycin concentration and US parameters). *In vivo*, Iwanaga et al. reported that the repeated delivery of a low bleomycin dose (10 μg) using MB-assisted US led to a near disappearance of the tumor in a murine squamous carcinoma model without inducing severe side effects [39]. Altogether, these results suggest that spheroids could be a suitable predictive and complementary tumor model between *in vitro* and *in vivo* models.

461 Surprisingly, MB-assisted US did not potentiate the cytotoxic effects of doxorubicin and 462 irinotecan on CRC spheroids under our experimental conditions (Figures 7 and 8). The first 463 hypothesis, which could explain these results, relies on the intrinsic properties of these anticancer 464 drugs (Table 1). Doxorubicin and irinotecan are low molecular weight molecules (< 600 Da) that 465 can passively cross the plasma membrane of tumor cells, while bleomycin (> 1 400 Da) requires 466 a receptor-mediated endocytosis process. In addition, the lipophilicity of these anticancer 467 molecules is also a physico-chemical parameter, which must be considered because it plays a major 468 role in their pharmacological properties (pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, tissue 469 biodistribution, etc.) [42]. Doxorubicin and irinotecan are more lipophilic than bleomycin [43,44]. 470 As a result, MB-assisted US would not significantly enhance the intracellular concentrations of 471 doxorubicin and irinotecan under our experimental conditions. However, previous investigations 472 reported that this US modality significantly increased the intracellular uptake of both drugs and 473 their cytotoxic effects in vitro and in vivo [20,22,45,46]. If we consider doxorubicin first, the 474 acoustically mediated delivery of free doxorubicin (as opposed to doxorubicin loaded in MBs or 475 liposomes) enhances the membrane permeability of tumor cells to this drug, thus resulting in a 476 significant increase in its cytotoxic effects on cell suspensions or monolayers [47,48]. The in vitro 477 studies conducted on the tumor spheroids depicted contradictory results [15,35,49]. Indeed, Misra

478 et al. showed that this US modality increased the penetration of doxorubicin (50 µM) inside 479 mammary tumor (MDA-MB-231) spheroids and its intracellular uptake. Surprisingly, these results 480 were not correlated with a significant inhibition of spheroid growth compared to doxorubicin 481 treatment alone. The use of a high concentration of doxorubicin, *i.e.*, 50 µM, could explain these 482 results. Bourn et al. described a positive correlation between an increase in the intracellular uptake 483 of doxorubicin and a decrease in the viability of CRC/fibroblast (HCT-116/HFFF2) spheroids after 484 acoustically mediated delivery of doxorubicin at 3 µM. This last study demonstrates that MB-485 assisted US can potentiate the therapeutic efficacy of low concentrations of doxorubicin (*i.e.*, < 5486 μ M) on spheroids, thus partly explaining our results obtained at 10 μ M doxorubicin. Indeed, we 487 failed to improve the cytotoxic effects of low concentrations of doxorubicin (< 1 μ M) on CRC 488 spheroids in the present study but also in preliminary studies (data not shown), suggesting that 489 HCT-116 cells would be highly sensitive to doxorubicin. In their study, Bourn et al. exploited CRC 490 spheroids made up of human colorectal cancer HCT-116 cells (as reported in the present study) 491 and human HFFF2 fibroblasts. It is now widely known that such coculture decreases the sensitivity 492 of tumor cells to anticancer drugs [16,49-51], thus explaining why Bourn et al. succeeded in 493 potentiating the therapeutic efficacy of low concentrations of doxorubicin on their spheroids and 494 not us. In the present study, we can only conclude that MB-assisted US did not potentiate the 495 cytotoxic effects of doxorubicin on HCT-116 spheroids. In the near future, these experiences will 496 have to be reproduced on spheroids made-up of different types of cancer cells cocultured with or 497 without other cell lines such as fibroblast, in order to conclude on the efficacy of MB-assisted US 498 to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of doxorubicin on tumor spheroids. We note that the efficacy 499 of acoustically mediated delivery of free doxorubicin has only been described in a few in vivo tumor models [46,52,53]. Indeed, it is mainly the delivery of its liposomal formulation (Doxil[®] or 500

- 501 Caelyx[®]) as well as doxorubicin-loaded MBs, which has been reported *in vivo* due to the strong
- 502 cardiotoxicity of free doxorubicin [54–56]. The use of liposomal doxorubicin will be more relevant

503 in our further investigations on tumor spheroids.

504 **Table 1.** Description of chemotherapeutic drugs and propidium iodide.

	Molecule	Structure	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Mechanisms of action
THERAPEUTIC MOLECULES	Bleomycin	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	1 415.551	 ROS generation Intercalation into DNA Topoisomerase II inhibition
	Doxorubicin		543.52	 ROS generation Intercalation into DNA Topoisomerase II inhibition
	Irinotecan		586.678	Topoisomerase I inhibition
DRUG MODEL	Propidium iodide		668.403	N/A

505

506 Regarding the acoustically mediated delivery of irinotecan, several proofs of concept 507 showed that MB-assisted US efficiently delivered free irinotecan in vitro [20] and in vivo in healthy 508 and tumor brain tissues [20,45,57]. We demonstrated that the therapeutic efficacy of a 500 μ g/mL 509 irinotecan concentration can be achieved by delivering a 1000 times lower concentration by MB-510 assisted US in human glioblastoma (U-87 MG) cells [20]. In vivo, the acoustically mediated 511 delivery of free irinotecan in a glioblastoma (U-87 MG) murine model resulted in a significant 512 decrease in tumor growth compared to irinotecan treatment alone. To the best of our knowledge, 513 spheroids have never been exploited to evaluate the acoustically mediated delivery of free 514 irinotecan. However, they are used to assess new formulations of therapeutic MBs, in which 515 irinotecan is loaded alone [58,59] or with other anticancer drugs [59,60]. Indeed, Gao et al.

516 demonstrated that MB-assisted US using irinotecan-loaded MBs (50 µM) induced a significant 517 decrease in the viability of pancreatic tumor spheroids compared to irinotecan-loaded MB 518 treatment alone. As opposed to our irinotecan delivery strategy, the encapsulation of irinotecan 519 inside MBs limits its intracellular uptake and therefore its cytotoxic effects. The exposure of tumor 520 cells and irinotecan-loaded MBs to US induces the release of irinotecan from MBs and increases 521 the membrane permeability of tumor cells to this drug, thus potentiating its therapeutic efficacy. 522 Regardless of the type of tumor cells and drug delivery protocol, the loading of irinotecan inside 523 the MBs is the main reason why these authors observed a significant difference in spheroid 524 viability between their experimental and control conditions, contrary to our present study.

In addition to the further improvements described above, future developments would consist of the use of vascularized tumor spheroid-on chip where the spheroids will be formed from patient-derived cancer cells and have different sizes [61–63]. This strategy will be more relevant to design and to assess protocols (*e.g.*, US parameters, MB and drug-related parameters, therapeutic schemes, *etc.*) for acoustically mediated delivery of therapeutic molecules of different molecular weights in a pathophysiological context close to that encountered *in vivo*.

531 CONCLUSION

In summary, the present results suggest that MB-assisted US enhanced the therapeutic efficacy of bleomycin on CRC spheroids but not that of doxorubicin and irinotecan. Nevertheless, further improvements are required to potentiate their therapeutic efficacies. The exploitation of tumor spheroids should be a complementary approach to design and validate innovative protocols for acoustically mediated drug delivery.

537 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge J.Y. Tartu (UMR 1253, iBrain, Université de Tours, Inserm, Tours, France) for the design of ultrasound setup, Dr. Virginie André (Regional Center of Cancerology, Henry Kaplan, CHRU de Tours, Tours, France) for providing the irinotecan and the Electron Microscopy (EM) Facility (IBiSA) of Tours University (<u>http://microscopies.med.univ-</u> tours.fr) for technical support.

543 FUNDING SOURCES

This work was supported, in part, by Inserm, Université de Tours and Ligue Contre le Cancer (S.S., J.M.E.). M.R. was the recipient of a Ph.D. fellowship from the Region Centre-Val de Loire.

547 ABBREVIATIONS

548 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; CRC spheroid, colorectal cancer spheroid;

549 DPBS, Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline solution; FCS, fetal calf serum; MB-assisted US,

550 microbubble-assisted ultrasound; MBs, microbubbles; PI, propidium iodide; PNP, peak negative

551 pressure; PRP, pulse repetition period; SEM, mean standard deviation; TEM, transmission electron

552 microscopy; ULA, ultra-low attachment; US, ultrasound.

553 REFERENCES

- Roy, M.; Alix, C.; Bouakaz, A.; Serrière, S.; Escoffre, J.-M. Tumor Spheroids as Model to
 Design Acoustically Mediated Drug Therapies: A Review. *Pharmaceutics* 2023, *15*, 806,
 doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15030806.
- Torisawa, Y.-S.; Takagi, A.; Shiku, H.; Yasukawa, T.; Matsue, T. A Multicellular Spheroid-Based Drug Sensitivity Test by Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Oncol Rep* 2005, *13*, 1107–1112.
- 3. Ward, J.P.; King, J.R. Mathematical Modelling of Drug Transport in Tumour Multicell
 Spheroids and Monolayer Cultures. *Mathematical Biosciences* 2003, 181, 177–207,
 doi:10.1016/S0025-5564(02)00148-7.
- 563 4. Curcio, E.; Salerno, S.; Barbieri, G.; De Bartolo, L.; Drioli, E.; Bader, A. Mass Transfer and
 564 Metabolic Reactions in Hepatocyte Spheroids Cultured in Rotating Wall Gas-Permeable

565MembraneSystem.Biomaterials2007,28,5487–5497,566doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.08.033.

- 5. Browning, A.P.; Sharp, J.A.; Murphy, R.J.; Gunasingh, G.; Lawson, B.; Burrage, K.; Haass,
 N.K.; Simpson, M. Quantitative Analysis of Tumour Spheroid Structure. *eLife 10*, e73020,
 doi:10.7554/eLife.73020.
- 570 6. Pampaloni, F.; Reynaud, E.G.; Stelzer, E.H.K. The Third Dimension Bridges the Gap
 571 between Cell Culture and Live Tissue. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2007, *8*, 839–845,
 572 doi:10.1038/nrm2236.
- Pu, C.; Chang, S.; Sun, J.; Zhu, S.; Liu, H.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Xu, R.X. Ultrasound-Mediated
 Destruction of LHRHa Targeted and Paclitaxel Loaded Lipid Microbubbles for the Treatment
 of Intraperitoneal Ovarian Cancer Xenografts. *Mol Pharm* 2014, *11*, 49–58,
 doi:10.1021/mp400523h.
- Zhang, Y.; Chang, S.; Sun, J.; Zhu, S.; Pu, C.; Li, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Xu, R.X. Targeted Microbubbles for Ultrasound Mediated Short Hairpin RNA Plasmid Transfection to Inhibit Survivin Gene Expression and Induce Apoptosis of Ovarian Cancer A2780/DDP Cells. *Mol Pharm* 2015, *12*, 3137–3145, doi:10.1021/mp500835z.
- 9. Okunaga, S.; Takasu, A.; Meshii, N.; Imai, T.; Hamada, M.; Iwai, S.; Yura, Y. Ultrasound as
 a Method to Enhance Antitumor Ability of Oncolytic Herpes Simplex Virus for Head and
 Neck Cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther* 2015, *22*, 163–168, doi:10.1038/cgt.2015.3.
- Sun, L.; Zhang, J.; Xu, M.; Zhang, L.; Tang, Q.; Chen, J.; Gong, M.; Sun, S.; Ge, H.; Wang,
 S.; et al. Ultrasound Microbubbles Mediated Sonosensitizer and Antibody Co-Delivery for
 Highly Efficient Synergistic Therapy on HER2-Positive Gastric Cancer. ACS Appl Mater *Interfaces* 2022, 14, 452–463, doi:10.1021/acsami.1c21924.
- Kooiman, K.; Roovers, S.; Langeveld, S.A.G.; Kleven, R.T.; Dewitte, H.; O'Reilly, M.A.;
 Escoffre, J.-M.; Bouakaz, A.; Verweij, M.D.; Hynynen, K.; et al. Ultrasound-Responsive
 Cavitation Nuclei for Therapy and Drug Delivery. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2020, *46*, 1296–
 1325, doi:10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.01.002.
- 592 12. Escoffre, J.-M.; Bouakaz, A. Minireview: Biophysical Mechanisms of Cell Membrane
 593 Sonopermeabilization. Knowns and Unknowns. *Langmuir* 2019, 35, 10151–10165,
 594 doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b03538.
- Lentacker, I.; De Cock, I.; Deckers, R.; De Smedt, S.C.; Moonen, C.T.W. Understanding
 Ultrasound Induced Sonoporation: Definitions and Underlying Mechanisms. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2014, 72, 49–64, doi:10.1016/j.addr.2013.11.008.
- 598 14. Snipstad, S.; Vikedal, K.; Maardalen, M.; Kurbatskaya, A.; Sulheim, E.; Davies, C. de L.
 599 Ultrasound and Microbubbles to Beat Barriers in Tumors: Improving Delivery of
 600 Nanomedicine. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2021, 177, 113847, doi:10.1016/j.addr.2021.113847.
- Misra, R.; Rajic, M.; Sathiyamoorthy, K.; Karshafian, R. Ultrasound and Microbubbles
 (USMB) Potentiated Doxorubicin Penetration and Distribution in 3D Breast Tumour
 Spheroids. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology* 2021, *61*, 102261,
 doi:10.1016/j.jddst.2020.102261.
- Roovers, S.; Deprez, J.; Priwitaningrum, D.; Lajoinie, G.; Rivron, N.; Declercq, H.; De
 Wever, O.; Stride, E.; Le Gac, S.; Versluis, M.; et al. Sonoprinting Liposomes on Tumor
 Spheroids by Microbubbles and Ultrasound. *J Control Release* 2019, *316*, 79–92,
 doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2019.10.051.

- 17. Dominijanni, A.; Devarasetty, M.; Soker, S. Manipulating the Tumor Microenvironment in
 Tumor Organoids Induces Phenotypic Changes and Chemoresistance. *iScience* 2020, 23,
 101851, doi:10.1016/j.isci.2020.101851.
- 612 18. Shi, W.; Kwon, J.; Huang, Y.; Tan, J.; Uhl, C.G.; He, R.; Zhou, C.; Liu, Y. Facile Tumor
 613 Spheroids Formation in Large Quantity with Controllable Size and High Uniformity. *Sci Rep*614 2018, 8, 6837, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-25203-3.
- 615 19. Griseti, E.; Kolosnjaj-Tabi, J.; Gibot, L.; Fourquaux, I.; Rols, M.-P.; Yousfi, M.; Merbahi,
 616 N.; Golzio, M. Pulsed Electric Field Treatment Enhances the Cytotoxicity of Plasma617 Activated Liquids in a Three-Dimensional Human Colorectal Cancer Cell Model. *Sci Rep*618 2019, 9, 7583, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44087-5.
- Escoffre, J.-M.; Novell, A.; Serrière, S.; Lecomte, T.; Bouakaz, A. Irinotecan Delivery by
 Microbubble-Assisted Ultrasound: In Vitro Validation and a Pilot Preclinical Study. *Mol Pharm* 2013, 10, 2667–2675, doi:10.1021/mp400081b.
- Bressand, D.; Novell, A.; Girault, A.; Raoul, W.; Fromont-Hankard, G.; Escoffre, J.-M.;
 Lecomte, T.; Bouakaz, A. Enhancing Nab-Paclitaxel Delivery Using Microbubble-Assisted
 Ultrasound in a Pancreatic Cancer Model. *Mol Pharm* 2019, *16*, 3814–3822,
 doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.9b00416.
- Escoffre, J.M.; Piron, J.; Novell, A.; Bouakaz, A. Doxorubicin Delivery into Tumor Cells
 with Ultrasound and Microbubbles. *Mol Pharm* 2011, *8*, 799–806, doi:10.1021/mp100397p.
- Escoffre, J.-M.; Novell, A.; Piron, J.; Zeghimi, A.; Doinikov, A.; Bouakaz, A. Microbubble
 Attenuation and Destruction: Are They Involved in Sonoporation Efficiency? *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control* 2013, 60, 46–52,
 doi:10.1109/TUFFC.2013.2536.
- 632 24. Wang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Cai, C.; Tu, J.; Guo, X.; Zhang, D. Sonoporation-Induced Cell
 633 Membrane Permeabilization and Cytoskeleton Disassembly at Varied Acoustic and
 634 Microbubble-Cell Parameters. *Sci Rep* 2018, *8*, 3885, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22056-8.
- 635 25. Potočnik, T.; Miklavčič, D.; Maček Lebar, A. Effect of Electroporation and Recovery
 636 Medium pH on Cell Membrane Permeabilization, Cell Survival and Gene Transfer Efficiency
 637 in Vitro. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2019, *130*, 107342, doi:10.1016/j.bioelechem.2019.107342.
- Escoffre, J.-M.; Portet, T.; Favard, C.; Teissié, J.; Dean, D.S.; Rols, M.-P. Electromediated
 Formation of DNA Complexes with Cell Membranes and Its Consequences for Gene
 Delivery. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes* 2011, *1808*, 1538–1543,
 doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2010.10.009.
- 642 27. Memari, E.; Hui, F.; Yusefi, H.; Helfield, B. Fluid Flow Influences Ultrasound-Assisted
 643 Endothelial Membrane Permeabilization and Calcium Flux. *Journal of Controlled Release*644 2023, *358*, 333–344, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2023.05.004.
- Lacroix-Lamandé, S.; Bernardi, O.; Pezier, T.; Barilleau, E.; Burlaud-Gaillard, J.; Gagneux,
 A.; Velge, P.; Wiedemann, A. Differential Salmonella Typhimurium Intracellular
 Replication and Host Cell Responses in Caecal and Ileal Organoids Derived from Chicken. *Veterinary Research* 2023, 54, 63, doi:10.1186/s13567-023-01189-3.
- 649 29. Meijering, B.D.M.; Henning, R.H.; Van Gilst, W.H.; Gavrilovic, I.; Van Wamel, A.;
 650 Deelman, L.E. Optimization of Ultrasound and Microbubbles Targeted Gene Delivery to
 651 Cultured Primary Endothelial Cells. J Drug Target 2007, 15, 664–671,
 652 doi:10.1080/10611860701605088.

- 30. Keller, S.; Bruce, M.; Averkiou, M.A. Ultrasound Imaging of Microbubble Activity During
 Sonoporation Pulse Sequences. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2019, 45, 833–845,
 doi:10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.11.011.
- 656 31. Eck, M.; Aronovich, R.; Ilovitsh, T. Efficacy Optimization of Low Frequency Microbubble657 Mediated Sonoporation as a Drug Delivery Platform to Cancer Cells. *International Journal*658 *of Pharmaceutics: X* 2022, *4*, 100132, doi:10.1016/j.ijpx.2022.100132.
- Kotopoulis, S.; Popa, M.; Mayoral Safont, M.; Murvold, E.; Haugse, R.; Langer, A.;
 Dimcevski, G.; Lam, C.; Bjånes, T.; Gilja, O.H.; et al. SonoVue® vs. SonazoidTM vs.
 OptisonTM: Which Bubble Is Best for Low-Intensity Sonoporation of Pancreatic Ductal
 Adenocarcinoma? *Pharmaceutics* 2022, *14*, 98, doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14010098.
- 33. Wang, G.; Zhuo, Z.; Xia, H.; Zhang, Y.; He, Y.; Tan, W.; Gao, Y. Investigation into the Impact of Diagnostic Ultrasound with Microbubbles on the Capillary Permeability of Rat Hepatomas. Ultrasound Med Biol 2013, 39, 628–637, doi:10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2012.11.004.
- 667 Pascal, A.; Li, N.; Lechtenberg, K.J.; Rosenberg, J.; Airan, R.D.; James, M.L.; Bouley, D.M.; 34. 668 Pauly, K.B. Histologic Evaluation of Activation of Acute Inflammatory Response in a Mouse 669 Model Following Ultrasound-Mediated Blood-Brain Barrier Using Different Acoustic 670 Pressures and Microbubble Doses. Nanotheranostics 2020, 4, 210-223, 671 doi:10.7150/ntno.49898.
- Baškevičiūtė, M.; Januškevičienė, I.; Sakalauskienė, K.; Raišutis, R.; Petrikaitė, V.
 Evaluation of Low-Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound on Doxorubicin Delivery in 2D and 3D
 Cancer Cell Cultures. *Sci Rep* 2020, *10*, 16161, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-73204-y.
- Mehier-Humbert, S.; Bettinger, T.; Yan, F.; Guy, R.H. Plasma Membrane Poration Induced
 by Ultrasound Exposure: Implication for Drug Delivery. *J Control Release* 2005, *104*, 213–
 222, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2005.01.007.
- Meijering, B.D.M.; Juffermans, L.J.M.; van Wamel, A.; Henning, R.H.; Zuhorn, I.S.;
 Emmer, M.; Versteilen, A.M.G.; Paulus, W.J.; van Gilst, W.H.; Kooiman, K.; et al.
 Ultrasound and Microbubble-Targeted Delivery of Macromolecules Is Regulated by
 Induction of Endocytosis and Pore Formation. *Circ Res* 2009, 104, 679–687,
 doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.183806.
- 38. Pron, G.; Mahrour, N.; Orlowski, S.; Tounekti, O.; Poddevin, B.; Belehradek, J.; Mir, L.M.
 Internalisation of the Bleomycin Molecules Responsible for Bleomycin Toxicity: A
 Receptor-Mediated Endocytosis Mechanism. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1999, 57, 45–56,
 doi:10.1016/s0006-2952(98)00282-2.
- Hirabayashi, F.; Iwanaga, K.; Okinaga, T.; Takahashi, O.; Ariyoshi, W.; Suzuki, R.; Sugii,
 M.; Maruyama, K.; Tominaga, K.; Nishihara, T. Epidermal Growth Factor ReceptorTargeted Sonoporation with Microbubbles Enhances Therapeutic Efficacy in a Squamous
 Cell Carcinoma Model. *PLoS One* 2017, *12*, e0185293, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0185293.
- 40. Sonoda, S.; Tachibana, K.; Uchino, E.; Yamashita, T.; Sakoda, K.; Sonoda, K.-H.; Hisatomi,
 T.; Izumi, Y.; Sakamoto, T. Inhibition of Melanoma by Ultrasound-Microbubble-Aided Drug
 Delivery Suggests Membrane Permeabilization. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2007, *6*, 1276–1283,
 doi:10.4161/cbt.6.8.4485.
- Lamanauskas, N.; Novell, A.; Escoffre, J.-M.; Venslauskas, M.; Satkauskas, S.; Bouakaz, A.
 Bleomycin Delivery into Cancer Cells in Vitro with Ultrasound and SonoVue® or BR14®
 Microbubbles. *J Drug Target* 2013, *21*, 407–414, doi:10.3109/1061186X.2012.761223.

- 42. Lu, T.; Haemmerich, D.; Liu, H.; Seynhaeve, A.L.B.; van Rhoon, G.C.; Houtsmuller, A.B.;
 ten Hagen, T.L.M. Externally Triggered Smart Drug Delivery System Encapsulating
 Idarubicin Shows Superior Kinetics and Enhances Tumoral Drug Uptake and Response. *Theranostics* 2021, *11*, 5700–5712, doi:10.7150/thno.55163.
- Gallois, L.; Fiallo, M.; Garnier-Suillerot, A. Comparison of the Interaction of Doxorubicin,
 Daunorubicin, Idarubicin and Idarubicinol with Large Unilamellar Vesicles: Circular
 Dichroism Study. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes* 1998, *1370*, 31–40,
 doi:10.1016/S0005-2736(97)00241-1.
- 44. Xing, J.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, H.; Chen, P.; Zhou, G.; Sun, C.; Gu, N.; Ji, M. Novel
 Lipophilic SN38 Prodrug Forming Stable Liposomes for Colorectal Carcinoma Therapy. *Int*J Nanomedicine 2019, 14, 5201–5213, doi:10.2147/IJN.S204965.
- 45. Beccaria, K.; Canney, M.; Goldwirt, L.; Fernandez, C.; Piquet, J.; Perier, M.-C.; Lafon, C.;
 Chapelon, J.-Y.; Carpentier, A. Ultrasound-Induced Opening of the Blood-Brain Barrier to
 Enhance Temozolomide and Irinotecan Delivery: An Experimental Study in Rabbits. J *Neurosurg* 2016, *124*, 1602–1610, doi:10.3171/2015.4.JNS142893.
- 46. Kovacs, Z.; Werner, B.; Rassi, A.; Sass, J.O.; Martin-Fiori, E.; Bernasconi, M. Prolonged
 Survival upon Ultrasound-Enhanced Doxorubicin Delivery in Two Syngenic Glioblastoma
 Mouse Models. *J Control Release* 2014, *187*, 74–82, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.05.033.
- 47. Lee, N.G.; Berry, J.L.; Lee, T.C.; Wang, A.T.; Honowitz, S.; Murphree, A.L.; Varshney, N.;
 Hinton, D.R.; Fawzi, A.A. Sonoporation Enhances Chemotherapeutic Efficacy in Retinoblastoma Cells In Vitro. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011, *52*, 3868–3873, doi:10.1167/iovs.10-6501.
- 48. Yang, S.; Wang, P.; Wang, X.; Su, X.; Liu, Q. Activation of Microbubbles by Low-Level
 Therapeutic Ultrasound Enhances the Antitumor Effects of Doxorubicin. *Eur Radiol* 2014,
 24, 2739–2753, doi:10.1007/s00330-014-3334-3.
- 49. Bourn, M.D.; Batchelor, D.V.B.; Ingram, N.; McLaughlan, J.R.; Coletta, P.L.; Evans, S.D.;
 Peyman, S.A. High-Throughput Microfluidics for Evaluating Microbubble Enhanced
 Delivery of Cancer Therapeutics in Spheroid Cultures. *J Control Release* 2020, *326*, 13–24,
 doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.06.011.
- 50. Leenhardt, R.; Camus, M.; Mestas, J.L.; Jeljeli, M.; Abou Ali, E.; Chouzenoux, S.;
 Bordacahar, B.; Nicco, C.; Batteux, F.; Lafon, C.; et al. Ultrasound-Induced Cavitation
 Enhances the Efficacy of Chemotherapy in a 3D Model of Pancreatic Ductal
 Adenocarcinoma with Its Microenvironment. *Sci Rep* 2019, *9*, 18916, doi:10.1038/s41598019-55388-0.
- 51. Lee, J.-H.; Kim, S.-K.; Khawar, I.A.; Jeong, S.-Y.; Chung, S.; Kuh, H.-J. Microfluidic CoCulture of Pancreatic Tumor Spheroids with Stellate Cells as a Novel 3D Model for
 Investigation of Stroma-Mediated Cell Motility and Drug Resistance. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research* 2018, *37*, 4, doi:10.1186/s13046-017-0654-6.
- 736 52. Park, J.H.; Lee, S.; Jeon, H.; Kim, J.H.; Kim, D.J.; Im, M.; Lee, B.C. A Novel Convex 737 Acoustic Lens-Attached Ultrasound Drug Delivery System and Its Testing in a Murine 738 Melanoma Subcutaneous Model. Int JPharm 2023, *642*, 123118, 739 doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2023.123118.
- Feng, S.; Qiao, W.; Tang, J.; Yu, Y.; Gao, S.; Liu, Z.; Zhu, X. Chemotherapy Augmentation
 Using Low-Intensity Ultrasound Combined with Microbubbles with Different Mechanical
 Indexes in a Pancreatic Cancer Model. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2021, *47*, 3221–3230,
 doi:10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2021.07.004.

- 54. Lee, J.H.; Moon, H.; Han, H.; Lee, I.J.; Kim, D.; Lee, H.J.; Ha, S.-W.; Kim, H.; Chung, J.W.
 Antitumor Effects of Intra-Arterial Delivery of Albumin-Doxorubicin Nanoparticle
 Conjugated Microbubbles Combined with Ultrasound-Targeted Microbubble Activation on
 VX2 Rabbit Liver Tumors. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019, *11*, 581, doi:10.3390/cancers11040581.
- 55. Yu, F.T.H.; Chen, X.; Wang, J.; Qin, B.; Villanueva, F.S. Low Intensity Ultrasound Mediated
 Liposomal Doxorubicin Delivery Using Polymer Microbubbles. *Mol Pharm* 2016, *13*, 55–
 64, doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.5b00421.
- 56. Treat, L.H.; McDannold, N.; Zhang, Y.; Vykhodtseva, N.; Hynynen, K. Improved AntiTumor Effect of Liposomal Doxorubicin after Targeted Blood-Brain Barrier Disruption by
 MRI-Guided Focused Ultrasound in Rat Glioma. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2012, *38*, 1716–1725,
 doi:10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2012.04.015.
- 57. McDannold, N.; Zhang, Y.; Supko, J.G.; Power, C.; Sun, T.; Vykhodtseva, N.; Golby, A.J.;
 756 Reardon, D.A. Blood-Brain Barrier Disruption and Delivery of Irinotecan in a Rat Model
 757 Using a Clinical Transcranial MRI-Guided Focused Ultrasound System. *Sci Rep* 2020, *10*,
 758 8766, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-65617-6.
- 58. Ingram, N.; McVeigh, L.E.; Abou-Saleh, R.H.; Maynard, J.; Peyman, S.A.; McLaughlan,
 J.R.; Fairclough, M.; Marston, G.; Valleley, E.M.A.; Jimenez-Macias, J.L.; et al. UltrasoundTriggered Therapeutic Microbubbles Enhance the Efficacy of Cytotoxic Drugs by Increasing
 Circulation and Tumor Drug Accumulation and Limiting Bioavailability and Toxicity in
 Normal Tissues. *Theranostics* 2020, *10*, 10973–10992, doi:10.7150/thno.49670.
- 59. Charalambous, A.; Mico, V.; McVeigh, L.E.; Marston, G.; Ingram, N.; Volpato, M.; Peyman,
 S.A.; McLaughlan, J.R.; Wierzbicki, A.; Loadman, P.M.; et al. Targeted Microbubbles
 Carrying Lipid-Oil-Nanodroplets for Ultrasound-Triggered Delivery of the Hydrophobic
 Drug, Combretastatin A4. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine* 2021, *36*,
 102401, doi:10.1016/j.nano.2021.102401.
- 60. Gao, J.; Logan, K.A.; Nesbitt, H.; Callan, B.; McKaig, T.; Taylor, M.; Love, M.; McHale,
 A.P.; Griffith, D.M.; Callan, J.F. A Single Microbubble Formulation Carrying 5Fluorouridine, Irinotecan and Oxaliplatin to Enable FOLFIRINOX Treatment of Pancreatic
 and Colon Cancer Using Ultrasound Targeted Microbubble Destruction. *J Control Release*2021, 338, 358–366, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.08.050.
- Ahn, J.; Kim, D.-H.; Koo, D.-J.; Lim, J.; Park, T.-E.; Lee, J.; Ko, J.; Kim, S.; Kim, M.; Kang,
 K.-S.; et al. 3D Microengineered Vascularized Tumor Spheroids for Drug Delivery and
 Efficacy Testing. *Acta Biomaterialia* 2022, doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2022.10.009.
- Hu, Z.; Cao, Y.; Galan, E.A.; Hao, L.; Zhao, H.; Tang, J.; Sang, G.; Wang, H.; Xu, B.; Ma,
 S. Vascularized Tumor Spheroid-on-a-Chip Model Verifies Synergistic Vasoprotective and
 Chemotherapeutic Effects. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 2022, *8*, 1215–1225,
 doi:10.1021/acsbiomaterials.1c01099.
- 63. Crystal, A.S.; Shaw, A.T.; Sequist, L.V.; Friboulet, L.; Niederst, M.J.; Lockerman, E.L.;
 Frias, R.L.; Gainor, J.F.; Amzallag, A.; Greninger, P.; et al. Patient-Derived Models of
 Acquired Resistance Can Identify Effective Drug Combinations for Cancer. *Science* 2014,
 346, 1480–1486, doi:10.1126/science.1254721.
- 785