

Deconstructing Alzheimer's Disease: How to Bridge the Gap between Experimental Models and the Human Pathology?

Anaïs Vignon, Lucie Salvador-Prince, Sylvain Lehmann, Véronique Perrier,

Joan Torrent

▶ To cite this version:

Anaïs Vignon, Lucie Salvador-Prince, Sylvain Lehmann, Véronique Perrier, Joan Torrent. Deconstructing Alzheimer's Disease: How to Bridge the Gap between Experimental Models and the Human Pathology?. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2021, 22 (16), pp.8769. 10.3390/ijms22168769. inserm-03334154

HAL Id: inserm-03334154 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03334154v1

Submitted on 3 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





Deconstructing Alzheimer's Disease: How to Bridge the Gap between Experimental Models and the Human Pathology?

Anaïs Vignon¹, Lucie Salvador-Prince¹, Sylvain Lehmann², Véronique Perrier^{3,*,†} and Joan Torrent^{1,*,†}

- ¹ INM, University of Montpellier, INSERM, 34095 Montpellier, France; anais.vignon@umontpellier.fr (A.V.); lucie.salvador-prince@umontpellier.fr (L.S.-P.)
- ² INM, University of Montpellier, INSERM, CHU Montpellier, 34095 Montpellier, France; sylvain.lehmann@umontpellier.fr
- ³ INM, University of Montpellier, INSERM, CNRS, 34095 Montpellier, France
- * Correspondence: veronique.perrier@inserm.fr (V.P.); joan.torrent@inserm.fr (J.T.)
- † The authors have contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Discovered more than a century ago, Alzheimer's disease (AD) is not only still present in our societies but has also become the most common dementia, with 50 million people worldwide affected by the disease. This number is expected to double in the next generation, and no cure is currently available to slow down or stop the disease progression. Recently, some advances were made due to the approval of the aducanumab treatment by the American Food and Drug Administration. The etiology of this human-specific disease remains poorly understood, and the mechanisms of its development have not been completely clarified. Several hypotheses concerning the molecular mechanisms of AD have been proposed, but the existing studies focus primarily on the two main markers of the disease: the amyloid β peptides, whose aggregation in the brain generates amyloid plaques, and the abnormally phosphorylated tau proteins, which are responsible for neurofibrillary tangles. These protein aggregates induce neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration, which, in turn, lead to cognitive and behavioral deficits. The challenge is, therefore, to create models that best reproduce this pathology. This review aims at gathering the different existing AD models developed in vitro, in cellulo, and in vivo. Many models have already been set up, but it is necessary to identify the most relevant ones for our investigations. The purpose of the review is to help researchers to identify the most pertinent disease models, from the most often used to the most recently generated and from simple to complex, explaining their specificities and giving concrete examples.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; in vitro; in cellulo; in vivo models

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia in humans. It is a health and social issue that causes great economic concerns, with 131.5 million people around the world predicted to be affected in 2050 [1]. AD is a multifactorial disease with genetic and environmental risk factors. Two forms of the disease exist: (i) the familial AD (fAD), affecting less than 1% of the patients [2] and caused by one or several mutations among the three main AD-related genes, and (ii) the sporadic AD (sAD), which is the most prevalent form associated with genetic predispositions and environmental factors, such as age, gender, alimentation, and pollutant exposure [3]. When symptoms occur, the age of the patient is a key factor in defining the type of AD. Between 40 and 65 years of age, the disease is considered as an early onset of AD (EOAD), and after 65 years of age, it is considered as a late onset of AD (LOAD). The EOAD accounts for less than 5% of all AD cases [4] and is preferably linked to fAD, with an early development induced by a genetic mutation [5]. The main hallmarks of AD in the brain are an extracellular accumulation of amyloid plaques due to an aggregation of amyloid β (A β) peptides and an intracellular accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) formed by hyperphosphorylated tau proteins. These protein



Citation: Vignon, A.; Salvador-Prince, L.; Lehmann, S.; Perrier, V.; Torrent, J. Deconstructing Alzheimer's Disease: How to Bridge the Gap between Experimental Models and the Human Pathology? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 8769. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms22168769

Academic Editors: Ludmilla A. Morozova-Roche and Igor A. Iashchishyn

Received: 13 July 2021 Accepted: 6 August 2021 Published: 16 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). assemblies induce neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration [6]. The affected brain regions are the cortex, the temporal, parietal, and frontal lobes, and the hippocampus [7]. Neurodegeneration in these regions, especially of cholinergic neurons, leads to memory and cognitive impairments [5]. AD is a complex disease due to the interaction of many actors, combined with the difficulty to identify those responsible for the onset of the disease. Furthermore, AD is associated with other severe human diseases, such as hypertension, glucose metabolism abnormalities, and diabetes [8].

Since the description of the first case of AD by Alois Alzheimer more than a century ago [9], the pathogenesis of the disease has still not been clearly understood. In the mid-1970s, the cholinergic hypothesis was proposed on the basis that patients exhibited low levels of acetylcholine. The activity of choline acetyltransferase, a key enzyme in acetylcholine synthesis, was greatly reduced in different brain regions of AD patients, i.e., the amygdala, hippocampus, and cortex. The concept of a cholinergic system failure in AD was reported [10]. This hypothesis was the starting point for the development of many inhibitors of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), the enzyme involved in acetylcholine degradation. Among those inhibitors, tacrine was the first drug approved by the American Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1995 [11]. However, its prescription has dropped due to liver toxicity and the appearance of a second generation of AChE inhibitors: donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine [12]. In parallel, the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) hypothesis was proposed in 1992 on the basis that A β peptides alter calcium homeostasis by interacting with NMDA receptors and render neurons sensitive to excitotoxicity. Thus, antagonists of NMDA receptors were developed, such as memantine, which was approved by the American FDA in 2003 [13]. However, both types of drugs only lessen the symptoms, such as memory loss, for a very limited period [14]. Besides, in 2012, the French Pharmacoeconomic Committee downgraded both treatments from major to low efficiency. After many infructuous trials, there is still no efficient treatment to cure or stop the progression of cognitive impairments. In this context, the development of AD experimental models is crucial for elucidating the mechanisms and the etiology of the disease in order to prevent its development, allow for early diagnoses, and possibly identify efficient therapies [3].

Here, we aim at presenting an overview of the main existing AD experimental models and their features. After the identification of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles as the main targets of AD pathology, the models focused on the formation of A β and tau aggregates in vitro. Recent breakthroughs in structural techniques have allowed for the characterization, at the atomistic level, of A β and tau assemblies. This, together with the improvement of in silico models, has helped to identify new pharmacological targets. In parallel, in cellulo and in vivo models overexpressing A β or tau markers were extensively generated. However, the discrepancies between in vivo models and the human pathology, combined with the successive failures in clinical trials, led to the development of new models mimicking the brain environment: induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) derived from AD patients, 3D-cultures, and organoids/mini brains. This review does not provide an exhaustive list of all the models, because they are too numerous; rather, it selects the most representative and recent models using the latest innovative technologies.

2. Molecular Features of Alzheimer's Disease: A Peptides and Tau Proteins

Today, the amyloid and tau pathways, based on the involvement of the A β peptides and tau protein, respectively, are the two hypotheses related to the development of the AD pathology. Amyloid plaques are composed of amyloid fibrils formed by the aggregation of A β peptides (Figure 1A). These peptides are produced by several key actors: neurons and astrocytes, which are inter-connected [15,16]. From 36 to 43 amino acids in length, A β peptides are cleavage products of the transmembrane amyloid precursor protein (APP). APP can be cleaved by three types of enzymes: α -, β -, and γ -secretases. The α secretases are enzymes from the ADAM (A Desintegrin and Metalloprotease) family, such as ADAM9, ADAM10, and ADAM17 [17]. The β -secretase is the β -site APP cleaving enzyme (BACE1) [18]. The γ -secretase is a protein complex composed of presenilin 1 (PSEN1) or presenilin 2 (PSEN2), nicastrin, anterior pharynx defective 1 (APH1), and presenilin enhancer 2 (PEN2). In the physiologic nonamyloidogenic pathway, APP is mostly cleaved by the α - and γ -secretases. In the amyloidogenic pathway, the cleavages of APP by β - and γ - secretases lead to the extracellular production of A β peptides, especially the insoluble 42-amino-acid peptide, $A\beta_{1-42}$ [5]. The latter is the main component of amyloid plaques and is known as the most toxic AD molecule when aggregating and forming oligomers. As a protection mechanism, amyloid fibrils could trap toxic oligomers. The toxicity mechanisms of oligomers are based on their interaction with different cellular receptors, such as nerve growth factor (NGF), NMDA, insulin, and Frizzled receptors [19]. For instance, when A^β oligomers interact with NMDA receptors, the calcium homeostasis is impaired. This calcium imbalance alters mitochondrial functions, inducing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and nitric oxide (NO) (Figure 1C). These processes lead to neuroinflammation and cytokine release, with the recruitment of microglial cells and astrocytes, as well as a decrease of neurotransmission. The inflammatory environment and the neurotransmission dysregulation cause neurotoxicity and neurodegeneration [6]. In the amyloid hypothesis, an excess of $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptides is the cause of AD development. Knowing that mutations in the APP, PSEN1, or PSEN2 genes lead to fAD, this means that APP and β - and γ -secretases are key actors in AD development and supports the hypothesis that the dysregulation of A β peptide production could be a cause of AD. However, fAD cases represent a minority among all affected patients. A comparison of the AB production of healthy controls and that of AD patients suggests that it is not only a production problem but also a decrease or a dysregulation of the A β peptide clearance [20]. A β peptides are mainly degraded by enzymes, such as neprilysin (NEP) and insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE), or eliminated from the brain by crossing the blood-brain barrier (BBB) through the lipoprotein receptor-related protein-1 (LRP-1) receptor. On the other hand, A β peptides can be transported from blood to the central nervous system via the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) [21]. The BBB is composed of endothelial cells, pericytes, and astrocytes, which form the neurovascular unit interacting with neurons and microglia [5,22]. These different actors have a role in A β peptide regulation and AD development (reviewed by Bates et al. [21]).

NFTs are composed of the hyperphosphorylated microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT), which is also called tau (Figure 1B). This protein is usually associated with microtubules, which have an important role in the cell cytoskeleton. In AD, the balance between phosphatases and kinases is dysregulated, leading to tau hyperphosphorylation at certain sites. Hyperphosphorylated tau proteins detach from the microtubules and accumulate into the cytosol, forming paired helical filaments and straight filaments, which assemble into NFTs [23,24]. NFTs lead to microtubule dysfunction, with an axonal transport alteration and cytoskeleton breakdown, inducing neuronal death. Tau aggregation is also known to decrease protein degradation by altering proteasome and to induce immune response by activating microglia and astrocytes [5,25,26]. Thus, tau creates a protein burden, possibly inducing a higher A β quantity, and induces neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation (Figure 1C). In the tau hypothesis, the etiology of AD is related to the hyperphosphorylation of tau and its aggregation. However, many studies have shown that Aβ production is upstream of tau. In the amyloid hypothesis, the increase in intraneuronal calcium activates glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3 β), a kinase involved in tau hyperphosphorylation [27]. Thus, the dysregulation of A β peptide production or clearance also induces cascade events, leading to tau hyperphosphorylation and sustaining a tau-A β vicious circle (Figure 1C). Currently, the main hypothesis of AD development is the amyloid hypothesis, although it has been criticized due to a lack of correlation between A β plaques and neuronal loss [28]. However, the neuronal loss is caused by the presence of toxic A β oligomers, rather than fibrils and plaques, and it was suggested that AD is an "oligomeropathy" with a prion-like mechanism of propagation [3,29]. The hypothesis that the molecular interplay between tau and A β oligomers perpetuates a vicious circle



of neurotoxicity is now more widely accepted, even though it remains to be elucidated whether tau or A β species initiate the pathological process [30,31].

Figure 1. Molecular features of Alzheimer's disease: from amyloid and tau aggregation to cell death. (**A**) Amyloid peptide production and aggregation. The APP transmembrane protein (blue cylinders) is cleaved by β - and γ -secretases, which generate A β peptides (blue circles). In a pathological context, these A β peptides aggregate into toxic oligomers, which form protofibrils, fibrils, and finally, amyloid plaques. (**B**) Tau aggregation. Tau proteins (dark red rectangles) are associated with microtubules (gray assemblies). During the pathological process, tau proteins are hyperphosphorylated (light red rectangles) and detach from microtubules, which disassemble during the pathological process. Hyperphosphorylated tau proteins aggregate into oligomers, forming paired helical filaments and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs). (**C**) Summary of the molecular interactions occurring in a neuron during AD and illustration of a tau–A β vicious circle. On one hand, A β oligomers increase intraneuronal calcium, inducing inflammation, microglial recruitment, and tau aggregation. In turn, the excess of tau aggregates decreases A β peptide degradation by disturbing the proteasome. All these processes lead to neuronal cell death. Abbreviations. NMDA: *N*-methyl-D-aspartate; GSK3 β : glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta; ROS: reactive oxygen species; NO: nitric oxide.

3. Cell-Free In Vitro and In Silico Models of Alzheimer's Disease

In this section, cell-free in vitro and in silico models using $A\beta$ peptides and tau proteins are presented. These models investigate aggregation kinetics, protein structures, and molecular interactions with potential ligands. They are diverse and rely on many techniques. Since in vitro models are at the molecular scale, with a limited number of molecular players, they are not able to precisely predict the effects on the entire organism. However, they are at the starting point to explore and develop biological, pharmacological, and medical research on AD.

3.1. In Vitro A β Models of Fibrillization—Monitoring the Kinetics of Fibril Formation Using Biochemical and Biophysical Methods

3.1.1. Dye-Based Methods

Since the 1990s, the development of in vitro models of A β peptide aggregation has been a limited but crucial step in understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying AD. A β peptides are self-aggregating molecules in vitro. Their aggregation is due to the formation of β -sheets in their secondary structure. To monitor the fibrillization process, the first in vitro experiments used dyes, which are colored compounds binding specific substrates. Congo Red (CR) was the first compound to be used [32] and was shown to bind to β -pleated sheets, identifying fibrils but not protofibrils and oligomers (Table 1). In 1959, another fluorescent dye was developed: thioflavin T (ThT) [33]. Through the binding of ThT to β -pleated structures of amyloid fibrils, its fluorescence emission intensity increases. Even if ThT does not bind to protofibrils and oligomers, it is still the best and most commonly used fluorescent dye for studying the kinetics of fibril formation (Figure 2) [34]. The binding mechanism of ThT to fibrils is not clearly understood. In addition, a major disadvantage is that ThT also binds to DNA. Thus, it is crucial to use protein-pure samples in in vitro models of fibrillization. Another more recent fluorescent dye for studying amyloid aggregation is 1-anilino-8-naphthalene sulfonate (ANS) [35,36], which binds to solvent-exposed hydrophobic areas of proteins and induces a fluorescence emission shift. Thus, ANS is very useful for protein folding studies and for staining amyloid intermediates, such as oligomers and protofibrils. In contrast to CR and ThT, ANS allows for the characterization of both the early (oligomers, protofibrils) and late steps of aggregation (fibrils).

Table 1. In vitro models of Aβ amyloid fibril formation and the associated biophysical techniques, based on the reviews of Malmos et al. (2017), Bruggink et al. (2012), Siddiqi et al. (2019), and Kumar et al. (2017) [34,37–39].

Aβ Fibrillization Models and Associated Techniques						
Associated Methods	Examples	Advantages	Pitfalls	References		
Additive models						
Dye-based methods	Congo Red (CR) dye	Historical dye. Can also be used on tissues.	The short beta-sheet structures are not bound. The oligomers and protofibrils are not detected.	[32]		
	Thioflavin T (ThT) assays	Best tool to study amyloid fibril formation: does not affect fibril formation, linearity, availability, robustness; easy to use.	ThT does not bind specifically to fibrils but also to DNA, cyclodextrin and SDS micelles. Need to use protein-pure samples. Cannot detect early aggregates (oligomers and protofibrils). The binding affinity depends on the fibril type. Need to use complementary techniques to confirm the results.	[33,34,40–43]		
	ANS dye	Useful to characterize protein folding and aggregation intermediates.	Not specific to fibrils. Any protein with a hydrophobic region folded in the protein core has a fluorescent intensity.	[35,36,38]		
Antibody-based methods	Time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) immunoassay	Aβ peptide quantification. Sensitivity. Simple, rapid and robust method. Real-time kinetic study.	This technique requires specific antibody non cross-reacting with the different Aβ peptides.	[44,45]		
	Surface plasmon resonance (SPR)	Real-time method. Study short-term or long-term aggregation kinetics (from second to hours). Study of aggregation modulators and potential drug inhibitors.	Need to know precisely which oligomer species or fibrils are bound by the antibody.	[46-49]		
Microscopy and spectroscopy	Fluorescence microscopy and Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS)	Sensitivity. Real-time imaging. Small samples are sufficient. Can be used with fluorophore-coupled antibody (specificity gain). Also used to observe samples stained with Thioflavin T or ANS dyes.	Labeling can change aggregation. Autofluorescence interference.	[37,50–52]		
Pure models						
Microscopy and spectroscopy	Time-resolved emission spectra (TRES)	Non-invasive and label-free technique. Nanosecond timescale and nanometer spatial resolution.	Difficulties for data treatment and interpretation.	[53,54]		
	Turbidity, multiangle laser light scattering (MALLS), dynamic light scattering (DLS)	Label-free methods. Light scattering is very sensitive. Real-time detection.	Turbidity is not a very reliable technique. Cannot differentiate oligomer intermediates. Low resolution of light scattering techniques.	[37,38,55,56]		



Figure 2. Cell-free in vitro and in silico models of A β peptides and tau proteins. (**A**) Fibrillization models of A β peptides and tau proteins based on ThT assays. (**B**) Structural models of A β_{1-42} peptides and 441-residue tau protein by Gremer et al. (2017) [57] and Popov et al. (2019) [58], respectively. (**C**) Models of protein diffusion of A β peptides and tau proteins in the brain developed by Weickenmeier et al. (2018) [59] and Yang et al. (2019) [60]. Abbreviations. ThT: Thioflavin T; MDS: molecular dynamic simulations.

3.1.2. Antibody-Based Methods

Alternatively, specific antibodies/nanobodies are now powerful tools for precisely targeting the various species involved in the aggregation process of A β peptides. The homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) immunoassay is based on the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between two antibodies, i.e., the donor and the acceptor, which are directed against different parts of the fibrils. During the fibrillization process, when the donor antibody is close enough to the acceptor, it transfers its energy, leading to the emission of a fluorescence signal. Thus, the HTRF immunoassay resolves aggregation kinetics in real-time [44,45] (Table 1). Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) is another antibody-based method that allows for interaction studies of partners. It was adapted for analyses of A β aggregation kinetics. This latter method is a label-free and real-time technique measuring the short-term (seconds) and long-term (hours) kinetics of amyloid aggregation [46,47].

3.1.3. Microscopy and Spectroscopy Techniques

With the development of high-performance microscopes and specific fluorophores, new in vitro models of fibrillization were developed based on fluorescence correlation microscopy (FCS). FCS uses fluorophores that specifically bind to amyloid peptides [50,51] (Table 1). For example, Sengupta et al. [42] studied the saturation concentration under which $A\beta_{1-40}$ peptides do not precipitate in vitro. This measured concentration, at a micromolar range, is higher than the estimated in vivo saturation concentration at a nanomolar range. The discrepancies between the two concentrations highlight the role of co-precipitation factors promoting amyloid aggregation at nanomolar concentrations in vivo, rather than the self-aggregating properties of amyloid peptides alone.

One of the main pitfalls of the in vitro models described above is the use of extraneous molecules, i.e., dyes, antibodies, or fluorophores, in the experiments. These molecules are necessary for studying fibril formation kinetics; however, they are not present in vivo and could interfere with the fibrillization modeling. Therefore, highly pure in vitro models, without the addition of external molecules, were developed. For example, a recent in vitro model, based on the time-resolved emission spectra (TRES), uses the intrinsic tyrosine fluorescence of amyloid peptides to monitor aggregation at a nanosecond timescale [53]. Tridimensional models of fibrils were also generated using light scattering and derived techniques, such as multiangle laser light scattering (MALLS) and dynamic light scattering (DLS). These techniques quantify the size of aggregates and allow for the monitoring of fibril formation through the detection, in real-time, of the different growing amyloid structures [37,38,55,56] (Table 1).

To summarize this section, in vitro amyloid models of fibrillization are based on very diverse techniques. This diversity has helped to elucidate the required parameters necessary to induce aggregation. Depending on the model, researchers focus either on the timescale, identifying the short-term [61] or long-term fibrillization events, or are interested in one specific amyloid species. For instance, Nick et al. [62] modeled oligomerization through a complete study of a fibrillization-resistant oligomer. These in vitro models of fibrillization are also very useful for finding inhibitors blocking the fibrillization or to discover ligands able to promote the kinetics. Above all, the closer the in vitro model is to reality, the more accurately researchers can understand the disease at the molecular level.

3.2. Structural Models of A_β Amyloid Peptides

To deeply understand why amyloid peptides oligomerize and form fibrils and plaques, it is essential to determine their structures and interaction forces that govern the different structural species, i.e., monomers, oligomers, protofibrils, and fibrils. The determination of the structures is also a crucial step in performing high-throughput screening for the identification of specific ligands binding to amyloid structures and inhibiting the fibrillization process. Many attempts to generate $A\beta$ amyloid structure models have been made, without success [63]. Only recently, the structure of an A β_{1-42} -composed fibril was resolved at a resolution of 4 angstrom using cryo-electron microscopy (EM) and solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (ssNMR) techniques. This structure gives the position of peptides inside the protofibrils and the position of intertwined protofibrils inside the fibrils (Figure 2). In this model, each $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptide adopts a "LS" conformation and is not planar. Each peptide, in its "LS" conformation, interacts with nearby $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptides from the same protofibril and also from the other intertwined protofibrils [57]. The structure of $A\beta$ amyloid fibrils isolated from meningeal tissues of Alzheimer's patients was also resolved recently by cryo-EM. The structural analysis showed differences between synthetic fibrils and patient-derived fibrils. These discrepancies underscore the relevance of using fibrils derived from patients, rather than recombinant fibrils generated from *Escherichia coli* [64]. Additionally, ssNMR, performed on tissue samples extracted from AD patients, revealed that structural variations in amyloid fibrils can be correlated with different clinical phenotypes, leading to the notion of AD strains. Some strains lead to a rapid AD pathology, whereas other strains induce a prolonged duration of AD [65].

Thus, in vitro A β structural models and A β fibrillization models are complementary. Most cell-free in vitro studies use both to understand the AD pathology. For example, a difference in the primary structure of one A β peptide, such as mutation, can lead to an increased aggregation rate, as demonstrated by He et al. [66], who compared two amyloid peptides carrying fAD mutations (the Dutch-type and its L17A/F19A-substituted A β_{1-40} mutant) with the native A β_{1-40} peptide.

3.3. Pharmacological Development Targeting AB Peptides

The in vitro fibrillization and structure models of $A\beta$ are not only used to understand the AD pathology but are also useful for identifying new drugs for potential treatments of AD. One of the first strategies developed to identify anti-AD drugs was to block the fibrillization process, and screening was performed using in vitro fibrillization models. For example, the inhibition of $A\beta$ aggregation by carbenoxolone was shown using the Congo Red assay [67]. However, among the different in vitro fibrillization models, the ThT-based assay is the most commonly used for drug screening. For example, many designed drugs (pegylated copolymer and synthesized liposomes), as well as natural compounds (plant extracts, such as polyphenols, and marine-derived carotenoids, such as astaxanthin and fucoxanthin) were identified using ThT assays [40–43,68]. The role of cellular actors, such as chaperone, in fibrillization inhibition was also examined using a ThT assay [69]. Another emerging idea about how to prevent AD is rather to avoid the formation of toxic oligomers. Therefore, instead of looking for fibrillization inhibitors, synthetic peptides were designed to trap $A\beta_{1-42}$ in chimeric amyloid-like fibrils [70]. In addition, Nardo et al. [71] studied the ability of synthetic liposomes to hinder early $A\beta$ oligomerization in vitro.

3.4. In Silico Studies of AB Peptides

In silico models of AD use computer simulations to create models that mimic in vivo or in vitro systems of the disease in a simplified environment with the minimum number of parameters. In silico models are often based on in vitro structural models, and the two fields are entangled. In most cases, in silico models focus on molecular interactions during amyloid aggregation. They are notably based on molecular dynamic simulations (MDS), which quantify all the energies of intra-atomic interactions of one folded molecule or several molecules interacting together to simulate the most probable structural evolution with time. The study of molecular interactions between a protein and a ligand, such as the amyloid peptide and an aggregation inhibitor, was also made possible by molecular docking [72,73]. This technique simulates the probable structure of the protein–ligand complex using the known structure of the protein and the ligand, alone. For all the different methods, before simulation, different parameters can be chosen and changed, such as the peptide concentration, temperature, and presence of ions. Thus, in silico simulations, such as in vitro models of fibrillization and molecular structures, are used to study amyloid aggregation and allow for the structure modeling of amyloid peptides, oligomers, and fibrils. The simulations are also used to screen interacting drugs or aggregative factors. The computer modeling is advantageous, as it is inexpensive, reproducible, and highthroughput [3]. In silico models are often complementary to in vitro studies. For example, structures of $A\beta_{1-40}$ and $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptide assemblies were studied using a combination of MDS and atomic force microscopy [74]. The specific S-shape conformation of $A\beta_{1-42}$ fibrils was extensively studied in silico and in vitro, as reviewed by Villalobos Acosta et al. [75]. In silico models are also useful for selecting inhibitors of the aggregation reaction with the highest scores. This method is very helpful and timesaving in in vitro drug screenings [73]. For example, Nie et al. [76] studied the interaction of a polyphenol, gallic acid, with $A\beta_{1-40}$ monomers in silico. Polyphenols are known to inhibit $A\beta$ aggregation, with an anti-amyloidogenic effect, but the mechanism is unknown. The binding site between gallic acid and the $A\beta_{1-40}$ peptide, as well as the interaction forces, were described, and it was shown that, through the interaction, gallic acid prevents the beta-sheet structure formation in A β_{1-40} . Recently, a physics-based model was developed to explain the prion-like features

of propagation and neurodegeneration by simulating protein aggregates spreading within brain structures [59]. Their models were notably based on the full brain geometry and axonal directions. Playing with different parameters, such as the initial seeding point, they were able to recapitulate the different stages of AD. Their spatial simulations of toxic protein propagation and atrophy correspond to patients' data (Figure 2).

To summarize, in vitro fibrillization and structural models, as well as in silico models, play a decisive role in the understanding of amyloid aggregation in AD, and they are key steps in the development of therapeutic strategies. These models are not exclusive and can be used in combination to study all the features of a potent drug, each of those models presenting pros and cons. For example, Som Chaudhury et al. [43] studied a tripeptide-based polymeric inhibitor of amyloid aggregation with a ThT assay (fibrillization), infrared spectroscopy (structure determination), and in silico simulations.

3.5. In Vitro and In Silico Models of the Structure and Aggregation of Tau

Tau aggregates into NFTs and is the other key actor in AD. Tau and A β peptides present similarities during the aggregation process, such as the formation of β -sheet structures [24,77]. Thus, tau structural and aggregation models were also developed, based on some of the previously described techniques used for in vitro and in silico amyloid models.

3.5.1. Fibrillization of Tau Proteins and Pharmacological Studies

Since the ThT assay is based on the detection of beta-pleated structures, this test is also used for the study of tau aggregation and ultimately for drug screening [78]. In contrast to Aβ peptides, tau is not self-aggregating in vitro. Some intrinsic factors are required to induce its fibrillization, such as hyperphosphorylation, posttranslational modifications, preformed seeds, or the use of extrinsic inducers as heparin [79,80]. Curiously, lots of in vitro tau studies focused on proaggregation molecules to improve the aggregative models. Therefore, arachidonic acid was shown to induce and improve tau aggregation, especially for small tau isoforms [81]. The addition of an inducer into the test tube generates a bias in the model, since the tau aggregation rate is different with self-assembled phosphorylated tau, compared to heparin-induced tau [79]. Besides, there are different tau isoforms, which are all involved in the formation of NFTs in AD [82]. Thus, it is key to understand the aggregation process of each isoform and their interconnections to better understand their association within NFTs. This knowledge is a limiting step for the development of more specific drug screening assays [79]. In a similar way to the ThT assay, 200,000 compounds were screened, leading to the identification of anthraquinones as inhibitors of tau aggregation [83]. In addition, cinnamon, curcumin, and synthetic peptides also inhibited tau aggregation in ThT assays [84-86]. Recently, the microtubule polymerization assay, based on the turbidity of a tau-induced microtubule assembly, was developed. If the turbidity increases, this means that the microtubule assembly is fully functional. This assay is useful for assessing the physiological function of tau in microtubule assembly with the presence of drugs inhibiting tau aggregation [87].

3.5.2. Structural Models of Tau Proteins

In vitro structural models of tau proteins are required to understand their molecular interactions during aggregation. Dregni et al. [88] used ssNMR to study the structure of heparin-induced tau fibrils composed of the full-length 4R tau isoform. 4R means that the isoform has four repeats of microtubule-binding domains. In this study, ssNMR showed that the protein core is rigid and that some parts of the 3D protein structure are dynamic. Comparing the tau structures from different patients, with either sporadic or familial AD, is a key step in understanding if differences at the molecular and structural levels correlate with physiopathological phenotypes [23].

3.5.3. In Silico Studies of Tau Proteins

In silico modeling also helps in the establishment of structural models. Using discrete MDS, Popov et al. [58] realized the de novo structural determination of the 441-residue tau protein, detecting the β -sheet structures in the aggregation-prone regions (Figure 2). In silico structural models are used to simulate molecular interactions, especially with potential aggregation inhibitors. In a recent study, molecular simulations, molecular docking, and fragment molecular orbital calculations were performed to observe the interaction of tau with curcumin derivatives [89]. All these aggregation models and structures can be combined to elucidate the interactions of tau fibrils with their inhibitors. For instance, purpurin was identified as a potential tau inhibitor by a ThT-like assay and further characterized by circular dichroism and transmission EM, as well as molecular docking and molecular dynamic simulation [90].

Another interesting new model for understanding AD development was recently made by Yang et al. [60]. This in silico model of protein network diffusion in the brain was based on data of brain regions where tau is aggregated, as well as on data of the brain's structural connectivity, recorded with diffusion tension imaging. This model simulated the diffusion of tau aggregates into a modeled brain by comparison to the spreading of tau in the patient's brain. This innovative model can predict the evolution of the disease, allowing for the understanding of tau aggregation parameters and tau diffusion in the brain. Even if this model has pitfalls, such as a decrease of accuracy with the simulation time, this innovative in silico model is a pioneer in understanding the propagation of the protein aggregates leading to neurodegeneration (Figure 2).

4. In Cellulo Models of AD

Cell-free in vitro and in silico models are useful but often limited to the study of a simple mechanism. To better model AD, cells represent an alternative, since, as a whole living system, they allow for more complexity. They can give information on the mechanisms induced after amyloid and tau aggregations, such as toxicity, inflammation, and neurodegeneration, with the activation of several cellular pathways. All the different brain cell types are important in AD; thus, AD models do not focus only on neurons but also on various cell types involved in the pathology. To study interactions between two cell types, such as neurons and astrocytes, co-culture systems were developed, as well as 2D or 3D culture methods. Indeed, the most common way is to perform 2D cultures with adherent cells growing on a surface, but recently, 3D culture methods, which mix several cell types, were improved in order to reproduce a brain-like environment. Besides, using iPSCs, the development of organoids in flasks, as mini brains, is now a reality [3]. The cells used in AD models are described in this section and are classified into three categories, i.e., primary cells, cell lines, and reprogrammed and differentiated cells (Figure 3).

4.1. Primary Cells

Primary cells from AD patients, healthy donors, or animals are relevant to the physiological modeling of AD, as they are not immortalized by genetic modifications, like permanent cell lines are. With primary cells, pathological features can be directly observed by comparing cells from patients with those of healthy donors [91]. Cells can also be modified to express, at physiological levels, AD-related genes with specific fAD mutations using CRISPR/cas-9 technology [3]. From an ethical point of view, it is difficult to obtain primary cells from AD patients. They are harvested from postmortem tissues, which prevents the study of the early stages of the disease [92,93]. Furthermore, the quality of primary cell cultures from human postmortem tissues is strictly dependent on the quality of the initial samples.



Figure 3. In cellulo models used in AD studies. The cell schemes are adapted from the bank image of Servier medical art smart.servier.com and are licensed under CC BY 3.0. Abbreviations. iPSCs: induced pluripotent stem cells; iNs: induced neurons.

4.1.1. Tissues

Brain tissues from AD patients or healthy donors contain a mix of different cell types, such as neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglial cells. These brain

samples are one of the best cell models, because they have all the cell types forming a suitable environment for studying AD pathology. These brain tissues were used to understand the hallmarks and mechanisms of AD. For instance, Zhang et al. [91] used brain tissues from AD patients to understand the mechanism by which A β downregulates α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-méthylisoazol-4-propionate (AMPA) receptors (AMPARs), which are postsynaptic and glutamatergic neuronal receptors. They showed a decrease of the AMPAR amount and an increase of the AMPAR ubiquitination in AD brain tissues in comparison to a control brain (see Table 2). The brain cortex was also used to extract amyloid proteins, instead of using recombinant proteins produced in Escherichia coli. These amyloid molecules directly isolated from human AD patients' brains are more relevant materials. To study the toxicity of oligomers and the interactions between A β and tau, Jin et al. [94] precisely extracted A β dimers from AD-affected brains and incubated them with primary rat hippocampal neurons. This incubation induced tau hyperphosphorylation and neurodegeneration. These experiments strongly suggest that native A β dimers from AD patients are sufficiently neurotoxic to trigger AD features, such as tau hyperphosphorylation and neurodegeneration.

Primary Cells				
Cell Types	Cell Types Detailed Example			
Tissues: all the brain cell types	In AD patient brains, A β downregulates the neuronal receptor AMPA by increasing its ubiquitination [91].	[91,94]		
Neurons	Park et al. (2015) developed an AD model based on 3D cell culture. Cultured neurons form neurospheroids in a microfluidic chip. Neurospheroids mimic a tissue with a complex neural network better than 2D-cultured neurons. Treatment with Aβ induces cell death and damages the neurospheroid network [95].	2D culture: [91,94,96] 3D culture: [95,97,98]		
Astrocytes	Aβ ₁₋₄₂ -exposed primary astrocytes better survive with a low dose of aspirin, probably because of a decrease in inflammation and oxidative stress [99].	[99,100]		
Microglial cells	During AD, microglial cells take up tau seeds to clear the aggregates, but, because of an incomplete clearance mechanism, these cells also propagate tau seeds in other brain regions after migration [101].	[101,102]		
Oligodendrocytes	Aβ prevents the myelin sheet formation in vitro, inducing oligodendrocyte damages and cell death [103].	[103,104]		
BBB: endothelial cells and pericytes	The Buyang Huanwu decoction inhibits the A β_{25-35} -induced endothelial inflammation and RAGE/LRP1 dysregulation [105].	Endothelial cells: [105–109] Pericytes: [110–112]		

Table 2. Selection of recent key data obtained from AD primary culture models.

4.1.2. Neurons

In many AD cellular models, neurons are used because of their key role in the AD physiopathology. Due to the difficulties associated with obtaining AD brain tissues, most of the studies use primary cortical neurons derived from rat embryos [91,94] or suckling rats [96]. The primary cortical neurons are also used in microfluidic devices or 3D cultures to better model AD, mimicking the brain environment. These studies allow for the monitoring of neuronal degeneration and cell death after the addition of amyloid peptides or tau hyperphosphorylation inducers to the system [95,97]. These cells are also interesting for the study of the AD familial form. The mutated *APP* or *MAPT* genes can be introduced with genetic tools [113]. Another way to model AD would be to use human differentiating neurons from human embryos carrying the EOAD-related mutations. This would require preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD), which is conducted for other diseases, such as Down syndrome or Huntington's disease. However, the use of human embryos raises ethical concerns, and PGD is used only for some specific diseases and not yet for AD [114].

4.1.3. Astrocytes

Astrocytes, the most abundant cells in the brain, are essential for neuronal functions and survival, as they express growth factors [115,116]. Limbad et al. [100] studied the role of astrocytic senescence in AD-related neuronal degeneration. Senescence is a state in which the cell cycle is definitely stopped. It is associated with a proinflammatory secretory phenotype. In their experiments, Limbad et al. co-cultured human senescence-induced astrocytes with human fetal primary neurons and observed an induction of neuronal cell death. Therefore, astrocytic inflammation is linked to neuronal degeneration. Astrocytes were also used as a drug target for the prevention of inflammation and oxidative stress. For example, an AD model was developed using primary astrocytes from rat embryos exposed to $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptides. In this model, the aspirin mechanism was studied, showing that, at low doses, aspirin increased cell viability and decreased inflammation and oxidative stress [99].

4.1.4. Microglia

Microglial cells are the brain-resident immune cells. They are the main inducers of neuroinflammation and, accordingly, of neurodegeneration in AD. Therefore, they are well studied, since their activation correlates with cognitive loss (as reviewed by Stansley et al. [102]). They were also studied to understand their role in other AD mechanisms, such as tau seeding, propagation, and clearance. While microglial cells do not produce tau, during AD, these cells are able to take up tau seeds, probably to clear the protein aggregates. Nevertheless, this clearance seems incomplete or insufficient, as the cells release some tau seeds into the extracellular medium, thus indirectly playing a role in tau propagation and dissemination [101,117].

4.1.5. Oligodendrocytes

The role of oligodendrocytes in AD was also explored. These cells form the myelin sheet around neurons, playing a role in electrochemical neurotransmission. In AD models, oligodendrocytes are notably isolated from human AD patients or from nongenetically modified rat and exposed to A β peptides. Horiuchi et al. [103] showed that A β prevents myelin sheet formation in vitro, inducing oligodendrocyte damage and cell death. The A β cytotoxicity was decreased when oligodendrocytes were co-cultured with astrocytes, showing that the two cell types interact, leading to a protective mechanism [104]. Therefore, primary cell culture models are useful for deciphering the role of each cell type in the AD pathology, but they are a simplified version of a complex disease, which affects the interaction of different cell types. In this context, co-culture models are better than those based on a single-cell culture.

4.1.6. Endothelial Cells and Pericytes—The Blood–Brain Barrier Model

Other brain cell types are endothelial cells and pericytes, which form, with astrocytes, the BBB [22]. This barrier plays a key role in AD, especially regulating the A β transport inside and outside the brain with the RAGE/LRP1 receptors [21]. BBB is also affected during the disease development because of an accumulation of A β peptides in the brain blood capillaries [118]. In the AD pathology, the entry of solute or immune cells into the brain tissues increases due to the BBB permeability, leading to neuroinflammation [110]. Therefore, it is decisive to generate a model of BBB to understand its functions and to screen efficient drugs that can penetrate into the brain [5]. One in vitro model of the blood-brain interface is based on the brain microvessel/microvascular endothelial cells (BMECs). These cells are extracted from human or animal brains [105–109] (as reviewed by He et al. [119]). For example, $A\beta_{25-35}$ -exposed rat BMECs were used to measure the antiapoptotic effect of a potential curative decoction. It was shown that this Buyang Huanwu decoction, belonging to traditional Chinese medicine, inhibited the A β_{25-35} -induced endothelial inflammation and RAGE/LRP1 dysregulation [105]. Pericytes, whose functions are altered during AD, are also used to model BBB. They are derived from AD patients' brains or from human fetuses and are exposed to $A\beta$ peptides [111]. As BBB is composed of different interacting

cells, co-culture systems are better for fully modeling its complex architecture. For instance, endothelial cells were cultured with astrocytes to study immune cell recruitment through the BBB in a context of A β exposure to inflammatory molecules [110,112]. As an alternative to primary culture cells, iPSCs differentiated into brain-like endothelial cells and pericytes are used to mimic the BBB in vitro (cf. paragraph 4.3., [120]).

4.2. Cell Lines

The main limitation of using primary cells is their inability to be cultured for a long time, unlike cell lines, which are immortalized cells from tumors or with oncogenic modifications. However, because of their immortalization, these cell lines are also less physiological than primary cells. Cell lines were thoroughly used, because they are easy to handle and to use for generation of AD models by introducing AD-related mutations through viral vectors, CRISPR/cas-9, and other editing tools (see Table 3).

Table 3. Examples of key data obtained from AD cell line models.

Cell Lines					
Cell Lines	Associated Cell Type and Tumor	Detailed Example	References		
	Derived f	rom tumor			
SH-SY5Y (also SH-SY6Y) cells SH-SY5Y (also SH-SY6Y) cells neuroblastoma		In 3D culture, SH-SY5Y cells were used to model an AD-like tauopathy, induced with okadaic acid and the recombinant mutated human tau [121].	2D: [16,113,122–124] 3D: [121,125]		
SK-N-MC cells	Neurons, derived from a neuroepithelioma	Aβ-treated SK-N-MC cells were used to find efficient drugs able to cross the BBB and rescue the degenerating neurons from apoptosis.	[107]		
SK-N-SH cells	Neurons, derived from a neuroblastoma	Treatment of SK-N-SH with A $\beta_{25.35}$ peptides was used to model AD in vitro. With this model, Gu et al. (2020) investigated genes and proteins involved in cell death during AD, identifying pathways to improve cell viability.	[126]		
BE(2)-M17 cells Neurons, derived from a neuroblastoma		Su et al. (2010) studied the role of chronic oxidative stress on tau hyperphosphorylation with a M17-based cellular stress model. They showed that stress increases tau phosphorylation in vitro and suggested a role in neurofibrillary pathology in vivo.	[127]		
PC-12 cells	Chromaffin cells (modified neurons), derived from a pheochromocytoma	The neuroprotective effects of two marine-derived carotenoids was assessed with $A\beta_{1-42}$ -treated PC-12 cells [40].	[40,121,128]		
7W-CHO cells	Chinese ovary cells overexpressing the human <i>APP</i> gene	7W-CHO cells were used to screen drugs able to increase the ratio between sAPPα, a neurite extending fragment, and Aβ peptides, which are neurite retractive [129].	[129,130]		
CALU-3 cells	Epithelial cells, from an adenocarcinoma	CALU-3 cells were used to measure drug delivery through epithelium of a β -sheet breaker [131].	[131,132]		
Immortalized with a viral vector					
ReN cells and immortalized microglial cells	Neural stem cells and microglial cells	Park et al. (2018) engineered a 3D triculture system as a model of AD neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation. They notably cultured fAD-mutated ReN cells, which are neural progenitor cells, and induced their differentiation into A β -overexpressing neurons and astrocytes. They also added immortalized microglial cells, completing the triculture system [133].	[133,134]		
Immortalized brain endothelial cells	Endothelial cells	Endothelial cells were used to model Aβ clearance through BBB [135].	Human cells: [135,136] Mouse cells: [137]		
HEK293 cells	Embryonic kidney cells	Waxman and Giasson (2011) developed a cellular model to study the induction of tau aggregation with preformed α-synuclein fibrils, another protein involved in Parkinson's disease [138].	[138,139]		

4.2.1. Cell Lines Derived from Tumors

SH-SY5Y cells are the most used cell line for studying AD. Isolated from a human neuroblastoma, they are cultured in 2D and 3D systems [3,5]. AD hallmarks are induced in different manners, either with the overexpression of the *APP* gene [113,122] or with the exposure to $A\beta$ molecules or okadaic acid, an inducer of tau hyperphosphorylation [16,121,123–125]. These cellular models provide an experimental system in which AD mechanisms are studied and potent drugs tested [16,122,123]. A 3D culture of SH-SY5Y cells was used to model an AD-like tauopathy by overexpressing a mutated human tau and inducing hyperphosphorylation with okadaic acid [121]. Many other cell lines derived from human neuroblastomas have been used in AD studies, such as SK-N-MC [107], SK-N-SH [126], and BE(2)-M17 [127]. Tumor cells with a non-neuronal origin have also been used: (i) the PC-12 cell line derived from a rat pheochromocytoma in the adrenal medulla [40,121,128]; (ii) the 7W CHO cells derived from a Chinese hamster ovary and stably expressing the human *APP* gene [129,130]; and (iii) the CALU-3 cell line, isolated from an adenocarcinoma of the serous epithelium of the human lung and exhibiting properties similar to those of the nasal–brain barrier [131,132].

4.2.2. Immortalized Cells

Some primary cells were immortalized by transduction to facilitate their handling. Transduction consists in introducing an oncogene into the genome with a viral vector. For instance, a neural stem cell line (ReNcell VM), derived from a human fetal brain, was immortalized by transduction with the *myc* oncogene. As stem cells, the ReNcells VM have the advantage of differentiating into neurons and other glial cells, which is very suitable for modeling AD [133,134] (review: [140]). ReNcells VM were notably transduced a second time to express the *APP* gene with two AD-related mutations (Swedish and London) and used to produce neurons and astrocytes in 3D co-cultures. With this model, Choi et al. [134] succeeded in inducing the main AD hallmarks with A β accumulation and tau aggregation in vitro. The ReN-derived neuron and astrocyte model was even improved by adding immortalized microglia to the 3D culture [133]. Microglial cells were immortalized by the Simian virus 40 T antigen. With this triculture system, Park et al. [133] mimicked a brain environment displaying pathological AD features.

Human and animal brain endothelial cells can also be immortalized with viral vectors to study BBB during AD (human cells: [135,136]; mouse cells: [137]). Cell types that do not originate from the brain are also used to model the pathology. HEK293, which are human embryonic kidney cells immortalized with an adenovirus, were notably used to model tau hyperphosphorylation and aggregation [138,139].

4.3. Reprogrammed and Differentiated Cells

With major advances in developmental biology, knowledge on cell differentiation has increased, notably elucidating the differentiation factors involved in cell lineages. It has brought tools to engineer cells, since it is now possible to dedifferentiate any cell type into a pluripotent stem cell with the Yamanaka factors [141]. The dedifferentiated cells are then called iPSCs. They are indistinguishable from embryonic stem cells and can be differentiated again in a chosen cell type when using the appropriate factors [142]. With this tool, we can develop all the brain cell types in order to study brain diseases through co-culture systems [93,142]. When the initial cells (often fibroblasts) come from fAD or sAD patients or are genetically modified to express AD-related mutations, the iPSCs-derived cells are used to study the AD hallmarks in 2D or 3D cultures (iPSC development from fAD patients [143,144], 3D culture; sAD patients [145], 3D culture; fAD patients [93,146,147] and iPCS from fAD patients, 2D culture [148]) (Table 4). Auboyer et al. [143,144] developed two iPSCs from AD patients with different mutations, one carrying the APPD694N and the other the PS1G217D. Recently, Rouleau et al. [147] developed a 3D neural tissue with human iPSCs from healthy or AD donors. This neural tissue can remain viable for up to 2 years, providing a suitable cellular model for long-term studies. Other brain cells, such as astroglial cells or BBB-composing endothelial cells, are also derived from iPSCs and are used to study AD [120,149–151]. Neural progenitor cells (NPCs), which play a key role in neurodevelopment, are another brain cell type derived from iPSCs [152,153]. The use of NPCs is very interesting in connection with the developmental hypothesis of the AD pathology. Indeed, Arendt et al. [154] hypothesized that exogeneous and endogenous events, such as pesticide exposure, dysregulate the NPC pool during early life stages, and these impairments could lead to the AD pathology later in life [154–156]. Therefore, NPC studies on potential dysregulating factors would help in understanding the role of neurogenesis in AD development. iPSCs are also used to develop organoids, which are organ-like cell clusters composed of all the cell types of an organ but with a disorganized structure [5]. Brain-like organoids with AD mutations in the APP or MAPT genes, or those exposed to amyloid molecules, are currently used to model AD ([5,157]; iPSCderived organoid with fAD mutations [158,159]). However, one limitation of iPSCs is that reprogramming clears the cell age, leading to cells with fetal properties, which is not ideal for studying this ageing-related disease. One solution could be the induction of ageing properties with progerin overexpression or telomer shortening induced by telomerase inhibition [93]. Another method is transdifferentiation, which is the reprogramming of one somatic cell type (astrocytes or fibroblasts) to another (neurons) while retaining the epigenetic marks [160]. These cells are called induced neurons (iNs) [93,161]. The reproducibility is another key point when using iPSCs or iNs, since potential non-neuronal characteristics can persist after reprogramming. For example, the iPSCs from sAD patients have detectable differences from one patient to another and even between the different iPSC clones from the unique origin cell. Therefore, it is important to have a large set of sAD cell lines to fully understand the sAD pathology [93].

Reprogrammed Cells						
Derived Cell Type or Tissue	Detailed Example	References				
iPSCs						
Neurons	Rouleau et al. (2020) developed a 3D neural tissue with human iPSC from healthy or AD donors [147].	2D culture, iPCS from fAD patients: [93,148] 3D culture, sAD patients: [145] 3D culture, fAD patients: [146] iPSC development from AD patients: [143,144,147]				
Others: astroglia, endothelial cells, NPCs	iPSC-derived human brain endothelial cells with the PSEN1 mutation show altered tight and adherent junctions and efflux properties compared to cells derived from healthy donors [151].	Astroglia: [149] Endothelial cell: [150,151] NPC: [152,153]				
Organoids	Gonzalez et al. (2018) developed iPSC-derived cerebral organoids, which show a cortical organization. When the used iPSC comes from an AD patient, the developed cerebral organoid exhibits AD features such as $A\beta$ deposition and accumulation of hyperphosphorylated tau [158].	Examples of iPSC-derived organoid with fAD mutations: [158,159] Organoid with an AD-like pathology: [162]. Reviews: [5,157]				
iNs						
Neurons	Hu et al. (2015) derived fibroblasts from control and AD patients into functional neurons with chemicals [161].	[93,161]				

5. In Vivo Models of AD

An ideal in vivo model of AD should have all the physiopathological features and behavioral characteristics of AD patients, as well as reproducibility and robustness [163]. However, none of the current developed in vivo models is able to entirely reproduce the AD pathology. There are two forms of AD: the familial form due to mutations affecting 1% of patients and the sporadic form due to several risk factors affecting 99% of patients. Most of the models are based on genetic mutations observed in familial AD, since sporadic models are difficult to generate. Three types of models are distinguishable: genetic, interventional, and natural models [163]. In vivo models were first used to understand AD mechanisms, but above all, they were used to test drugs preventing cognitive deficits for therapeutic interventions. Currently, with the failure of clinical trials and no efficient treatments, in vivo models are still used to identify new innovative drugs, although rodent models are now being reconsidered. An alternative therapeutic strategy is to develop prevention, focusing on AD risk factors, such as diabetes, hypertension, neuroinflammation, and nutrition. Thus, these new therapeutic strategies aim at preventing the aggravating effects of risk factors for the AD pathology before the development of cognitive deficits [164]. In this section, the most commonly used and representative in vivo AD models are inventoried, from the simple to the more complex ones.

5.1. Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster, and Danio rerio

5.1.1. Caenorhabditis elegans

Caenorhabditis elegans is a small, free-living nematode, which is used as an invertebrate model. This worm presents a lot of advantages as a model (Table 5). Caenorhabditis elegans is small and has a high offspring number and a short lifespan. Its genome is entirely sequenced and encodes 65% of the human disease-associated genes. In addition, genetic tools are available to generate transgenic individuals. Thus, even if *C. elegans* is an invertebrate, this organism is well recognized in studies of ageing, neurodevelopment, neurodegenerative diseases, and other human diseases [165] (Table 5). C. elegans does not naturally have amyloid and tau aggregates, and this is due to different specificities. The APP protein homologue, APL-1, in *C. elegans* does not contain the A β sequence, and *C*. *elegans* does not have the β -secretase, the enzyme responsible for the cleavage of the APP protein into aggregative A β peptides. Therefore, most models of *C. elegans* are transgenic or either express human AB peptides to reproduce amyloid aggregation or human tau to induce hyperphosphorylation. One model of *C. elegans* was developed to express both the human wild type tau protein and a specific hyperphosphorylated mutant tau in neurons. The latter had mutations in a proline-rich region, leading to AD-related functional deficiencies [166,167]. As a consequence of expression of human tau proteins, this *C. elegans* model exhibited an age-dependent uncoordinated locomotion. However, according to Shen et al. [165], the mutant tau proteins used in transgenic animals are more associated with the tau pathology, frontotemporal dementia, and Parkinson's than with the AD pathology. The authors hypothesized that AD models might not be based only on mutated tau proteins. To produce amyloid aggregates in *C. elegans*, human Aβ peptides are either constitutively expressed under specific promoters or can be induced by a temperature of 23 °C, thanks to a specific *C. elegans* system named the mRNA surveillance system [168,169]. The most commonly used promoters are the pan-neuronal and muscle promoters. For example, Sultana et al. [170] engineered transgenic C. elegans to express human $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptides under the body wall muscle promoter. As they wanted to focus on the molecular processes occurring during the development of the pathology, they measured the level of protein oxidation due to the toxicity of oligomers and amyloid deposits. They compared their results with other AD models, showing the relevance of *C. elegans* as a complementary model that is able to give information on molecular interactions and cellular pathways related to AD. In an A β -expressing *C. elegans* model, transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) and associated transcription factors were studied to elucidate their role in the pathology. In this AD model, the loss of Sma-9, a transcriptional cofactor of the TGF- β pathway, increases A β deposits. As Sma-9 also plays a role in ROS production and neuroprotection, it is a potential drug target for the prevention of AD neurodegeneration [171]. More generally, TGF- β , proteostasis, and stress-related pathways are affected during AD, and their modulation by drugs curbs the AD symptoms and pathology progression [165]. Thus, in addition to the study of AD-related molecular and cellular mechanisms, the *C. elegans* model is largely used for drug screening.

Table 5. In vivo AD models in non-mammal species.

Non-Mammalian AD Models						
Models	Model Type	Advantages	Pitfalls	References		
Caenorhabditis elegans	Transgenic	Small, easy to breed, lots of progenies. Characterized nervous system, short lifespan. Sequenced genome. Transgenic <i>C.</i> <i>elegans</i> can express human hyperphosphorylated tau mutant or Aβ peptides and develop some AD features. Used to study molecular interactions and cellular pathways.	Do not naturally have Aβ and β-secretase, and so, do not have amyloid aggregates. Do not naturally have tau aggregates, either. Need to be used in combination with other models.	[165,166,170]		
Drosophila melanogaster	Transgenic	Small, easy to breed. Characterized nervous system. Sequenced genome. Have AD-related genes. Behavioral tests. Availability of genetic tools to do transgenic or knockdown models. Used for high-throughput drug screening. Transgenic flies develop AD hallmarks, such as overexpression of amyloid peptides, amyloid aggregate formation, tau hyperphosphorylation, synaptic impairments, neurodegeneration, and reduction of memory and lifespan.	AD genes are not well-characterized. Homology with human proteins but not sufficient to naturally develop the disease. Need to do transgenic models, but they do not clearly recapitulate the disease. Need to be used in combination with other models such as mouse models. Invertebrate model is very different from human than all other vertebrate models.	[172–177]		
<i>Danio rerio</i> (zebrafish)	Transgenic	Small, easy to breed, lots of progenies. Characterized nervous system. Entirely sequenced genome. Have AD-related genes. Behavioral tests. Used for high-throughput drug screening. Available genetic tools for transgenic or knockdown models.	AD genes are not all well-characterized. Homology with human proteins but not sufficient to naturally develop the disease. Need to do transgenic models. Lack of data due to its recent development.	[178–180]		

5.1.2. *Drosophila melanogaster*

The fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, can be used as a human disease model, because its genome shares 70% of the human disease-related genes (Table 5). *Drosophila* expresses the orthologue genes of APP (APP-like gene, *Appl*), α -secretase, β -secretases (a fly gene family), γ -secretase, and neprilysin [172,181]. When the fly Appl and β -secretase proteins are over-

expressed, *Drosophila* produces neurotoxic A β peptides. However, there is no significant similarity between the human and the Drosophila genes [181]. For this reason, humanized Drosophila models were also developed. One humanized Drosophila model notably expressed two human proteins, β -secretase and APP. This expression led to the production of $A\beta_{1-40}$ and $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptides and amyloid aggregates and to the reduction of the fly motor reflex behavior, memory, and lifespan [172]. While Drosophila AD models are not able to produce tau aggregates, tau and $A\beta$ interactions were also studied in these models. For example, flies expressing the human-mutated APP in a tau knock-out background showed a less toxic effect of the $A\beta$ peptide in comparison to flies expressing endogenous tau. This means that the A β toxicity is also due to tau [173]. The Gal4/UAS system, a genetic tool well known in Drosophila (explained in Tsuda et al. [174]), was also used to specifically express $A\beta$ or tau in certain tissues. With the OK6 promoter, tau was specifically overexpressed in motoneurons to study its role in axonal functions [182]. With the Elav promoter, A β 42 was overexpressed in all the neurons, decreasing the crawling ability and lifespan of flies [183]. Thus, Drosophila models show some of the AD hallmarks, i.e., Aβ aggregation, tau hyperphosphorylation, impaired synaptic activity [175], and neurodegeneration [176], but they cannot clearly recapitulate the disease, as seen in the previously described models. The Drosophila model is more useful as a tool for screening a library of drugs to find a cure for AD than for understanding AD mechanisms. Indeed, a high drug screening can be realized, with 100 to 500 screened molecules per month [174]. Liu and colleagues [177] identified five antioxidative plant extracts that suppress the Aβ-induced lifespan reduction through a decrease in ROS production. Another drug-screening study was described for the prevention of memory deficits. Among the interesting potential molecules, some were already identified and tested in mouse models [184]. Therefore, Drosophila models can be used in interdisciplinary studies to cross-validate potential therapeutics before clinical trials.

5.1.3. Danio rerio

The zebrafish, Danio rerio, is a vertebrate used in laboratories as a powerful disease model [178,185]. Indeed, this fish is the only vertebrate that has transparent embryos and larvae, allowing researchers to conduct a lot of real-time fluorescent imaging, especially with the advent of the microscopy techniques in recent decades [186]. Therefore, the development of the nervous system of the fish is simple and well-characterized [178]. In addition, a zebrafish couple generates a lot of progenies. Zebrafish are easy to breed, the genome is fully characterized, and behavioral studies can be monitored through many assays using the zebra box [187–190]. Zebrafish are also used in high-throughput drug screening, as the tested drugs can be diluted in water. With all these advantages, zebrafish models were developed to mimic the AD pathology (Table 6). A lot of AD-related genes were also identified in this organism, such as two app orthologues, encoding the APPa and APPb proteins. These proteins present a high homology with the human APP protein. For example, APPa shares an 80% homology with the part of the APP protein corresponding to the Aβ region [191]. Zebrafish also have the psen1, psen2, nicastrin, pen2, and *aph1* genes, which encode for proteins composing the γ -secretase complex [178]. The PSEN1 protein is very important in the AD pathology, as 180 mutations of PSEN1 are associated with fAD. PSEN1 also interacts with several cellular pathways involved in tau phosphorylation and calcium homeostasis. In the amyloid hypothesis, the interaction between PSEN1 and tau also explains the link between the increase and aggregation of Aβ and tau hyperphosphorylation [192]. Transgenic or knockdown zebrafish can also be engineered with genetic tools, such as targeting induced local lesions in genome (TILLING), knocking down one gene expression, or morpholino antisense oligonucleotides, which blocks transduction or splicing [178]. For example, the zebrafish genome was modified to express a mutant tau, usually associated with frontotemporal dementia [179,193,194]. In this tauopathy model, inhibitors of GSK3 β , which is one of the kinases responsible for tau phosphorylation, were screened [178-180]. Thus, even if knowledge of AD genes in

this model is lacking, zebrafish is a promising tool for understanding AD mechanisms and conducting drug screening.

Table 6. Most commonly used mouse and rat models of the AD pathology.

Mouse and Rat AD Models							
Model Type	Model Name	Associated Mutation(s)	AD Characteristics	Discrepancies with AD	References		
Mice							
- Transgenic -	J20	APP KM670/671NL (Swedish), APP V717F (Indiana)	Amyloid aggregation, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	No NFTs, overexpression of mutated APP and associated fragments, deposition of amyloid plaques at 4–6 months.	[195,196]		
	APPPS1	APP KM670/671NL (Swedish)PSEN1 L166P	Amyloid aggregation, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	No NFTs, overexpression of mutated PSEN1 as well as mutated APP and associated fragments, deposition of amyloid plaques at 2–4 months.	[197]		
	5xFAD	APP KM670/671NL (Swedish), APP I716V (Florida), APP V717I (London) PSEN1 M146L (A > C), PSEN1 L286V	Amyloid aggregation, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	No NFTs, overexpression of mutated PSEN1 as well as mutated APP and associated fragments, very aggressive form, deposition of amyloid plaques at 2 months.	[198]		
	3xTg	APP KM670/671NL (Swedish) MAPT P301L PSEN1 M146V	Amyloid aggregation and NFT formation, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	Overexpression of mutated APP, tau, and PSEN1, amyloid plaques at 6 months, development of cognitive impairments before protein aggregation.	[199]		
	APP ^{NL-F} KI	APP KM670/671NL (Swedish), APP I716F (Iberian)	Amyloid aggregation, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments, chronology of symptom development.	no NFTs.	[200]		
	Aβ-injected	-	Neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments	No amyloid plaques, no NFTs.	[201]		
Interventional	Receptor antagonist-injected	-	Neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	No amyloid plaques, no NFTs.	[202]		
	Olfactory bulbectomy	-	Increase in Aβ level, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	No amyloid plaques, no NFTs.	[203]		
Natural	SAMP8	-	Neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	No amyloid plaques, no NFTs.	[204]		
Rats							
Transgenic _	Tg F344-AD	APP KM670/671NL (Swedish) PSEN deltaE9	Amyloid aggregation and NFT formation, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	Overexpression of mutated APP and associated fragments, deposition of amyloid plaques at 6 months.	[205]		
	TREM2 KI (in Human App background)	TREM2 R47H	Physiological expression of a sAD risk factor, production of human Aβ, only.	No amyloid plaques, no NFTs, no neurodegeneration, no neuroinflammation, no cognitive impairments.	[206]		
Natural	OXYS	-	Increase in Aβ level, neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, cognitive impairments.	No amyloid plaques, no NFTs.	[207]		

5.2. Mouse and Rat Models

Many studies were conducted with mice and rats (Table 5). The preferential use of these rodents, compared to other in vivo models, and the accumulated knowledge explain why mice and rats are still very common in the research field of AD. As of 2021, 189 mouse and 16 rat models exist [208]. All the models are not detailed here, but relevant examples are presented in this section.

5.2.1. Transgenic Mouse and Rat Models

The most frequent mouse models are transgenic animals. While the mouse App gene is 97% homologous to the human gene, their differences, especially in the A β peptide encoding part, prevent amyloid aggregation in wild-type mice. To deal with this limitation, researchers inserted the human APP gene inside the mouse genome [92]. For instance, the commonly used J20 transgenic mouse model overexpresses the human APP gene in neurons, with two AD mutations, i.e., the Swedish and the Indiana mutations, which increase the cleavages by the β - and γ -secretases, respectively [195]. These mice develop amyloid plaques and have an impaired neurotransmission, neuronal loss, and neuroinflammation, as well as cognitive impairment [196]. However, they do not develop NFTs formed by hyperphosphorylated tau. Therefore, researchers generated more aggressive models in order to induce both tau and A β aggregation hallmarks. For instance, the 5xFAD mice express two human transgenes, the APP gene, with three fAD mutations, and the PSEN1 gene, which encodes for a subunit of the γ -secretase, with two fAD mutations [198]. However, even with all these familial AD mutations, these mice do not develop NFTs and have similar symptoms to J20 mice but develop the pathology much faster. For instance, $A\beta$ aggregates are detectable at 2 months instead of 4-6 months for J20 mice. It is only when the MAPT gene was expressed with a tauopathy-associated mutation, increasing the quantity of the four-repeat tau isoform, that the first observations of tau hyperphosphorylation and pretangle formation were reported in mice [209]. Thus, with the expression of the mutated human MAPT, APP, and PSEN1 genes, the LaFerla team succeeded in obtaining 3xTg mice developing both amyloid plaques and NFTs [199]. While this mouse model develops the two main hallmarks of AD, cognitive impairments appear before protein aggregation, unlike in humans [210]. Recently, these discrepancies between the human disease and many transgenic AD models have led to the idea that gene overexpression under strong promoters is not the best way to induce an AD-like phenotype in mouse models. Then, the challenge was to generate more physiological models [92]. For example, APP^{NL-F} knock-in mice still express the mutated human APP gene but at the physiological level, as the inserted gene is under the mouse endogenous *App* promoter. Thus, the gene is expressed in the suitable cell-type with the appropriate timing [200]. Admittedly, these mice do not develop NFTs but amyloid plaques, and then, in the later stages, they exhibit cognitive impairments. Even if all the features of AD are not present in this model, the evolution of the mouse pathology is closer to the human one.

Similar to mice, rats do not naturally develop AD, and the main rat models are, therefore, transgenic. Rats present the advantage of being physiologically closer to humans than mice are. Their behavioral phenotype is more sophisticated than that of mice and well-characterized [92]. Moreover, contrary to mouse models, a transgenic rat model develops NFTs, in addition to amyloid plaques, with the expression of only the endogenous rat tau protein and without the need to express the mutated human *MAPT* gene. These transgenic rats, named TgF344-AD, express the mutant human *APP* and *PSEN1* genes and exhibit neuronal loss, gliosis, and cognitive impairments [205]. The limitations of transgenic rat models are the same as those for transgenic mice with the promoter dependency. Therefore, more physiological knock-in rat models were also generated, for example, models expressing AD-associated risk variants involved in sAD. The Triggering Receptor Expressed on Myeloid Cells 2 (TREM2) knock-in rats are notably used to study the role of microglia during the disease development [206]. However, as rats are less easy to handle than mice, complete characterizations of these transgenic rat models are required before their use can be validated as a relevant model for the AD pathology.

5.2.2. Interventional Mouse and Rat Models

Since genetic models are often aggressive and do not recapitulate all the disease hallmarks but only a few of them, other models, named interventional models, were developed [211]. Regarding the "injection" models, instead of inducing an increase of the quantity of A β peptides with the insertion of human transgenes, A β peptides, synthetic or

isolated from patients, were directly injected into the brain of mice. This injection induces neurodegeneration and neurotransmission impairments, with cholinergic deficits, as well as an activation of inflammation actors. In A β -injected mice, adult neurogenesis in the subventricular zone (SVZ) is disturbed, as shown by Sotthibundhu et al. [212]. They extracted and cultured SVZ neurons from $A\beta_{1-42}$ -injected mice and observed a neurogenic effect, with an increased proliferation and differentiation of progenitor cells [201,212]. Other molecules were also injected, such as receptor antagonists to specifically induce a cholinergic deficit [211] or inducers of insulin resistance, such as streptozotocin [202]. Indeed, insulin resistance leads to the development of a lot of AD hallmarks. Streptozotocininjected mice show cholinergic deficits, oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and neurodegeneration. Thus, the streptozotocin-induced model represents an interesting model of the sporadic AD pathology, related to human suffering from chronic diabetes and presenting a higher risk of developing AD during ageing. Olfactory bulbectomy (OBE) is another interventional model of AD. Removing the olfactory bulb (OB) induces an AD-like physiopathology, with neurodegeneration in specific AD-affected regions, as well as neuroinflammation and an increase in A β levels associated with memory impairments. As OB is connected to other brain regions through nerves, OBE induces a deafferentation process, leading to all these AD-like consequences [203,211,213].

5.2.3. Natural Mouse and Rat Models

Natural models are also used to study AD. For instance, senescence-accelerated mouse P8 (SAMP8) animals develop a spontaneous spongiform neurodegeneration with an excess in APP production and oxidative damage. Their memory is also impaired, and the amyloid peptide outflow from the brain is decreased [204]. An inflammatory amyloid vicious circle is then set up. Indeed, at the starting point, oxidative stress induces both mitochondrial dysfunction and A β accumulation, but the mitochondrial dysfunction also leads to an A β accumulation, which, in turn, increases the mitochondrial dysfunction through a positive-feedback loop [211].

A second natural AD model based on accelerated senescence is the OXYS rat model. These rats develop most of the AD hallmarks without any familial AD mutations. The explanation is the mitochondrial cascade hypothesis, which claims that a mitochondrial dysfunction is responsible for sAD rather than A β overproduction. A decrease in ATP synthesis and the presence of oxidative stress induce A β peptide overproduction and neurodegeneration [214]. In OXYS rats, the number of mitochondria and the activity of respiratory chain complexes decrease in correlation with the development of AD signs. Moreover, mitochondrial DNA deletions, a marker of oxidative stress, appear before A β overproduction. More generally, in OXYS rats, the expression of genes involved in neuronal plasticity, the immune system, apoptosis, protein phosphorylation, oxidative stress, hypoxia, and calcium homeostasis is changed before the development of AD features [207].

5.3. Other Mammals as Interventional or Natural Models

Other mammals are used to study AD as interventional or natural models, such as rabbits; octodon degus, an animal close to guinea pigs; and dogs (see Table 7). The injection of aluminum maltolate in aged rabbits notably induces an AD-like neuropathology, with amyloid deposits, NFT formation, and neurodegeneration [215,216]. In addition, specific food, such as a cholesterol-enriched diet and copper-containing drinking water, also induces AD-like neuropathological changes, such as neurofibrillary degeneration in rabbits. These interventional AD models are used to test drugs improving AD-like symptoms [217]. However, rabbit models of the AD pathology are quite different from the human pathology. For instance, in rabbits, NFTs are only formed with single straight filaments, composed of unphosphorylated tau proteins, instead of paired helical filaments, composed of hyperphosphorylated tau proteins in humans [215,216]. Besides, memory assays are not available in rabbits to unsure that the animals develop a full AD pathology.

Non-Mammalian AD Models					
Models	Model Type	Advantages	Pitfalls	References	
Rabbits	Interventional	Induction of AD-pathology after brain injection of aluminum maltolate (features: amyloid aggregation, NFT formation and neurodegeneration). Non aggressive animal.	The structure of NFTs is different from human.	[215,216]	
Octodon Degus	Natural	Development of AD-like disease with age. Aβ accumulation and plaque formation, with age. Tau accumulation. Memory impairments.	Inconsistensy from one study to another. Lack of appropriate brain map.	[92,218–220]	
Dogs	Natural	Development of AD-like disease with age (Aβ plaques and cognitive deficits).	Diffuse plaques contrary to compact human plaques. No NFTs but pretangles. No cholinergic deficit. Long and variable lifespan. Lack of consistency.	[92,220]	
Non human Primates (NHPs)	Natural	Development of AD-like disease with age. Genetically and anatomically closest animal to human (example: 100% homology in Aβ sequence). Well-characterized, complex, and quantifiable behaviors. Four groups of NHPs with different specificities. Similar AD symptoms: Aβ accumulation and amyloid plaque formation in the brain.	Ethical concerns. Long lifespan. Costly, few animals. Do not perfectly reproduce the human disease (often develop diffuse amyloid plaques instead of compact plaques, some primates have NFTs and others do not, mild cognitive deficits rather similar to normal ageing than to AD-induced cognitive impairments). Inter individual variability.	[3,92]	

Table 7. In vivo AD models in some mammal species.

Octodon degus and dogs, which develop an AD-like physiopathology with age, belong to AD natural models. An affected octodon degus shares a lot of AD hallmarks, such as an extracellular accumulation of amyloid peptides forming plaques, intracellular accumulation of tau, astrocytosis, synaptic changes, and memory impairments [218,219]. However, this model presents some limitations, because not all the animals in the colony develop the disease, and among those developing the pathology, some variabilities were shown, rendering this model quite inconsistent. Regarding aged dogs, they develop diffuse plaques, contrary to the compact human amyloid plaques. No neurofibrillary tangles are present, but only pretangles in certain aged and demented dogs. The dogs also develop a cortical atrophy and cognitive impairments. The limitations of the AD model in dogs are related to their long lifespan and the lack of consistency between the pathologies of the different animals contracting the disease [92,220].

Nonhuman primates (NHPs) are other mammals used to naturally model AD, as they develop AD-like features with age. NHPs are the genetically and anatomically closest animals to humans, with, for example, a 100% homology in the A β sequence [3,92]. They have a complex, well-studied, and characterized behavior and have advantages in terms of brain imaging studies due to their large brain. In addition, brain ageing in NHPs involved structural and biochemical changes similar to those associated with human brain

ageing. NHPs are categorized into four groups: great apes, old world monkeys, new world monkeys, and prosimians [92,221].

The great ape group includes chimpanzees, gorillas, and orangutans. Little research has been conducted on them because of their long lifespan and ethical concerns. A β accumulates in the brain of aged animals, leading to plaque formation and, above all, cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA). The latter is a disease associated with AD and characterized by an A β accumulation in brain blood vessels [92,222–224]. The formed plaques are mostly diffuse and less abundant, compared to human plaques. The human tau sequence has a high homology with the great ape tau; however, great apes do not develop NFTs, except in very rare cases. Individuals show mild memory impairments with age; however, these deficits are equivalent to those associated with normal ageing [221]. Therefore, great apes present AD physiopathological features with age, but they do not clearly develop the disease [225].

Old world monkeys are more studied than great apes, especially the rhesus monkeys. The latter accumulate $A\beta$ in the cortex during ageing [226], with the same spatial localization [227,228] and the same quantity or more than that accumulated in the cortex of humans [229]. This accumulation induces the formation of many diffuse amyloid plaques and the development of CAA. It also induces gliosis. Nevertheless, rhesus monkeys do not develop an AD-like pathology, as they do not show neurodegeneration, synapse loss, memory impairment, or tauopathy [230]. Zhang et al. [226] explained these discrepancies with the human pathology by a difference in the composition of $A\beta$ oligomers. They analyzed the composition of the $A\beta$ oligomers of aged rhesus monkeys through a comparison to human oligomers, observing that rhesus monkeys did not display $A\beta$ dimers, which underlines their important role in the development of the human pathology. They also suggested targeting these $A\beta$ dimers in future therapeutic strategies.

Among the new world monkeys, squirrel monkeys also accumulate amyloid peptides in their brain, leading to CAA [231,232]. They also develop amyloid plaques that are either diffuse or compact. CAA and amyloid plaques are composed of the same A β species present in human patients. However, squirrel monkeys do not develop NFTs. Few studies are available on the cognitive decline of new world monkeys. The available data showed a moderate age-related cognitive impairment [233,234]. Thus, squirrel monkeys, and more generally, new world monkeys, do not develop AD [221].

The most frequently studied prosimian in the research field of ageing and AD is the grey mouse lemur. They age in a similar way to humans, with the same physiological changes and age-associated cognitive declines. During ageing, 5% of the total colony show amyloid plaques in the brain [235–237]. Interestingly, an interindividual variability to pass cognitive tests was observed among aged lemurs with amyloid plaques, leading to subgroups among them, with weakly and highly affected individuals. The subgroup with a high cognitive decline was correlated with a high A β burden in the brain [238]. Only 1% of the colony developed both amyloid plaques and NFTs. Thus, very few individuals of the colony seem to be able to develop a natural AD-like pathology [221,236,239,240]. Nevertheless, the grey mouse lemur is a promising animal model compared to other NHPs. Their lifespan is relatively short (8–12 years), and they are small; mature after one year; and give birth, after a 2-month gestation, to one to three offspring by litter [241]. However, the very small percentage, i.e., around 1%, of the individuals showing both amyloidosis and tauopathy remains a major limitation for the use of grey mouse lemurs [236]. One strategy could be to identify AD-affected lemurs earlier, select AD-affected progenitors, and perform a cross-reproduction of the progeny of AD-like individuals. This process could enrich the colony of AD-affected animals. Alternatively, a solution could be the enrichment of colonies with progenies of the most affected individuals or the development of genetic tools to study transgenic animals with fAD mutations [89,242].

Thus, NHPs show A β aggregates and CAA with age but do not develop AD. While NHPs are phylogenetically the closest animals to humans, the disease seems specific to our species. This limitation, along with the cost, the ethical concerns, the small number

of individuals available for studies, and the lack of genetic tools, results in the rare use of NHPs as AD models.

6. Discussion

Since different in vitro, in cellulo, and in vivo models are available for the study of AD, the current challenge is to identify and select models that provide the optimum information for a disease-specific aspect. Many publications report the use of multiple models to cross-validate results at different levels of investigation. Concerning in vitro studies, a technological barrier was crossed at the end of the 1990s with the formation of fibrils in vitro from synthetic A β peptides and recombinant tau proteins. Recently, with the development of cryo-electron microscopy technology, a new barrier was overcome by allowing for the direct analysis of fibrils isolated from amyloid plaques of Alzheimer's patients with an atomic resolution. These fibrils showed structural differences, compared to recombinant fibrils, highlighting the limitation of previous structural studies. A next challenge would be to characterize many more fibrils from Alzheimer's patients, both the familial and sporadic forms. This will allow for a better understanding of the "strains" of Alzheimer's disease, their mechanisms, and the associated pathophysiological phenotypes. In addition, based on these recent discoveries, innovative in silico models of the entire human brain are now available for the study of the protein aggregate diffusion during AD, which will be helpful for disease prognostics when associated with imaging techniques [59,60]. However, in vitro models are not integrated systems; thus, in cellulo models are complementary and intermediate tools between in vitro and in vivo models. For decades, the cell culture models used to study Alzheimer's disease had the advantage of easy handling, but the limiting factor was the lack of representativeness regarding the pathophysiology of the disease. Indeed, those cell lines did not develop amyloid plaques nor NFTs. A technological breakthrough was made in 2014 with the generation of iPSCs from the fibroblasts of Alzheimer's patients. With this tool, it was possible to characterize both the familial and sporadic forms of the disease, while no model was yet available. Three-dimensional cultures of iPSCs derived from AD patients allow for the appearance of A β plaques and NFTs. Due to ethical issues regarding animal experimentation, it is now the perfect time for the development of the "mini brain" cutting edge tool, also called organoids, mimicking the brain environment and generated from patients' cells. With this innovative tool, the disease will be better modeled, especially the sporadic disease. Understanding sAD is essential, since the vast majority of AD patients, i.e., 99%, are affected by this form of the disease [2]. However, iPSCs and organoids are costly and not easy to use. Future achievements will be the improvement of the protocols for handling these cells and allowing for their use in automated high-throughput screening.

Despite all the advantages of these models, one cannot completely free oneself from in vivo models. Indeed, they provide extra information about cognitive impairments and memory deficits that are impossible to study using cells, tissues, or organoids. Many animal models have been developed to mimic the disease, but none of them are able to fully recapitulate all of its characteristics. This may be related to the absence of ApoE in these models, which is a lipoprotein specific to humans and a well-known risk factor for the disease.

NHP models of AD would probably be the closest to the human disease because of the genetic proximity between humans and primates, but the disease seems specific to humans, as very few NHPs (1%) develop an AD-like pathology [236]. The major pitfalls, including the cost of the experiments, ethical concerns, time-consuming experiments, specific NHP tools that need to be developed, and the low numbers of individuals available for each experimental group, are too restrictive for basic research. A breakthrough would be the generation of transgenic AD NHPs. In particular, the grey mouse lemur would be suitable for transgenesis due to its small size, shorter lifespan, and its ability to spontaneously develop an AD-like pathology [89,242].

As studies with NHPs remain extremely difficult to conduct, medical research is now focusing on human patients to circumvent experimental models. Remarkably, since 2012, the Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network (DIAN) was created in an international effort to find a cure for AD. The purpose of the network is to collect information and tissues, following standard protocols, on individuals from families with autosomal-dominant AD in order to identify early biomarkers and treatments and accelerate the knowledge on fAD [243,244].

7. Conclusions

To choose the best model among the vast panel of existing AD models, it is necessary to precisely define the objectives and make some compromises. Last but not least, the majority of the models used are fAD models, and this could explain the failure to identify a treatment for sAD patients, as sporadic AD has multifactorial origins. Currently, the best way to understand the disease is to study AD patients, as the disease is specific to humans and is not found with all its characteristics in other natural or generated models. However, since access to human patient samples remains a limiting factor, breaking down barriers is required to accelerate research development and reach milestones in pharmacology. In addition, the "omics" technologies (proteomics, transcriptomics, metabolomics, etc.) will be precious tools for increasing our knowledge of Alzheimer's disease in the future. The data acquired via the "omics" will allow us to develop early diagnoses based on the identification of early AD biomarkers, which is crucial for maximizing the efficiency of treatments [245–247]. Finally, even though drug development remains urgent, another field is now emerging, focusing on the prevention and identification of agents causing the disease. The idea is to tackle the roots of the problem and slow down and/or stop the development of AD, thus preventing patients from reaching the most severe stages of the disease, instead of treating the consequences of AD.

Author Contributions: Writing—original draft preparation, A.V., J.T., and V.P.; correction of the manuscript: S.L. and L.S.-P.; writing—review and editing, A.V., and V.P.; supervision, V.P.; funding acquisition, J.T. and V.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: L.S.-P. is the recipient of a fellowship from The French National Research Agency (ANR) under the program "Investissement d'Avenir", with the reference, ANR-16-IDEX-0006, I-Site MUSE STEMPest. The review is supported by an ANR grant, ANR-19-CE42-0006, NanoOligo.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We would like to extend our gratitude to Alexandre Bouyssou (Perkin Elmer Cisbio) for his help in the molecular modeling of $A\beta$ peptides in the "LS" configuration (Figure 2).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

AD, Alzheimer's disease; fAD, familial AD; sAD, sporadic AD; EOAD, early onset of AD; LOAD, late onset of AD; A β , amyloid β ; NFTs, neurofibrillary tangles; AChE, acetylcholinesterase; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; NMDA, *N*-methyl-D-aspartate; iPSCs, induced pluripotent stem cells; APP, amyloid precursor protein; ADAM, A Desintegrin and Metalloprotease; BACE1, β -site amyloidcleaving enzyme; PSEN1, presenilin 1; PSEN2, presenilin 2; APH1, anterior pharynx defective 1; PEN2, presenilin enhancer 2; NGF, nerve growth factor; ROS, reactive oxygen species; NO, nitric oxide; NEP, neprilysin; IDE, insulin-degrading enzyme; BBB, blood–brain barrier; LRP-1, lipoprotein receptor-related protein-1; RAGE, receptor for advanced glycation end; MAPT, microtubuleassociated protein tau; GSK3 β , glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta; CR, Congo Red; ThT, thioflavin T; ANS, 1-anilino-8-naphthalene sulfonate; HTRF, homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence; FCS, fluorescence correlation microscopy; EM, electron microscopy; ssNMR, solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance; MDS molecular dynamic simulations; AMPA, α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-méthylisoazol-4propionate; AMPARs, AMPA receptors; PGD, preimplantation genetic diagnosis; BMECs, brain microvessel/microvascular endothelial cells; NPCs, neural progenitor cells; iNs, induced neurons; TILLING, targeting induced local lesions in genome; TREM2, Triggering Receptor Expressed on Myeloid Cells 2; SVZ, subventricular zone; OBE, olfactory bulbectomy; OB, olfactory bulb; SAMP8, senescence-accelerated mouse P8; NHPs, nonhuman primates; CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy; TGF-β, transforming growth factor β; DIAN, Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network.

References

- 1. Prince, M.; Wimo, A.; Guerchet, M.; Ali, G.-C.; Wu, Y.-T.; Prina, M. World Alzheimer Report 2015. The Global Impact of Dementia. An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends; Alzheimer's Disease International: London, UK, 2015.
- 2. DeTure, M.A.; Dickson, D.W. The neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Mol. Neurodegener. 2019, 14, 118. [CrossRef]
- 3. Ranjan, V.D.; Qiu, L.; Tan, E.K.; Zeng, L.; Zhang, Y. Modelling Alzheimer's disease: Insights fromin vivotoin vitrothreedimensional culture platforms. *J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med.* **2018**, *12*, 1944–1958. [CrossRef]
- 4. Mendez, M.F. Early-Onset Alzheimer Disease. Neurol. Clin. 2017, 35, 263–281. [CrossRef]
- Dubey, S.K.; Ram, M.S.; Krishna, K.V.; Saha, R.N.; Singhvi, G.; Agrawal, M.; Uddin, A.; Saraf, S.; Saraf, S.; Alexander, A. Recent Expansions on Cellular Models to Uncover the Scientific Barriers Towards Drug Development for Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* 2019, *39*, 181–209. [CrossRef]
- 6. Tanaka, M.; Toldi, J.; Vécsei, L. Exploring the Etiological Links behind Neurodegenerative Diseases: Inflammatory Cytokines and Bioactive Kynurenines. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 2431. [CrossRef]
- 7. Wenk, G.L. Neuropathologic changes in Alzheimer's disease. J. Clin. Psychiatry 2003, 64 (Suppl. 9), 7–10. [PubMed]
- 8. Surguchov, A. Caveolin: A New Link Between Diabetes and AD. Cell. Mol. Neurobiol. 2020, 40, 1059–1066. [CrossRef]
- 9. Berchtold, N.; Cotman, C. Evolution in the Conceptualization of Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease: Greco-Roman Period to the 1960s. *Neurobiol. Aging* **1998**, *19*, 173–189. [CrossRef]
- 10. Liu, P.-P.; Xie, Y.; Meng, X.-Y.; Kang, J.-S. History and progress of hypotheses and clinical trials for Alzheimer's disease. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2019**, *4*, 292. [CrossRef]
- 11. Davis, K.; Powchick, P. Tacrine. Lancet 1995, 345, 625-630. [CrossRef]
- 12. Bullock, R.; Touchon, J.; Bergman, H.; Gambina, G.; He, Y.; Rapatz, G.; Nagel, J.; Lane, R. Rivastigmine and donepezil treatment in moderate to moderately-severe Alzheimer's disease over a 2-year period. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* 2005, 21, 1317–1327. [CrossRef]
- 13. Reisberg, B.; Doody, R.; Stöffler, A.; Schmitt, F.; Ferris, S.; Möbius, H.J. Memantine in Moderate-to-Severe Alzheimer's Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2003**, *348*, 1333–1341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Tanaka, M.; Török, N.; Vécsei, L. Novel Pharmaceutical Approaches in Dementia. In *NeuroPsychopharmacotherapy*; Riederer, P., Laux, G., Nagatsu, T., Le, W., Riederer, C., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 1–18. ISBN 978-3-319-56015-1.
- 15. Frost, G.; Li, Y.-M. The role of astrocytes in amyloid production and Alzheimer's disease. *Open Biol.* **2017**, *7*, 170228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, J.; Liu, F.; Huang, C.; Shentu, J.; Wang, M.; Sun, C.; Chen, L.; Yan, S.; Fang, F.; Wang, Y.; et al. 5-Hydroxycyclopenicillone Inhibits β-Amyloid Oligomerization and Produces Anti-β-Amyloid Neuroprotective Effects In Vitro. *Molecules* 2017, 22, 1651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chow, V.W.; Mattson, M.P.; Wong, P.C.; Gleichmann, M. An Overview of APP Processing Enzymes and Products. *Neuro Mol. Med.* 2010, 12, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Vassar, R.; Bennett, B.D.; Babu-Khan, S.; Kahn, S.; Mendiaz, E.A.; Denis, P.; Teplow, D.B.; Ross, S.; Amarante, P.; Loeloff, R.; et al. Beta-Secretase Cleavage of Alzheimer's Amyloid Precursor Protein by the Transmembrane Aspartic Protease BACE. *Science* 1999, 286, 735–741. [CrossRef]
- Sakono, M.; Zako, T. Amyloid oligomers: Formation and toxicity of Aβ oligomers. *FEBS J.* 2010, 277, 1348–1358. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 20. Mawuenyega, K.G.; Sigurdson, W.; Ovod, V.; Munsell, L.; Kasten, T.; Morris, J.C.; Yarasheski, K.; Bateman, R.J. Decreased Clearance of CNS -Amyloid in Alzheimer's Disease. *Science* **2010**, *330*, 1774. [CrossRef]
- Bates, K.; Verdile, G.; Li, Q.; Ames, D.; Hudson, P.J.; Masters, C.L.; Martins, R.N. Clearance mechanisms of Alzheimer's amyloid-β peptide: Implications for therapeutic design and diagnostic tests. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2008, 14, 469–486. [CrossRef]
- 22. Daneman, R.; Prat, A. The Blood–Brain Barrier. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2015, 7, a020412. [CrossRef]
- Falcon, B.; Zhang, W.; Schweighauser, M.; Murzin, A.G.; Vidal, R.; Garringer, H.J.; Ghetti, B.; Scheres, S.H.W.; Goedert, M. Tau filaments from multiple cases of sporadic and inherited Alzheimer's disease adopt a common fold. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2018, 136, 699–708. [CrossRef]
- 24. Goedert, M. Tau filaments in neurodegenerative diseases. FEBS Lett. 2018, 592, 2383–2391. [CrossRef]
- 25. Keck, S.; Nitsch, R.; Grune, T.; Ullrich, O. Proteasome inhibition by paired helical filament-tau in brains of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurochem.* **2003**, *85*, 115–122. [CrossRef]
- Barrio, R.; Sutherland, J.D.; Rodriguez, M.S. Proteostasis and Disease: From Basic Mechanisms to Clinics. In Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; Volume 1233, ISBN 978-3-030-38265-0.
- 27. Esposito, Z.; Belli, L.; Toniolo, S.; Sancesario, G.; Bianconi, C.; Martorana, A. Amyloid β, Glutamate, Excitotoxicity in Alzheimer's Disease: Are We on the Right Track? *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* **2013**, *19*, 549–555. [CrossRef]

- Schmitz, C.; Rutten, B.P.F.; Pielen, A.; Schäfer, S.; Wirths, O.; Tremp, G.; Czech, C.; Blanchard, V.; Multhaup, G.; Rezaie, P.; et al. Hippocampal Neuron Loss Exceeds Amyloid Plaque Load in a Transgenic Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2004, 164, 1495–1502. [CrossRef]
- 29. Forloni, G.; Balducci, C. Alzheimer's Disease, Oligomers, and Inflammation. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2018, 62, 1261–1276. [CrossRef]
- 30. Nisbet, R.M.; Polanco, J.C.; Ittner, L.; Götz, J. Tau aggregation and its interplay with amyloid-β. *Acta Neuropathol.* **2015**, *129*, 207–220. [CrossRef]
- Bloom, G.S. Amyloid-β, and Tau: The trigger and bullet in Alzheimer disease pathogenesis. JAMA Neurol. 2014, 71, 505–508. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Yakupova, E.I.; Bobyleva, L.G.; Vikhlyantsev, I.M.; Bobylev, A.G. Congo Red and amyloids: History and relationship. *Biosci. Rep.* **2019**, *39*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Vassar, P.S.; Culling, C.F. Fluorescent stains, with special reference to amyloid and connective tissues. *Arch. Pathol.* **1959**, *68*, 487–498.
- 34. Malmos, K.G.; Blancas-Mejia, L.M.; Weber, B.; Buchner, J.; Ramirez-Alvarado, M.; Naiki, H.; Otzen, D. ThT 101: A primer on the use of thioflavin T to investigate amyloid formation. *Amyloid* 2017, 24, 1–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Gonzales, A.D.F.-; Robbs, B.; Moreau, V.H.; Ferreira, A.; Juliano, L.; Valente, A.P.; Almeida, F.C.; Silva, J.; Foguel, D. Controlling β-Amyloid Oligomerization by the Use of Naphthalene Sulfonates: Trapping low molecular weight oligomeric species. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2005, 280, 34747–34754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Sulatsky, M.I.; Sulatskaya, A.I.; Povarova, O.I.; Antifeeva, I.A.; Kuznetsova, I.M.; Turoverov, K.K. Effect of the fluorescent probes ThT and ANS on the mature amyloid fibrils. *Prion* **2020**, *14*, 67–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bruggink, K.A.; Müller, M.; Kuiperij, H.B.; Verbeek, M.M. Methods for Analysis of Amyloid-β Aggregates. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2012, 28, 735–758. [CrossRef]
- Siddiqi, M.K.; Majid, N.; Malik, S.; Alam, P.; Khan, R.H. Amyloid Oligomers, Protofibrils and Fibrils. In *Macromolecular Protein Complexes II: Structure and Function*; Harris, J.R., Marles-Wright, J., Eds.; Subcellular Biochemistry; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 471–503. ISBN 978-3-030-28151-9.
- 39. Kumar, E.K.; Haque, N.; Prabhu, N.P. Kinetics of protein fibril formation: Methods and mechanisms. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2017, 100, 3–10. [CrossRef]
- 40. Alghazwi, M.; Smid, S.; Musgrave, I.; Zhang, W. In vitro studies of the neuroprotective activities of astaxanthin and fucoxanthin against amyloid β (Aβ1-42) toxicity and aggregation. *Neurochem. Int.* **2019**, *124*, 215–224. [CrossRef]
- Bana, L.; Minniti, S.; Salvati, E.; Sesana, S.; Zambelli, V.; Cagnotto, A.; Orlando, A.; Cazzaniga, E.; Zwart, R.; Scheper, W.; et al. Liposomes bi-functionalized with phosphatidic acid and an ApoE-derived peptide affect Aβ aggregation features and cross the blood–brain-barrier: Implications for therapy of Alzheimer disease. *Nanomed. Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* 2014, 10, 1583–1590. [CrossRef]
- Scheidt, T.; Łapińska, U.; Kumita, J.R.; Whiten, D.R.; Klenerman, D.; Wilson, M.R.; Cohen, S.I.A.; Linse, S.; Vendruscolo, M.; Dobson, C.M.; et al. Secondary nucleation and elongation occur at different sites on Alzheimer's amyloid-β aggregates. *Sci. Adv.* 2019, *5*, eaau3112. [CrossRef]
- Chaudhury, S.S.; Sannigrahi, A.; Nandi, M.; Mishra, V.K.; De, P.; Chattopadhyay, K.; Mishra, S.; Sil, J.; Das Mukhopadhyay, C. A Novel PEGylated Block Copolymer in New Age Therapeutics for Alzheimer's Disease. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2019, *56*, 6551–6565. [CrossRef]
- 44. Clarke, E.E.; Shearman, M.S. Quantitation of amyloid-β peptides in biological milieu using a novel homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **2000**, *102*, 61–68. [CrossRef]
- 45. Lewis, H.; Beher, D.; Cookson, N.; Oakley, A.; Piggott, M.; Morris, C.M.; Jaros, E.; Perry, R.; Ince, P.; Kenny, R.A.; et al. Quantification of Alzheimer pathology in ageing and dementia: Age-related accumulation of amyloid-β(42) peptide in vascular dementia. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* **2006**, *32*, 103–118. [CrossRef]
- 46. Maulthaup, G.; Mechler, H.; Masters, C.L. Characterization of the high affinity heparin binding site of the Alzheimer's disease βA4 amyloid precursor protein (APP) and its enhancement by zinc(II). *J. Mol. Recognit.* **1995**, *8*, 247–257. [CrossRef]
- 47. Palladino, P.; Aura, A.M.; Spoto, G. Surface plasmon resonance for the label-free detection of Alzheimer's β-amyloid peptide aggregation. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, 408, 849–854. [CrossRef]
- 48. Patching, S.G. Surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy for characterisation of membrane protein–ligand interactions and its potential for drug discovery. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **2014**, *1838*, 43–55. [CrossRef]
- 49. Yi, X.; Feng, C.; Hu, S.; Li, H.; Wang, J. Surface plasmon resonance biosensors for simultaneous monitoring of amyloid-β oligomers and fibrils and screening of select modulators. *Analyst* **2015**, *141*, 331–336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Bjijrling, S.; Thyberg, P.; Thyberg, J.; Berndt, K.D.; Tereniusl, L. Amyloid B-Peptide Polymerization Studied Using Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy. *Chem. Biol.* **1999**, *6*, 53–62. [CrossRef]
- 51. Sengupta, P.; Garai, K.; Sahoo, B.; Shi, Y.; Callaway, D.J.E.; Maiti, S. The Amyloid β Peptide (Aβ1-40) Is Thermodynamically Soluble at Physiological Concentrations. *Biochemistry* **2003**, *42*, 10506–10513. [CrossRef]
- 52. Krichevsky, O.; Bonnet, G. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy: The technique and its applications. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2002**, *65*, 251–297. [CrossRef]
- Alghamdi, A.; Vyshemirsky, V.; Birch, D.; Rolinski, O.J. Detecting β-amyloid aggregation from time-resolved emission spectra. *Methods Appl. Fluoresc.* 2017, *6*, 024002. [CrossRef]

- 54. Alghamdi, A.; Wellbrock, T.; Birch, D.; Vyshemirsky, V.; Rolinski, O.J. Cu 2+ Effects on Beta-Amyloid Oligomerisation Monitored by the Fluorescence of Intrinsic Tyrosine. *ChemPhysChem* **2019**, *20*, 3181–3185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Shen, C.; Scott, G.; Merchant, F.; Murphy, R. Light scattering analysis of fibril growth from the amino-terminal fragment β(1–28) of β-amyloid peptide. *Biophys. J.* **1993**, *65*, 2383–2395. [CrossRef]
- 56. Tomski, S.J.; Murphy, R.M. Kinetics of aggregation of synthetic β-amyloid peptide. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1992**, 294, 630–638. [CrossRef]
- 57. Gremer, L.; Schölzel, D.; Schenk, C.; Reinartz, E.; Labahn, J.; Ravelli, R.B.G.; Tusche, M.; Lopez-Iglesias, C.; Hoyer, W.; Heise, H.; et al. Fibril structure of amyloid-β(1–42) by cryo–electron microscopy. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 116–119. [CrossRef]
- Popov, K.I.; Makepeace, K.A.; Petrotchenko, E.V.; Dokholyan, N.V.; Borchers, C.H. Insight into the Structure of the "Unstructured" Tau Protein. *Structure* 2019, 27, 1710–1715.e4. [CrossRef]
- 59. Weickenmeier, J.; Kuhl, E.; Goriely, A. Multiphysics of Prionlike Diseases: Progression and Atrophy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2018**, 121, 158101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Yang, F.; Chowdhury, S.R.; Jacobs, H.; Johnson, K.A.; Dutta, J. A Longitudinal Model for Tau Aggregation in Alzheimer's Disease Based on Structural Connectivity. *Process. Med. Imaging* **2019**, *11492*, 384–393. [CrossRef]
- Arosio, P.; Cukalevski, R.; Frohm, B.; Knowles, T.; Linse, S. Quantification of the Concentration of Aβ42 Propagons during the Lag Phase by an Amyloid Chain Reaction Assay. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 219–225. [CrossRef]
- Nick, M.; Wu, Y.; Schmidt, N.W.; Prusiner, S.B.; Stöhr, J.; De Grado, W.F. A long-lived Aβ oligomer resistant to fibrillization. Biopolymer 2018, 109, e23096. [CrossRef]
- 63. Inouye, H.; Fraser, P.E.; Kirschner, D. Structure of β-crystallite assemblies formed by Alzheimer β-amyloid protein analogues: Analysis by x-ray diffraction. *Biophys. J.* **1993**, *64*, 502–519. [CrossRef]
- 64. Kollmer, M.; Close, W.; Funk, L.; Rasmussen, J.; Bsoul, A.; Schierhorn, A.; Schmidt, M.; Sigurdson, C.J.; Jucker, M.; Fändrich, M. Cryo-EM structure and polymorphism of Aβ amyloid fibrils purified from Alzheimer's brain tissue. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 4760. [CrossRef]
- Qiang, W.; Yau, W.-M.; Lu, J.-X.; Collinge, J.; Tycko, R. Structural variation in amyloid-β fibrils from Alzheimer's disease clinical subtypes. *Nature* 2017, 541, 217–221. [CrossRef]
- 66. He, K.-C.; Chen, Y.-R.; Liang, C.-T.; Huang, S.-J.; Tzeng, C.-Y.; Chang, C.-F.; Huang, S.-J.; Huang, H.-B.; Lin, T.-H. Conformational Characterization of Native and L17A/F19A-Substituted Dutch-Type β-Amyloid Peptides. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 2571. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sharma, S.; Nehru, B.; Saini, A. Inhibition of Alzheimer's amyloid-β aggregation in-vitro by carbenoxolone: Insight into mechanism of action. *Neurochem. Int.* 2017, 108, 481–493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Syarifah-Noratiqah, S.-B.; Mohamed, Z.; Zulfarina, M.S.; Qodriyah, H. Natural Polyphenols in the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. *Curr. Drug Targets* 2018, 19, 927–937. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Witter, S.; Witter, R.; Vilu, R.; Samoson, A. Medical Plants and Nutraceuticals for Amyloid-β Fibrillation Inhibition. *J. Alzheimer's Dis. Rep.* **2018**, *2*, 239–252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sato, J.; Takahashi, T.; Oshima, H.; Matsumura, S.; Mihara, H. Design of Peptides That Form Amyloid-Like Fibrils Capturing Amyloid β1–42 Peptides. *Chem. A Eur. J.* 2007, 13, 7745–7752. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Nardo, L.; Re, F.; Brioschi, S.; Cazzaniga, E.; Orlando, A.; Minniti, S.; Lamperti, M.; Gregori, M.; Cassina, V.; Brogioli, D.; et al. Fluorimetric detection of the earliest events in amyloid β oligomerization and its inhibition by pharmacologically active liposomes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj.* **2016**, *1860*, 746–756. [CrossRef]
- 72. Morris, G.M.; Lim-Wilby, M. Molecular Docking. Obes. Cancer 2008, 443, 365–382. [CrossRef]
- 73. Safarizadeh, H.; Garkani-Nejad, Z. Molecular docking, molecular dynamics simulations and QSAR studies on some of 2arylethenylquinoline derivatives for inhibition of Alzheimer's amyloid-β aggregation: Insight into mechanism of interactions and parameters for design of new inhibitors. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **2019**, *87*, 129–143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Nirmalraj, P.N.; List, J.; Battacharya, S.; Howe, G.; Xu, L.; Thompson, D.; Mayer, M. Complete aggregation pathway of amyloid β (1-40) and (1-42) resolved on an atomically clean interface. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*, eaaz6014. [CrossRef]
- Acosta, D.M.Á.V.; Vega, B.C.; Basurto, J.C.; Morales, L.G.F.; Hernandez, M.C.R. Recent Advances by In Silico and In Vitro Studies of Amyloid-β 1-42 Fibril Depicted a S-Shape Conformation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 19, 2415. [CrossRef]
- 76. Nie, R.-Z.; Huo, Y.-Q.; Yu, B.; Liu, C.-J.; Zhou, R.; Bao, H.-H.; Tang, S.-W. Molecular insights into the inhibitory mechanisms of gallate moiety on the Aβ1–40 amyloid aggregation: A molecular dynamics simulation study. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2020, 156, 40–50. [CrossRef]
- 77. Berriman, J.; Serpell, L.; Oberg, K.A.; Fink, A.L.; Goedert, M.; Crowther, R.A. Tau filaments from human brain and from in vitro assembly of recombinant protein show cross- structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2003, *100*, 9034–9038. [CrossRef]
- Crespo, R.; Koudstaal, W.; Apetri, A. In Vitro Assay for Studying the Aggregation of Tau Protein and Drug Screening. J. Vis. Exp. 2018, 58570, e58570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Despres, C.; Di, J.; Cantrelle, F.-X.; Li, Z.; Huvent, I.; Chambraud, B.; Zhao, J.; Chen, J.; Chen, S.; Lippens, G.; et al. Major Differences between the Self-Assembly and Seeding Behavior of Heparin-Induced and in Vitro Phosphorylated Tau and Their Modulation by Potential Inhibitors. ACS Chem. Biol. 2019, 14, 1363–1379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 80. Goedert, M.; Jakes, R.; Spillantini, M.G.; Hasegawa, M.; Smith, M.J.; Crowther, R.A. Assembly of microtubule-associated protein tau into Alzheimer-like filaments induced by sulphated glycosaminoglycans. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **1996**, *383*, 550–553. [CrossRef]

- 81. Mutreja, Y.; Gamblin, T.C. Optimization of in vitro conditions to study the arachidonic acid induction of 4R isoforms of the microtubule-associated protein tau. *Micropatterning Cell Biol. Part B* 2017, 141, 65–88. [CrossRef]
- 82. Goedert, M.; Spillantini, M.; Cairns, N.; Crowther, R. Tau proteins of alzheimer paired helical filaments: Abnormal phosphorylation of all six brain isoforms. *Neuron* **1992**, *8*, 159–168. [CrossRef]
- Pickhardt, M.; Gazova, Z.; von Bergen, M.; Khlistunova, I.; Wang, Y.; Hascher, A.; Mandelkow, E.-M.; Biernat, J.; Mandelkow, E. Anthraquinones Inhibit Tau Aggregation and Dissolve Alzheimer's Paired Helical Filaments in Vitro and in Cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2005, 280, 3628–3635. [CrossRef]
- 84. Peterson, D.W.; George, R.C.; Scaramozzino, F.; LaPointe, N.E.; Anderson, R.A.; Graves, D.J.; Lew, J. Cinnamon Extract Inhibits Tau Aggregation Associated with Alzheimer's Disease In Vitro. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2009, 17, 585–597. [CrossRef]
- 85. Rane, J.S.; Bhaumik, P.; Panda, D. Curcumin Inhibits Tau Aggregation and Disintegrates Preformed Tau Filaments in vitro. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2017**, *60*, 999–1014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Seidler, P.M.; Boyer, D.R.; Rodriguez, J.A.; Sawaya, M.R.; Cascio, D.; Murray, K.; Gonen, T.; Eisenberg, D.S. Structure-based inhibitors of tau aggregation. *Nat. Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 170–176. [CrossRef]
- 87. Pir, G.J.; Choudhary, B.; Kaniyappan, S.; Chandupatla, R.R.; Mandelkow, E.; Mandelkow, E.-M.; Wang, Y. Suppressing Tau Aggregation and Toxicity by an Anti-Aggregant Tau Fragment. *Mol. Neurobiol.* **2018**, *56*, 3751–3767. [CrossRef]
- Dregni, A.J.; Mandala, V.S.; Wu, H.; Elkins, M.R.; Wang, H.K.; Hung, I.; DeGrado, W.F.; Hong, M. In vitro 0N4R tau fibrils contain a monomorphic β-sheet core enclosed by dynamically heterogeneous fuzzy coat segments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, 116, 16357–16366. [CrossRef]
- Sato, R.; Vohra, S.; Yamamoto, S.; Suzuki, K.; Pavel, K.; Shulga, S.; Blume, Y.; Kurita, N. Specific interactions between tau protein and curcumin derivatives: Molecular docking and ab initio molecular orbital simulations. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* 2020, *98*, 107611. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Viswanathan, G.K.; Shwartz, D.; Losev, Y.; Arad, E.; Shemesh, C.; Pichinuk, E.; Engel, H.; Raveh, A.; Jelinek, R.; Cooper, I.; et al. Purpurin modulates Tau-derived VQIVYK fibrillization and ameliorates Alzheimer's disease-like symptoms in animal model. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2020, 77, 2795–2813. [CrossRef]
- 91. Zhang, Y.; Guo, O.; Huo, Y.; Wang, G.; Man, H.-Y. Amyloid-β Induces AMPA Receptor Ubiquitination and Degradation in Primary Neurons and Human Brains of Alzheimer's Disease. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2018**, *62*, 1789–1801. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Drummond, E.; Wisniewski, T. Alzheimer's disease: Experimental models and reality. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2017, 133, 155–175. [CrossRef]
- 93. Julia, Tcw. Human iPSC application in Alzheimer's disease and Tau-related neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurosci. Lett.* **2019**, *699*, 31–40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jin, M.; Shepardson, N.; Yang, T.; Chen, G.; Walsh, D.; Selkoe, D.J. Soluble amyloid -protein dimers isolated from Alzheimer cortex directly induce Tau hyperphosphorylation and neuritic degeneration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 5819–5824. [CrossRef]
- 95. Park, J.; Lee, B.K.; Jeong, G.S.; Hyun, J.K.; Lee, C.J.; Lee, S.-H. Three-dimensional brain-on-a-chip with an interstitial level of flow and its application as an in vitro model of Alzheimer's disease. *Lab Chip* **2014**, *15*, 141–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Wu, H.; Wu, Z.-G.; Shi, W.-J.; Gao, H.; Wu, H.-H.; Bian, F.; Jia, P.-P.; Hou, Y.-N. Effects of progesterone on glucose uptake in neurons of Alzheimer's disease animals and cell models. *Life Sci.* **2019**, 238, 116979. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Choi, Y.J.; Park, J.; Lee, S.-H. Size-controllable networked neurospheres as a 3D neuronal tissue model for Alzheimer's disease studies. *Biomaterials* **2013**, *34*, 2938–2946. [CrossRef]
- Kunze, A.; Meissner, R.; Brando, S.; Renaud, P. Co-pathological connected primary neurons in a microfluidic device for alzheimer studies. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2011, 108, 2241–2245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jorda, A.; Aldasoro, M.; Aldasoro, C.; Guerra-Ojeda, S.; Iradi, A.; Vila, J.M.; Campos-Campos, J.; Valles, S.L. Action of low doses of Aspirin in Inflammation and Oxidative Stress induced by aβ1-42 on Astrocytes in primary culture. *Int. J. Med Sci.* 2020, 17, 834–843. [CrossRef]
- 100. Limbad, C.; Oron, T.R.; Alimirah, F.; Davalos, A.R.; Tracy, T.E.; Gan, L.; Desprez, P.-Y.; Campisi, J. Astrocyte senescence promotes glutamate toxicity in cortical neurons. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0227887. [CrossRef]
- 101. Hopp, S.C.; Lin, Y.; Oakley, D.; Roe, A.D.; Devos, S.L.; Hanlon, D.; Hyman, B.T. The role of microglia in processing and spreading of bioactive tau seeds in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2018**, *15*, 269. [CrossRef]
- 102. Stansley, B.; Post, J.; Hensley, K. A comparative review of cell culture systems for the study of microglial biology in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 2012, *9*, 115. [CrossRef]
- 103. Horiuchi, M.; Maezawa, I.; Itoh, A.; Wakayama, K.; Jin, L.-W.; Itoh, T.; DeCarli, C. Amyloid β1–42 oligomer inhibits myelin sheet formation in vitro. *Neurobiol. Aging* **2012**, *33*, 499–509. [CrossRef]
- Roth, A.D.; Ramírez, G.; Alarcón, R.; VON Bernhardi, R. Oligodendrocytes damage in Alzheimer's disease: Beta amyloid toxicity and inflammation. *Biol. Res.* 2005, 38, 381–387. [CrossRef]
- 105. Liu, B.; Liu, G.; Wang, Y.; Yao, Y.; Wang, G.; Lei, X.; Zhang, N.; Dong, X. Protective Effect of Buyang Huanwu Decoction on Neurovascular Unit in Alzheimer's Disease Cell Model via Inflammation and RAGE/LRP1 Pathway. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2019, 25, 7813–7825. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Alexandrov, P.N.; Kruck, T.P.; Lukiw, W.J. Nanomolar aluminum induces expression of the inflammatory systemic biomarker C-reactive protein (CRP) in human brain microvessel endothelial cells (hBMECs). J. Inorg. Biochem. 2015, 152, 210–213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 107. Kuo, Y.-C.; Tsao, C.-W. Neuroprotection against Apoptosis of SK-N-MC Cells Using RMP-7- and Lactoferrin-Grafted Lipo-somes Carrying Quercetin. Available online: https://www.dovepress.com/neuroprotection-against-apoptosis-of-sk-n-mc-cells-usingrmp-7--and-la-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-IJN (accessed on 20 May 2020).
- McCarthy, R.C.; Kosman, D.J. Iron transport across the Blood-Brain barrier: Development, neurovascular regulation and cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2015, 72, 709–727. [CrossRef]
- Sun, R.; He, T.; Pan, Y.; Katusic, Z.S. Effects of senescence and angiotensin II on expression and processing of amyloid precursor protein in human cerebral microvascular endothelial cells. *Aging* 2018, 10, 100–114. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 110. Spampinato, S.F.; Merlo, S.; Fagone, E.; Fruciano, M.; Barbagallo, C.; Kanda, T.; Sano, Y.; Purrello, M.; Vancheri, C.; Ragusa, M.; et al. Astrocytes Modify Migration of PBMCs Induced by β-Amyloid in a Blood-Brain Barrier in vitro Model. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **2019**, *13*, 337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 111. Schultz, N.; Brännström, K.; Byman, E.; Moussaud, S.; Nielsen, H.M.; Olofsson, A.; Wennstrom, M. Amyloid-β 1-40 is associated with alterations in NG2+ pericyte population ex vivo and in vitro. *Aging Cell* 2018, *17*, e12728. [CrossRef]
- 112. Burkhart, A.; Thomsen, L.B.; Thomsen, M.S.; Lichota, J.; Fazakas, C.; Krizbai, I.; Moos, T. Transfection of brain capillary endothelial cells in primary culture with defined blood-brain barrier properties. *Fluids Barriers CNS* **2015**, *12*, 19. [CrossRef]
- 113. Stoppelkamp, S.; Bell, H.S.; Palacios-Filardo, J.; Shewan, D.A.; Riedel, G.; Platt, B. In vitro modelling of Alzheimer's disease: Degeneration and cell death induced by viral delivery of amyloid and tau. *Exp. Neurol.* **2011**, *229*, 226–237. [CrossRef]
- 114. Hargus, G.; Ehrlich, M.; Hallmann, A.-L.; Kuhlmann, T. Human stem cell models of neurodegeneration: A novel approach to study mechanisms of disease development. *Acta Neuropathol.* **2013**, *127*, 151–173. [CrossRef]
- 115. Devinsky, O.; Vezzani, A.; Najjar, S.; De Lanerolle, N.C.; Rogawski, M. Glia and epilepsy: Excitability and inflammation. *Trends Neurosci.* 2013, *36*, 174–184. [CrossRef]
- 116. Jäkel, S.; Dimou, L. Glial Cells and Their Function in the Adult Brain: A Journey through the History of Their Ablation. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **2017**, *11*, 24. [CrossRef]
- 117. Colin, M.; Dujardin, S.; Schraen-Maschke, S.; Meno-Tetang, G.; Duyckaerts, C.; Courade, J.-P.; Buée, L. From the prion-like propagation hypothesis to therapeutic strategies of anti-tau immunotherapy. *Acta Neuropathol.* **2020**, *139*, 3–25. [CrossRef]
- 118. Zandl-Lang, M.; Fanaee-Danesh, E.; Sun, Y.; Albrecher, N.M.; Gali, C.; Čančar, I.; Kober, A.; Tam-Amersdorfer, C.; Stracke, A.; Storck, S.; et al. Regulatory effects of simvastatin and apoJ on APP processing and amyloid-β clearance in blood-brain barrier endothelial cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids* **2018**, *1863*, 40–60. [CrossRef]
- 119. He, Y.; Yao, Y.; Tsirka, S.E.; Cao, Y. Cell-Culture Models of the Blood–Brain Barrier. *Stroke* 2014, 45, 2514–2526. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cecchelli, R.; Aday, S.; Sevin, E.; Almeida, C.; Culot, M.; Dehouck, L.; Coisne, C.M.; Engelhardt, B.; Dehouck, M.-P.; Ferreira, L. A Stable and Reproducible Human Blood-Brain Barrier Model Derived from Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *PLoS ONE* 2014, *9*, e99733. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Labour, M.-N.; Vigier, S.; Lerner, D.A.; Marcilhac, A.; Belamie, E. 3D compartmented model to study the neurite-related toxicity of Aβ aggregates included in collagen gels of adaptable porosity. *Acta Biomater.* **2016**, *37*, 38–49. [CrossRef]
- 122. Atluri, V.S.R.; Tiwari, S.; Rodriguez, M.; Kaushik, A.; Yndart, A.; Kolishetti, N.; Yatham, M.; Nair, M. Inhibition of Amyloid-Beta Production, Associated Neuroinflammation, and Histone Deacetylase 2-Mediated Epigenetic Modifications Prevent Neuropathology in Alzheimer's Disease in vitro Model. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **2020**, *11*, 342. [CrossRef]
- 123. Arslan, M.E.; Türkez, H.; Mardinoğlu, A. In vitro neuroprotective effects of farnesene sesquiterpene on alzheimer's disease model of differentiated neuroblastoma cell line. *Int. J. Neurosci.* 2020, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. De Medeiros, L.M.; De Bastiani, M.A.; Rico, E.P.; Schonhofen, P.; Pfaffenseller, B.; Wollenhaupt-Aguiar, B.; Grun, L.; Barbé-Tuana, F.; Zimmer, E.R.; Castro, M.A.A.; et al. Cholinergic Differentiation of Human Neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y Cell Line and Its Potential Use as an In vitro Model for Alzheimer's Disease Studies. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2019, *56*, 7355–7367. [CrossRef]
- Seidel, D.; Krinke, D.; Jahnke, H.-G.; Hirche, A.; Kloß, D.; Mack, T.G.A.; Striggow, F.; Robitzki, A. Induced Tauopathy in a Novel 3D-Culture Model Mediates Neurodegenerative Processes: A Real-Time Study on Biochips. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e49150. [CrossRef]
- 126. Gu, R.; Wang, L.; Tang, M.; Li, S.-R.; Liu, R.; Hu, X. LncRNA Rpph1 protects amyloid-β induced neuronal injury in SK-N-SH cells via miR-122/Wnt1 axis. *Int. J. Neurosci.* 2020, 130, 443–453. [CrossRef]
- 127. Su, B.; Wang, X.; Lee, H.-G.; Tabaton, M.; Perry, G.; Smith, M.A.; Zhu, X. Chronic oxidative stress causes increased tau phosphorylation in M17 neuroblastoma cells. *Neurosci. Lett.* **2010**, *468*, 267–271. [CrossRef]
- 128. Heo, H.J.; Yang, H.C.; Cho, H.Y.; Hong, B.; Lim, S.T.; Park, H.J.; Kim, K.H.; Kim, H.K.; Shin, D.H. Inhibitory effect of Artemisia asiatica alkaloids on acetylcholinesterase activity from rat PC12 cells. *Mol. Cells* 2000, *10*, 253–262. [PubMed]
- 129. Spilman, P.; Descamps, O.; Gorostiza, O.; Peters-Libeu, C.; Poksay, K.S.; Matalis, A.; Campagna, J.; Patent, A.; Rao, R.; John, V.; et al. The multi-functional drug tropisetron binds APP and normalizes cognition in a murine Alzheimer's model. *Brain Res.* 2014, 1551, 25–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Uemura, K.; Farner, K.C.; Hashimoto, T.; Nasser-Ghodsi, N.; Wolfe, M.S.; Koo, E.H.; Hyman, B.T.; Berezovska, O. Substrate docking to γ-secretase allows access of γ-secretase modulators to an allosteric site. *Nat. Commun.* 2010, 1, 130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 131. Zheng, X.; Shao, X.; Zhang, C.; Tan, Y.; Liu, Q.; Wan, X.; Zhang, Q.; Xu, S.; Jiang, X. Intranasal H102 Peptide-Loaded Liposomes for Brain Delivery to Treat Alzheimer's Disease. *Pharm. Res.* **2015**, *32*, 3837–3849. [CrossRef]

- Srinivasan, B.; Kolli, A.R.; Esch, M.B.; Abaci, H.E.; Shuler, M.L.; Hickman, J.J. TEER Measurement Techniques for In Vitro Barrier Model Systems. J. Lab. Autom. 2015, 20, 107–126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Park, J.; Wetzel, I.; Marriott, I.; Dreau, D.; D'Avanzo, C.; Kim, D.Y.; Tanzi, R.E.; Cho, H. A 3D human triculture system modeling neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2018, 21, 941–951. [CrossRef]
- 134. Choi, S.H.; Kim, Y.H.; Hebisch, M.; Sliwinski, C.; Lee, S.; D'Avanzo, C.; Chen, J.; Hooli, B.; Asselin, C.; Muffat, J.; et al. A three-dimensional human neural cell culture model of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2014**, *515*, 274–278. [CrossRef]
- 135. Biemans, E.A.; Jäkel, L.; de Waal, R.M.; Kuiperij, B.; Verbeek, M.M. Limitations of the hCMEC/D3 cell line as a model for Aβ clearance by the human blood-brain barrier. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2017**, *95*, 1513–1522. [CrossRef]
- Weksler, B.; Romero, I.; Couraud, P.-O. The hCMEC/D3 cell line as a model of the human blood brain barrier. *Fluids Barriers CNS* 2013, *10*, 16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qosa, H.; Mohamed, L.A.; Al Rihani, S.B.; Batarseh, Y.S.; Duong, Q.-V.; Keller, J.N.; Kaddoumi, A. High-Throughput Screening for Identification of Blood-Brain Barrier Integrity Enhancers: A Drug Repurposing Opportunity to Rectify Vascular Amyloid Toxicity. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2016, 53, 1499–1516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Waxman, E.; Giasson, B.I. Induction of Intracellular Tau Aggregation Is Promoted by -Synuclein Seeds and Provides Novel Insights into the Hyperphosphorylation of Tau. J. Neurosci. 2011, 31, 7604–7618. [CrossRef]
- Houck, A.L.; Hernández, F.; Ávila, J. A Simple Model to Study Tau Pathology. J. Exp. Neurosci. 2016, 10, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
 Choi, S.H.; Kim, Y.H.; Quinti, L.; Tanzi, R.E.; Kim, D.Y. 3D culture models of Alzheimer's disease: A road map to a "cure-in-a-dish."
 - Mol. Neurodegener. 2016, 11, 75. [CrossRef]
- 141. Takahashi, K.; Yamanaka, S. Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells from Mouse Embryonic and Adult Fibroblast Cultures by Defined Factors. *Cell* **2006**, *126*, 663–676. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 142. Arber, C.; Lovejoy, C.; Wray, S. Stem cell models of Alzheimer's disease: Progress and challenges. *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.* 2017, *9*, 117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Auboyer, L.; Monzo, C.; Wallon, D.; Rovelet-Lecrux, A.; Gabelle, A.; Gazagne, I.; Cacheux, V.; Lehmann, S.; Crozet, C. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells (IRMBi001-A) from an Alzheimer's disease patient carrying a G217D mutation in the PSEN1 gene. Stem Cell Res. 2019, 34, 101381. [CrossRef]
- 144. Auboyer, L.; Monzo, C.; Wallon, D.; Rovelet-Lecrux, A.; Gabelle, A.; Gazagne, I.; Cacheux, V.; Lehmann, S.; Crozet, C. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) IRMBi002-A from an Alzheimer's disease patient carrying a D694N mutation in the APP gene. *Stem Cell Res.* 2019, *37*, 101438. [CrossRef]
- 145. Lee, H.-K.; Sanchez, C.V.; Chen, M.; Morin, P.J.; Wells, J.M.; Hanlon, E.B.; Xia, W. Three Dimensional Human Neuro-Spheroid Model of Alzheimer's Disease Based on Differentiated Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0163072. [CrossRef]
- 146. Raja, W.K.; Mungenast, A.E.; Lin, Y.-T.; Ko, T.; Abdurrob, F.; Seo, J.; Tsai, L.-H. Self-Organizing 3D Human Neural Tissue Derived from Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Recapitulate Alzheimer's Disease Phenotypes. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0161969. [CrossRef]
- 147. Rouleau, N.; Cantley, W.L.; Liaudanskaya, V.; Berk, A.; Du, C.; Rusk, W.; Peirent, E.; Koester, C.; Nieland, T.J.F.; Kaplan, D.L. A Long-Living Bioengineered Neural Tissue Platform to Study Neurodegeneration. *Macromol. Biosci.* 2020, 20, e2000004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Yagi, T.; Ito, D.; Okada, Y.; Akamatsu, W.; Nihei, Y.; Yoshizaki, T.; Yamanaka, S.; Okano, H.; Suzuki, N. Modeling familial Alzheimer's disease with induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2011**, *20*, 4530–4539. [CrossRef]
- 149. Atkinson-Dell, R.; Mohamet, L. Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Astroglia: A New Tool for Research Towards the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2019**, *1175*, 383–405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 150. Katt, M.E.; Xu, Z.S.; Gerecht, S.; Searson, P.C. Human Brain Microvascular Endothelial Cells Derived from the BC1 iPS Cell Line Exhibit a Blood-Brain Barrier Phenotype. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0152105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 151. Oikari, L.; Pandit, R.; Stewart, R.; Cuni-Lopez, C.; Quek, H.; Sutharsan, R.; Rantanen, L.M.; Oksanen, M.; Lehtonen, S.; de Boer, C.M.; et al. Altered Brain Endothelial Cell Phenotype from a Familial Alzheimer Mutation and Its Potential Implications for Amyloid Clearance and Drug Delivery. *Stem Cell Rep.* 2020, 14, 924–939. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 152. Kang, S.; Chen, X.; Gong, S.; Yu, P.; Yau, S.S.Y.; Su, Z.; Zhou, L.; Yu, J.; Pan, G.; Shi, L. Characteristic analyses of a neural differentiation model from iPSC-derived neuron according to morphology, physiology, and global gene expression pattern. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 12233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 153. Tsai, Y.; Cutts, J.; Kimura, A.; Varun, D.; Brafman, D.A. A chemically defined substrate for the expansion and neuronal differentiation of human pluripotent stem cell-derived neural progenitor cells. *Stem Cell Res.* **2015**, *15*, 75–87. [CrossRef]
- 154. Arendt, T.; Stieler, J.; Ueberham, U. Is sporadic Alzheimer's disease a developmental disorder? *J. Neurochem.* **2017**, *143*, 396–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Rodríguez, J.J.; Jones, V.; Tabuchi, M.; Allan, S.; Knight, E.; La Ferla, F.M.; Oddo, S.; Verkhratsky, A. Impaired Adult Neurogenesis in the Dentate Gyrus of a Triple Transgenic Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *PLoS ONE* **2008**, *3*, e2935. [CrossRef]
- 156. Wang, Y.; Lafon, P.-A.; Salvador-Prince, L.; Gines, A.R.; Trousse, F.; Torrent, J.; Prevostel, C.; Crozet, C.; Liu, J.; Perrier, V. Prenatal exposure to low doses of fungicides corrupts neurogenesis in neonates. *Environ. Res.* **2021**, *195*, 110829. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. Amin, N.; Tan, X.; Ren, Q.; Zhu, N.; Botchway, B.O.; Hu, Z.; Fang, M. Recent advances of induced pluripotent stem cells application in neurodegenerative diseases. *Prog. Neuro Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* **2019**, *95*, 109674. [CrossRef]
- 158. Gonzalez, C.; Armijo, E.; Bravo-Alegria, J.; Calixto, A.B.; Mays, C.E.; Soto, C. Modeling amyloid β and tau pathology in human cerebral organoids. *Mol. Psychiatry* **2018**, *23*, 2363–2374. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 159. Yan, Y.; Song, L.; Bejoy, J.; Zhao, J.; Kanekiyo, T.; Bu, G.; Zhou, Y.; Li, Y. Modeling Neurodegenerative Microenvironment Using Cortical Organoids Derived from Human Stem Cells. *Tissue Eng. Part A* **2018**, *24*, 1125–1137. [CrossRef]
- Mertens, J.; Paquola, A.C.; Ku, M.; Hatch, E.; Böhnke, L.; Ladjevardi, S.; McGrath, S.; Campbell, B.; Lee, H.; Herdy, J.R.; et al. Directly Reprogrammed Human Neurons Retain Aging-Associated Transcriptomic Signatures and Reveal Age-Related Nucleocytoplasmic Defects. *Cell Stem Cell* 2015, *17*, 705–718. [CrossRef]
- 161. Hu, W.; Qiu, B.; Guan, W.; Wang, Q.; Wang, M.; Li, W.; Gao, L.; Shen, L.; Huang, Y.; Xie, G.; et al. Direct Conversion of Normal and Alzheimer's Disease Human Fibroblasts into Neuronal Cells by Small Molecules. *Cell Stem Cell* 2015, 17, 204–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 162. Pérez, M.J.; Ivanyuk, D.; Panagiotakopoulou, V.; Di Napoli, G.; Kalb, S.; Brunetti, D.; Al-Shaana, R.; Kaeser, S.A.; Fraschka, S.A.-K.; Jucker, M.; et al. Loss of function of the mitochondrial peptidase PITRM1 induces proteotoxic stress and Alzheimer's disease-like pathology in human cerebral organoids. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2020, 1–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Goodarzi, P.; Payab, M.; Alavi-Moghadam, S.; Larijani, B.; Rahim, F.; Bana, N.; Sarvari, M.; Adibi, H.; Heravani, N.F.; Hadavandkhani, M.; et al. Development and validation of Alzheimer's Disease Animal Model for the Purpose of Regenerative Medicine. *Cell Tissue Bank.* 2019, 20, 141–151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Guo, B.; Zhou, Q. How efficient are rodent models for Alzheimer's disease drug discovery? *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 2017, 13, 113–115. [CrossRef]
- 165. Shen, P.; Yue, Y.; Zheng, J.; Park, Y. Caenorhabditis elegans: A Convenient In Vivo Model for Assessing the Impact of Food Bioactive Compounds on Obesity, Aging, and Alzheimer's Disease. *Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *9*, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- 166. Brandt, R.; Gergou, A.; Wacker, I.; Fath, T.; Hutter, H. A Caenorhabditis elegans model of tau hyperphosphorylation: Induction of developmental defects by transgenic overexpression of Alzheimer's disease-like modified tau. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2009, 30, 22–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 167. Eidenmüller, J.; Fath, T.; Maas, T.; Pool, M.; Sontag, E.; Brandt, R. Phosphorylation-mimicking glutamate clusters in the prolinerich region are sufficient to simulate the functional deficiencies of hyperphosphorylated tau protein. *Biochem. J.* 2001, 357, 759–767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 168. Link, C.D.; Taft, A.; Kapulkin, V.; Duke, K.; Kim, S.; Fei, Q.; Wood, D.E.; Sahagan, B.G. Gene expression analysis in a transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans Alzheimer's disease model. *Neurobiol. Aging* **2003**, *24*, 397–413. [CrossRef]
- 169. Mango, S. Stop making nonSense: The C. elegans smg genes. Trends Genet. 2001, 17, 646–653. [CrossRef]
- 170. Sultana, R.; Perluigi, M.; Butterfield, D.A. Oxidatively modified proteins in Alzheimer's disease (AD), mild cognitive impairment and animal models of AD: Role of Aβ in pathogenesis. *Acta Neuropathol.* **2009**, *118*, 131–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 171. Haque, R.; Nazir, A. SMAD Transcription Factor, Sma-9, Attunes TGF-β Signaling Cascade Towards Modulating Amyloid Beta Aggregation and Associated Outcome in Transgenic C. elegans. *Mol. Neurobiol.* **2014**, *53*, 109–119. [CrossRef]
- 172. Chakraborty, R.; Vepuri, V.; Mhatre, S.D.; Paddock, B.E.; Miller, S.; Michelson, S.J.; Delvadia, R.; Desai, A.; Vinokur, M.; Melicharek, D.J.; et al. Characterization of a Drosophila Alzheimer's Disease Model: Pharmacological Rescue of Cognitive Defects. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e20799. [CrossRef]
- 173. Fernandez-Funez, P.; de Mena, L.; Rincon-Limas, D.E. Modeling the complex pathology of Alzheimer's disease in Drosophila. *Exp. Neurol.* **2015**, 274, 58–71. [CrossRef]
- 174. Tsuda, L.; Lim, Y.-M. Alzheimer's Disease Model System Using Drosophila. In Drosophila Models for Human Diseases; Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology; Yamaguchi, M., Ed.; Springer: Singapore, 2018; Volume 1076, pp. 25–40. ISBN 978-981-1-305-283.
- 175. Huang, J.-K.; Ma, P.-L.; Ji, S.-Y.; Zhao, X.; Tan, J.-X.; Sun, X.-J.; Huang, F.-D. Age-dependent alterations in the presynaptic active zone in a Drosophila model of Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **2013**, *51*, 161–167. [CrossRef]
- 176. Crowther, D.; Kinghorn, K.; Miranda, E.; Page, R.; Curry, J.; Duthie, F.; Gubb, D.; Lomas, D. Intraneuronal Aβ, non-amyloid aggregates and neurodegeneration in a Drosophila model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience* **2005**, *132*, 123–135. [CrossRef]
- 177. Liu, Q.F.; Lee, J.H.; Kim, Y.-M.; Lee, S.; Hong, Y.K.; Hwang, S.; Oh, Y.; Lee, K.; Yun, H.S.; Lee, I.-S.; et al. In Vivo Screening of Traditional Medicinal Plants for Neuroprotective Activity against Aβ42 Cytotoxicity by Using Drosophila Models of Alzheimer's Disease. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2015, 38, 1891–1901. [CrossRef]
- 178. Xia, W. Exploring Alzheimer's Disease in Zebrafish. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2010, 20, 981–990. [CrossRef]
- 179. Paquet, D.; Bhat, R.; Sydow, A.; Mandelkow, E.-M.; Berg, S.; Hellberg, S.; Fälting, J.; Distel, M.; Köster, R.W.; Schmid, B.; et al. A zebrafish model of tauopathy allows in vivo imaging of neuronal cell death and drug evaluation. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2009**, *119*, 1382–1395. [CrossRef]
- Flaherty, D.B.; Soria, J.P.; Tomasiewicz, H.G.; Wood, J.G. Phosphorylation of Human Tau Protein by Microtubule-Associated Kinases: GSK3β and Cdk5 Are Key Participants. J. Neurosci. Res. 2000, 62, 463–472. [CrossRef]
- 181. Rubin, G.; Yandell, M.D.; Wortman, J.; Gabor, G.L.; Miklos, G.L.G.; Nelson, C.R.; Hariharan, I.K.; Fortini, M.E.; Li, P.W.; Apweiler, R.; et al. Comparative Genomics of the Eukaryotes. *Science* 2000, 287, 2204–2215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Talmat-Amar, Y.; Arribat, Y.; Parmentier, M.-L. Vesicular Axonal Transport is Modified In Vivo by Tau Deletion or Overexpression in Drosophila. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *19*, 744. [CrossRef]
- 183. Lv, F.; Yang, X.; Cui, C.; Su, C. Exogenous expression of Drp1 plays neuroprotective roles in the Alzheimer's disease in the Aβ42 transgenic Drosophila model. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0176183. [CrossRef]
- 184. Wang, L.; Chiang, H.-C.; Wu, W.; Liang, B.; Xie, Z.; Yao, X.; Ma, W.; Du, S.; Zhong, Y. Epidermal growth factor receptor is a preferred target for treating Amyloid- induced memory loss. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 16743–16748. [CrossRef]

- 185. Kalueff, A.V.; Stewart, A.M.; Gerlai, R. Zebrafish as an emerging model for studying complex brain disorders. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2014**, *35*, 63–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Huc-Brandt, S.; Hieu, N.; Imberdis, T.; Cubedo, N.; Silhol, M.; Leighton, P.L.A.; Domaschke, T.; Allison, T.; Perrier, V.; Rossel, M. Zebrafish Prion Protein PrP2 Controls Collective Migration Process during Lateral Line Sensory System Development. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e113331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 187. Blaser, R.; Gerlai, R. Behavioral phenotyping in zebrafish: Comparison of three behavioral quantification methods. *Behav. Res. Methods* **2006**, *38*, 456–469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 188. Gerlai, R. Zebra Fish: An Uncharted Behavior Genetic Model. Behav. Genet. 2003, 33, 461–468. [CrossRef]
- 189. Guo, S. Linking genes to brain, behavior and neurological diseases: What can we learn from zebrafish? *Genes Brain Behav.* **2004**, *3*, 63–74. [CrossRef]
- 190. Vernier, P.; Kyzar, E.J.; Maximino, C.; Tierney, K.; Gebhardt, M.; Lange, M.; Jesuthasan, S.; Stewart, A.M.; Neuhauss, S.C.; Robinson, K.; et al. Time to recognize zebrafish 'affective' behavior. *Behavior* **2012**, *149*, 1019–1036. [CrossRef]
- 191. Musa, A.; Lehrach, H.; Russo, V.E. Distinct expression patterns of two zebrafish homologues of the human APP gene during embryonic development. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 2001, 211, 563–567. [CrossRef]
- 192. Folwell, J.; Cowan, C.M.; Ubhi, K.K.; Shiabh, H.; Newman, T.; Shepherd, D.; Mudher, A. Aβ exacerbates the neuronal dysfunction caused by human tau expression in a Drosophila model of Alzheimer's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* **2010**, 223, 401–409. [CrossRef]
- 193. Cosacak, M.I.; Bhattarai, P.; Bocova, L.; Dzewas, T.; Mashkaryan, V.; Papadimitriou, C.; Brandt, K.; Hollak, H.; Antos, C.L.; Kizil, C. Human TAUP301L overexpression results in TAU hyperphosphorylation without neurofibrillary tangles in adult zebrafish brain. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 194. Moussaed, M.; Huc-Brandt, S.; Cubedo, N.; Silhol, M.; Murat, S.; Lebart, M.-C.; Kovacs, G.G.; Verdier, J.-M.; Trousse, F.; Rossel, M.; et al. Regenerating islet-derived 1α (REG-1α) protein increases tau phosphorylation in cell and animal models of tauopathies. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **2018**, *119*, 136–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Mucke, L.; Masliah, E.; Yu, G.Q.; Mallory, M.; Rockenstein, E.M.; Tatsuno, G.; Hu, K.; Kholodenko, D.; Johnson-Wood, K.; McConlogue, L. High-Level Neuronal Expression of Aβ 1-42 in Wild-Type Human Amyloid Protein Precursor Transgenic Mice: Synaptotoxicity without Plaque Formation. J. Neurosci. 2000, 20, 4050–4058. [CrossRef]
- 196. Wright, A.; Zinn, R.; Hohensinn, B.; Konen, L.M.; Beynon, S.B.; Tan, R.P.; Clark, I.A.; Abdipranoto, A.; Vissel, B. Neuroinflammation and Neuronal Loss Precede Aβ Plaque Deposition in the hAPP-J20 Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e59586. [CrossRef]
- 197. Radde, R.; Bolmont, T.; Kaeser, S.A.; Coomaraswamy, J.; Lindau, D.; Stoltze, L.; Calhoun, M.E.; Jäggi, F.; Wolburg, H.; Gengler, S.; et al. Aβ42-driven cerebral amyloidosis in transgenic mice reveals early and robust pathology. *EMBO Rep.* 2006, 7, 940–946. [CrossRef]
- 198. Oakley, H.; Cole, S.L.; Logan, S.; Maus, E.; Shao, P.; Craft, J.; Guillozet-Bongaarts, A.; Ohno, M.; Disterhoft, J.; Van Eldik, L.; et al. Intraneuronal β-Amyloid Aggregates, Neurodegeneration, and Neuron Loss in Transgenic Mice with Five Familial Alzheimer's Disease Mutations: Potential Factors in Amyloid Plaque Formation. J. Neurosci. 2006, 26, 10129–10140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 199. Oddo, S.; Caccamo, A.; Shepherd, J.D.; Murphy, M.P.; Golde, T.E.; Kayed, R.; Metherate, R.; Mattson, M.P.; Akbari, Y.; LaFerla, F.M. Triple-Transgenic Model of Alzheimer's Disease with Plaques and Tangles: Intracellular Aβ and Synaptic Dysfunction. *Neuron* 2003, *39*, 409–421. [CrossRef]
- 200. Saito, T.; Matsuba, Y.; Mihira, N.; Takano, J.; Nilsson, P.; Itohara, S.; Iwata, N.; Saido, T.C. Single App knock-in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2014, 17, 661–663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, X.; Zuo, P. Effects of Aβ25–35on neurogenesis in the adult mouse subventricular zone and dentate gyrus. *Neurol. Res.* 2005, 27, 218–222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 202. Salkovic-Petrisic, M.; Knezovic, A.; Hoyer, S.; Riederer, P. What have we learned from the streptozotocin-induced animal model of sporadic Alzheimer's disease, about the therapeutic strategies in Alzheimer's research. *J. Neural Transm.* 2012, 120, 233–252. [CrossRef]
- 203. Aleksandrova, I.Y.; Kuvichkin, V.V.; Kashparov, I.A.; Medvinskaya, N.I.; Nesterova, I.V.; Lunin, S.; Samokhin, A.; Bobkova, N.V. Increased Level of β-Amyloid in the Brain of Bulbectomized Mice. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *69*, 176–180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yagi, H.; Katoh, S.; Akiguchi, I.; Takeda, T. Age-related deterioration of ability of acquisition in memory and learning in senescence accelerated mouse: SAM-P/8 as an animal model of disturbances in recent memory. *Brain Res.* 1988, 474, 86–93. [CrossRef]
- 205. Cohen, R.M.; Rezai-Zadeh, K.; Weitz, T.M.; Rentsendorj, A.; Gate, D.; Spivak, I.; Bholat, Y.; Vasilevko, V.; Glabe, C.G.; Breunig, J.; et al. A Transgenic Alzheimer Rat with Plaques, Tau Pathology, Behavioral Impairment, Oligomeric A and Frank Neuronal Loss. J. Neurosci. 2013, 33, 6245–6256. [CrossRef]
- 206. Tambini, M.D.; D'Adamio, L. Trem2 Splicing and Expression are Preserved in a Human Aβ-producing, Rat Knock-in Model of Trem2-R47H Alzheimer's Risk Variant. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 207. Stefanova, N.A.; Korbolina, E.E.; Ershov, N.I.; Rogaev, E.I.; Kolosova, N.G. Changes in the transcriptome of the prefrontal cortex of OXYS rats as the signs of Alzheimer's disease development. *Vavilov J. Genet. Breed.* **2015**, *19*, 445. [CrossRef]
- 208. Alzheimer's Disease Research Models | ALZFORUM. Available online: https://www.alzforum.org/research-models/alzheimersdisease (accessed on 24 March 2021).

- 209. Hutton, M.; Lendon, C.L.; Rizzu, P.; Baker, M.; Froelich, S.; Houlden, H.; Pickering-Brown, S.; Chakraverty, S.; Isaacs, A.; Grover, A.; et al. Association of missense and 5'-splice-site mutations in tau with the inherited dementia FTDP-17. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 1998, 393, 702–705. [CrossRef]
- Billings, L.M.; Oddo, S.; Green, K.N.; McGaugh, J.L.; LaFerla, F.M. Intraneuronal Aβ Causes the Onset of Early Alzheimer's Disease-Related Cognitive Deficits in Transgenic Mice. *Neuron* 2005, 45, 675–688. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 211. Gulyaeva, N.V.; Bobkova, N.V.; Kolosova, N.G.; Samokhin, A.; Stepanichev, M.Y.; Stefanova, N.A. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of sporadic Alzheimer's disease: Studies on rodent models in vivo. *Biochemistry* **2017**, *82*, 1088–1102. [CrossRef]
- Sotthibundhu, A.; Li, Q.-X.; Thangnipon, W.; Coulson, E.J. Aβ1–42 stimulates adult SVZ neurogenesis through the p75 neurotrophin receptor. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2009, *30*, 1975–1985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 213. Bobkova, N.V.; Nesterova, I.V.; Nesterov, V.V. The state of cholinergic structures in forebrain of bulbectomized mice. *Bull. Exp. Biol. Med.* **2001**, 131, 427–431. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 214. Gerschütz, A.; Heinsen, H.; Grünblatt, E.; Wagner, A.K.; Bartl, J.; Meissner, C.; Fallgatter, A.J.; Al-Sarraj, S.; Troakes, C.; Ferrer, I.; et al. Neuron-Specific Mitochondrial DNA Deletion Levels in Sporadic Alzheimer's Disease. Available online: https://www.eurekaselect.com/116820/article (accessed on 6 July 2020).
- 215. Savory, J. Intracellular mechanisms underlying aluminum-induced apoptosis in rabbit brain. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2003**, *97*, 151–154. [CrossRef]
- Song, J. Animal Model of Aluminum-Induced Alzheimer's Disease. In *Neurotoxicity of Aluminum*; Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology; Niu, Q., Ed.; Springer: Singapore, 2018; Volume 1091, pp. 113–127. ISBN 978-981-1-313-691.
- 217. Woodruff-Pak, D.S.; Agelan, A.; Del Valle, L. A Rabbit Model of Alzheimer's Disease: Valid at Neuropathological, Cognitive, and Therapeutic Levels. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2007, 11, 371–383. [CrossRef]
- 218. Inestrosa, N.C.; Reyes, A.E.; Chacón, M.A.; Cerpa, W.; Villalón, A.; Montiel, J.F.; Merabachvili, G.; Aldunate, R.; Bozinovic, F.; Aboitiz, F. Human-like rodent amyloid-β-peptide determines Alzheimer pathology in aged wild-type Octodon degu. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2005, 26, 1023–1028. [CrossRef]
- Van Groen, T.; Kadish, I.; Popović, N.; Popović, M.; Caballero-Bleda, M.; Baño-Otálora, B.; Vivanco, P.; Rol, A.; Madrid, J.A. Age-related brain pathology in Octodon degu: Blood vessel, white matter and Alzheimer-like pathology. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2011, 32, 1651–1661. [CrossRef]
- Braidy, N.; Poljak, A.; Jayasena, T.; Mansour, H.; Inestrosa, N.C.; Sachdev, P. Accelerating Alzheimer's research through 'natural' animal models. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* 2015, 28, 155–164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 221. Heuer, E.; Rosen, R.; Cintron, A.; Walker, L.C. Nonhuman Primate Models of Alzheimer-Like Cerebral Proteopathy. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2012**, *18*, 1159–1169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 222. Gearing, M.; Tigges, J.; Mori, H.; Mirra, S. β-Amyloid (Aβ) Deposition in the Brains of Aged Orangutans. *Neurobiol. Aging* **1997**, *18*, 139–146. [CrossRef]
- 223. Gearing, M.; Rebeck, G.W.; Hyman, B.T.; Tigges, J.; Mirra, S.S. Neuropathology and apolipoprotein E profile of aged chimpanzees: Implications for Alzheimer disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 9382–9386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 224. Kimura, N.; Nakamura, S.; Goto, N.; Narushima, E.; Hara, I.; Shichiri, S.; Saitou, K.; Nose, M.; Hayashi, T.; Kawamura, S.; et al. Senile Plaques in an Aged Western Lowland Gorilla. *Exp. Anim.* **2001**, *50*, 77–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 225. Finch, C.E.; Austad, S.N. Commentary: Is Alzheimer's disease uniquely human? *Neurobiol. Aging* 2015, 36, 553–555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 226. Zhang, J.; Chen, B.; Lu, J.; Wu, Y.; Wang, S.; Yao, Z.; Zhu, L.; Qiao, Y.; Sun, Q.; Qin, W.; et al. Brains of rhesus monkeys display Aβ deposits and glial pathology while lacking Aβ dimers and other Alzheimer's pathologies. *Aging Cell* 2019, *18*, e12978. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 227. Härtig, W.; Brückner, G.; Schmidt, C.; Brauer, K.; Bodewitz, G.; Turner, J.D.; Bigl, V. Co-localization of β-amyloid peptides, apolipoprotein E and glial markers in senile plaques in the prefrontal cortex of old rhesus monkeys. *Brain Res.* 1997, 751, 315–322. [CrossRef]
- 228. Heilbroner, P.L.; Kemper, T.L. The cytoarchitectonic distribution of senile plaques in three aged monkeys. *Acta Neuropathol.* **1990**, *81*, 60–65. [CrossRef]
- 229. Rosen, R.F.; Walker, L.C.; Levine, H. PIB binding in aged primate brain: Enrichment of high-affinity sites in humans with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2011, *32*, 223–234. [CrossRef]
- 230. Shah, P.; Lal, N.; Leung, E.; Traul, D.E.; Gonzalo-Ruiz, A.; Geula, C. Neuronal and Axonal Loss Are Selectively Linked to Fibrillar Amyloid-β within Plaques of the Aged Primate Cerebral Cortex. Am. J. Pathol. 2010, 177, 325–333. [CrossRef]
- 231. Chambers, J.; Kuribayashi, H.; Ikeda, S.-I.; Une, Y. Distribution of neprilysin and deposit patterns of Aβ subtypes in the brains of aged squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*). *Amyloid* **2010**, *17*, 75–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 232. Elfenbein, H.; Rosen, R.; Stephens, S.; Switzer, R.; Smith, Y.; Pare, J.; Mehta, P.; Warzok, R.; Walker, L. Cerebral β-Amyloid Angiopathy in Aged Squirrel Monkeys. *Histol. Histopathol.* **2007**, *22*, 155–167. [CrossRef]
- 233. Bartus, R.T.; Dean, R.L.; Beer, B. Memory Deficits in Aged Cebus Monkeys and Facilitation with Central Cholinomimetics. *Neurobiol. Aging* **1981**, *1*, 145–152. [CrossRef]
- 234. Lyons, D.M.; Yang, C.; Eliez, S.; Reiss, A.L.; Schatzberg, A.F. Cognitive Correlates of White Matter Growth and Stress Hormones in Female Squirrel Monkey Adults. J. Neurosci. 2004, 24, 3655–3662. [CrossRef]

- Dhenain, M.; Michot, J.; Privat, N.; Picq, J.; Boller, F.; Duyckaerts, C.; Volk, A. MRI description of cerebral atrophy in mouse lemur primates. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2000, 21, 81–88. [CrossRef]
- Languille, S.; Blanc, S.; Blin, O.; Canale, C.; Dal-Pan, A.; Devau, G.; Dhenain, M.; Dorieux, O.; Epelbaum, J.; Gomez, D.; et al. The grey mouse lemur: A non-human primate model for ageing studies. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 2012, *11*, 150–162. [CrossRef]
- 237. Silhol, S.; Calenda, A.; Jallageas, V.; Mestre-Francés, N.; Bellis, M.; Bons, N. β-Amyloid Protein Precursor in Microcebus murinus: Genotyping and Brain Localization. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **1996**, *3*, 169–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 238. Schmidtke, D.; Zimmermann, E.; Trouche, S.G.; Fontès, P.; Verdier, J.-M.; Mestre-Francés, N. Linking cognition to age and amyloid-β burden in the brain of a nonhuman primate (*Microcebus murinus*). *Neurobiol. Aging* 2020, 94, 207–216. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 239. Bons, N.; Mestre-Frances, N.; Petter, A. Senile plaques and neurofibrillary changes in the brain of an aged lemurian primate, Microcebus murinus. *Neurobiol. Aging* **1992**, *13*, 99–105. [CrossRef]
- 240. Kraska, A.; Dorieux, O.; Picq, J.-L.; Petit, F.; Bourrin, E.; Chenu, E.; Volk, A.; Perret, M.; Hantraye, P.; Mestre-Frances, N.; et al. Age-associated cerebral atrophy in mouse lemur primates. *Neurobiol. Aging* **2011**, *32*, 894–906. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 241. Perret, M.; Aujard, F. Aging and season affect plasma dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEA-S) levels in a primate. *Exp. Gerontol.* **2005**, *40*, 582–587. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 242. Seita, Y.; Morimura, T.; Watanabe, N.; Iwatani, C.; Tsuchiya, H.; Nakamura, S.; Suzuki, T.; Yanagisawa, D.; Tsukiyama, T.; Nakaya, M.; et al. Generation of Transgenic Cynomolgus Monkeys Overexpressing the Gene for Amyloid-β Precursor Protein. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2020, 75, 45–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 243. Morris, J.C.; Aisen, P.S.; Bateman, R.J.; Benzinger, T.L.; Cairns, N.J.; Fagan, A.M.; Ghetti, B.; Goate, A.M.; Holtzman, D.M.; Klunk, W.E.; et al. Developing an international network for Alzheimer's research: The Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network. *Clin. Investig.* 2012, 2, 975–984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 244. Bateman, R.J.; Benzinger, T.; Berry, S.; Clifford, D.B.; Duggan, C.; Fagan, A.M.; Fanning, K.; Farlow, M.R.; Hassenstab, J.; McDade, E.M.; et al. The DIAN-TU Next Generation Alzheimer's prevention trial: Adaptive design and disease progression model. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 2017, 13, 8–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 245. Fortea, J.; Carmona-Iragui, M.; Benejam, B.; Fernández, S.; Videla, L.; Barroeta, I.; Alcolea, D.; Pegueroles, J.; Muñoz, L.; Belbin, O.; et al. Plasma and CSF biomarkers for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome: A cross-sectional study. *Lancet Neurol.* **2018**, *17*, 860–869. [CrossRef]
- 246. Lehmann, S.; Delaby, C.; Touchon, J.; Hirtz, C.; Gabelle, A. Biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease: The present and the future. *Rev. Neurol.* **2013**, *169*, 719–723. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 247. Magnin, E.; Dumurgier, J.; Bouaziz-Amar, E.; Bombois, S.; Wallon, D.; Gabelle, A.; Lehmann, S.; Blanc, F.; Bousiges, O.; Hannequin, D.; et al. Les biomarqueurs du liquide cérébro-spinal dans la maladie d'Alzheimer: Un outil de recherche utile dans la pratique clinique courante des consultations mémoire pour les cas complexes. *Rev. Méd. Interne* 2017, *38*, 250–255. [CrossRef]