



HAL
open science

Mass spectrometry-based proteomics in clinical practice amyloid typing: state-of-the-art from a French nationwide cohort

Magali Colombat, Margot Gaspard, Mylène Camus, Jessica Dalloux-Chioccioli, Audrey Delas, Elsa Poullot, Anissa Moktefi, Arnaud François, Anne Moreau, Jean-Bapiste Gibier, et al.

► To cite this version:

Magali Colombat, Margot Gaspard, Mylène Camus, Jessica Dalloux-Chioccioli, Audrey Delas, et al.. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics in clinical practice amyloid typing: state-of-the-art from a French nationwide cohort. *Haematologica*, 2022, 107 (12), pp.2983-2987. 10.3324/haematol.2022.281431 . inserm-04082812

HAL Id: inserm-04082812

<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-04082812v1>

Submitted on 26 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Mass spectrometry-based proteomics in clinical practice amyloid typing: state-of-the-art from a French nationwide cohort

Amyloidosis refers to a large spectrum of diseases, all characterized by the deposition of extracellular misfolded proteins in the form of insoluble highly ordered amyloid fibrils in one (localized amyloidosis) or multiple tissues (systemic amyloidosis).¹⁻³ Amyloid deposits progressively disrupt tissue structure and exert a toxic effect on the adjacent cells that ultimately result in organ dysfunction. To date, 36 different proteins are known to form amyloid fibrils in humans.¹ The identification of the causal protein is of paramount importance for patient management because effective treatments are now available, especially for the main amyloid types derived from immunoglobulin light chain (AL) and transthyretin (ATTR).²

Although there is a predilection for particular organs depending on the amyloid type, clinical manifestations are heterogeneous and may overlap between the different types.^{2,3} Therefore, determination of the amyloid type cannot rely on the sole clinical findings. Traditionally, amyloid typing is performed using immunofluorescence (IF) on frozen sections and immunohistochemistry (IHC) on paraffin sections. Interpretation of antibody labeling with modified proteins is often challenging despite experience.³⁻⁵ Indeed, commercial antibodies are not optimized for recognizing mutant and truncated amyloid proteins and the β -pleated conformation may elicit non-specific positivity. Therefore, laser microdissection from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue combined with tandem mass spectrometry (LMD-MS/MS) has been developed and progressively implemented in routine practice.⁶⁻¹³ The rationale for the application of this method is based on the relative abundance of amyloid protein that usually corresponds to one of the dominant proteins within the studied biopsy sample.⁶⁻¹³ In recent years, MS-based proteomics has become the reference method because of its excellent identification capacity.⁶⁻¹³ However, its performance on various tissues/organs remains still limited to a few expert centers worldwide.⁶⁻¹³ In the present study, we document our experience of amyloid typing by LMD-MS/MS, over a 10-year period.

We conducted a retrospective study including 833 amyloidosis specimens retrieved from our collection from January 2010 to Sept 2021. The diagnosis of amyloidosis was established on biopsy specimens using Congo red (CR) staining. As Vrana *et al.* in their pioneering report in 2009, we studied two independent sets of amyloidosis specimens.⁶ The first set was a training set that consisted of

92 tissue specimens (90 patients, 67.6±14.0 years, 29 female[F]/61 male[M]). Each case of this set was well classified using the IF/IHC method and the identified amyloid type was in keeping with the extensive clinical, biological, genetic and imaging workup. The training set included 42 ATTR, 38 AL (35 λ , 3 κ) and 12 AA amyloidosis. The second set was a validation set that consisted of 741 tissue specimens (686 patients, 68.6±13.3 years, 249 F/437 M). For each case, LMD-MS/MS was indicated because of inadequate or absence of frozen sample available for IF, negative IF/IHC, equivocal IF/IHC, and IF/IHC inconsistent with clinical, biological, genetic and imaging investigations. Patient consent was obtained according to the Institutional Review board of CHU de Toulouse.

We used a previously established proteomics method.^{13,14} For each sample, a 10 μ m-thick section of FFPE tissue was mounted on slides (Expression Pathology, USA) and stained with CR (Merck, Germany). One hundred thousand μ m² of deposits were selected by laser microdissection under fluorescent light (Leica 6500, Germany). Proteins were extracted from the collected material in ammonium bicarbonate buffer, reduced with dithiothreitol, and alkylated with iodoacetamide. Then, proteins were digested into peptides with trypsin (SIGMA, France) and analyzed by nano-liquid chromatography (nanoLC) coupled to tandem MS (LMD-MS/MS) using an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano system (Dionex, Netherlands) coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos or to a Q-Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Germany). Data were processed with Mascot against human entries of the SwissProt protein database. Validation of results was performed through a false discovery rate set to 1% at protein and peptide sequence match levels determined by target decoy search using the in-house-developed Proline software (<http://proline.profi-proteomics.fr/>). The spectral count metrics (number of MS/MS spectra) was used to rank the proteins according to their relative abundance in the sample. The most abundant protein identified was considered to be the causative protein. This allowed us to determine the amyloid subtype and the presence/absence of four proteins usually associated with amyloid deposits: serum amyloid-P component (SAP), apolipoprotein E (ApoE), apolipoprotein A4 (ApoA4), and apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1).^{6,15} A minimum number of four MS/MS spectra per protein was considered clinically valid.

Univariate testing was performed using Fisher exact test,

Table 1. Amyloid types identified by mass spectrometry and their frequency (N=705).

Type	Precursor protein	N	%	Age in years	Sex, F/M
AL*	Immunoglobulin light chain	407#	57.7	67.3±11.9	171/236
ATTR	Transthyretin	182	25.8	76.6±10.9	36/146
AA	Serum amyloid A	43	6.1	63.0±15.7	17/26
AApoAI	Apolipoprotein A I	16	2.3	53.3±10.3	9/7
ASem1	Semenogelin 1	12	1.7	67.1±5.0	0/12
AApoAIV	Apolipoprotein A IV	8	1.1	67.1±11.5	2/6
AFib	Fibrinogen α	6	0.9	61.0±12.4	2/4
AKRT5-14	Keratin 5 and keratin 14	4	0.6	60.3±12.1	3/1
ALac	Lactoferrin	4	0.6	41.5±27.6	4/0
BGH3	Transforming growth factor-β-induced protein ig-h3	4	0.6	64.5±9.7	2/2
AIns	Insulin	4	0.6	37.5±13.4	2/2
ACal	Calcitonin	4	0.6	47.5±6.4	2/2
AApoCII	Apolipoprotein C II	3	0.4	74.7±6.1	1/2
Aβ2M	β2-microglobulin	2	0.3	53±5.7	1/1
APTH	Parathyroid hormone	2	0.3	52.5±6.4	1/1
AApoAII	Apolipoprotein A II	1	0.1	52	0/1
ALECT2	Leukocyte chemotactic factor-2	1	0.1	67	0/1
AANF	Atrial natriuretic factor	1	0.1	74	1/0
AH	Immunoglobulin heavy chain	1	0.1	70	1/0

*Co-deposition of a heavy chain (IGG, IGA, IGM or IGD) was found in 20% of cases; #194 κ and 213 λ. F: female; M, male.

with Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment for multiple comparisons. Multivariate adjustment was done using multivariate logistic regression with age, sex and tissue origin explicative covariables.

In the training set, we found that LMD-MS/MS successfully identified the amyloid type in all cases and the concordance rate between LMD-MS/MS and IF/IHC was of 100%. In the validation set, the indications of proteomic analysis were in order of frequency: absence of frozen sample available for IF (70.5%), equivocal IF/IHC (15.7%), negative IF/IHC (9.9%), and inconsistent result (3.9%). LMD-MS/MS successfully identified the amyloid protein in 95.0% with 19 different amyloid types. The main amyloid types were AL (n=407), ATTR (n=182) and AA (n=43) accounting for 89.6% of our cohort. The patient demographics and the frequency of the 19 amyloid types are reported in Table 1. The tissue/organ tropism and the tissue/organ amyloid protein identification rate are detailed in Figure 1. Specific analysis of the AL (n=101) and ATTR

(n=58) subgroups with equivocal or negative IHC/IF revealed false-negative and false-positive staining in 48.5% and 41.5% for immunoglobulin light chain antibodies and, in 12.0% and 56.8% for TTR antibody, respectively. The universal amyloid signature SAP/ApoE/ApoA4 was present in 81.6% of cases with an overrepresentation of ApoA1 in AL amyloidosis compared to ATTR and AA amyloidosis (64.3% vs. 27.0% and 29.3% respectively, $P<0.001$), and an underrepresentation of ApoA4 in AA amyloidosis compared to AL and ATTR amyloidosis (56.1% vs. 91.6% and 90.4% respectively, $P<0.001$), that persisted after adjustment for age, sex and organ/tissue ($P<0.001$).

Overall, our study, based on one of the largest cohort ever reported,⁶⁻¹³ confirms that MS-based proteomics after laser microdissection is the new gold standard for typing amyloidosis. In the literature, the identification rate of the amyloid protein ranged from 85% to 100%. In the largest series by the Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA, 21 amyloid types were detected, the frequency of AL (58.9%) and

CASE REPORT



Figure 1. Tissue/organ tropism and identification rate of the amyloid protein per tissue/organ. The tissue/organ distribution of the 19 amyloid types identified in the present study is illustrated in decreasing order of frequency. Among the 26 tissue/organs analyzed herein, the 5 most commonly (67.5% of cases) analyzed anatomic sites were secondary salivary glands (21.0%), heart (17.2%), lung (10.8%), gastrointestinal tract (9.4%), and kidney (9.1%). Fat aspirate/biopsy was not analyzed in this series because this procedure is not as commonly performed in France as in other countries. The diversity of the amyloid types was greater in the kidney and the heart with 10 and 6 different types identified, respectively. The amyloid protein identification rate (IR) is reported for each of the 26 tissues/organs. CNS: central nervous system; GI tract: gastrointestinal tract; PTH: parathyroid hormone.

ATTR (28.4%) being quite similar to that found in our cohort (Table 1).¹¹ We confirm that AL patients were also a decade younger than ATTR patients (Table 1).¹¹ For the remaining types, the main difference was represented by the lower proportion of ALECT2, the rarity of which in our cohort being explained by ethnic bias as >92% of ALECT2 patients are Hispanic and particularly Mexican.^{3,11} As expected, the present study demonstrates again that IHC/IF alone may lead to misdiagnosis, especially for ATTR and AL.^{4,5}

A key finding of our study was the significant differential expression of ApoA1 and ApoA4 between AL, ATTR and AA amyloidosis, suggesting a singular implication of these proteins in the amyloid formation mechanisms.

In conclusion, in addition to its reliability, the several advantages of MS over IHC/IF are now well documented: i) no frozen tissue sample required, ii) very small amounts of material needed, easily obtained from routine biopsy sampling, iii) detection in a single assay of all amyloid types and, iv) determination of the organ tropism for each amyloid protein that can be visualized in a comprehensive map.

Authors

Magali Colombat,¹ Margot Gaspard,¹ Mylène Camus,^{2,3} Jessica Dalloux-Chioccioli,¹ Audrey Delas,¹ Elsa Poullot,⁴ Anissa Moktefi,^{4,5} Arnaud François,⁶ Anne Moreau,⁷ Jean-Baptiste Gibier,⁸ Pierre Raynaud,⁹ Antoine Huart,¹⁰ Alexis Piedrafita,^{10,11} Julia Gilhodes,¹² Olivier Lairez,¹³ Gilles Gâteau,¹⁴ Sophie Georgin-Lavialle,¹⁴ Hervé Maisonneuve,¹⁵ Philippe Moreau,¹⁶ Arnaud Jaccard,¹⁷ Franck Bridoux,¹⁸ Violaine Plante-Bordeneuve,^{5,19} Thibaud Damy,²⁰ Hervé Mal,²¹ Pierre Brousset,¹ Sophie Valleix^{22,23} and Odile Burlet-Schiltz^{2,3}

¹Département d'Anatomie Pathologique, Institut Universitaire du Cancer IUCT-O, CHU Toulouse, Toulouse; ²Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale (IPBS), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, Toulouse; ³Infrastructure Nationale de Protéomique, ProFI, Toulouse; ⁴Département d'Anatomie Pathologique, Réseau Amylose, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, Créteil; ⁵Institut Mondor de Recherche Biomédicale Université Paris Est Créteil, INSERM U955, Créteil; ⁶Service d'Anatomie et Cytologie Pathologiques, CHU Rouen, Rouen; ⁷Service d'Anatomie et Cytologie Pathologiques, CHU Nantes,

CASE REPORT

Nantes; ⁸Institut de Pathologie, CHU Lille, Lille; ⁹Service d'Anatomie et Cytologie Pathologiques, Centre Hospitalier Maréchal Joffre, Perpignan; ¹⁰Service de Néphrologie Dialyse et Transplantation, CHU Toulouse, Toulouse; ¹¹Institut des Maladies Cardiovasculaires et Métaboliques, INSERM, UMR 1297, Université Toulouse, Toulouse; ¹²Service de Biostatistiques, Institut Claudius Regaud IUCT-O, Toulouse; ¹³Service de Cardiologie, CHU Toulouse, Toulouse; ¹⁴Sorbonne Université, GRC GRAASU N°28, Service de Médecine Interne, Hôpital Tenon, AP-HP, DMU3ID, CEREMAIA (Centre national de référence des maladies autoinflammatoires et amyloses AA) Paris; ¹⁵Service de Médecine Interne Oncohématologie, Centre Hospitalier Départemental Vendée, La Roche-sur-Yon; ¹⁶Département d'Hématologie, CHU Hotel-Dieu, Nantes; ¹⁷Service d'Hématologie Clinique et Centre de Référence « Amylose AL et autres maladies à dépôt d'immunoglobulines monoclonales », CHU Limoges, Limoges; ¹⁸Service de Néphrologie et Centre de Référence « Amylose AL et autres maladies à dépôt d'immunoglobulines monoclonales », CHU Poitiers, Poitiers; ¹⁹Département de Neurologie, Réseau Amylose, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, Créteil; ²⁰Service de Cardiologie, Unité Insuffisance Cardiaque et Amylose, Centre de Référence National des Amyloses Cardiaques (filière CARDIOGEN), CHU Henri Mondor, Créteil; ²¹Service de Pneumologie, Hôpital Bichat, Paris; ²²Service de Médecine Génomique des Maladies de Système et d'Organe, APHP, Centre Université de Paris, Fédération de Génétique et de Médecine Génomique, Hôpital Cochin, Paris and ²³Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, INSERM UMR1138, Université de Paris, France.

Correspondence:

M. COLOMBAT - colombat.m@chu-toulouse.fr

<https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2022.281431>

Received: May 23, 2022.

Accepted: July 26, 2022.

Prepublished: August 4, 2022.

©2022 Ferrata Storti Foundation

Published under a CC BY-NC license



Disclosures

No conflicts of interest to disclose.

Contributions

MCo initiated and supervised the study, performed histological analysis, clinical data collection, prepared samples for MS analysis, performed MS analysis, bioinformatic MS data analysis, and wrote the paper. MG performed histological analysis, clinical data collection, and interpreted MS data analysis. MCa, JD-C performed histological preparations, laser microdissection, and MS analysis. AD, EP, AM, A.F, A.M, J-BG, PR, AH, OL, GG, SG-L, HM, P M, AJ, FB, VP-B, TD and SV provided tissue samples from patients, and carefully read the paper. AP and JG performed statistical analysis.

HM and PB carefully read the paper. OB-S provided access to the proteomics facility of Toulouse at IPBS, and carefully read the paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the following colleagues who provided tissue samples: Marine Abad, Aurélie Achille, Blandine Acket, Laurent Alric, Laure André-Ledun, Emilie Angot, Bernard Anzieu, Bertrand Arnulf, Elisabeth Auberger, Vincent Audard, Eloge Backobi, Cécile Badoual, Cendra Barbey, Nazim Benzerdjeb, Claire Billey-Kijner, Virginie Blanchard, Diane Bodez, Anne-Marie Boudat, Camille Boulagnon Rombi, Jean-Louis Bourges, Karine Boye, Florence Breibach, David Buob, Stéphane Busca, Philippe Camparo, Eve Carriou, Francois Castello, Marie-Christine Chapeau, Frédéric Charlotte, Philippe Charron, Denis Chatelain, Dominique Chaveau, Marie-Pierre Chenard-Neu, Anne-Laure Chesnais, Ramy Chiry, Arnaud Chong-Si-Tsaon, Pascal Cintas, Marion Classe, Laetitia Collin, François Comoze, Valérie Costes-Martineau, Vincent Cottin, Marie Crahes, Laurent Daniel, Delphine Dansette, Claude Darcha, Yves Dauzan, Gonzague De Pinieux, Olivier Decaux, Audrey Delas, Estelle Desport, Carmen Dinu, Laurent Doucet, Dominique Douvin, Éric Dupont, Hélène Duval, Jean-Christophe Eicher, Myriam El Gani-Mesrar, Damien Eyharts, Stanislas Faguer, Fereshteh Farkhondeh, Sophie Felix, Carla Fernandez, Pauline Fournier, Éric Frouin, Mathilde Funes De La Vega, Arnault Galat, Françoise Galateau-Salle, Bernard Gasser, Hugo Gil, Viviane Gnemi, Jean-Michel Goujon, Lisa Green, Soulef Guendouz, Damien Guijarro, Gilbert Habib, Cécile Hartog, Sandrine Hirschi, Paul Hofman, Véronique Hofman, Toufik Homsi, Muriel Hourseau, Nadia Hoyeau-Idrissi, Antoine Huart, Samira Icher, Marius Ilier, Muriel Jamme, Vincent Javaugue, Noémie Jourde-Chiche, Jean-Louis Keminy, Hélène L'Hostis, Sandra Lassalle, Caroline Lavignac, Marion Lavigne, Sophie Le Guellec, François Le Loarer, Cécile Le Naoures, Sarah Le Roux, Francois Leclair, Sébastien Lepreux, Emmanuelle Leteurtre, Véronique Lindner, Marie-Christine Machet, Margaret Macro, Nadine Magy-Bertrand, Claire Mainguene, Claudie Makarawiez, Justine Mallet, Valérie Marson, Françoise Martin, Laurent Martin, Sylvie Mehaut, Véronique Meignin, Julie Meilleroux, Elodie Miquelestorena-Standley, Philippe Moguelet, Marie Morcelet, Aline Moryoussef-Brousset, Jean-François Mosnier, Guillaume Moulis, Carole Musso-Rigal, Dominique Nochy, Francois Nollez, Silvia Oghina, Jérôme Olagne, Eva Ott, Romain Perallon, Hélène Perrochia, Cécile Picard, Nicolas Piton, Raluca Ples, Marc Polivka, Grégoire Prévot, Sophie Prévot, Grégory Pugnet, Marie-Laure Quintyn-Ranty, Marion Rabant, Pierre Raynaud, David Ribes, Pascal Richard, Pomone Richard, Nathalie Rioux-Leclercq, Olivier Roques, Mélanie Roriz, François Roubille, Murielle Roussel, Laurent Sailler, Jean-Paul Saint-Andre, Henri Sevestre, Marie Soulier, Paul Strock, Hachemi Taa, Sébastien Taix, Romulus Takin, Anne-Marie Tasei, Abdellatif Tazi, Brigitte Teron, Julia Torrents, Bruno Turlin, Séverine Valmary-Degano, Philippe Vanhille, Jérôme Verine, Franck Vitte, Noëlle Weingertner, Anabelle Werbrouck-Chiroux, Ilyass Zouhry

The authors thank Mathilde Bourderieux, Lucie Combes-Soia, and Emmanuelle Mouton for mass spectrometry data acquisition and bioinformatics mass spectrometry data analysis. We also thank

CASE REPORT

Rodica Anesia, Yseult Brut, Carol Florian, Sonia Holifanjaniaina and Sandra Holifanjaniaina for sample preparation for mass spectrometry.

Funding

The work was supported in part by grants to OB-S from the Région Occitanie, European funds (Fonds Européens de Développement Régional, FEDER), Toulouse Métropole, and the French Ministry of Research with the Investissement d'Avenir Infrastructures

Nationales en Biologie et Santé program (ProFI, Proteomics French Infrastructure project, ANR-10-INBS-08)

Data-sharing statement

LC-MS/MS data acquired at the Institute of Pharmacology and Structural Biology (CNRS, Toulouse, France) are stored on local servers. They can be made available to investigators upon specific request.

References

1. Benson MD, Buxbaum JN, Eisenberg DS, et al. Amyloid nomenclature 2020: update and recommendations by the International Society of Amyloidosis (ISA) nomenclature committee. *Amyloid*. 2020;27(4):217-222.
2. Muchtar E, Dispenzieri A, Magen H, et al. Systemic amyloidosis from A (AA) to T (ATTR): a review. *J Intern Med*. 2021;289(3):268-292.
3. Picken MM. The Pathology of amyloidosis in classification: a review. *Acta Haematol*. 2020;143(4):322-334.
4. Satoskar AA, Efebera Y, Hasan A, et al. Strong transthyretin immunostaining: potential pitfall in cardiac amyloid typing. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2011;35(11):1685-1690.
5. Gonzalez Suarez ML, Zhang P, Nasr SH, et al. The sensitivity and specificity of the routine kidney biopsy immunofluorescence panel are inferior to diagnosing renal immunoglobulin-derived amyloidosis by mass spectrometry. *Kidney Int*. 2019;96(4):1005-1009.
6. Vrana JA, Gamez JD, Madden BJ, et al. Classification of amyloidosis by laser microdissection and mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis in clinical biopsy specimens. *Blood*. 2009;114(24):4957-4959.
7. Mollee P, Boros S, Loo D, et al. Implementation and evaluation of amyloidosis subtyping by laser-capture microdissection and tandem mass spectrometry. *Clin Proteomics*. 2016;13:30.
8. Tasaki M, Ueda M, Obayashi K, et al. Identification of amyloid precursor protein from autopsy and biopsy specimens using LMD-LC-MS/MS: the experience at Kumamoto University. *Amyloid*. 2017;24(sup1):167-168.
9. Rezk T, Gilbertson JA, Mangione PP, et al. The complementary role of histology and proteomics for diagnosis and typing of systemic amyloidosis. *J Pathol Clin Res*. 2019;5(3):145-153.
10. Abildgaard N, Aleksandra M Rojek AM, et al. Immunoelectron microscopy and mass spectrometry for classification of amyloid deposits. *Amyloid*. 2020;27(1):59-66.
11. Dasari S, Theis JD, Vrana JA, et al. Amyloid typing by mass spectrometry in clinical practice: a comprehensive review of 16175 samples. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2020;95(9):1852-1864.
12. Colombat M, Aldigier JC, Rothschild PR, et al. New clinical forms of hereditary apoA-I amyloidosis entail both glomerular and retinal amyloidosis. *Kidney Int*. 2020;98(1):195-208.
13. Colombat M, Barres B, Renaud C, et al. Mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis of parathyroid adenomas reveals PTH as a new human hormone-derived amyloid fibril protein. *Amyloid*. 2021;28(3):153-157.
14. Camus M, Hirschi S, Prevot G, et al. Proteomic evidence of specific IGKV1-8 association with cystic lung light chain deposition disease. *Blood*. 2019;133(26):2741-2744.
15. Vrana JA, Theis JD, Dasari S, et al. Clinical diagnosis and typing of systemic amyloidosis in subcutaneous fat aspirates by mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Haematologica*. 2014;99(7):1239-1247.