

Orthotopic model of lung cancer: isolation of bone micro-metastases after tumor escape from Osimertinib treatment

Ulrich Jarry, Mégane Bostoën, Raphaël Pineau, Laura Chaillot, Valentine Mennessier, Pierre Montagne, Emilie Motte, Marjorie Gournay, Arnaud Le Goff, Thierry Guillaudeux, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Ulrich Jarry, Mégane Bostoën, Raphaël Pineau, Laura Chaillot, Valentine Mennessier, et al.. Orthotopic model of lung cancer: isolation of bone micro-metastases after tumor escape from Osimertinib treatment. BMC Cancer, 2021, 21 (1), pp.530. 10.1186/s12885-021-08205-9. inserm-03228487

HAL Id: inserm-03228487 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03228487

Submitted on 18 May 2021 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

TECHNICAL ADVANCE

Orthotopic model of lung cancer: isolation of bone micro-metastases after tumor escape from Osimertinib treatment

Ulrich Jarry^{1,2*}, Mégane Bostoën¹, Raphaël Pineau³, Laura Chaillot³, Valentine Mennessier², Pierre Montagne², Emilie Motte², Marjorie Gournay³, Arnaud Le Goff², Thierry Guillaudeux^{1,3†} and Rémy Pedeux^{3*†}

Abstract

Background: Osimertinib is a third generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) that targets the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in lung cancer. However, although this molecule is not subject to some of the resistance mechanisms observed in response to first generation TKIs, ultimately, patients relapse because of unknown resistance mechanisms. New relevant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) mice models are therefore required to allow the analysis of these resistance mechanisms and to evaluate the efficacy of new therapeutic strategies.

Methods: Briefly, PC-9 cells, previously modified for luciferase expression, were injected into the tail vein of mice. Tumor implantation and longitudinal growth, almost exclusively localized in the lung, were evaluated by bioluminescence. Once established, the tumor was treated with osimertinib until tumor escape and development of bone metastases.

Results: Micro-metastases were detected by bioluminescence and collected for further analysis.

Conclusion: We describe an orthotopic model of NSCLC protocol that led to lung primary tumor nesting and, after osimertinib treatment, by metastases dissemination, and that allow the isolation of these small osimertinib-resistant micro-metastases. This model provides new biological tools to study tumor progression from the establishment of a lung tumor to the generation of drug-resistant micro-metastases, mimicking the natural course of the disease in human NSCLC patients.

Keywords: Orthotopic lung tumor model, Metastasis, Bioluminescence, EGFR TK inhibitor, Tumor escape, Osimertinib

* Correspondence: ulrich.jarry@univ-rennes1.fr; remy.pedeux@univ-rennes1.fr

[†]Thierry Guillaudeux and Rémy Pedeux share senior authorship. ¹Université Rennes 1, UMS 3480 CNRS/US018 INSERM BIOSIT, Laboratoire

Commun ONCOTRIAL, Rennes, France ³INSERM U1242 COSS, Université Rennes 1, Clcc Eugène Marquis, Rennes,

France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2021, corrected publication 2021. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution BMC

4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1. 0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.





Open Access

Background

Lung cancer is one of the most common and deadliest forms of cancer worldwide. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for nearly 85% of cases [1, 2] and frequently spreads to the bones (30 to 40% of patients) [3, 4]. Despite platinum-based chemotherapy, radiotherapy and/or surgery, the median survival time after diagnosis remains low (\approx 10 months) [5]. Since the early 2000s, several oncogenic factors have been identified for patients with NSCLC, including the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Point mutations in exon 19 [del 19] and exon 21 (L858R) are present in approximately 15% of Caucasian patients and in 35% of Asian patients [6, 7]. First and second generation EGFR inhibitors have shown encouraging results [8] and they are currently used as a first line treatment for patients with NSCLC carrying EGFR mutations [9]. Unfortunately, resistance mechanisms frequently occur after 9 to 13 months of treatment [10, 11], especially the appearance of the T790M mutation [12, 13]. Osimertinib is a third generation EGFR inhibitor, effective even against tumors bearing the T790M mutation. It has been clinically demonstrated to elicit strong and long-lasting responses [14]. Despite its efficacy, other resistance mechanisms also occur in response to osimertinib (e.g. EGFR G796 / C797, L792 and L718 / G719 mutations, activation of downstream oncogenes, such as MET, KRAS and PIK3CA) [15, 16]. In this context, developing a relevant NSCLC model allowing i) the analysis of these resistance mechanisms and ii) the evaluation of new therapeutic strategies that bypass these resistance mechanisms represents an essential tool for medical research.

Herein, we describe an orthotopic model of NSCLC protocol that led to lung primary tumor nesting and, after osimertinib treatment, by metastases dissemination, observed mostly within the bones. Using this model we are able to isolate the bone micro-metastases that appear at the beginning of tumor escape following osimertinib treatment.

Briefly, the human NSCLC cell line PC-9, previously transfected in order to express luciferase, was injected into the tail vein of immunodeficient NSG mice (*NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid Il2rgtm1Wjl/SzI*) in order to establish a relevant orthotopic and bioluminescent NSCLC model in mice. Tumor implantation and longitudinal growth were monitored by bioluminescence. When tumors were established, the mice were treated daily with osimertinib until tumor escape. Metastases, mostly localized in the bones, were then collected for further analysis.

Methods

Preparation of bioluminescent human NSCLC cell line

1–1: The PC-9 cell line (formerly known as PC-14; ACACC90071810; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was

cultured in RPMI (Dutscher, Brumath, France) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Dutscher) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Dutscher). The A549 cell line (CCL-185TM, ATCC, Manassas, VA) was cultured in low-glucose DMEM (Dutscher) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine. Cells were cultured using routine cell culture techniques. Of note, while A549 cells do not carry mutations in the *EGFR* gene and are not sensitive to osimertinib, PC-9 cells carry a Glu746-Ala750 deletion mutation in exon 19 of the *EGFR* gene [17] and are sensitive to osimertinib [18].

1–2: For luciferase expression, PC-9 cells were transfected with the pGL4.51 [luc2/CMV/NEO] vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and A549 cells were transduced with RediFect Red-Fluc-Puromycin lentiviral particles (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PC-9 and A549 Luc-positive (Luc⁺) cells were maintained under selection with G418 (Dutscher) and puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively.

1–3: Prior to injection, NSCLC Luc⁺ cells (70–80% confluence) were harvested. Briefly, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and detached using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA. Trypsin was neutralized with medium containing 10% FBS. After centrifugation (350 x g for 5 min), cells were resuspended in PBS.

Mice

2- : The following procedure involving animals was performed according to institutional guidelines (Agreement APAFIS # 8887; regional ethics committee of Brittany; France). Balb/c nude (BALB/cAnNRj-Foxn1nu/nu) and Nod-Scid (NOD.CB17-Prkdcscid/ Rj) mice were purchased from Janvier Labs (Saint Berthevin, France). NSG (NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid Il2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ) mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). Mice were bred in the animal facility of the University of Rennes 1 (Arche, UMS Biosit, Rennes, France) under specific pathogen-free (SPF) environment and used in the experiments at 6-8 weeks of age. Vendor health reports indicated that the mice were free of known all viral, bacterial and parasitic pathogens listed in the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science association's (FELASA) recommendations upon arrival at the facility. The mice were acclimatized to the environmental conditions at least 7 days before use. The animals were housed with a 12-h day-night cycle with lights on at 8:00 pm in a room with controlled temperature $(22 \pm 1 °C)$, with free access to food and water in filter top cages (Tecniplast, France) enriched with a mouse house (3–5 mice per cage). The animals' health status was monitored throughout the experiments by a health

surveillance program in accordance with the FELA SA guidelines. All in vivo experiments are recapitulated in Supp Table 1. With regards to subcutaneous (sc) tumor model development, tumorigenicity was firstly assessed by using 10 Balb/c nude mice. The effects of osimertinib and paclitaxel were evaluated using 18 Balb/c nude mice, each implanted with PC-9 Luc⁺ cells: 6 untreated, 6 treated with paclitaxel and 6 treated with osimertinib. With regards to the orthotopic models using A549 Luc⁺ cells, 6 Balb/c nude mice were used for intercostal implantation and 17 Nod-Scid mice were used for intratracheal implantation of cells. For PC9 and A549 Luc⁺ cells iv implantation, assays were performed prior to the beginning of the experiments using 10 Balb/c nude mice, 10 Nod-Scid mice and 10 NSG mice. Seven [7] mice were injected iv with PC-9 Luc⁺ cells, treated with osimertinib and used for subsequent metastasis isolation. Tumor growth was assessed by bioluminescence and, for the sc model, also measured with calipers.

Subcutaneous implantation

3–1: NSCLC Luc⁺ cells were carefully resuspended by pipetting before being drawn into a syringe with a 25 G needle (1×10^6 cells in 50 µL / mouse).

3–2: The mouse was anesthetized using an isoflurane chamber prior to dorsal subcutaneous (sc) injection.

Tail vein injection

4–1: NSCLC Luc⁺ cells were carefully resuspended by pipetting before being drawn into a syringe with a 25 G needle $(1 \times 10^6$ cells in 200 µL / mouse).

4–2: The mouse was placed under a beaker and the tail was firmly held with the experimenter's non-dominant hand.

4–3: The tail was cleaned using alcohol pad to allow a better visualization of the tail vein.

Note: Heat lamps and heated surgery pads may also be used to induce tail vein dilatation.

4–4: The mouse tail was extended and placed parallel to the table.

4-5: The needle was inserted into the tail vein from the distal end and the needle was held steady.

4–6: The cell suspension was injected by gently pushing on the syringe's plunger. The suspension had to flow unimpeded into the vein. If not, this step was repeated in a more proximal location on the tail.

4-7: The needle was removed and bleeding was stopped by holding some gauze at the injection site for 20-30 s.

Intercostal implantation

5–1: NSCLC Luc⁺ cells were carefully resuspended by pipetting before being drawn into a syringe with a 25 G needle $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells in 50 } \mu\text{L} / \text{mouse})$.

5–2: The mouse was anesthetized using an isoflurane chamber and then positioned in a right lateral decubitus position with his nose in an isoflurane nosecone to maintain anaesthesia.

5–3: The mouse was shaved and the injection site was identified using a marker (between the fifth and sixth rib bones and on the right anterior axillary line).

5-4: The cell suspension was quickly injected at a depth of approximately 5 mm.

5–5: The mouse was replaced back in a cage and observed until complete recovery.

Intratracheal implantation

6–1: NSCLC Luc⁺ cells were carefully resuspended by pipetting before being drawn into a MicroSprayer[®] Aerosolizer type syringe with a 26 G needle (2.5×10^5 cells in 25 µL / mouse).

6–2: The mouse was anesthetized using an isoflurane chamber and then positioned and placed on his back on a platform, with a bar placed in between their top and bottom incisors to keep their head titled back in order to clearly visualize the throat. The nose of the mouse was kept in an isoflurane nosecone to maintain anesthesia.

6–3: Using a laryngoscope, the cell suspension was slowly injected.

6–4: The mouse was placed back in his cage and observed until complete recovery.

Bioluminescent tumor monitoring

7–1: Sterile-filtered K^+ D-luciferin was prepared as described by the manufacturer. For the experiments, we used D-luciferin potassium salt from Interchim (Montlucon, France) at 15 mg/mL.

7–2: The mouse was injected intraperitoneally (ip) with luciferin (7.5 μ L/g of body weight).

7–3: The mouse was anesthetized using an isoflurane chamber and then positioned inside the imager (either ventral side down for the sc model or ventral side up for the orthotopic models), with his nose in an isoflurane nosecone to maintain anaesthesia. For these experiments, we used the PhotonIMAGER[™] from Biospace Lab (Nesles la Vallée, France), equipped with a highly sensitive cooled CCD camera.

7–4: After image acquisition, routinely performed for 1 min, mouse was placed back in his cage.

Note: The time profile of the signal acquisition ensures that the signal is acquired while the luciferin is in the saturation state.

7–5: The data were analyzed with M3 Vision[™] software provided by Biospace, using cpm (count per minutes) per cm² and focused on the whole body of the mice.

Mouse treatments

8- : For the sc model, from day 15 after tumor implantation until the end of the experiment, PC-9 Luc⁺ tumorbearing mice were treated with osimertinib (Biorbyt Ltd., Cambridge, UK) at 1 mg/kg 5 days per week, or with paclitaxel (Paclitaxel AHCL, Interchim, Monluçon, France) at 20 mg/kg 2 days per week. For the orthotopic models, 3 weeks after injection of PC-9 Luc⁺ cells, the mice were treated with increasing doses of osimertinib from 1 mg/kg to 15 mg/kg by ip injection 5 days per week. This treatment, which first led to tumor signal regression, was administered until tumor escape, which is characterized by an overall increase in the intensity of the luminescence throughout the body of the mice (for at least 2 consecutive acquisitions).

Tumor micro-metastasis isolation

9–1: K⁺ D-luciferin solution, dissection instruments, culture dishes, 24-well plates, and PC-9 cell culture medium (with added penicillin-streptomycin (Dutscher)) were prepared in sterile conditions.

9–2: PC-9 Luc⁺ tumor-bearing mice were first monitored for bioluminescence as previously described in order to localize metastases.

Note: The next step should be executed quickly, as the bioluminescence signal decreases rapidly.

9–3: Immediately after bioluminescence acquisition, the anesthetized mouse was euthanized by cervical dislocation.

9–4: In order to maintain the sterility of the sample, the ventral side of the mouse and the area of the metastases were disinfected with 70% ethanol under the hood of a biological safety cabinet.

9–5: A large incision was made from the throat to the belly, and the rib cage was cut through and removed.

9–6: The lung were removed and placed in a culture dish.

9–7: The skin over the metastatic areas was also incised and, based on the picture from the bioluminescence acquisition, micro-metastases were removed and placed in culture dishes.

9–7: 100 to 200 μL of K^{+} D-luciferin solution was applied to each sample and bioluminescence acquisition was performed.

Note: Bioluminescence may also be acquired from the whole remaining body of the animal at the end of the procedure in order to verify that lung tumors and micrometastases were properly removed.

9-8: Samples from metastases were cut into $4-5 \text{ mm}^3$ fragments and placed into 24-well plates with 400 μ L of media per well. After 4-5 days, when tumor cells had colonized the bottom of the well, the fragments were removed.

Note: Luciferase expression was checked in the cultured cells.

Analysis by immunohistochemistry

10- : Tumor samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS, paraffin-embedded and serially sectioned at a thickness of 4 μ m. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or incubated with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) prior to immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining for vimentin (clone EPR3776, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The sections were then incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG H&L coupled to horseradish peroxidase (HRP; ab205718, Abcam) and immunoreactivity was revealed with a diaminobenzidine (DAB) detection system (Roche Ventana). Slides were scanned using a NanoZoomer 2.0 HT (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Hamamatsu, Japan).

Statistical analysis

Data, expressed as bioluminescence intensity $(cpm/cm^2 or ph/sec/sr)$ and tumor size (defined as L x $l^2 / 2$ in mm³), were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). An unpaired Student's t test was used to reveal significant differences in tumor growth.

Results

We describe herein an orthotopic lung cancer tumor model in which tumor growth is assessed by noninvasive bioluminescence. This model of NSCLC allowed us i) to evaluate the efficacy of the 3rd generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), osimertinib and ii) upon relapse to detect and extract micro-metastases that could not be detected by macroscopic observation.

Of note, to assess the tumorigenicity of the generated Luc⁺ NSCLC cell line, PC-9 Luc⁺ cells were implanted sc in Balb/c nude mice (Fig. 1). Tumor growth was assessed by both caliper (Fig. 1a) $(mm^3; mean \pm SEM,$ n = 5) and bioluminescence (Fig. 1a-b) (cpm/cm²; mean \pm SEM, n = 5) measurements. As expected, the data show a good correlation between tumor size and bioluminescence intensity. Similar results were obtained using A549 Luc⁺ cells (data not shown). Using the EGFR-mutated cell line PC-9 Luc⁺, the efficacy of osimertinib was also evaluated in this sc model in comparison with paclitaxel, used as a standard chemotherapy (Fig. 1c). The results demonstrated that PC9 Luc⁺ cells are sensitive to both treatments in vivo (tumor size comparison at D50, using a Student's t test (none vs osimertinib: ***, *p* = 0.0002; *n* = 6) (none vs paclitaxel ****, *p* < 0.0001; n = 6)). The efficacy of osimertinib was not assessed on tumors derived from A549 Luc⁺ cells, as these cells do not bear an EGFR mutation and are thus not sensitive to the drug.



In order to generate orthotopic tumors in the lung, PC-9 and A549 Luc⁺ NSCLC cells were injected intravenously, and tumor growth was assessed. Of note, the first assays were performed using Balb/c nude mice and Nod/Scid mice. In both of these cases, no tumor engraftment was observed by bioluminescence (n = 5, data not shown). Thus, injections were thereafter performed in NSG mice; NSG is one of the most highly immunodeficient mouse strains. As demonstrated by bioluminescence monitoring, intravenous injection of PC-9 Luc⁺ NSCLC tumor cells in NSG mice led to pulmonary implantation and tumor growth (Fig. 2a-c).

The lung tropism of tumor cells in this mouse model is consistent with the clinical observations made in patients with advanced disease. Indeed, H&E staining shows lung tumors characterized by scattered tumor cells (Fig. 2c). Tumor cells are organized in clusters or aligned along the alveolar walls. Of note, similar results were obtained with A549 Luc⁺ cells (data not shown).

Simultaneously, we also assessed injections of NSCLC Luc⁺ cells by the intrathoracic and intratracheal routes (Fig. 3a-f). For the intrathoracic injection, Balb/c nude mice underwent A549 Luc⁺ injection and were monitored by bioluminescence (n = 6) (Fig. 3a-c). The results showed that the bioluminescence intensity increased over time after injection (Fig. 3a) and the localization of the signals suggests that the tumors were in the lungs for all of the mice (Fig. 3b). However, bioluminescence assays do not allow to distinguish whether the tumor cells are present in or around the lung. H&E staining performed on lung tissue sections 30 days after cell







representative results. Black arrows show tumor nests

injection showed that, although a tumor mass was observed at the injection site (Fig. 3c upper picture), tumor development took place mostly around, but not inside the lung (Fig. 3c lower picture). For the intratracheal injection, the experiment was performed using Nod-Scid mice in order to favor tumor engraftment when compared to Balb/c nude mice. A549 Luc⁺ cells were injected intratracheally and monitored by bioluminescence (n = 17) (Fig. 3d-f). Results showed that the bioluminescence intensity increased over time after injection for only a few mice (n = 4/17) (Fig. 3d). For the few mice exhibiting tumor growth, bioluminescence signals also suggested that the tumor was localized in the lung (Fig. 3e). However, as observed with the intercostal injection, tumor development did not take place inside the lung, but mostly in the upper airways (larynx and bronchi) (Fig. 3f). Of note, these experiments were performed with A549 Luc⁺ NSCLC cells only. As true tumor development within the lung was not achieved, we decided not to perform the same experiments with the PC-9 cell line.

Osimertinib treatment was then evaluated using the NSG-mice orthotopic model after iv injection of PC-9 Luc⁺ tumor cells (n = 7). Tumor growth was monitored by bioluminescence on a regular basis after tumor cell implantation (Fig. 4a). In response to significant tumor growth, osimertinib was administered five times a week until the end of the experiment. When tumor rebound was observed, increasing doses of osimertinib were used (1, 5, 10 and 15 mg/kg starting on days 21, 41, 48 and 61, respectively). When tumor cells escaped the treatment, metastases were isolated (in this case, on day 82). Briefly, NSG mice were monitored for bioluminescence both ventrally and laterally to localize metastatic tumor sites (Fig. 4b, top and bottom left). Then, NSG mice were euthanized and the tumor sites were resected and



injected into the tail vein of NSG mice. Starting on day 21 after tumor cell injection and until the end of the experiment, mice were treated with increasing doses of osimertinib from 1 mg/kg to 15 mg/kg by ip injection 5 days per week. **a** PC-9 Luc⁺ tumor-bearing mice were evaluated by bioluminescence. Results show the evolution of bioluminescence intensity over time and are expressed in cpm/cm² for the thoracic region (mean \pm SEM, n = 3). On day 82 after tumor cell injection, the mice were euthanized, the organs that bore tumors were collected, and tumor cells were isolated to establish new cell lines. **b** Representative images of bioluminescence signals from PC-9 Luc⁺ tumor-bearing whole mice (top and bottom left images), lung and spine after tumor removal (top middle and right images) and backbone fragments cut soon after isolation (bottom middle image) and after being cultured for 12 days (bottom right image)

analyzed for bioluminescence, including lung and bone metastases (Fig. 4b, top middle and right). As the tumor cells were not detectable in any way by macroscopic observations, these results demonstrated that the use of bioluminescence is absolutely required for early localization of tumor nests. Finally, bioluminescent tissue samples were cut into 4-5 mm³ fragments and placed in 24-well plates containing 10% FBS-RPMI in order to establish osimertinib-resistant cell lines. Bioluminescence analysis was performed shortly afterwards (Fig. 4b, bottom middle), and then several days later, when the tumor cell cultures began to grow steadily (Fig. 4b, bottom right). These established tumor cell lines may now be analyzed for osimertinib response and further characterized to investigate any resistance mechanisms.

Discussion

NSCLC is the most common form of lung cancer and remains extremely deadly. Many new therapies for NSCLC are currently being assessed such as inhibitors targeting EGFR [19]. EGFR mutations are frequent in NSCLC; therefore, patients can be treated with EGFR TKIs. Currently, third generation inhibitors, such as osimertinib, are being evaluated in phase 3 clinical trials with encouraging results [20], and osimertinib has been approved by the FDA as a frontline treatment for patients with NSCLC who have tumors harboring EGFR mutations. Nevertheless, some resistance mechanisms are observed in response to these treatments, including third generation TKIs [13].

Some studies have aimed at setting up osimertinibresistant cell lines in order to study these resistance mechanisms in vitro [21]. Others have developed ex vivo approaches, such as for brain metastases following implantation of tumor cells either directly into the brain [22] or into the left cardiac ventricle [23]. Our goal was to develop a relevant NSCLC mouse model that allows the isolation of tumor cells right at the beginning of tumor escape from osimertinib treatment. The model that we have developed makes it possible to follow the response to treatment, the relapse over a period of time which is long. It's like following the natural course of the disease in a patient. This method allows further and deeper analyses, especially regarding early mechanisms implicated in tumor progression and relapse. Isolation of tumor cells, notably from bone micro-metastases, was possible using bioluminescence while these tumors were not yet detectable macroscopically.

The model developed herein is based on iv injection of PC-9 Luc⁺ cells into NSG mice. Several other NSCLC models have been developed, such as ectopic implantation of tumor cell lines and PDX (patient-derived xenografts). These sc models, suitable for longitudinal monitoring by direct measurements, are not representative of an original NSCLC tumor that starts in the lung. Another approach consists of using genetically engineered mouse models (GEM) [24]. These models are very powerful tools to analyze the early steps of oncogenesis. However, longitudinal monitoring of tumor growth is difficult to perform because of the lack of tools to detect and monitor tumor cell growth early.

With regards to orthotopic lung tumor models, the major explored routes consist of intrathoracic or intratracheal cell injection. Following intrathoracic administration, as previously demonstrated [25-31], NSCLC tumor cell development takes place at the injection site and also mainly around the lung, while deep invasion of tumor cells into the lung is rarely observed. Of note, Isobe and colleagues, who have shown tumor development inside the lung following surgical intercostal injection, have used small cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell lines and not NSCLC cell lines [28]. For intratracheal models [32, 33], tumor development may not deeply infiltrate healthy lung tissue. Interestingly, after intratracheal injection, tumors easily implanted in the upper airways, in a manner similar to a squamous cell carcinoma, which is often characterized by a proximal development, while a distal tumor localization is most frequently observed for human patients with a lung adenocarcinoma (original tumor type of PC-9 cell line) [34]. In this context, we have chosen to inject the tumor cells via the iv route, as previously described [35]. This injection route favors the localization and infiltration of PC-9 Luc⁺ tumor cells deep inside the lung, similar to what is observed in human NSCLC adenocarcinoma. Interestingly, we have previously performed the same experiments using other tumor cell lines, and they do not lead to the same features. For example, iv injection of H1650 Luc⁺ cells induces tumor development that is mainly localized within the liver (data not shown). These kinds of results and differences should be further studied.

The model presented here is based on the injection of human tumor cells, with the intention to study the mechanisms for relapses that can occur in human lung cancer after treatment. The same approach can also obviously be used in immunocompetent mice to study syngeneic tumors. Interestingly, our data show that, while injecting PC9 Luc⁺ cells leads to tumor engraftment after sc implantation in Balb/c mice, no tumor development was detected in Balb/c nude or Nod-Scid mice following iv injection. Only the highly immunocompromised NSG mice showed tumor development within the lung. Balb/c nude mice are deficient in T cell responses and Nod-Scid mice are deficient in B cell and T cell responses. NSG mice share Nod-Scid properties combined with IL-2Ry deficiency, which disables several cytokine signaling pathways and results in a lack of functional NK cells. These data confirm, first, that the antitumor immune response is quite different depending on the tumor microenvironment, and, second, indicate that NK cells play an important role in lung immune surveillance [36] and are therefore an interesting target for immunotherapy [37].

In order to mimic current patient care, tumor-bearing mice were treated with osimertinib once the orthotopic lung tumor was established and its growth was sustained. As demonstrated by the Flaura clinical trial (NCT02296125) (PMID 29151359), osimertinib showed an efficacy superior to standard EGFR-TKIs in the firstline of treatment of EGFR mutation-positive advanced NSCLC, with a similar safety profile and lower rates of serious adverse events. However, NSCLC also frequently disseminates to the bones [3] and the efficacy of osimertinib against bone-metastatic EGFR-mutated NSCLC remain to be investigated. In this model, tumor regression was observed at first in response to osimertinib but, despite treating the mice with increasing doses, systematic regrowth was observed, associated with the development of bone metastases. Interestingly, bone micrometastases were easily detected using bioluminescence, but were not possible to detect by macroscopic observation. Indeed, luciferase reporter gene detection is a fast and very sensitive technique for monitoring tumor growth, as well as the occurrence of micrometastases, as it allows detection of only a few cells [38]. In-house experiments showed that we were able to detect as few as 500 PC-9 Luc⁺ cells after sc implantation (data not shown), a quantity of cells that is obviously impossible to observe by direct macroscopic approaches in living organisms.

Interestingly, after tumor escape (in this case, after treatment with a dose of 15 mg/kg osimertinib) micrometastases could be isolated and transferred to culture dishes for the establishment of new tumor cell lines. This new tool will allow us to study the resistance mechanisms that occur at the beginning of tumor escape, and also to evaluate new alternative therapeutic strategies (currently studied in our laboratory).

Conclusion

In conclusion, this model provides new biological tools to study tumor progression from the establishment of a lung tumor to the generation of drug-resistant micrometastases, mimicking the natural course of the disease in human NSCLC patients, and to isolate appropriate cell lines derived from these metastases for further in vivo assays. The tumor cell lines thus generated will provide necessary access to a better understanding of tumor resistance mechanisms, such as those that take place after escape from osimertinib therapy. In addition, this orthotopic lung tumor model, in which tumor growth can be monitored by bioluminescence, is an innovative tool to evaluate the effectiveness of new therapies.

Abbreviations

BSA: Bovine Serum Albumin; DAB: Diaminobenzidine; EGFR: Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor; FBS: Fetal Bovine Serum; FELASA: Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Association; GEM: Genetically Engineered Mouse model; H&E: Hematoxylin and Eosin; HRP: Horseradish Peroxidase; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; Luc + : Luciferase-positive; NSCL C: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer; PBS: Phosphate-Buffered Saline; PDX: Patient-Derived Xenograft; PFA: Paraformaldehyde; SCLC: Small Cell Lung Cancer; SPF: Specific Pathogen-Free; TKI: Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12885-021-08205-9.

Additional file 1: Supplemental Data Table 1. Summary of in vivo experiments.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff of Animal Facility of Rennes (ARCHE / UMS Biosit / Rennes 1 University) for animal husbandry and care and of the Histo-Pathology High Precision core facility of Rennes (H2P2 / UMS Biosit / Rennes 1 University) for imaging. Finally, we sincerely acknowledge Haydeé Ramos-Coulouarn and Fiona McAlpine for the correction of the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

UJ, MB, RP1 (Raphaël Pineau), LC, VM, PM, EM, MG and ALG performed experiments and analyses. UJ, ALG, TG and RP2 (Rémy Pedeux) designed and supervised the study. UJ, TG and RP2 wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by ANR through the Labcom Oncotrial projet 2014–2019 (Université de Rennes 1 – UMS BIOSIT – BIOTRIAL), notably through grants to UJ and MBs, and by the "Région Bretagne" as part of a collaborative project with Biotrial, supported by "Biotech Santé Bretagne". No founder has participated in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The following procedure involving animal was performed according to institutional guidelines: Agreement APAFIS # 8887, Regional ethics committee of Bretagne, France.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Université Rennes 1, UMS 3480 CNRS/US018 INSERM BIOSIT, Laboratoire Commun ONCOTRIAL, Rennes, France. ²Biotrial Pharmacology, Unité De Pharmacologie Préclinique, Rennes, France. ³INSERM U1242 COSS, Université Rennes 1, Clcc Eugène Marquis, Rennes, France.

Received: 24 July 2020 Accepted: 16 April 2021 Published online: 10 May 2021

References

 Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Lortet-Tieulent J, Rosso S, Coebergh JW, Comber H, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: estimates for 40 countries in 2012. Eur J Cancer. 2013;49(6):1374–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2012.12.027.

- Dela Cruz CS, Tanoue LT, Matthay RA. Lung cancer: epidemiology, etiology, and prevention. Clin Chest Med. 2011;32(4):605–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ccm.2011.09.001.
- Coleman RE. Metastatic bone disease: clinical features, pathophysiology and treatment strategies. Cancer Treat Rev. 2001;27(3):165–76. https://doi.org/1 0.1053/ctrv.2000.0210.
- Roato I. Bone metastases: when and how lung cancer interacts with bone. World J Clin Oncol. 2014;5(2):149–55. https://doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v5.i2.149.
- Russo AE, Priolo D, Antonelli G, Libra M, McCubrey JA, Ferrau F. Bevacizumab in the treatment of NSCLC: patient selection and perspectives. Lung Cancer (Auckl). 2017;8:259–69. https://doi.org/10.2147/LCTT.S110306.
- Paez JG, Janne PA, Lee JC, Tracy S, Greulich H, Gabriel S, et al. EGFR mutations in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. Science. 2004;304(5676):1497–500. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099314.
- Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(21):2129–39. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa040938.
- Lee CK, Davies L, Wu YL, Mitsudomi T, Inoue A, Rosell R, et al. Gefitinib or Erlotinib vs Chemotherapy for EGFR Mutation-Positive Lung Cancer: individual patient data meta-analysis of overall survival. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2017;109(6). https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw279.
- Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(10):947–57. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0810699.
- Oxnard GR, Arcila ME, Sima CS, Riely GJ, Chmielecki J, Kris MG, et al. Acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in EGFR-mutant lung cancer: distinct natural history of patients with tumors harboring the T790M mutation. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17(6):1616–22. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2692.
- Yu HA, Arcila ME, Rekhtman N, Sima CS, Zakowski MF, Pao W, et al. Analysis of tumor specimens at the time of acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI therapy in 155 patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancers. Clin Cancer. 2013;19(8): 2240–7. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2246.
- 12. Chong CR, Janne PA. The quest to overcome resistance to EGFR-targeted therapies in cancer. Nat Med. 2013;19(11):1389–400. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3388.
- Ricordel C, Friboulet L, Facchinetti F, Soria JC. Molecular mechanisms of acquired resistance to third-generation EGFR-TKIs in EGFR T790M-mutant lung cancer. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(suppl_1):i28–37.
- Goss G, Tsai CM, Shepherd FA, Bazhenova L, Lee JS, Chang GC, et al. Osimertinib for pretreated EGFR Thr790Met-positive advanced non-smallcell lung cancer (AURA2): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17(12):1643–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-204 5(16)30508-3.
- Ou SI, Agarwal N, Ali SM. High MET amplification level as a resistance mechanism to osimertinib (AZD9291) in a patient that symptomatically responded to crizotinib treatment post-osimertinib progression. Lung Cancer. 2016;98:59–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2016.05.015.
- Yang Z, Yang N, Ou Q, Xiang Y, Jiang T, Wu X, et al. Investigating novel resistance mechanisms to third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor Osimertinib in non-small cell lung Cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res. 2018; 24(13):3097–107. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2310.
- Han HS, Lim SN, An JY, Lee KM, Choe KH, Lee KH, et al. Detection of EGFR mutation status in lung adenocarcinoma specimens with different proportions of tumor cells using two methods of differential sensitivity. J Thorac Oncol. 2012;7(2):355–64. https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.0b013e31823c4c1b.
- Takahashi A, Seike M, Chiba M, Takahashi S, Nakamichi S, Matsumoto M, et al. Ankyrin repeat domain 1 overexpression is associated with common resistance to Afatinib and Osimertinib in EGFR-mutant lung Cancer. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):14896. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33190-8.
- Russo A, Lopes AR, McCusker MG, Garrigues SG, Ricciardi GR, Arensmeyer KE, et al. New targets in lung Cancer (excluding EGFR, ALK, ROS1). Curr Oncol Rep. 2020;22(5):48. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-020-00909-8.
- Wu YL, Herbst RS, Mann H, Rukazenkov Y, Marotti M, Tsuboi M. ADAURA: phase III, double-blind, randomized study of Osimertinib versus placebo in EGFR mutation-positive early-stage NSCLC after complete surgical resection. Clin Lung Cancer. 2018;19(4):e533–e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cllc.2018.04.004.
- Yamaoka T, Ohba M, Matsunaga Y, Tsurutani J, Ohmori T. Establishment and characterization of three Afatinib-resistant lung adenocarcinoma PC-9 cell lines developed with increasing doses of Afatinib. J Vis Exp. 2019;148. https://doi.org/10.3791/59473.

- Zhang SR, Zhu LC, Jiang YP, Zhang J, Xu RJ, Xu YS, et al. Efficacy of afatinib, an irreversible ErbB family blocker, in the treatment of intracerebral metastases of non-small cell lung cancer in mice. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2017; 38(2):233–40. https://doi.org/10.1038/aps.2016.107.
- Shah N, Liu Z, Tallman RM, Mohammad A, Sprowls SA, Saralkar PA, et al. Drug resistance occurred in a newly characterized preclinical model of lung cancer brain metastasis. BMC Cancer. 2020;20(1):292. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12885-020-06808-2.
- Soda M, Takada S, Takeuchi K, Choi YL, Enomoto M, Ueno T, et al. A mouse model for EML4-ALK-positive lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105(50):19893–7. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805381105.
- Liu X, Liu J, Guan Y, Li H, Huang L, Tang H, et al. Establishment of an orthotopic lung cancer model in nude mice and its evaluation by spiral CT. J Thoracic Dis. 2012;4(2):141–5.
- Li B, Torossian A, Li W, Schleicher S, Niu K, Giacalone NJ, et al. A novel bioluminescence orthotopic mouse model for advanced lung cancer. Radiat Res. 2011;176(4):486–93. https://doi.org/10.1667/RR2565.1.
- Cui ZY, Ahn JS, Lee JY, Kim WS, Lim HY, Jeon HJ, et al. Mouse orthotopic lung cancer model induced by PC14PE6. Cancer Res Treat. 2006;38(4):234–9. https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2006.38.4.234.
- Isobe T, Onn A, Morgensztern D, Jacoby JJ, Wu W, Shintani T, et al. Evaluation of novel orthotopic nude mouse models for human small-cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2013;8(2):140–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO. 0b013e3182725ff9.
- Kang Y, Omura M, Suzuki A, Theeraladanon C, Oka T, Nakagami Y, et al. Proliferation of human lung cancer in an orthotopic transplantation mouse model. Exp Ther Med. 2010;1(3):471–5. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm_00000073.
- Saha D, Watkins L, Yin Y, Thorpe P, Story MD, Song K, et al. An orthotopic lung tumor model for image-guided microirradiation in rats. Radiat Res. 2010;174(1):62–71. https://doi.org/10.1667/RR2157.1.
- Cai KX, Tse LY, Leung C, Tam PK, Xu R, Sham MH. Suppression of lung tumor growth and metastasis in mice by adeno-associated virus-mediated expression of vasostatin. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(3):939–49. https://doi. org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-1930.
- Moll HP, Mohrherr J, Breitenecker K, Haber M, Voronin V, Casanova E. Orthotopic transplantation of syngeneic lung adenocarcinoma cells to study PDL1 expression. J Vis Exp. 2019;143. https://doi.org/10.3791/58101.
- Nakajima T, Anayama T, Matsuda Y, Hwang DM, McVeigh PZ, Wilson BC, et al. Orthotopic lung cancer murine model by nonoperative transbronchial approach. Ann Thorac Surg. 2014;97(5):1771–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.a thoracsur.2014.01.048.
- Davidson MR, Gazdar AF, Clarke BE. The pivotal role of pathology in the management of lung cancer. J Thoracic Dis. 2013;5(Suppl 5):S463–78.
- Janker F, Weder W, Jang JH, Jungraithmayr W. Preclinical, non-genetic models of lung adenocarcinoma: a comparative survey. Oncotarget. 2018; 9(55):30527–38. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.25668.
- Remark R, Becker C, Gomez JE, Damotte D, Dieu-Nosjean MC, Sautes-Fridman C, et al. The non-small cell lung cancer immune contexture. A major determinant of tumor characteristics and patient outcome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;191(4):377–90. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201409-1671PP.
- Cho YH, Choi MG, Kim DH, Choi YJ, Kim SY, Sung KJ, et al. Natural killer cells as a potential biomarker for predicting immunotherapy efficacy in patients with non-small cell lung Cancer. Target Oncol. 2020;15(2):241–7. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11523-020-00712-2.
- Kim JB, Urban K, Cochran E, Lee S, Ang A, Rice B, et al. Non-invasive detection of a small number of bioluminescent cancer cells in vivo. PLoS One. 2010;5(2):e9364. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009364.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

