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ABSTRACT

In Multiple Sclerosis (MS), there is a large discrepancy between the clinical observations and how the pathology is exhibited on brain images, this is known as the clinical-radiological paradox. One of the hypotheses is that the clinical deficit may be more related to the spinal cord damage than the number or location of lesions in the brain. Therefore, investigating how the spinal cord is damaged becomes an acute challenge to better understand and overcome this paradox. Diffusion MRI is known to provide quantitative figures of neuronal degeneration and axonal loss, in the brain as well as in the spinal cord. In this paper, we propose to investigate how diffusion MRI metrics vary in the different cervical regions with the progression of the disease. We first study the reproducibility of diffusion MRI on healthy volunteers with a test-retest procedure using both standard diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and multi-compartment Ball-and-Stick models. Then, based on the test re-test quantitative calibration, we provide quantitative figures of pathology evolution between M0 and M12 in the cervical spine on a set of 31 MS patients, exhibiting how the pathology damage spans in the cervical spinal cord.

Index Terms— Diffusion MRI, Spinal Cord, Multiple Sclerosis

1. INTRODUCTION

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a neuro-inflammatory disease associated with a range of clinical symptoms and progressive physical disability. The use of non-invasive MRI techniques is key to a better understanding and follow-up of the pathology. However, there is usually a poor correlation between the radiological observation and the clinical outcome, something which is known as the clinical-radiological paradox (CRP). One of the potential improvements in our understanding of the pathology is using advanced quantitative MRI as well as investigate the extent of tissue damage in the spinal cord [1].

Over the past decade, several groups started working on the improvement of MRI techniques for the spinal cord [2]. Indeed, acquiring and processing MR images in spinal cord presents inherent challenges. Differences in magnetic susceptibility between soft tissues, air and bone make the magnetic field of spinal cord non-uniform and inhomogeneous. Also, given the small dimension of the cord cross-section (around 15 mm diameter at the cervical level), the specification and localization of lesions require a robust distinction between cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), grey matter (GM) and white matter (WM). In addition, besides the involuntary motion, acquiring MRI in the spine is hampered by the effect of cardiac and respiratory motion [3, 4].

Focal lesions are visible and detectable on conventional MRI (T1- and T2-weighted). However, more sophisticated MR imaging, namely diffusion MRI (dMRI), can provide quantitative information about tissue microstructure in vivo, and therefore characterize axonal loss both diffuse and within the lesions [5]. Several metrics extracted from the diffusion MRI measurements are helpful as biomarkers of the pathology, such as the diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) characteristics: fractional anisotropy (FA); axial, radial and mean diffusivities (AD, RD and MD). Multi-compartment models also provide complementary measurements. In particular, using clinical data, it is possible to fit a Ball-and-Stick model [6], from which one can extract the intrinsic diffusivity (ID), which is defined as the unique positive eigenvalue of the stick, as well as the free water weight (FWW).

In this paper, we first investigate how reproducible these measures are for each vertebral level in the cervical spine, using a test-retest dataset on a group of 8 healthy subjects. We then compute these metrics on a group of 31 MS patients, and follow their longitudinal evolution between baseline and follow-up 12 months later.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this section, we provide a description of the data acquisition, and of the image processing workflow for diffusion MRI analysis.

2.1. Data acquisition

2.1.1. Patients and healthy volunteers

Eight healthy volunteers (4 females, 4 males, median age 31 years, range 21-35) and 31 MS patients (21 females, 10 males, median age 30 years, range [20-49]) were recruited in the study approved by the local research ethics committee. All participants provided informed written consent.

2.1.2. MRI Acquisition

MS patients and healthy volunteers were scanned on a 3T Siemens Verio scanner. Each subject was scanned twice with the same acquisition protocol. For MS patients, the second acquisition was performed within 12 months of the first one, however for healthy volunteers both acquisitions were performed few minutes apart. Thirty non-collinear diffusion-weighted images (DWI) were acquired at \( b = 900 \text{ s} \cdot \text{mm}^{-2} \), six non-DWI (b = 0) measurements and one non-DWI (b = 0) with an opposite phase encoding direction (PED) were also acquired. Scans were performed in sagittal orientation and head-feet (H-F) PED. The pulse sequence used for diffusion MRI is echo planar imaging (EPI). The reduced-FOV (field-of-view) technique was employed to reduce sensitivity of EPI to susceptibility artifacts. Sixteen slices were acquired with the following parameters without inter-slice gap: TR/TE = 3600/90 ms, with 2x2x2 mm\(^3\) as the resolution, and image matrix 80x80. The total acquisition time for the dMRI sequence was approximately 7 minutes. The protocol also includes high-resolution T1-weighted image for anatomical reference with an isotropic 1x1x1 mm\(^3\) resolution.

2.2. Pre-processing and metrics extraction

2.2.1. Diffusion MRI pre-processing

Motion between DWI were corrected using the method presented in [7] and implemented in the Spinal Cord Toolbox (SCT) \(^1\) [8]. Then, dMRI data were corrected for susceptibility distortion using HySCO (Hyperelastic Susceptibility Artefact Correction) method as implemented in ACID-SPM toolbox presented in [9]. This method was recently shown to provide best results for distortion correction of spinal cord images [10, 11].

2.2.2. Segmentation

For each subject scan, whole spinal cord segmentation was carried out both on the mean DWI volume (\( b = 900 \text{ s} \cdot \text{mm}^{-2} \)) and the T1-weighted using the SCT. A quality check was performed and parameters were modified, or manual adjustments were made when necessary.

2.2.3. Computation of diffusion-based metrics

We reconstructed Diffusion Tensor Images (DTI) and Ball-and-Stick models [6] in which the dMRI signal is split into a single isotropic component and a single anisotropic component. DTI was computed using SCT and Ball-and-Stick was reconstructed using Anima-Public package \(^2\).

Metrics to be considered in the spinal cord quantification are: fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial diffusivity (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD) for the DTI model, and intrinsic diffusivity (ID) – defined as the diffusivity of the stick, and free water weight (FWW) for the Ball-and-Stick model. The objective to quantify these metrics is to test the presence of WM abnormalities in MS patients.

2.2.4. Template-based analysis

Next, DWI data were registered to the PAM50 spinal cord template [12], using a various affine and homeomorphic transformation between the mean of the DWI, the T1-weighted anatomical data and PAM50 template [8]. Alignment with the template provides robust definition of the inter-vertebral levels for the spine. This enables computation of the average metrics in spinal cord using the atlas-based approach introduced in [13], which overcome biases related to partial volume effects. Compared to ROI and tractography approaches, this approach is less sensible to susceptibility distortions. As a result, we can quantify diffusion-based metrics averaged for each inter-vertebral level between C1 and C7 within white matter. The processing pipeline as a whole is summarized in Fig. 1, and with more details in [14].

3. RESULTS

3.1. Inter-subject and intra-subject variability on healthy controls

The variance across subjects of every metric was computed for each vertebral level in controls and in patients. As reported in Fig. 2 and Table. 1, the variance of almost every metric is higher in vertebral levels C1-C2 and C6-C7 in controls. This can be explained by the fact that larger distortions are observed in images at the top and the bottom of the field of view. In the following, we propose to use C3-C5 levels to extract averaged metrics with low cross-subject difference.

\(^1\)http://spinalcordtoolbox.com

\(^2\)https://github.com/Inria-Empenna/Anima-Public
Fig. 1. Illustration of the pipeline. (1) Segmentation of the cord on T1W. (2) Manual identification of two vertebral levels. (3) Registration to the PAM50 template. (4) Motion and distortion correction of dMRI data. (5) Computing DTI and Ball&Stick maps using Dipy and Anima packages. (6) Segmentation of the cord using DWI mean data. (7) Registration of PAM50-T1 to DWI mean data using the inverse warping field from previous registration as an initial warping field. (8) Quantification of metrics by vertebral level of the cervical part.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>C7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1. Standard Deviation (multiplied by 1000) of DTI and Ball-and-Stick metrics averaged on each vertebral level. Diffusivities are measured in mm²/s.

3.2. Patient-based longitudinal evolution

The Bland-Altman plot computed on controls defines confidence intervals for each metric averaged on C3-C5. We overlaid on these Bland-Altman plots corresponding values for patients, which allows identification of significant evolution of a given metric between scan and rescan for each patient. In Fig. 3, we can therefore identify significant longitudinal evolution of microstructure-based measures between baseline (M0) and 12 months follow-up (M12). Detailed results are reported on Table 2 for specific patients, for which several metrics show significant evolution between M0 and M12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M12-M0 for C3C5</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>ADx10⁴</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>IDx10⁴</th>
<th>FWW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient04</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+0.712</td>
<td>-0.325</td>
<td>NSV</td>
<td>+0.178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+0.659</td>
<td>NSV</td>
<td>+0.675</td>
<td>+0.131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
<td>NSV</td>
<td>+0.118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient69</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-0.307</td>
<td>+0.161</td>
<td>NSV</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Evolution of AD, FA, ID and FWW metrics averaged over C3-C5 vertebral levels between baseline and 12-months follow-up. Diffusivities are measured in mm²/s. NSV: Non Significant Value, referring to Bland-Altman plot.
4. DISCUSSION

In Table 2, we reported patients for which at least three diffusion metrics evolved significantly between M0 and M12, with respect to the confidence intervals reported in Fig. 3. For patients 04 and 35, we can observe a drop in FA, associated with an increase in the FWW; conversely for patient 69, a decrease in FA is associated with a drop in FWW. For these three patients, ID did not change significantly, which could mean that the change in AD for the DTI model is in fact only due to an increase of the free water compartment, rather than a change in the fibers themselves. Note that for patient 16, no significant change in FA is reported, however there is an increase in the FWW. In general, we observe a complementarity between the evolution of metrics extracted from DTI and from Ball-and-Stick.

5. CONCLUSION

In this work, we proposed a framework for studying the evolution of microstructure-related parameters measured with diffusion MRI in the spinal cord white matter of MS patients. Based on a group of healthy controls, we were able to define confidence intervals for diffusion-based metrics for C3-C5 levels in the cervical spine. Using these confidence intervals, we can follow the longitudinal evolution of the same metrics for each patient, and identify abnormal trajectories associated with the pathology. Comparing metrics based on DTI and Ball-and-Stick suggests that both models provide complementary information. This suggests that even for clinical data, multi-compartment models provide novel information about the evolution of tissue microstructure, and should be included in the processing workflow. Future work will include definition of confidence intervals for each vertebral level and study of how the evolution of diffusion MRI indices correlate with clinical scores.
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