



**HAL**  
open science

## How radiolysis impacts astatine speciation?

Mohammad Ghalei, Parastoo Mahdi Khoshouei, Johan Vandendorre, François Guérard, Guillaume Blain, Mojtaba Zarei, Ferid Haddad, Massoud Fattahi

### ► To cite this version:

Mohammad Ghalei, Parastoo Mahdi Khoshouei, Johan Vandendorre, François Guérard, Guillaume Blain, et al.. How radiolysis impacts astatine speciation?. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2022, 198, pp.110224. 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2022.110224 . inserm-03668885

**HAL Id: inserm-03668885**

**<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03668885v1>**

Submitted on 16 May 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# How Radiolysis Impacts Astatine **Speciation**?

Mohammad Ghalei<sup>1,3</sup>, Parastoo MAHDI KHOSHOUEI<sup>1</sup>, Johan VANDENBORRE\*<sup>1</sup>,  
François GUERARD<sup>2</sup>, Guillaume BLAIN<sup>1</sup>, Mojtaba ZAREI<sup>3</sup>, Ferid HADDAD<sup>1,4</sup>,  
Massoud FATTAHI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SUBATECH, UMR 6457, Institut Mines-Télécom Atlantique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université de  
Nantes ; 4, Rue Alfred Kastler, La chantrerie BP 20722, 44307 Nantes cedex 3, France

<sup>2</sup>Université de Nantes, CNRS, Inserm, CRCINA, F-44000 Nantes, France

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Medical Science and Technology Shahid Beheshti University, Velenjak, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup>GIP ARRONAX, 1 rue ARRONAX, CS 10112, 44817 Saint-Herblain Cedex, France

## Corresponding author:

Dr. Johan Vandendorre

SUBATECH, UMR 6457, Institut Mines-Télécom Atlantique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université de  
Nantes

4, Rue Alfred Kastler, La chantrerie BP 20722, 44307 Nantes cedex 3, France

Email : [johan.vandendorre@subatech.in2p3.fr](mailto:johan.vandendorre@subatech.in2p3.fr)

**Keywords** : Astatine Chemistry, Chloroform, Radiolysis, Hydrogen Chloride, G-yield

1  
2  
3  
4 **ABSTRACT**  
5  
6

7 This work deals with the impact of chloroform radiolysis on astatine chemistry. Astatine-  
8 211 is a promising radionuclide for the targeted alpha therapy of cancers. Yet, the  
9 production of  $^{211}\text{At}$  based radiopharmaceuticals is often complicated by radiolysis due to  
10 its own decay, leading to solvent degradation and then impacting astatine chemical state  
11 and radiolabeling efficiency. A better understanding of the radiolysis phenomenon is thus  
12 necessary. Two experiments are performed: (1) pH measurement during the At extraction  
13 in chloroform medium, (2) pH determination calculated from the HCl chemical yield which  
14 is obtained during the radiolysis of chloroform medium by  $\gamma$ -ray.  
15  
16

17 In both cases, the pH values are determined at  $2.0 \pm 0.5$ . Then, it is possible to predict  
18 the acidic conditions during the  $^{211}\text{At}$  production by HCl radiolytic yield determination. This  
19 work demonstrated that the radiolysis induced by At during its production in  $\text{CHCl}_3$   
20 medium should be taken into account as it has a significant effect on acid-basic  
21 conditions.  
22  
23

24 The work helps understanding the mechanisms of production of a major impurity  
25 generated from  $^{211}\text{At}$  radiolysis in chloroform which alters its radiochemistry, allowing for  
26 the identification of solutions to counteract these unwanted effects.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 **INTRODUCTION**  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Astatine-211 is a promising alpha-emitter radionuclide with a high potential for  
49 applications in targeted alpha therapy of cancers (Guérard et al., 2013). Its intermediate  
50 half-life (7.2 h) in comparison with other alpha emitters makes it potentially compatible  
51 with various carrier compounds, from small molecules and peptides to large proteins such  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 as antibodies. Radiolabeling chemistry which is employed for  $^{211}\text{At}$  in most cases is similar  
5  
6 to other halogens, in particularly to radioiodine. Various strategies have been developed  
7  
8 recently which provided biologically relevant molecules labelled with  $^{211}\text{At}$  with high  
9  
10 radiochemical yields (Navarro et al., 2019; Reilly et al., 2018; Watanabe et al., 2019). Yet,  
11  
12 difficulties to obtain robust labelling procedures have been reported when using certain  
13  
14 solvents particularly chloroform. Lack of robustness of radiolabeling approaches  
15  
16 performed in chloroform, which is clearly evident at high radioactivity, has been attributed  
17  
18 to solvent radiolysis, induced by high energy  $\alpha$ -particles (5,9-7,4 MeV), which in turn  
19  
20 comes from  $^{211}\text{At}$  decay. Products of chloroform decomposition may be responsible for  
21  
22 the reduction in RCYs due to their reactivity with the reaction precursors (Pozzi and  
23  
24 Zalutsky, 2005b) and/or alteration of astatine species necessary for the radiolabelling  
25  
26 reaction (Aneheim et al., 2019). Although other solvents (e.g. alcohols or acetonitrile) are  
27  
28 now preferred for radiolabeling (in order to reduce issues related to radiolysis), chloroform  
29  
30 remains an interesting solvent for astatine recovery after separation from its irradiated  
31  
32 bismuth target. The main reason being that it is the only known solvent allowing  
33  
34 evaporation to dryness without co-evaporation of astatine activity. This properties is highly  
35  
36 interesting since it allows the fractionation of a batch of astatine into several samples that  
37  
38 can be evaporated to dryness and then dissolve in any solvent adapted for each  
39  
40 experiment (Aneheim et al., 2019).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 Thus, the study of chloroform radiolysis appears essential to better counteract issues  
51  
52 associated with the use of this solvent.  
53

54  
55 A large number of reports have previously focused on chloroform radiolysis in various  
56  
57 contexts and experimental conditions (Abadie, 1982; Bibler and Hyder, 1968; Chen et al.,  
58  
59

1  
2  
3  
4 1960; Johnston et al., 1961; Ottolenghi and Stein, 1961; Schulte et al., 1953; Werner and  
5  
6 Firestone, 1965). These authors suggested that hydrogen chloride is the main product of  
7  
8 chloroform radiolytic degradation. However, all these publications (from the 50's to the  
9  
10 80's) gave equivocal radiolytic yield (G-yield) of generated hydrogen chloride because of  
11  
12 differences in the experimental conditions. Either chloroform solutions purity were  
13  
14 different which implies discrepancies in G-yield of HCl (Abadie, 1982; Chen et al., 1960;  
15  
16 Johnston et al., 1961; Ottolenghi and Stein, 1961) or experimental conditions, for example  
17  
18 various temperature, pressure or radiation dose rate (Bibler and Hyder, 1968; Johnston  
19  
20 et al., 1961; Schulte et al., 1953; Werner and Firestone, 1965). For these reasons, we  
21  
22 planned to revisit the experimental measurements of HCl yield during  $\gamma$ -ray experiments,  
23  
24 more easy to be measured and close to the  $\alpha$  ones, in specifically well-defined conditions  
25  
26 in order to establish the potential quantities of HCl in routine production of astatine-211  
27  
28 solution during radiolabeling and production of [ $^{211}\text{At}$ ]-astatinated radiopharmaceuticals.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

## 37 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 38 39 **Irradiation experiments**

40  
41  $\gamma$ -Ray irradiations were performed on an gamma irradiator located at the ARRONAX  
42  
43 cyclotron facility (Nantes – France). Irradiation cells made of PEEK (polyether ether  
44  
45 ketone) with an internal volume of 42 ml were mounted with a rotulex 19/9 glass tube.  
46  
47 These cells were gas-tightened using a screwed joint in a glass-metallic valve. Specificity  
48  
49 of each experiment is discussed thereafter. Irradiation of different 20 ml-samples of  
50  
51 chloroform was performed from 1 to 7 days under aerated or oxygen free atmospheres  
52  
53 under normal pressure (760 mmHg).  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **γ-Ray irradiation**  
8

9       γ-Ray irradiation was performed with a GSM D1 (Gamma-Service Medical) irradiator  
10 containing a 123 TBq  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  source. This radionuclide decays to  $^{137\text{m}}\text{Ba}$ , which delivers  
11 661.7 keV γ-Ray. A dose cartography performed by Fricke dosimetry (Fricke and Hart,  
12 1966) inside gamma irradiation chamber, indicated that the average deposited dose  
13 inside the aqueous samples was between 7 and 9 Gy.min<sup>-1</sup>. As γ-Ray is a penetrating  
14 radiation, the dose can be considered as homogeneously deposited inside the whole  
15 sample.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 **Analytical procedures**  
27

28 Solutions described in this section were prepared with commercial chemical products as  
29 received with no further purification. All reactants were analytical grade and the aqueous  
30 samples were prepared with ultrapure (MilliQ) water.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 **HCl analysis**  
37

38 To quantify HCl, produced by the irradiation of chloroform, it is necessary to extract HCl  
39 molecules from chloroform bywater. Extraction experiments were performed to obtain the  
40 percentage of HCl extracted from chloroform samples by water. Using 4 different  
41 concentrations of HCl in 20 ml of chloroform, samples prepared ahead of time (0.1, 0.2,  
42 0.5 and 1 mol.l<sup>-1</sup> by addition of 100 μl of aqueous HCl solution) and extraction protocol  
43 was performed with a large volume of Ultrapure water (100 ml). After separation of layers,  
44 titration of aqueous layer was performed using 0.1 mol.l<sup>-1</sup> NaOH to determine the  
45 percentage of HCl extracted. Similarly, extraction and determination of HCl from irradiated  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 samples of chloroform was done using a decanter followed by titration of acid with NaOH  
5  
6  
7 **(Figure 1).**  
8  
9

10 The results show on average  $75 \pm 8$  % of HCl from the HCl-chloroform samples was  
11 extractable from chloroform to the aqueous phase. This ratio determined by the extraction  
12 step that used in this work to establish the realistic HCl concentration obtained during the  
13 radiolysis experiments. Therefore, we consider these uncertainties for all data plotted into  
14 the figures.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

### 25 Radiolytic Yield Definition

26 The radiolytic yield is defined as the amount of a species that is formed or disappeared  
27 per unit of deposited energy. It is expressed in the international system in  $\mu\text{mol. J}^{-1}$  and  
28 is calculated at a time  $t$  after transition of the ionizing irradiation according to the following  
29 equation:  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

$$36 G_t(X) = \frac{[X]_t}{\rho D}$$

37 where  $[X]_t$  is the concentration of the species  $X$  at time of  $t$  ( $\text{mol.l}^{-1}$ ),  $\rho$  is the density of the  
38 irradiated solution ( $\text{kg.l}^{-1}$ ) and  $D$  the absorbed dose ( $\text{J.kg}^{-1}$ ).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Thus, for the determination of the radiolytic yield of HCl during the irradiation of  
46 chloroform, the equation is as following:  
47  
48  
49

$$50 G_t(\text{HCl}) = \frac{[\text{HCl}]}{\rho_{\text{CHCl}_3} \cdot D}$$

51 In which  $\rho_{\text{CHCl}_3}$  is equal to  $1.48 \text{ kg.l}^{-1}$ .  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Production of astatine-211 solutions in chloroform

$^{211}\text{At}$  was produced at Arronax cyclotron facility using the  $^{209}\text{Bi}(\alpha,2n)^{211}\text{At}$  reaction and recovered from the irradiated target in chloroform using a dry-distillation protocol as reported previously (Guérard et al., 2017). The radioactive sample was isolated and shipped to CRCINA laboratory where radiolabelling chemistry is performed. On average there is 300 to 400 MBq activity in a total volume of about 600  $\mu\text{l}$  of chloroform with a contact time of about 2 hours between the end of distillation and the HCl extraction experiments, which corresponds to a dose range of 2.3 to 3.0 kGy received by chloroform solution. Before radiolabeling, and approximately 2 h after recovering of  $^{211}\text{At}$  activity in chloroform, the chloroform  $^{211}\text{At}$  solution was washed with 200  $\mu\text{l}$  of water (TraceSelect Grade, Fluka). After decantation, both layers were separated. The  $^{211}\text{At}$  activity found in the aqueous layer was negligible, nearly all activity being found in the chloroform layer. The pH of the aqueous layer was measured by mean of a pH indicator strip (Merck, pH range 0-6, pH gradation = 0.5). All assays indicated a pH of  $1.5 \pm 0.5$  ( $n = 4$ ). pH indicator was used to compare it with HCl titration result not as the tool for HCl analysis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the amounts of HCl produced through irradiation of chloroform by  $\gamma$  radiations in two different conditions (presence or absence of oxygen) at low doses of irradiation. The latter one was the dose rate corresponding to the real conditions of exposure of chloroform when performing [ $^{211}\text{At}$ ]-astatinated radiopharmaceuticals for pre-clinical development.




1  
2  
3  
4 **Determination of initial G- values of HCl during irradiation in the aerated and**  
5 **oxygen free atmospheres**  
6  
7

8  
9 **Figure 2** presents HCl production as function of dose in aerated and oxygen free  
10 atmospheres for CHCl<sub>3</sub> irradiated by γ-ray.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15 According to the data derived from this figure, G-value for HCl in the dose range from  
16 0 to 2580 Gy in the presence of air, is equal to  $1.66 \pm 0.24 \mu\text{mol.J}^{-1}$  whereas it is only  
17  $1.14 \pm 0.17 \mu\text{mol.J}^{-1}$  in the presence of Ar. We can see a clear difference between the  
18 radiolytic yields of HCl production that can be explained by looking the possible reactions  
19 in both conditions.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 For the Chloroform irradiation mechanisms, two different behaviors are possible:

28  
29 (i) One of them, when air is present above the sample, are the chemical reactions of  
30 Chloroform with Oxygen in order to produce molecules of HCl, Cl<sub>3</sub>COOH and COCl<sub>2</sub>  
31 which have been proposed in the primarily steps of chloroform irradiation (Schulte et al.,  
32 1953):  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38



44 However, reaction 2 can take place even without ionizing radiation, but it takes too long  
45 (some days) (Clover, 1923). As a result, when measuring the radiolytic yield of HCl in  
46 presence of air, we consider the HCl concentration zero at no absorbed radiation dose.  
47  
48

49 HCl is produced through reaction (2) while Cl<sub>3</sub>COOH is produced through reaction (1).  
50  
51

52  
53 (ii) The second one, in a free-oxygen environment, is the direct radiolysis of the CHCl<sub>3</sub>  
54 molecules to produce HCl via H° and Cl° radicals production (Chen et al., 1960):  
55  
56  
57







7 or



14 Radiolytic products such as dihydrogen ( $\text{H}_2$ ) and dichloride ( $\text{Cl}_2$ ) gases result from  
15 recombination of  $\text{H}^\circ$  and  $\text{Cl}^\circ$  radicals, respectively (Lainé et al., 2017; Mostafa et al., 2018).

16 This implies that HCl and radiolytic gases compete for formation reactions, which needs  
17 to be measured in further studies.  
18

19 In addition, recent studies by using pulse radiolysis shown that if surrounded chloroform  
20 molecules participate with the  $\text{CHCl}^{+\circ}$  the reaction (7) and (8) are more favorable (Bird et  
21 al., 2020):  
22  
23



38 At low doses, typically until 3000 Gy, in the first steps of chloroform irradiation,  $\text{Cl}_3\text{COOH}$   
39 (see reaction (1)) has been considered as a major product which produces quantitative  
40 amount of peroxide before its reduction (Schulte et al., 1953). According to our results at  
41 low doses (< 500 Gy) a difference is observed for the radiolytic yield of acids with and  
42 without air. This difference was increased when the dose was increased which means  
43 that reactions 2 and 4 are occurring in parallel. It can be concluded that the higher the  
44 dose, the more important the effect of oxygen.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Irradiation of chloroform at higher doses

The results obtained in this study was over a wide range of doses, from 0 to 93 kGy, (see **Figure 3**). This dose range corresponds to irradiation times of chloroform in our gamma-irradiator varying from 0 to 10 days.

Based on the results reported in Figure 3 and using the reactions (2-6), the radiolytic yield of HCl production in the dose range of 0-93294 Gy has been determined as  $0.82 \pm 0.11 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{J}^{-1}$ . Moreover, as already suggested in the previous part, two behaviors append during the HCl formation by chloroform irradiation: (i) in presence and absent of oxygen (ii) in the high dose range ( $> 3 \text{ kGy}$ ) the radicals  $\text{H}^\circ$  and  $\text{Cl}^\circ$  production by the direct radiolysis of  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (reactions (3-6)).

The mechanism of abstraction of H and Cl from  $\text{CHCl}_3$  during dose enhancement, suggested in this work, is consistent with literature (Chen et al., 1960). Authors have proposed that the abstraction of H and Cl atoms from  $\text{CHCl}_3$  molecules, by  $\text{Cl}^\circ$  and  $\text{H}^\circ$  radicals, can be considered as the major reactions producing HCl during the irradiation in the absence of oxygen by  $\gamma$ -rays (Chen et al., 1960).

Most of the reported results regarding irradiation of chloroform in the literature have been obtained in  $\text{O}_2$  and water-free situations as in our conditions. However, the literature shows a large discrepancy (see **Table 1**).

All authors have underlined the discrepancy of the G-values (from 0.45 to  $1.23 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{J}^{-1}$ ). Then, we can explain the difference by temperature, pressure conditions and HCl production behavior due to the impact of oxygen as already suggested. In addition, the range of dose studied in previous works may explain this discrepancy. For example in our study, a neat difference of G-value is observed as a function of the dose range: for low

1  
2  
3  
4 range (< 3 kGy) G-value = 1.66  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{J}^{-1}$  while at high range (< 93 kGy) G-value = 0.66  
5  
6  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{J}^{-1}$ . It should be noted that the G-value at higher doses was calculated from obtained  
7  
8 data in dose range of 3-93 kGy (cf figure 3).  
9

10  
11 That is the reason why, we have chosen to use the same dose range (< 3 kGy),  
12  
13 determined in the experimental section, for the radiolysis and the  $^{211}\text{At}$  extractions  
14  
15 experiments in order to determine the realistic quantity of HCl produced by radiolysis  
16  
17 which comes from  $^{211}\text{At}$ .  
18  
19

20  
21 Therefore, in the next part, we compare the HCl production for the real dose deposited in  
22  
23 chloroform solution defined in the  $^{211}\text{At}$  extraction (between 2.3 and 3 kGy), which  
24  
25 corresponds to a contact time between At and chloroform solutions of 2 hours, with our  $\gamma$   
26  
27 irradiation conditions in a close range of dose rate in the both cases (27  $\text{Gy}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  for  $^{211}\text{At}$   
28  
29 extraction vs. 10  $\text{Gy}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  in the radiolysis ones). However, the possibility of differences  
30  
31 in HCl production between  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  radiolysis may be considered in the context of  
32  
33 radiolysis arising from astatine-211. Nonetheless, according to reactions (3-6), HCl  
34  
35 production depends on the radicals produced by radiolysis ( $\text{H}^\circ$ ,  $\text{Cl}^\circ$ ). As the linear energy  
36  
37 transfer (LET) in  $\alpha$  radiation is higher than  $\gamma$  one, therefore the produced radicals are  
38  
39 combined faster in spurs therefore, the molecular yield is higher than radical yield in  $\alpha$   
40  
41 radiation (Appleby and Schwarz, 1969). That is the main difference between the two types  
42  
43 of radiation. Consequently, by considering this difference, the HCl production is, in theory,  
44  
45 higher in the case of  $\gamma$ -ray radiolysis and the G-value determined in this work is  
46  
47 overestimated in comparison with the one actually occurring during exposition of  
48  
49 chloroform to  $^{211}\text{At}$ . In order to determine this overestimation, we have measured the HCl  
50  
51 production by extraction from batches of  $^{211}\text{At}$  in chloroform.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Impact/Consequences for Astatine Extraction/Complexation

Being a radioelement, with only short half-life radioisotopes, astatine chemistry is extremely complex to study. Most work with astatine has been performed with  $^{211}\text{At}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 7.2$  h), the most stable isotope,  $^{210}\text{At}$ , exhibiting a  $t_{1/2}$  of only 8.1 h being avoided for radioprotection concerns. Additionally, the weak amounts produced by accelerators (few pictograms at best), make it nearly impossible to use conventional spectroscopic techniques for precise determination of chemical properties of astatinated species. A major issue in studying astatine chemistry is the evolution over time of the astatinated species in solution, including their oxidation state, which affects the reproducibility of radiolabelling procedures. This phenomenon has been attributed to radiolysis of the solvent, which generates degradation products that may then react with astatine and modify its chemical nature. In the case of chloroform, previous reports have suggested that radicals formed during radiolysis could impact radiolabelling procedures (eg  $\text{Cl}^\bullet$  that may react with precursors instead of astatine and then decrease radiochemical yields (Pozzi and Zalutsky, 2005a), or that recombination of radicals can form species suspected to react with astatine, or adducts affecting its behavior (volatility, extractability, solubility) (Aneheim et al., 2019).

Here we focused our attention on HCl production upon chloroform radiolysis since acidity of the media appears as an essential parameter governing the astatine species in solution as shown in recent reports coupling experimental data with computer simulations to construct the Pourbaix diagram of astatine in water (Champion et al., 2013; Sergentu et al., 2016), and that may impact its use for analysis and radiolabeling chemistry. It is assumed that in acidic and oxidative medium, three species coexist ( $\text{At}^+$ ,  $\text{AtO}^+$ , and

1  
2  
3  
4 AtOOH), the predominant species being in part determined by the pH of the solution.  
5  
6  
7 Considering this, and since concentration of HCl varies over time in chloroform it is clear  
8  
9 that experiments conducted with astatine isolated in chloroform are likely to lack  
10 consistency and reproducibility due to the dose deposited in stock solutions when activity  
11 in solution and time before radiolabeling and use vary. The solution to this problem is  
12 simple to set up, by adding an extraction step in water before use for radiolabeling.  
13  
14 Extraction experiments discussed above showed that most of HCl could be extracted from  
15 chloroform to water, which was confirmed when applied to  $^{211}\text{At}$  stock solutions. This  
16 additional step in the purification process of  $^{211}\text{At}$  is time-consuming (< 10 min) and  
17 generates negligible loss of activity due to the extremely low transfer of astatine to  
18 aqueous layer. From the G-yield value determined above ( $1.66 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{J}^{-1}$  in the dose range  
19 studied), we can estimate the HCl concentration for the dose applied to the chloroform  
20 corresponding to the time between the isolation of astatine in chloroform, to the extraction  
21 step (between 2.3 and 3 kGy). The calculated range of HCl concentration is between 3.8  
22 and  $5 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$  (*i.e.*  $\text{pH} = 2.3 \pm 0.1$ ) close to the real pH value measured in the Astatine  
23 extraction experiments ( $= 1.5 \pm 0.5$ ). The difference can be attributed to the G-values  
24 difference between  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  irradiations and the production of other radiolytic species,  
25 which contribute to the acido-basic conditions and must be characterized such as  
26  $\text{Cl}_3\text{COOH}$ . Experiment performed in further studies would be the  $\alpha$  irradiation of  
27 chloroform solutions using a helium beam to measure the G-yield in these specific  
28 conditions and compare them with astatine irradiations ( $\alpha$  in the both cases) and the  
29 analysis by Infrared Spectrometry of other radiolytic species produced during chloroform  
30 irradiation.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## CONCLUSION

The gamma radiolysis of chloroform using a  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  source was investigated in this study in order to determine the amount of hydrogen chloride (HCl) produced as a major product of chloroform radiolysis. Radiation doses ranged from 0 to 93 kGy, which had an impact on the chemistry of Astatine. According to our finding, during the accumulation of the dose, the amount of HCl acid follows a linear behavior at low dose with a G-value of ( $1.66 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{J}^{-1}$ ) and a linear behavior at high dose with a G-value of ( $0.82 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{J}^{-1}$ ). This different behavior at low and high dose may explain the large discrepancy found in the literature as a function of the dose range studied. Moreover, in the low dose range ( $< 3\text{kGy}$ ), the trichlorohydroperoxymethane ( $\text{Cl}_3\text{COOH}$ ) production by chloroform reaction with  $\text{O}_2$ , must be taken into account for the mechanisms of HCl production.

These experimental results contribute in understanding of chloroform radiolysis mechanisms induced by astatine radioactivity during its extraction/complexation processes. In fact, with the G-value determined in this work we calculated the theoretical pH value in the Chloroform/Astatine solution ( $\text{pH} = 2.3$ ) close to the one measured in the real Astatine complexation/extraction process ( $\text{pH} = 1.5$ ). Then, as a conclusion, the radiolysis mechanism which can explain the acidic pH in the chloroform/astatine solution must be taken into account for this kind of radiochemical experiments as already suggested but not, until now, demonstrated in the literature (Aneheim et al., 2019; Pozzi and Zalutsky, 2005a, 2017). Moreover, synthesis of  $^{211}\text{At}$  radiopharmaceuticals such as SAB (N-succinimidyl 3- $^{211}\text{At}$ -astatobenzoate) suffers from chemical decomposition (Pozzi and Zalutsky, 2005a) and formation of HCl by radiolysis may explain this problem.

1  
2  
3  
4 Our results may also explain why different oxidation states of  $^{211}\text{At}$  exist in chloroform at  
5  
6 the same time (Aneheim et al., 2019). It is helpful to measure the radiolytic yield of  $\text{H}_2$  and  
7  
8  $\text{Cl}_2$  in order to better understand the competition of  $\text{HCl}$  formation reaction with those  
9  
10 gases, as  $\text{H}^\circ$  and  $\text{Cl}^\circ$  radicals are involved in these reactions. Moreover, based on this  
11  
12 experiment, we would then recommend washing astatine solution with water in order to  
13  
14 improve consistency before using for radiolabeling.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

## 25 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

26  
27  
28 This work has been supported in part by a grant from the French National Agency for  
29  
30 Research called "Investissements d'Avenir", IRON Labex no. ANR-11-LABX-0018-01  
31  
32 and Equipex ArronaxPlus n°ANR-11-EQPX-0004 and ISITE NExT n°ANR-16-IDEX-  
33  
34 0007. The authors would like to express their gratitude for the ARRONAX facility teams  
35  
36 for their technical support.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

## 44 **REFERENCES**

45  
46  
47 Abadie, M.J.M., 1982. Radiolysis of liquid chloroform in an oxygen free atmosphere. *Radiat. Phys.*  
48  
49 *Chem.* 19, 63-71.  
50  
51 Aneheim, E., Palm, S., Jensen, H., Ekberg, C., Albertsson, P., Lindegren, S., 2019. Towards  
52  
53 elucidating the radiochemistry of astatine – Behavior in chloroform. *Scientific Reports* 9, 15900.  
54  
55 Appleby, A., Schwarz, H.A., 1969. Radical and molecular yields in water irradiated by  $\gamma$ -rays and  
56  
57 heavy ions. *J. Phys. Chem.* 73, 1937-1941.  
58  
59 Bibler, N.E., Hyder, M.L., 1968. Radiolysis of Chloroform in the Intense Radiation Pulse from a  
60  
61 Nuclear Explosion. *Nature* 219, 374-375.  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4 Bird, M.J., Cook, A.R., Zamadar, M., Asaoka, S., Miller, J.R., 2020. Pushing the limits of the  
5 electrochemical window with pulse radiolysis in chloroform. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 22, 14660-  
6 14670.  
7  
8  
9 Champion, J., Sabatié-Gogova, A., Bassal, F., Ayed, T., Alliot, C., Galland, N., Montavon, G.,  
10 2013. Investigation of Astatine(III) Hydrolyzed Species: Experiments and Relativistic Calculations.  
11 *J. Phys. Chem. A* 117, 1983-1990.  
12  
13  
14 Chen, T.H., Wong, K.Y., Johnston, F.J., 1960. Radiolysis of Chloroform and Carbon  
15 Tetrachloride. *J. Phys. Chem.* 64, 1023-1025.  
16  
17  
18 Clover, A., 1923. The auto-oxidation of chloroform. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 45,  
19 3133-3138.  
20  
21 Fricke, H., Hart, E.J., 1966. *Chemical Dosimetry, Radiation Dosimetry*. Academic Press, New  
22 York, USA.  
23  
24 Guérard, F., Gestin, J.F., Brechbiel, M.W., 2013. Production of [211At]-Astatinated  
25 Radiopharmaceuticals and Applications in Targeted  $\alpha$ -Particle Therapy. *Cancer Biotherapy and*  
26 *Radiopharmaceuticals* 28, 1-20.  
27  
28  
29 Guérard, F., Navarro, L., Lee, Y.S., Roumesy, A., Alliot, C., Chérel, M., Brechbiel, M.W., Gestin,  
30 J.F., 2017. Bifunctional arylodonium salts for highly efficient radioiodination and astatination of  
31 antibodies. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 25, 5975-5980.  
32  
33  
34 Johnston, F.J., Chen, T.-H., Wong, K.Y., 1961. Effects of Temperature and Added  
35 Hexachloroethane on the Radiolyses of Carbon Tetrachloride and Chloroform. *J. Phys. Chem.*  
36 65, 728-730.  
37  
38  
39 Lainé, M., Balan, E., Allard, T., Paineau, E., Jeunesse, P., Mostafavi, M., Robert, J.-L., Le Caër,  
40 S., 2017. Reaction mechanisms in swelling clays under ionizing radiation: influence of the water  
41 amount and of the nature of the clay mineral. *RSC advances* 7, 526-534.  
42  
43  
44 Mostafa, E., Reinsberg, P., Garcia-Segura, S., Baltruschat, H., 2018. Chlorine species evolution  
45 during electrochlorination on boron-doped diamond anodes: In-situ electrogeneration of Cl<sub>2</sub>, Cl<sub>2</sub>O  
46 and ClO<sub>2</sub>. *Electrochimica Acta* 281, 831-840.  
47  
48  
49 Navarro, L., Berdal, M., Chérel, M., Pecorari, F., Gestin, J.-F., Guérard, F., 2019. Prosthetic  
50 groups for radioiodination and astatination of peptides and proteins: A comparative study of five  
51 potential bioorthogonal labeling strategies. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 27, 167-174.  
52  
53  
54 Ottolenghi, M., Stein, G., 1961. The Radiation Chemistry of Chloroform. *Radiation Research* 14,  
55 281-290.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 Pozzi, O.R., Zalutsky, M.R., 2005a. Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry of Targeted  
5 Radiotherapeutics, Part 1: Effects of Solvent on the Degradation of Radiohalogenation Precursors  
6 by  $^{211}\text{At}$   $\alpha$ -Particles. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 46, 700-706.  
7

8  
9 Pozzi, O.R., Zalutsky, M.R., 2005b. Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry of Targeted  
10 Radiotherapeutics, Part 2: Radiolytic Effects of  $^{211}\text{At}$   $\alpha$ -Particles Influence N-Succinimidyl 3-  
11  $^{211}\text{At}$ -Astatobenzoate Synthesis. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 46, 1393-1400.  
12

13  
14 Pozzi, O.R., Zalutsky, M.R., 2017. Radiopharmaceutical chemistry of targeted radiotherapeutics,  
15 part 4: Strategies for  $^{211}\text{At}$  labeling at high activities and radiation doses of  $^{211}\text{At}$   $\alpha$ -particles.  
16 *Nuclear Medicine and Biology* 46, 43-49.  
17

18  
19 Reilly, S.W., Makvandi, M., Xu, K., Mach, R.H., 2018. Rapid Cu-Catalyzed [ $^{211}\text{At}$ ]Astatination  
20 and [ $^{125}\text{I}$ ]Iodination of Boronic Esters at Room Temperature. *Organic Letters* 20, 1752-1755.  
21

22  
23 Schulte, J.W., Suttle, J.F., Wilhelm, R., 1953. Chemical Effects Produced in Chloroform by  $\gamma$ -  
24 Rays<sup>1</sup>. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 75, 2222-2227.  
25

26  
27 Sergentu, D.-C., Teze, D., Sabatié-Gogova, A., Alliot, C., Guo, N., Bassal, F., Silva, I.D., Deniaud,  
28 D., Maurice, R., Champion, J., Galland, N., Montavon, G., 2016. Advances on the Determination  
29 of the Astatine Pourbaix Diagram: Predomination of  $\text{AtO}(\text{OH})_2^-$  over  $\text{At}^-$  in Basic Conditions.  
30 *Chemistry – A European Journal* 22, 2964-2971.  
31

32  
33 Watanabe, S., Azim, M.A.-U., Nishinaka, I., Sasaki, I., Ohshima, Y., Yamada, K., Ishioka, N.S.,  
34 2019. A convenient and reproducible method for the synthesis of astatinated 4-[ $^{211}\text{At}$ ]astato-l-  
35 phenylalanine via electrophilic desilylation. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry* 17, 165-171.  
36

37  
38 Werner, H.R., Firestone, R.F., 1965. Kinetics of the  $\gamma$ -Ray-Induced Decomposition of  
39 Chloroform<sup>1</sup>. *J. Phys. Chem.* 69, 840-849.  
40

1  
2  
3  
4 **FIGURE LEGENDS**  
5  
6

7 **Figure 1** : Amount of HCl extracted in water from chloroform

8  
9  
10 **Figure 2** : Experimental G-yield values of HCl), produced during irradiation of CHCl<sub>3</sub> in  
11 aerated (red spots) and oxygen free (blue ones) atmospheres by <sup>137</sup>Cs-source irradiator  
12 E<sub>γ</sub> = 0.667 MeV, DR = 7-9 Gy.min<sup>-1</sup>, Total Dose = 2580 Gy. The obtained data are shown  
13 the oxygen in the air can participate in HCl production. When measuring the radiolytic  
14 yield of HCl in the presence of air, the HCl concentration is considered zero at no  
15 absorbed radiation dose, as explained in results.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 **Figure 3** : HCl concentration, produced during irradiation of CHCl<sub>3</sub> in aerated atmosphere  
27 by <sup>137</sup>Cs-source irradiator E<sub>γ</sub> = 0.667 MeV, DR = 7-9 Gy.min<sup>-1</sup>, Total Dose = 93300 Gy.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 **TABLE and FIGURES**  
39  
40  
41

42 **Table 1**: G-yield values of HCl from chloroform irradiation in literature and this work  
43  
44

45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

<b>Dose(kGy)</b>	<b>0.005</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>4.6 – 11.8</b>	<b>26 – 210</b>	<b>16 – 420</b>	<b>93.3</b>
<b>G(HCl) (μmol.J<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	0.45	1.18	0.59	1.23	0.55	0.82
<b>Ref.</b>	(Abadie, 1982)	(Chen et al., 1960)	(Ottolenghi and Stein, 1961)	(Johnston et al., 1961)	(Werner and Firestone , 1965)	This Work

60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65




Figure 1 : Amount of HCl extracted in water from chloroform




Figure 2 : Experimental G-yield values of HCl, produced during irradiation of  $\text{CHCl}_3$  in aerated (red spots) and oxygen free (blue ones) atmospheres by  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ -source irradiator  $E_\gamma = 0.667 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $\text{DR} = 7\text{-}9 \text{ Gy}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ , Total Dose = 2580 Gy. The obtained data are shown the oxygen in the air can participate in HCl production. When measuring the radiolytic yield of HCl in the presence of air, the HCl concentration is considered zero at no absorbed radiation dose, as explained in results.




Figure 3 : HCl concentration, produced during irradiation of  $\text{CHCl}_3$  in aerated atmosphere by  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ -source irradiator  $E_\gamma = 0.667 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $\text{DR} = 7\text{-}9 \text{ Gy}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ , Total Dose = 93300 Gy.

**Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

- Parastoo Mahdi Khoshouei and Guillaume Blain performed the experiments.
- Johan Vandendorre and Mohammad Ghalei wrote the manuscript with contribution from all co-authors.
- All co-authors contributed to the discussion and interpretation of the data.