

# Association between household cleaning product exposure in infancy and development of recurrent wheeze and asthma

Isis F Baroni, Geneva D Mehta, Ashley F Sullivan, Carlos A Camargo, Orianne Dumas

# ▶ To cite this version:

Isis F Baroni, Geneva D Mehta, Ashley F Sullivan, Carlos A Camargo, Orianne Dumas. Association between household cleaning product exposure in infancy and development of recurrent wheeze and asthma. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 2023, 96 (10), pp.1325-1332. 10.1007/s00420-023-02011-5. inserm-04284278

# HAL Id: inserm-04284278 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04284278

Submitted on 14 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

- 1 Association between household cleaning product exposure in infancy and development of
- 2 recurrent wheeze and asthma

- 4 Isis F. Baroni, MD Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine,
- 5 Boston, MA, USA. Email: ibaroni@mgh.harvard.edu
- 6 Geneva D. Mehta, MD Brigham and Women's Hospital, Department of Medicine, Division of
- 7 Allergy and Immunology, Boston, MA, USA. Email: gmehta@bwh.harvard.edu
- 8 Ashley F. Sullivan, MPH MS Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Emergency
- 9 Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. Email: afsullivan@partners.org
- 10 Carlos A. Camargo Jr., MD, DrPH Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Emergency
- 11 Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. Email: ccamargo@partners.org
- 12 Orianne Dumas, PhD Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, Univ. Paris-Sud, Inserm, CESP, Équipe
- 13 d'Épidémiologie respiratoire integrative, Villejuif cedex, France. Email:
- 14 orianne.dumas@inserm.fr

15

- 16 Address manuscript correspondence to: Orianne Dumas. Inserm, CESP, Équipe d'Épidémiologie
- 17 respiratoire integrative 16, avenue Paul Vaillant Couturier, 94807, Villejuif cedex, France. E-
- 18 mail: orianne.dumas@inserm.fr. Phone: (33) 1 45 59 53 57

19

21 Abstract

22 Objective: Household chemicals may act as irritants in the lungs, however their association with 23 recurrent wheeze and asthma in children remains controversial. We aimed to investigate if 24 household cleaning product exposure in infancy is associated with recurrent wheezing and 25 asthma development in children. Methods: We analyzed data from two cohorts: MARC-35 consisting of 815 children with history 26 27 of severe bronchiolitis in infancy, and MARC-43 consisting of 525 healthy children in infancy. 28 Frequency of use of cleaning product at the child's home during infancy was collected via 29 telephone interview with parents. Outcomes were recurrent wheezing by age 3 years and asthma 30 diagnosis at age 6 years. 31 Results: In MARC-35, there was no association between cleaning product exposure in infancy 32 and recurrent wheeze (adjusted HR= 1.01 [95% CI 0.66-1.54] for 4-7 days/week exposure 33 frequency), nor asthma (adjusted OR= 0.91 [95% CI 0.51-1.63]). In MARC-43, there was also 34 no association between cleaning product exposure in infancy and recurrent wheeze (adjusted 35 HR= 0.69 [95% CI 0.29-1.67] for 4-7 days/week exposure frequency). 36 Conclusions: We found no association between household cleaning product exposure in infancy 37 and later development of recurrent wheeze or asthma, even among children who are high-risk for

39

38

40 Keywords: Child, Disinfectants, Asthma, Bronchiolitis, Lung

asthma due to history of severe bronchiolitis.

#### INTRODUCTION

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

Recurrent wheezing episodes during early childhood are common, affecting as much as one-fifth of children up to two years of age, worldwide (Alvarez-Alvarez et al. 2018). By age 5-6 years, some of these children will develop asthma, which is a leading cause of chronic disease in this age group, affecting more than 4 million people under the age of 18 in the US according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2022). Recurrent wheeze and asthma share common environmental risk factors, such as secondhand smoke, mold, dust mites and other indoor air pollutants (Beasley et al. 2015, Herr et al. 2012). Disinfectants and cleaning products may irritate the pulmonary mucosa and have been associated with increased risk of wheezing and asthma in adults, both in studies examining occupational exposures (e.g. in cleaning workers) (Casas et al. 2022; Archangelidi et al. 2021; Dumas et al. 2021; Sit et al. 2022; Sejbaek et al. 2021; De Troeyer et al. 2022) and exposures during house cleaning (Casas et al. 2022; Lemire et al. 2022; Pacheco Da Silva et al. 2023; Pacheco Da Silva et al. 2022; Weinmann et al. 2017; Zock et al. 2007). More recently, the impact of early-life exposure to cleaning products on children's respiratory health has also been investigated (Casas et al. 2022). In children, it is important to investigate both wheezing and asthma as outcomes, since not all children that wheeze will develop asthma in the future (Mikeš et al. 2019). It is also important to investigate the association of cleaning product use with recurrent wheezing (a risk factor for asthma) as opposed to transient wheezing symptoms (Casas et al. 2022). While several studies consistently found associations with increased risk of various types of wheezing (Casas et al. 2013a; Herr et al. 2012; Henderson et al. 2008; Sherriff et al. 2005, Mikeš et al. 2019), only one study (Parks et al. 2020) investigated specifically recurrent wheeze in children as an

- outcome. In addition, the findings are inconsistent for childhood asthma as an outcome (Mikeš et
- al. 2019; Nickmilder et al. 2007; Parks et al. 2020).
- 66 If household cleaning product exposure does indeed increase the risk for recurrent wheeze and
- asthma in children, this is a modifiable environmental exposure that would be important to
- 68 counsel families to avoid, especially among children who are already high risk for asthma, such
- as those with a history of severe bronchiolitis in infancy (Meissner 2016).
- We aimed to investigate if household cleaning product exposure during infancy is associated
- 71 with increased risk of (i) recurrent wheezing by age 3 years, and (ii) asthma at age 6 years.

- 73 *METHODS*
- 74 Study Design
- 75 The 35<sup>th</sup> Multicenter Airway Research Collaboration (MARC-35) and the 43<sup>rd</sup> Multicenter
- 76 Airway Research Collaboration (MARC-43) are two ongoing prospective multicenter cohort
- studies of US children (Geller et al. 2021; Hasegawa et al. 2016). Briefly, MARC-35 is a cohort
- 78 of 921 children from 17 sites across 14 US states that were recruited during three consecutive
- 79 winter seasons (from November 1 to April 30, 2011-2014) during a hospitalization with
- 80 bronchiolitis during their first year of life and followed-up until age 6 years (Geller et al. 2021;
- Hasegawa et al. 2016). MARC-43 is a cohort of 599 healthy children in infancy (i.e., without
- 82 known major medical conditions or previous hospitalization for a breathing problem), recruited
- during a well-child or other clinical visit in 4 sites (subset of the 17 centers in MARC-35) in
- 84 2017, and followed-up until age 6 years. Parents/guardians of all children provided informed
- 85 consent and all participating hospitals had local approval of their institutional review board.

- MARC-35 participants and MARC-43 participants were followed longitudinally via structured parent telephone interviews every six months (biannual), conducted by trained interviewers.
- 88 Exposure

- Exposure to cleaning product in the first year of life was collected via telephone interviews with the families when the child was aged 42 and 54 months. The question about cleaning products was adapted from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) (Zock et al. 2007). We used answers primarily from the 42-month interview, and if data was missing, we used answers from the 54-month interview. Parents were asked, "During your child's first year of life, how often did anyone use cleaning products (for example, cleaning sprays, bleach, multi-purpose cleaners) at home?". Responses were categorized as <1 day/week, 1-3 days/week and 4-7 days/week.
- 97 Outcomes

Recurrent wheeze by age 3 years was defined as at least 2 corticosteroid-requiring exacerbations in 6 months or having at least 4 wheezing episodes in 1 year that last at least 1 day and affect sleep, based on parent report (National Asthma Education and Prevention Program 2007), as described in detail elsewhere (Dumas et al. 2019). Briefly, at each follow-up interview, data were collected about the child's prescribed medication (i.e., inhaled corticosteroids or montelukast) and "breathing problem" episodes (which were defined specifically to the parents) since the last follow-up interview. For each reported breathing problem, parents were also asked about the date when the breathing problem started and about the medication used. These data were compiled to assess recurrent wheezing by age 3 years.

Age 6-year asthma was based on a commonly used epidemiologic asthma definition, consisted of parent report of clinician-diagnosed asthma by age 6 years and the presence of either asthma medications or asthma symptoms during age 5.0-5.9 years (Geller et al. 2021). The parent report of clinician-diagnosed asthma was validated in a subset population of MARC-35 cohort, and demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity when compared to medical record data (Geller et al.

112 2021)

- Data on recurrent wheeze by age 3 years was available in both cohorts. At the time of analyses,
- data on age 6-year asthma was only available in MARC-35.

# 115 Covariates

Data on covariates were collected during enrollment or follow-up parental interviews. We considered asthma risk factors known in the literature and confounders commonly included in similar studies. Additional factors were considered if they were both known asthma risk factors, and associated with exposure in our cohorts (suggestive association with p≤0.20 in at least one cohort). In the main model (Model 1), we adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, median household income, number of siblings, and breastfeeding. In Model 2, we further adjusted for cesarian-section and maternal smoking during pregnancy.

# 123 Data analysis

We used Cox proportional hazards models in both cohorts when the outcome was recurrent wheezing (time to event analysis). We used logistic regression models to study the association between cleaning product exposure and asthma in MARC-35. A two-sided P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

129 Children with history of severe bronchiolitis in infancy (MARC-35)

Out of 921 children, 837 (91%) parents responded to the 42-month or 54-month interviews. We further excluded 22 children with missing data for the question on cleaning habits at both interviews. Non-respondents/excluded participants, compared to those included, did not differ for sex, ethnicity, or recurrent wheezing by age 3 years (all p>0.15).

There were 815 children with available data in MARC-35 for analyses of recurrent wheezing by age 3 years, and 804 children for analyses of asthma by age 6 years. A total of 268 children (33%) had recurrent wheezing, and 222 (28%) had asthma. In this population, 10% of the parents reported that cleaning products were used in the home <1 day/week, 54% 1-3 days/week, and 36% 4-7 days/week. Participant's characteristics according to household cleaning habits are summarized in Table 1. In MARC-35, participant's characteristics associated with higher household use of cleaning products were: Hispanic and non-Hispanic black ethnicity, median household income <\$40.000, higher number of children in the home and no breastfeeding.

Table 1. Participan	ıt's characteristi	cs according to house	ehold use of cleanii	ng products, coh	ort of
infants hospitalized	l for bronchioliti	s (MARC-35)			
	All (n=815)	Less than once a	1-3 days/week	4-7	p
		week (n=79)	(n=442)	days/week	
				(n=294)	
Female sex	40 (n=330)	39	41	39	0.85
Race/ethnicity					
Non-Hispanic	46 (n=375)	61	46	41	0.007
white					
Non-Hispanic	23 (n=181)	10	24	23	
black					
Hispanic	29 (n=238)	24	27	34	
Non-Hispanic	2 (n=21)	5	3	2	
other					
Median household					
income by ZIP					
code					
<\$40,000	30 (n=241)	14	31	32	0.04

18	
21	
29	
	0.95
70	
27	
3	
20	0.52
38	0.20
20	0.01
35	0.01
45	
51	0.55
45	0.03
14	0.96
14	0.59
31	0.77
_	31 lation and with

exposure frequency), unless otherwise specified.

142

143

144

145

146

There was no significant association between cleaning product exposure in infancy and recurrent wheeze (adjusted HR=0.79 [95% CI 0.52-1.20] and 1.01 [95% CI 0.66-1.54] for 1-3 and 4-7 days/week exposure frequency, respectively), nor asthma (adjusted OR= 0.81 [95% CI 0.46-1.42] and 0.91 [95% CI 0.51-1.63]). (Table 2)

Table 2. Association between household use of cleaning products and recurrent wheezing / asthma, cohort of infants hospitalized for bronchiolitis (MARC-35, n=815)

1	,	,	
	Less than once a week	1-3 days/week	4-7 days/week
	(n=79)	(n=442)	(n=294)
Recurrent wheezing, n (%)	28 (35%)	135 (31%)	105 (36%)
Adjusted HR, model 1	1	0.79 (0.52-1.19)	1.02 (0.67-1.56)
Adjusted HR, model 2	1	0.79 (0.52-1.20)	1.01 (0.66-1.54)
Asthma*, n (%)	22 (29%)	114 (26%)	86 (29%)
Adjusted OR, model 1	1	0.82 (0.47-1.44)	0.94 (0.53-1.67)
Adjusted OR, model 2	1	0.81 (0.46-1.42)	0.91 (0.51-1.63)

HR: hazard ratio; OR: odds ratio.

Model 1: adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, median household income, number of siblings, breastfeeding. Model 2: adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, median household income, number of siblings, breastfeeding, c-section, maternal smoking during pregnancy

Missing values for confounders were handled by including a missing value category for variables with  $\geq$ 3% missing data (breastfeeding and household income), and by exclusion for variables with <3% missing data (9 missing observation on c-section; model 2 included n=806 observations).

# *Healthy children in infancy (MARC-43)*

Out of 599 children, 527 (88%) parents responded to the 42-month or 54-month interviews. We further excluded 2 children with missing data for the question on cleaning habits at both interviews. Non-respondents/excluded participants, compared to those included did not differ for sex, ethnicity, or recurrent wheezing by age 3 years (all p>0.15).

There were 525 children with available data in MARC-43 of which 38 children (7%) had recurrent wheezing. In this population, 18% of the parents reported that cleaning products were used in the home <1 day/week, 49% 1-3 days/week, and 33% 4-7 days/week. Participant's characteristics according to household cleaning habits are summarized in Table 3. Similarly to MARC-35, in MARC-43, characteristics associated with higher household cleaning product use

<sup>\*</sup>Analyses performed in 790 children.

were: Hispanic and non-Hispanic black ethnicity, median household income <\$40.000, higher number of children in the home and no breastfeeding.

	All (n=525)	Less than once a	1-3 days/week	4-7	p
		week (n=94)	(n=259)	days/week	_
				(n=172)	
Female sex	49 (n=259)	45	48	54	0.28
Race/ethnicity					
Non-Hispanic white	44 (n=233)	68	41	36	< 0.001
Non-Hispanic black	30 (n=155)	11	31	37	
Hispanic	21 (n=111)	15	21	24	
Non-Hispanic other	5 (n=26)	6	6	2	
Median household					
income by ZIP code					
<\$40,000	33 (n=171)	22	31	40	< 0.001
\$40,000 to \$79,999	11 (n=60)	12	13	9	
≥\$80,000	34 (n=178)	55	32	25	
Missing	22 (n=116)	11	24	26	
Housing type*					
House	70 (n=366)	66	72	69	0.60
Apartment	30 (n=155)	34	28	30	
Premature birth (≤37	10 (n=54)	9	12	9	0.61
weeks)					
Cesarean section	32 (n=170)	26	33	35	0.27
Number of children					
in the home					
1	39 (n=205)	56	42	25	< 0.001
2	33 (n=175)	30	33	36	
3+	28 (n=145)	14	25	39	
Daycare attendance	60 (n=314)	65	59	58	0.53
Breastfeeding*	64 (n=333)	73	64	59	0.08
Maternal smoking	9 (n=47)	6	8	12	0.18
during pregnancy					
Passive smoke	7 (n=37)	3	7	9	0.23
exposure during					
early life (≤2 years)	21 ( 162)	22	20	22	0.52
Parental history of asthma	31 (n=162)	33	29	33	0.53

\*1% missing data.

Results are presented as percentages (observed proportion in the study population and within each exposure level).

161

162

163

164

There was no significant association between cleaning product exposure in infancy and recurrent wheeze (adjusted HR=0.46 [95% CI 0.20-1.09] and 0.69 [95% CI 0.29-1.67] for 1-3 and 4-7 days/week exposure frequency, respectively) (Table 4).

*Table 4. Association between household use of cleaning products and recurrent wheezing / asthma, cohort of healthy infants (MARC-43, n=525)* 

	*		
	Less than once a week	1-3 days/week	4-7 days/week
	(n=94)	(n=259)	(n=172)
Recurrent wheezing, n (%)	10 (11%)	14 (5%)	14 (8%)
Adjusted HR, model 1	1	0.46 (0.20-1.07)	0.70 (0.29-1.67)
Adjusted HR, model 2	1	0.46 (0.20-1.09)	0.69 (0.29-1.67)

HR: hazard ratio.

Model 1: adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, median household income, number of siblings, breastfeeding.

Model 2: adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, median household income, number of siblings, breastfeeding, c-section, maternal smoking during pregnancy.

Missing values for confounders were handled by including a missing value category for variables with  $\geq$ 3% missing data (household income), and by exclusion for variables with  $\leq$ 3% missing data (6 missing values for breastfeeding; models 1-2 included n=519 observations).

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

#### **DISCUSSION**

In two prospective multicenter cohorts of US children, we did not find an association between cleaning product exposure and respiratory outcomes, both in children with high risk for asthma due to history of severe bronchiolitis in infancy, and in children with no specific risk for asthma. Previous studies examining wheezing outcomes were quite consistent for an association with early life exposure to cleaning products, regardless of the wheezing phenotype analyzed (Casas et al. 2022; Casas et al. 2013a; Herr et al. 2012; Henderson et al. 2008; Sherriff et al. 2005, Mikeš et al. 2019; Parks et al. 2020). Our study, however, specifically examined the outcome

recurrent wheezing, with the aim to distinguish children with high risk of developing asthma from children with transient wheezing symptoms, which may explain discrepancies with previous literature studying more common wheezing phenotypes (Casas et al. 2022). In studies examining childhood asthma, the results have been more conflicting (Mikeš et al. 2019; Parks et al. 2020). In a Canadian birth cohort, higher use of cleaning products in infancy was associated with increased risk of asthma at age 3 years, although reliable asthma diagnosis is difficult at this age (Parks et al. 2020). In contrast, among Czech children, Mikes et al. (2019) did not find an association between cleaning product use during infancy and school-age asthma. They hypothesized that these results may be due to low number of events in the study population. A novelty of our study was to include a cohort of children with a history of severe bronchiolitis in infancy (MARC-35 cohort), which is a predictor of long-term respiratory morbidity, including increased rates of recurrent wheezing and asthma (Meissner 2016). Accordingly, 28% of these children were diagnosed as having asthma at age 6 years. Despite the increased power, we did not find any association with exposure to cleaning products, which suggests that this factor did not further increase risk of asthma in this high-risk population. The fact that the children are indirectly exposed to cleaning products (in contrast to adults who do cleaning tasks themselves) may also contribute to our results. For instance, Bédard et al. (2014) found that current asthma was increased in women directly involved in cleaning activities at home, while no association was found in those having the house cleaned by household help. In children, some of the previous studies reported associations between maternal use of cleaning products during pregnancy, which may represent a more direct (prenatal) exposure for the child, and respiratory outcomes (Casas et al. 2013a; Henderson et al. 2008). Similar findings have been suggested for maternal occupational exposure to cleaning products, prior to conception and

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

during pregnancy, although results vary across studied outcomes (Forster et al. 2023; Kojima et al. 2022; Tjalvin et al. 2022). This emphasizes the importance of collecting data on use of cleaning products at different exposure windows in future studies. Our study also has some limitations. Firstly, exposure was assessed through parental report only, which makes it susceptible to both differential and non-differential misclassification. Furthermore, we assessed exposure retrospectively and there was a gap between when the exposure occurred (first year of life) and when we asked parents about it (when the children were either 42 or 54 months old). However, differential misclassification or "recall bias" (parents recalling information differently according to their children's health) is unlikely to explain the absence of association observed in our study, since it would likely cause an overestimation of the association. In contrast, the observed associations may have been underestimated because of non-differential misclassification. However, parental report is commonly used in similar studies. In our study, the question asked was simple (general use of cleaning products in the home), as we thought parents would be more likely to remember these general habits than specific types of products. We did not collect detailed data on the type of chemicals and the form of products used during the cleaning, in contrast with previous studies that used composite scores based on different cleaning agents use (Mikes et al. 2019; Parks et al. 2020; Bédard et al. 2014; Casas et al. 2013a; Henderson et al. 2008) or distinguishing products used in spray form, which lead to a higher degree of inhalation when compared to liquid products (Casas et al. 2013b). However, it is important to note that even the more detailed questionnaires are still subject to misclassification bias since many parents do not know the exact composition of each product used at home. Our study also did not address whether the child was at home or not during the cleaning, nor the ventilation condition during the cleaning. Although these factors are likely to

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

modulate the children exposure levels, experimental studies have shown that the air concentrations of voltaic organic compounds reach the background level 1–2 hours after cleaning product application, and that some compounds are further released slowly from the surfaces, creating potential for exposure hours after the cleaning activities are performed (Bello, 2009). The impact of the composition and form of cleaning products merits further investigation in children, as well as the conditions in the environment while the cleaning product use happens, such as ventilation. Future studies should also focus on addressing the association between household cleaning product exposure and recurrent wheezing, as opposed to more common types of wheezing, such as transient.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we found no association between household cleaning product exposure in infancy and later development of recurrent wheeze or asthma, even among children who are high-risk for asthma due to a history of severe bronchiolitis. Our results contradict previous literature, especially regarding the recurrent wheezing outcome. Therefore, further studies are needed to determine whether early life exposure to cleaning products is mainly associated with transient wheezing symptoms in early childhood or has long-term impact on children's respiratory health.

#### **DECLARATIONS**

- Competing interests: The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to thecontent of this article.
- 241 Data availability: The datasets analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due
- 242 to privacy or ethical restrictions but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable
- 243 request.

238

- 244 Author contributions: All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material
- preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Orianne Dumas. The first draft of
- the manuscript was written by Isis F. Baroni and all authors commented on previous versions of
- the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 248 Ethics approval: All participating hospitals had local approval of their institutional review board.
- 249 Consent to participate: Informed consent was obtained from legal guardians.
- 250 Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD, USA)
- 251 [grant numbers T32 AI007306, UH3 OD-023253]. The content of this manuscript is solely the
- responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National
- 253 Institutes of Health.

254

255

# 256 REFERENCES

- 1. Alvarez-Alvarez I, Niu H, Guillen-Grima F, Aguinaga-Ontoso I (2018) Meta-analysis of
- prevalence of wheezing and recurrent wheezing in infants. Allergol Immunopathol
- 259 (Madr), 46(3):210-217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2016.08.011

- 26. Archangelidi O, Sathiyajit S, Consonni D, Jarvis D, De Matteis S (2021) Cleaning products and respiratory health outcomes in occupational cleaners: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Occup Environ Med, 78:604-617. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-263 2020-106776
- 3. Beasley R, Semprini A, Mitchell EA (2015) Risk factors for asthma: is prevention possible?. *Lancet*, 386(9998):1075-1085. https://doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00156-7
- 4. Bédard A, Varraso R, Sanchez M, Clavel-Chapelon F, Zock JP, Kauffmann F, et al (2014) Cleaning sprays, household help and asthma among elderly women. Respir Med, 108:171-180. https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2013.10.018
- 5. Bello, A., Quinn, M.M., Perry, M.J. et al (2009) Characterization of occupational exposures to cleaning products used for common cleaning tasks-a pilot study of hospital cleaners. Environ Health 8, 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-8-11
- 6. Casas L, Zock JP, Carsin AE, Fernandez-Somoano A, Esplugues A, Santa-Marina L, et al
  (2013) The use of household cleaning products during pregnancy and lower respiratory
  tract infections and wheezing during early life. Int J Public Health, 58(5):757-764.
  https://doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00038-012-0417-2
- 7. Casas L, Zock JP, Torrent M, et al (2013) Use of household cleaning products, exhaled nitric oxide and lung function in children. Eur Respir J, 42(5):1415-1418. https://doi.org/doi:10.1183/09031936.00066313
- 8. Casas L, Dumas O, Le Moual N (2022) Indoor air and respiratory health: Volatile organic compounds and cleaning products. In R. Nadif (Ed.), Asthma in the 21st Century (pp. 135-150). Academic Press

- 9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2022) Most Recent National Asthma
- Data. Updated May 25, 2022. Accessed on October 10, 2022.
- https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most\_recent\_national\_asthma\_data.htm
- 285 10. De Troeyer K, De Man J, Vandebroek E, et al. (2022) Identifying cleaning products
- associated with short-term work-related respiratory symptoms: A workforce-based study
- in domestic cleaners. Environ Int.,162:107170.
- 288 https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107170
- 289 11. Dumas O, Gaskins AJ, Boggs KM, et al. (2021) Occupational use of high-level
- disinfectants and asthma incidence in early- to mid-career female nurses: a prospective
- cohort study. Occup Environ Med. Apr;78(4):244-247.
- 292 https://doi.org/doi:10.1136/oemed-2020-106793
- 293 12. Dumas O, Hasegawa K, Mansbach JM, Sullivan AF, Piedra PA, Camargo CA Jr. (2019)
- Severe bronchiolitis profiles and risk of recurrent wheeze by age 3 years. J Allergy Clin
- 295 Immunol;143(4):1371-1379. https://doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2018.08.043
- 13. Forster, F., Heumann, C., Schaub, B., Böck, A., Nowak, D., Vogelberg, C., & Radon, K.
- 297 (2023). Parental occupational exposures prior to conception and offspring wheeze and
- 298 eczema during first year of life. Annals of Epidemiology, 77, 90–97.
- 299 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2022.11.009
- 300 14. Geller RJ, Espinola JA, Fabiano Filho RC, Hasegawa K, Mansbach JM, Sullivan AF, et
- al. (2021) A comparison of childhood asthma case definitions based on parent-reported
- data. Ann Epidemiol, 55:64-68. https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2020.10.001

- 15. Hasegawa, K., Mansbach, J. M., Ajami, N. J., Espinola, J. A., Henke, D. M., Petrosino, J.
- F., Piedra, P. A., Shaw, C. A., Sullivan, A. F., & Camargo, C. A. (2016). Association of
- nasopharyngeal microbiota profiles with bronchiolitis severity in infants hospitalised for
- bronchiolitis. European Respiratory Journal, 48(5), 1329–1339.
- 307 https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00152-2016
- 308 16. Henderson J, Sherriff A, Farrow A, Ayres JG (2008) Household chemicals, persistent
- wheezing and lung function: effect modification by atopy? Eur Respir J, 31(3):547-554.
- 310 https://doi.org/doi:10.1183/09031936.00086807
- 311 17. Herr M, Just J, Nikasinovic L, et al. (2012) Influence of host and environmental factors
- on wheezing severity in infants: findings from the PARIS birth cohort. Clinical &
- 313 Experimental Allergy, 2012 (42) 275–283. https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 314 2222.2011.03933.x
- 315 18. Kojima, R., Shinohara, R., Kushima, M., Horiuchi, S., Otawa, S., Yokomichi, H.,
- Akiyama, Y., Ooka, T., Miyake, K., & Yamagata, Z. (2022). Prenatal occupational
- disinfectant exposure and childhood allergies: the Japan Environment and Children's
- study. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 79(8), 521–526.
- 319 https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2021-108034
- 320 19. Lemire P, Chevallier E, Lyon-Caen S, et al. (2022) Association between household
- cleaning product profiles evaluated by the Ménag'Score® index and asthma symptoms
- among women from the SEPAGES cohort. Int Arch Occup Environ Health, 95(8):1719-
- 323 1729. https://doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00420-022-01860-w
- 324 20. Meissner HC (2016) Viral bronchiolitis in children. N Engl J Med, 374:62-72.
- 325 https://doi.org/doi:10.1056/NEJMra1413456

- 21. Mikeš O, Vrbová M, Klánová J, Čupr P, Švancara J, Pikhart H (2019) Early-life exposure
- to household chemicals and wheezing in children. Sci Total Environ, 663:418-425.
- 328 https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.254
- 329 22. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program (2007) Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-
- 3): Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma-Summary Report. J Allergy
- 331 Clin Immunol. 120(5 Suppl):S94-S138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2007.09.043
- 23. Nickmilder, M., Carbonnelle, S., & Bernard, A. (2007). House cleaning with chlorine
- bleach and the risks of allergic and respiratory diseases in children. Pediatric Allergy and
- 334 Immunology, 18(1), 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3038.2006.00487.x
- 24. Pacheco Da Silva E, Sit G, Goldberg M, et al. (2022) Household use of green and
- homemade cleaning products, wipe application mode, and asthma among French adults
- from the CONSTANCES cohort. Indoor Air; 32(7):e13078.
- 338 https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/ina.13078
- 25. Pacheco Da Silva E, Ngutuka M, Dumas O, et al. (2023) Longitudinal associations of
- household use of cleaning agents and asthma symptoms in women: the EGEA
- 341 study. Occup Environ Med, 80(4):218-224. https://doi.org/doi:10.1136/oemed-2022-
- 342 108513
- 26. Parks J, McCandless L, Dharma C, et al. (2020) Association of use of cleaning products
- with respiratory health in a Canadian birth cohort. CMAJ, 192(7):E154-E161.
- 345 https://doi.org/doi:10.1503/cmaj.190819
- 27. Sejbaek CS, Flachs EM, Carøe TK, et al. (2022) Professional cleaning and risk of asthma
- a Danish nationwide register-based study. Scand J Work Environ Health, 48(2):127-
- 348 136. https://doi.org/doi:10.5271/sjweh.3997

28. Sherriff A, Farrow A, Golding J, Henderson J. (2005) Frequent use of chemical 349 350 household products is associated with persistent wheezing in pre-school age children. 351 Thorax, 60(1):45–49, https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.2004.021154 352 29. Sit G, Varraso R, Fezeu LK, et al. (2022) Occupational Exposures to Irritants and 353 Sensitizers, Asthma and Asthma Control in the Nutrinet-Santé Cohort. J Allergy Clin 354 Immunol Pract, 10(12):3220-3227.e7. https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jaip.2022.08.047 355 30. Tjalvin, G., Svanes, Ø., Igland, J., Bertelsen, R. J., Benediktsdóttir, B., Dharmage, S., 356 Forsberg, B., Holm, M., Janson, C., Jõgi, N. O., Johannessen, A., Malinovschi, A., Pape, 357 K., Real, F. G., Sigsgaard, T., Torén, K., Vindenes, H. K., Zock, J.-P., Schlünssen, V., & 358 Svanes, C. (2022). Maternal preconception occupational exposure to cleaning products 359 and disinfectants and offspring asthma. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 360 149(1), 422-431.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2021.08.025 361 31. Weinmann T, Gerlich J, Heinrich S, et al. (2017) Association of household cleaning 362 agents and disinfectants with asthma in young German adults. Occup Environ Med, 363 74(9):684-690. https://doi.org/doi:10.1136/oemed-2016-104086

32. Zock JP, Plana E, Jarvis D, et al (2007) The use of household cleaning sprays and adult

https://doi:10.1164/rccm.200612-1793OC

asthma: an international longitudinal study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 176(8):735-741.

364

365

366