

Effect of Levetiracetam use duration on overall survival of isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype glioblastoma in adults: an observational study

Johan Pallud, Gilles Huberfeld, Edouard Dezamis, Sophie Peeters, Alessandro Moiraghi, Martine Gavaret, Eléonore Guinard, Frédéric Dhermain, Pascale Varlet, Catherine Oppenheim, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Johan Pallud, Gilles Huberfeld, Edouard Dezamis, Sophie Peeters, Alessandro Moiraghi, et al.. Effect of Levetiracetam use duration on overall survival of isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype glioblastoma in adults: an observational study. Neurology, 2021, pp.10.1212/WNL.000000000013005. 10.1212/WNL.000000000013005 inserm-03421138

HAL Id: inserm-03421138 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03421138

Submitted on 9 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effect of Levetiracetam use duration on overall survival of isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype glioblastoma in adults: an observational study

Author(s):

Johan Pallud, MD, PhD^{1, 2, 3}; Gilles Huberfeld, MD, PhD^{4, 5}; Edouard Dezamis, MD, MSc^{1, 2, 3}; Sophie Peeters, MD⁶; Alessandro Moiraghi, MD^{1, 2}; Martine Gavaret, MD, PhD^{2, 3, 7}; Eléonore Guinard, MD, MSc^{2, 3, 7}; Frédéric Dhermain, MD, PhD⁸; pascale VARLET, MD, PhD^{2, 3, 9}; Catherine Oppenheim, MD, PhD^{2, 3, 10}; Fabrice Chrétien, MD, PhD^{2, 9}; Alexandre Roux, MD, PhD^{1, 2, 3}; Marc ZANELLO, MD, PhD^{1, 2, 3}

Corresponding Author:

Johan Pallud

johanpallud@hotmail.com

Affiliation Information for All Authors: 1. Department of Neurosurgery, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France 2. Université de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France 3. Inserm, U1266, IMA-Brain, Institut de Psychiatrie et Neurosciences de Paris, Paris, France 4. Neurology Department, Hopital Fondation Adolphe de Rothschild, 29 rue Main, 75019 Paris, France 5. Neuroglial Interactions in Cerebral Physiopathology, Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Biology, Collège de France, CNRS UMR 7241, INSERM U1050, Université PSL Paris, France 6. Department of Neurosurgery, University of California, Los Angeles - Los Angeles, CA, USA 7. Department of Neurophysiology, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France 8. Department of Radiotherapy, Gustave Roussy University Hospital, Villejuif, France 9. Department of Neuropathology, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France 10. Department of Neuroradiology, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France

Contributions:

Johan Pallud: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data; Study concept or design; Analysis or interpretation of data

Gilles Huberfeld: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Analysis or interpretation of data

Edouard Dezamis: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Analysis or interpretation of data

Sophie Peeters: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content

Alessandro Moiraghi: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data

Martine Gavaret: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content

Eléonore Guinard: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content

Frédéric Dhermain: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content

pascale VARLET: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data

Catherine Oppenheim: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content

Fabrice Chrétien: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data

Alexandre Roux: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data; Analysis or interpretation of data

Marc ZANELLO: Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; Major role in the acquisition of data; Analysis or interpretation of data

Number of characters in title: 140

Abstract Word count: 349

Word count of main text: 3449

References: 39

Figures: 1

Tables: 4

Statistical Analysis performed by: Johan PALLUD, MD, PhD

Search Terms: [14] All Clinical Neurology, [60] All Epilepsy/Seizures, [61] Antiepileptic drugs, [214] Primary brain tumor

Acknowledgements: N/A

Study Funding: The authors report no targeted funding

Disclosures: JP reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, GH reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, ED reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, AM reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, MG reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, EG reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, FD reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, PV reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, AR reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, FC reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, MZ reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript, MZ reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.

1	Effect of Levetiracetam use duration on overall survival of
2	isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype glioblastoma in adults: an
3	observational study
4	
5	Johan PALLUD MD, PhD ^{1,2,3} ; Gilles HUBERFELD, MD ^{4,5} , PhD; Edouard DEZAMIS MD,
6	MSc ^{1,2,3} ; Sophie PEETERS, MD, BA ⁶ ; Alessandro MOIRAGHI, MD ^{1,2,3} ; Martine
7	GAVARET, MD, PhD ^{2,3,7} ; Eléonore GUINARD, MD, MSc ^{2,3,7} ; Frédéric DHERMAIN, MD,
8	PhD ⁸ ; Pascale VARLET, MD, PhD ^{2,3,9} ; Catherine OPPENHEIM, MD, PhD ^{2,3,10} ; Fabrice
9	CHRETIEN, MD, PhD ^{2,9} ; Alexandre ROUX, MD, PhD ^{1,2,3*} ; Marc ZANELLO, MD, PhD ^{1,2,3*}
10	
11	1. Department of Neurosurgery, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France
12	2. Université de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
13	3. Inserm, U1266, IMA-Brain, Institut de Psychiatrie et Neurosciences de Paris, Paris, France
14	4. Neurology Department, Hôpital Fondation Adolphe de Rothschild, 29 rue Main, 75019
15	Paris, France
16	5. Neuroglial Interactions in Cerebral Physiopathology, Center for Interdisciplinary Research
17	in Biology, Collège de France, CNRS UMR 7241, INSERM U1050, Université PSL Paris,
18	France
19	6. Department of Neurosurgery, University of California, Los Angeles - Los Angeles, CA,
20	USA
21	7. Department of Neurophysiology, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France
22	8. Department of Radiotherapy, Gustave Roussy University Hospital, Villejuif, France
23	9. Department of Neuropathology, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France
24	10. Department of Neuroradiology, GHU Paris - Sainte-Anne Hospital, Paris, France

- 25 * These authors participated equally
- 26
- 27 Corresponding author information
- 28 Pr Johan PALLUD, MD, PhD
- 29 Service de Neurochirurgie
- 30 Hôpital Sainte-Anne
- 31 1, rue Cabanis
- 32 75674 Paris Cedex 14, France
- 33 Phone: 033 1 45 65 84 87
- 34 Fax: 033 1 45 65 74 28
- 35 E-mail: johanpallud@hotmail.com
- 36

37 E-mails

- 38 johanpallud@hotmail.com / gilles.huberfeld@college-de-france.fr / e.dezamis@ghu-paris.fr /
- 39 speeters@mednet.ucla.edu / alessandro.moiraghi@sfits.ch / m.gavaret@ghu-paris.fr /
- 40 <u>e.guinard@ghu-paris.fr</u> / <u>frederic.dhermain@igr.fr</u> / <u>p.varlet@ghu-paris.fr</u> /
- 41 <u>c.oppenheim@ghu-paris.fr</u> / <u>f.chretien@ghu-paris.fr</u> / <u>a.roux@ghu-paris.fr</u> / m.zanello@ghu-
- 42 paris.fr
- 43
- 44 Manuscript word count: 3445
- 45 Abstract word count: 349
- 46 Number of figures : 1
- 47 Number of color figures : 0
- 48 **Number of tables :** 4
- 49 Number of supplementary figures: 0

50	Number of supplementary tables: 0
51	
52	Abbreviation list
53	HR: Hazard Ratio
54	IDH: Isocitrate Dehydrogenase
55	MGMT: O6-Methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase
56	WHO: World Health Organization
57	
58	Funding Sources
59	None.
60	
61	Conflicts of Interest
62	None.
63	
64	Statistical Analysis conducted by Pr. Johan Pallud, MD, PhD.
65	
66	Search Terms
67	Glioblastoma
68	IDH-wildtype
69	Levetiracetam
70	O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase
71	Overall survival
72	Temozolomide
73	

74 Author contribution

- 75 JP, ED, AM, FD, PV, AR, and MZ did the data collection.
- 76 JP, GH, AR, and MZ did the data analysis.
- JP, GH, ED, SP, AM, MG, EG, FD, PV, CO, FC, AR, and MZ did the data interpretation.
- 78 JP, GH, ED, SP, AM, MG, EG, FD, PV, CO, FC, AR, and MZ wrote the report.
- JP, GH, ED, SP, AM, MG, EG, FD, PV, CO, FC, AR, and MZ reviewed and approved the
- 80 paper.
- 81
- 82 Author Disclosures
- 83 JP reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 84 GH reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 85 ED reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 86 SP reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 87 AM reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 88 MG reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 89 EG reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 90 FD reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 91 PV reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 92 CO reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 93 FC reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 94 AR reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 95 MZ reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.
- 96

98 Abstract

99 **Objectives.** The association between Levetiracetam and survival of *Isocitrate Dehydrogenase* 100 (*IDH*) wildtype glioblastomas is controversial. We investigated whether the duration of 101 Levetiracetam use during the standard chemoradiation protocol impacts overall survival of 102 *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma patients.

103 Methods. Observational single-institution cohort study (2010-2018). Inclusion criteria were: 104 1) patients \geq 18 years old; 2) newly diagnosed supratentorial tumor; 3) histomolecular 105 diagnosis of IDH-wildtype glioblastoma; 4) standard chemoradiation protocol. To assess the 106 survival benefit of Levetiracetam use during the standard chemoradiation protocol (whole 107 duration, part time, and never subgroups), a Cox proportional hazard model was constructed. 108 We performed a case-matched analysis (1:1) between patients with Levetiracetam use during 109 the whole duration of the standard chemoradiation protocol and patients with Levetiracetam 110 use part time or never according to the following criteria: sex, age, epileptic seizures at 111 diagnosis, RTOG-RPA class, tumor location, preoperative volume, extent of resection, and 112 O6-Methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase promoter methylation status. Patients with 113 unavailable O6-Methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase promoter methylation status (48.5%) 114 were excluded.

115 Results. 460 patients were included. The median overall survival was longer in the 116 116 patients with Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard chemoradiation 117 protocol (21.0 months; 95%CI, 17.2-24.0) than in the 126 patients with part time 118 Levetiracetam use (16.8 months; 95%CI, 12.4-19.0], and in the 218 patients who never 119 received Levetiracetam (16.0 months; 95%CI, 15.5-19.4; p=0.027). Levetiracetam use during 120 the whole duration of the standard chemoradiation protocol (adjusted Hazard Ratio (aHR) 121 0.69; 95%CI, 0.52-0.93; p=0.014), O6-Methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase promoter 122 methylation (aHR 0.53; 95%CI, 0.39-0.71; p<0.001), and gross total tumor resection (aHR

127	Discussion. Levetiracetam use during the whole standard chemoradiation protocol possibly
126	0.42-0.94, p=0.023).
125	use during the whole duration of the standard chemoradiation protocol (HR=0.63; 95%CI,
124	After case matching (n=54 per group), a longer overall survival was found for Levetiracetam
123	0.57; 95%CI, 0.44-0.74; p<0.001) were independent predictors of a longer overall survival.

- 128 improves overall survival of *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma patients. It should be considered in
- 129 the anti-tumor strategy of future multicentric trials.
- 130 Classification of Evidence. This study provides Class III evidence that in individuals with
- 131 IDH-wildtype glioblastoma, levetiracetam use throughout the duration of standard
- 132 chemotherapy is associated with longer median overall survival.

133 Introduction

134 Glioblastomas, especially Isocitrate Dehydrogenase (IDH) 1 and 2-wildtype, are the most 135 common malignant primary brain tumor in adults. Maximal safe resection, whenever feasible, 136 followed by the standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide is the recommended first-line treatment^{1,2}. However, it provides limited survival benefits, making 137 138 the discovery of new therapeutic targets crucial. Temozolomide is an oral alkylating agent 139 that leads to DNA methylation resulting in anti-tumor downstream effects. *IDH*-wildtype 140 glioblastomas associated with methylation of the O6-Methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase 141 (MGMT) promoter are more sensitive to Temozolomide and are associated with a better 142 prognosis³.

143 The presence of epileptic seizures at diagnosis is a predictor of longer survival in patients with diffuse gliomas ⁴⁻⁶. This clinical observation appears paradoxical since both epilepsy and 144 145 diffuse gliomas share common pathogenic mechanisms and influence each other ^{4,5,7}. 146 Furthermore, neuronal activity has been shown to promote glioma growth ^{8,9}. A first 147 hypothesis would be that less aggressive diffuse gliomas - with expected prolonged survival -148 may be more conducive to the development of epileptogenic processes, which require surviving neurons and plasticity⁷. One alternative explanation is that drug therapies targeting 149 150 the shared pathogenic mechanisms may affect both seizure and tumor control. It has been previously suggested that several antiepileptic drugs, mainly Sodium valproate ¹⁰⁻¹², 151 Levetiracetam¹³⁻¹⁵, and Perampanel¹⁶, can have anti-tumor effects and that Temozolomide 152 chemotherapy can improve seizure control^{17,18}. In patients with *IDH*-wildtype glioblastomas, 153 154 epileptic seizures are common during the course of the tumor, with incidence ranging up to 30-60%, and require long-term use of antiepileptic drugs ^{4,5}. Therefore, antiepileptic drugs are 155 156 often combined with Temozolomide during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol. 157 Levetiracetam (which mostly targets the synaptic vesicle protein 2A) has been increasingly

used as the first antiepileptic drug in the setting of glioma-related epileptic seizures due to its
high therapeutic index, favorable pharmacokinetics, absence of interaction with
chemotherapeutic drugs, tolerability, and antiemetic effects ¹⁹⁻²³.

161 Previous studies have shown contradicting results regarding the association between 162 Levetiracetam use during standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide and improved survival in patients with glioblastoma ^{13-15,24,25}. However, these previous studies 163 164 did not systematically control for the duration of Levetiracetam administration (limited to "at baseline" or "continued")²¹, the *IDH*-mutation status, and the *MGMT* promoter methylation 165 166 status. Therefore, we investigated whether Levetiracetam use during standard combined 167 chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide and the duration of Levetiracetam 168 administration (continuous, part time, or never) impact overall survival in a homogeneous 169 single-institution cohort of *IDH*-wildtype glioblastomas in adults and controlling for MGMT 170 promoter methylation status.

171 Methods

172 Study design

An observational retrospective cohort study was conducted at a neurosurgery and oncology tertiary referral center between December 2010 and December 2018. The manuscript was written according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology checklist.

177

178 **Participants**

179 Inclusion criteria were: 1) patients ≥ 18 years old; 2) newly diagnosed tumor; 3) supratentorial 180 hemispheric location; 4) histomolecular diagnosis of *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma according to 181 the 2016 WHO classification and cIMPACT-NOW update 3 with histopathological reassessment for all cases diagnosed prior to 2018^{26,27}; 5) available pre- and postoperative MRI 182 183 to quantify the extent of resection; 6) standard combined chemoradiation protocol with 184 Temozolomide as first-line therapy 1; 7) no inclusion in a clinical trial to exclude other 185 oncological treatment than standard combined chemoradiation protocol; 8) data on 186 antiepileptic drug delivery; and 9) available postoperative follow-up.

187

188 Variables and data sources

Patient- and tumor-related characteristics included: sex, age, clinical signs, Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) score, revised Radiation Therapy Oncology Group - Recursive Partitioning Analysis (RTOG-RPA) classes ²⁸, anti-epileptic drug therapy administration during standard combined chemoradiation protocol, Levetiracetam use during standard combined chemoradiation protocol, tumor location, tumor volume, *MGMT* promoter methylation status, extent of resection, and overall survival. No *post hoc* evaluation was performed for the purpose of the study.

The Levetiracetam use was defined as: 1) "during the whole duration" of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol, meaning a continuous use during the whole period of concomitant chemoradiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy cycles from surgery to the end of the sixth cycle of Temozolomide; 2) "part time" during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol, meaning a transient use, whatever its duration, shorter than the whole period of concomitant chemoradiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy with six cycles of Temozolomide; and 3) "never" during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol.

The tumor volume (cm³) was calculated using manual segmentation of abnormal signal on post-contrast T1-weighted sequence by one blinded investigator (AR) for every tumor. The extent of resection was quantified using an early postoperative MRI (within 48 hours) and performing manual segmentation of residual enhancing tumor by the same blinded investigator. A gross total resection corresponded to 100% resection of the enhancing signal on post-contrast T1-weighted sequence. All other cases were considered partial resections.

209 Overall survival was measured from the date of surgery to the date of death from any cause.

210 Surviving patients were censored at the date of last follow-up.

211

212 Statistical analyses

This study investigates whether Levetiracetam use during standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide impact overall survival of adult patients harboring an *IDH*wildtype glioblastoma (Class III evidence).

216 Descriptive statistics were given as the mean \pm standard deviation for continuous variables 217 and as a percentage for categorical variables. To compare the Levetiracetam administration 218 subgroups, univariate analyses were carried out using the chi-square or Fisher's exact tests for 219 comparing categorical variables, and the unpaired t-test or Mann–Whitney rank sum test for 220 continuous variables, as appropriate. Unadjusted survival curves for overall survival were 221 plotted by the Kaplan-Meier method, using log-rank tests to assess significance for group 222 comparison. A Cox proportional hazard model was constructed using a backward stepwise 223 approach, adjusting for predictors previously associated at the p<0.100 level with mortality in 224 unadjusted analysis.

225 To assess the survival benefit of Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the combined 226 standard chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide in *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma patients, 227 we performed a case-matched analysis (1:1) with a control group of *IDH*-wildtype 228 glioblastoma patients with Levetiracetam use part time or never during the combined standard 229 chemoradiation protocol. Patients with unavailable MGMT promoter methylation status were 230 excluded of the case matching. Each patient of the Levetiracetam use during the whole 231 duration of the combined standard chemoradiation protocol subgroup was individually 232 matched with a control patient of the Levetiracetam use part time or never during the 233 combined standard chemoradiation protocol subgroup according to the following criteria: 1) 234 sex; 2) age (within 5 years); 3) Epileptic seizures at diagnosis; 4) RTOG-RPA class (3-4 235 *versus* 5-6); 5) tumor location (same lobe); 6) preoperative volume (cutoff by median); 7) 236 extent of resection (total versus partial); and 8) MGMT promoter methylation status. In 237 addition, each patient of the Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the combined 238 standard chemoradiation protocol subgroup was individually matched with a control patient 239 who never received Levetiracetam during the combined standard chemoradiation protocol 240 subgroup according to the same criteria. A p-value <0.050 was considered significant. 241 Analyses were performed using JMP 14.1.0 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

242

243 Standard protocol approvals, registrations and patient consents

- 244 The study received required authorizations (IRB#1: 2021/01) from the human research
- 245 institutional review board (IRB00011687). The requirement to obtain informed consent was
- 246 waived according to French legislation (observational retrospective study).
- 247

248 Data availability

- 249 Data not provided in the article because of space limitations may be shared (anonymized) at
- 250 the request of any qualified investigator for purposes of replicating procedures and results.

251 **Results**

252 Patient and tumor characteristics

A total of 460 consecutive adult patients (270 males, mean age 60.1±11.2 years) with a newly diagnosed *IDH*-wildtype supratentorial glioblastoma, who all underwent biopsy or resection followed by standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide as first line therapy were included, corresponding to 86.8% of the 530 initially screened patients. Characteristics of the population and subgroup analyses by Levetiracetam use are detailed in

Characteristics of the population and subgroup analyses by Levetiracetam use are detailed inTable 1.

259

260 Epilepsy and anti-epileptic drug therapy

261 One hundred and sixty-two patients (35.2%) had epileptic seizures at the time of diagnosis. 262 One hundred and seventy-one patients were taking at least one antiepileptic drug at the time 263 of diagnosis (37.2%), consisting in one drug for 150 patients, two drugs for 20 patients, and 264 three drugs for one patient. Of the 162 patients who had experienced epileptic seizures at 265 diagnosis, 155 (95.7%) were on antiepileptic drug therapy, and of the 298 patients who had 266 not experienced seizures, 16 (5.4%) were on antiepileptic drug therapy. One hundred and 267 twenty-nine patients were taking Levetiracetam (representing 75.4% of the treated patients) at 268 the beginning of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol. Levetiracetam was 269 discontinued in 13 patients and introduced in 113 patients during the standard combined 270 chemoradiation protocol. One hundred and sixteen patients (25.2%) received Levetiracetam 271 during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol, 145 (31.5%) 272 received Levetiracetam part time during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol, and 273 199 (43.3%) never received Levetiracetam during the standard combined chemoradiation 274 protocol.

276 Survival Analysis

The median duration of follow-up was 16.5 months [95% CI, 3.1-44.1]. Three hundred and sixty-five patients (79.3%) died over the follow-up period. The median overall survival was 17.4 months [95% CI, 16.3-19.0] for the whole population.

280 Unadjusted Hazard Ratio's (HR) for overall survival in the whole series are detailed in Table 281 2. In a univariate analysis, Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard 282 combined chemoradiation protocol (excluding the part time or never subgroups), together 283 with younger age (<60 years old), absence of neurological deficit, Radiation Therapy 284 Oncology Group Recursive Partitioning Analysis classes 3-4, Karnofsky Performance Status 285 score \geq 70, MGMT promoter methylation, and total tumor resection were associated with 286 longer overall survival. The median overall survival was longer in the 116 patients with 287 Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation 288 protocol (21.0 months; 95% CI, 17.2-24.0) than in the 145 patients with Levetiracetam use 289 part time during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol (16.8 months; 95% CI, 12.4-290 19.0], and the 199 patients who never received Levetiracetam (16.0 months; 95% CI, 15.5-291 19.4; p=0.027). Kaplan-Meier survival curves are shown in Figure 1. Adjusted HRs for 292 overall survival in the whole series are detailed in Table 2. After multiple adjustments using 293 Cox models, Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined 294 chemoradiation protocol (aHR 0.69; 95%CI, 0.52-0.93; p=0.014), MGMT promoter 295 methylation (aHR 0.53; 95%CI, 0.39-0.71; p<0.001), partial tumor resection (aHR 0.61; 296 95%CI, 0.40-0.90; p=0.0145), and gross total tumor resection (aHR 0.57; 95%CI, 0.44-0.74; 297 p<0.001) were independent predictors of longer overall survival.

Unadjusted HRs for overall survival in the subgroup of patients with epileptic seizures at diagnosis are detailed in Table 3. In a univariate analysis, Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol (excluding the part time or never

301 subgroups), together with Karnofsky Performance Status score \geq 70, MGMT promoter 302 methylation, and gross total tumor resection were associated with longer overall survival. The 303 median overall survival was longer in the 108 patients with Levetiracetam use during the 304 whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol (21.0 months; 95% CI, 305 17.6-24.9) than in the 19 patients with Levetiracetam use part time during the standard 306 combined chemoradiation protocol (19.2 months; 95% CI, 10.0-34.0], and the 35 patients 307 who never received Levetiracetam (16.3 months; 95% CI, 13.5-18.3; p=0.019). Adjusted HRs 308 for overall survival in the subgroup of patients with epileptic seizures at diagnosis are detailed 309 in Table 3. After multiple adjustments using Cox models, Levetiracetam use during the whole 310 duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol (aHR 0.45; 95%CI, 0.27-0.73; 311 p=0.001), Levetiracetam use part time during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol 312 (aHR 0.56; 95%CI, 0.27-0.72; p=0.022), MGMT promoter methylation (aHR 0.53; 95%CI, 313 0.37-0.76; p<0.001) and gross total tumor resection (aHR 0.45; 95%CI, 0.31-0.66; p<0.001) 314 were independent predictors of a longer overall survival.

In the subgroup of patients with Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol, the daily dose (\leq 500 *versus* 500-1000 *versus* >1000 mg/day) did not significantly influence the overall survival (p=0.260). In the subgroup of patients who did not receive the standard combined chemoradiation protocol (external dataset, data no shown), Levetiracetam use did not influence the overall survival (p=0.959).

After case matching (n=54 in both subgroups), a significantly longer overall survival was found for Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol (HR=0.63 [0.42-0.94], p=0.023). The median overall benefit was 4.8 months, with a median of 21.0 months [95% CI, 17.2-23.0] in the Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol subgroup and 16.2 months [95% CI: 13.5-18.3] in the Levetiracetam use part time or never during the standard 326 combined chemoradiation protocol subgroup. Table 4 shows the characteristics of each 327 matched pair. After case matching comparing patients with Levetiracetam use during the 328 whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol with patients who never 329 received Levetiracetam (n=40 in both subgroups), a significantly longer overall survival was 330 found for Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined 331 chemoradiation protocol (HR=0.61 [0.38-0.97], p=0.037). The median overall benefit was 3.2 332 months, with a median of 19.5 months [95% CI, 17.2-23.0] in the subgroup of patients with 333 Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation 334 protocol and 16.3 months [95% CI: 13.5-18.3] in the subgroup of patients who never received 335 Levetiracetam during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol.

336 **Discussion**

337 Key results

In this homogeneous single-institution cohort of adults harboring a newly diagnosed supratentorial *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma, we showed that Levetiracetam use during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol was an independent predictor of increased overall survival both in the whole series (n=460), in the subgroup of patients with epileptic seizures at diagnosis (n=162), and in case-matched analysis (n=54 pairs). Particularly, Levetiracetam's survival advantage was independent of the presence of epileptic seizures, the extent of resection and the *MGMT* promoter methylation status.

345

346 Interpretation

347 In the present study, the survival benefit of Levetiracetam is related to the duration of its 348 administration during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide, 349 which suggests a direct effect. The survival benefit of Levetiracetam is independent of the 350 presence of epileptic seizures at diagnosis, the extent of resection, and the MGMT promoter 351 methylation status, suggesting that the underlying mechanisms are multifactorial and not 352 solely MGMT-mediated. In vitro experiments suggested that Levetiracetam sensitizes glioblastoma cells to Temozolomide through the reduction of MGMT protein expression ²⁹. 353 354 Levetiracetam increases histone deacetylase 1 transcription and recruits the HDAC1/mSin3A 355 multiprotein corepressor complex to the p53-binding site in the MGMT promoter, thus downregulating its transcription²⁹⁻³¹. In addition, the combination of Levetiracetam and 356 357 Temozolomide has increased anti-tumor activity with a tumor suppression effect inducing 358 glioma cell senescence and activation of the apoptotic pathway³¹. Neuronal activity, via 359 functional neurogliomal chemical glutamatergic synapses between the presynaptic pyramidal 360 neurons and postsynaptic glioma cells (AMPA receptors) identified in high-grade diffuse

361 gliomas, induces synchronized calcium releases that ultimately promotes glioma progression ^{32,33}. Since Levetiracetam displays anti-AMPA effects ³⁴, its long-term use may have 362 neuromodulating effects on these neurogliomal synapses, contributing to inhibition of glioma 363 progression ¹³. Lastly, chloride dynamics also play a role in regulating glioma cell growth, 364 365 with intracellular chloride accumulation favoring tumor progression. The chloride equilibrium may be disrupted by chloride permeable GABA_A receptor activation ⁴. Levetiracetam has 366 been shown to affect both intracerebral GABA concentration ³⁵ and GABA_A receptors, ³⁶ 367 likely affecting glioma cell dynamics. Similarly, a case report illustrating continuous 368 369 regression of a glioblastoma in a patient who received Levetiracetam and Dexamethasone 370 without Temozolomide or other cancer-targeted therapy, suggested that Levetiracetam could have a direct anti-tumor effect ³⁷. 371

372 The present results confirm findings from previous studies, including series of 103, 332, and 373 418 patients with glioblastomas, respectively, who received standard combined 374 chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide, which all suggested that Levetiracetam use provided a survival benefit ¹³⁻¹⁵. These observations conflict with those from two large studies 375 376 where Levetiracetam use did not provide any significant survival benefit. The study by 377 Happold et al., which aggregated 1869 patients with a newly diagnosed glioblastoma from four randomized clinical trials between 2000-2011²⁴, included patients with varying 378 379 oncological treatments (radiotherapy alone, standard combined chemoradiation protocol, 380 Cilengitide, Bevacizumab), did not detail the IDH1/2 mutation status, and did not provide the 381 duration of Levetiracetam use. The study by Knudsen-Baas et al., which registered 1263 glioblastomas diagnosed in Norway between 2004-2010²⁵, included patients with varying 382 383 oncological treatments, did not detail the IDH1/2 mutation and the MGMT promoter 384 methylation statuses, and did not provide the duration of Levetiracetam use. In those two 385 studies, the possible inclusion of *IDH*-mutant glioblastomas with better prognosis, of patients with a short and restricted perioperative use of Levetiracetam according to guidelines ^{38,39} (identified as "part time use" in the present study) and of patients with oncological treatments different than the standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide may explain why Levetiracetam was not shown to affect overall survival ^{24,25}.

390 The present study reports, for the first time, a link between the overall survival and the use of 391 Levetiracetam during the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol 392 with Temozolomide. This suggests Levetiracetam might display anti-tumor properties, in 393 adjunct to standard combined chemoradiation protocol for newly diagnosed supratentorial 394 *IDH*-wildtype glioblastomas in adults. On a practical basis, the present results suggest that: 1) 395 the choice of the antiepileptic drug in patients with an *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma should be 396 carefully considered because it may affect overall survival; 2) Levetiracetam could be 397 proposed as the first antiepileptic drug in patients presenting with epileptic seizures at 398 diagnosis in the setting of a newly diagnosed supratentorial IDH-wildtype glioblastoma, due 399 first to its efficacy, second to its good safety profile, third to its lack of interference with 400 chemotherapy and fourth to its possible favorable effects on survival. In addition, the present 401 results open the door for future questions that remain to be solved: 1) the introduction of 402 Levetiracetam could be discussed at the time of diagnosis, eventually even in patients without 403 epileptic seizures, while carefully weighing the risks of adverse effects related to Levetiracetam, including neuropsychiatric ones 40 ; 2) Levetiracetam could be pursued during 404 405 the whole duration of the standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide, 406 including the concomitant chemoradiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy periods, even in 407 seizure-controlled patients.

408

409 Generalizability

410 Strengths of this study include the homogeneous data collection of a large real-life case series 411 of newly diagnosed supratentorial *IDH*-wildtype glioblastomas in adults in the current era of 412 standard combined chemoradiation protocol as first-line treatment and with Levetiracetam as 413 the first antiepileptic drug for these patients. The homogeneous population is illustrated by the 414 observed survival rates that are close to those reported in pivotal trials of glioblastomas in adults treated by standard combined chemoradiation protocol as first-line treatment ^{1,2}. This 415 416 study controlled for histomolecular biases via re-assessment of all diffuse gliomas according to the 2016 updated WHO classification ^{26,27}. In addition, we provided a case-matched 417 418 analysis to control for selection biases between Levetiracetam use subgroups, including 419 MGMT promoter methylation status. We excluded IDH-mutant cases since mutations of the 420 *IDH1/2* genes share a higher prevalence of epileptic seizures at diagnosis and during the 421 course of the tumor with particular epileptogenic mechanisms and share a better prognosis⁴. 422 Since the study is focused purely on newly diagnosed supratentorial IDH-wildtype 423 glioblastomas in adults, the results cannot be extrapolated to recurrent glioblastomas, to IDH-424 mutant diffuse gliomas, and to the pediatric population.

425

426 Limitations

427 Main limitations include the retrospective design of the study, its single-center setting, the 428 diagnosis of epileptic seizures on a clinical basis only, and data partially missing for MGMT 429 promoter methylation status that reduced the number of patients entered in the case matching 430 (n=54 per group). The potential biases induced by data missing regarding the MGMT 431 promoter methylation status were limited by their systematic incorporation in statistical 432 analyses as a specific category. Other limitations include the lack of information regarding the 433 exact duration of Levetiracetam use "part time" during the standard combined chemoradiation 434 protocol and in patients with early tumor recurrence, the lack of information regarding the 435 serum concentration of Levetiracetam of patients under study, the reasons for Levetiracetam 436 introduction or arrest during the standard combined chemoradiation protocol with 437 Temozolomide, and the antiepileptic drug management by the treating physicians. At a time where several antiepileptic drugs, such as Sodium valproate ¹⁰⁻¹², Perampanel ¹⁶, and 438 Levetiracetam,¹³⁻¹⁵ have been suggested to affect *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma prognosis, 439 440 further prospective multicentric controlled trials aiming at investigating the survival benefit of 441 each of these drugs compared to an antiepileptic drug devoid of such anti-tumor properties 442 and to the absence of antiepileptic drug should be considered.

443

444 Conclusion

Beyond its effectiveness against epileptic seizures, Levetiracetam also possibly improves overall survival of newly diagnosed supratentorial *IDH*-wildtype glioblastoma adult patients treated by standard combined chemoradiation. Levetiracetam could also be envisioned as the first antiepileptic drug and as an anti-tumor adjunct during the whole duration of standard combined chemoradiation protocol with Temozolomide. Its potential favorable effect in patients that did not experience seizures remains to be established.

451 Fundings

This work was supported by grants from the European Research Council (consolidator grant
#865592 to GH) and from the Cancéropole Ile-de-France (grant EMERG-2 2016 to JP).

454

455 **Competing interests**

The authors report no conflict of interest concerning the materials or methods used in thisstudy or the findings specified in this paper.

All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

464 **References**

- Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and
 adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:987–996.
- 467 2. Stupp R, Hegi ME, Mason WP, et al. Effects of radiotherapy with concomitant and
- 468 adjuvant temozolomide versus radiotherapy alone on survival in glioblastoma in a
- 469 randomised phase III study: 5-year analysis of the EORTC-NCIC trial. Lancet Oncology.
- 470 Elsevier Ltd; 2009;10:459–466.
- 471 3. Hegi ME, Diserens A-C, Gorlia T, et al. MGMT gene silencing and benefit from
 472 temozolomide in glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:997–1003.
- 473 4. Huberfeld G, Vecht CJ. Seizures and gliomas towards a single therapeutic approach.
 474 Nat Rev Neurol. 2016;12:204–216.
- 475 5. Pallud J, Capelle L, Huberfeld G. Tumoral epileptogenicity: How does it happen?
 476 Epilepsia. 2013;54:29–33.
- 477 6. Pallud J, Audureau E, Blonski M, et al. Epileptic seizures in diffuse low-grade gliomas in
 478 adults. Brain. 2014;137:449–462.
- 479 7. Pallud J, Le Van Quyen M, Bielle F, et al. Cortical GABAergic excitation contributes to
 480 epileptic activities around human glioma. Science Translational Medicine.
 481 2014;6:244ra89–244ra89.
- Venkatesh HS, Tam LT, Woo PJ, et al. Targeting neuronal activity-regulated neuroligin 3 dependency in high-grade glioma. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;549:533–
 537.
- 485 9. Tantillo E, Vannini E, Cerri C, et al. Differential roles of pyramidal and fast-spiking,
 486 GABAergic neurons in the control of glioma cell proliferation. Neurobiol Dis.
 487 2020;141:104942.

- 488 10. Jaeckle KA, Ballman K, Furth A, Buckner JC. Correlation of enzyme-inducing
 489 anticonvulsant use with outcome of patients with glioblastoma. Neurology.
 490 2009;73:1207–1213.
- 491 11. Oberndorfer S, Piribauer M, Marosi C, Lahrmann H, Hitzenberger P, Grisold W. P450
 492 enzyme inducing and non-enzyme inducing antiepileptics in glioblastoma patients treated
 493 with standard chemotherapy. J Neurooncol. 2005;72:255–260.
- 494 12. Weller MM, Gorlia TT, Cairncross JGJ, et al. Prolonged survival with valproic acid use
 495 in the EORTC/NCIC temozolomide trial for glioblastoma. Neurology. 2011;77:1156–
 496 1164.
- 497 13. Roh TH, Moon JH, Park HH, et al. Association between survival and levetiracetam use in
 498 glioblastoma patients treated with temozolomide chemoradiotherapy. Scientific Reports.
 499 Nature Publishing Group; 2020;10:10783–10787.
- 500 14. Kim Y-H, Kim T, Joo J-D, et al. Survival benefit of levetiracetam in patients treated with
 501 concomitant chemoradiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy with temozolomide for
 502 glioblastoma multiforme. Wiley-Blackwell; 2015;121:2926–2932.
- 503 15. Ryu JY, Min KL, Chang MJ. Effect of anti-epileptic drugs on the survival of patients
 504 with glioblastoma multiforme: A retrospective, single-center study. PLoS ONE.
 505 2019;14:e0225599.
- 506 16. Izumoto S, Miyauchi M, Tasaki T, et al. Seizures and Tumor Progression in Glioma
- 507 Patients with Uncontrollable Epilepsy Treated with Perampanel. Anticancer Res.
 508 International Institute of Anticancer Research; 2018;38:4361–4366.
- 509 17. Ruda R, Bello L, Duffau H, Soffietti R. Seizures in low-grade gliomas: natural history,
- 510 pathogenesis, and outcome after treatments. Neuro-Oncology. 2012;14:iv55–iv64.

- 511 18. Climans SA, Brandes AA, Cairncross JG, et al. Temozolomide and seizure outcomes in a
- randomized clinical trial of elderly glioblastoma patients. J Neurooncol. Springer US;
 2020;149:65–71.
- 514 19. van Breemen MSM, Wilms EB, Vecht CJ. Epilepsy in patients with brain tumours:
 515 epidemiology, mechanisms, and management. Lancet neurology. 2007;6:421–430.
- 516 20. de Groot M, Douw L, Sizoo EM, et al. Levetiracetam improves verbal memory in high-
- 517 grade glioma patients. Neuro-Oncology. 2013;15:216–223.
- 518 21. Lee JW, Bromfield EB, Kesari S. Antiemetic properties of the antiepileptic drug
 519 levetiracetam. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:1853–1853.
- 520 22. Vecht C, Royer-Perron L, Houillier C, Huberfeld G. Seizures and Anticonvulsants in
 521 Brain Tumours: Frequency, Mechanisms and Anti-Epileptic Management. Curr Pharm
 522 Des. 2017;23:6464–6487.
- 523 23. Chassoux F, Landré E. Prevention and management of postoperative seizures in neuro524 oncology. Neuro-Chirurgie. 2017;63:197–203.
- 525 24. Happold C, Gorlia T, Chinot O, et al. Does Valproic Acid or Levetiracetam Improve
- Survival in Glioblastoma? A Pooled Analysis of Prospective Clinical Trials in Newly
 Diagnosed Glioblastoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2016;34:731–739.
- 528 25. Knudsen-Baas KM, Engeland A, Gilhus NE, Storstein AM, Owe JF. Does the choice of
 529 antiepileptic drug affect survival in glioblastoma patients? J Neurooncol. Springer US;
 530 2016;129:461–469.
- 531 26. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization Classi
 532 cation of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Acta Neuropathol. Springer
 533 Berlin Heidelberg; 2016;131:803–820.
- 534 27. Brat DJ, Aldape K, Colman H, et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 3: recommended diagnostic
 535 criteria for "Diffuse astrocytic glioma, IDH-wildtype, with molecular features of

536 glioblastoma, WHO grade IV". Acta Neuropathol. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 537 2018;136:805–810.

- 538 28. Mirimanoff R-O, Gorlia T, Mason W, et al. Radiotherapy and temozolomide for newly
 539 diagnosed glioblastoma: recursive partitioning analysis of the EORTC 26981/22981540 NCIC CE3 phase III randomized trial. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:2563–2569.
- 541 29. Ni X-R, Guo C-C, Yu Y-J, et al. Combination of levetiracetam and IFN-α increased
 542 temozolomide efficacy in MGMT-positive glioma. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.
 543 Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2020;86:773–782.
- 30. Bobustuc GC, Baker CH, Limaye A, et al. Levetiracetam enhances p53-mediated MGMT
 inhibition and sensitizes glioblastoma cells to temozolomide. Neuro-Oncology.
 2010;12:917–927.
- 547 31. Scicchitano BM, Sorrentino S, Proietti G, et al. Levetiracetam enhances the
 548 temozolomide effect on glioblastoma stem cell proliferation and apoptosis. Cancer Cell
 549 Int. BioMed Central; 2018;18:136–18.
- S2. Venkatesh HS, Morishita W, Geraghty AC, et al. Electrical and synaptic integration of
 glioma into neural circuits. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2019;573:539–545.
- 33. Venkataramani V, Tanev DI, Strahle C, et al. Glutamatergic synaptic input to glioma
 cells drives brain tumour progression. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2019;573:532–
 538.
- 34. Carunchio I, Pieri M, Ciotti MT, Albo F, Zona C. Modulation of AMPA receptors in
 cultured cortical neurons induced by the antiepileptic drug levetiracetam. Epilepsia. John
 Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2007;48:654–662.
- 35. Doelken MT, Doelken MT, Hammen T, et al. Alterations of intracerebral γ-aminobutyric
 acid (GABA) levels by titration with levetiracetam in patients with focal epilepsies.
- 560 Epilepsia. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2010;51:1477–1482.

- 36. Rigo J-M, Hans G, Nguyen L, et al. The anti-epileptic drug levetiracetam reverses the
 inhibition by negative allosteric modulators of neuronal GABA- and glycine-gated
 currents. Br J Pharmacol. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2002;136:659–672.
- 564 37. Peddi P, Ajit NE, Burton GV, El-Osta H. Regression of a glioblastoma multiforme:
 565 spontaneous versus a potential antineoplastic effect of dexamethasone and levetiracetam.
- 566 BMJ Case Reports. BMJ Publishing Group; 2016;2016:bcr2016217393.
- 38. Dewan MC, Thompson RC, Kalkanis SN, Barker FG, Hadjipanayis CG. Prophylactic
 antiepileptic drug administration following brain tumor resection: results of a recent
 AANS/CNS Section on Tumors survey. J Neurosurg. American Association of
 Neurological Surgeons; 2017;126:1772–1778.
- 39. Glantz MJ, Cole BF, Forsyth PA, et al. Practice parameter: Anticonvulsant prophylaxis in
 patients with newly diagnosed brain tumors Report of the Quality Standards
 Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. AAN Enterprises;
 2000;54:1886–1893.
- 40. Bedetti C, Romoli M, Maschio M, et al. Neuropsychiatric adverse events of antiepileptic
 drugs in brain tumour-related epilepsy: an Italian multicentre prospective observational
- 577 study. Eur J Neurol; 2017;24(10):1283-1289.

578	Table and Figure legends
579	
580	Table 1.
581	Characteristics of the population (n=460).
582	
583	Table 2.
584	Univariate and multivariate predictors of overall survival in the whole series (n=460).
585	
586	Table 3.
587	Univariate and multivariate predictors of overall survival in the subgroup of patients with
588	epileptic seizures at diagnosis (n=162).
589	
590	Table 4.
591	Levetiracetam use subgroups during standard combined chemoradiation protocol with
592	Temozolomide (whole duration versus part time or never) paired by matching criteria (n=54
593	pairs).
594	
595	Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier estimates of overall survival.
596	A. Kaplan-Meier estimates of overall survival in the whole population (n=460).
597	B. Kaplan-Meier estimates of overall survival, according to Levetiracetam use during the
598	combined standard chemoradiation protocol (whole duration of the combined standard
599	chemoradiation protocol, n=116; part time, n=145; never, n=199).

600 Table 1. Characteristics of the population (n=460).

601

Parameter		Whole series n=460		Levetiracetam use Whole duration n=116			iracetam use Part time n=145	Levetiracetam use Never n=199		p-value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Sex	Female	100			30.2					0.016
	Male	190 270	41.3 58.7	35 81	30.2 69.8	67 78	46.2 53.8	88 111	44.2 55.8	
Age, year (mean ± SD)		460	60.1 ± 11.2	116	58.7 ± 11.2	145	60.9 ± 10.6	199	60.4 ± 11.5	0.183
Time to surgery, month (mean ± SD)		460	1.5 ± 1.8	116	1.5 ± 2.0	145	1.6 ± 1.6	199	1.5 ± 1.9	0.469
Signs of increased intracranial pressure at diagnosis	No	186	40.4	20	17.2	72	49.7	94	47.2	<0.001
	Yes	274	59.4	96	82.8	73	50.3	105	52.8	
Neurological deficit at diagnosis	No	142	30.9	68	58.6	35	24.1	39	19.6	<0.001
	Yes	318	69.1	48	41.4	110	75.9	160	80.4	
KPS score at diagnosis	≥ 70	394	85.7	114	98.3	112	77.2	168	84.4	<0.001
	< 70	66	14.3	2	1.7	33	22.8	31	15.6	
RTOG-RPA class at diagnosis	3-4	266	57.8	71	61.2	76	52.4	119	59.8	0.274
	5-6	194	42.2	45	38.8	69	47.6	80	40.2	-
History of epileptic seizures at diagnosis	No	298	64.8	8	6.9	126	86.9	164	82.4	<0.001
	Yes	162	35.2	108	93.1	19	13.1	35	17.6	
First antiepileptic drug administered	None	289	62.8	0	0	123	84.8	166	83.4	
	Levetiracetam	129	28.0	116	100	13	9.0	0	0	
	Valproic acid	16	3.5	0	0	3	2.1	13	6.5	
Levetizeeten dees (ma/dev) et disapesis	Other	26	5.6	0	0	6	4.1	20	10.1	
Levetiracetam dose (mg/day) at diagnosis	500	6	4.7	3	2.6	3	23.1	0	0	
	1000	107	82.9	98	84.4	9	69.2	0	0	
	1500	8	6.2	7	6.0	1	7.7	0	0	
	2000	4	3.1	4	3.5	0	0	0	0	
An atom is largeting	3000	4	3.1 28.5	4 30	3.5 25.9	0	0 34.5	<u>0</u> 51	0 25.6	0.159
Anatomic location	Frontal Temporal	131 171	28.5 38.3	30 54	25.9 46.5	50 47	34.5 32.4	75	25.6 37.7	0.100
	Parietal	104	30.3 22.6	54 21	46.5	30	20.7	75 53	26.6	
	Other	49	10.6	11	9.5	18	12.4	20	10.1	
Tumor volume, cm ³ (mean ± SD) *	Other	460	39.2 ± 41.6	116	18.8 ± 23.9	145	47.4 ± 45.1	199	45.2 ± 43.2	<0.001
MGMT promoter methylation status	No	133	28.9	28	24.1	39	26.9	66	33.2	0.397
WOWN promoter methylation status	Yes	104	22.6	25	21.6	34	23.4	45	22.6	
	Missing	223	48.5	63	54.3	72	49.7	88	44.2	
First-line treatment										
Extent of resection f	Biopsy	138	30.0	34	29.3	48	33.1	56	28.1	0.148
	Subtotal removal	105	22.8	23	19.8	40	28.3	41	20.6	
	Total removal	217	47.2	59	50.9	56	38.6	102	51.3	
Number of adjuvant Temozolomide cycles (mean ± SD)		460	5.0 ± 3.7	116	5.0 ± 3.2	116	5.2 ± 4.4	116	4.8 ± 3.5	0.877
Number of adjuvant Temozolomide cycles	< 6	238	51.7	65	56.0	64	44.1	109	54.8	0.204
	6	117	25.5	22	19.0	39	26.9	56	28.1	
	> 6	105	22.8	29	25.0	42	29.0	34	17.1	
Treatment at progression			10.0	45	10.0		22 4	10		0.126
Progression	No	89	19.3	15	12.9	32	22.1	42	21.1	0.120
Oursiand association	Yes	371	80.7	101	87.1	113	77.9	157	78.9	0.709
Surgical resection	No	410 50	89.1 10.9	15 101	12.9 87.1	15 130	10.3 89.7	20 179	10.1 89.9	5.705
Dediatherapy	Yes	-	91.1	101	86.2		93.8	179	91.9	0.100
Radiotherapy	No	419 41	91.1 8.9	100	86.2 13.8	136 9	93.8 6.2	183 16		0.100
Chamatharapy	Yes	232		46	39.7	79		107	8.1	0.027
Chemotherapy	No Yes	232	50.4 49.6	46 70	39.7 60.3	79 66	54.5 45.5	107 92	53.8 46.2	0.021



CI: Confidence Interval; HR: Hazard Ratio; KPS: Karnofsky Performance Status; MGMT: O6-methylguanine–DNA methyltransferase; OS: Overall Survival; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; RPA: Recursive Partitioning Analysis * Quantitative assessment based on preoperative tumor volume using contrast-enhanced three-dimensional T1-weigthed sequence. [©] Quantitative assessment based on preoperative tumor volume and postoperative (MRI performed within 48h) tumor volume using contrast-enhanced three-dimensional T1-weigthed sequence.

607 Table 2. Univariate and multivariate predictors of overall survival in the whole series

608 (**n=460**).

609

				Overall survival (months)								
Parameters		Median OS	Unad	ljusted Hazard I	Ratio	Adjusted Hazard Ratio						
		(months)	uHR	CI95%	p-value	aHR	CI95%	p-value				
Clinical parameters												
Sex	Female	18.7	1 (ref)									
	Male	17.0	1.12	0.91 - 1.39	0.270							
Age (year)	< 60	18.0	1 (ref)									
	≥ 60	16.5	1.24	1.01 - 1.52	0.044	1.06	0.83 - 1.36	0.638				
Signs of increased intracranial pressure at diagnosis	No	17.9	1 (ref)									
	Yes	16.5	1.11	0.90 - 1.38	0.314							
Neurological deficit at diagnosis	No	21.0	1 (ref)			-						
	Yes	16.5	1.31	1.04 - 1.64	0.018	1.32	0.99 - 1.75	0.053				
RTOG-RPA class at diagnosis	3-4	19.5	1 (ref)			-						
	5-6	14.2	1.53	1.24 - 1.87	<0.001	1.23	0.89 - 1.69	0.215				
KPS score at diagnosis	≥ 70	18.0	1 (ref)			-						
	< 70	13.2	1.69	1.26 - 2.28	<0.001	1.23	0.84 - 1.79	0.282				
History of epileptic seizures at diagnosis	No	16.5	1 (ref)			-						
	Yes	19.0	0.83	0.67 - 1.03	0.084	0.81	0.57 - 1.17	0.259				
Levetiracetam use during standard chemoradiation regimen	Never	16.5	1 (ref)									
	Part time (stopped or introduced)	16.8	0.93	0.73 - 1.18	0.562	1.04	0.80 - 1.35	0.777				
	Whole duration	21.0	0.73	0.55 - 0.96	0.027	0.69	0.52 - 0.93	0.014				
Anatomic location	Frontal	17.0	1 (ref)					-				
	Temporal	19.5	0.99	0.77 - 1.29	0.974							
	Parietal	17.4	1.05	0.78 - 1.42	0.729							
	Other	15.0	1.15	0.80 - 1.67	0.438							
Tumor volume (cm ³) *	< 30	18.3	1 (ref)									
	≥ 30	16.8	1.11	0.90 - 1.36	0.329							
MGMT promoter methylation status	No	16.2	1 (ref)									
	Yes	24.0	0.49	0.37 - 0.63	<0.001	0.53	0.39 - 0.71	<0.001				
	Missing	16.0	0.82	0.65 - 1.04	0.107	0.75	0.57 - 0.99	0.046				
Extent of resection at first time surgery [£]	Biopsy	12.5	1 (ref)	•				•				
	Partial removal	16.0	0.79	0.60 - 1.05	0.107	0.61	0.40 - 0.90	0.015				
	Total removal	21.6	0.51	0.40 - 0.65	<0.001	0.57	0.44 - 0.74	<0.001				
Surgical resection at progression	No	16.9	1 (ref)			1 (ref)						
	Yes	24.0	0.69	0.49 - 0.99	0.043	0.81	0.56 - 1.18	0.277				
Radiotherapy at progression	No	17.0	1 (ref)			1 (ref)						
······································	Yes	31.0	0.52	0.35 - 0.79	0.002	0.80	0.51 - 1.24	0.314				
Chemotherapy at progression	No	15.0	1 (ref)	0.00		1 (ref)						
	Yes	20.0	0.77	0.62 - 0.96	0.023	0.84	0.66 - 1.07	0.154				

Gl Gdnfidence Interval; HR: Hazard Ratio; KPS: Karnofsky Performance Status; MGMT: O6-methylguanine–DNA methyltransferase; OS: Overall Survival; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Graub; RPA: Recursive Partitioning Analysis

612 Table 3. Univariate and multivariate predictors of overall survival in the subgroup of

613 patients with epileptic seizures at diagnosis (n=162).

614

		Median OS	Overall survival (months)							
Parameters		(months)		ljusted Hazard	Ratio	Adjusted Hazard Ratio				
		(months)	uHR	CI95%	p-value	aHR	Cl95%	p-value		
Clinical parameters										
Sex	Female	20.0	1 (ref)							
	Male	18.0	1.19	0.92 - 1.54	0.187					
Age (year)	< 60	19.0	1 (ref)							
	≥ 60	17.2	1.42	0.99 - 2.04	0.057	1.16	0.86 - 1.56	0.324		
Signs of increased intracranial pressure at diagnosis	No	20.0	1 (ref)							
	Yes	16.9	1.18	0.76 - 1.83	0.472					
Neurological deficit at diagnosis	No	21.0	1 (ref)							
	Yes	17.0	1.20	0.84 - 1.72	0.306					
RTOG-RPA class at diagnosis	3-4	21.0	1 (ref)							
	5-6	16.0	1.29	0.89 - 1.86	0.177					
KPS score at diagnosis	≥ 70	19.0	1 (ref)							
	< 70	9.5	2.39	1.21 - 4.74	0.012	1.31	0.88 - 1.95	0.182		
Levetiracetam use during standard chemoradiation regimen	Never	16.3	1 (ref)							
	Part time (stopped or introduced)	19.2	0.67	0.35 - 1.27	0.220	0.56	0.27 - 0.72	0.022		
	Whole duration	21.0	0.59	0.38 - 0.92	0.019	0.45	0.27 - 0.73	0.001		
Anatomic location	Frontal	21.0	1 (ref)							
	Temporal	19.0	1.21	0.78 - 1.88	0.393					
	Parietal	18.2	1.20	0.71 - 2.02	0.493					
	Other	17.6	0.93	0.42 - 2.03	0.853					
Tumor volume (cm ³) *	< 30	21.0	1 (ref)							
	≥ 30	16.9	1.37	0.92 - 2.05	0.121					
MGMT promoter methylation status	No	17.0	1 (ref)							
	Yes	28.5	0.33	0.19 - 0.57	<0.001	0.53	0.37 - 0.76	<0.001		
	Missing	29.0	0.39	0.09 - 1.62	0.196	0.66	0.43 - 1.04	0.070		
Extent of resection £	Biopsy	15.0	1 (ref)							
	Partial removal	16.0	0.79	0.46 - 1.34	0.384	0.70	0.32 - 1.52	0.370		
	Total removal	21.7	0.58	0.36 - 0.93	0.024	0.45	0.31 - 0.66	<0.001		
Surgical resection at progression	No	16.0	1 (ref)			1 (ref)				
	Yes	20.8	0.44	0.25 - 0.77	0.004	0.89	0.55 – 1.41	0.604		
Radiotherapy at progression	No	16.0	1 (ref)			1 (ref)				
··· · -	Yes	35.2	0.58	0.32 - 1.04	0.066	0.45	0.31 - 1.04	0.068		
Chemotherapy at progression	No	12.4	1 (ref)			-		-		
	Yes	19.5	1.02	0.69 - 1.45	0.894					

Confidence Interval; HR: Hazard Ratio; KPS: Karnofsky Performance Status; MGMT: O6-methylguanine–DNA methyltransferase; OS: Overall Survival; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Oracle, RPA: Recursive Partitioning Analysis

617 Table 4. Levetiracetam use subgroups during standard combined chemoradiation

618 protocol with Temozolomide (whole duration versus part time or never) paired by

619 matching criteria (n=54 pairs).

620

				Levetir	evetiracetam part time or never							Levet	iracetam who	le duration		
:	Sex	Age	Epileptic seizures	RTOG- RPA class	Lobe	Volume	Extent of resection	MGMT promoter methylation status	Sex	Age	Epileptic seizures	RTOG- RPA class	Lobe	Volume	Extent of resection	MGMT promoter methylation status
Comp	lete m F	natch in 60	8/8 criteria	34	Frontal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated	F	65	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated
2	F	71	Yes Yes	34	Parietal	< median	Total	Methylated	F	70	Yes	34	Parietal	< median	Total	Methylated
2	M	48	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	M	44	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Unmethylated
4	F	55	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	F	50	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated
5	F	56	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	F	58	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated
6	F	62	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated	F	66	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated
7	F	57	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated	F	59	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
8	M	61	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Methylated	M	57	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Methylated
9	M	56	No	34	Temporal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	М	54	No	34	Temporal	> median	Total	Unmethylated
0	M	49	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated	M	51	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated
1	М	67	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	M	68	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated
2	M	29	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	M	34	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated
3	M	60	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	M	62	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated
4	M	57	Yes	34	Parietal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	M	55	Yes	34	Parietal	> median	Total	Unmethylated
5	М	55	No	56	Temporal	< median	Partial	Methylated	М	57	Yes	56	Temporal	< median	Partial	Methylated
6	M	56	No	56	Temporal	> median	Partial	Methylated	M	61	No	56	Temporal	> median	Partial	Methylated
7	M	50	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	М	55	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated
8	М	45	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated	М	49	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
	plete		in 1/8 criterie													
9	F	70	No	56	Frontal	< median	Partial	Methylated	F	67	Yes	56	Frontal	< median	Partial	Methylated
0	М	68	No	34	Temporal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	М	66	Yes	34	Temporal	> median	Total	Unmethylate
1	М	75	No	34	Temporal	> median	Partial	Methylated	М	71	Yes	34	Temporal	> median	Partial	Methylated
2	М	72	Yes	56	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated	М	60	Yes	56	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
3	F	55	No	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated	F	55	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
4	M	59	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	M	64	Yes	34	Frontal	> median	Total	Unmethylate
5	М	45	No	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	М	40	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylate
6	М	58	No	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	М	61	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylate
7	М	66	No	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated	М	71	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
8	М	34	No	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated	М	29	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
9	М	37	Yes	34	Parietal	> median	Total	Methylated	М	59	Yes	34	Parietal	> median	Total	Methylated
0	М	35	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Methylated	М	59	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Methylated
1	М	37	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated	М	50	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
2	М	59	No	34	Temporal	> median	Partial	Methylated	М	58	Yes	34	Temporal	> median	Partial	Methylated
3	М	50	No	56	Frontal	> median	Total	Methylated	М	68	No	56	Frontal	> median	Total	Methylated
4	М	32	No	34	Frontal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	М	26	Yes	34	Frontal	> median	Total	Unmethylated
ncom	plete	match	in 2/8 criteria	a *				-								
5	M	75	No	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	М	79	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylate
6	F	77	No	56	Parietal	< median	Partial	Methylated	F	76	Yes	56	Parietal	< median	Partial	Unmethylate
7	М	36	No	34	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	М	41	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylate
8	F	47	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated	F	72	Yes	34	Temporal	< median	Partial	Methylated
9	F	54	No	34	Frontal	> median	Total	Methylated	F	48	Yes	34	Frontal	> median	Total	Methylated
0	F	56	No	34	Frontal	> median	Total	Methylated	F	57	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Partial	Methylated
1	F	49	No	34	Temporal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	F	45	Yes	34	Occipital	> median	Total	Unmethylate
2	М	71	No	34	Frontal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	М	71	Yes	34	Frontal	< median	Total	Unmethylate
3	М	55	No	56	Frontal	> median	Total	Methylated	М	59	Yes	56	Frontal	< median	Total	Methylated
4	М	64	No	56	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylated	М	50	Yes	56	Temporal	< median	Partial	Unmethylate
5	М	50	Yes	56	Frontal	< median	Total	Unmethylated	М	54	Yes	56	Temporal	> median	Total	Unmethylate
6	М	54	No	56	Frontal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	М	54	Yes	56	Temporal	> median	Total	Unmethylate
7	М	48	Yes	34	Insular	< median	Total	Unmethylated	М	49	Yes	34	Parietal	< median	Partial	Unmethylate
ncom	plete	match	in 3/8 criteria	a *												
8	M	64	No	34	Parietal	> median	Partial	Methylated	М	70	No	34	Occipital	< median	Partial	Methylated
9	М	58	No	34	Frontal	> median	Partial	Unmethylated	М	59	Yes	34	Occipital	< median	Partial	Unmethylate
0	М	65	No	56	Frontal	> median	Total	Unmethylated	М	68	Yes	56	Temporal	< median	Total	Unmethylate
1	М	74	No	56	Parietal	> median	Partial	Unmethylated	М	67	Yes	56	Temporal	> median	Partial	Unmethylate
2	М	67	No	56	Parietal	< median	Partial	Methylated	М	60	Yes	34	Parietal	< median	Partial	Methylated
53	М	73	No	56	Temporal	> median	Total	Methylated	М	51	Yes	56	Temporal	< median	Total	Methylated
4	М	41	No	34	Temporal	> median	Partial	Unmethylated	М	42	Yes	34	Parietal	< median	Partial	Unmethylate

821

 No
 3.4
 Temporal
 > median
 Partial
 Unmethylated
 M
 42
 Y

 * Imperfectly matched criteria are shown in bold type.
 F: Female; M: Male; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; RPA: Recursive Partitioning Analysis.



