



HAL
open science

Sterile Pancreas Inflammation during Preservation and after Transplantation

Delphine Kervella, Benoît Mesnard, Thomas Prudhomme, Sarah Bruneau, Christophe Masset, Diego Cantarovich, Gilles Blancho, Julien Branchereau

► **To cite this version:**

Delphine Kervella, Benoît Mesnard, Thomas Prudhomme, Sarah Bruneau, Christophe Masset, et al.. Sterile Pancreas Inflammation during Preservation and after Transplantation. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2023, 24 (5), pp.4636. 10.3390/ijms24054636 . inserm-04131339

HAL Id: inserm-04131339

<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04131339>

Submitted on 16 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Review

Sterile Pancreas Inflammation during Preservation and after Transplantation

Delphine Kervella ^{1,2,*} , Benoît Mesnard ^{1,3} , Thomas Prudhomme ¹ , Sarah Bruneau ¹ ,
Christophe Masset ^{1,2} , Diego Cantarovich ^{1,2}, Gilles Blancho ^{1,2} and Julien Branchereau ^{1,3}

¹ Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, Nantes Université, Inserm, Centre de Recherche en Transplantation et Immunologie, UMR 1064, ITUN, F-44000 Nantes, France

² Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, Nantes Université, Néphrologie et Immunologie Clinique, ITUN, F-44000 Nantes, France

³ Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, Nantes Université, Service d'Urologie, ITUN, F-44000 Nantes, France

* Correspondence: delphine.kervella@univ-nantes.fr

Abstract: The pancreas is very susceptible to ischemia-reperfusion injury. Early graft losses due to pancreatitis and thrombosis represent a major issue after pancreas transplantation. Sterile inflammation during organ procurement (during brain death and ischemia-reperfusion) and after transplantation affects organ outcomes. Sterile inflammation of the pancreas linked to ischemia-reperfusion injury involves the activation of innate immune cell subsets such as macrophages and neutrophils, following tissue damage and release of damage-associated molecular patterns and pro-inflammatory cytokines. Macrophages and neutrophils favor tissue invasion by other immune cells, have deleterious effects or functions, and promote tissue fibrosis. However, some innate cell subsets may promote tissue repair. This outburst of sterile inflammation promotes adaptive immunity activation via antigen exposure and activation of antigen-presenting cells. Better controlling sterile inflammation during pancreas preservation and after transplantation is of utmost interest in order to decrease early allograft loss (in particular thrombosis) and increase long-term allograft survival. In this regard, perfusion techniques that are currently being implemented represent a promising tool to decrease global inflammation and modulate the immune response.

Keywords: transplantation; ischemia-reperfusion; pancreas; thrombosis



Citation: Kervella, D.; Mesnard, B.; Prudhomme, T.; Bruneau, S.; Masset, C.; Cantarovich, D.; Blancho, G.; Branchereau, J. Sterile Pancreas Inflammation during Preservation and after Transplantation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 4636. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24054636>

Academic Editors: Jerzy W. Kupiec-Weglinski, Joan Roselló-Catafau, René Adam, Teresa Carbonell Camós and Arnau Panisello-Roselló

Received: 24 December 2022

Revised: 23 February 2023

Accepted: 26 February 2023

Published: 27 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In selected type 1 diabetic patients, pancreas transplantation (either alone or associated with kidney transplantation for patients with chronic kidney disease) dramatically improves recipients' quality of life and prolongs survival in comparison to current medical treatments and other transplant options [1–4]. Recently published international recommendations prompt for implementation of pancreas transplantation [5]. Short-term allograft survival is mainly impaired by surgical complications whereas long-term allograft losses are mainly due to rejection [6].

Organ preservation between organ retrieval and transplantation is of critical importance to limit ischemia-reperfusion (IR) injuries. The standard of care for pancreas preservation is static cold storage, the most widely practiced method of organ preservation. The pancreas is highly susceptible to edema and ischemia-reperfusion injury that leads to damaging effects on the graft microvasculature and favor organ dysfunction. Compared to the other abdominal organs, the pancreas has a complex vascularization without the end arteries present in the liver and the kidney and is a low-flow organ. Particularly, thrombosis and pancreatitis occurring early posttransplant are favored by ischemia-reperfusion injuries and associated inflammation. Furthermore, inflammation induced by ischemia-reperfusion is implicated in the development of the alloimmune response.

In this review, we describe the mechanisms of sterile inflammation during pancreas preservation and after transplantation and sketch some potential therapeutic interventions that may reduce this sterile inflammation and improve pancreas allograft outcomes.

2. Clinical Impact of Ischemia-Reperfusion after Pancreas Transplantation

Ischemia-reperfusion is deleterious for all transplanted organs. It is well known in kidney transplantation that each hour of ischemia increases the risk of acute rejection and long-term allograft loss [7,8]. Prolonged cold ischemia time (CIT) reduces graft and patient survival during the first year after liver transplantation, with a tolerance to ischemia that is reduced compared to kidneys (8 to 13 h owing to indications) [9]. Pancreas survival is impacted by ischemia-reperfusion injury, both shortly after transplant and long-term.

Although long-term outcomes of pancreas transplantation have improved over time, graft losses during the first year (early graft failure) remain stable, between 10 and 15% [6,10]. When comparing early allograft loss of pancreas and kidney transplants in the same patients (those receiving both organs, simultaneous pancreas and kidney (SPK) recipients), pancreas allografts clearly show reduced survival, particularly driven by early allograft loss. In a registry analysis, of 3762 SPK performed worldwide between 2010 and 2014, one-year pancreas and kidney allograft survivals were 89.1 and 95.5%, respectively [6]. The difference in allograft failure was particularly due to very early (first three months) allograft failures, occurring for the pancreas in more than 10% of these SPK recipients, compared to less than 5% for the kidney in the same patients. In the same registry analysis, 3-year pancreas and kidney allograft survivals were 82.2 and 88.5%, respectively, thus displaying the same rate of failure between one and three years posttransplant (around 7%).

Preservation time is associated with one-year pancreas allograft survival [11,12]. It has been integrated as a parameter of the Pancreas Donor Risk Index, an index built to identify factors associated with an increased risk of allograft failure, applying a risk of 1 (baseline) to a preservation time of 12 h, the risk increasing when preservation time exceeds 12 h [11]. Technical failure (loss due to surgical complications and thrombosis) is the primary cause of graft loss in the first year and occurs in around 10% of pancreas transplant recipients [13,14]. Risk factors for early allograft failure are mainly related to the donor (donor obesity, age, death from a cerebrovascular cause) and the perioperative period (preservation time, low center volume) [6,14]. Those two studies identified preservation time over 24 h as a risk factor for early allograft failure in multivariate analysis, with an almost linear correlation between increasing preservation time and increasing incidence of thrombosis and leaks [6,14]. Prolonged CIT (owing to studies, higher than 12, 20, or 24 h) is consistently associated with early complications such as thrombosis and pancreatitis [6,13–15] (Table 1). The impact of CIT seems to combine with donor factors, as it has more impact on graft outcomes when donors are older and overweight. Cold ischemia time also influences long-term pancreas allograft survival [15–17]. Long-term graft survival seems to be better when CIT is reduced to less than 12 h, and the risk of graft failure increases with higher CIT. In a series of the explanted pancreas (between one day and eight years posttransplant), histological analysis showed that insulin-labeled islet cell proportion decreased significantly with donor age and CIT [18]. Grafts with less than 12 h of CIT perform better overall for both short- and long-term survival.

Table 1. Cold ischemia time and association with clinical outcomes in pancreas transplantation.

Reference	Number of Patients/ Time Period/ Country	Outcome Considered	Type of Donor	Incidence of Technical Failure and Thrombosis	CIT Time Considered	Results	Other Variables Associated with Outcome
Axelrod et al. [11]	9401 patients 2000–2006 SRTR (USA)	1-year graft survival (development of pancreas donor risk index (PDRI))	DBD and DCD (1.4 %)			Preservation time > 12 h associated with outcome, integrated in PDRI	
Öllinger et al. [17]	509 patients 1979–2011 Austria	Long-term graft survival	DBD	Thrombosis cause of 6.5% of pancreas losses	Continuous variable	CIT > 14 h associated with worse 10-year graft survival (44% vs. 65%, $p = 0.04$) but not in multivariate analysis	Donor age Type of transplantation Time of transplantation Number of transplants
Finger et al. [10]	1115 patients 1998–2011 USA	Technical failure (graft loss <90 days due to thrombosis, bleeding, pancreatitis or intra-abdominal infections).	DBD and DCD (2.9%)	Incidence of TF 10.2% Incidence of thrombosis 5.6%	Cut-off 20h	Multivariate model preservation time >20 h associated with TF (HR 2.17 [1.45;3.23], $p < 0.001$)	Donor BMI Donor Cr Donor age
Kopp et al. [16]	349 patients 1984–2012 Netherlands	Pancreas allograft survival	DBD and DCD (2%)	Early graft failure (<3 months) due to technical failure 9.4%, including thrombosis (8.3 % of total graft failure)	Continuous variable	Death-censored pancreas allograft associated with CIT ($p = 0.005$) Pancreas graft survival multivariate model CIT HR 0.9 [0.81–0.99], $p = 0.033$	Transplant type (SPK vs. PTA/PAK) Procurement center local/non-local Recipient cerebrovascular disease
Mittal et al. [12]	1201 patients 2004–2011 UK	1-year graft survival	DBD and DCD (10.8%)		Continuous variable	Cold ischemia time associated with outcome ($p < 0.001$)	PDRI
Gruessner et al. [6]	11 104 2005–2014 World (UNOS/IPTR)	Allograft survival Technical failure Graft thrombosis	DBD and DCD (3% of SPK)	Early TF (<3 months) 7.4% for 2005–2009 era and 5.4% for 2010–2014 (SPK) Thrombosis 5.5% for 2005–2009 era and 4.1% for 2010–2014 (SPK)	Cut-offs 12h and 24h	Preservation time associated with pancreas failure (SPK) (RR 12 h–24 h 1.18 [1.06;1.33], ≥ 24 h 2.38 [1.60;3.53], vs. 0–11 h, $p < 0.0001$, multivariate analysis) Preservation time associated with early graft failure due to graft thrombosis (SPK) (RR 12h–24h 1.18 [0.94;1.49], ≥ 24 h 3.14 [1.51;6.51], vs. 0–11h, $p = 0.005$ multivariate analysis)	Allograft failure (SPK) Era Recipient gender Recipient BMI PRA Donor age Donor Cause of death Immunosuppression Center volume Early graft failure due to thrombosis (SPK) Era Recipient BMI PRA Donor cause of death

HR hazard ratio, RR relative risk, Cr creatinine, BMI body mass index, CIT cold ischemia time, TF transplant failure, DBD donation after Brain death, DCD donation after cardiac death, SRTR scientific registry of transplant recipients, PDRI pancreas donor risk index, UNOS united network for organ sharing, IPTR international pancreas transplant registry, SPK simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation.

The largest cohort study showing an association between CIT over 12 h and allograft failure, targeting a preservation time of less than 12 h for pancreas allografts seems indicated to limit ischemia-reperfusion injuries [6]. Overall, the higher occurrence of early allograft failure in the pancreas compared to the kidney is driven by thrombosis and pancreatitis, both related to donor factors (graft quality) and cold ischemia time. Ischemia-reperfusion injury and related inflammation play a major role in these events, as organs from expanded criteria donors are more susceptible to IRI, and IRI lesions are worsened by prolonged preservation time.

Unlike kidney transplants, no relationship between cold ischemia time and risk of graft rejection has been shown until now. Nonetheless, the association of increased CIT with worse long-term allograft survival may be explained by increased chronic lesions (fibrosis) and/or chronic rejection that may often be unrecognized because of the difficulty to perform graft biopsies to assess pancreas histology.

3. Mechanism of Sterile Inflammation during Organ Procurement and Ischemia-Reperfusion

Ischemia-reperfusion injuries (IRI) have been extensively described in kidney transplantation. Data on the mechanisms of IRI and induced histological changes after pancreas transplantation are relatively scarce due to the low volume of pancreas transplantations compared to kidney transplantations and the technical difficulties to obtain pancreas biopsy samples (Table 2).

Table 2. Molecules implicated in pancreatic ischemia/reperfusion injuries and sterile inflammation.

Reference	Molecules Implicated	Cell Source	Animal/Human	Setting	Outcome/Associated Event
Ogliari et al. [19]	CCL-2	Monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells	Human (77 SPK recipients)	Donor brain death	High donor circulating CCL2 levels associated with pancreas loss HR 4.4 [1.14–16.7] $p = 0.031$ multivariate analysis High incidence of pancreas graft thrombosis
Rech et al. [20]	TNF- α , IL-6	Various	Human (17 brain death and 20 control pancreas)	Donor brain death	Higher serum concentration of TNF and IL-6 in brain death patients. Increased TNF protein levels in pancreatic tissue in brain death patients.
Lunsford et al. [21]	Various cytokines and chemokines	Various	Mouse	Ischemia reperfusion (reversible vascular isolation of distal pancreas)	Upregulation of G-CSF, IFN- γ , TNF- α , IL-2, IL-1 β , IL-6, CCL-2, CCL-5, CXCL-1, MIP2 protein levels in mice serum (30 min IRI). Upregulation CCL-2, IL-1 β , IL-6, fos, hsp1a, hspd1, cd14 gene expression in pancreatic tissue (30 min IRI, marked inflammation)
Wiessner et al. [22]	ICAM-1, P-selectin	Endothelial cells	Human	Ischemia reperfusion (pancreas biopsies during cold ischemia, after reperfusion (allogeneic transplantation) and controls)	Increased expression of P-selectin after reperfusion Increased expression of ICAM-1 during cold ischemia Extensive infiltration of CD11b cells (neutrophils) in venules and capillaries after reperfusion

CCL2 chemokine ligand 2, DGF delayed graft function, SPK simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation, IL interleukine, TNF tumor necrosis factor, IFN interferon, MIP Macrophage inflammatory protein, ICAM intercellular adhesion molecule, CD cluster of differentiation.

3.1. Sterile Inflammation Starts in the Donor: Role of Brain Death

A clear link between brain death and impaired graft survival has been reported in kidney, heart, liver, and lung transplantation [23]. Kidneys from donation after brain death (DBD) already show signs of inflammation and endothelial activation before organ procurement [24].

In a rat model, brain death impairs pancreatic microcirculation (decrease in functional capillary density, increased leukocyte adherence), and favors leukocyte recruitment in the graft [25]. This impairment of microcirculation predicts the degree of graft pancreatitis [26].

The inflammatory burst induced by brain death is illustrated by the systemic release of proinflammatory cytokines (interleukine-1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-8, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), chemokine ligand 2/monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (CCL-2/MCP-1). Donor CCL2/MCP-1 circulating level is a predictive biomarker of pancreas transplant outcome, with higher levels correlated with worse graft survival after simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation [19]. This chemokine is present in the graft (released after revascularization) and associated with graft loss due to thrombosis. This suggests that the severity of the inflammatory response induced by brain death influences the posttransplant inflammatory response, independently (or in an additive way) of subsequent ischemia and reperfusion. CCL2 is produced by multiple cell types in response to proinflammatory stimuli (TNF- α , interferon-gamma (IFN- γ), IL-1- β . . .) and induces chemotaxis of monocytes, recruitment of T cells and activated natural killer (NK) cells. Brain death may induce CCL2 release in response to ischemia due to peripheral vasoconstriction secondary to catecholamines burst. A study comparing brain death patients to control patients showed increased serum TNF- α and IL-6 concentrations and increased TNF- α protein levels in the pancreas in brain death patients [20]. Brain death induces general and pancreatic inflammation, with increased tissular and circulating TNF- α and CCL2 levels that will contribute to local inflammation. Blocking these mediators may improve the outcomes.

Finally, it is probable that local upregulation and activation of complement C3 and upregulation of Toll-like receptor (TLR)-4 and its ligands, mediators of innate immunity, play a role in the pathogenesis of pancreas injury following transplantation, as it has been proven in kidneys from brain dead donors [27].

Overall, the association of hemodynamic instability, hormonal changes, and neurological occurring at the time of brain death leads to a cascade of inflammatory events affecting the organ endothelium and microvascularization, including the activation of complement, coagulation, and release of mediators of the innate immune response (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Inflammatory phenomena during pancreas transplantation and possible therapeutic interventions. IL interleukine, TNF tumor-necrosis factor, CCL2 chemokine ligand 2, MCP-1 monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, ATP adenosine tri-phosphate, ROS reactive oxygen species, HO-1 Heme oxygenase 1.

3.2. Ischemia-Reperfusion and Innate Immunity

Although few studies have focused on pancreas ischemia-reperfusion mechanisms, these mechanisms have been well-described for other solid organ transplantations. We will review the general mechanisms of IRI before focusing on a few studies of the mechanisms of IRI in pancreas transplantation.

Cold organ preservation (cold ischemia) leads to lesions that are secondarily worsened by the afflux of oxygen during reperfusion [28,29]. Hypoxia induces cell injury and reactive oxygen species production. The depletion of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) leads to the dysfunction of Na/K ATPases pumps, thus promoting cellular edema. In severe ischemic conditions, it leads to cellular death (necrosis or apoptosis) [30]. Oxidative stress strongly increases during reperfusion due to the massive and brutal oxygen supply. Lesions induced by IR in the kidney lead to the development of tubulointerstitial fibrosis, contributing to chronic allograft dysfunction [31]. Inflammation mediated by the innate immune system starts during ischemia and worsens during reperfusion, with secondarily the recruitment of mediators of adaptive immunity [32]. These events are initiated by the release of DAMPs (damage-associated molecular patterns) and HIF (hypoxia-inducible factors) by cells suffering from ischemia, in addition to the expression of adhesion molecules at their cell surface. DAMPs ligation to TLRs expressed by organ cells and immune cells leads to the transcription of pro-inflammatory mediators, mostly via a transcription factor called NF- κ B (nuclear factor-kappa B). Endothelial lesions induced by IR increase vascular permeability

and favor organ infiltration by immune cells. IR favors the secretion of cytokines and chemokines that attract neutrophils and macrophages in the organ. In kidney IR, tubular secretion of GM-CSF (granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor) stimulates MCP-1 expression by macrophages and promotes sustained inflammation and tubular injury with progressive interstitial fibrosis [33]. MCP-1/C-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CCR2) signaling on macrophages plays an important role in promoting immune cell infiltration and myofibroblast activation to drive sustained inflammation and tubular injury leading to progressive interstitial fibrosis in the late stages of IRI. MCP-1 expression during ischemia-reperfusion seems to be mediated by NF- κ B activation and oxidative stress [34].

Macrophages located in the tissues ingest dead cells and debris. They sense extracellular DAMPs and get activated in this environment, leading to the release of chemokines and cytokines. This will favor other immune cell recruitment, notably monocytes via CCL2, favor endothelial cell activation and neutrophil recruitment. Macrophages have effector functions that contribute to IR lesions and have a deleterious pro-fibrosis role during tissue repair. Clinical studies showed a correlation between the frequency of infiltrating macrophages in early surveillance biopsies and clinical outcomes (graft survival and organ function) [35]. Macrophages are dynamic and plastic depending on environmental stimuli. The inflammatory environment favors the polarization of macrophages to M1 (proinflammatory, producing inducible nitric oxide synthase, TNF- α , IL-1, and IL-6). M2 macrophages on the other side exert anti-inflammatory and tissue-repairing properties. Heme-oxygenase 1 expression seems to play a role in M2 polarization with potential beneficial effects [36]. Finally, it has recently been shown in animal models that innate myeloid cells can participate in a form of allorecognition [37,38]. This allorecognition could induce or worsen transplant rejection by generating dendritic cells that would trigger adaptive response and direct toxicity of allo-specific macrophages. A molecular determinant of this innate allorecognition seems to be the polymorphisms of signal regulatory protein α (SIRP α), expressed by myeloid cells. SIRP α -CD47 interaction induces inhibition of myeloid cell activation (self-recognition), whereas donor-recipient SIRP α mismatch does not induce this inhibitory signal.

Neutrophils recruited in the organ take part in the IRI lesions by obstructing microvessels, secreting reactive oxygen species, proteases, and cytokines. Activated neutrophils enhance inflammatory tissue damage by releasing neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). NETs consist of extracellular scaffolds of desoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) fibers with histones, granule-derived antimicrobial peptides, and enzymes. NET formation seems to be triggered by histone release from ischemic tissue [39]. However, it appears that neutrophils also perform helpful tasks such as favoring vascular regrowth [40].

Molecules of the complement cascade, via the lectin pathway and the alternative pathway, also play a deleterious role in IR lesions and adaptive immune response activation [41].

The pancreas is highly susceptible to IRI, with both warm and cold ischemia impacting organ quality [42,43]. Islet cells seem to be particularly sensitive to ischemia. Indeed, studies of islet cell retrieval for islet transplantation showed that a higher ischemia time was associated with worse islet yield [44]. In a rat model of pancreas ischemia, both apoptosis and necrosis were involved in islet death, with oxidative stress and disruption of membranes being critical mechanisms mediated by pancreas cold ischemia/rewarming [45]. Studies specifically focusing on pancreas ischemia-reperfusion-associated inflammation are scarce but in line with mechanisms described in other organs [46]. A mouse model of pancreatic ischemia-reperfusion by vascular isolation of the distal pancreas for up to 30 min showed the upregulation of serum granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) IFN- γ , TNF- α , IL-2, IL-1 β , IL-6, CCL2, CCL5, CXCL1, macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP2) in the serum and upregulation of inflammatory molecules genes in pancreatic tissues [21]. IR favors the expression of activation molecules by the graft's endothelium (such as intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1)) that contributes to the leukocyte infiltration of the graft [22].

3.3. Activation of Adaptive Immunity

This inflammatory environment during ischemia and reperfusion is independent of alloantigens and represents a non-specific response. Local inflammation triggered by IR increases transplant immunogenicity. Macrophages act as antigen-presenting cells, making the connection between innate and adaptive immunity. DAMPs produced by damaged cells during IR trigger activation and maturation of dendritic cells (DCs). The inflammatory environment allows the recruitment and activation of the recipient's DCs, while donor DCs migrate out of the graft to the recipient's lymph nodes, promoting alloimmune response activation [47,48]. Ischemia increases alloimmune injury through IL-6-driven CD4+ alloreactivity [49]. The severity of IR seems correlated to kidney transplant rejection risk [50]. In animal models, ischemic renal injury leads to a rise in antibody production, shedding light on a relationship between IRI and antibody-mediated rejection [51]. The link between IRI and rejection has not been directly proven for pancreas transplants but the negative impact of longer CIT on long-term allograft survival may be at least partly explained by chronic rejection.

On the other hand, neutrophils and macrophages activated during IRI also have the capacity to modulate the adaptive immune response. In animal models, neutrophils favor tolerance by mediating macrophage polarization towards suppressive macrophages via colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF-1) secretion [52]. Regulatory macrophages have the capacity to inhibit CD8(+) T cell immunity and favor regulatory T cell expansion [53].

Lymphocytes also play a role in the early phase of IR. Indeed, in murine models with T lymphocyte-deficient animals, IR lesions are significantly reduced. Regulatory T lymphocytes have a protective role, promoting tissue repair.

Type 1 diabetes pancreas transplant recipients have pre-existing auto-reactive T cells and B cells. Pancreas transplantation is a re-challenge of an adaptive memory response. This auto-immunity is not well-controlled by standard immunosuppressive drugs used after transplantation, and autoimmune recurrence can occur [54]. However, no link between ischemia-reperfusion and type 1 diabetes recurrence has been observed yet.

3.4. Specificities Related to Early Graft Thrombosis

Pancreas graft vascular thrombosis is the leading cause of early graft loss [55]. CIT is one of the factors implicated in thrombosis, with a linear correlation between CIT and thrombosis occurrence [14]. The occurrence of graft pancreatitis increases the risk of thrombosis [55,56]. Other factors that are associated with thrombosis occurrence are donor-related (increased donor age [13,17,56], obesity [13,55], cerebrovascular cause of death [6,56]), graft-related (low microcirculatory blood flow [57], vascular reconstructions [56]), and recipient-related (hyper-coagulable state of diabetic patients [55,58]). Distal partial venous thrombosis is frequent and potentially explained by vessel ligation [59,60].

These thromboses are favored by local edema and inflammation that can affect the vein directly, inflammatory mediators that cause systemic activation of hemostasis, and exposure of tissue factors. Prevention of pancreas transplant thrombosis with anti-aggregating agents or heparin varies widely between centers [55].

3.5. Specificities Related to Donation after Cardiac Death

As already mentioned, inflammatory signals occur in the organ already before ischemia, and after brain death. To increase the donor pool, controlled Donation after Circulatory Death (cDCD) donors are being increasingly used [61]. These donors may not have the same intensity of inflammatory signals as brain-dead donors, but on the other hand, the organs undergo a period of warm ischemia (between circulating death and organ procurement or perfusion) that may lead to serious tissue damage. Techniques differ between countries to keep this warm ischemia time as low as possible. In some countries, organ retrieval is started right after circulatory death (rapid procurement) whereas in others the abdominal organs are perfused with oxygenated blood before organ retrieval (normothermic regional perfusion (NRP)).

Registry analysis in the UK and Sweden showed no difference in pancreas allograft survival between SPK recipients from DBD donors and DCD donors [62,63]. In both studies, CIT was shorter for DCD donors. DCD does not seem to be associated with lower early and long-term pancreas survival when compared to the pancreas from DBD [64–66]. A combined analysis of several cohorts did not find a difference in one-year pancreas graft survival or patient survival between DCD and DBD donors despite a higher rate of pancreas graft thrombosis after DCD donation [67].

Warm ischemia that occurs during DCD induces a quick depletion of intracellular energy sources such as ATP and accumulation of toxic metabolites. ATP concentration in the DCD pancreas is significantly lower compared with the DBD pancreas and decreases even more during static cold storage [68]. In a small UK series, they found no difference in graft survival (short-term and one year) between DBD, super-rapid procurement DCD, and NRP-DCD [69]. Of note, peak serum lipase was lower in NRP-DCD, suggesting that organ inflammation was lower in this setting.

Furthermore, there seems to be a benefit to the absence of systemic manifestations of brain death. In a rat model, islet recovery from DBD donors was reduced compared to non-brain-dead controls [70]. This was associated with an increased expression of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 and increased islet cell apoptosis in DBD donors, and with reduced in vivo function of islets from DBD donors.

4. Therapeutic Options to Decrease Sterile Inflammation of the Pancreas

4.1. Donor Treatment

As brain death is associated with organ inflammation, donor selection, and anti-inflammatory treatment may be useful to ensure better long-term outcomes. There have been no studies of therapeutic intervention in the donor in pancreas transplantation. The promising approach of complement blockade described for kidneys may be also beneficial for the pancreas [71].

4.2. Anti-Inflammatory Therapies in Recipients

Targeted therapies to limit IRI-induced inflammation focus on lowering oxidative stress and thus cell damage, limit the inflammatory cytokines burst, limit the recruitment of immune cells in the organ, and favor the polarization of immune cells, especially macrophages, towards an anti-inflammatory phenotype.

The use of a potent antioxidant (alpha-lipoic acid) both in the donor and the recipient reduced inflammatory markers (serum cytokines and chemokines levels) and decreased early kidney dysfunction and clinical posttransplant pancreatitis [72].

As previously described, MCP-1 is a chemokine expressed during IRI that recruits monocytes and macrophages in the tissues. Activated monocytes and macrophages that are recruited in the tissues by MCP-1 express cytokines that will favor the fibrogenic properties of mesenchymal cells (pancreatic stellate cells) [73]. Monocytes recruited in the pancreas via the MCP-1/CCR2 pathway infiltrate the organ and can differentiate into stellate cells [74]. In a rat model of toxic pancreatitis, anti-MCP-1 gene therapy led to an improvement in pancreas pathology and a reduction in inflammation and fibrosis [75].

Blockade of other pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1) has been used in clinical islet transplantation but not yet in pancreas transplantation [76–78]. TNF signaling blockade is an interesting target [79]. Agents reducing NET formation seem beneficial in animal models of kidney IRI [39].

Induction of Heme-oxygenase-1 (HO-1) improves the survival of pancreas grafts by prevention of pancreatitis after transplantation in a rat model of pancreas transplantation with HO-1 induction with cobalt protoporphyrin [80]. HO-1 overexpression was associated with a decrease in pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-2, IL-6, IFN- γ), an increase in the anti-inflammatory molecule IL-10, and less expression of adhesion molecules. HO-1 overexpression may favor the polarization of macrophages towards an M2 phenotype exerting anti-inflammatory and repairing properties [34].

4.3. Refinement of Preservation Techniques

Static cold storage remains the gold standard for pancreas preservation. Organ shortage, encouraging results from kidney and liver perfusion techniques and technical progress in perfusion systems, have led to a renewed interest in *ex vivo* pancreas perfusion prior to transplantation. Organ perfusion before transplantation would allow organ assessment (with the potential to reduce discard rates), improve graft outcomes by lowering ischemia-reperfusion injury, and enable the use of specific treatments during perfusion (Figure 1).

4.3.1. Static Cold Storage

The use of extracellular preservation solutions with colloids to lower organ edema has improved the results of pancreas transplantation and reduced the rates of pancreatitis and graft thrombosis [81]. Reducing oxidative damage may be the first target to limit ischemia-reperfusion injury and the following sterile inflammation. Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) protects organ grafts against prolonged ischemia-reperfusion injury. In a porcine model of pancreas preservation prior to islet isolation, preservation of the organ with the addition of a mitochondrial-targeted H₂S donor, AP-39, decreased the level of reactive oxygen species and increased islet yield [82]. Adding a natural oxygen carrier such as M101 in the preservation solution of rat pancreas increased islet cell function by decreasing oxidative stress, necrosis, and cellular stress pathways [83]. This is a simple and promising approach to improving organ quality. Persufflation (gaseous oxygen perfusion) effectively oxygenates the pancreas, decreases inflammation, and increases metabolic markers [84]. However, this procedure is technically more difficult to implement.

4.3.2. Hypothermic Machine Perfusion

Machine perfusion offers multiple potential benefits including improvement in oxygen and nutrients circulation, elimination of metabolic waste and toxins, and maintenance of vasculature and endothelial protection. Furthermore, it brings the opportunity for viability assessment and therapeutic manipulation or gene therapy and allows long-distance organ sharing [85]. The use of hypothermic machine perfusion (HMP) with pulsatile flow permits maintaining sheer stress, participating in endothelial protection as it is an important regulator of endothelial cells' inflammatory responses [86]. This particular pulsatile action may activate endothelial protective genes such as Kruppel-like factor 2 [87]. This molecule, overexpressed by the endothelium during pulsatile perfusion, inhibits proinflammatory responses and protects endothelial cells [88,89].

Data from kidney transplantation show that cold machine perfusion of kidneys from deceased donors improves kidney graft survival at one year [90]. The impact of machine perfusion on rejection risk is difficult to assess, as kidneys preserved on machines are usually from older and marginal donors. However, clinical data suggest that machine perfusion would decrease rejection rates at one year [91]. Older donor organs have higher immunogenicity [92]. Hypothermic machine perfusion of kidney grafts decreases inflammatory cytokines expression and hypoxia-inducible genes and reduces long-term graft fibrosis [93].

Pancreas machine perfusion has only been held in preclinical studies until now, mostly on discarded human pancreas or on porcine pancreas. As the pancreas is a low-flow organ, the perfusion technique is challenging to implement. Indeed, high pressure such as in kidney and liver perfusion causes endothelial injury and pancreas edema. Perfusion of the discarded pancreas with low-pressure HMP (around 25 mmHg) showed the absence of organ edema after 24 h [94]. Another group showed successful oxygenated HMP of the human pancreas from DBD and DCD for six hours at 25 mmHg [68]. HMP allowed an increase in tissue ATP concentration both in DCD and DBD pancreas. Porcine models of pancreas preservation will allow the refinement of preservation techniques and organ evaluation [95].

4.3.3. Normothermic Machine Perfusion

Normothermic machine perfusion (NMP) consists of the ex vivo perfusion of the organ with an oxygenated red cell-based solution. Data from kidney and liver transplantation show that this technique may allow organ reconditioning, better evaluation (and limitation of discard rates), and overall improve graft outcomes [96,97]. This technique decreases organ inflammation, likely due to the restoration of oxidative metabolism, restoration of intracellular energy supplies, and removal of toxic metabolites [98]. NMP of the pancreas has been described by a few teams, either for organ viability assessment or for proper organ preservation [99–101]. Recent data show the successful use of normothermic reperfusion to assess organ viability after static cold storage or oxygenated HMP in a porcine model [102]. Again in a porcine model, pancreas normothermic perfusion was used for a longer period to preserve the organ (6 h), followed by allotransplantation. Perfused pancreas showed no edema and pancreas endocrine function after transplantation was preserved [103]. Ex vivo NMP is yet experimental but these results are encouraging and given the organ shortage plead for the improvement and implementation of this technique. Of note, the handling of proteolytic enzyme production that recirculates in the organ and causes damage is an important issue.

Besides organ viability assessment and organ reconditioning via ATP stock reconstitution, NMP may also allow immunomodulation [104]. In a model of porcine kidney perfusion, there was a marked cellular diapedesis of T cells, B cells, natural killer cells, and monocytes from the kidney into the circuit, a depletion of immune cells that may be beneficial after transplantation [105]. During NMP, the organ is isolated and specific treatments can be administered. During kidney preservation in preclinical models, treatment with complement inhibitors, anticoagulant local treatment, or antioxidants seem to be beneficial [106–108]. In a rat model, Yuzefovych et al. described a strategy of ribonucleic acid (RNA) silencing allowing the inhibition of class I and II major histocompatibility complex expression [109]. A promising strategy lies in the delivery of cell-targeted therapies, for example with anti-CD31 endothelium targeting molecules [110]. Finally, mesenchymal stromal cell infusion during IRI represents a promising strategy to decrease immune activation after organ transplantation. These cells have mostly regenerative and immunomodulatory properties by secreting anti-inflammatory cytokines, polarizing macrophages, and generating regulatory T cells [104].

5. How to Improve Our Knowledge of the Mechanisms of IRI and Related Sterile Inflammation

As emphasized in this review, data on pancreas ischemia reperfusion-injury and related sterile inflammation are scarce. In parallel with the implementation of new therapeutic strategies such as perfusion techniques and anti-inflammatory drugs, a better understanding of the mechanisms of ischemia-reperfusion specific to the pancreas is needed. Given the difficulty to obtain pancreatic tissue in vivo, tissue analysis from the pancreas extracted from donors can be used to assess donor-related inflammation. The implementation of ex vivo normothermic perfusion is of particular interest in this regard, as it allows the study of the organ isolated from the donor and recipient. Reperfusion is mimicked by the perfusion of an oxygenated blood-based solution. It will allow for the analysis of immune mediators and immune cells in the perfusate, and for carrying out biopsies to decipher histological changes and immune cell activation.

Finally, a more in-depth analysis of the implications of different immune cell subsets and parenchymal cells can be obtained by recently developed single-cell sequencing approaches. This technique allows comprehensive profiling of the microenvironment in an unbiased manner [111]. Indeed, this technique allowed the identification of a gene-expression signature for renal resident macrophages (particularly important in IRI and subsequent inflammation, fibrosis, and repair), paving the way to more refined studies of this population of interest across kidney diseases and transplantation [112]. Furthermore, single-cell RNA sequencing of cells from liver tissues at different times of ischemia/reperfusion allowed the description of changes in the transcriptome of different cell populations (immune and non-immune), particularly of resident macrophages [113]. The single-cell transcriptome atlas of the normal human pancreas has been described [114,115]. Applying this approach to ischemia-reperfusion of the pancreas may allow

the identification of specific cell subsets implicated, a broader overview of molecular changes, and the identification of therapeutic targets.

6. Conclusions

Pancreas ischemia-reperfusion injuries are not as extensively described as in other organs despite clinical specificities with posttransplant complications linked to IRI (thrombosis, pancreatitis). Further analysis of the pancreas IRI mechanism is required. In-depth analysis of the immune activation and the effect of machine perfusion on these parameters in pre-clinical models may help decipher the processes leading to pancreas inflammation during IRI and find out potential therapeutic targets to decrease short-term complications as well as increase long-term allograft survival.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.K. and J.B.; writing—original draft preparation, D.K.; writing—review and editing, B.M., T.P., S.B., C.M., D.C., G.B. and J.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: Figure created with [BioRender.com](https://www.biorender.com).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

ATP	adenosine triphosphate
BMI	body mass index
CIT	cold ischemia time
CCL2	chemokine ligand 2
CCR2	C-C chemokine receptor type 2
CD	cluster of differentiation
DAMP	Damage-associated molecular pattern
DBD	donation after brain death
DC	dendritic cell
DCD	donation after cardiac death
DNA	desoxyribonucleic acid
G-CSF	granulocyte colony-stimulating factor
GM-CSF	granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor
HIF	hypoxia inducible factors
HO-1	heme oxygenase 1
HMP	hypothermic machine perfusion
H ₂ S	hydrogen sulfide
ICAM1	intercellular adhesion molecule 1
IFN	γ interferon gamma
IL	interleukine
IR	Ischemia-reperfusion
IRI	ischemia-reperfusion injuries
MIP2	macrophage inflammatory protein 2
MCP-1	monocyte chemoattractant protein 1
NETs	neutrophil extracellular traps
NF-κB	nuclear factor kappa B
NMP	normothermic machine perfusion
NRP	normothermic regional perfusion
PAK	pancreas after kidney
PTA	pancreas transplant alone
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SIRPα	signal regulatory protein alpha
SPK	simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation
TLR	toll-like receptor
TNF-α	tumor necrosis factor alpha

References

1. Smith, G.C.; Trauer, T.; Kerr, P.G.; Chadban, S.J. Prospective quality-of-life monitoring of simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplant recipients using the 36-item short form health survey. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* **2010**, *55*, 698–707. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Mohan, P.; Safi, K.; Little, D.M.; Donohoe, J.; Conlon, P.; Walshe, J.J.; O’Kelly, P.; Thompson, C.J.; Hickey, D.P. Improved patient survival in recipients of simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplant compared with kidney transplant alone in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and end-stage renal disease. *Br. J. Surg.* **2003**, *90*, 1137–1141. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Sung, R.S.; Zhang, M.; Schaubel, D.E.; Shu, X.; Magee, J.C. A Reassessment of the Survival Advantage of Simultaneous Kidney-Pancreas Versus Kidney-Alone Transplantation. *Transplantation* **2015**, *99*, 1900–1906. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Boggi, U.; Baronti, W.; Amorese, G.; Pilotti, S.; Occhipinti, M.; Perrone, V.; Marselli, L.; Barsotti, M.; Campani, D.; Gianetti, E.; et al. Treating Type 1 Diabetes by Pancreas Transplant Alone: A Cohort Study on Actual Long-term (10 Years) Efficacy and Safety. *Transplantation* **2022**, *106*, 147–157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Boggi, U.; Vistoli, F.; Andres, A.; Arbogast, H.P.; Badet, L.; Baronti, W.; Bartlett, S.T.; Benedetti, E.; Branchereau, J.; Burke, G.W., 3rd; et al. First World Consensus Conference on pancreas transplantation: Part II—recommendations. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2021**, *21*, 17–59. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Gruessner, A.C.; Gruessner, R.W.G. Pancreas Transplantation of US and Non-US Cases from 2005 to 2014 as Reported to the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) and the International Pancreas Transplant Registry (IPTR). *Rev. Diabet. Stud.* **2016**, *13*, 35–58. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Debout, A.; Foucher, Y.; Trébern-Launay, K.; Legendre, C.; Kreis, H.; Mourad, G.; Garrigue, V.; Morelon, E.; Buron, F.; Rostaing, L.; et al. Each additional hour of cold ischemia time significantly increases the risk of graft failure and mortality following renal transplantation. *Kidney Int.* **2014**, *87*, 343–349. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Postalcioğlu, M.; Kaze, A.D.; Byun, B.C.; Siedlecki, A.; Tullius, S.G.; Milford, E.L.; Paik, J.M.; Abdi, R. Association of Cold Ischemia Time With Acute Renal Transplant Rejection. *Transplantation* **2018**, *102*, 1188–1194. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Lozanovski, V.J.; Döhler, B.; Weiss, K.H.; Mehrabi, A.; Süsal, C. The Differential Influence of Cold Ischemia Time on Outcome After Liver Transplantation for Different Indications—Who Is at Risk? A Collaborative Transplant Study Report. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 892. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Gruessner, A.C.; Gruessner, R.W.G. Long-term outcome after pancreas transplantation: A registry analysis. *Curr. Opin. Organ Transplant.* **2016**, *21*, 377. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Axelrod, D.A.; Sung, R.S.; Meyer, K.H.; Wolfe, R.A.; Kaufman, D.B. Systematic Evaluation of Pancreas Allograft Quality, Outcomes and Geographic Variation in Utilization. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2010**, *10*, 837–845. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Mittal, S.; Lee, F.J.; Bradbury, L.; Collett, D.; Reddy, S.; Sinha, S.; Sharples, E.; Ploeg, R.J.; Friend, P.J.; Vaidya, A. Validation of the Pancreas Donor Risk Index for use in a UK population. *Transpl. Int.* **2015**, *28*, 1028–1033. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Finger, E.B.; Radosovich, D.M.; Dunn, T.B.; Chinnakotla, S.; Sutherland, D.E.R.; Matas, A.J.; Pruett, T.L.; Kandaswamy, R. A Composite Risk Model for Predicting Technical Failure in Pancreas Transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2013**, *13*, 1840–1849. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Humar, A.; Ramcharan, T.; Kandaswamy, R.; Gruessner, R.W.G.; Gruessner, A.C.; Sutherland, D.E.R. Technical failures after pancreas transplants: Why grafts fail and the risk factors—a multivariate analysis. *Transplantation* **2004**, *78*, 1188–1192. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Rudolph, E.N.; Dunn, T.B.; Sutherland, D.E.R.; Kandaswamy, R.; Finger, E.B. Optimizing outcomes in pancreas transplantation: Impact of organ preservation time. *Clin. Transplant.* **2017**, *31*, e13035. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Kopp, W.H.; Verhagen, M.J.J.; Blok, J.J.; Huurman, V.A.L.; de Fijter, J.W.; de Koning, E.J.; Putter, H.; Baranski, A.G.; Schaapherder, A.F.M.; Braat, A.E.; et al. Thirty Years of Pancreas Transplantation at Leiden University Medical Center: Long-term Follow-up in a Large Eurotransplant Center. *Transplantation* **2015**, *99*, e145. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Öllinger, R.; Margreiter, C.; Bösmüller, C.; Weissenbacher, A.; Frank, F.; Schneeberger, S.; Mark, W.; Margreiter, R.; Pratschke, J. Evolution of Pancreas Transplantation: Long-Term Results and Perspectives From a High-Volume Center. *Ann. Surg.* **2012**, *256*, 780. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Dumbill, R.; Laurenson-Schafer, H.; Sharples, E.J.; Barnes, J.; Mittal, S.; Friend, P.J.; Clark, A. Pancreatic Islet Changes in Human Whole Organ Pancreas Explants: What Can Be Learned From Explanted Samples? *Transplant Direct* **2020**, *6*, e613. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Ogluari, A.C.; Caldara, R.; Soggi, C.; Sordi, V.; Cagni, N.; Moretti, M.P.; Dell’acqua, A.; Mercalli, A.; Scavini, M.; Secchi, A.; et al. High levels of donor CCL2/MCP-1 predict graft-related complications and poor graft survival after kidney-pancreas transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2008**, *8*, 1303–1311. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Rech, T.H.; Crispim, D.; Rheinheimer, J.; Barkan, S.S.; Osvaldt, A.B.; Grezzana Filho, T.J.M.; Kruehl, C.R.P.; Martini, J.; Gross, J.L.; Leitão, C.B. Brain death-induced inflammatory activity in human pancreatic tissue: A case-control study. *Transplantation* **2014**, *97*, 212–219. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Lunsford, K.E.; Baird, B.J.; Sempowski, G.D.; Cardona, D.M.; Li, Z.; Weinhold, K.J.; Sudan, D.L.; Brennan, T.V. Upregulation of IL-1 β , IL-6, and CCL-2 by a novel mouse model of pancreatic ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Transplantation* **2013**, *95*, 1000–1007. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Wiessner, R.; Eisold, S.; Linnebacher, M.; Bünger, C.; Nizze, H.; Wacke, R.; Benz, S.; Schareck, W.; Klar, E. Up-regulation of ICAM-1 during cold ischemia triggers early neutrophil infiltration in human pancreas allograft reperfusion. *Transplant. Proc.* **2009**, *41*, 3622–3627. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

23. Floerchinger, B.; Oberhuber, R.; Tullius, S.G. Effects of brain death on organ quality and transplant outcome. *Transplant. Rev.* **2012**, *26*, 54–59. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Morariu, A.M.; Schuur, T.A.; Leuvenink, H.G.D.; van Oeveren, W.; Rakhorst, G.; Ploeg, R.J. Early events in kidney donation: Progression of endothelial activation, oxidative stress and tubular injury after brain death. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2008**, *8*, 933–941. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Obermaier, R.; von Dobschuetz, E.; Keck, T.; Hopp, H.-H.; Drognitz, O.; Schareck, W.; Hopt, U.T.; Benz, S. Brain death impairs pancreatic microcirculation. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2004**, *4*, 210–215. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Benz, S.; Bergt, S.; Obermaier, R.; Wiessner, R.; Pfeffer, F.; Schareck, W.; Hopt, U.T. Impairment of microcirculation in the early reperfusion period predicts the degree of graft pancreatitis in clinical pancreas transplantation. *Transplantation* **2001**, *71*, 759–763. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Damman, J.; Daha, M.R.; van Son, W.J.; Leuvenink, H.G.; Ploeg, R.J.; Seelen, M.A. Crosstalk between Complement and Toll-like Receptor Activation in Relation to Donor Brain Death and Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2011**, *11*, 660–669. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Perico, N.; Cattaneo, D.; Sayegh, M.H.; Remuzzi, G. Delayed graft function in kidney transplantation. *Lancet* **2004**, *364*, 1814–1827. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Bonventre, J.V.; Yang, L. Cellular pathophysiology of ischemic acute kidney injury. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2011**, *121*, 4210–4221. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Castaneda, M.P.; Swiatecka-Urban, A.; Mitsnefes, M.M.; Feuerstein, D.; Kaskel, F.J.; Tellis, V.; Devarajan, P. Activation of mitochondrial apoptotic pathways in human renal allografts after ischemiareperfusion injury. *Transplantation* **2003**, *76*, 50–54. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Boor, P.; Floege, J. Renal Allograft Fibrosis: Biology and Therapeutic Targets. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2015**, *15*, 863–886. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Jang, H.R.; Rabb, H. Immune cells in experimental acute kidney injury. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **2015**, *11*, 88–101. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Xu, L.; Sharkey, D.; Cantley, L.G. Tubular GM-CSF Promotes Late MCP-1/CCR2-Mediated Fibrosis and Inflammation after Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2019**, *30*, 1825–1840. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Sung, F.L.; Zhu, T.Y.; Au-Yeung, K.K.W.; Siow, Y.L.; Karmin, O. Enhanced MCP-1 expression during ischemia/reperfusion injury is mediated by oxidative stress and NF-kappaB. *Kidney Int.* **2002**, *62*, 1160–1170. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Bräsen, J.H.; Khalifa, A.; Schmitz, J.; Dai, W.; Einecke, G.; Schwarz, A.; Hallensleben, M.; Schmidt, B.M.W.; Kreipe, H.H.; Haller, H.; et al. Macrophage density in early surveillance biopsies predicts future renal transplant function. *Kidney Int.* **2017**, *92*, 479–489. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Kageyama, S.; Hirao, H.; Nakamura, K.; Ke, B.; Zhang, M.; Ito, T.; Aziz, A.; Oncel, D.; Kaldas, F.M.; Busuttill, R.W.; et al. Recipient HO-1 inducibility is essential for posttransplant hepatic HO-1 expression and graft protection: From bench-to bedside. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2019**, *19*, 356–367. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Lakkis, F.G.; Li, X.C. Innate allorecognition by monocytic cells and its role in graft rejection. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2018**, *18*, 289–292. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Dai, H.; Lan, P.; Zhao, D.; Abou- Daya, K.; Liu, W.; Chen, W.; Friday, A.J.; Williams, A.L.; Sun, T.; Chen, J.; et al. PIRs mediate innate myeloid cell memory to nonself MHC molecules. *Science* **2020**, *368*, 1122–1127. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Nakazawa, D.; Kumar, S.V.; Marschner, J.; Desai, J.; Holderied, A.; Rath, L.; Kraft, F.; Lei, Y.; Fukasawa, Y.; Moeckel, G.W.; et al. Histones and Neutrophil Extracellular Traps Enhance Tubular Necrosis and Remote Organ Injury in Ischemic AKI. *JASN* **2017**, *28*, 1753–1768. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Wang, J.; Hossain, M.; Thanabalasuriar, A.; Gunzer, M.; Meininger, C.; Kubes, P. Visualizing the function and fate of neutrophils in sterile injury and repair. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 111–116. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Casiraghi, F.; Azzollini, N.; Todeschini, M.; Fiori, S.; Cavinato, R.A.; Cassis, P.; Solini, S.; Pezzuto, F.; Mister, M.; Thurman, J.M.; et al. Complement Alternative Pathway Deficiency in Recipients Protects Kidney Allograft From Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury and Alloreactive T Cell Response. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2017**, *17*, 2312–2325. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Lakey, J.R.T.; Kneteman, N.M.; Rajotte, R.V.; Wu, D.C.; Bigam, D.; Shapiro, A.M.J. Effect of core pancreas temperature during cadaveric procurement on human islet isolation and functional viability. *Transplantation* **2002**, *73*, 1106–1110. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Weegman, B.P.; Suszynski, T.M.; Scott, W.E.; Ferrer Fábrega, J.; Avgoustiniatos, E.S.; Anazawa, T.; O'Brien, T.D.; Rizzari, M.D.; Karatzas, T.; Jie, T.; et al. Temperature profiles of different cooling methods in porcine pancreas procurement. *Xenotransplantation* **2014**, *21*, 574–581. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Wassmer, C.-H.; Perrier, Q.; Combescure, C.; Pernin, N.; Parnaud, G.; Cottet-Dumoulin, D.; Brioude, E.; Bellofatto, K.; Lebreton, F.; Berishvili, E.; et al. Impact of ischemia time on islet isolation success and posttransplantation outcomes: A retrospective study of 452 pancreas isolations. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2021**, *21*, 1493–1502. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Omori, K.; Kobayashi, E.; Rawson, J.; Takahashi, M.; Mullen, Y. Mechanisms of islet damage mediated by pancreas cold ischemia/rewarming. *Cryobiology* **2016**, *73*, 126–134. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Monti, P.; Vignali, D.; Piemonti, L. Monitoring Inflammation, Humoral and Cell-mediated Immunity in Pancreas and Islet Transplants. *Curr. Diabetes Rev.* **2015**, *11*, 135–143. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Ozaki, K.S.; Kimura, S.; Nalesnik, M.A.; Sico, R.M.; Zhang, M.; Ueki, S.; Ross, M.; Stolz, D.B.; Murase, N. The loss of renal dendritic cells and activation of host adaptive immunity are long-term effects of ischemia/reperfusion injury following syngenic kidney transplantation. *Kidney Int.* **2012**, *81*, 1015–1025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

48. Batal, I.; Mohan, S.; De Serres, S.A.; Vasilescu, E.-R.; Tsapepas, D.; Crew, R.J.; Patel, S.S.; Serban, G.; McCune, K.; Husain, S.A.; et al. Analysis of dendritic cells and ischemia-reperfusion changes in postimplantation renal allograft biopsies may serve as predictors of subsequent rejection episodes. *Kidney Int.* **2018**, *93*, 1227–1239. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Uehara, M.; Solhjoui, Z.; Banouni, N.; Kasinath, V.; Xiaqun, Y.; Dai, L.; Yilmam, O.; Yilmaz, M.; Ichimura, T.; Fiorina, P.; et al. Ischemia augments alloimmune injury through IL-6-driven CD4+ alloreactivity. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 2461. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Mikhalski, D.; Wissing, K.M.; Ghisdal, L.; Broeders, N.; Touly, M.; Hoang, A.-D.; Loi, P.; Mboti, F.; Donckier, V.; Vereerstraeten, P.; et al. Cold ischemia is a major determinant of acute rejection and renal graft survival in the modern era of immunosuppression. *Transplantation* **2008**, *85*, S3–S9. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Fuquay, R.; Renner, B.; Kulik, L.; McCullough, J.W.; Amura, C.; Strassheim, D.; Pelanda, R.; Torres, R.; Thurman, J.M. Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury Amplifies the Humoral Immune Response. *JASN* **2013**, *24*, 1063–1072. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Braza, M.S.; Conde, P.; Garcia, M.; Cortegano, I.; Brahmachary, M.; Pothula, V.; Fay, F.; Boros, P.; Werner, S.A.; Ginhoux, F.; et al. Neutrophil derived CSF1 induces macrophage polarization and promotes transplantation tolerance. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2018**, *18*, 1247–1255. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Conde, P.; Rodriguez, M.; van der Touw, W.; Jimenez, A.; Burns, M.; Miller, J.; Brahmachary, M.; Chen, H.; Boros, P.; Rausell-Palamos, F.; et al. DC-SIGN(+) Macrophages Control the Induction of Transplantation Tolerance. *Immunity* **2015**, *42*, 1143–1158. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Vendrame, F.; Pileggi, A.; Laughlin, E.; Allende, G.; Martin-Pagola, A.; Molano, R.D.; Diamantopoulos, S.; Standifer, N.; Geubtner, K.; Falk, B.A.; et al. Recurrence of Type 1 Diabetes After Simultaneous Pancreas-Kidney Transplantation, Despite Immunosuppression, Is Associated With Autoantibodies and Pathogenic Autoreactive CD4 T-Cells. *Diabetes* **2010**, *59*, 947–957. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Muthusamy, A.S.R.; Giangrande, P.L.F.; Friend, P.J. Pancreas allograft thrombosis. *Transplantation* **2010**, *90*, 705–707. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Troppmann, C.; Gruessner, A.C.; Benedetti, E.; Papalois, B.E.; Dunn, D.L.; Najarian, J.S.; Sutherland, D.E.; Gruessner, R.W. Vascular graft thrombosis after pancreatic transplantation: Univariate and multivariate operative and nonoperative risk factor analysis. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* **1996**, *182*, 285–316.
57. Stratta, R.J.; Taylor, R.J.; Gill, I.S. Pancreas transplantation: A managed cure approach to diabetes. *Curr. Probl. Surg.* **1996**, *33*, 709–808. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Burke, G.W.; Ciancio, G.; Figueiro, J.; Buigas, R.; Olson, L.; Roth, D.; Kupin, W.; Miller, J. Hypercoagulable state associated with kidney-pancreas transplantation. Thromboelastogram-directed anti-coagulation and implications for future therapy. *Clin. Transplant.* **2004**, *18*, 423–428. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Kopp, W.H.; van Leeuwen, C.A.T.; Lam, H.D.; Huurman, V.A.L.; de Fijter, J.W.; Schaapherder, A.F.; Baranski, A.G.; Braat, A.E. Retrospective study on detection, treatment, and clinical outcome of graft thrombosis following pancreas transplantation. *Transpl. Int.* **2019**, *32*, 410–417. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
60. Harbell, J.W.; Morgan, T.; Feldstein, V.A.; Roll, G.R.; Posselt, A.; Kang, S.-M.; Feng, S.; Hirose, R.; Freise, C.E.; Stock, P. Splenic Vein Thrombosis Following Pancreas Transplantation: Identification of Factors That Support Conservative Management. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2017**, *17*, 2955–2962. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
61. Berney, T.; Boffa, C.; Augustine, T.; Badet, L.; de Koning, E.; Pratschke, J.; Socci, C.; Friend, P. Utilization of organs from donors after circulatory death for vascularized pancreas and islet of Langerhans transplantation: Recommendations from an expert group. *Transpl. Int.* **2016**, *29*, 798–806. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Callaghan, C.J.; Ibrahim, M.; Counter, C.; Casey, J.; Friend, P.J.; Watson, C.J.E.; Karydis, N. Outcomes after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation from donation after circulatory death donors: A UK registry analysis. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2021**, *21*, 3673–3683. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Romano, A.; Alsabeah, K.; Wilczek, H.; Söderdahl, G.; Nordström, J.; Sandberg, J.; Ericzon, B.-G.; Nowak, G. Simultaneous Pancreas-Kidney Transplant From Donors After Brain Death vs Donors After Circulatory Death: A Single-Center Follow-up Study Over 3 Decades. *Transplant. Proc.* **2019**, *51*, 845–851. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Siskind, E.; Akerman, M.; Maloney, C.; Huntoon, K.; Alex, A.; Siskind, T.; Bhaskeran, M.; Ali, N.; Basu, A.; Molmenti, E.; et al. Pancreas transplantation from donors after cardiac death: An update of the UNOS database. *Pancreas* **2014**, *43*, 544–547. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Anderson, P.T.; Aquil, S.; McLean, K.; McAlister, V.C.; Sener, A.; Luke, P.P. First Canadian experience with donation after cardiac death simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplants. *Can J. Surg.* **2017**, *60*, 323–328. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
66. Kopp, W.; Lam, H.-D.; Schaapherder, A.F.M.; Huurman, V.; van der Boog, P.; de Koning, E.; de Fijter, J.W.; Baranski, A.; Braat, A. Pancreas transplantation with grafts from donors deceased after circulatory death (DCD): 5 years single center experience. *Transplantation* **2017**, *102*, 333–339. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. van Loo, E.S.; Krikke, C.; Hofker, H.S.; Berger, S.P.; Leuvenink, H.G.D.; Pol, R.A. Outcome of pancreas transplantation from donation after circulatory death compared to donation after brain death. *Pancreatolgy* **2017**, *17*, 13–18. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
68. Leemkuil, M.; Lier, G.; Engelse, M.A.; Ploeg, R.J.; de Koning, E.J.P.; 't Hart, N.A.; Krikke, C.; Leuvenink, H.G.D. Hypothermic Oxygenated Machine Perfusion of the Human Donor Pancreas. *Transplant. Direct* **2018**, *4*, e388. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
69. Richards, J.A.; Roberts, J.L.; Fedotovs, A.; Paul, S.; Cottee, S.; Defries, G.; Watson, C.J.E.; Pettigrew, G.J. Outcomes for circulatory death and brainstem death pancreas transplantation with or without use of normothermic regional perfusion. *Br. J. Surg.* **2021**, *108*, 1406–1408. [[CrossRef](#)]

70. Contreras, J.L.; Eckstein, C.; Smyth, C.A.; Sellers, M.T.; Vilatoba, M.; Bilbao, G.; Rahemtulla, F.G.; Young, C.J.; Thompson, J.A.; Chaudry, I.H.; et al. Brain death significantly reduces isolated pancreatic islet yields and functionality in vitro and in vivo after transplantation in rats. *Diabetes* **2003**, *52*, 2935–2942. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Danobeitia, J.S.; Zens, T.J.; Chlebeck, P.J.; Zitur, L.J.; Reyes, J.A.; Eerhart, M.J.; Coonen, J.; Capuano, S.; D'Alessandro, A.M.; Torrealba, J.R.; et al. Targeted donor complement blockade after brain death prevents delayed graft function in a nonhuman primate model of kidney transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2020**, *20*, 1513–1526. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Ambrosi, N.; Arrosagaray, V.; Guerrieri, D.; Uva, P.D.; Petroni, J.; Herrera, M.B.; Iovanna, J.L.; León, L.; Incardona, C.; Chuluyan, H.E.; et al. α -Lipoic Acid Protects Against Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury in Simultaneous Kidney-Pancreas Transplantation. *Transplantation* **2016**, *100*, 908–915. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Jaster, R. Molecular regulation of pancreatic stellate cell function. *Mol. Cancer* **2004**, *3*, 26. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Ino, K.; Masuya, M.; Tawara, I.; Miyata, E.; Oda, K.; Nakamori, Y.; Suzuki, K.; Ohishi, K.; Katayama, N. Monocytes infiltrate the pancreas via the MCP-1/CCR2 pathway and differentiate into stellate cells. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e84889. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
75. Zhao, H.F.; Ito, T.; Gibo, J.; Kawabe, K.; Oono, T.; Kaku, T.; Arita, Y.; Zhao, Q.W.; Usui, M.; Egashira, K.; et al. Anti-monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 gene therapy attenuates experimental chronic pancreatitis induced by dibutyltin dichloride in rats. *Gut* **2005**, *54*, 1759–1767. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Hering, B.J.; Kandaswamy, R.; Ansite, J.D.; Eckman, P.M.; Nakano, M.; Sawada, T.; Matsumoto, I.; Ihm, S.-H.; Zhang, H.-J.; Parkey, J.; et al. Single-Donor, Marginal-Dose Islet Transplantation in Patients With Type 1 Diabetes. *JAMA* **2005**, *293*, 830–835. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Bellin, M.D.; Kandaswamy, R.; Parkey, J.; Zhang, H.-J.; Liu, B.; Ihm, S.H.; Ansite, J.D.; Witson, J.; Bansal-Pakala, P.; Balamurugan, A.N.; et al. Prolonged insulin independence after islet allotransplants in recipients with type 1 diabetes. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2008**, *8*, 2463–2470. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Matsumoto, S.; Takita, M.; Chaussabel, D.; Noguchi, H.; Shimoda, M.; Sugimoto, K.; Itoh, T.; Chujo, D.; SoRelle, J.; Onaca, N.; et al. Improving efficacy of clinical islet transplantation with iodixanol-based islet purification, thymoglobulin induction, and blockage of IL-1 β and TNF- α . *Cell Transplant* **2011**, *20*, 1641–1647. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Pascher, A.; Klupp, J. Biologics in the Treatment of Transplant Rejection and Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *BioDrugs* **2005**, *19*, 211–231. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
80. Becker, T.; Zu Vilsendorf, A.M.; Terbish, T.; Klempnauer, J.; Jörns, A. Induction of heme oxygenase-1 improves the survival of pancreas grafts by prevention of pancreatitis after transplantation. *Transplantation* **2007**, *84*, 1644–1655. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Barlow, A.D.; Hosgood, S.A.; Nicholson, M.L. Current State of Pancreas Preservation and Implications for DCD Pancreas Transplantation. *Transplantation* **2013**, *95*, 1419. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Nishime, K.; Miyagi-Shiohira, C.; Kuwae, K.; Tamaki, Y.; Yonaha, T.; Sakai-Yonaha, M.; Saitoh, I.; Watanabe, M.; Noguchi, H. Preservation of pancreas in the University of Wisconsin solution supplemented with AP39 reduces reactive oxygen species production and improves islet graft function. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2021**, *21*, 2698–2708. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
83. Lemaire, F.; Sigrist, S.; Delpy, E.; Cherfan, J.; Peronet, C.; Zal, F.; Bouzakri, K.; Pinget, M.; Maillard, E. Beneficial effects of the novel marine oxygen carrier M101 during cold preservation of rat and human pancreas. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2019**, *23*, 8025–8034. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
84. Kelly, A.C.; Smith, K.E.; Purvis, W.G.; Min, C.G.; Weber, C.S.; Cooksey, A.M.; Hasilo, C.; Paraskevas, S.; Suszynski, T.M.; Weegman, B.P.; et al. Oxygen Perfusion (Persufflation) of Human Pancreata Enhances Insulin Secretion and Attenuates Islet Proinflammatory Signaling. *Transplantation* **2019**, *103*, 160–167. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Kuan, K.G.; Wee, M.N.; Chung, W.Y.; Kumar, R.; Mees, S.T.; Dennison, A.; Maddern, G.; Trochsler, M. Extracorporeal machine perfusion of the pancreas: Technical aspects and its clinical implications—a systematic review of experimental models. *Transplant. Rev.* **2016**, *30*, 31–47. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Zakkar, M.; Angelini, G.D.; Emanuelli, C. Regulation of Vascular Endothelium Inflammatory Signalling by Shear Stress. *Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol.* **2016**, *14*, 181–186. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
87. Toledo-Pereyra, L.H.; Valgee, K.D.; Castellanos, J.; Chee, M. Hypothermic Pulsatile Perfusion: Its Use in the Preservation of Pancreases for 24 to 48 Hours Before Islet Cell Transplantation. *Arch. Surg.* **1980**, *115*, 95–98. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
88. Adams, G.N.; Stavrou, E.X.; Fang, C.; Merkulova, A.; Alaiti, M.A.; Nakajima, K.; Morooka, T.; Merkulov, S.; LaRusch, G.A.; Simon, D.I.; et al. Prolylcarboxypeptidase promotes angiogenesis and vascular repair. *Blood* **2013**, *122*, 1522–1531. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Fukae, K.; Tominaga, R.; Tokunaga, S.; Kawachi, Y.; Imaizumi, T.; Yasui, H. The effects of pulsatile and nonpulsatile systemic perfusion on renal sympathetic nerve activity in anesthetized dogs. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* **1996**, *111*, 478–484. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Moers, C.; van Gelder, F.; Napieralski, B.P.; van Kasterop-Kutz, M.; Squifflet, J.-P.; Rahmel, A.; Ploeg, R.J. Machine Perfusion or Cold Storage in Deceased-Donor Kidney Transplantation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2009**, *360*, 7–19. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Samoylova, M.L.; Nash, A.; Kuchibhatla, M.; Barbas, A.S.; Brennan, T.V. Machine perfusion of donor kidneys may reduce graft rejection. *Clin. Transplant.* **2019**, *33*, e13716. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Reutzel-Selke, A.; Jurisch, A.; Denecke, C.; Pascher, A.; Martins, P.N.A.; Keßler, H.; Tamura, A.; Utku, N.; Pratschke, J.; Neuhaus, P.; et al. Donor age intensifies the early immune response after transplantation. *Kidney Int.* **2007**, *71*, 629–636. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
93. Kvietkauskas, M.; Leber, B.; Strupas, K.; Stiegler, P.; Schemmer, P. Machine Perfusion of Extended Criteria Donor Organs: Immunological Aspects. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 292. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

94. Branchereau, J.; Renaudin, K.; Kervella, D.; Bernadet, S.; Karam, G.; Blacho, G.; Cantarovich, D. Hypothermic pulsatile perfusion of human pancreas: Preliminary technical feasibility study based on histology. *Cryobiology* **2018**, *85*, 56–62. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
95. Prudhomme, T.; Kervella, D.; Ogbemudia, A.E.; Gauttier, V.; Le Bas-Bernadet, S.; Minault, D.; Hervouet, J.; Cantarovich, D.; Karam, G.; Renaudin, K.; et al. Successful pancreas allotransplantations after hypothermic machine perfusion in a novel diabetic porcine model: A controlled study. *Transplant. Int.* **2021**, *34*, 353–364. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Hosgood, S.A.; van Heurn, E.; Nicholson, M.L. Normothermic machine perfusion of the kidney: Better conditioning and repair? *Transpl. Int.* **2015**, *28*, 657–664. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Nasralla, D.; Coussios, C.C.; Mergental, H.; Akhtar, M.Z.; Butler, A.J.; Ceresa, C.D.L.; Chiochia, V.; Dutton, S.J.; García-Valdecasas, J.C.; Heaton, N.; et al. A randomized trial of normothermic preservation in liver transplantation. *Nature* **2018**, *557*, 50–56. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Jassem, W.; Xystrakis, E.; Ghnewa, Y.G.; Yuksel, M.; Pop, O.; Martinez-Llordella, M.; Jabri, Y.; Huang, X.; Lozano, J.J.; Quaglia, A.; et al. Normothermic Machine Perfusion (NMP) Inhibits Proinflammatory Responses in the Liver and Promotes Regeneration. *Hepatology* **2019**, *70*, 682–695. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Barlow, A.D.; Hamed, M.O.; Mallon, D.H.; Brais, R.J.; Gribble, F.M.; Scott, M.A.; Howat, W.J.; Bradley, J.A.; Bolton, E.M.; Pettigrew, G.J.; et al. Use of Ex Vivo Normothermic Perfusion for Quality Assessment of Discarded Human Donor Pancreases. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2015**, *15*, 2475–2482. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Ahmed, N.; Liu, Q.; Matthew, W. Quintini Cristiano Normothermic Ex Vivo Perfusion of Discarded Human Pancreas. *Artif. Organs* **2018**, *42*, 334–335. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Kuan, K.G.; Wee, M.N.; Chung, W.Y.; Kumar, R.; Mees, S.T.; Dennison, A.; Maddern, G.; Trochsler, M. A Study of Normothermic Hemoperfusion of the Porcine Pancreas and Kidney. *Artif. Organs* **2017**, *41*, 490–495. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Ogbemudia, A.E.; Hakim, G.; Dengu, F.; El-Gilani, F.; Dumbill, R.; Mulvey, J.; Sayal, K.; Prudhomme, T.; Mesnard, B.; Rozenberg, K.; et al. Development of ex situ normothermic reperfusion as an innovative method to assess pancreases after preservation. *Transpl. Int.* **2021**, *34*, 1630–1642. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
103. Mazilescu, L.I.; Parmentier, C.; Kalimuthu, S.N.; Ganesh, S.; Kawamura, M.; Goto, T.; Noguchi, Y.; Selzner, M.; Reichman, T.W. Normothermic ex situ pancreas perfusion for the preservation of porcine pancreas grafts. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2022**, *22*, 1339–1349. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
104. Carlson, K.; Barbas, A.; Goldaracena, N.; Fernandez, L.; Al-Adra, D.P. Immunological organ modification during Ex Vivo machine perfusion: The future of organ acceptance. *Transplant. Rev.* **2021**, *35*, 100586. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
105. Stone, J.P.; Ball, A.L.; Critchley, W.R.; Major, T.; Edge, R.J.; Amin, K.; Clancy, M.J.; Fildes, J.E. Ex Vivo Normothermic Perfusion Induces Donor-Derived Leukocyte Mobilization and Removal Prior to Renal Transplantation. *Kidney Int. Rep.* **2016**, *1*, 230–239. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Delpech, P.-O.; Thuillier, R.; SaintYves, T.; Danion, J.; Le Pape, S.; van Amersfoort, E.S.; Oortwijn, B.; Blacho, G.; Hauet, T. Inhibition of complement improves graft outcome in a pig model of kidney autotransplantation. *J. Transl. Med.* **2016**, *14*, 277. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Manook, M.; Kwun, J.; Burghuber, C.; Samy, K.; Mulvihill, M.; Yoon, J.; Xu, H.; MacDonald, A.L.; Freischlag, K.; Curfman, V.; et al. Thrombalexin: Use of a Cytotoxic Anticoagulant to Reduce Thrombotic Microangiopathy in a Highly Sensitized Model of Kidney Transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2017**, *17*, 2055–2064. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Soussi, D.; Danion, J.; Baulier, E.; Favreau, F.; Sauvageon, Y.; Bossard, V.; Matillon, X.; Turpin, F.; Belgsir, E.M.; Thuillier, R.; et al. Vectisol Formulation Enhances Solubility of Resveratrol and Brings Its Benefits to Kidney Transplantation in a Preclinical Porcine Model. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 2268. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Yuzefovych, Y.; Valdivia, E.; Rong, S.; Hack, F.; Rother, T.; Schmitz, J.; Bräsen, J.H.; Wedekind, D.; Moers, C.; Wenzel, N.; et al. Genetic Engineering of the Kidney to Permanently Silence MHC Transcripts During ex vivo Organ Perfusion. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 265. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Tietjen, G.T.; Hosgood, S.A.; DiRito, J.; Cui, J.; Deep, D.; Song, E.; Kraehling, J.R.; Piotrowski-Daspit, A.S.; Kirkiles-Smith, N.C.; Al-Lamki, R.; et al. Nanoparticle targeting to the endothelium during normothermic machine perfusion of human kidneys. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2017**, *9*, eaam6764. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Han, X.; Zhou, Z.; Fei, L.; Sun, H.; Wang, R.; Chen, Y.; Chen, H.; Wang, J.; Tang, H.; Ge, W.; et al. Construction of a human cell landscape at single-cell level. *Nature* **2020**, *581*, 303–309. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Zimmerman, K.A.; Bentley, M.R.; Lever, J.M.; Li, Z.; Crossman, D.K.; Song, C.J.; Liu, S.; Crowley, M.R.; George, J.F.; Mrug, M.; et al. Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Identifies Candidate Renal Resident Macrophage Gene Expression Signatures across Species. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **2019**, *30*, 767–781. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Wang, L.; Li, J.; He, S.; Liu, Y.; Chen, H.; He, S.; Yin, M.; Zou, D.; Chen, S.; Luo, T.; et al. Resolving the graft ischemia-reperfusion injury during liver transplantation at the single cell resolution. *Cell Death Dis.* **2021**, *12*, 589. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

114. Baron, M.; Veres, A.; Wolock, S.L.; Faust, A.L.; Gaujoux, R.; Vetere, A.; Ryu, J.H.; Wagner, B.K.; Shen-Orr, S.S.; Klein, A.M.; et al. A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Map of the Human and Mouse Pancreas Reveals Inter- and Intra-cell Population Structure. *Cell Syst.* **2016**, *3*, 346–360.e4. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
115. Muraro, M.J.; Dharmadhikari, G.; Grün, D.; Groen, N.; Dielen, T.; Jansen, E.; van Gurp, L.; Engelse, M.A.; Carlotti, F.; de Koning, E.J.; et al. A Single-Cell Transcriptome Atlas of the Human Pancreas. *Cell Syst.* **2016**, *3*, 385–394.e3. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.