

Follow-up after very preterm birth in Europe

Anna-Veera Seppänen, Elizabeth S Draper, Stavros Petrou, Henrique Barros, Lazaros Andronis, Sung Wook Kim, Rolf F Maier, Pernille Pedersen, Janusz Gadzinowski, Jo Lebeer, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Anna-Veera Seppänen, Elizabeth S Draper, Stavros Petrou, Henrique Barros, Lazaros Andronis, et al.. Follow-up after very preterm birth in Europe. Archives of disease in childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition, 2022, 107 (1), pp.113-114. 10.1136/archdischild-2020-320823. inserm-03151771

HAL Id: inserm-03151771 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03151771

Submitted on 25 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Follow-up after very preterm birth in Europe

Follow-up programmes aim to detect neurodevelopmental and health problems and enable early interventions for children born very preterm (<32 weeks of gestational age (GA)). Although the importance of postdischarge follow-up is widely acknowledged, recommendations differ regarding eligibility criteria, frequency, duration and content, especially for follow-up beyond early childhood. 1-3 We used data from a European cohort of children born very preterm to describe the use of routine follow-up services until 5 years of age.

The data were collected for the Effective Perinatal Intensive care in Europe and Screening to Improve Health in Very Preterm Infants studies, which constituted and followed up an area-based cohort of children born very preterm in 2011/2012 in 19 regions across 11 European countries.4 Perinatal data were collected from obstetric and neonatal records, and parents completed questionnaires at 2 and 5 years of age. Out of 7900 live births, 6792 were discharged from neonatal care, of whom 6759 were alive at 5 years and 3635 (53.8%) participated in the study.

Based on a question on the use of routine follow-up services for children born very preterm in the 5-year parental questionnaire, we classified children as having never used follow-up, no longer using follow-up or still using follow-up services. We described associations with family sociodemographic characteristics and perinatal risks and estimated adjusted risks using multinomial regression models with robust variance estimators for clustered samples and inverse probability weights using baseline characteristics to account for study attrition bias.4

Of all children, 90.3% had used follow-up services, and 27.3% (10.9 to 58.4% by country) were still doing so at 5 years of age (table 1). Never using follow-up services was associated with maternal sociodemographic characteristics (younger age, low educational level and being born outside Europe) and lower perinatal risk. Continued follow-up at 5 years of age was related to perinatal risk factors (low GA, small for GA, bronchopulmonary dysplasia and male sex). Children with mothers born outside of Europe were less likely to continue follow-up. Adjustments for social and perinatal characteristics failed to explain differences between countries.

Table 1 Family sociodemographic and perinatal factors associated with routine follow-up for children born very preterm, at 5 years of age

		No,	Not	Yes,	Referer	nce: still in follow	-up at 5 year	s
Does child have routine check-ups for childrer born very preterm at 5 years?	n N	never %	anymore	still %	No, never		Not anymore	
					aRRR	95% CI	aRRR	95% CI
Mother's age at delivery (years)								
≤24	422	17.3	55.2	27.5	2.0	1.2 to 3.5	1.1	0.8 to 1.
25–34	2057	9.2	63.0	27.8	ref		ref	
≥35	1098	6.8	67.2	26.0	0.7	0.5 to 1.2	1.0	0.8 to 1.
Parity at delivery								
Multiparous	2156	8.3	63.6	28.1	ref		ref	
Nulliparous	1390	11.2	62.7	26.1	1.1	0.7 to 1.6	1.0	0.8 to 1.
Multiple birth								
No (singleton)	2531	10.6	62.0	27.4	ref		ref	
Yes (twins or more)	1056	7.5	65.4	27.1	0.5	0.3 to 0.9	1.0	0.7 to 1.
Mother's educational level								
Lower (ISCED levels 0–2: lower secondary or lower)	589	13.7	58.9	27.4	2.0	1.1 to 3.5	0.9	0.7 to 1.
Intermediate (ISCED levels 3–5: upper or post- secondary, non-tertiary or short cycle tertiary)	1474	9.7	64.0	26.3	1.4	0.9 to 2.2	0.8	0.7 to 1.
Higher (ISCED levels 6–8: bachelor degree or higher)	1478	6.3	66.3	27.4	Ref		Ref	
Country of birth								
Native	2857	8.9	63.5	27.6	Ref		Ref	
European born	238	7.7	63.9	28.4	0.9	0.4 to 2.0	0.8	0.5 to 1.
Born outside Europe	476	13.3	61.9	24.9	2.5	1.4 to 4.2	1.4	1.0 to 1.
GA, completed weeks								
<26	305	5.5	53.9	40.6	0.2	0.1 to 0.4	0.3	0.2 to 0.
26–27	657	6.0	54.2	39.9	0.2	0.1 to 0.4	0.5	0.4 to 0.
28–29	937	6.3	66.1	27.6	0.3	0.2 to 0.5	0.7	0.6 to 0.
30–31	1688	13.8	66.2	20.0	Ref		Ref	
Small for GA**								
<3 centile	766	7.7	62.0	30.2	0.5	0.3 to 0.7	0.7	0.5 to 0.
3–9 centile	417	11.0	59.3	29.6	1.0	0.6 to 1.6	0.7	0.5 to 0.
≥10 centile	2404	10.2	63.8	26.0	Ref		Ref	
Severe neonatal morbidity††								
No	3141	10.4	63.5	26.1	Ref		Ref	
Yes	365	5.0	57.7	37.3	0.5	0.2 to 1.1	0.9	0.7 to 1.
Bronchopulmonary dysplasia								
No	3034	10.7	64.4	24.9	Ref		Ref	
Yes	466	3.8	53.8	42.4	0.4	0.2 to 0.8	0.6	0.5 to 0.
Congenital anomaly								
No	3292	9.9	62.7	27.4	Ref		Ref	
Yes	295	8.5	65.5	26.0	0.6	0.3 to 1.2	0.9	0.6 to 1.
Child sex								
Male	1914	10.0	59.3	30.7	0.9	0.6 to 1.3	0.7	0.6 to 0.
Female	1673	9.4	67.1	23.5	Ref		Ref	
Country (region)						iple mean)	(ref samp	le mean)
Portugal (Lisbon, Northern Region)	425	4.8	36.8	58.4	0.6	0.3 to 1.2	0.2	0.1 to 0.
Belgium (Flanders)	259	12.8	40.5	46.7	3.6	2.0 to 6.3	0.3	0.2 to 0.
Netherlands (Central Eastern)	146	6.3	52.2	41.5	1.7	0.7 to 4.1	0.5	0.3 to 0.
France (Burgundy, Ile-de-France, Northern Region)	770	10.3	58.6	31.2	3.0	1.9 to 4.6	0.6	0.5 to 0.
Denmark (Eastern Region)	151	10.8	62.5	26.7	6.3	2.9 to 13.8	0.9	0.6 to 1.
Sweden (Greater Stockholm)	141	2.8	70.7	26.6	1.1	0.2 to 6.3	1.0	0.7 to 1.
UK (East Midlands, Northern, Yorkshire and the Humber)	419	13.6	69.4	17.0	10.9	6.1 to 19.4	1.9	1.4 to 2.
Germany (Hesse, Saarland)	266	21.5	65.4	13.0	21.1	11.3 to 39.4	1.9	1.2 to 3.
Estonia (entire country)	133	0.0	87.2	12.8	0.0	0.0 to 0.0	2.6	1.6 to 4.
Italy (Emilia-Romagna, Lazio, Marche)	691	4.5	83.2	12.3	4.5	2.3 to 8.7	2.5	1.9 to 3.
, (2111110 Normagna, Lazio, Marche)	186	13.4	75.7	10.9	18.9	9.4 to 38.3	2.9	1.8 to 4.

Inverse probability weights after multiple imputation were used for all analyses.

*Using intrauterine charts modelled for the Effective Perinatal Intensive care in Europe cohort.
†Intraventricular haemorrhage grades III and IV, cystic periventricular leucomalacia, retinopathy of prematurity stages III–V or necrotising enterocolitis needing surgery.
aRRR, adjusted relative risk ratio; GA, gestational age; ISCED, International Standard Classification of Education.

This study provides novel data on use of routine follow-up services after preterm birth based on a population-based design and standardised questions on follow-up from diverse European regions. Limits

are reliance on parental recall and study

Children from socially disadvantaged families were more likely to never use follow-up services, corroborating previous



Letter

studies.⁵ This is concerning, as these children are more vulnerable to the adverse neurodevelopmental consequences preterm birth, and may benefit most from interventions. Variation between European countries in the percentage of children continuing follow-up at five persisted after accounting for perinatal risk factors, such as lower GA and neonatal morbidities. While differences are expected, given the heterogeneity in follow-up policies and programmes, the magnitude of these cross-country disparities, in tandem with marked social inequalities at follow-up entry, underscore the need for better evidence on optimal follow-up organisation and duration.

Anna-Veera Seppänen 6, 1,2 Elizabeth S Draper,3 Stavros Petrou, ^{4,5} Henrique Barros, ⁶ Lazaros Andronis, ⁷ Sung Wook Kim, ^{4,5} Rolf F Maier.8 Pernille Pedersen.5 Janusz Gadzinowski, ¹⁰ Jo Lebeer, ¹¹ Ulrika Ådén, ^{12,13} Liis Toome, ^{14,15} Arno F J van Heijst, ¹⁶ Marina Cuttini ¹⁷ Jennifer Zeitlin ¹⁸, ¹⁸ SHIPS Research Group

¹Université de Paris, CRESS, Obstetrical Perinatal and Pediatric Epidemiology Research Team, EPOPé, INSERM, INRA F-75004 Paris France

²Sorbonne Université, Collège Doctoral, F-75005 Paris,

³Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

⁴Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

⁵Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences,

University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ⁶EPIUnit-Instituto de Saúde Pública da Universidade do

Porto, ISPUP, Porto, Portugal

⁷Division of Clinical Trials, University of Warwick Warwick Medical School, Coventry, UK

⁸Children's Hospital, University Hospital, Philipps University Marburg, Marburg, Hessen, Germany ⁹Department of Neonatology, Hvidovre Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark

⁰Department of Neonatology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Wielkopolskie, Poland ¹¹Department of Primary and Interdisciplinary Care, Disability Studies, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerpen, Belgium ¹²Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

¹³Neonatal Unit, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

14 Department of Neonatal and Infant Medicine, Tallin

Children's Hospital, Tallinn, Estonia

¹⁵Department of Pediatrics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

⁶Department of Neonatology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

¹⁷Clinical Care and Management Innovation Research Area, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Correspondence to Anna-Veera Seppänen, Université de Paris, CRESS, Obstetrical Perinatal and Pediatric Epidemiology Research Team, EPOPé, Inserm, INRA, F-75004 Paris, France; anna-veera.seppanen@inserm.fr

Twitter Lazaros Andronis @Epope_Inserm

Collaborators SHIPS Research Group: Belgium (J Lebeer, I Sarrechia, P Van Reempts, E Bruneel, E Cloet, A Oostra, E Ortibus); Denmark (K Boerch, P Pedersen); Estonia (L Toome, H Varendi, M Männamaa); France (PY Ancel, A Burguet, PH Jarreau, V Pierrat, A Nuytten); Germany (RF Maier, M Zemlin, B Misselwitz, L Wohlers,) Italy (M Cuttini, I Croci, V Carnielli, G Ancora, G Faldella, F Ferrari): The Netherlands (A van Heiist, C Koopman-Esseboom); Poland (J Gadzinowski, J Mazela, A Montgomery, T Pikuła) Portugal (H Barros, R Costa, C Rodrigues); Sweden (U Aden); United Kingdom (ES Draper, A Fenton, SJ Johnson); EFCNI (S Mader, N Thiele, JM Pfeil); Health Economics team (S Petrou, SW Kim, L Andronis); Inserm Coordination (J Zeitlin, A M Aubert, C Bonnet, R El Rafei, AV Seppänen).

Contributors A-VS, ESD, SP, HB, LA, SWK, RFM, PP, JG, JL, UA, LT, AFJvH, MC, JZ and the Screening to Improve Health in Very Preterm Infants (SHIPS) Research Group made substantial contributions to the conception and design and acquisition of data. A-VS and JZ analysed the data. A-VS, ESD, SP, HB, LA, SWK, RFM, PP, JG, JL, UA, LT, AFJvH, MC and JZ contributed to interpretation of data, drafting the letter, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and approved the final version to be published. Members of the SHIPS research group approved the final version to be published.

Funding The research received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (under grant agreement number 633 724). Additional funding was received in the following regions: France: French National Institute of Public Health Research (IRESP TGIR 2009-01 programme)/Institute of Public Health and its partners (the French Health Ministry, the National Institute for Health and Medical Research), the National Institute of Cancer and the National Solidarity Fund for Autonomy), the National Research Agency through the French EQUIPEX programme of investments for the future (grant number ANR-11-EQPX-0038) and the PremUp Foundation; Poland: 2016–2019 allocation of funds for international projects from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education; Sweden: Swedish Medical Research Council (grant number 2017–03043) and the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and the Karolinska Institutet (grant number: ALF SLL 20170243). Anna-Veera Seppänen has a doctoral contract funded by Sorbonne Université Collège Doctoral, Paris, France.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Ethics approvals were obtained locally and for the European database in France.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.



Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Seppänen A-V, Draper ES, Petrou S, et al. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ archdischild-2020-320823

Accepted 25 January 2021

Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2021;0:F1-F2. doi:10.1136/fetalneonatal-2020-320823

ORCID iDs

Anna-Veera Seppänen http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5615-0639

Marina Cuttini http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3284-6874 Jennifer Zeitlin http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9568-2969

REFERENCES

- 1 Haute Autorité de Santé. Recommandation de bonne pratique, troubles du neurodéveloppement: Repérage et orientation des enfants risque. Paris: Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS), 2020.
- 2 NICE. NICE guideline: developmental follow-up of children and young people born preterm. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE),
- Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss. Richtlinie des Gemeinsamen Bundesausschusses über Maßnahmen zur Qualitätssicherung der Versorgung von Früh- und Reifgeborenen gemäß § 136 Absatz 1 Nummer 2 SGB V in Verbindung mit § 92 Abs. 1 Satz 2 Nr. 13 SGB V (Qualitätssicherungs-Richtlinie Früh- und Reifgeborene/ QFR-RL). Bundesanzeiger, 2020: 2005 S. 15-684.
- Zeitlin J, Maier RF, Cuttini M, et al. Cohort profile: effective perinatal intensive care in Europe (EPICE) very preterm birth cohort. Int J Epidemiol 2020;49:372-86.
- 5 Hintz SR, Gould JB, Bennett MV, et al. Referral of very low birth weight infants to high-risk follow-up at neonatal intensive care unit discharge varies widely across California. J Pediatr 2015;166:289-95.