

# Long-term clinical benefits of Sofosbuvir-based direct antiviral regimens for patients with chronic hepatitis C in Central and West Africa

Mael Baudoin, Maame Esi Woode, Marie Libérée Nishimwe, Maud Lemoine, Babacar Sylla, Charles Kouanfack, Raoul Moh, Moussa Seydi, Nicolas Rouveau, Alain Attia, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Mael Baudoin, Maame Esi Woode, Marie Libérée Nishimwe, Maud Lemoine, Babacar Sylla, et al.. Long-term clinical benefits of Sofosbuvir-based direct antiviral regimens for patients with chronic hepatitis C in Central and West Africa. Liver International, 2020, 40 (11), pp.2643-2654. 10.1111/liv.14613. inserm-03121819

# HAL Id: inserm-03121819 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03121819

Submitted on 26 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Title: Long-term clinical benefits of Sofosbuvir-based direct antiviral regimens for

- 2 patients with chronic hepatitis C in Central and West Africa
- 3
- 4 Authors: Mael BAUDOIN<sup>1</sup>, Maame ESI WOODE<sup>2</sup>, Marie Libérée NISHIMWE<sup>1</sup>, Maud LEMOINE<sup>3</sup>,
- 5 Babacar SYLLA<sup>4</sup>, Charles KOUANFACK<sup>5,6</sup>, Raoul MOH<sup>7</sup>, Moussa SEYDI<sup>8</sup>, Nicolas ROUVEAU<sup>9</sup>,
- 6 Alain ATTIA<sup>10</sup>, Karine LACOMBE<sup>11,12</sup>, Sylvie BOYER<sup>1</sup>

## 7 Affiliations:

- 8 <sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, INSERM, IRD, SESSTIM, Sciences Economiques & Sociales de la Santé &
- 9 Traitement de l'Information Médicale, Marseille, France; Email: <u>mael.baudoin@inserm.fr</u>;
   10 marie.nishimwe@inserm.fr; sylvie.boyer@inserm.fr
- <sup>2</sup> Centre for Health Economics, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia; Email:
   Maame.Woode@monash.edu
- <sup>3</sup>Imperial College, London, United Kingdom; Email: m.lemoine@imperial.ac.uk
- <sup>4</sup> IMEA, Paris, France; Email : syllababacar2000@yahoo.fr
- <sup>5</sup> Faculté de médecine et des sciences Pharmaceutiques, Université de Dschang, Cameroun; Email :
   charleskouanfack@yahoo.fr
- 17 <sup>6</sup>Hôpital de Jour, Hôpital Central de Yaoundé, Cameroun;
- <sup>7</sup> MEREVA, PACCI Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire; Email : rmoh73@gmail.com
- <sup>8</sup> Service des Maladies infectieuses et Tropicales, CHU Fann, Dakar, Sénégal; Email:
   seydi.moussa@gmail.com
- <sup>9</sup> ANRS, Paris, France; Email : nrouveau@gmail.com
- <sup>10</sup> Service d'hépatologie, CHU Yopougon, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire; attia\_alain@yahoo.fr
- <sup>11</sup> Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique, IPLESP,
- 24 Paris, France; Email : karine.lacombe2@aphp.fr
- 25 <sup>12</sup> APHP, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Service de Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, Paris, France.
- 26
- 27 Article Type: Original Research
- 28 Word count for main body of manuscript: 4028 words
- 29 Total number of Figures: 3
- **30 Total number of Tables:** 2
- 31 Correspondance: Sylvie Boyer, Aix Marseille Université, INSERM, IRD, SESSTIM, Faculté de
- 32 Médecine, 27 Bd Jean Moulin, 13 005 Marseille, France ; Email : <u>sylvie.boyer@inserm.fr</u> ; Tel : +33 4
- **33** 13 73 22 72
- 34 **ORCID**:
- 35 Sylvie Boyer: 0000-0002-7567-5200

Abbreviations: CC, Compensated cirrhosis; CHC, Chronic hepatitis C; CI, Confidence Intervals;
DAAs, Direct Acting Antivirals; DC, Decompensated cirrhosis; GDP, Gross domestic product; HCC,
Hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV, Hepatitis C virus; HE, Health expenditures; HIC, High-income
countries; LIC, Low-income countries; LY, Life-years; LYS, Life-years saved; OOP, out-of-pocket
payments; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; SSA, Sub-Saharan Africa; SVR, Sustained virologic
response; WHO, World Health Organization.

42

#### 43 Conflict of interest disclosure:

K.L. reports personal fees and non-financial support from GILEAD, personal fees and non-financial
support from ABBVIE, personal fees and non-financial support from JANSSEN, grants, personal fees
and non-financial support from MSD, outside of the submitted work. All other authors report no conflict
of interest in relation to this study.

48

#### 49 **Funding statement:**

This research was supported by the Agence National de Recherche sur le VIH/SIDA – Inserm ANRS
(Grant number 12342). The funding source had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis,
data interpretation or writing of this manuscript.

53

#### 54 Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank all the members of the ANRS 12311 TAC study group for their full support in the present study. We also thank all the trial participants, the staff at the participating sites as well as Sylvie Legac and Gabrièle Laborde-Balen at the ANRS Cameroon and Senegal sites for their support with the data collection. Our thanks also to Gwenaëlle Maradan for the monitoring of the socioeconomic data collection, to Arlette Kouame Tolayad, Patrick Kouabenan, Marietou Kante and Bara Nibilla who collected the socioeconomic data, and to Jude Sweeney for the English revision and editing of the manuscript.

62

#### 63 Ethics approval statement

64 The national Ethic Committees of the three study countries (Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal)65 approved the protocol for the study.

66

# **Patient consent statement**

- 68 Not applicable.
- **Permission to reproduce material from other sources**
- 71 Not applicable.

#### 73 ABSTRACT (word count: 249)

74 Background: In Sub-Saharan Africa, chronic hepatitis C (CHC) is a major public health issue. We

estimated the long-term clinical benefits of treating CHC with sofosbuvir-based regimens in Cameroon,

76 Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal using Markov model combining data from the literature with estimates of

77 direct antiviral agents (DAAs) effectiveness in West and Central Africa.

78 Methods: Disease progression was simulated with and without treatment in fictive cohorts of patients 79 "diagnosed" with CHC in Cameroon (n=3224), Côte d'Ivoire (n=9748) and Senegal (n=6358). Lifetime 80 treatment benefits were assessed using i) life-years saved (LYS), ii) life-years (LY) avoided in 81 compensated cirrhosis (CC), decompensated cirrhosis (DC) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), iii) 82 comparison of the proportions of patients at each disease stage with and without treatment. Probabilistic

and determinist sensitivity analyses were performed to address uncertainty.

Results: Sofosbuvir-based treatment would save [mean, 95% Confidence Intervals] 3.3 (2.5;5.7) LY
per patient in Cameroon, 2.7 (2.1;4.8) in Côte d'Ivoire and 3.6 (2.8;6.3) in Senegal. With treatment,
approximately 6% (1%) of the patients still alive in each of the study countries would be in the CC (DC)
health state 11 (15) years after CHC diagnosis, versus 15% (5%) without treatment. Scenario analysis
showed earlier diagnosis and treatment initiation would dramatically improve LYS and morbidity.

89 **Conclusion:** Sofosbuvir-based treatment could significantly reduce CHC-related mortality and help 90 control CHC-related liver disease progression in West and Central Africa. However, the goal of disease 91 elimination necessitates a substantial decrease in DAAs prices, greater political commitment, and 92 increases in both national and external health expenditures.

93

94 Keywords: hepatitis C; direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs); markov simulation; low-income
95 countries; Africa.

96

#### 97 Lay summary

We estimated that the treatment of chronic hepatitis C (CHC) with direct antiviral agents could lead to a 75% reduction in the number of CHC-related liver complications 15 years after CHC diagnosis, and a 73% reduction in mortality in West and Central Africa. Mortality and morbidity outcomes could be further significantly improved if early diagnosis and treatment were implemented. Our findings provide a strong argument for universal treatment of CHC patients using DAAs in West and Central Africa.

103

#### 104 INTRODUCTION

105 Viral hepatitis is a global public health issue affecting 325 million people in 2015, including 71 million

with the hepatitis C virus  $(HCV)^1$ . The burden of HCV infection is especially high in Sub-Saharan Africa

107 (SSA), which accounts for 14% of the total number of people infected with HCV worldwide<sup>2</sup>. HCV

108 viremic prevalence is unevenly distributed in this region, with the highest prevalence rates in Central

109 (2.1%) and West (1.3%) Africa<sup>2</sup>.

110 HCV causes both acute and chronic infection. While acute infection is usually asymptomatic and resolved spontaneously without treatment in 15-45% of infected persons, 60-80% of those infected 111 develop chronic hepatitis C (CHC)<sup>3</sup>. In the absence of timely diagnosis and adequate treatment, CHC 112 may progress to liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)<sup>4</sup>. In addition, the risk of 113 mortality associated with liver disease is high, especially in SSA where most people are diagnosed at 114 advanced stages and where access to treatment is limited<sup>5</sup>. HCV is responsible for an estimated 21% of 115 cancer deaths worldwide, corresponding to 167,000 deaths in 2015, including 8,000 and 31,000 deaths 116 in Central and West Africa, respectively<sup>6</sup>. In 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) developed a 117 118 global health strategy to eliminate viral hepatitis with two main objectives to be reached by 2030: reducing the number of new cases of viral hepatitis by 90%, and reducing viral hepatitis-related mortality 119 by 65%<sup>1</sup>. Antiviral treatment using Direct Acting Antivirals (DAAs) is one of the core interventions 120 needed to reach these targets. 121

In 2014, the advent of second generation DAAs, which have a short treatment duration (8 to 16 weeks),
 radically changed the hepatitis C landscape. DAAs are highly effective, with sustained virologic
 response (SVR) rates estimated between 93% and 97% in trials conducted in high-income settings<sup>7-11</sup>.
 In addition, they are very well tolerated, making them easier to manage than interferon-based regimens.

The 2016 WHO guidelines recommending sofosbuvir/daclatasvir, sofosbuvir/ledipasvir or
 sofosbuvir/ribavirin for the treatment of CHC in adults<sup>12</sup> were updated in 2018, with pangenotypic
 DAAs regimens achieving SVR rates of over 85% across all seven major HCV genotypes<sup>13</sup>.

The short-term efficacy and tolerability of these regimens were recently reported in West and Central Africa<sup>14</sup> and in East Africa<sup>15</sup>. Despite their effectiveness and increasing low-cost availability in most countries, DAAs remain inaccessible for the large majority of patients with CHC living in SSA, where the treatment rate was estimated to be as low as 2% of diagnosed cases in 2015<sup>1</sup>.

To provide further evidence of the benefits of introducing DAAs-based treatments into National Hepatitis Programs in West and Central Africa, we used a modelling approach to assess the long-term clinical outcomes of treating CHC patients with sofosbuvir-based regimens in Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal. We discussed the affordability of these treatments in all three countries, by considering government health expenditures (HE), private HE and patient willingness-to-pay. 138 METHODS

#### 139 Study design

140 A Markov cohort model was developed to simulate lifetime CHC progression and to assess the long-

141 term clinical benefits of DAAs in terms of mortality and morbidity. With near-zero CHC treatment rates

in the study countries<sup>1</sup>, we decided to use the status-quo (i.e. no HCV treatment, whether DAAs or

- 143 Interferon-based) as a comparator.
- 144

#### 145 **Target population**

We simulated CHC progression, with and without treatment, in fictive cohorts of patients diagnosed
with CHC in Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal. Cohort sizes were estimated for each country using
the national population size, chronic HCV prevalence and diagnosis rates. Given that CHC is generally

149 diagnosed late in the study countries - usually after the initial appearance of symptoms - we assumed a

150 mean age of 50 years at model entry<sup>14,15</sup>. Patients were infected with genotypes 1, 2, or 4 and had no

151 other viral coinfections, history of liver decompensation, or HCC. The proportion of women was 53%

in Senegal, 50% in Cameroon and 49% in Côte d'Ivoire, which corresponds to the demographic structure

153 of the population at 50 years of age in these countries<sup>16-18</sup>.

154

#### 155 Outcome measures

We compared the following outcomes with and without treatment: mortality assessed using life-years (LY), morbidity assessed using LY with compensated cirrhosis (CC), decompensated cirrhosis (DC) and HCC as well as the evolution over time of the number (%) of patients in each disease stage.

159 Treatment benefits were assessed in life-years saved (LYS) and LY avoided in CC, DC and HCC stages.

160

#### 161 Model description

The structure of the model is depicted in Figure 1. Markov models with a similar structure have been
widely used in the literature for simulating CHC progression<sup>19</sup>.

164 The initial distribution of patients in the different health states defined according to the natural history

of CHC (including fibrosis stage measured with the METAVIR scoring system F0 to F3, CC, DC and

166 HCC) were as follows: 7.6% were in F0, 39.2% in F1, 26.2% in F2, 18.6% in F3 and 8.4% in  $CC^{20}$ . At

167 model entry, all patients had a detectable VL. Cycle durations were set to one year to reflect both the

- 168 relatively slow progression of the disease and data availability, except the first cycle whose duration
- 169 was set to 24 weeks (i.e., 12 weeks of treatment and 12 weeks of follow-up post-treatment). We assumed
- that transitions between health states occurred in the middle of the cycles using half-cycle corrections $^{21}$ .

Disease progression over cycles depended on whether or not patients in the fictive cohorts receivedsofosbuvir-based regimens and on whether or not they achieved SVR at the end of the treatment cycle.

In the cohorts without treatment, all patients with uncontrolled VL progressed to more advanced diseasestages according to the natural evolution of the infection.

175 In the cohorts with treatment, patients with mild fibrosis (F0, F1, F2, F3) or CC were all eligible for treatment in accordance with 2016 WHO guidelines<sup>12</sup>. At the end of the treatment cycle, patients in the 176 fictive cohorts either achieved SVR (cured) or did not (not cured). Although the first cycle duration 177 178 (corresponding to the treatment and follow-up post-treatment periods) was relatively short (i.e. 24 179 weeks), we assumed that the disease could progress to a more advanced stage for some patients during that cycle. In the subsequent cycles, we assumed that apart from patients in the CC and DC health states 180 at the end of the treatment cycle, cured patients did not progress to a more advanced disease stage<sup>4</sup>. In 181 182 addition, as fibrosis improvements observed after treatment in cured patients cannot be attributed with certainty to histological recovery, we made the conservative hypothesis that no fibrosis reversal occurred 183 in those patients<sup>22,23</sup>. We also assumed that cured patients had a risk of reinfection in the subsequent 184 185 cycles but were not newly treated <sup>13</sup>. Reinfected or uncured patients had uncontrolled VL and therefore progressed through the different disease stages as untreated patients. 186

- In all cohorts, patients had a risk of non-CHC-related death in all health states, corresponding to the
  "natural mortality" rate. Additionally, patients in the F3, CC, DC and HCC health states had a risk of
  CHC-related death.
- 190

#### 191 Model inputs

- 192 All parameters were derived from the literature by prioritizing data from SSA countries where available.
- 193 The HCV viremic prevalence and diagnostic rate were obtained from Chan et al. (2017)<sup>24</sup> for Cameroon,
- and from the WHO 2017 report<sup>1</sup> for Senegal and Côte d'Ivoire.
- DAAs treatment effectiveness was obtained from the ANRS 12311 TAC trial which included 120 CHC patients treated either with sofosbuvir and ledipasvir (for genotypes 1 and 4) or with sofosbuvir and ribavirin (for genotype 2)<sup>14</sup>. As SVR rates were not significantly different across genotypes, countries or HIV co-infection status, we considered an overall 89.2% [95% Confidence Intervals (CI): 84.5; 93.8] probability of treatment success in the model, irrespective of regimen, genotype, country or HIV coinfection status.
- 201 In the absence of SSA country-specific data, transition probabilities between fibrosis stages without
- treatment were obtained from a meta-analysis<sup>25</sup>, while probabilities of transition from F3 to advanced
- stages (CC, DC and HCC) were derived from Dienstag et al. (2011)<sup>26</sup>. Transition probabilities
- 204 (irrespective of viremic status) from CC (to DC and to HCC) and from DC (to HCC) came from Nahon

- et al.  $(2018)^4$  and Planas et al.  $(2004)^{27}$ , respectively. Annual probabilities of death associated with CC and DC were obtained from Dienstag et al.  $(2011)^{26}$  and Planas et al.  $(2004)^{27}$ , respectively.
- As therapeutic options for patients with HCC living in the study countries were very limited, we estimated the annual probability of death using HCC survival data for patients who did not receive specific treatment for liver cancer in a multi-country observational study conducted in SSA<sup>28</sup>.

All-cause mortality probabilities according to age and country were obtained from WHO mortality tables<sup>29</sup>. The probability of reinfection or relapse in patients achieving SVR after treatment was sourced from a meta-analysis including studies conducted in mono-HCV infected patients living in high-income countries (HIC) who had no specific risk factors for reinfection<sup>30</sup>. All parameter values and their sources are presented in Table 1 (See also the Appendix 1 for further details).

215

#### 216 Internal consistency

We tested the internal validity of our model i) by simulating a treated cohort with an SVR equal to zero (all results should be identical for the treated and untreated cohorts); and ii) by assuming that the probabilities of death associated with liver complications in F3, CC, DC and HCC stages were equal to zero (life expectancy at 50 years is expected to be close to that of the general population of the same age).

222

#### 223 Sensitivity analysis

A probabilistic sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess parameter uncertainty in the model, in accordance with international guidelines<sup>31</sup>. Model parameters were sampled from predefined distributions over 1000 simulations (See the Appendix 1 for further details).

227 In addition, a deterministic sensitivity analysis was conducted to explore specific scenarios and to take 228 heterogeneity into account (i.e. specific subgroups). First, we simulated long-term clinical outcomes in the fictive cohorts of HIV/HCV co-infected patients assuming they had a higher risk of HCV reinfection 229 than mono-infected patients<sup>30</sup>. Second, we used a younger fictive cohort (35 years) to estimate the effect 230 of early diagnosis. In addition, cohorts with different initial disease stages were simulated to assess the 231 impact of initiating DAAs at different stages. Based on the TAC trial data<sup>14</sup>, we considered a lower SVR 232 rate (estimated at 78.6%) for patients at CC stage. Third, we simulated a scenario where patients were 233 234 treated using the most recent pangenotypic DAAs regimen, sofosbuvir/daclatasvir, recommended in the 2018 WHO guidelines<sup>13</sup>. As no data on SVR was available for this regimen in SSA, the SVR rate was 235 estimated at 90.8% using data from a French national cohort<sup>32</sup> adjusted for a potential lower 236 effectiveness in SSA compared with HIC, as suggested by results from the TAC and SHARED trials<sup>14,15</sup>. 237

238 Analyses were performed using R, version 3.6.0 (packages markovchain<sup>33</sup>).

#### 239 **RESULTS**

#### 240 Internal consistency of the model

When we simulated a treated cohort with an SVR equal to zero, results for the two cohorts (treated and untreated) were identical. In the second extreme value analysis, life expectancy at 50 years was estimated at 22.6 years in Cameroon, 20.9 in Côte d'Ivoire and 24.2 in Senegal, which is in line with

- 244 WHO estimates on life expectancy in the general population of the same  $age^{29}$ .
- 245

#### 246 Base-case analysis

At the current diagnostic rate<sup>1,24</sup>, the number of patients diagnosed with CHC was estimated at 3,224 in

248 Cameroon, 9,748 in Côte d'Ivoire and 6,358 in Senegal. Figure 2 illustrates the impact of sofosbuvir-

249 based treatment on liver-related mortality due to CHC over the lifetime of the cohorts in each study

- country. Without treatment, the share of CHC-related mortality as part of global mortality was 36.5%,
- 251 33.2% and 39.5% in Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal, respectively, versus 9.8%, 9.1% and 10.5%
- with treatment, respectively. Accordingly, 863, 2,350 and 1,843 deaths, respectively, could be avoided
- with treatment, corresponding to a 73% reduction of liver-related mortality in the population diagnosedwith CHC.
- 255 Table 2 shows, for each cohort in each country: i) life expectancy at 50 years (assessed using the mean number of LY per patient in the cohorts with and without treatment) and LYS per patient with treatment, 256 257 ii) morbidity assessed using the mean number of LY per patient at the CC and DC stages with and 258 without treatment, and the mean number of LY avoided in the CC and DC stages per patient with 259 treatment. LYS [95% CI] with treatment were estimated at 3.3 [2.5; 5.7] per patient in Cameroon, 2.7 260 [2.1; 4.8] in Côte d'Ivoire and 3.6 [2.8; 6.3] in Senegal. In addition, the mean number of LY spent in the most advanced stages in cohorts with treatment decreased by a factor of approximately 2, 3 and 10 in 261 the CC, DC and HCC stages, respectively, compared with patients not treated. We therefore estimated 262 263 that, on average, treatment resulted in between 1.3 to 1.6 LY avoided and 0.4 to 0.5 LY avoided per patient in the CC and DC stages, respectively, depending on the country. 264
- Figure 3 illustrates the number (%) of patients with cirrhosis (CC and DC) over the cohorts' lifetime in the study countries. Without treatment, the number of patients with CC and DC reached a maximum approximately 11 and 15 years after CHC diagnosis, respectively. In the untreated cohorts, approximately 15% of patients still alive in each of the study countries after 11 years had CC compared with 6% in the treated cohorts. After 15 years, approximately 5% of patients still alive in the treated cohorts had DC compared with 1% in the treated cohorts. The number of patients with CC reduced by approximately 55% after 11 years and the number of patients with DC by approximately 75% after 15
- 272 years. Finally, after 20 years, the estimated proportion of patients with HCC represented 0.6%-0.7% of

- patients still alive in the untreated cohorts versus 0.1% in the treated cohorts in the three countries. This
- 274 indicates a significant decrease of 87% (Supplementary Table A1).
- 275

#### 276 Sensitivity analysis

- 277 Results from the deterministic sensitivity analysis are presented in supplementary Tables A2 to A9 and
  278 in supplementary Figures 1 and 2, which show the mean [95% CI] number of LY avoided in CC and
- 279 DC stages per patient. For the fictive cohorts of HIV/HCV co-infected patients, mortality and morbidity
- outcomes considerably improved with treatment but clinical benefits were smaller than in the base-case
  due to higher reinfection rates in this population. LYS [95% CI] were only 2.6 [1.7;5.6] per patient in
- Cameroon, 2.2 [1.3;4.9] in Côte d'Ivoire and 2.8 [1.8;6.0] in Senegal. Furthermore, patients spent 0.4 to
- 283 0.5 additional LY at the CC stage and 0.1 additional LY at the DC stage compared with the base-case
- 284 (Table A2).
- Early diagnosis and treatment initiation (at 35 years) would save 5.9 to 7.9 additional LY compared with
- the base-case. Depending on the country, 1.1 to 1.4 additional LY in the CC stage and 0.4 to 0.5
- additional LY in the DC stage would be avoided compared with the base-case (Table A3).
- 288 When all the patients of the cohorts started treatment at F0, F1 or CC, treatment benefits were smaller
- than in the base-case, for both mortality and morbidity (Tables A4, A5 and A8). Conversely, treatment
- benefits were larger than in the base-case when we assumed that all patients started treatment at the F2
- or F3 stage (Tables A6-A7). When all patients initiated treatment at the F3 stage, the LYS per patient
- increased to 6.3 to 8.0 depending on the country, and LY avoided in the CC and DC states varied
- between 3.2 to 3.6 years and 0.9 to 1 years, respectively.
- Finally, when considering the most recent pangenotypic DAAs regimen (sofosbuvir/daclatasvir),
  mortality and morbidity outcomes were quite similar to the base-case (Table A9).
- 296
- 297

#### 298 DISCUSSION

- This modelling study provides the first assessment of the long-term clinical benefits of DAAs treatmentin patients diagnosed with CHC living in West and Central Africa.
- 301 The number of people living with CHC is estimated at 88,358 in Cameroon, 165,776 in Côte d'Ivoire
- and 108,134 in Senegal. At current diagnosis and treatment rates<sup>1,24</sup>, an estimated 3,224, 9,748 and 6,358
- 303 people have been diagnosed, but only 0.12% to 0.31% of them benefit from treatment (including
- 304 interferon-based treatment)<sup>13</sup>.

305 Our findings showed that treating all patients currently diagnosed with CHC with DAAs in the three 306 study countries could dramatically decrease both CHC-related mortality and morbidity. We estimated that DAAs would avoid 863, 2,350 and 1,843 deaths in Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal, 307 respectively, and save 2.7-3.6 LY per patient once diagnosed. Furthermore, as treatment stops disease 308 309 progression (except at the most advanced stages), the number of LY spent in the CC, DC and HCC stages would decrease by approximately 2, 3 and 10 times, respectively, compared with the status-quo. 310 311 Sensitivity analysis also indicated that early diagnosis and treatment initiation dramatically improved 312 survival and reduced morbidity, compared with the base-case. Treatment benefits were the highest when 313 all patients started treatment at the F2 or F3 stage.

314

To date, DAAs short-term effectiveness in SSA has been assessed in only two pilot trials with a 315 relatively small number of patients in each (300 in Rwanda<sup>15</sup> and 120 in Côte d'Ivoire, Cameroon and 316 Senegal<sup>14</sup>). Recently, modelling studies - all in high- or middle-income countries - also predicted the 317 impact of DAAs treatment on CHC-associated long-term disease burden and mortality. In a recent study 318 319 conducted in China, simulations for a cohort of 10,000 CHC patients over their lifetime, highlighted that various DAAs regimens would reduce the incidence of CHC-related liver sequelae and mortality 320 significantly more than interferon/ribavirin-based regimens<sup>34</sup>. Our findings are also consistent with those 321 322 of a study assessing the long-term effectiveness of different HCV treatment strategies in Egypt which 323 showed that immediate treatment of patients at stages F1 to F3 was more effective than delaying 324 treatment until more advanced stages<sup>35</sup>.

325

#### 326 Public health policy recommendations

327 In order for DAAs to have any impact in poor resource settings, a number of barriers need to be removed.

328 These are mainly linked to suboptimal HCV screening and to the high costs of DAAs.

329

#### 330 Hepatitis C screening

331 Scaling-up access to hepatitis C testing and earlier CHC diagnosis requires the availability of simplified 332 and low-cost diagnostic tools adapted to resource-limited settings. Current diagnosis relies on a two-333 step procedure involving antibodies detection followed, if tests prove positive, by the quantification of HCV ribonucleic acid using real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. This 334 335 technique is costly (between 55 and 110 US\$/test) and requires trained lab technicians and equipment, 336 which is currently only available in a small number of reference laboratories in SSA. Furthermore, even 337 when PCR testing is available, the two-step procedure generates loss to follow-up because of the additional time and large costs incurred by patients<sup>36</sup>. Innovative means to both diagnose CHC cheaply 338

and quickly using a single test are needed<sup>37</sup>. Existing alternatives include dried blood spot tests and point-of-care and HCV core antigen measurements. The latter is an indirect marker of viral replication with good performance already demonstrated in the SSA setting<sup>38</sup>. In addition, a test-and-treat public health approach should be favoured, as recommended by the 2018 WHO guidelines<sup>13</sup>, in order to ensure that patients with a positive diagnosis are promptly treated.

344

#### 345 Diagnosis and treatment costs

Although dramatic decreases in DAAs prices have been obtained in low-income countries (LIC) 346 following Gilead's DAAs tiered pricing policy and the entry of generics<sup>39</sup>, the diagnosis and treatment 347 of CHC is still very expensive<sup>40</sup>. A 12-week sofosbuvir/ledipasvir regimen manufactured by Gilead costs 348 349 approximately US\$1150 in the study countries, while generic drugs could become available for only US\$140 according to the 2017 Médecins Sans Frontières report<sup>41</sup>. Data on costs collected in the TAC 350 351 trial in the same countries showed that the global cost for treating patients with DAAs (including examinations and consultations) ranges from US\$1503 per patient in Côte d'Ivoire to US\$1692 in 352 353 Cameroon<sup>42</sup>. Treating all patients currently diagnosed with CHC in the three study countries with DAAs would therefore imply a total cost of approximately US\$5.4 million in Cameroon, US\$14.6 million in 354 355 Côte d'Ivoire and US\$10.3 million in Senegal. Furthermore, if the WHO target of treating 80% of CHC patients is to be reached, the costs involved will be much higher (US\$140 million in Cameroon, US\$199 356 357 million in Côte d'Ivoire and US\$119 million in Senegal). The fundamental issue is whether countries and patients could afford to pay these costs. 358

In our study countries, health systems are mainly funded by private HE through out-of-pocket payments (OOP) which represent 70%, 40% and 51% of the total HE in Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal<sup>43</sup>, respectively. Total current HE is estimated at US\$1500 million in Cameroon, US\$1611 million in Côte d'Ivoire and US\$816 million in Senegal, with the government's share estimated at US\$195, US\$419 and US\$269 million, respectively.

According to current patterns for financing health in the study countries, patients would incur direct OOP for CHC treatment costs of US\$1185 in Cameroon, US\$601 in Côte d'Ivoire and US\$828 in Senegal. Considering that the per capita gross domestic product (GDP) is US\$1374, US\$1534 and US\$1269 in the respective countries, privately paying for health treatment is clearly impossible for most patients.

- 369 Data from the TAC trial also indicated that over 75% of patients were willing to pay for diagnosis,
  370 treatment and/or care (See Appendix 2). However, when probed further about the amount they were
- willing to pay, the median ranged from US\$126 in Côte d'Ivoire to US\$220 in Cameroon. Such amounts
- would cover only 8 to 13% of the costs of CHC treatment in the three study countries.

If governments were to bear the total costs of CHC treatment alone, treating all patients diagnosed with
CHC in the three countries would imply a relatively low increase in current government HE (i.e., from
2.8% in Cameroon to 3.8% in Senegal). However, if the WHO target of treating 80% of the patients
living with CHC is to be reached, the additional resources required will be much greater, specifically
to 1.6 times current government HE in the studied countries.

These data suggest that the WHO target cannot be met in these countries without i) a large decrease in DAAs prices through the development of the production and wider use of generics ii) political commitment and increased national HE to meet the target of at least 15% of GDP as set out in the Abuja declaration<sup>44</sup>, iii) global health financing mechanisms, including the integration of hepatitis C epidemic control strategies within organisations tackling HIV, such as the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria.

384

#### 385 Study limitations

386 Our study has limitations. First, a number of model parameters are not specific to SSA. This is especially 387 true for transition probabilities, which were obtained from studies conducted in HIC. In SSA, where 388 access to specialized care for liver complications is limited, disease progression and mortality may occur 389 faster. This may have resulted in an underestimation of mortality in the absence of treatment and 390 consequently, an underestimation of DAAs benefits. Furthermore, to estimate the risk of reinfection, we 391 used data from a meta-analysis including studies conducted in mono-HCV infected patients living in 392 HIC without specific risk factors for reinfection<sup>30</sup>. This could have resulted in an overestimation of treatment benefits, as patients living in SSA may have a higher risk of reinfection than mono-infected 393 patients in HIC, due to a higher risk of iatrogenic infections. To address uncertainty in the model's 394 395 parameters, a probabilistic sensitivity analysis was conducted in line with international standards<sup>31</sup>.

396 Second, we extrapolated long-term clinical outcomes using a Markov cohort model which does not 397 account for HCV transmission. Although we acknowledge that dynamic models may be more 398 appropriate to assess the full health impact of DAAs, including HCV transmission reduction<sup>45</sup>, we chose to focus on the estimation of clinical outcomes using a Markov model, which requires fewer hypotheses 399 400 and fewer data and is faster to implement. In addition, a limitation of this approach is its inability to 401 simulate patient trajectories using specific individual risk factors that may affect disease progression 402 over time. Despite this, Markov models have been widely used in the literature for simulating CHC progression and treatment effectiveness<sup>46</sup>. 403

404

### 405 Conclusion

- 406 Our study provides important information for health policy recommendations on the long-term clinical
- 407 benefits of DAAs in West and Central Africa and constitutes a first step towards a full-scale analysis for
- 408 SSA. Our findings strongly argue for rapid scale-up of DAAs treatment in this region, and highlight the
- 409 need for financial and political commitment and support, from both national governments and
- 410 international institutions.
- 411

#### 412 Authors' contributions:

- 413 Study concept and design: S.B., M.E.W. and K.L.; data acquisition: M.B., M.E.W. C.K., R.M., M.S.,
- and A.A.; data analysis and interpretation: S.B., M.B., M.L.N., M.E.W., M.L., K.L.; drafting of the
- 415 manuscript: SB; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: S.B., M.B., M.L.N.,
- 416 M.E.W., M.L., B.S., C.K., R.M., M.S., N.R., A.A. K.L.; statistical analysis: M.B. and M.L.N.; obtained
- 417 funding: SB; administrative, technical, and material support: M.L., B.S., R.M., N.R., A.A. and K.L;
- 418 study supervision: SB. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final
- 419 responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.
- 420
- 421

## 422 **References**

- 423 1. WHO. WHO | Global hepatitis report, 2017. WHO. Accessed February 19, 2020.
   424 http://www.who.int/hepatitis/publications/global-hepatitis-report2017/en/
- Polaris Observatory HCV Collaborators. Global prevalence and genotype distribution of hepatitis C virus infection in 2015: a modelling study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*.
  2017;2(3):161-176. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(16)30181-9
- 428 3. Westbrook RH, Dusheiko G. Natural history of hepatitis C. J Hepatol. 2014;61(1
  429 Suppl):S58-68. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2014.07.012
- Nahon P, Layese R, Bourcier V, et al. Incidence of Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Direct
   Antiviral Therapy for HCV in Patients With Cirrhosis Included in Surveillance Programs.
   *Gastroenterology*. 2018;155(5):1436-1450.e6. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.07.015
- 433 5. Lemoine M, Thursz MR. Battlefield against hepatitis B infection and HCC in Africa. J
  434 *Hepatol.* 2017;66(3):645-654. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2016.10.013
- Akinyemiju T, Abera S, Ahmed M, et al. The Burden of Primary Liver Cancer and
  Underlying Etiologies From 1990 to 2015 at the Global, Regional, and National Level. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017;3(12):1683-1691. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3055
- 438 7. Lawitz E, Mangia A, Wyles D, et al. Sofosbuvir for Previously Untreated Chronic
  439 Hepatitis C Infection. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(20):1878-1887.
  440 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1214853
- 441 8. Jacobson IM, Gordon SC, Kowdley KV, et al. Sofosbuvir for Hepatitis C Genotype 2 or
  442 3 in Patients without Treatment Options. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(20):1867-1877.
  443 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1214854
- 444 9. Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R, et al. Sofosbuvir and Ribavirin in HCV Genotypes
  445 2 and 3. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370(21):1993-2001. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1316145
- 446 10. Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, et al. Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir for Untreated HCV
  447 Genotype 1 Infection. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(20):1889-1898.
  448 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1402454
- Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, et al. Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 Weeks
  for Chronic HCV without Cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370(20):1879-1888.
  doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1402355
- 452 12. WHO. WHO / Guidelines for the Screening, Care and Treatment of Persons with Chronic
  453 Hepatitis C Infection.; 2016:138. Accessed August 14, 2018.
  454 http://www.who.int/hepatitis/publications/hepatitis-c-guidelines-2016/en/
- WHO. WHO | Guidelines for the care and treatment of persons diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. WHO. Published 2018. Accessed August 24, 2018. http://www.who.int/hepatitis/publications/hepatitis-c-guidelines-2018/en/
- Lacombe K, Moh R, Chazallon C, et al. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C GT1,2,4 in
  Africa: final results of ANRS TAC trial. CROI, Boston, Massachusetts. Presented at the:

- 460 CROI2018; 2018; Boston, Massachusetts. Accessed July 6, 2019.
   461 http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/treatment-chronic-hepatitis-c-gt124-africa-final 462 results-anrs-tac-trial
- 463 15. Gupta N, Mbituyumuremyi A, Kabahizi J, et al. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus
  464 infection in Rwanda with ledipasvir-sofosbuvir (SHARED): a single-arm trial. *Lancet*465 *Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2019;4(2):119-126. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(18)30382-0
- 466 16. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie. La population du Sénégal en
  467 2017. Published 2018. Accessed February 19, 2020.
  468 http://www.ansd.sn/ressources/publications/Rapport\_population\_2017\_05042018.pdf
- Institut National de la Statistique du Cameroun. Recensement Général de la Population et de l'Habitat (RGPH). Published 2010. Accessed June 21, 2019. http://www.statisticscameroon.org/downloads/La\_population\_du\_Cameroun\_2010.pdf
- 472 18. Central Intelligence Agency. The World Factbook Age Structure. Accessed August 14,
   473 2018. https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/2010.html
- 474 19. Chhatwal J, He T, Lopez-Olivo MA. Systematic Review of Modelling Approaches for the
  475 Cost Effectiveness of Hepatitis C Treatment with Direct-Acting Antivirals.
  476 *PharmacoEconomics*. 2016;34(6):551-567. doi:10.1007/s40273-015-0373-9
- El-Kamary SS, Mohamed MM, El-Raziky M, et al. Liver fibrosis staging through a stepwise analysis of non-invasive markers (FibroSteps) in patients with chronic hepatitis
  C infection. *Liver Int Off J Int Assoc Study Liver*. 2013;33(7):982-990. doi:10.1111/liv.12139
- 481 21. Gray A, Clarke P, Wolstenholme J, Wordsworth S. *Applied Methods of Cost-Effectiveness* 482 *Analysis in Healthcare.* Oxford University Press.; 2011.
- 483 22. Kutala B, Darrigrand NB, Corinne C, Pierre B, Asselah T, Marcellin P. The impact of
  484 direct antiviral agent therapy on liver fibrosis in patient with advanced fibrosis related
  485 chronic hepatitis C. *J Hepatol.* 2018;68:S541. doi:10.1016/S0168-8278(18)31338-2
- Pietsch V, Deterding K, Attia D, et al. Long-term changes of liver elasticity in HVCinfected patients with SVR after treatment with direct-acting antivirals. *J Hepatol.*2018;68:S532-S533. doi:10.1016/S0168-8278(18)31317-5
- 489 24. Chan HLY, Chen CJ, Omede O, et al. The present and future disease burden of hepatitis
  490 C virus infections with today's treatment paradigm: Volume 4. *J Viral Hepat*. 2017;24
  491 Suppl 2:25-43. doi:10.1111/jvh.12760
- Thein H-H, Yi Q, Dore GJ, Krahn MD. Estimation of stage-specific fibrosis progression rates in chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Hepatol Baltim Md*. 2008;48(2):418-431. doi:10.1002/hep.22375
- 26. Dienstag JL, Ghany MG, Morgan TR, et al. A prospective study of the rate of progression
  in compensated, histologically advanced chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatol Baltim Md*.
  2011;54(2):396-405. doi:10.1002/hep.24370

- Planas R, Ballesté B, Alvarez MA, et al. Natural history of decompensated hepatitis C
  virus-related cirrhosis. A study of 200 patients. *J Hepatol.* 2004;40(5):823-830.
  doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2004.01.005
- Yang JD, Mohamed EA, Aziz AOA, et al. Characteristics, management, and outcomes of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in Africa: a multicountry observational study from the Africa Liver Cancer Consortium. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;2(2):103-111. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(16)30161-3
- 50529.WHO. Global Health Observatory data repository, life table by country. WHO. Published5062018.AccessedFebruary19,2019.507http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.LIFECOUNTRY?lang=en
- Simmons B, Saleem J, Hill A, Riley RD, Cooke GS. Risk of Late Relapse or Reinfection
  With Hepatitis C Virus After Achieving a Sustained Virological Response: A Systematic
  Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am.* 2016;62(6):683694. doi:10.1093/cid/civ948
- 512 31. Briggs AH, Weinstein MC, Fenwick EAL, et al. Model parameter estimation and uncertainty analysis: a report of the ISPOR-SMDM Modeling Good Research Practices Task Force Working Group-6. *Med Decis Mak Int J Soc Med Decis Mak*. 2012;32(5):722-732. doi:10.1177/0272989X12458348
- 516 32. Pol S, Bourliere M, Lucier S, et al. Safety and efficacy of daclatasvir-sofosbuvir in HCV
  517 genotype 1-mono-infected patients. J Hepatol. 2017;66(1):39-47.
  518 doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2016.08.021
- 519 33. Spedicato GA. *Markovchain: Easy Handling Discrete Time Markov Chains.*; 2019.
   520 Accessed July 4, 2019. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=markovchain
- 521 34. Tang H, Wu J, Wu B, Xu X, Ye X, Zhang W. Long-Term Outcomes Associated with
  522 Sofosbuvir-Based Regimens for Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C in China. *Value Health*.
  523 2018;21:S65. doi:10.1016/j.jval.2018.07.488
- 35. Obach D, Deuffic-Burban S, Esmat G, et al. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of immediate versus delayed treatment of hepatitis C virus-infected patients in a country with limited resources: the case of Egypt. *Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am*.
  2014;58(8):1064-1071. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu066
- 528 36. Duchesne L, Lacombe K. Innovative technologies for point-of-care testing of viral hepatitis in low-resource and decentralized settings. *J Viral Hepat*. 2018;25(2):108-117.
  530 doi:10.1111/jvh.12827
- 37. Boyd A, Duchesne L, Lacombe K. Research gaps in viral hepatitis. J Int AIDS Soc.
  2018;21 Suppl 2:e25054. doi:10.1002/jia2.25054
- 533 38. Duchesne L, Njouom R, Lissock F, et al. HCV Ag quantification as a one-step procedure
  534 in diagnosing chronic hepatitis C infection in Cameroon: the ANRS 12336 study. Journal
  535 of the International AIDS Society. Published January 1, 2017. Accessed June 18, 2019.
  536 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.7448/IAS.20.1.21446

- 39. Assefa Y, Hill PS, Ulikpan A, Williams OD. Access to medicines and hepatitis C in
  Africa: can tiered pricing and voluntary licencing assure universal access, health equity
  and fairness? *Glob Health*. 2017;13(1):73. doi:10.1186/s12992-017-0297-6
- 40. Chabrol F, Noah Noah D, Tchoumi EP, et al. Screening, diagnosis and care cascade for viral hepatitis B and C in Yaoundé, Cameroon: a qualitative study of patients and health providers coping with uncertainty and unbearable costs. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(3). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025415
- 41. Médecins Sans Frontières. Hepatitis C Not even close. Médecins Sans Frontières Access
   Campaign. Accessed June 21, 2019. https://msfaccess.org/hepatitis-c-not-even-close
- 42. Boyer S, Baudoin M, Nishimwe M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Sofosbuvir-Based
  Hepatitis C regimens in Central and West Africa (ANRS 12342). Presented at the: EASL
  Conference; April 11, 2018; Paris, France.
- 43. WHO. WHO | Global health expenditure database 2018 update. WHO. Accessed January
   16, 2020. http://www.who.int/health\_financing/topics/resource-tracking/ghed-update/en/
- 44. WHO. WHO | The Abuja Declaration: Ten Years On. WHO. Accessed January 16, 2020.
   http://www.who.int/healthsystems/publications/abuja\_declaration/en/
- 45. Heffernan A, Cooke GS, Nayagam S, Thursz M, Hallett TB. Scaling up prevention and
  treatment towards the elimination of hepatitis C: a global mathematical model. *The Lancet*.
  2019;393(10178):1319-1329. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32277-3
- Luhnen M, Waffenschmidt S, Gerber-Grote A, Hanke G. Health Economic Evaluations
  of Sofosbuvir for Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C: a Systematic Review. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*. 2016;14(5):527-543. doi:10.1007/s40258-016-0253-2
- 47. United Nations. World Population Prospects. Published 2017. Accessed April 29, 2019.
   https://population.un.org/wpp/

561

# Tables

Table 1: Model parameters									
Model	Base-	Distribution	95%	Source	Further				
parameter(s)	case		Confidence		description				
	value		Intervals		-				
Cohort characteristics									
Start age of cohort	50			14,15	35 years – age				
(vears)		_	_		considered in				
					scenario analyses				
Proportion of					seemario anaryses				
women at 50 years		_	_						
Cameroon	0.489			17					
Côte d'Ivoire	0.409			18					
Senegal	0.531			16					
Eibrogic Initial Staggs at treatment initiation									
FIDIOSIS IIIItiai Stag				20	Coonorio onaluzas				
F0 F1	0.076	-			Scenario anaryses				
FI F2	0.392				considered				
F2	0.262				alternative				
F3	0.186				distributions where				
CC	0.084				all CHC patients				
					start treatment: 1) in				
					F0, 11) 1n F1, 111) 1n				
					F2, iii) in F3, iv) in				
					CC.				
DAAs effectiveness									
SVR12 SOF/RBV	0.892	_	[0.845;0.938]	TAC trial <sup>14</sup>					
or SOF/LDV									
SVR12 SOF/DCV	0.908	_	[0.860;0.955]	HEPATHER					
				cohort <sup>32</sup>					
SVR12 SOF/RBV	0.786		[0.524; 0.924]	TAC trial <sup>14</sup>					
or SOF/LDV in		_	. , .						
cirrhotic patients									
Natural history of C	CHC (in un	treated or uncured pat	tients): annual dis	ease transition	probabilities				
All stages $\rightarrow$ non-	(			29	Country, sex and				
CHC related	_	_	—		age-specific				
mortality					uge speenie				
$F0 \rightarrow F1$	0.079	Beta(21 1·234 7)	[0.052:0.119]	25					
$F1 \rightarrow F2$	0.059	Beta(88.4.1399.1)	[0.048:0.072]	25					
$F^2 \rightarrow F^3$	0.108	Beta(30.0:738.6)	[0.077.0152]	25					
$F_3 \rightarrow CC$	0.077	Beta(14.8:164.1)	[0.077, 0.132]	25					
$F_3 \rightarrow DC$	0.017	Beta(7.0.558.0)	[0.047, 0.127]	26					
$F_3 \rightarrow DC$	0.012	Bota(7.0,558.0)	$[0.005, 0.023]^{+}$	26					
$F_{2} \rightarrow \Gamma C C$	0.011	Deta(7.0,338.0) Pote(0.4,18.7)	$[0.003, 0.023]^{+}$	26					
$\Gamma \rightarrow C \Pi C$ related	0.008	Deta(0.4,18.7)	[0.005,0.019]						
$CC \rightarrow DC$	0.041	$D_{ata}(00.5.2200.4)$	[0,024.0,050]†	4					
$CC \rightarrow DC$	0.041	Deta(99.5;2290.4)	$[0.034; 0.030]^{+}$	4					
$CC \rightarrow HCC$	0.042	Beta(90.0;2048.7)	$[0.054; 0.051]^{+}$	26					
$CC \rightarrow CHC$ related	0.026	Beta(11.5;407.0)	[0.014;0.045]	20					
mortality	0.0.00		50.040.00043 <sup>+</sup>	27					
$DC \rightarrow HCC$	0.068	Beta(37.9;514.7)	[0.049;0.091]	27					
$DC \rightarrow CHC$ related	0.130	Beta(75.7;489.9)	[0.107;0.163]*	21					
mortality	0.000	<b>D</b> . (1010-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	<b>TO C = O = ···</b>	20					
$HCC \rightarrow CHC$	0.900	Beta(186.3;19.9)	[0.86;0.94] <sup>†</sup>	28					
related mortality									

Table 1 (continued)								
Model	Base-	Distribution	95%	Source	Further			
parameter(s)	case		Confidence		description			
	value		Intervals					
CHC progression after DAAs (in cured patients): annual disease transitions probabilities								
$CC \rightarrow DC$	0.023	Beta(14.1;540.5)	[0.014;0.040] <sup>†</sup>	4				
$CC \rightarrow HCC$	0.014	Beta(37.9;514.7)	[0.007;0.029]	4				
$CC \rightarrow CHC$ related	0.026	Beta(11.5;407.0)	[0.014;0.045]†	26				
mortality								
$DC \rightarrow HCC$	0.068	Beta(37.9;514.7)	[0.049;0.091]†	27				
$DC \rightarrow CHC$ related	0.130	Beta(75.7;489.9)	[0.107;0.163]†	27				
mortality								
$HCC \rightarrow CHC$	0.900	Beta(186.3;19.9)	[0.86;0.94]	28				
related mortality								
Annual								
probabilities of								
reinfection								
- mono-infected	0.002	Beta(13.2;7051.8)	[0.001;0.003]	30				
- HIV co-infected	0.032	Beta(0.2;13.1)	[0.000;0.123]	30				
Epidemiology								
Total population, age	d 18-100 (20	18)						
Senegal	8318000			47	Population age			
Côte d'Ivoire	12752000				structure is divided			
Cameroon	12622600				into 5-year classes			
Viremic prevalence								
Senegal	1.3%		[1.1%-1.4%]	2				
Côte d'Ivoire	1.3%		[1.1%-1.4%]					
Cameroon	0.7%		[0.5%-0.8%]					
% diagnosed with CH	łC							
Senegal	6.0%			1	Number of people			
Côte d'Ivoire	6.0%				diagnosed with CHC /			
					number of viremic			
					cases			
Cameroon	4.0%			24				
% diagnosed with CH	IC and treated	ł						
Senegal	2.0%			1	Annual number of			
Côte d'Ivoire	2.0%				treated CHC patients /			
					total number of			
					diagnosed cases			
Cameroon	7.9%			24				
Number of patients eligible for treatment								
Senegal	6358				Total population *			
Côte d'Ivoire	9748				viremic prevalence *			
Cameroon	3224				% diagnosed * (1-% treated)			

<sup>†</sup> 95% Confidence Intervals calculated using the Wilson score formula.

Abbreviations: CHC, Chronic Hepatitis C infection; F0, F1, F2, F3, METAVIR fibrosis stages; CC, Compensated Cirrhosis; DC, Decompensated Cirrhosis; DAAs, Direct Action Antivirals; SVR12, Sustained virologic response 12 weeks after the end of treatment.

	Came	eroon	Côte d'Ivoire		Senegal					
	With treatment	Without treatment	With treatment	Without treatment	With treatment	Without treatment				
Life expectancy at 50 years and life years saved per patient with treatment										
Mean [95% Confidence Intervals] life-years per patient	21.2 [20.6;21.4]	18 [14.9;18.8]	19.7 [19;19.8]	16.9 [14.3;17.5]	22.7 [21.8;22.9]	19.1 [15.7;19.9]				
Mean [95% Confidence Intervals] life-years saved (LYS) per patient <sup>†</sup>	3.3 [2.5;5.7]		2.7 [2.1;4.8]		3.6 [2.8;6.3]					
Life years spent in CC (DC) and life years avoided in the CC (DC) stages per patient with treatment										
Mean [95% Confidence Intervals] life-years spent in CC per patient	1.2 [1;1.4]	2.7 [1.6;3.3]	1.2 [0.9;1.3]	2.4 [1.5;3.1]	1.3 [1;1.5]	2.9 [1.7;3.7]				
Mean [95% Confidence Intervals] life-years in CC stage avoided per patient ‡	1.4 [0.6;2.0]		1.3 [0.5;1.8]		1.6 [0.6;2.3]					
Mean [95% Confidence Intervals] life-years spent in DC per patient	0.2 [0.1;0.2]	0.7 [0.4;0.9]	0.2 [0.1;0.2]	0.6 [0.4;0.8]	0.2 [0.1;0.3]	0.7 [0.4;0.9]				
Mean [95% Confidence Intervals] life-years in DC stage avoided per patient ‡	0.5 [0.3;0.7]		0.4 [0.2;0.6]		0.5 [0.3;0.7]					

# Table 2: Mortality and morbidity in fictive cohorts of CHC patients with and without DAAstreatment (n=3224 in Cameroon, n=9748 in Côte d'Ivoire, n=6358 in Senegal)

<sup>†</sup> Life-years saved per patient are calculated as the number of life-years per patient with treatment minus the number of life-years per patient without treatment.

‡ Life-years avoided in the CC (DC) stages per patient are calculated as the number of life-years per patient spent in the CC (DC) stage without treatment minus the number of life-years per patient spent in the CC (DC) stage with treatment.

Abbreviations: CHC, Chronic Hepatitis C infection; DAAs, Direct Acting Antivirals; CC, Compensated Cirrhosis; DC, Decompensated Cirrhosis.

#### Figures

Figure 1: Diagram of the Markov cohort model



**Legend:** The ovals boxes represent the different health states of the model including fibrosis stage measured by the METAVIR scoring system (F0, F1, F2, F3), CC, DC, HCC and CHC RD. At model entry, all patients have a detectable viral load and are at the F0, F1, F2, F3 or CC stages. The two diamonds represent, respectively, whether patients received treatment ("yes" or "no") and whether they achieved SVR after treatment or not (if "yes", they are cured; if "not" they are uncured). Arrows on full lines denote the transitions between health states according to treatment decision and treatment success. Arrows on dashed lines show reinfection in cured patients. The upper part of the diagram, labelled "NATURAL DISEASE PROGRESSION", describes the progression for patients with uncontrolled viral load (i.e. in absence of treatment, in case of treatment failure or reinfection). The lower part of the diagram, labelled "CURED", describes the treatment benefits in cured patients (i.e., the disease progression stops except in patients in the CC or DC health state stage at the end of the treatment cycle). In all cohorts, patients had a risk of HCV-related death in all health states, corresponding to the "natural mortality" rate, which depends on age, gender and country. Additionally, patients who were in the F3, CC, DC and HCC health states had a risk of CHC-related death.

CHC, Chronic Hepatitis C; F0-F3, METAVIR fibrosis stages F0 to F3; CC, Compensated Cirrhosis; DC, Decompensated Cirrhosis; HCC, Hepatocellular Carcinoma; CHC RD, Chronic hepatitis C-related death; SVR: Sustainable Virologic Response.

Figure 2: Liver disease-related deaths over lifetime with and without treatment in mono-infected patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C in Cameroon (Fig. 2a), Côte d'Ivoire (Fig. 2b) and Senegal (Fig. 2c)



**Legend:** The numbers (%) above each curve indicate, respectively: i) the number of liver disease-related deaths due to CHC over the cohorts' lifetime with and without treatment; ii) the share of liver disease-related mortality due to CHC within global mortality with and without treatment.

CHC, Chronic Hepatitis C.

Figure 3 : Evolution over lifetime of the number (% among patients still alive) of patients with compensated cirrhosis and with decompensated cirrhosis in mono-infected patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C in Cameroon (Fig. 3a), Côte d'Ivoire (Fig. 3b) and Senegal (Fig. 3c)

35



- - Number (%) of patients with DC without treatment

**Legend:** The numbers (%) above each curve indicate, respectively: i) the number (%) of patients with CC 11 years after the diagnosis (with and without treatment), ii) the number (%) of patients with DC 15 years after the diagnosis (with and without treatment). The time-points of 11 and 15 years were chosen as they correspond to the approximate maximum number of patients with CC and DC, respectively (in the cohorts without treatment). *CC, Compensated Cirrhosis; DC, Decompensated Cirrhosis.*