

From 1957 to Nowadays: A Brief History of Epigenetics

Paul Peixoto, Pierre-Francois Cartron, Aurélien A Serandour, Eric Hervouet

▶ To cite this version:

Paul Peixoto, Pierre-Francois Cartron, Aurélien A Serandour, Eric Hervouet. From 1957 to Nowadays: A Brief History of Epigenetics. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2020, 21 (20), pp.7571. 10.3390/ijms21207571. inserm-03497189

HAL Id: inserm-03497189 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03497189v1

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Review From 1957 to Nowadays: A Brief History of Epigenetics

Paul Peixoto ^{1,2}, Pierre-François Cartron ^{3,4,5,6,7,8}, Aurélien A. Serandour ^{3,4,6,7,8} and Eric Hervouet ^{1,2,9,*}

- ¹ Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, INSERM, EFS BFC, UMR1098, Interactions Hôte-Greffon-Tumeur/Ingénierie Cellulaire et Génique, F-25000 Besançon, France; paul.peixoto@univ-fcomte.fr
- ² EPIGENEXP Platform, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-25000 Besançon, France
- ³ CRCINA, INSERM, Université de Nantes, 44000 Nantes, France; Pierre-Francois.Cartron@univ-nantes.fr (P.-F.C.); aurelien.serandour@ec-nantes.fr (A.A.S.)
- ⁴ Equipe Apoptose et Progression Tumorale, LaBCT, Institut de Cancérologie de l'Ouest, 44805 Saint Herblain, France
- ⁵ Cancéropole Grand-Ouest, Réseau Niches et Epigénétique des Tumeurs (NET), 44000 Nantes, France
- ⁶ EpiSAVMEN Network (Région Pays de la Loire), 44000 Nantes, France
- ⁷ LabEX IGO, Université de Nantes, 44000 Nantes, France
- ⁸ Ecole Centrale Nantes, 44300 Nantes, France
- ⁹ DImaCell Platform, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-25000 Besançon, France
- * Correspondence: eric.hervouet@univ-fcomte.fr

Received: 9 September 2020; Accepted: 13 October 2020; Published: 14 October 2020



Abstract: Due to the spectacular number of studies focusing on epigenetics in the last few decades, and particularly for the last few years, the availability of a chronology of epigenetics appears essential. Indeed, our review places epigenetic events and the identification of the main epigenetic writers, readers and erasers on a historic scale. This review helps to understand the increasing knowledge in molecular and cellular biology, the development of new biochemical techniques and advances in epigenetics and, more importantly, the roles played by epigenetics in many physiological and pathological situations.

Keywords: epigenetics; history; DNA methylation; histones; epitranscriptomics

1. From Genetics to Epigenetics

Until the XVIII century, the preformation theory stated that aptitudes and biological differences are determined by a god and that individual characters start at conception and are frozen. This theory was fought by Darwin's theories and Kant, suggesting that the environment was strictly involved in phenotype modifications. This led the definition of the concept of evolution. Mendel's principles in 1865, the isolation of the DNA molecule in 1869 and, about one century later, the resolution of the double helix structure of DNA in 1959, established the global principles of genetics and heredity. The developmental biologist Conrad H. Waddington (1905–1975) coined the word epigenetics to summarize a new branch of biology which focuses on the links between gene and protein expression [1]. In 1930, it was reported in *Drosophila* that the location of the gene *white* in heterochromatin or euchromatin was responsible for its activation or repression. These observations suggested that the local nucleus environment regulated gene expression [2]. In 1957, Waddington proposed the famous epigenetic landscape, in which a ball, symbolizing a cell, could follow different paths due to the roughness of the surface (which means intra- and extracellular environmental influences) [3]. During the mid-1970s to 1980s, the identification of the high mobility group (HMG) proteins permitted us to understand that specific proteins other

than histones, known since 1884, may have an architectural role in chromatin and could influence phenotype expression.

In the 1980s, several groups identified the requirement of a fusion of both a male gamete genome and a female gamete to generate viable fecundation and embryogenesis. This brought to light the existence of "imprinted genes" which are regulated specifically with regard to maternal or parental inheritance [4–6]. This process explained the high difficulties in obtaining clones after the transfer of a nucleus from an adult somatic cell into an enucleated egg, a concept that was considered impossible until the publication of Wilmut et al. in 1997 and the creation of the sheep Dolly [7]. This was followed by thousands of cloned animals in numerous different species and the generation of bimaternal and bipaternal mice in 2018, although these animals presented severe defects [8]. Indeed, even if the general organization of DNA was approximately understood quite early in mid-XX century, the boom of epigenetics arrived much later during the 1990s and 2000s with the full flow of cloning and biochemical techniques which allowed for the identification of specific enzymes, writers and erasers of epigenetic marks. The most studied and known epigenetic markers, DNA methylation (5mC) and post-translational histone modifications, were rapidly identified following the resolution of the DNA double helix structure. Indeed, DNA methylation was first described in 1965, while histone methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitylation, sumoylation and ADP ribosylation were reported from 1962 to 1977 [9,10]. The role of these modifications has been difficult to understand. Indeed, the late identification of enzymes catalyzing or erasing these markers permitted the performance of genetic and biochemical experiments, particularly in yeast models that progressively permitted the clarification of the biological significance of these modifications. All these epigenetic events and the identification of the main proteins involved in epigenetics are summarized in a chronology picture (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Chronology of epigenetics. From top to bottom: Dates (blue): from 1865 to today; Nobel Prizes (salmon) associated with major genetic or epigenetic discoveries; major dates (gray): important discoveries concerning DNA and chromatin; epigenetic proteins (white): year of identification or main writers, readers and erasers of epigenetics (a color code has been associated to proteins involved in a same pathway, e.g., blue for HAT (histone acetyl transferase)); epidrugs in the clinic (green): use of main epidrugs in clinical trials.

2. DNA Methylation

5mC is the most stable epigenetic marker whose negative role in gene regulation and in heterochromatin maintenance has been studied previously. The discovery of writers and erasers of DNA methylation took a very long time: from 1988 (cloning of DNA methyl transferase 1 (DNMT1), the first described writer of 5mC) to at least 2010 (identification of the TET family, erasers of 5mC) [11-17]. DNMT1, the first identified eukaryotic methyltransferase, has been purified and cloned in the lab of Timothy Bestor (University of Columbia). This team then collaborated with the lab of Rudolf Jaenisch (Whitehead Institute) to knock-out the DNMT1 gene, revealing that this gene was essential for transposon silencing, X inactivation and imprinted gene regulation and, therefore, that DNA methylation writing is involved in many different biological processes. DNMT1 catalyzes the maintenance of DNA methylation following DNA replication to support the accurate transmission of this epigenetic mark to future daughter cells during mitosis. Identification of DNMT1 just preceded the discovery of the main family members of 5mC binding proteins (MeCP2, MBD1–4 from 1989 to 1998) which specifically recognize 5mC and coordinate gene repression [18–22]. Indeed, the lab of Adrian Peter Bird (University of Edinburgh) was the first to identify the recruitment of MeCP2 to methylated CpG and its involvement in gene repression. A.P. Bird showed that the blockade of DNA methylation identification by MeCP2 was associated with Rett syndrome. These discoveries revealed that DNA methylation was read by specific proteins, leading to a repressive signal, and that the disruption of these mechanisms was associated with human disorders [23].

Ten years after DNMT1, de novo DNMT3A and DNMT3B (1998) were identified and explained the acquisition of DNA methylation on both strands of DNA independently of DNA replication, a phenomenon particularly important for gene expression regulation during embryonic development and aberrant gene repression in many diseases, including cancers [12]. Indeed, the Bestor team identified the very rare immunodeficiency, centromeric region instability, facial anomalies (ICF) syndrome which is mainly caused by mutations in the *DNMT3B* gene, showing that DNA methylation writing during development is essential [24].

A few years later, DNMT3L was described and shown to participate in the activation of *de novo* DNMTs and DNMT-including complexes, but DNMT3L itself lacks catalytic activity [13,25]. Although DNA demethylases were known for decades in prokaryotes, such proteins were actively searched for years in mammals. Indeed, in 2009, a convincing DNA demethylation process was identified in mammals with the rediscovery of 5hmC, 40 years after a forgotten observation made in *Trypanosoma* [26]. Then, the observation of 5fC and 5caC showed that DNA demethylation could be an active process, which led to the identification of specific DNA demethylases when the TET (Tet-eleven 1, 2 and 3) family was described in 2010 in the lab of Yi Zhang (Harvard Medical School) which was a revolution in the field of epigenetics [17].

Moreover, in 2003, cooperation between DNA methylation and histone modifications for gene repression was proposed by the characterization of different complexes: (i) MBD1, CAF1 and HP1, (ii) MBD1, SUV39H1 and HP1 and (iii) SUV39H1, HP1b and DNMTs [27–29]. DNA sequences recognized by DNMT are not specific. For example, DNMT3A and DNMT3B are present on A/T/C, T/A/C, A/T, T/G/A, C, G, C/G and A/G DNA sequences, suggesting that protein interactions with DNMTs are involved in specific DNA methylation [30,31]. The first evidence in favor of this hypothesis was reported in 2002 in the lab of Pier Guiseppe Pelicci (European Institute of Oncology), showing that the oncogenic PML-RAR (promyelocytic leukemia-retinoic acid receptor) protein regulated target promoters via specific interactions and recruitment of DNMT1 and DNMT3A [32]. A few years later, in 2005 and 2006, specific interactions of DNMTs with the transcriptional factors P53, c-MYC or PU.1 confirmed that genes were additionally regulated by the specific recruitment of DNMTs [33–35]. In 2009 and 2010, dozens of putative transcriptional factor (TF)/DNMT interactions were reported, suggesting that the specific DNA methylation is probably mainly due to this process [36,37].

3. Protein Acetylation

From 1992 to 2005, many different proteins presenting a bromodomain, a term historically from the protein coded by the *brm* gene in *Drosophila melanogaster* [38], were reported. Indeed, bromodomain-containing proteins, whose first member was BRD1 [39], contain a domain of about 100 residues which specifically recognizes acetylated lysines in histone tails and favors gene transcription by reorganizing local chromatin.

Despite the early description of histone acetylation in 1968 [40–42], and its role in local chromatin opening, by neutralizing positive charges of lysines and consequently decreasing histone–DNA interactions, writers of acetylation called histone acetyltransferases (HATs) remained unknown for a long time [43]. Indeed, it took almost 30 years, and the emergence of both molecular cloning techniques and the biochemical purification of proteins to identify and characterize eight different HATs containing an acetylase domain, in less than 2 years (1996–1998) [44–49]. The C. David Allis lab (Rockefeller University) was the first team to identify and purify an HAT that laid the cornerstones for the understanding how histone post-translational modifications are performed and regulate gene expression. Moreover, this team was a pioneer in the understanding of crosstalk between the same histone tails or different histones, leading to the notion of a "histone code" governing local gene expression.

Some proteins with histone deacetylase activity (histone deacetylase, HDAC) were first identified in 1995 and are associated with proteins containing a deacetylase domain. In 7 years (1995–2002), 18 different HDACs were reported [50–65] and divided into four classes based on their sequence homology of yeast, catalytic domain organization and their cellular localization. Class I (HDAC1, 2, 3 and 8) with a simple structure containing a deacetylase domain with short N-Ter and C-ter extensions, IIa (HDAC4, 5, 7 and 9), IIb (HDAC6 and 10) and IV (HDAC11) are considered as classical HDACs and have a zinc-dependent active site; class III (SIRTUINs: SIRT1–7) have an NAD-dependent active site. Moreover, some HDAC proteins appeared mostly localized in nuclei, others transit between the nucleus and cytoplasm and others are predominantly present in cytoplasm, showing that roles played by these enzymes are more varied than initially believed. Indeed, since 1997, a lot of non-histone targets have been reported, and some researchers have renamed these enzymes lysine deacetylases (KDACs), which were first described for the acetylation-induced conformational change of P53 which significantly improved its DNA-binding capacities [66]. Similarly, deacetylation of non-histone proteins was then described. For example, deacetylation of P53 by an HDAC1-containing complex was reported in 2000 confirming that acetylation and deacetylation of proteins are not strictly associated with chromatin regulation [67]. Indeed, a new nomenclature of chromatin-modifying enzymes has been proposed to clarify the chromatin-dependent and -independent roles of these proteins [68].

4. Protein Methylation

Similarly, the roles of histone methylations, markers identified in 1962, were studied a long time before the identification of writers and erasers of these markers. Histone methylations occur on different lysines and arginines of histones and may concern mono-, di-, and trimethylation on the same residue. Moreover, dimethylation of arginines could be symmetrical (me2s) or assymetrical (me2a).

According to target residue, the level of methylation and symmetry, the methylated marker could be interpreted as a permissive or repressive marker of gene transcription (for example, local H3K4me3 and H4R3me2a are favorable to transcription, while H3K27me3 and H4R3me2s inhibit transcription).

Chromodomain (chromatin organization modifiers)-containing proteins (described from 1991 to 2005) [69–92] present a domain of about 40–50 amino acids that specifically recognize chromatin, and particularly histone methylations and regulate gene expression positively or negatively. Although the role of chromodomain-containing proteins in gene repression was suspected since the early 1990s, the link between histone methylation and chromodomain was not identified before 2001, with the role of the heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) protein [93]. Indeed, the lab of Joel Eissenberg (Saint Louis University) (2000) first proposed that HP1 may serve as a cross-linker, linking nucleosomal

DNA and non-histone protein complexes to form higher-order chromatin structures [94], showing that some proteins may detect specific histone methylation and thus lead to gene repression. HP1 identified and cloned in mammals in 1993 is a member of a homologous protein family initially described in Drosophila and previously associated with heterochromatin binding, nuclear localization and gene silencing [95]. HP1 was also the first chromodomain-containing protein to explain gene repression, which is observed after translocation of an active gene in the heterochromatin environment, a phenomenon called position effect variegation in Drosophila [96], known from the 1950s but unexplained for decades [97]. HP1 contains two different kinds of chromodomain: a classical N-terminal chromodomain and one C-ter domain called the chromo shadow domain [69]. Both of these domains, as for other chromodomain-containing proteins, recognize and interact with other proteins to regulate heterochromatin structure. As early as 2000, it was reported that the chromo shadow domain interacts with a consensus pentapeptide present in specific protein partners [98], and in 2001, that these chromodomains recognize H3K9 methylation [99]. Indeed, chromo domain-containing proteins are divided into three classes. Proteins, such as HP1 which present an N-terminal chromo domain followed by a chromo shadow domain, belong to the first class. The second class includes proteins with a single chromo domain, whereas the third class includes proteins with paired tandem chromo domains. Identification of these proteins permitted us to better understand how epigenetic markers really regulate gene expression according to the histone code.

Contrary to HATs and KDACs which are not specific to a single residue, methylation of histones is specifically catalyzed on a particular residue and the redundancy of activity is quite limited. For these reasons, the identification of histone methyl transferases (HMTs) responsible of each methylation took 12 years (1993–2005) [100–126]. Although some proteins have been known to be involved as early as the 1990s in gene repression, their histone methylase activity was identified much later. Indeed, both enhancer of zeste homolog 1 and 2 (EZH1 and EZH2) were cloned in 1996 [101,104] and described as members of the gene repressor Polycomb, homolog of *Drosophila*, complex. However, the H3K27 HMT activity of these both proteins was not characterized before 2002 (EZH2) and 2008 (EZH1) [127–129]. Moreover, a higher level of complexity was found in 2004 when it was reported that two Polycomb members belonging to the PRC2 complex, embryonic ectoderm development (EED) and SUZ12, which interact with EZH2, are required for H3K27me3 activity [130].

HMTs contain a SET domain comprising about 130 amino acids, whose name codes for the HMT activity of the first three *Drosophila* HMTs were originally reported as: (Su(var)3-9 Suppressor of variegation 3–9), enhancer of zeste (EZ) and trithorax (Trx). The SET domain possesses catalytic activity towards the ε -amino group of lysine residues for mono-, di- or trimethylation using the methyl donor S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) as a cofactor. Similarly to acetylation and deacetylation activity, non-histone methylation targets of HMT have been reported for numerous proteins since 2004 (see review [131]), showing that, as seen for writers and erasers of acetylation a few years earlier, these enzymes are not specific to histones and are, for example, involved in the regulation of gene expression by controlling the activity of transcriptional factors such as P53, which is stabilized by a SET9-mediated methylation [131,132].

Surprisingly, the discovery of erasers of histone methylation occurred much later. Although, an enzymatic demethylation of N-methylated calf thymus histones by an unknown mechanism was reported in 1973 [133], histone methylation was considered for a long time as a permanent marker. Indeed, it was proposed that methylation was removed by cutting the histone tail [134] or by exchanging the methylated histone with a variant histone [135–138]. The existence of an active histone demethylase (HDM) was established in 2004 by the lab of Yang Shi (Harvard Medical School) with the resolution of the molecular activity of lysine-specific histone demethylase (LSD1), initially called KIAA0601 [139]. This discovery broke the dogma and many different HDMs were then rapidly identified. Indeed, the LSD1 family comprises two members (LSD1 and LSD2) which correspond to homologs of amine oxidases (containing a C-ter oxidase domain (AOD) that demethylates histones by a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-catalyzed oxidation of the methylated H3K4 and thus produces

formaldehyde responsible of demethylation. From 2004 to 2009, more than 15 different HDMs were identified [140–148]. Another family of HDM was discovered in 2006 [147] containing a Jumonji-like domain C terminus (JmjC) and includes proteins with 2-oxoglutarate- and iron Fe(II)-dependent dioxygenases that use these cofactors in the presence of oxygen to hydroxylate the methylated lysine and notably produce unstable carbinolamine that spontaneously reacts to generate formaldehyde that demethylates the lysine.

5. Other Post-Translational Histone Modifications

As described before, other post-translational histone modifications such as histone ubiquitination, sumoylation or ADP ribosylation have been reported. Histone ubiquitination has been implicated in transcriptional regulation and DNA damage response (for review [149]). Indeed, mono-ubiquitination of H2A inhibits transcription by repressing H3K4me2 methylation.

Histone sumoylation, which consists of the addition of a small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) protein on a histone via a process very closely related to the enzyme cascade involved in protein ubiquitination, may also modulate gene expression. Histone H4 binds to the E2 conjugating enzyme and is sumoylated in an E1 (SUMO-activating enzyme) and E2-dependent manner that mediates gene silencing through the recruitment of a histone deacetylase and HP1 [150].

Histones can also be ADP-ribosylated in Asp/Glu residues. This post-translational modification catalyzed by ADP-ribose transferases (ARTs) is required for DNA damage repair. However, the precise contribution of these modifications in DNA damage response and repair remains unclear [151].

6. Histone Variants

Predominant variants of histone H2A, H2AX and H2AZ, have been reported since 1980 [152]. H2AX represents about 10% of total H2A but its role in DNA repair was found only in 2002 with the identification of the phosphorylated form of H2AX, γ H2AX (which could rise to 10% of total H2AX), and the kinases responsible of this phosphorylation [153]. The work of William M. Bonner (NIH) and his team brought major contributions in this field. Indeed, γ H2AX rapidly associates with double-strand breaks and signals for DNA repair. Although the H2AZ variant is less abundant than H2AX, and not associated with a specific process, it recently appeared that H2AZ is affected by many different classical post-translational modifications, such as classical histones, and this variant, notably via its acetylated form, is particularly associated with transcriptional starting sites and enhancers of genes involved in stem cell biology (see review [154]).

Besides natural variants of histones, for the last few years, several mutated forms have been reported and associated with cancers, and lead to the concept of oncohistones. Different forms of histones are present in cells; for example, H3.1 is incorporated during the S-phase and is present throughout the genome, whereas H3.3 is cell cycle-independent and more specifically associated with the promoters that it regulates. Indeed, H3.1K27M, H3.3K27M, H3.3K36M and H3G34R/V were reported in 2012 and 2013 [155,156]. Indeed, both H3K27M and H3K36M block the HMT responsible for the specific methylation of this lysine, resulting in a global loss of the methylated mark in these cancers [157]. On the contrary, the effects of H3G34R/V are restricted to chromatin sites presenting nucleosomes, containing the mutant.

7. Epitranscriptomics: The Area of Non-Coding RNA

Since the beginning of the 1990s, a phenomenon of gene co-suppression was reported in plants following the introduction of a transgene [158,159]. A well-known experiment reported the appearance of white petunia variants in a purple population. This process was called transgene-induced post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) in plants and quelling in fungi [160] but the molecular mechanism remained unknown for years. In plants, PTGS can also be provoked by viruses expressing host genes in a process called virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) [161]. The RNA interference process, called RNAi, was first identified in 1998 in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* by the team of Craig

Cameron Mello (previously of the University of Massachusetts Medical School). C.C. Mello and his collaborator Andrew Fire were awarded a Nobel Prize for this revolutionary discovery. [162]. RNAi leads to the processing of a dsRNA into a 21–22 dsRNA, a small interfering RNA (siRNA) that both targets and destroys the complementary mRNA, leading to gene silencing. Proteins involved in this process have been identified since 1999 [163–167]. Indeed, both RDE-1 (RNAi-defective 1) and Dicer are indispensable for RNAi. Dicer family members are responsible for dsRNA processing and contain a helicase domain, a PAZ (Piwi/Argonaute/Zwille) domain, two RNAse III domains and one or two dsRNA-binding domains [167]. The multiprotein complex guided by siRNA and targeting mRNA destruction was described in 2000 and called the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [168,169]. In 2001, a specific category of non-coding RNA was identified in vertebrates, miRNA, showing that the inhibition of gene expression was a physiological mechanism in mammals. The characterization of miRNA highlighted that gene expression was controlled at an unexpected level [170].

The field of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) began in the 1990s with the identification of the lncRNA *XIST* (1991) [171] and its major role in X inactivation, a phenomenon described in 1961 by Marie Lyon [172] and the previous identification of the Barr body in 1948, but whose molecular mechanisms remained unknown until the discovery of *XIST*. The complexity of the lncRNA world increased rapidly, with the identification of several other lncRNAs also involved in X inactivation during later decades (*Tsix, Ftx, Jpx*) and thousands of others involved in different gene regulation systems [173–175]. More and more evidence suggests that many lncRNAs are involved in gene regulation by interfering with other non-coding RNAs but also via specific roles in the targeting of multi-protein complexes on chromatin.

8. Epitranscriptomics and RNA Methylation

Until now, more than 160 RNA modifications have been reported. Among them, RNA methylation appeared to be frequent and the most studied. However, although the methylation of RNA had been reported since the early 1970s by several groups, it took decades before the identification of its roles in cells due to the difficulties for many years to manipulate RNA in cellulo [176]. Indeed, m6A represents more than 80% of methylated residues in RNA and is present in about one per 2000 residues, more specifically in conserved consensus sites G/A, G/A, A, C, A/C/U. Methyltransferase-like 3 (METTL3) was the first RNA methyl transferase discovered in 1997, a long time before the second member METTL14 in 2014 [177–179]. METTL3 contains a SAM-binding site and a catalytic site called the CMII domain. Similar to the late identification of HDM, two different RNA demethylases were reported quite recently (in 2012 and 2014) [180,181]. Indeed, ALKBH5 contains an iron-binding motif and an α -ketoglutarate interaction domain involved in the RNA demethylation activity. In 2012, a role of m6A as a docking site was established for the recruitment of RNA-binding proteins (ELAV1, YTHD2, YTHD3) which could further recruit additional protein partners [182]. Similarly, m5C of RNA, a common epitranscriptomic marker identified for the first time in 1974 [183], is present in both mRNA and non-coding RNA where it regulates translation. However, the roles of this methylation are largely unknown and the identification of writers of this marker began very recently. Indeed, contrary to DNMT2, whose role in the methylation of C38 tRNA^{Asp} was reported in 1998 [184], the first member of the NSUN (NOP2/Sun RNA Methyltransferaase) m5C RNA methylase family was identified in 2000s [185]. In 2019, specific methylation of miRNA was reported in gastrointestinal cancers, suggesting that this process could be involved in specific gene expression in diseases [186].

9. Epidrugs: Towards Indispensable Therapies

The anticancer properties of azacitidine were first reported in 1964 [187] and the first clinical trial began in 1967. This drug was FDA approved in 1971 but its role as a DNMT inhibitor (DNMTi) was ignored for 13 additional years (see review [188]). Indeed, the pharmacologic effects of high-dose azanucleosides are supposed to be mainly responsible of the cytotoxicity of azanucleotide–DNMT adducts formed in DNA that could mask the effect of DNA demethylation via the clonal expansion

of resistant cells. Due to high toxicities and the relative ignorance of roles played by epigenetics in pathologies for decades, azacitidine was rejected by the FDA and it took more than 3 decades to be FDA approved again, in 2004, for the treatment of patients with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS). This molecule became the first drug approved against this pathology [189]. The lab of Moshe Szyf (McGill) was the first to relate DNA methylation modifications and links to pathologies, in particular, in cancers. Moshe Szyf predicted early on that epidrugs would be essential for modulating gene expression in cancer and he founded the first pharma lab to develop potential clinical epidrugs. In 2006, decitabine was also FDA approved, another DNMTi supposed to present fewer side effects at low doses.

Similarly, biological HDAC inhibitor (HDACi) effects were observed well before the identification of their role as HDAC inhibitors. Indeed, in 1971, DMSO was reported as a differentiation agent and, a few years later, bisamide, a precursor of suberonyl anilide hydroxyamic acid (SAHA), with a similar structure to DMSO, presented similar effects. Trichostatin A (TSA) was the first molecule whose role was identified as an HDACi in 1990 [190]. Concomitantly to decitabine, vorinostat was FDA approved in 2006 for the treatment of T cell lymphoma [191]. Rapidly, several HDACis were also approved for different diseases. Indeed, in the last 5 years, tens of clinical trials tested many other epidrugs in numerous pathologies, alone and mostly in combination, including HMTi and BETi (Bromo and extra-Terminal inhibitors). There is no doubt that epigenetics is now in the clinical arena and could be largely involved in patient care in the near future.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.H. Writing P.P., P.-F.C., A.A.S., E.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by funding from institutional grants from INSERM, EFS and the Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté and by the "Ligue Contre le Cancer" and the "Région Bourgogne Franche-Comté".

1

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

ARTs	ADP-ribose transferases
BRD1	Bromodomain-containing 1
DNMT	DNA methyl transferase
EZH2	Enhancer of zeste homolog
HAT	Histone acetyltransferase
HDAC	Histone deacetylase
HDM	Histone demethylase
HMG	High-mobility group
HMT	Histone methyl transferase
HP1	Heterochromatin-1
JmjC	Jumonji-like domain C terminus
KDAC	Lysine demethylase
lncRNA	Long non-coding RNA
LSD1	Lysine-specific histone demethylase
MBD	Methyl-binding domain
METTL	Methyltransferase like
RISC	RNA-induced silencing complex
RNAi	RNA interference
SAM	S-adenosyl methionine
SUV39H1	Suppressor ff variegation 3-9 homolog
TF	Transcriptional factor

References

- 1. Waddington, C.H. The epigenotype. 1942. Int. J. Epidemiol. 2012, 41, 10–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Muller, H.J.; Altenburg, E. The frequency of translocations produced by X-rays in drosophila. *Genetics* **1930**, *15*, 283–311. [PubMed]
- 3. Goldberg, A.D.; Allis, C.D.; Bernstein, E. Epigenetics: A landscape takes shape. *Cell* **2007**, *128*, 635–638. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Surani, M.A.; Barton, S.C.; Norris, M.L. Development of reconstituted mouse eggs suggests imprinting of the genome during gametogenesis. *Nature* **1984**, *308*, 548–550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. McGrath, J.; Solter, D. Nuclear transplantation in mouse embryos. J. Exp. Zool. 1983, 228, 355–362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Barton, S.C.; Surani, M.A.; Norris, M.L. Role of paternal and maternal genomes in mouse development. *Nature* **1984**, *311*, 374–376. [CrossRef]
- 7. Wilmut, I.; Schnieke, A.E.; McWhir, J.; Kind, A.J.; Campbell, K.H. Viable offspring derived from fetal and adult mammalian cells. *Nature* **1997**, *385*, 810–813. [CrossRef]
- 8. Li, Z.K.; Wang, L.Y.; Wang, L.B.; Feng, G.H.; Yuan, X.W.; Liu, C.; Xu, K.; Li, Y.H.; Wan, H.F.; Zhang, Y.; et al. Generation of Bimaternal and Bipaternal Mice from Hypomethylated Haploid ESCs with Imprinting Region Deletions. *Cell Stem Cell* **2018**, *23*, 665–676.e4. [CrossRef]
- 9. Scarano, E.; Iaccarino, M.; Grippo, P.; Winckelmans, D. On methylation of DNA during development of the sea urchin embryo. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1965**, *14*, 603–607. [CrossRef]
- 10. Craddock, V.M.; Magee, P.N. Methylation of liver DNA in the intact animal by the carcinogen dimethylnitrosamine during carcinogenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1965**, *95*, 677–678. [CrossRef]
- 11. Marbois, B.N.; Xia, Y.R.; Lusis, A.J.; Clarke, C.F. Ubiquinone biosynthesis in eukaryotic cells: Tissue distribution of mRNA encoding 3,4-dihydroxy-5-polyprenylbenzoate methyltransferase in the rat and mapping of the COQ3 gene to mouse chromosome 4. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1994**, *313*, 83–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Okano, M.; Bell, D.W.; Haber, D.A.; Li, E. DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. *Cell* **1999**, *99*, 247–257. [CrossRef]
- Aapola, U.; Kawasaki, K.; Scott, H.S.; Ollila, J.; Vihinen, M.; Heino, M.; Shintani, A.; Minoshima, S.; Krohn, K.; Antonarakis, S.E.; et al. Isolation and initial characterization of a novel zinc finger gene, DNMT3L, on 21q22.3, related to the cytosine-5-methyltransferase 3 gene family. *Genomics* 2000, 65, 293–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Zhang, H.; Zhang, X.; Clark, E.; Mulcahey, M.; Huang, S.; Shi, Y.G. TET1 is a DNA-binding protein that modulates DNA methylation and gene transcription via hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine. *Cell Res.* **2010**, 20, 1390–1393. [CrossRef]
- 15. Ko, M.; Huang, Y.; Jankowska, A.M.; Pape, U.J.; Tahiliani, M.; Bandukwala, H.S.; An, J.; Lamperti, E.D.; Koh, K.P.; Ganetzky, R.; et al. Impaired hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine in myeloid cancers with mutant TET2. *Nature* **2010**, *468*, 839–843. [CrossRef]
- 16. Figueroa, M.E.; Abdel-Wahab, O.; Lu, C.; Ward, P.S.; Patel, J.; Shih, A.; Li, Y.; Bhagwat, N.; Vasanthakumar, A.; Fernandez, H.F.; et al. Leukemic IDH1 and IDH2 mutations result in a hypermethylation phenotype, disrupt TET2 function, and impair hematopoietic differentiation. *Cancer Cell* **2010**, *18*, 553–567. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ito, S.; D'Alessio, A.C.; Taranova, O.V.; Hong, K.; Sowers, L.C.; Zhang, Y. Role of Tet proteins in 5mC to 5hmC conversion, ES-cell self-renewal and inner cell mass specification. *Nature* **2010**, *466*, 1129–1133. [CrossRef]
- 18. Filion, G.J.; Zhenilo, S.; Salozhin, S.; Yamada, D.; Prokhortchouk, E.; Defossez, P.A. A family of human zinc finger proteins that bind methylated DNA and repress transcription. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2006**, *26*, 169–181. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hendrich, B.; Bird, A. Identification and characterization of a family of mammalian methyl-CpG binding proteins. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1998**, *18*, 6538–6547. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, C.L.; Jin, S.G.; Lee, D.H.; Lan, Z.J.; Xu, X.; O'Connor, T.R.; Szabó, P.E.; Mann, J.R.; Cooney, A.J.; Pfeifer, G.P. MBD3L1 and MBD3L2, two new proteins homologous to the methyl-CpG-binding proteins MBD2 and MBD3: Characterization of MBD3L1 as a testis-specific transcriptional repressor. *Genomics* 2002, 80, 621–629. [CrossRef]
- 21. Meehan, R.R.; Lewis, J.D.; Bird, A.P. Characterization of MeCP2, a vertebrate DNA binding protein with affinity for methylated DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1992**, *20*, 5085–5092. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Meehan, R.R.; Lewis, J.D.; McKay, S.; Kleiner, E.L.; Bird, A.P. Identification of a mammalian protein that binds specifically to DNA containing methylated CpGs. *Cell* **1989**, *58*, 499–507. [CrossRef]

- 23. Kriaucionis, S.; Bird, A. DNA methylation and Rett syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2003, 12 (Suppl. S2), R221–R227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, G.L.; Bestor, T.H.; Bourc'his, D.; Hsieh, C.L.; Tommerup, N.; Bugge, M.; Hulten, M.; Qu, X.; Russo, J.J.; Viegas-Péquignot, E. Chromosome instability and immunodeficiency syndrome caused by mutations in a DNA methyltransferase gene. *Nature* 1999, 402, 187–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Deplus, R.; Brenner, C.; Burgers, W.A.; Putmans, P.; Kouzarides, T.; de Launoit, Y.; Fuks, F. Dnmt3L is a transcriptional repressor that recruits histone deacetylase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2002**, *30*, 3831–3838. [CrossRef]
- 26. Kriaucionis, S.; Heintz, N. The nuclear DNA base 5-hydroxymethylcytosine is present in Purkinje neurons and the brain. *Science* 2009, 324, 929–930. [CrossRef]
- 27. Fujita, N.; Watanabe, S.; Ichimura, T.; Tsuruzoe, S.; Shinkai, Y.; Tachibana, M.; Chiba, T.; Nakao, M. Methyl-CpG binding domain 1 (MBD1) interacts with the Suv39h1-HP1 heterochromatic complex for DNA methylation-based transcriptional repression. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2003**, *278*, 24132–24138. [CrossRef]
- 28. Fuks, F.; Hurd, P.J.; Deplus, R.; Kouzarides, T. The DNA methyltransferases associate with HP1 and the SUV39H1 histone methyltransferase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2003**, *31*, 2305–2312. [CrossRef]
- 29. Reese, B.E.; Bachman, K.E.; Baylin, S.B.; Rountree, M.R. The methyl-CpG binding protein MBD1 interacts with the p150 subunit of chromatin assembly factor 1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2003**, *23*, 3226–3236. [CrossRef]
- Takahashi, M.; Kamei, Y.; Ehara, T.; Yuan, X.; Suganami, T.; Takai-Igarashi, T.; Hatada, I.; Ogawa, Y. Analysis of DNA methylation change induced by Dnmt3b in mouse hepatocytes. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2013, 434, 873–878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Hervouet, E.; Peixoto, P.; Delage-Mourroux, R.; Boyer-Guittaut, M.; Cartron, P.F. Specific or not specific recruitment of DNMTs for DNA methylation, an epigenetic dilemma. *Clin. Epigenet.* **2018**, *10*, 17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Di Croce, L.; Raker, V.A.; Corsaro, M.; Fazi, F.; Fanelli, M.; Faretta, M.; Fuks, F.; Lo Coco, F.; Kouzarides, T.; Nervi, C.; et al. Methyltransferase recruitment and DNA hypermethylation of target promoters by an oncogenic transcription factor. *Science* **2002**, *295*, 1079–1082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brenner, C.; Deplus, R.; Didelot, C.; Loriot, A.; Vire, E.; De Smet, C.; Gutierrez, A.; Danovi, D.; Bernard, D.; Boon, T.; et al. Myc represses transcription through recruitment of DNA methyltransferase corepressor. *EMBO J.* 2005, 24, 336–346. [CrossRef]
- 34. Suzuki, M.; Yamada, T.; Kihara-Negishi, F.; Sakurai, T.; Hara, E.; Tenen, D.G.; Hozumi, N.; Oikawa, T. Site-specific DNA methylation by a complex of PU.1 and Dnmt3a/b. *Oncogene* **2006**, *25*, 2477–2488. [CrossRef]
- 35. Wang, Y.A.; Kamarova, Y.; Shen, K.C.; Jiang, Z.; Hahn, M.J.; Wang, Y.; Brooks, S.C. DNA methyltransferase-3a interacts with p53 and represses p53-mediated gene expression. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* **2005**, *4*, 1138–1143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Hervouet, E.; Vallette, F.M.; Cartron, P.F. Dnmt3/transcription factor interactions as crucial players in targeted DNA methylation. *Epigenetics* **2009**, *4*, 487–499. [CrossRef]
- 37. Hervouet, E.; Vallette, F.M.; Cartron, P.F. Dnmt1/Transcription factor interactions: An alternative mechanism of DNA methylation inheritance. *Genes Cancer* **2010**, *1*, 434–443. [CrossRef]
- Tamkun, J.W.; Deuring, R.; Scott, M.P.; Kissinger, M.; Pattatucci, A.M.; Kaufman, T.C.; Kennison, J.A. Brahma: A regulator of Drosophila homeotic genes structurally related to the yeast transcriptional activator SNF2/SWI2. *Cell* 1992, *68*, 561–572. [CrossRef]
- 39. Haynes, S.R.; Dollard, C.; Winston, F.; Beck, S.; Trowsdale, J.; Dawid, I.B. The bromodomain: A conserved sequence found in human, Drosophila and yeast proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1992**, *20*, 2603. [CrossRef]
- 40. Allfrey, V.G.; Pogo, B.G.; Littau, V.C.; Gershey, E.L.; Mirsky, A.E. Histone acetylation in insect chromosomes. *Science* **1968**, *159*, 314–316. [CrossRef]
- 41. Gershey, E.L.; Vidali, G.; Allfrey, V.G. Chemical studies of histone acetylation. The occurrence of epsilon-N-acetyllysine in the f2a1 histone. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1968**, 243, 5018–5022. [PubMed]
- 42. Pogo, B.G.; Pogo, A.O.; Allfrey, V.G.; Mirsky, A.E. Changing patterns of histone acetylation and RNA synthesis in regeneration of the liver. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1968**, *59*, 1337–1344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Gavazzo, P.; Vergani, L.; Mascetti, G.C.; Nicolini, C. Effects of histone acetylation on chromatin structure. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **1997**, *64*, 466–475. [CrossRef]
- 44. Ogryzko, V.V.; Schiltz, R.L.; Russanova, V.; Howard, B.H.; Nakatani, Y. The transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP are histone acetyltransferases. *Cell* **1996**, *87*, 953–959. [CrossRef]
- 45. Bannister, A.J.; Kouzarides, T. The CBP co-activator is a histone acetyltransferase. *Nature* **1996**, *384*, 641–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 46. Borrow, J.; Stanton, V.P., Jr.; Andresen, J.M.; Becher, R.; Behm, F.G.; Chaganti, R.S.; Civin, C.I.; Disteche, C.; Dubé, I.; Frischauf, A.M.; et al. The translocation t(8;16)(p11;p13) of acute myeloid leukaemia fuses a putative acetyltransferase to the CREB-binding protein. *Nat. Genet.* **1996**, *14*, 33–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Inoue, M.; Isomura, M.; Ikegawa, S.; Fujiwara, T.; Shin, S.; Moriya, H.; Nakamura, Y. Isolation and characterization of a human cDNA clone (GCN5L1) homologous to GCN5, a yeast transcription activator. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* **1996**, *73*, 134–136.
- 48. Kamine, J.; Elangovan, B.; Subramanian, T.; Coleman, D.; Chinnadurai, G. Identification of a cellular protein that specifically interacts with the essential cysteine region of the HIV-1 Tat transactivator. *Virology* **1996**, *216*, 357–366. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ruiz-García, A.B.; Sendra, R.; Galiana, M.; Pamblanco, M.; Pérez-Ortín, J.E.; Tordera, V. HAT1 and HAT2 proteins are components of a yeast nuclear histone acetyltransferase enzyme specific for free histone H4. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 12599–12605. [CrossRef]
- 50. Yang, W.M.; Yao, Y.L.; Sun, J.M.; Davie, J.R.; Seto, E. Isolation and characterization of cDNAs corresponding to an additional member of the human histone deacetylase gene family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1997**, 272, 28001–28007. [CrossRef]
- Wang, A.H.; Bertos, N.R.; Vezmar, M.; Pelletier, N.; Crosato, M.; Heng, H.H.; Th'ng, J.; Han, J.; Yang, X.J. HDAC4, a human histone deacetylase related to yeast HDA1, is a transcriptional corepressor. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 1999, 19, 7816–7827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Grozinger, C.M.; Hassig, C.A.; Schreiber, S.L. Three proteins define a class of human histone deacetylases related to yeast Hda1p. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1999**, *96*, 4868–4873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Tong, J.J.; Liu, J.; Bertos, N.R.; Yang, X.J. Identification of HDAC10, a novel class II human histone deacetylase containing a leucine-rich domain. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2002**, *30*, 1114–1123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Fischer, D.D.; Cai, R.; Bhatia, U.; Asselbergs, F.A.; Song, C.; Terry, R.; Trogani, N.; Widmer, R.; Atadja, P.; Cohen, D. Isolation and characterization of a novel class II histone deacetylase, HDAC10. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, 277, 6656–6666. [CrossRef]
- 55. Guardiola, A.R.; Yao, T.P. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel histone deacetylase HDAC10. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, *277*, 3350–3356. [CrossRef]
- 56. Gao, L.; Cueto, M.A.; Asselbergs, F.; Atadja, P. Cloning and functional characterization of HDAC11, a novel member of the human histone deacetylase family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, 277, 25748–25755. [CrossRef]
- 57. Furukawa, Y.; Kawakami, T.; Sudo, K.; Inazawa, J.; Matsumine, A.; Akiyama, T.; Nakamura, Y. Isolation and mapping of a human gene (RPD3L1) that is homologous to RPD3, a transcription factor in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* **1996**, *73*, 130–133. [CrossRef]
- 58. Taunton, J.; Hassig, C.A.; Schreiber, S.L. A mammalian histone deacetylase related to the yeast transcriptional regulator Rpd3p. *Science* **1996**, 272, 408–411. [CrossRef]
- Yang, W.M.; Inouye, C.; Zeng, Y.; Bearss, D.; Seto, E. Transcriptional repression by YY1 is mediated by interaction with a mammalian homolog of the yeast global regulator RPD3. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1996, 93, 12845–12850. [CrossRef]
- 60. Brachmann, C.B.; Sherman, J.M.; Devine, S.E.; Cameron, E.E.; Pillus, L.; Boeke, J.D. The SIR2 gene family, conserved from bacteria to humans, functions in silencing, cell cycle progression, and chromosome stability. *Genes Dev.* **1995**, *9*, 2888–2902. [CrossRef]
- 61. Kao, H.Y.; Downes, M.; Ordentlich, P.; Evans, R.M. Isolation of a novel histone deacetylase reveals that class I and class II deacetylases promote SMRT-mediated repression. *Genes Dev.* **2000**, *14*, 55–66.
- 62. Buggy, J.J.; Sideris, M.L.; Mak, P.; Lorimer, D.D.; McIntosh, B.; Clark, J.M. Cloning and characterization of a novel human histone deacetylase, HDAC8. *Biochem. J.* **2000**, *350 Pt* 1, 199–205. [CrossRef]
- 63. Hu, E.; Chen, Z.; Fredrickson, T.; Zhu, Y.; Kirkpatrick, R.; Zhang, G.F.; Johanson, K.; Sung, C.M.; Liu, R.; Winkler, J. Cloning and characterization of a novel human class I histone deacetylase that functions as a transcription repressor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 15254–15264. [CrossRef]
- 64. Zhou, X.; Richon, V.M.; Rifkind, R.A.; Marks, P.A. Identification of a transcriptional repressor related to the noncatalytic domain of histone deacetylases 4 and 5. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2000**, *97*, 1056–1061. [CrossRef]
- 65. Frye, R.A. Phylogenetic classification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic Sir2-like proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2000**, *273*, 793–798. [CrossRef]
- 66. Gu, W.; Roeder, R.G. Activation of p53 sequence-specific DNA binding by acetylation of the p53 C-terminal domain. *Cell* **1997**, *90*, 595–606. [CrossRef]

- 67. Luo, J.; Su, F.; Chen, D.; Shiloh, A.; Gu, W. Deacetylation of p53 modulates its effect on cell growth and apoptosis. *Nature* **2000**, *408*, 377–381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Allis, C.D.; Berger, S.L.; Cote, J.; Dent, S.; Jenuwien, T.; Kouzarides, T.; Pillus, L.; Reinberg, D.; Shi, Y.; Shiekhattar, R.; et al. New nomenclature for chromatin-modifying enzymes. *Cell* 2007, 131, 633–636. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Aasland, R.; Stewart, A.F. The chromo shadow domain, a second chromo domain in heterochromatin-binding protein 1, HP1. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1995**, *23*, 3168–3173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Delmas, V.; Stokes, D.G.; Perry, R.P. A mammalian DNA-binding protein that contains a chromodomain and an SNF2/SWI2-like helicase domain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1993**, *90*, 2414–2418. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Goebl, M.G. The bmi-1 and mel-18 gene products define a new family of DNA-binding proteins involved in cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. *Cell* **1991**, *66*, 623. [CrossRef]
- 72. Hamvas, R.M.; Reik, W.; Gaunt, S.J.; Brown, S.D.; Singh, P.B. Mapping of a mouse homolog of a heterochromatin protein gene the X chromosome. *Mamm. Genome* **1992**, *2*, 72–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Kamischke, A.; Gromoll, J.; Simoni, M.; Behre, H.M.; Nieschlag, E. Transmission of a Y chromosomal deletion involving the deleted in azoospermia (DAZ) and chromodomain (CDY1) genes from father to son through intracytoplasmic sperm injection: Case report. *Hum. Reprod.* **1999**, *14*, 2320–2322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kuzmichev, A.; Nishioka, K.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Tempst, P.; Reinberg, D. Histone methyltransferase activity associated with a human multiprotein complex containing the Enhancer of Zeste protein. *Genes Dev.* 2002, *16*, 2893–2905. [CrossRef]
- 75. Lahn, B.T.; Tang, Z.L.; Zhou, J.; Barndt, R.J.; Parvinen, M.; Allis, C.D.; Page, D.C. Previously uncharacterized histone acetyltransferases implicated in mammalian spermatogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2002**, *99*, 8707–8712. [CrossRef]
- Lovering, R.; Hanson, I.M.; Borden, K.L.; Martin, S.; O'Reilly, N.J.; Evan, G.I.; Rahman, D.; Pappin, D.J.; Trowsdale, J.; Freemont, P.S. Identification and preliminary characterization of a protein motif related to the zinc finger. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1993, *90*, 2112–2116. [CrossRef]
- 77. Müller, J.; Hart, C.M.; Francis, N.J.; Vargas, M.L.; Sengupta, A.; Wild, B.; Miller, E.L.; O'Connor, M.B.; Kingston, R.E.; Simon, J.A. Histone methyltransferase activity of a Drosophila Polycomb group repressor complex. *Cell* **2002**, *111*, 197–208. [CrossRef]
- Ozaki, T.; Nagase, T.; Ichimiya, S.; Seki, N.; Ohiri, M.; Nomura, N.; Takada, N.; Sakiyama, S.; Weber, B.L.; Nakagawara, A. NFBD1/KIAA0170 is a novel nuclear transcriptional transactivator with BRCT domain. DNA Cell Biol. 2000, 19, 475–485. [CrossRef]
- 79. Paro, R.; Hogness, D.S. The Polycomb protein shares a homologous domain with a heterochromatin-associated protein of Drosophila. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1991**, *88*, 263–267. [CrossRef]
- 80. Pearce, J.J.; Singh, P.B.; Gaunt, S.J. The mouse has a Polycomb-like chromobox gene. *Development* **1992**, 114, 921–929.
- 81. Repping, S.; Skaletsky, H.; Lange, J.; Silber, S.; Van Der Veen, F.; Oates, R.D.; Page, D.C.; Rozen, S. Recombination between palindromes P5 and P1 on the human Y chromosome causes massive deletions and spermatogenic failure. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2002**, *71*, 906–922. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Roth, D.; Morgan, A.; Martin, H.; Jones, D.; Martens, G.J.; Aitken, A.; Burgoyne, R.D. Characterization of 14-3-3 proteins in adrenal chromaffin cells and demonstration of isoform-specific phospholipid binding. *Biochem. J.* 1994, 301 Pt 1, 305–310. [CrossRef]
- Sakamoto, I.; Kishida, S.; Fukui, A.; Kishida, M.; Yamamoto, H.; Hino, S.; Michiue, T.; Takada, S.; Asashima, M.; Kikuchi, A. A novel beta-catenin-binding protein inhibits beta-catenin-dependent Tcf activation and axis formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000, 275, 32871–32878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Satijn, D.P.; Olson, D.J.; van der Vlag, J.; Hamer, K.M.; Lambrechts, C.; Masselink, H.; Gunster, M.J.; Sewalt, R.G.; van Driel, R.; Otte, A.P. Interference with the expression of a novel human polycomb protein, hPc2, results in cellular transformation and apoptosis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1997**, *17*, 6076–6086. [CrossRef]
- Schumacher, A.; Lichtarge, O.; Schwartz, S.; Magnuson, T. The murine Polycomb-group gene eed and its human orthologue: Functional implications of evolutionary conservation. *Genomics* 1998, 54, 79–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schuster, E.F.; Stöger, R. CHD5 defines a new subfamily of chromodomain-SWI2/SNF2-like helicases. Mamm. Genome 2002, 13, 117–119. [CrossRef]

- Thompson, K.A.; Wang, B.; Argraves, W.S.; Giancotti, F.G.; Schranck, D.P.; Ruoslahti, E. BR140, a novel zinc-finger protein with homology to the TAF250 subunit of TFIID. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1994, 198, 1143–1152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Van Lohuizen, M.; Frasch, M.; Wientjens, E.; Berns, A. Sequence similarity between the mammalian bmi-1 proto-oncogene and the Drosophila regulatory genes Psc and Su(z)2. *Nature* **1991**, *353*, 353–355. [CrossRef]
- 89. Vissers, L.E.; van Ravenswaaij, C.M.; Admiraal, R.; Hurst, J.A.; de Vries, B.B.; Janssen, I.M.; van der Vliet, W.A.; Huys, E.H.; de Jong, P.J.; Hamel, B.C.; et al. Mutations in a new member of the chromodomain gene family cause CHARGE syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* **2004**, *36*, 955–957. [CrossRef]
- 90. Walter-Yohrling, J.; Cao, X.; Callahan, M.; Weber, W.; Morgenbesser, S.; Madden, S.L.; Wang, C.; Teicher, B.A. Identification of genes expressed in malignant cells that promote invasion. *Cancer Res.* **2003**, *63*, 8939–8947.
- 91. Woodage, T.; Basrai, M.A.; Baxevanis, A.D.; Hieter, P.; Collins, F.S. Characterization of the CHD family of proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1997**, *94*, 11472–11477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ye, Q.; Worman, H.J. Interaction between an integral protein of the nuclear envelope inner membrane and human chromodomain proteins homologous to Drosophila HP1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, 271, 14653–14656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Lachner, M.; O'Carroll, D.; Rea, S.; Mechtler, K.; Jenuwein, T. Methylation of histone H3 lysine 9 creates a binding site for HP1 proteins. *Nature* 2001, *410*, 116–120. [CrossRef]
- 94. Zhao, T.; Heyduk, T.; Allis, C.D.; Eissenberg, J.C. Heterochromatin protein 1 binds to nucleosomes and DNA in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 28332–28338. [PubMed]
- 95. James, T.C.; Elgin, S.C. Identification of a nonhistone chromosomal protein associated with heterochromatin in Drosophila melanogaster and its gene. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1986**, *6*, 3862–3872. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Eissenberg, J.C.; James, T.C.; Foster-Hartnett, D.M.; Hartnett, T.; Ngan, V.; Elgin, S.C. Mutation in a heterochromatin-specific chromosomal protein is associated with suppression of position-effect variegation in Drosophila melanogaster. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1990**, *87*, 9923–9927. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Spofford, J.B. Parental control of position-effect variegation: I. Parental heterochromatin and expression of the white locus in compound-x drosophila melanogaster. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1959, 45, 1003–1007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 98. Smothers, J.F.; Henikoff, S. The hinge and chromo shadow domain impart distinct targeting of HP1-like proteins. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2001, 21, 2555–2569. [CrossRef]
- Bannister, A.J.; Zegerman, P.; Partridge, J.F.; Miska, E.A.; Thomas, J.O.; Allshire, R.C.; Kouzarides, T. Selective recognition of methylated lysine 9 on histone H3 by the HP1 chromo domain. *Nature* 2001, 410, 120–124. [CrossRef]
- 100. Aagaard, L.; Laible, G.; Selenko, P.; Schmid, M.; Dorn, R.; Schotta, G.; Kuhfittig, S.; Wolf, A.; Lebersorger, A.; Singh, P.B.; et al. Functional mammalian homologues of the Drosophila PEV-modifier Su(var)3-9 encode centromere-associated proteins which complex with the heterochromatin component M31. *EMBO J.* **1999**, *18*, 1923–1938. [CrossRef]
- 101. Abel, K.J.; Brody, L.C.; Valdes, J.M.; Erdos, M.R.; McKinley, D.R.; Castilla, L.H.; Merajver, S.D.; Couch, F.J.; Friedman, L.S.; Ostermeyer, E.A.; et al. Characterization of EZH1, a human homolog of Drosophila Enhancer of zeste near BRCA1. *Genomics* **1996**, *37*, 161–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Akao, Y.; Tsujimoto, Y.; Seto, M.; Imai, T.; Bergeron, D.; Berbeau, B.; Otsuki, Y. Long-range mapping of the 11q23 region involved in chromosome aberrations in human tumors by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis with a yeast artificial chromosome. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **1993**, *8*, 167–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Angrand, P.O.; Apiou, F.; Stewart, A.F.; Dutrillaux, B.; Losson, R.; Chambon, P. NSD3, a new SET domain-containing gene, maps to 8p12 and is amplified in human breast cancer cell lines. *Genomics* 2001, 74, 79–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Chen, H.; Rossier, C.; Antonarakis, S.E. Cloning of a human homolog of the Drosophila enhancer of zeste gene (EZH2) that maps to chromosome 21q22.2. *Genomics* **1996**, *38*, 30–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Chesi, M.; Nardini, E.; Lim, R.S.; Smith, K.D.; Kuehl, W.M.; Bergsagel, P.L. The t(4;14) translocation in myeloma dysregulates both FGFR3 and a novel gene, MMSET, resulting in IgH/MMSET hybrid transcripts. *Blood* 1998, 92, 3025–3034. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Fang, J.; Feng, Q.; Ketel, C.S.; Wang, H.; Cao, R.; Xia, L.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Tempst, P.; Simon, J.A.; Zhang, Y. Purification and functional characterization of SET8, a nucleosomal histone H4-lysine 20-specific methyltransferase. *Curr. Biol.* 2002, *12*, 1086–1099. [CrossRef]

- 107. Feng, Q.; Wang, H.; Ng, H.H.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Tempst, P.; Struhl, K.; Zhang, Y. Methylation of H3-lysine 79 is mediated by a new family of HMTases without a SET domain. *Curr. Biol.* 2002, 12, 1052–1058. [CrossRef]
- FitzGerald, K.T.; Diaz, M.O. MLL2: A new mammalian member of the trx/MLL family of genes. *Genomics* 1999, 59, 187–192. [CrossRef]
- Frankel, A.; Yadav, N.; Lee, J.; Branscombe, T.L.; Clarke, S.; Bedford, M.T. The novel human protein arginine N-methyltransferase PRMT6 is a nuclear enzyme displaying unique substrate specificity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 3537–3543. [CrossRef]
- 110. Goo, Y.H.; Sohn, Y.C.; Kim, D.H.; Kim, S.W.; Kang, M.J.; Jung, D.J.; Kwak, E.; Barlev, N.A.; Berger, S.L.; Chow, V.T.; et al. Activating signal cointegrator 2 belongs to a novel steady-state complex that contains a subset of trithorax group proteins. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2003**, *23*, 140–149. [CrossRef]
- 111. Hamamoto, R.; Furukawa, Y.; Morita, M.; Iimura, Y.; Silva, F.P.; Li, M.; Yagyu, R.; Nakamura, Y. SMYD3 encodes a histone methyltransferase involved in the proliferation of cancer cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2004, *6*, 731–740. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Harte, P.J.; Wu, W.; Carrasquillo, M.M.; Matera, A.G. Assignment of a novel bifurcated SET domain gene, SETDB1, to human chromosome band 1q21 by in situ hybridization and radiation hybrids. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* **1999**, *84*, 83–86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Jaju, R.J.; Fidler, C.; Haas, O.A.; Strickson, A.J.; Watkins, F.; Clark, K.; Cross, N.C.; Cheng, J.F.; Aplan, P.D.; Kearney, L.; et al. A novel gene, NSD1, is fused to NUP98 in the t(5;11)(q35;p15.5) in de novo childhood acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2001, *98*, 1264–1267. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Kurotaki, N.; Harada, N.; Yoshiura, K.; Sugano, S.; Niikawa, N.; Matsumoto, N. Molecular characterization of NSD1, a human homologue of the mouse Nsd1 gene. *Gene* **2001**, *279*, 197–204. [CrossRef]
- Lee, J.H.; Skalnik, D.G. CpG-binding protein (CXXC finger protein 1) is a component of the mammalian Set1 histone H3-Lys4 methyltransferase complex, the analogue of the yeast Set1/COMPASS complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2005, 280, 41725–41731. [CrossRef]
- 116. Lee, J.H.; Tate, C.M.; You, J.S.; Skalnik, D.G. Identification and characterization of the human Set1B histone H3-Lys4 methyltransferase complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2007**, *282*, 13419–13428. [CrossRef]
- 117. Miranda, T.B.; Miranda, M.; Frankel, A.; Clarke, S. PRMT7 is a member of the protein arginine methyltransferase family with a distinct substrate specificity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, 279, 22902–22907. [CrossRef]
- 118. O'Carroll, D.; Scherthan, H.; Peters, A.H.; Opravil, S.; Haynes, A.R.; Laible, G.; Rea, S.; Schmid, M.; Lebersorger, A.; Jerratsch, M.; et al. Isolation and characterization of Suv39h2, a second histone H3 methyltransferase gene that displays testis-specific expression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2000, 20, 9423–9433. [CrossRef]
- 119. Ogawa, H.; Ishiguro, K.; Gaubatz, S.; Livingston, D.M.; Nakatani, Y. A complex with chromatin modifiers that occupies E2F- and Myc-responsive genes in G0 cells. *Science* **2002**, *296*, 1132–1136. [CrossRef]
- 120. Schotta, G.; Lachner, M.; Sarma, K.; Ebert, A.; Sengupta, R.; Reuter, G.; Reinberg, D.; Jenuwein, T. A silencing pathway to induce H3-K9 and H4-K20 trimethylation at constitutive heterochromatin. *Genes Dev.* 2004, 18, 1251–1262. [CrossRef]
- Schurter, B.T.; Koh, S.S.; Chen, D.; Bunick, G.J.; Harp, J.M.; Hanson, B.L.; Henschen-Edman, A.; Mackay, D.R.; Stallcup, M.R.; Aswad, D.W. Methylation of histone H3 by coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase
 Biochemistry 2001, 40, 5747–5756. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Strahl, B.D.; Grant, P.A.; Briggs, S.D.; Sun, Z.W.; Bone, J.R.; Caldwell, J.A.; Mollah, S.; Cook, R.G.; Shabanowitz, J.; Hunt, D.F.; et al. Set2 is a nucleosomal histone H3-selective methyltransferase that mediates transcriptional repression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2002**, *22*, 1298–1306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Sun, X.J.; Xu, P.F.; Zhou, T.; Hu, M.; Fu, C.T.; Zhang, Y.; Jin, Y.; Chen, Y.; Chen, S.J.; Huang, Q.H.; et al. Genome-wide survey and developmental expression mapping of zebrafish SET domain-containing genes. *PLoS ONE* 2008, 3, e1499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Tachibana, M.; Sugimoto, K.; Fukushima, T.; Shinkai, Y. Set domain-containing protein, G9a, is a novel lysine-preferring mammalian histone methyltransferase with hyperactivity and specific selectivity to lysines 9 and 27 of histone H3. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 25309–25317. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Wang, H.; Cao, R.; Xia, L.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Borchers, C.; Tempst, P.; Zhang, Y. Purification and functional characterization of a histone H3-lysine 4-specific methyltransferase. *Mol. Cell* **2001**, *8*, 1207–1217. [CrossRef]
- 126. Zhang, W.; Hayashizaki, Y.; Kone, B.C. Structure and regulation of the mDot1 gene, a mouse histone H3 methyltransferase. *Biochem. J.* **2004**, *377 Pt 3*, 641–651. [CrossRef]

- 127. Cao, R.; Wang, L.; Wang, H.; Xia, L.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Tempst, P.; Jones, R.S.; Zhang, Y. Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science* **2002**, *298*, 1039–1043. [CrossRef]
- 128. Su, I.H.; Basavaraj, A.; Krutchinsky, A.N.; Hobert, O.; Ullrich, A.; Chait, B.T.; Tarakhovsky, A. Ezh2 controls B cell development through histone H3 methylation and Igh rearrangement. *Nat. Immunol.* 2003, 4, 124–131. [CrossRef]
- Shen, X.; Liu, Y.; Hsu, Y.J.; Fujiwara, Y.; Kim, J.; Mao, X.; Yuan, G.C.; Orkin, S.H. EZH1 mediates methylation on histone H3 lysine 27 and complements EZH2 in maintaining stem cell identity and executing pluripotency. *Mol. Cell* 2008, 32, 491–502. [CrossRef]
- 130. Cao, R.; Zhang, Y. SUZ12 is required for both the histone methyltransferase activity and the silencing function of the EED-EZH2 complex. *Mol. Cell* **2004**, *15*, 57–67. [CrossRef]
- Herz, H.M.; Garruss, A.; Shilatifard, A. SET for life: Biochemical activities and biological functions of SET domain-containing proteins. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2013, *38*, 621–639. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chuikov, S.; Kurash, J.K.; Wilson, J.R.; Xiao, B.; Justin, N.; Ivanov, G.S.; McKinney, K.; Tempst, P.; Prives, C.; Gamblin, S.J.; et al. Regulation of p53 activity through lysine methylation. *Nature* 2004, 432, 353–360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Paik, W.K.; Kim, S. Enzymatic demethylation of calf thymus histones. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1973, 51, 781–788. [CrossRef]
- 134. Allis, C.D.; Bowen, J.K.; Abraham, G.N.; Glover, C.V.; Gorovsky, M.A. Proteolytic processing of histone H3 in chromatin: A physiologically regulated event in Tetrahymena micronuclei. *Cell* **1980**, *20*, 55–64. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, K.; Henikoff, S. The histone variant H3.3 marks active chromatin by replication-independent nucleosome assembly. *Mol. Cell* 2002, *9*, 1191–1200. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, K.; Henikoff, S. Histone H3 variants specify modes of chromatin assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, 99 (Suppl. S4), 16477–16484. [CrossRef]
- 137. Briggs, S.D.; Bryk, M.; Strahl, B.D.; Cheung, W.L.; Davie, J.K.; Dent, S.Y.; Winston, F.; Allis, C.D. Histone H3 lysine 4 methylation is mediated by Set1 and required for cell growth and rDNA silencing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genes Dev.* 2001, *15*, 3286–3295. [CrossRef]
- 138. Johnson, L.; Mollah, S.; Garcia, B.A.; Muratore, T.L.; Shabanowitz, J.; Hunt, D.F.; Jacobsen, S.E. Mass spectrometry analysis of Arabidopsis histone H3 reveals distinct combinations of post-translational modifications. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2004**, *32*, 6511–6518. [CrossRef]
- 139. Shi, Y.; Lan, F.; Matson, C.; Mulligan, P.; Whetstine, J.R.; Cole, P.A.; Casero, R.A. Histone demethylation mediated by the nuclear amine oxidase homolog LSD1. *Cell* **2004**, *119*, 941–953. [CrossRef]
- 140. Agger, K.; Cloos, P.A.; Christensen, J.; Pasini, D.; Rose, S.; Rappsilber, J.; Issaeva, I.; Canaani, E.; Salcini, A.E.; Helin, K. UTX and JMJD3 are histone H3K27 demethylases involved in HOX gene regulation and development. *Nature* 2007, 449, 731–734. [CrossRef]
- 141. Christensen, J.; Agger, K.; Cloos, P.A.; Pasini, D.; Rose, S.; Sennels, L.; Rappsilber, J.; Hansen, K.H.; Salcini, A.E.; Helin, K. RBP2 belongs to a family of demethylases, specific for tri-and dimethylated lysine 4 on histone 3. *Cell* 2007, 128, 1063–1076. [CrossRef]
- 142. Karytinos, A.; Forneris, F.; Profumo, A.; Ciossani, G.; Battaglioli, E.; Binda, C.; Mattevi, A. A novel mammalian flavin-dependent histone demethylase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*, 17775–17782. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Katoh, M. Identification and characterization of JMJD2 family genes in silico. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2004, 24, 1623–1628. [PubMed]
- Katoh, M. Comparative integromics on JMJD1C gene encoding histone demethylase: Conserved POU5F1 binding site elucidating mechanism of JMJD1C expression in undifferentiated ES cells and diffuse-type gastric cancer. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2007, *31*, 219–223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Noma, K.; Allis, C.D.; Grewal, S.I. Transitions in distinct histone H3 methylation patterns at the heterochromatin domain boundaries. *Science* 2001, 293, 1150–1155. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Seward, D.J.; Cubberley, G.; Kim, S.; Schonewald, M.; Zhang, L.; Tripet, B.; Bentley, D.L. Demethylation of trimethylated histone H3 Lys4 in vivo by JARID1 JmjC proteins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2007, 14, 240–242. [CrossRef]
- 147. Tsukada, Y.; Fang, J.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Warren, M.E.; Borchers, C.H.; Tempst, P.; Zhang, Y. Histone demethylation by a family of JmjC domain-containing proteins. *Nature* **2006**, *439*, 811–816. [CrossRef]
- 148. Yamane, K.; Toumazou, C.; Tsukada, Y.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Tempst, P.; Wong, J.; Zhang, Y. JHDM2A, a JmjC-containing H3K9 demethylase, facilitates transcription activation by androgen receptor. *Cell* 2006, 125, 483–495. [CrossRef]

- 149. Cao, J.; Yan, Q. Histone ubiquitination and deubiquitination in transcription, DNA damage response, and cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 2012, 2, 26. [CrossRef]
- 150. Shiio, Y.; Eisenman, R.N. Histone sumoylation is associated with transcriptional repression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, *100*, 13225–13230. [CrossRef]
- 151. Karch, K.R.; Langelier, M.F.; Pascal, J.M.; Garcia, B.A. The nucleosomal surface is the main target of histone ADP-ribosylation in response to DNA damage. *Mol. Biosyst.* **2017**, *13*, 2660–2671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 152. West, M.H.; Bonner, W.M. Histone 2A, a heteromorphous family of eight protein species. *Biochemistry* **1980**, 19, 3238–3245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 153. Redon, C.; Pilch, D.; Rogakou, E.; Sedelnikova, O.; Newrock, K.; Bonner, W. Histone H2A variants H2AX and H2AZ. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 2002, 12, 162–169. [CrossRef]
- 154. Sevilla, A.; Binda, O. Post-translational modifications of the histone variant H2AZ. *Stem Cell Res.* **2014**, *12*, 289–295. [CrossRef]
- 155. Behjati, S.; Tarpey, P.S.; Presneau, N.; Scheipl, S.; Pillay, N.; Van Loo, P.; Wedge, D.C.; Cooke, S.L.; Gundem, G.; Davies, H.; et al. Distinct H3F3A and H3F3B driver mutations define chondroblastoma and giant cell tumor of bone. *Nat. Genet.* **2013**, *45*, 1479–1482. [CrossRef]
- 156. Schwartzentruber, J.; Korshunov, A.; Liu, X.Y.; Jones, D.T.; Pfaff, E.; Jacob, K.; Sturm, D.; Fontebasso, A.M.; Quang, D.A.; Tönjes, M.; et al. Driver mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodelling genes in paediatric glioblastoma. *Nature* **2012**, *482*, 226–231. [CrossRef]
- 157. Justin, N.; Zhang, Y.; Tarricone, C.; Martin, S.R.; Chen, S.; Underwood, E.; De Marco, V.; Haire, L.F.; Walker, P.A.; Reinberg, D.; et al. Structural basis of oncogenic histone H3K27M inhibition of human polycomb repressive complex 2. *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 11316. [CrossRef]
- 158. Van der Krol, A.R.; Mur, L.A.; Beld, M.; Mol, J.N.; Stuitje, A.R. Flavonoid genes in petunia: Addition of a limited number of gene copies may lead to a suppression of gene expression. *Plant Cell* **1990**, *2*, 291–299.
- Van der Krol, A.R.; Mur, L.A.; de Lange, P.; Mol, J.N.; Stuitje, A.R. Inhibition of flower pigmentation by antisense CHS genes: Promoter and minimal sequence requirements for the antisense effect. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 1990, 14, 457–466. [CrossRef]
- Cogoni, C.; Macino, G. Post-transcriptional gene silencing across kingdoms. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 2000, 10, 638–643. [CrossRef]
- Baulcombe, D.C. Mechanisms of Pathogen-Derived Resistance to Viruses in Transgenic Plants. *Plant Cell* 1996, 8, 1833–1844. [CrossRef]
- 162. Fire, A.; Xu, S.; Montgomery, M.K.; Kostas, S.A.; Driver, S.E.; Mello, C.C. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* 1998, 391, 806–811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Fagard, M.; Boutet, S.; Morel, J.B.; Bellini, C.; Vaucheret, H. AGO1, QDE-2, and RDE-1 are related proteins required for post-transcriptional gene silencing in plants, quelling in fungi, and RNA interference in animals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2000, *97*, 11650–11654. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ketting, R.F.; Fischer, S.E.; Bernstein, E.; Sijen, T.; Hannon, G.J.; Plasterk, R.H. Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in C. elegans. *Genes Dev.* 2001, 15, 2654–2659. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Knight, S.W.; Bass, B.L. A role for the RNase III enzyme DCR-1 in RNA interference and germ line development in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Science* 2001, 293, 2269–2271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 166. Lee, Y.; Ahn, C.; Han, J.; Choi, H.; Kim, J.; Yim, J.; Lee, J.; Provost, P.; Rådmark, O.; Kim, S.; et al. The nuclear RNase III Drosha initiates microRNA processing. *Nature* **2003**, *425*, 415–419. [CrossRef]
- 167. Tabara, H.; Sarkissian, M.; Kelly, W.G.; Fleenor, J.; Grishok, A.; Timmons, L.; Fire, A.; Mello, C.C. The rde-1 gene, RNA interference, and transposon silencing in C. elegans. *Cell* **1999**, *99*, 123–132. [CrossRef]
- 168. Hammond, S.M. An overview of microRNAs. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2015, 87, 3-14. [CrossRef]
- 169. Zamore, P.D.; Tuschl, T.; Sharp, P.A.; Bartel, D.P. RNAi: Double-stranded RNA directs the ATP-dependent cleavage of mRNA at 21 to 23 nucleotide intervals. *Cell* **2000**, *101*, 25–33. [CrossRef]
- 170. Lagos-Quintana, M.; Rauhut, R.; Lendeckel, W.; Tuschl, T. Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed RNAs. *Science* 2001, *294*, 853–858. [CrossRef]
- 171. Brockdorff, N.; Ashworth, A.; Kay, G.F.; Cooper, P.; Smith, S.; McCabe, V.M.; Norris, D.P.; Penny, G.D.; Patel, D.; Rastan, S. Conservation of position and exclusive expression of mouse Xist from the inactive X chromosome. *Nature* **1991**, *351*, 329–331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 172. Lyon, M.F. Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (*Mus musculus* L.). *Nature* **1961**, 190, 372–373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 173. Chureau, C.; Chantalat, S.; Romito, A.; Galvani, A.; Duret, L.; Avner, P.; Rougeulle, C. Ftx is a non-coding RNA which affects Xist expression and chromatin structure within the X-inactivation center region. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2011, 20, 705–718. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 174. Chureau, C.; Prissette, M.; Bourdet, A.; Barbe, V.; Cattolico, L.; Jones, L.; Eggen, A.; Avner, P.; Duret, L. Comparative sequence analysis of the X-inactivation center region in mouse, human, and bovine. *Genome Res.* 2002, 12, 894–908.
- Lee, J.T.; Davidow, L.S.; Warshawsky, D. Tsix, a gene antisense to Xist at the X-inactivation centre. *Nat. Genet.* 1999, 21, 400–404. [CrossRef]
- 176. Dubin, D.T.; Taylor, R.H. The methylation state of poly A-containing messenger RNA from cultured hamster cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1975**, *2*, 1653–1668. [CrossRef]
- 177. Bokar, J.A.; Shambaugh, M.E.; Polayes, D.; Matera, A.G.; Rottman, F.M. Purification and cDNA cloning of the AdoMet-binding subunit of the human mRNA (N6-adenosine)-methyltransferase. *RNA* **1997**, *3*, 1233–1247.
- Lin, S.; Gregory, R.I. Methyltransferases modulate RNA stability in embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2014, 16, 129–131. [CrossRef]
- 179. Wang, Y.; Li, Y.; Toth, J.I.; Petroski, M.D.; Zhang, Z.; Zhao, J.C. N6-methyladenosine modification destabilizes developmental regulators in embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2014**, *16*, 191–198. [CrossRef]
- Niu, Y.; Zhao, X.; Wu, Y.S.; Li, M.M.; Wang, X.J.; Yang, Y.G. N6-methyl-adenosine (m6A) in RNA: An old modification with a novel epigenetic function. *Genom. Proteom. Bioinform.* 2013, 11, 8–17. [CrossRef]
- Shen, L.; Song, C.X.; He, C.; Zhang, Y. Mechanism and function of oxidative reversal of DNA and RNA methylation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2014, 83, 585–614. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Dominissini, D.; Moshitch-Moshkovitz, S.; Schwartz, S.; Salmon-Divon, M.; Ungar, L.; Osenberg, S.; Cesarkas, K.; Jacob-Hirsch, J.; Amariglio, N.; Kupiec, M.; et al. Topology of the human and mouse m6A RNA methylomes revealed by m6A-seq. *Nature* 2012, 485, 201–206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Desrosiers, R.; Friderici, K.; Rottman, F. Identification of methylated nucleosides in messenger RNA from Novikoff hepatoma cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1974, 71, 3971–3975. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 184. Goll, M.G.; Kirpekar, F.; Maggert, K.A.; Yoder, J.A.; Hsieh, C.L.; Zhang, X.; Golic, K.G.; Jacobsen, S.E.; Bestor, T.H. Methylation of tRNAAsp by the DNA methyltransferase homolog Dnmt2. *Science* 2006, 311, 395–398. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sharma, S.; Lafontaine, D.L.J. 'View from A Bridge': A New Perspective on Eukaryotic rRNA Base Modification. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2015, 40, 560–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Konno, M.; Koseki, J.; Asai, A.; Yamagata, A.; Shimamura, T.; Motooka, D.; Okuzaki, D.; Kawamoto, K.; Mizushima, T.; Eguchi, H.; et al. Distinct methylation levels of mature microRNAs in gastrointestinal cancers. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 3888. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 187. Sorm, F.; Vesely, J. The activity of a new antimetabolite, 5-azacytidine, against lymphoid leukaemia in ak mice. *Neoplasma* **1964**, *11*, 123–130.
- 188. Von Hoff, D.D.; Slavik, M.; Muggia, F.M. 5-Azacytidine. A new anticancer drug with effectiveness in acute myelogenous leukemia. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **1976**, *85*, 237–245. [CrossRef]
- 189. Kaminskas, E.; Farrell, A.T.; Wang, Y.C.; Sridhara, R.; Pazdur, R. FDA drug approval summary: Azacitidine (5-azacytidine, Vidaza) for injectable suspension. *Oncologist* **2005**, *10*, 176–182. [CrossRef]
- 190. Yoshida, M.; Kijima, M.; Akita, M.; Beppu, T. Potent and specific inhibition of mammalian histone deacetylase both in vivo and in vitro by trichostatin A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, *265*, 17174–17179.
- 191. Mann, B.S.; Johnson, J.R.; Cohen, M.H.; Justice, R.; Pazdur, R. FDA approval summary: Vorinostat for treatment of advanced primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Oncologist* **2007**, *12*, 1247–1252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).