

An agent-based model to simulate co-infection with human papillomaviruses in a partially vaccinated partnership network

Mélanie Bonneault, Maxime Flauder, Elisabeth Delarocque-Astagneau, Anne Cm Thiébaut, Lulla Opatowski

► To cite this version:

Mélanie Bonneault, Maxime Flauder, Elisabeth Delarocque-Astagneau, Anne Cm Thiébaut, Lulla Opatowski. An agent-based model to simulate co-infection with human papillomaviruses in a partially vaccinated partnership network. 2021. inserm-03367886

HAL Id: inserm-03367886 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03367886v1

Submitted on 6 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	An agent-based model to simulate co-infection with human papillo-
2	maviruses in a partially vaccinated partnership network
3	
4	Mélanie Bonneault ^{1,2,3} , Maxime Flauder ^{1,2} , Elisabeth Delarocque-Astagneau ² , Anne CM
5	Thiébaut ³ and Lulla Opatowski ^{1,2}
6	
7	¹ Epidemiology and Modelling of Antibiotic Evasion Unit, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France
8	² Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, Inserm, CESP, 78180, Montigny-Le-Bretonneux, France
9	³ Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, Inserm, CESP, 94807, Villejuif, France
10	
11	Author for correspondence:
12	Mélanie Bonneault, Institut Pasteur, Epidemiology and Modelling of Antibiotic Evasion Unit,
13	25, rue du Docteur-Roux, 75475 Paris Cedex 15, France.
14	Email: melanie.bonneault@gmail.com
15	
16	
17	
18	

19 Abstract. Human papillomaviruses (HPV) are among the most common sexually transmitted 20 infection and a necessary cause of cervical cancer. In the context of vaccination against a sub-21 group of genotypes, better understanding the role of biological interactions between HPV 22 genotypes and social interactions between humans is essential to anticipate what the vaccine 23 impact could be at the population level. Existing models that study interactions between gen-24 otypes are based on basic homogeneous assumptions about human-to-human transmission, 25 whereas agent-based models that take into account the heterogeneity of human behavior and 26 transmission do not consider possible interactions between genotypes. Here, we present a 27 novel stochastic agent-based model formalizing the co-circulation on a human partnership 28 network of multiple interacting genotypes, some of them being preventable by the vaccine 29 (vaccine types) and others not. The model explicitly formalizes heterogeneity in sexual behav-30 iors and makes it possible to explore distinct genotypic interaction mechanisms during intra-31 host co-infections. Using model simulations, we investigate infection dynamics in the popula-32 tion after vaccine introduction depending on assumptions regarding vaccine coverage and 33 vaccine and non-vaccine genotype interactions.

34

Keywords: agent-based model, simulation, human papillomavirus, vaccination, transmission,
 genotype interactions.

37

39 **1** Introduction

40 Mathematical models are useful tools to understand the dynamics of infectious disease 41 spread in human populations and predict the impact of control strategies such as screening or 42 immunization programs. Compartmental models are a classical form of models for studying 43 infection transmission, in which individuals are grouped indiscriminately in compartments ac-44 cording to their infectious status (e.g., susceptible, infected, recovered). In the context of sex-45 ually transmitted infections, the assumption of homogeneous sexual behaviors underlying 46 compartmental models is unrealistic, as informed by surveys showing high heterogeneity 47 across individuals and ages (Bajos and Bozon 2008). Agent-based models (ABMs) appear to be 48 the most suitable to reproduce the heterogeneity of individual behaviors and simulate their 49 effects on a population scale (Auchincloss and Diez Roux 2008). They also facilitate the mod-50 elling of co-circulation of several pathogens, which is made complicated by the use of com-51 partmental models.

52

In this paper, we present a model specifically applied to the simulation of between-human transmissions of genital infections with human papillomavirus (HPV). HPV infections are among the most common sexually transmitted infections, especially in younger people, and could lead to the development of cancers around the age of 50 years (Walboomers et al. 1999; de Sanjosé et al. 2007). Vaccination protecting against prolonged HPV infection was introduced in 2007. However, available vaccines are not universal. They protect against a fraction of HPV genotypes only (called vaccine types). Anticipating the impact of vaccination on the global evolution of HPV infection at the population level therefore requires integrating assumptions regarding how circulating genotypes, and in particular vaccine and non-vaccine
types, interact for host infection, which was not done before. We propose here a new ABM
combining a heterogenous contact network and between-genotypes interactions and apply it
to the French context.

The paper is organized as follows. After discussing existing works, we describe the specifications of the new agent-based model we developed. We then present results from experimental simulations and finally provide a discussion on our model and results.

68 2 Related works

Only few models of HPV transmission have formalized potential interactions between geno-69 70 types (Elbasha et al. 2008; Pons-Salort et al. 2013; Murall et al. 2014; Man et al. 2020). Elbasha 71 and Galvani studied the conditions of coexistence of two genotypes at equilibrium before vac-72 cine introduction as a function of the baseline reproduction number (number of secondary 73 infections generated by the introduction of an infected individual) of each genotype(Elbasha 74 et al. 2008). Murall et al. questioned the evolutionary response of genotypes to the selection 75 pressure imposed by the immune response induced by vaccination (Murall et al. 2014). Pons-76 Salort et al. examined the influence of co-infection dynamics (simultaneous or sequential elim-77 ination of co-infections) on the evolution of non-vaccine prevalences after vaccination and 78 also addressed the issue of genotype replacement, i.e. an increased prevalence of genotypes 79 not included in the vaccine following vaccine introduction (Pons-Salort et al. 2013). In partic-80 ular, they find that in the case of simultaneous clearance, genotypic replacement is always 81 observed regardless of the nature of the interaction between the genotypes. Finally, Man et

82 al. sought to determine whether type replacement could be observed in early post-vaccina-83 tion surveillance (Man et al. 2020). However, these former models are all based on the as-84 sumption that sexual contacts are homogeneous. It is likely that the diversity of behaviors has 85 a marked impact on the dynamics of co-circulation of genotypes at the population level since 86 it affects the risk of infection (Shiboski and Padian 1996). Therefore, faithful replication of 87 sexual behaviors is essential to correctly interpret observations of HPV prevalences and pro-88 vide more accurate projections of the epidemiological and ecological consequences of vac-89 cination. Among the possible modelling approaches, the ABM seems to be the most appropri-90 ate to reproduce the heterogeneity of individual behaviors and to simulate their effects at the 91 population level (Auchincloss and Diez Roux 2008). Many ABMs have been developed follow-92 ing the introduction of vaccines to study the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of vaccina-93 tion and/or screening (Olsen and Jepsen 2010; Van de Velde et al. 2012; Burger et al. 2018). 94 However, existing ABMs have not considered possible interactions between genotypes (Olsen 95 and Jepsen 2010; Van de Velde et al. 2012; Matthijsse et al. 2015; Burger et al. 2018; Johnson 96 et al. 2018).We therefore undertook to develop our own model and to adapt it to the French 97 context.

98 3 Methods

99 The model description follows the ODD (Overview, Design concepts, and Details) protocol as 100 defined by Grimm et al. (Grimm et al. 2006). We developed a multi-agent system to support 101 the process of HPV genotype transmission in the population. The global architecture of the 102 multi-agent system has been developed in C++ (version 4.9.0). In addition to classical elements 103 of models developed in object programming, the program includes two other components: 104 (1) a component for the agent (as detailed later), in which the agent's characteristics and re-105 lated processes (to become infected, to start or to finish a partnership, to eliminate the infec-106 tion, etc.) are defined; and (2) a component for the population (as detailed later), in which the 107 variables of the environment of the agents are defined and which allows to initiate the pro-108 cesses (demography, partnership, infectious process, etc.) for all agents at each time step. 109 Simulations were run on the computational and storage services (TARS cluster) provided by 110 the IT Department at Institut Pasteur, Paris. Statistical analyses and graphics were computed 111 using R (version 3.5.2).

112 **3.1** Overview of the model

Purpose. The ABM simulates a virtual population of individuals in heterosexual partnership and the co-circulation of distinct HPV genotypes in interaction. A vaccination campaign is also simulated, assuming that vaccinated individuals are protected against a portion of genotypes only (called "vaccine types", VT) whereas their infectious risk to the rest of the circulating types (called "non vaccine types", NVT) is not altered. The main goal is to understand how vaccination affects the global infection dynamics in the presence of between-genotype interactions on a heterogeneous partnership network.

State variables and scales. The agents are human individuals characterized by a set of variables listed in Table 1 and described below. Each agent is explicitly modeled and characterized by his/her sex, age, sexual activity class (number of partners he or she will have during the year), partnership status (in three categories - virgin, with a partner, or single), vaccination status, and infection status for each genotype *g* (in four categories - susceptible to (infection

125 with) *g*, infected with *g*, naturally immune to *g*, or vaccinated if *g* is a VT).

126

Table 1. Individual variables characterizing each agent

Variable	Туре	Update time		
ID	Code number	At entrance		
Sex	Boolean	At entrance		
Age	Number weeks	At each time step		
Vaccination status	Boolean	At entrance		
	Variables related to p	partnership process		
Sexual activity class	Integer	At 15, 17, 19 and 24 years		
No partner during the current year	Boolean	On the first day of each year		
Partnership status	String	At the beginning and at the end of partnership		
Partner ID	Pointer	At the beginning and at the end of partnership		
End date of partnership or inac- tivity	Simulation week number	At the beginning and at the end of partnership		
Counter of partners during the current year	Integer	At the onset of new partnership; and at reset on first day of each year		
Counter of partners over the sim- ulation	Integer	At the onset of new partnership		
Variables related to the infection process – for each genotype g				
Infection status	String	At the beginning and at the end of infection and im- munity		
End date for infection	Simulation week number	At the onset of infection		
Natural immunity status	String	At the end of infection		
End date for natural immunity	Simulation week number	At the end of infection		
Counter of infections over the simulation	Integer	At the onset of infection		

127

128 Environmental variables. Agents share a number of environmental variables characterizing the 129 population as presented in Table 2 and detailed in the following sections. In most countries, 130 anti-HPV vaccination was first recommended only for young females before the age of 15 131 years with possible catch-up generally until 18, with no vaccination of males (Baylor 2006;

- 132 ECDC 2020). We therefore assumed here that only females were vaccinated, and that vaccina-
- 133 tion occurred before their entrance in the model.
- 134

Table 2. Environmental variables characterizing the population and their values

Description	Default value	Rationale		
Population size (N)	800,000 individuals	For computational purposes		
Population age range	From 15 to 30 years of age	Fixed, corresponding to HPV in- fection peak		
	Variables related to partnership process			
Age at first partnership	Normal distribution with mean 17.5 years in fe- males, 16.8 in male	Mean, calibrated on (Bajos and Bozon 2008)		
Distribution of individuals by sexual activity class	According to sex and age (Table 3 in appendix)	From (Bajos and Bozon 2008) and calibrated		
Proportion of individuals without a partner during the year	According to sex and age (Table 3 in appendix)	From (Bajos and Bozon 2008) and fixed		
Duration of partnership	According to sexual activity class and age (Table 3 in appendix)	Mean, from (Bajos and Bozon 2008) and calibrated		
Duration of inactivity between two partnerships	According to sexual activity class (Table 3 in appendix)	Mean, calibrated		
Frequency of sexual intercourse within a relationship	2 per week	Arbitrary		
Duration of partner search before mixing between sexual activity groups	According to sexual activity class (Table 3 in appendix)	Calibrated		
Maximum proportion of individuals changing from one extreme class to another at ages 17, 19 and 24	95% for females and 45% for males	Calibrated		
Variables related to infection process				
Number of genotypes	2 vaccine types (VT) 12 non-vaccine types (NVT)	According to genotypes re- ported in (Markowitz et al. 2013)		
Transmission probability parameter for VT and NVT genotypes	$\theta_{\rm V}$ = 0.16 per sexual act (VT) $\theta_{\rm NV}$ = 0.125 per sexual act (NVT)	Calibrated		
Duration of infection	Exponential distribution with mean 52 weeks	From (Trottier et al. 2006)		
Duration of immunity	Exponential distribution with mean 12 weeks	Calibrated		
Genotype interaction parameter (γ)	1	To be varied		
Initial probability of infection	0.2 for NVT and 0.08 for VT	Arbitrary		
Initial probability of co-infection among those initially infected	0.4 for any first genotype g	Arbitrary		
Date of vaccine introduction	After 70 years of simulation	To ensure prevalence equilib- rium is reached		
Vaccine coverage	60% of females by age cohort	To be varied		

Scales. The model time step is the week. A simulation year lasts 52 weeks. Agents are not ex-plicitly distributed in space.

137

Process overview and scheduling. The model proceeds in several processes which are sequentially updated on a weekly or yearly basis, depending on the processes, as detailed below.
Figure 1 summarizes the execution of the three major weekly processes in the model: demographic, partnership and infection processes.

142 Demographic process. Agents age one week with every time step. On the day they turn 30 143 years, agents leave the model. When an agent exits the population, he/she is directly replaced 144 by a new 15-year-old individual with an equal probability of being male or female, to ensure 145 the population remains stable over time. At inclusion the agent's states and counters are ini-146 tialized. Sexual activity class and date of first partnership are drawn from probabilistic distri-147 butions (see Table 2). In addition, if a vaccination campaign is in progress at a female's entry 148 date, she will be vaccinated according to a probability defined by vaccine coverage, protecting 149 her against VT genotypes with 100% efficacy for at least 15 years.

Partnership process. Every week, the list of agents available for a new relationship is updated;
each agent from this list then searches for a new partner according to his/her sexual activity
class and age. If the agent has been waiting on the list for a predefined period of time without
finding a partner within his/her sexual activity class, he/she is allowed to search within other
sexual activity classes. If a partner is found, a duration of partnership is drawn according to

the agent's sexual activity class and age (Table 3 in appendix). When the end date of a partnership is reached, the partnership is split. For each of the two agents involved, a duration of inactivity is drawn according to his/her sexual activity class, defining the date for the agent to become again available for a new partnership and be included in the list mentioned above.



159



- 163
- 164

165 Infection process and natural disease history. At each time step, the model proceeds with in-166 fection transmission. For each genotype g, all partnerships characterized by one partner in-167 fected with g and one partner susceptible to g are evaluated: transmission of g from the in-168 fected to the susceptible partner occurs with a transmission probability parameter β_V or β_{NV} 169 depending on whether genotype g belongs to VT or NVT group, respectively. If an infection 170 occurs, the duration of infection is drawn from an exponential distribution (Table 2). In a sec-171 ond step, the model proceeds with the evolution of infection status for all infected agents. For 172 any genotype g, all agents infected with g who reach their date of recovery change their in-173 fection status: they become immune to genotype g and cannot transmit genotype g anymore. 174 Acquired natural immunity is assumed to confer total protection against genotype g for a lim-175 ited duration which is drawn from an exponential distribution (Table 2). All immunized agents 176 reaching the end date of their immunized status become fully susceptible to genotype g again.

177

178 The processes scheduled annually are executed on the first day of the year in the following179 order:

180 Reset of counters of annual number of partners. For each agent of the model, the counter of
181 his/her cumulative number of partners over the current year is set to 0.

182 *Change of sexual activity class.* A portion of agents aged 17, 19 and 24 years and not engaged 183 in long partnerships (i.e., >1 year) are randomly selected to change sexual activity class to 184 conform to age groups available in the survey data and changing sexual behavior with age. 185 Changing from one extreme class to the other is limited to a maximum proportion of individ-186 uals by sex (Table 2) to favor evolution between adjacent sexual activity classes. Numbers are

drawn to match the targeted distribution of sexual activity classes by age category. Additionally, in all sexual activity classes, a fraction of agents who will have no partner over the coming
year is randomly selected (Table 2).

190 3.2 Design Concept

191 Interaction

192 Inter-agent contacts. Interactions between agents occur through heterosexual partnership. 193 The agent's sexual activity class defines the number of partners the agent will have during the 194 year. Partnerships between agents from the same sexual activity class are promoted, although 195 mixing between classes is allowed after several weeks and unsuccessful trials to find a partner 196 within the same sexual activity class (Table 2). When a partnership is set, the two partners 197 involved are assumed to be in contacts at an arbitrary frequency of two sexual intercourses 198 per week over the partnership duration. Simultaneous partnership is not allowed in the 199 model.

200 Inter-agent transmission. An agent infected with a genotype g can transmit g at any time dur-201 ing partnership with transmission probability β_V or β_{NV} per act, if his/her partner is not already 202 infected with g nor naturally immunized to g.

203 *Within-agent ecological interaction between genotypes.* When two genotypes simultaneously 204 infect an agent, interaction between genotypes may occur. This interaction is assumed to af-205 fect the duration of infection with one of the genotypes. Interactions are defined unidirection-206 ally: we only consider the impact of the presence of VT on the epidemiological characteristics 207 of NVT. Indeed, we assume that, if an agent already infected with a vaccine genotype g_V is subsequently infected with a non-vaccine genotype g_{NV} , the average duration of infection D_{INF}^{eff} with g_{NV} is modified by a multiplicative factor γ , called the strength of interaction (presented in Eqs 1 and in Fig. 2).

$$D_{INF}^{eff}(g_{NV}) = D_{INF}(g_{NV}) \times \gamma$$
⁽¹⁾

Both competitive, whereby $\gamma < 1$, *i.e.*, the presence of VT reduces duration of infection with NVT, and synergistic interaction, whereby $\gamma > 1$, *i.e.*, the presence of VT enhances the duration of infection with NVT, are allowed. Since the period of infection coincides with the period of possible transmission, this also has an impact on the length of time the infected agent can transmit g_{NV} .

216



217	Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the interaction mechanism between VT and NVT during
218	an agent co-infection.
219	Here the agent is first infected with VT and subsequently acquires NVT. The interaction alters
220	the duration of infection with NVT by a multiplicative factor γ , the strength of interaction.
221	The vertical arrows indicate varying recovery times depending on the interaction. C: compet-
222	itive, N: neutral, S: synergistic
223	

224 **Stochasticity.** The model is stochastic, stochasticity being included both through the definition

of random parameters and through random processes. Sexual activity class, age at first part-

226 nership, age difference between partners, and all durations (of partnership, of inactivity be-

tween two partnerships, of infection, of immunization after vaccine introduction) are randomly drawn from probability distributions (Table 2). Finding a partner, changing sexual activity class and getting infected are defined as random processes.

Observations. A large variety of data can be collected from model simulations. For the purpose of this article, we record for every agent, at each time step, his/her sexual behavior (number of partners during the past year and lifetime) and his/her infection characteristics. Because we aim at monitoring the dynamics of infection at the population level, we aggregate agent data according to human characteristics to obtain numbers of infected agents by age, sex, sexual activity class, etc.

236 3.3 Details on the processes

237 Initialization. Model initialization is achieved in successive steps. First, all environmental var-238 iables are initialized, as detailed in Table 2. Then all agents are created, with their partnership 239 and infection statuses and counters initialized. At initialization, sex and age of each agent are 240 randomly drawn to ensure homogeneous distribution of population across sex and age. Sexual 241 activity class and age at first partnership are drawn from probabilistic distributions (if the 242 agent is older than his/her age at first partnership, he/she can directly look for a partner). 243 Infection status with respect to VT and NVT is randomly drawn according to the probabilities 244 of infection and co-infection defined for initialization (Table 2). Those initial probabilities are 245 set to arbitrary non null values without any impact on prevalence equilibrium.

246 **4 Results**

247 4.1 Data

248 Partnership data. We used data reported in a French survey published in 2008 entitled Con-249 texte de la Sexualité en France (CSF) (Bajos and Bozon 2008) to define relevant parameters 250 characterizing the partnership process and calibrated the model to reproduce realistic contact 251 patterns in the country. This population-based cross-sectional survey provides information on 252 sexual behaviors of a representative sample of 12 364 French males and females. We notably 253 used data on the distribution of individuals in sexual activity classes by age categories (18-19, 254 20-24 and 25-29 years old), the distribution of individuals without partner during the year by 255 age categories, age at first partnership, age difference between partners, duration of partner-256 ship, and duration of inactivity between two partnerships.

257

258 Infection data. Because no data in the general population were available on the proportions 259 of individuals infected with HPV by age and genotype in the pre-vaccine era in France, we used 260 distributions reported from an epidemiological study carried out in the US (Markowitz et al. 261 2013), a country in which HPV epidemiology has been suggested to be similar to that in France 262 (de Sanjosé et al. 2007; Bruni et al. 2010). From (Markowitz et al. 2013) we extracted HPV 263 prevalence (*i.e.*, the proportion of individuals infected in the population) of VT (gathering 2 264 genotypes: HPV 16 and 18) and NVT (gathering 12 genotypes: HPV 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 265 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68) in the pre-vaccine era for females by age group (14-19, 20-24 and 25-266 29 years old). We calibrated model parameters related to the infection process assuming no 267 genotypic interaction (strength γ = 1). These included transmission probability parameters β_V

and β_{NV} and the mean duration of immunity for all genotypes (default values are presented in Table 2). For each interaction scenario, calibration was repeated for β_{NV} in order to properly fit pre-vaccine NVT prevalence.

271 4.2 Input and simulations

272 The model was initialized with 800,000 individual agents interconnected through a contact 273 network. We experiment 7 interaction scenarios (0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1, 1.1, 1.3, 1.5) with 10 simula-274 tions each. The explored genotypic interaction values and the corresponding transmission 275 rates are given as input to the model. First the simulations are run for 70 years to reach prev-276 alence equilibrium in the pre-vaccination period. Vaccination is introduced at date 70 years, 277 post-vaccination time is then defined as t=0 year, assuming 60% vaccine coverage. The model 278 is simulated over 40 years post-vaccine introduction. The execution of a single simulation over 279 110 years takes about 2 hours. The 10 independent stochastic simulations of each scenario 280 are generated thanks to a bash code taking the explored parameter values as input and run in 281 parallel on the cluster nodes. Sensitivity analyses were also carried out to evaluate the impact 282 of our model assumptions on observed results.

283 **4.3 Output**

Fig. 3 displays the dynamics of VT and NVT prevalence before and after vaccine introduction for 10 independent repetitions of the model in seven distinct scenarios of between-genotype interaction.

287 Vaccine impact on VT prevalence. Before vaccine introduction, the model was able to repro288 duce realistic VT prevalence patterns, with an average 10.7% in females. The prevalence was

slightly higher in the age group 20-24 years old than in the other groups and lowest in 15-19
year olds. After vaccine introduction, an expected decrease of VT prevalence was observed in
females, which stabilized to a new equilibrium (3.2%) once the age cohort had had a chance
to be immunized (after 5 years among 15-19 year olds up to 15 years among 25-29 year olds).
All calibrated interaction scenarios yielded undistinguishable patterns of VT prevalence and
stochasticity was weak, as demonstrated by the limited variability across the 10 simulations
displayed for VT prevalence in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. Temporal evolution of the prevalence of NVT and VT genotypes according to inter action scenarios



Vaccine impact on NVT prevalence. The model also satisfactorily reproduced NVT prevalence
before vaccine introduction, reaching an average 26.5% in females. The same pattern of NVT
prevalence was seen across age groups as with VT. Minor differences were visible across calibrated interaction scenarios. Stochasticity was more pronounced for NVT than for VT genotypes, particularly in the 20-24 age group, but standard deviations remained limited to <4% in
all scenarios.

309 After vaccine introduction, the evolution of NVT prevalence strongly depended on the inter-310 action scenario (Fig. 2). In the absence of genotypic interaction (neutral scenario), NVT preva-311 lence remained constant over time, while in non-neutral interaction scenarios some dynamics 312 was observed at the population level. Under competitive interaction (γ <1), NVT prevalence 313 increased while, conversely, under synergistic interaction (γ >1), NVT prevalence decreased 314 over time until reaching a new equilibrium. The magnitude of prevalence variations depended 315 on the strength of interaction: the more it deviated from 1, the more NVT prevalence deviated 316 from pre-vaccine equilibrium. The magnitude of the increase or decrease also appeared to be 317 proportional to NVT prevalence before vaccine introduction. Indeed, variations in NVT preva-318 lence appeared to be most pronounced in 20-24 year old females who also had the highest 319 prevalence before vaccine introduction. Times to reach the new prevalence equilibrium was 320 the same for NVT and VT.

321 Sensitivity analyses. With respect to the partnership process, combinations of parameter val-322 ues that best minimized the calibration criteria after the chosen ones resulted in VT and NVT 323 prevalence curves changing at the same time and with comparable magnitude. For the infection process, modifying one of the parameter values, whether it is the mean duration of infection, the mean duration of immunity or the transmission probabilities of the VT and NVT, affects VT and NVT prevalence equilibrium quantitatively but not the overall qualitative dynamics following the introduction of the vaccine.

328 **5 Discussion**

In this paper, we introduce a new agent-based model simulating the co-circulation of vaccine and non-vaccine genotypes in a heterogeneous human population. The main originality of this ABM is to consider genotype interactions. The agent-based approach makes it possible to define precisely natural history of HPV infection as well as co-infections in individuals and to assess vaccine impact on the overall dynamics of HPV infection at the population level.

334 The illustration that we present is an example of how the model can be used to simulate VT 335 and NVT prevalence according to genotypic interaction scenarios and prevention measures 336 such as vaccination. Successively calibrated interaction scenarios help investigate how syner-337 gistic interactions between genotypes could contribute to the decrease in overall HPV preva-338 lence aimed by vaccine introduction. Conversely, we show that competitive interactions may 339 lead to substantial increase in NVT prevalence: because VT prevalence decreases following 340 vaccination introduction, so does the prevalence of VT and NVT coinfections, resulting in 341 longer duration of infection with NVT and more opportunities for NVT transmission. This eco-342 logical replacement can potentially minimize the decrease in or even increase overall HPV 343 prevalence, therefore limiting vaccine benefit at the population level.

Although vaccination coverage is much lower in France (around 20%), we have chosen to present here a scenario with 60% vaccination coverage for a better observation of the replacement phenomenon. With lower vaccination coverage, variations in NVT prevalence are still visible under non-neutral interactions, but with reduced magnitude (results not shown).

348 We also chose a fixed population of 800,000 individuals, a size typical of a big metropolitan 349 area such as Paris, to avoid additional complexity of modelling spatial constraints on contact 350 mixing. Considering such a large population yields more stable results and allows us to inves-351 tigate subpopulations such as age groups in Fig. 3. Due to strong heterogeneity in contacts 352 across ages and sexual activity classes, simulating smaller populations may lead to more vari-353 ability and possible extinctions of the infectious process, making any calibration difficult. Sim-354 ulating the real French population size (~9,200,000 individuals 18-30 years of age) would re-355 quire extremely long calculation times and would only slightly reduce the already limited var-356 iability we observe in our results.

357 Despite a detailed agent-based approach is used here, some simplifications and assumptions 358 were made to compensate for the lack of data and gaps in knowledge. Several limitations 359 should be underlined.

First, it was not possible to calibrate the model simultaneously to sexual behaviors reported by males and females, as distributions of numbers of partners did not match. In the CSF survey, more males declared large numbers of partners than females (Bajos and Bozon 2008). Reporting bias differential by sex has been observed in previous studies (Fenton et al. 2001; Mitchell et al. 2019) and may explain part of our calibration difficulties. To overcome those difficulties, we focused on females' data in order to adapt the model and the estimation parameters, while trying to make it consistent with males' data in terms of cumulative number of partners. Moreover, because our interest was to simulate realistic heterogeneous contact patterns than can reproduce the typical bell-shaped curve of HPV prevalence according to age, we did not consider any temporal variation in sexual behaviors. Although the model was run for decades assuming unrealistically stable behaviors, that step only aimed at reaching prevalence equilibrium before the introduction of the vaccination and further analysis of the post vaccination dynamics was restricted to 40 years.

373 Second, to keep our model relatively simple, only two transmission probability parameters 374 were estimated for VT and NVT genotypes, thus mimicking average dynamics across the two 375 VT and the 12 NVT genotypes, respectively. However, large differences in prevalence are re-376 ported not only between VT and NVT groups, but also within each group (Markowitz et al. 377 2013). It could therefore be relevant to simulate VT and NVT genotypes more finely by cali-378 brating transmission probability parameters by genotype. It would then be possible to study 379 each genotype individually as well as to simulate interactions more precisely, for example be-380 tween certain defined genotypes.

Finally, simulation results presented here were restricted to the assumption that genotypic interaction would affect the infection duration of a second acquired virus. Interaction mechanisms between HPV genotypes remain unknown and could as well involve viral load and/or cell-infection ability (McLaughlin-Drubin and Meyers 2004; Xi et al. 2009; Biryukov and Meyers 2018). Alternative mechanism interaction scenarios were therefore incorporated in the model code, affecting probability of acquisition. Such mechanisms could be explored in the future to measure their population-level impact on prevalence and compare their credibility.

388	In conclusion, our model formalizing both the heterogeneity of sexual behaviors at the popu-
389	lation level and the co-circulation of distinct groups of genotypes allows us to evaluate path-
390	ogen transmission dynamics in realistic sexual networks. In the context of HPV transmission,
391	our simulation results show that interactions between HPV genotypes can significantly impact
392	effectiveness of anti-HPV vaccination at reducing HPV prevalence. This model can be used to
393	further investigate the impact of most recent anti-HPV vaccines targeting a larger number of
394	genotypes, again altering prevalence equilibrium, as well as the recent extension of vaccina-
395	tion to males (starting in 2021 in France). Vaccination of boys is expected to increase the mag-
396	nitude and speed of change in the prevalence of VT and NVT. Finally, the model could easily
397	be adapted to study other research questions related to sexually transmitted pathogens and
398	their interactions.
399	
400	
401	
402	
403	
404	
405	
406	
407	
408	
409	

411 Abbreviations

- 412 ABM(s), Agent-based model(s); HPV, human papillomavirus; ODD, Overview, Design concepts,
- 413 and Details; VT, vaccine type; NVT, non-vaccine type.

414 Availability of data and materials

415 All the source codes of the model and those for the analyses are available on request.

416 **Competing interests**

- 417 LO reports research grants from Pfizer through her institution, unrelated to the submitted
- 418 work. All other authors report no competing interests.

419 Funding

- 420 MB was funded by the INCa [grant DOC 2017-123] and MGEN, and her work was supported
- 421 by internal resources of Institut Pasteur, the French National Institute of Health and Medical
- 422 Research (Inserm) and the University of Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines (UVSQ).

423 Authors' contributions

- 424 MB, MF, EDA, ACMT and LO conceptualized the project. ACMT and LO supervised the project.
- 425 MB, ACMT and LO designed the model. MB and MF developed the model. MB, ACMT and LO
- 426 performed the validation of the model and the experimental simulations. All the authors re-
- 427 viewed the article and approved the submission.

428 Acknowledgements

We thank Margarita Pons-Salort for helpful discussions and ideas provided early during theproject.

431 Appendix

432 **Table 3.** Complementary values of variables related to the partnership process according to

л	2	2
д	-	-
-	-	-

sexual activity class and possibly age and sex

Sex	Age (years)		Number of partners per year			
		1	2-3	≥4	0ª	
				1 (0/)		
	T	Distribution of ind	lividuals by sexual activity o	class (%)	1	
Female	15-17	85.00	12.00	3.00	3.63	
		(calibrated) ^b	(calibrated)	(calibrated)	(fixed)	
	18-19	68.99	24.72	6.29	3.63	
	20-24	75.84	21.56	2.60	7.24	
	25-29	85.75	11.07	3.18	5.59	
Malo	15 17	75.00	10.00	6.00	1/ 20	
IVIAIC	13-17	(calibrated)	(calibrated)	(calibrated)	(fixed)	
	18-19	60.42	27.59	11.99	14.38	
	20-24	61.85	28.15	10.00	10.89	
	25-29	76.30	17.23	6.47	6.69	
				•	•	
	T	Dur	ation of partnership	1	1	
Any	15-19	4 categories (<1, 1-4, 5-9 and >10 years) distributed as in (Bajos and Bozon 2008) ^c (mean 224 weeks)				
	20-24	4 categories distributed as in (Bajos and Bozon 2008) ^c (mean 264 weeks)	Gamma distribution with calibrated mean 14 weeks (fixed variance 8)	Gamma distribution with calibrated mean 4 weeks (fixed variance 5) ^d	Not relevant	
	25-29	4 categories distributed as in (Bajos and Bozon 2008) ^c (mean 440 weeks)				
		Duration of inac	tivity between two partne	rships		
Any	All	Uniform distribution [1:104] weeks	Gamma distribution with calibrated mean 14 weeks (fixed variance 8)	Gamma distribution with calibrated mean 4 weeks (fixed variance 5) ^d	At least 52 weeks	

Duration of partner search before mixing between sexual activity classes					
Any	All	10 weeks	5 weeks	30 weeks	Not
		(calibrated)	(calibrated)	(calibrated)	relevant

434 ^a Individuals without a partner are randomly selected each year from the population;

^b When not specified fixed or calibrated, values are extracted from (Bajos and Bozon 2008);

436 ^c One category of partnership duration is drawn from a multinomial distribution whose parameters are the pro-

portions reported in (Bajos and Bozon 2008), then a precise value is drawn from a uniform distribution withinthe duration category;

^d The duration of partnership and between two partnerships are identical in most sexually active individuals and
 drawn only once a year⁻

441 **References**

- Auchincloss AH, Diez Roux AV (2008) A new tool for epidemiology: the usefulness of dynamicagent models in understanding place effects on health. Am J Epidemiol 168(1):1–8.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn118
- Bajos N, Bozon M (2008) Sexualité, genre et santé : les apports de l'enquête « Contexte de la
 sexualité en France ». La Découverte
- Baylor NW (2006) Approved Products June 8, 2006 Approval Letter Human Papillomavirus
 Quadrivalent (Types 6, 11, 16, 18) Vaccine, Recombinant. http://wayback.archiveit.org/7993/20170722145339/https://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/ucm111283.htm. Accessed 12 Dec 2020
- Biryukov J, Meyers C (2018) Superinfection Exclusion between Two High-Risk Human Papillomavirus Types during a Coinfection. J Virol 92(8):e01993-17.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01993-17
- Bruni L, Diaz M, Castellsagué X, Ferrer E, Bosch FX, de Sanjosé S (2010) Cervical human papil lomavirus prevalence in 5 continents: meta-analysis of 1 million women with normal
 cytological findings. J Infect Dis 202(12):1789–1799. https://doi.org/10.1086/657321
- Burger EA, Campos NG, Sy S, Regan C, Kim JJ (2018) Health and economic benefits of singledose HPV vaccination in a Gavi-eligible country. Vaccine 36(32 Pt A):4823–4829.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.04.061
- de Sanjosé S, Diaz M, Castellsagué X, Clifford G, Bruni L, Muñoz N, Bosch FX (2007) Worldwide
 prevalence and genotype distribution of cervical human papillomavirus DNA in women
 with normal cytology: a meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 7(7):453–459.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70158-5
- 464 ECDC (2020) Guidance on HPV vaccination in EU countries: focus on boys, people living with
 465 HIV and 9-valent HPV vaccine introduction. In: European Centre for Disease Prevention
 466 and Control. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/guidance-hpv-vac 467 cination-eu-focus-boys-people-living-hiv-9vHPV-vaccine. Accessed 2 Jul 2020
- 468 Elbasha EH, Dasbach EJ, Insinga RP (2008) A multi-type HPV transmission model. Bull Math
 469 Biol 70(8):2126–2176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-008-9338-x
- Fenton KA, Johnson AM, McManus S, Erens B (2001) Measuring sexual behaviour: methodological challenges in survey research. Sex Transm Infect 77(2):84–92.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.77.2.84
- Grimm V, Berger U, Bastiansen F, Eliassen S, Ginot V, Giske J, Goss-Custard J, Grand T, Heinz
 SK, Huse G, Huth A, Jepsen JU, Jørgensen C, Mooij WM, Müller B, Pe'er G, Piou C, Railsback SF, Robbins AM, Robbins MM, Rossmanith E, Rüger N, Strand E, Souissi S, Stillman
 RA, Vabø R, Visser U, DeAngelis DL (2006) A standard protocol for describing individual-

477based and agent-based models.Ecological Modelling198(1):115–126.478https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.04.023

- Johnson HC, Lafferty EI, Eggo RM, Louie K, Soldan K, Waller J, Edmunds WJ (2018) Effect of
 HPV vaccination and cervical cancer screening in England by ethnicity: a modelling
 study. Lancet Public Health 3(1):e44–e51. https://doi.org/10.1016/S24682667(17)30238-4
- 483 Man I, Vänskä S, Lehtinen M, Bogaards JA (2020) Human papillomavirus genotype replace-484 ment: still too early to tell? J Infect Dis. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa032
- Markowitz LE, Hariri S, Lin C, Dunne EF, Steinau M, McQuillan G, Unger ER (2013) Reduction
 in human papillomavirus (HPV) prevalence among young women following HPV vaccine introduction in the United States, National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 2003-2010. J Infect Dis 208(3):385–393. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jit192
- Matthijsse SM, van Rosmalen J, Hontelez JAC, Bakker R, de Kok IMCM, van Ballegooijen M, de
 Vlas SJ (2015) The role of acquired immunity in the spread of human papillomavirus
 (HPV): explorations with a microsimulation model. PLoS One 10(2):e0116618.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116618
- McLaughlin-Drubin ME, Meyers C (2004) Evidence for the coexistence of two genital HPV
 types within the same host cell in vitro. Virology 321(2):173–180.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2003.12.019
- Mitchell KR, Mercer CH, Prah P, Clifton S, Tanton C, Wellings K, Copas A (2019) Why do men
 report more opposite-sex sexual partners than women? Analysis of the gender discrepancy in a british national probability survey. J Sex Res 56(1):1–8.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2018.1481193
- Murall CL, McCann KS, Bauch CT (2014) Revising ecological assumptions about human papil lomavirus interactions and type replacement. J Theor Biol 350:98–109.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2013.12.028
- Olsen J, Jepsen MR (2010) Human papillomavirus transmission and cost-effectiveness of in troducing quadrivalent HPV vaccination in Denmark. Int J Technol Assess Health Care
 26(2):183–191. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462310000085
- Pons-Salort M, Letort V, Favre M, Heard I, Dervaux B, Opatowski L, Guillemot D (2013) Explor ing individual HPV coinfections is essential to predict HPV-vaccination impact on gen otype distribution: a model-based approach. Vaccine 31(8):1238–1245.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.11.098
- Shiboski S, Padian NS (1996) Population- and individual-based approaches to the design and
 analysis of epidemiologic studies of sexually transmitted disease transmission. J Infect
 Dis 174(Supplement_2):S188–S200. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/174.Supplement_2.S188

- Trottier H, Mahmud S, Costa MC, Sobrinho JP, Duarte-Franco E, Rohan TE, Ferenczy A, Villa LL,
 Franco EL (2006) Human papillomavirus infections with multiple types and risk of cer vical neoplasia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 15(7):1274–1280.
 https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0129
- Van de Velde N, Boily M-C, Drolet M, Franco EL, Mayrand M-H, Kliewer EV, Coutlée F, Laprise
 J-F, Malagón T, Brisson M (2012) Population-level impact of the bivalent, quadrivalent,
 and nonavalent human papillomavirus vaccines: a model-based analysis. J Natl Cancer
 Inst 104(22):1712–1723. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djs395
- Walboomers JM, Jacobs MV, Manos MM, Bosch FX, Kummer JA, Shah KV, Snijders PJ, Peto J,
 Meijer CJ, Muñoz N (1999) Human papillomavirus is a necessary cause of invasive cervical cancer worldwide. J Pathol 189(1):12–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)10969896(199909)189:1<12::AID-PATH431>3.0.CO;2-F
- Xi LF, Edelstein ZR, Meyers C, Ho J, Cherne SL, Schiffman M (2009) Human papillomavirus types
 16 and 18 DNA load in relation to coexistence of other types, particularly those in the
 same species. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 18(9):2507–2512.
 https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0482