

# Association Between Life-Course Obesity and Frailty in Older Adults: Findings in the GAZEL Cohort

Benjamin Landré, Sébastien Czernichow, Marcel Goldberg, Marie Zins, Joël Ankri, Marie Herr

## ▶ To cite this version:

Benjamin Landré, Sébastien Czernichow, Marcel Goldberg, Marie Zins, Joël Ankri, et al.. Association Between Life-Course Obesity and Frailty in Older Adults: Findings in the GAZEL Cohort. Obesity, 2020, 28 (2), pp.388-396. 10.1002/oby.22682. inserm-02939751

# HAL Id: inserm-02939751 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-02939751

Submitted on 15 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Association between life-course obesity and frailty in older adults: findings in the GAZEL

cohort

Benjamin Landré <sup>1</sup>, Sébastien Czernichow <sup>2,3,4</sup>, Marcel Goldberg <sup>5</sup>, Marie Zins <sup>1,4,5</sup>, Joël

Ankri <sup>1,6</sup>, Marie Herr <sup>1,6</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> INSERM, U1168, VIMA: Aging and chronic diseases. Epidemiological and public health

approaches, Villejuif, F-94807, France; Univ. Versailles St-Quentin-en-Yvelines, UMR-S 1168,

F-78180, Montigny le Bretonneux, France;

<sup>2</sup> Service de Nutrition, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux

de Paris, Paris, France;

<sup>3</sup> INSERM, UMR 1153 Epidemiology and Biostatistics Sorbonne Paris Cité Centre (CRESS),

METHODS Team, 75004 Paris, France;

<sup>4</sup> Paris Descartes University, Paris, France;

INSERM, UMS 011, Population-based Epidemiological Cohorts, Villejuif, France;

Département Hospitalier d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Hôpitaux Universitaires

Paris Ile-de-France Ouest, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France.

**Keyword**: frailty, epidemiology, life-course

**Running title:** Life-course obesity and frailty in older adults.

\* Corresponding author: Marie Herr; UMR 1168, UFR des Sciences de la Santé Simone Veil, 2

avenue de la source de la Bièvre, 78180 Montigny-le-Bretonneux,

marie.herr@uvsq.fr.

1

Manuscript word count = 3527/4000

Abstract word count = 202/200

Number of figures = 1

Number of tables = 4

Number of supplementary information = 2

## Funding:

The GAZEL Cohort Study was funded by EDF-GDF and INSERM, and received grants from the 'Cohortes Santé TGIR Program', Agence nationale de la recherche (ANR; ANR-08-BLAN-0028) and Agence française de sécurité sanitaire de l'environnement et du travail (AFSSET; EST-2008/1/35).

#### Disclosure:

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

#### What is already known about this subject?

- Frailty is a predictor of poor health outcomes among older adults.
- Although malnutrition is part of frailty, previous studies demonstrated that aged individuals with obesity are more likely to be frail compared to aged individuals without obesity.
- The impact of life-course obesity on the risk of frailty in old age remains poorly described.

#### What does this study add?

- In a cohort of older adults followed for 26 years, we showed that the duration of obesity influenced the risk of frailty: the longer the period of obesity, the higher the risk of frailty.
- We also identified a persisting effect of obesity on the risk of frailty among previously obese individuals.
- Finally, we identified three trajectories of body mass index (BMI) over adulthood: normal or overweight / constantly high / increasing BMI, of whom the last two were associated with increased risk of frailty.

#### **Abstract**

#### **Objective:**

This study aimed to assess the relationship between weight history during adulthood and frailty in late life, in men and women participating in the GAZEL cohort.

#### Methods:

This cohort study included 8 751 men and 3 033 women (aged 61 to 76 years) followed-up since 1989. Modified Fried's frailty criteria (weakness, fatigue, unwanted weight loss, low physical activity, and impaired mobility) were assessed in 2015. Reported body mass index (BMI) was determined each year to characterize: obesity status in 2015, obesity duration over the 1990-2015 period, and trajectories of BMI during. Associations between frailty and weight history were assessed using multinomial regression.

#### **Results:**

In 2015, 12% of men had obesity, 1.8% severe obesity and 0.4% morbid obesity; 11%, 2.2% and 0.8% respectively for women. Individuals with obesity were more likely to be frail than those with normal BMI and the risk of frailty increased with each additional year of obesity (1.04 [1.00–1.08] for men and 1.07 [1.02–1.13] for women). Trajectories of BMI revealed that both long-term obesity and onset of obesity in late adulthood are associated with frailty.

#### **Conclusions:**

Current and past obesity appear to be important determinants of frailty. Early weight management may be beneficial in old age.

# Introduction

Frailty is an indicator of decreased physiologic resources and resistance to stressors<sup>1</sup>. It can be used to identify a category of older individuals who are no longer robust (non-frail) but not disabled, and who are likely to experience poor health outcomes<sup>2–5</sup>. Because weight loss forms part of several definitions of frailty<sup>6</sup>, frailty is often associated with underweight. However, overweight and obesity have been found to be associated with frailty<sup>7</sup>, challenging the idea that overweight or obesity could represent a protective physiologic reserve. Furthermore, obesity has been suggested as a risk factor for frailty among the elderly<sup>8,9</sup>.

Obesity has reached epidemic proportions in industrialized countries and may increase the medical burden of aging populations in a very near future. Indeed, obesity has been associated with numerous comorbidities, such as type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease<sup>10</sup>, osteoarthrosis<sup>11</sup>, and cancer<sup>12</sup>. Obesity also contributes to low-grade chronic inflammation<sup>9</sup> and tissue remodelling<sup>13</sup>. Because the adverse effects of obesity may vary depending on the period and time of exposure, understanding the relationship between obesity and frailty requires a life-course approach.

Stenholm et al.<sup>14</sup> actually showed that midlife history of excess weight was predictive of frailty 22 years later. Among men, Strandberg et al.<sup>15</sup> highlighted that weight gain during adulthood was associated with an increased risk of frailty in old age. Using a 10-year follow-up of weight, Mezuk et al.<sup>16</sup> identified four trajectories of weight evolution among men included in the Health and Retirement Study. The results indicated that, compared to the reference trajectory (consistently overweight), all other trajectories were associated with an increased risk of frailty, especially in the case of weight gain. Recently, Haapanen et al.<sup>17</sup>, determined that

greater body mass index (BMI) gain in early childhood was associated with an increased risk of frailty in late life among the men of the Helsinki Birth Cohort Study<sup>17</sup>.

However, gaps remain in our understanding of the association between frailty in late life and body mass evolution through life. Firstly, because the description of long-term processes requires repeated measurements over decades, rarely available in epidemiological studies. Secondly, sex differences remain poorly explored in previous studies. Yet, men and women exhibit sex differences in obesity, which have been attributed to the differential influences of sex hormones on lipid metabolism and fat distribution<sup>18</sup>. These differences in exposure could lead to sex-specific associations and require that analyses are performed among men and women separately.

In a cohort of 11 784 individuals followed up annually for 26 years, we aimed to assess the relationship between weight history during adulthood and frailty in late life, in men and women separately. Three different approaches were used: the first assessed the cumulative effect of excess body fat using obesity duration; the second, the persisting effect of obesity among people with a past history of obesity; and the last approach categorized individuals according to their trajectories of BMI over adult life.

#### **Methods**

#### Population

Our study is part of the GAZEL cohort study. Details of the study design have been described elsewhere<sup>19</sup>. Briefly, in 1989, 20 625 employees (5 614 women and 15 011 men aged 35-50 years) of the French national electricity and gas utility (Electricité de France – Gaz de France, EDF-GDF) agreed to participate. Every year, participants were invited to complete a postal questionnaire including a large set of items dealing with health status, lifestyle, and socioeconomic and occupational factors. Data were also collected from national registers and from the personnel and medical departments at EDF-GDF. From the 20 625 participants at inception in 1989, 2 270 (11%) died and 493 (2.4%) asked to stop their participation. In 2015, 13 203 (74%) questionnaires were returned. Individuals with missing frailty status (n=858, 6.5%) and missing BMI in 2015 (n=496, 3.8%) were excluded from the analyses. Due to the small number of underweight individuals in 2015 (n=125), they were excluded from the analyses. The final sample was composed of 11 784 (89%) individuals, including 8 751 (74%) men and 3 033 (26%) women, aged between 61 and 76 years old at that time.

#### Frailty

Frailty was assessed in 2015 according to the five dimensions described by Fried et al<sup>20</sup>. Except for weight loss and exhaustion, we modified the original criteria so that they could be collected by questionnaire, as previously done in other epidemiological settings<sup>15</sup>. Weight loss was defined as self-reported unintentional weight loss of  $\geq$ 4.5kg or a loss of >5% of current weight during the last 12 months. Exhaustion was assessed using two questions from the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) scale ("I felt that everything I did was an effort";

"I could not get going")<sup>21</sup>. Weakness was defined as self-reported difficulty carrying or lifting a grocery bag and/or difficulty kneeling and standing up. Mobility impairment was defined as self-reported difficulty walking 500 meters and/or difficulty climbing stairs without help. Physical activity over a usual week was self-reported. Individuals were considered as having a low level of physical activity if they did not meet at least one of the following requirements: (1) walk for 10 minutes or more at least 5 days a week, and (2) practice 10 minutes or more of relatively intense activity (cycling or sport) at least once a week. Frail individuals met 3 criteria or more, pre-frail 1 or 2, and non-frail 0. In cases of missing data regarding frailty criteria, individuals could still be included in the analysis if available information enabled them to be classified as pre-frail (presence of one frailty criterion and no more than one missing criterion) or frail (presence of at least 3 frailty criteria). Otherwise, participants with missing data for whom status could not be determined with certainty were excluded.

#### Body mass index

BMI was assessed each year between 1990 and 2015, using self-reported weight (in kilograms) divided by squared self-reported height (in meters). BMI categories were as follows: <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $\geq$ 18.5 and <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $\geq$ 25 and <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

#### Other variables

Sociodemographic characteristics were sex, age, education (university, high school, or lower than high school), and marital situation (single or in a couple). Age and marital situation were updated in 2015.

Based on annual questionnaires from inception of the cohort to 2015, we defined categories of tobacco consumption (non-smoker, current smoker or former smoker) and alcohol

consumption (non- or light drinker throughout the follow-up period, current moderate or heavy drinker or former moderate or heavy drinker; according to self-reported consumption of alcohol during the last week<sup>22</sup>).

Health problems assessed cancer, cardiovascular disease, joint pain, psychological problems (depression, stress or anxiety) and diabetes. Cancer and cardiovascular disease were assessed using validated registers<sup>23,24</sup> implemented by EDF-GDF company from the beginning of the employment period and maintained after retirement. Joint pain, diabetes and psychological problems were assessed using self-reported information in the 2013, 2014, and 2015 questionnaires (at least one occurrence over the 3 years).

#### Statistical analysis

Population characteristics are described by numbers and percentages for categorical variables and by mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and range for continuous variables.

Obesity duration was defined as the number of years where reported information indicated a BMI of ≥30 kg/m². Missing data about BMI during follow-up concerned 6 524 (55%) individuals and were imputed using the "Copy Mean" method²⁵ (linear interpolation corrected for the average difference between prediction and observation in the study population) and the "Global Slope" method²⁶ (linear extrapolation of the function defined by the first and last values), for intermittent and monotone missing values respectively (further details about imputation methods in supplementary information A).

BMI trajectories were defined using latent class mixed modeling (LCMM) among respondents in 2015, in men and women separately. These models form part of growth mixture modeling, which enables different trends in longitudinal parameter changes in a population to be

identified. LCMM was used to describe linear changes in BMI according to age and period of birth (before or after the end of World War II). Indeed, a previous study on the GAZEL cohort highlighted a cohort effect on weight<sup>27</sup>, which was confirmed by exploratory data analysis. Models defining one to five latent trajectories were compared using the Bayesian Information Criterion and the interpretability criterion derived from Nagin's criterion<sup>28</sup> (see supplementary information B for details about models, parameters and selection).

Because diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular disease may influence weight trajectories, we conducted a sensitivity analysis with the exclusion of participants suffering from these comorbidities.

The associations between frailty and weight parameters were analyzed in four different models assessing: (1) the cross-sectional association between frailty and BMI in 2015; (2) the association between frailty and obesity duration (continuous) among individuals with obesity in 2015; (3) the association between frailty and former obesity (binary), among individuals without obesity in 2015; and (4) the association between frailty and BMI trajectories. All the analyses used multinomial logistic regressions. Confounders introduced in models were chosen a priori, in line with the literature. Analyses were conducted separately in men and women. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results are expressed in terms of odds ratio (OR) or adjusted OR (aOR), with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

R statistical software (version 3.4.4) was used, the longitudinalData package (version 2.4.1) for imputation, the LCMM package (version 1.7.9) for latent class mixed models, and the VGAM package (version 1.0-5) for multinomial regression.

#### Results

#### Population

The study sample was mainly composed of men (74%) (Table 1). Mean age was  $70.5 \pm 2.9$  years and  $67.8 \pm 4.2$  years for men and women, respectively. Mean BMI in 2015 was  $26.5 \pm 3.5$  kg/m² among men and  $25.4 \pm 4.3$  kg/m² among women. Prevalence of overweight was 50% among men and 32% among women; prevalence of obesity was 15% and 14%, respectively. Women were more often frail (10%) or pre-frail (38%) than men (4% and 33%, respectively).

#### **Obesity duration**

Obesity duration was determined for 11 117 participants (94%). Among individuals with obesity in 2015, median obesity duration was 17 years for men and 16 years for women, and 76% of men and 73% of women had had obesity for 10 years or more. Those formerly with obesity accounted for 11% of the men and 7.0% of the women and were mostly individuals with overweight in 2015 (96%). Among individuals formerly with obesity, median obesity duration was 4 years for both men and women with overweight. Mean obesity duration increased with frailty status among participants with overweight or obesity, whether men or women.

#### Weight trajectories

Weight trajectories were determined for the whole study sample (n=11 784). For both men and women, three clusters of BMI trajectories emerged from the data (Table 2). Figure 1 shows the weight trajectories for men (panel A) and women (panel B). Similar patterns were observed for men and women:

- Cluster 1, comprising 95% of men and 90% of women, with high mean posterior probabilities (>95%). This group is characterized by a consistently overweight BMI for men and a consistently normal BMI for women. Mean BMI value was 25 kg/m² for men and 23 kg/m² for women at age 45, and 26 kg/m² for men and 25 kg/m² for women at age 70. Cluster 1 is referred to as "consistently normal or overweight" (reference group).
- Cluster 2, comprising 3.9% of men and 4.7% of women, with mean posterior probability greater than 80%. Cluster 2 was characterized by an evolution from overweight to obesity. Mean BMI was 29 kg/m² for men and 27 kg/m² for women at age 45, increasing to 35 kg/m² at age 70 for men and women. Cluster 2 is referred to as "increasing BMI".
- Cluster 3, comprising 1.5% of men and 5.1% of women with correct mean posterior probability. Cluster 3 was characterized by consistent obesity: mean value of BMI was 34 kg/m² for men and 32 kg/m² for women at age 45, decreasing slowly to 31 kg/m² at age 70 for men and women. Cluster 3 is referred to as "consistently high BMI".

Health differences were observed between clusters. First of all, the prevalence of frailty and pre-frailty was higher in clusters 2 and 3 compared to cluster 1 (Table 3). A higher prevalence of diabetes, joint pain and cardiovascular disease (men only) was also observed among individuals included in clusters 2 and 3. Socio-demographic and lifestyle differences were also observed, with a lower level of education of men in clusters 2 and 3. They were also more likely to be former smokers. The men and women in cluster 3 had the lowest consumption of alcohol during the follow-up.

Sensitivity analysis excluding participants suffering from cancer or cardiovascular disease led to similar clusters of BMI trajectories.

#### Association between body size and frailty

The cross-sectional association between frailty and obesity shows that individuals with obesity were far more likely to be pre-frail or frail compared to the reference category (aOR >8 among women) (Model 1, Table 4).

Among individuals with obesity, each additional year of obesity increased the risk of frailty with an aOR of 1.04 [1.00 - 1.08] for men and 1.07 [1.02 - 1.13] for women (Model 2, Table 4). The risk of pre-frailty also increased with obesity duration.

The purpose of model 3 was to assess whether history of obesity is associated with frailty status among participants without obesity in 2015. The analyses were restricted to participants with overweight, due to the low number of individuals formerly with obesity among those with a normal BMI in 2015. Among men with overweight, those formerly with obesity had increased risk of pre-frailty and frailty compared to men with overweight who had never experienced obesity. Similar results were observed among women, although borderline significant.

The last model assessed the relationships between frailty and trends in BMI over time. Compared to the reference group, both the "increasing BMI" and the "consistently high BMI" groups were associated with an increased risk of pre-frailty and frailty, in both men and women. Of note is the fact that, among men, the risk of frailty was higher among men in the "increasing BMI" group compared to the "consistently high BMI" group.

#### **Discussion**

#### Main findings

In a cohort of 11 784 community-dwelling individuals followed up annually for 26 years, we investigated the relationship between weight history during adulthood and frailty later in life. Results suggest that, firstly, for individuals with obesity in 2015, the longer an individual had spent with obesity, the higher their risk of frailty. Secondly, a past history of obesity seemed to increase the risk of frailty among individuals with overweight. Finally, we identified three clusters of BMI trajectories during adulthood. Trajectories that included obesity were associated with an increased risk of frailty among men and women.

#### Characteristics of the study sample in 2015

In this study, the prevalence of both frailty and pre-frailty in men and women is consistent with pooled estimates by Collard et al<sup>29</sup>: 5.2% of men and 9.6% of women are frail, and 37% of men and 39% of women are pre-frail.

Prevalence of overweight was 50% for men and 32% for women and prevalence of obesity was 15% for men and 14% for women, in line with previous studies in France<sup>30</sup>. However, because data about height and weight were self-reported, underestimation is expected. Niedhammer et al<sup>31</sup> actually reported that participants in the GAZEL cohort were likely to underestimate their weight and to overestimate their height, especially if concerned by overweight. Furthermore, misreporting may change with age, which may contribute to smooth the trajectories. Although this adds a source of uncertainty, specificities remain high: few individuals report a higher BMI category than the one they really are, which reduces the influence of misclassification error.

Strong associations between obesity and frailty in old age have already been reported <sup>32</sup>. In our study, associations between obesity and frailty were also high, within the range of other studies defining physical frailty based on reported indicators <sup>14,15</sup>.

#### Life-course obesity and frailty

Using life-course approaches allowed us to further analyze the relationship between excessive body fat and frailty.

Obesity duration explores the cumulative effect of exposure to excessive body fat<sup>33</sup>. It has been associated with the onset of disability<sup>34</sup> and with decline in walking speed<sup>35</sup> and grip strength<sup>36</sup>. Our results suggest a cumulative effect of exposure to excessive body fat, which may reflect the progressive tissue remodeling caused by obesity (affecting notably the cardiac tissue<sup>10,13</sup> and joint compartments<sup>11</sup>) and metabolic changes<sup>37</sup>. This observation raises additional concerns about the growing epidemic of obesity<sup>38</sup>, especially in children. Long-term exposure to excessive body fat may impair the health and functional capacity of aging cohorts of individuals with obesity.

The analysis considering past exposure to obesity informs on the persisting effect of obesity even when individuals have lost weight. Men with overweight and a past history of obesity had an increased risk of pre-frailty and frailty compared to men with overweight and no history of obesity. Similar associations were observed among women, although results were borderline significant, probably due to lower sample size.

However, these two approaches have limits: they assess exposure to excessive body fat with little regard to the overall dynamic of weight change over life.

This issue was addressed by identifying trajectories of BMI. Three clusters of trajectories were identified, with similar patterns among men and women. Other attempts to determine weight

trajectories over adulthood have produced similar results in the literature. Using data from a 10-year follow-up among 10 872 elderly individuals (mean age 65 years at baseline), Mezuk et al. dentified three trajectories of weight comparable to ours, as well as an additional "weight loss" trajectory. Zajacova et al. described also observed the same three main trajectories of BMI, among adults aged 51 to 61 at baseline followed up over 16-years.

We observed that trajectories characterized by long-term or emerging obesity were associated with increased risk of frailty for men and women. Among men, those included in the increasing BMI cluster were particularly at risk of frailty. Increased risk of frailty in weight gain groups was also found in other studies<sup>16,17</sup>. Selection bias, where the most robust individuals with obesity still participate in the study in 2015, could contribute to the observed difference in frailty risk between the weight gain group and the consistently high BMI group. However, when trajectories were defined using the whole cohort sample (results not shown), no differences were found in terms of survival (26% vs 30%, p=0.43) or non-response (37% vs 30%, p-value = 0.09) between men identified in the weight gain group and those with consistently high BMI trajectories. Mechanisms involved in weight gain remain unclear. Our results indicate health and lifestyle differences between clusters that could be further explored in future research. Although these factors might have constituted confounding factors in the relationship between frailty and obesity, the associations remained after adjustment for comorbidity and tobacco and alcohol consumption.

Of note, similar results and conclusion were found using frailty status based on completed cases (data not shown).

#### Strengths and limitations

The main strength of this study is the assessment of BMI annually for 26 years, in more than 11 000 men and women, although self-reported height and weight was used. Despite the long

follow-up, attrition and missing data were limited; on average, participants in this study returned  $22\pm4$  questionnaires out of 26. Missing data were imputed without introducing significant bias in body mass index: after introducing missing values among complete cases, we imputed them and observed that the mean differences between imputed and observed data did not exceed  $0.2 \text{ kg/m}^2.25$ 

Various life-course approaches were used and provided complementary information that helped to provide a better understanding of the long-term effects of overweight and obesity. The 26-year follow-up of the GAZEL cohort enabled us to examine current, cumulative, and persistent effects of overweight and obesity on frailty. However, we could not assess the effect of overweight and obesity in childhood and young adulthood in critical period models<sup>40</sup>. Our assessment of frailty is based on the framework proposed by Fried et al.<sup>20</sup> in 2001, which remains that most commonly used in the field of frailty research<sup>6</sup>. It also appeared the most relevant in relation to issues associated with weight change because of the conceptual assumptions of this model, in which sarcopenia plays a major role. However, we approximated the measure of walking speed and grip strength using self-reported information. It should be noted that recent findings suggest that such information is as reliable in predicting standard outcomes of frailty as physical measurements<sup>41</sup>.

Other limitations must be acknowledged. Firstly, body mass index is a crude measure of fat distribution, which changes over time, especially due to the decrease in lean mass with aging<sup>42</sup>. As a result, we may have grouped people based on their BMI who had very different body compositions. Furthermore, the models we used may not be suited to identifying weight changes over short intervals.

# **Conclusion**

Our results suggest that current and past obesity play a decisive role in frailty in late life. Taking into account life-course obesity, notably its duration, may help with stratifying the risk of frailty among aging individuals. From a clinical perspective, frailty assessment should not be neglected among individuals with obesity, nor should screening for former obesity. From a prevention perspective, weight control could be beneficial at all ages of life and reduce the risk of frailty in aging populations.

## References

- Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. *Lancet*.
   2013;381(9868):752-762. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9
- Vermeulen J, Neyens JC, van Rossum E, Spreeuwenberg MD, de Witte LP. Predicting
   ADL disability in community-dwelling elderly people using physical frailty indicators: a

   systematic review. BMC Geriatr. 2011;11(1):33. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-11-33
- Vermeiren S, Vella-Azzopardi R, Beckwée D, et al. Frailty and the Prediction of Negative Health Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*.
   2016;17(12):1163.e1-1163.e17. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2016.09.010
- Kojima G. Frailty as a Predictor of Future Falls Among Community-Dwelling Older People: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*.
   2015;16(12):1027-1033. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2015.06.018
- Kojima G. Frailty as a predictor of fractures among community-dwelling older people:
   A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bone*. 2016;90:116-122.
   doi:10.1016/j.bone.2016.06.009
- 6. Buta BJ, Walston JD, Godino JG, et al. Frailty assessment instruments: Systematic characterization of the uses and contexts of highly-cited instruments. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2016;26:53-61. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2015.12.003
- 7. Blaum CS, Xue QL, Michelon E, Semba RD, Fried LP. The Association Between Obesity and the Frailty Syndrome in Older Women: The Women's Health and Aging Studies. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005;53(6):927-934. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53300.x
- 8. Hirani V, Naganathan V, Blyth F, et al. Longitudinal associations between body

- composition, sarcopenic obesity and outcomes of frailty, disability, institutionalisation and mortality in community-dwelling older men: The Concord Health and Ageing in Men Project. *Age Ageing*. 2017;46(3):413-420. doi:10.1093/ageing/afw214
- 9. Soysal P, Stubbs B, Lucato P, et al. Inflammation and frailty in the elderly: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2016;31:1-8. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2016.08.006
- 10. Mahajan R, Lau DH, Sanders P. Impact of obesity on cardiac metabolism, fibrosis, and function. *Trends Cardiovasc Med*. 2015;25(2):119-126. doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2014.09.005
- 11. Thijssen E, van Caam A, van der Kraan PM. Obesity and osteoarthritis, more than just wear and tear: pivotal roles for inflamed adipose tissue and dyslipidaemia in obesity-induced osteoarthritis. *Rheumatology*. 2015;54(4):588-600. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keu464
- Juo Y-Y, Gibbons MAM, Dutson E, et al. Obesity Is Associated with Early Onset of Gastrointestinal Cancers in California. *J Obes*. 2018;2018:1-6.
   doi:10.1155/2018/7014073
- Reis JP, Allen N, Gibbs BB, et al. Association of the degree of adiposity and duration of obesity with measures of cardiac structure and function: The CARDIA study. *Obesity*.
   2014;22(11):2434-2440. doi:10.1002/oby.20865
- 14. Stenholm S, Strandberg TE, Pitkala K, Sainio P, Heliovaara M, Koskinen S. Midlife
  Obesity and Risk of Frailty in Old Age During a 22-Year Follow-up in Men and Women:
  The Mini-Finland Follow-up Survey. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci*.
  2014;69(1):73-78. doi:10.1093/gerona/glt052
- 15. Strandberg TE, Sirola J, Pitkälä KH, Tilvis RS, Strandberg AY, Stenholm S. Association of

- midlife obesity and cardiovascular risk with old age frailty: a 26-year follow-up of initially healthy men. *Int J Obes*. 2012;36(9):1153-1157. doi:10.1038/ijo.2012.83
- Mezuk B, Lohman MC, Rock AK, Payne ME. Trajectories of body mass indices and development of frailty: Evidence from the health and retirement study. *Obesity*.
   2016;24(8):1643-1647. doi:10.1002/oby.21572
- 17. Haapanen MJ, Perälä MM, Osmond C, et al. Infant and childhood growth and frailty in old age: the Helsinki Birth Cohort Study. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2018;0(0):0. doi:10.1007/s40520-018-1011-0
- 18. Link JC, Reue K. Genetic Basis for Sex Differences in Obesity and Lipid Metabolism.

  Annu Rev Nutr. 2017;37(1):225-245. doi:10.1146/annurev-nutr-071816-064827
- Goldberg M, Leclerc A, Zins M. Cohort Profile Update: The GAZEL Cohort Study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44(1):77-77g. doi:10.1093/ije/dyu224
- 20. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, et al. Frailty in Older Adults: Evidence for a Phenotype. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2001;56(3):M146-M157. doi:10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146
- 21. Morin AJS, Moullec G, Maïano C, Layet L, Just J-L, Ninot G. Psychometric properties of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) in French clinical and nonclinical adults. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique*. 2011;59(5):327-340. doi:10.1016/j.respe.2011.03.061
- 22. World Health Organization. International Guide for Monitoring Alcohol Consumption.;2000.
  - http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/66529/WHO MSD MSB 00.4.pdf;js

- essionid=02056BA463E378651DFE3ACE52BD389B?sequence=1.
- 23. Chevalier A, Zins M, Godard C, et al. [ A registry of ischaemic cardiopathies among active workers at Electricité de France-Gaz de France. Program development and first results]. Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique. 2001;49(1):51-60.
  http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11226919.
- 24. Chevalier A, Goldberg M, Godard C, et al. [Cancer incidence among active male workers at Electricite de France-Gaz de France]. Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique. 1996;44(1):25-36. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8851940.
- 25. Genolini C, Écochard R, Jacqmin-Gadda H. Copy Mean: A New Method to Impute
  Intermittent Missing Values in Longitudinal Studies. *Open J Stat.* 2013;03(04):26-40.
  doi:10.4236/ojs.2013.34A004
- Genolini C. longitudinalData: Longitudinal data, R package version 2.4.1. 2016.
   https://cran.r-project.org/package=longitudinalData.
- 27. Dugravot A, Sabia S, Stringhini S, et al. Do socioeconomic factors shape weight and obesity trajectories over the transition from midlife to old age? Results from the French GAZEL cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92(1):16-23. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2010.29223
- 28. Nagin DS, Odgers CL. Group-Based Trajectory Modeling in Clinical Research. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2010;6(1):109-138. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131413
- Collard RM, Boter H, Schoevers RA, Oude Voshaar RC. Prevalence of Frailty in Community-Dwelling Older Persons: A Systematic Review. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2012;60(8):1487-1492. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.04054.x

- 30. Fourcade N, von Lennep F, Grémi I, Bourdillon F. *L'état de Santé de La Population En France*.; 2017. https://drees.solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/esp2017.pdf.
- 31. Niedhammer I, Bugel I, Bonenfant S, Goldberg M, Leclerc A. Validity of self-reported weight and height in the French GAZEL cohort. *Int J Obes*. 2000;24(9):1111-1118. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0801375
- 32. Reinders I, Visser M, Schaap L. Body weight and body composition in old age and their relationship with frailty. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2017;20(1):11-15. doi:10.1097/MCO.0000000000000332
- 33. de Koning L, Hu FB. Commentary: Obesity-years--a new metric to measure health effects of obesity. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40(4):996-997. doi:10.1093/ije/dyr053
- 34. Wong E, Tanamas SK, Wolfe R, et al. The role of obesity duration on the association between obesity and risk of physical disability. *Obesity*. 2015;23(2):443-447. doi:10.1002/oby.20936
- 35. Stenholm S, Rantanen T, Alanen E, Reunanen A, Sainio P, Koskinen S. Obesity History as a Predictor of Walking Limitation at Old Age. *Obesity*. 2007;15(4):929-938. doi:10.1038/oby.2007.583
- 36. Stenholm S, Sallinen J, Koster A, et al. Association between Obesity History and Hand Grip Strength in Older Adults--Exploring the Roles of Inflammation and Insulin Resistance as Mediating Factors. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2011;66A(3):341-348. doi:10.1093/gerona/glq226
- 37. Vergnaud A-C, Bertrais S, Oppert J-M, et al. Weight fluctuations and risk for metabolic syndrome in an adult cohort. *Int J Obes*. 2008;32(2):315-321.

- doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803739
- 38. Kumar S, Kelly AS. Review of Childhood Obesity: From Epidemiology, Etiology, and Comorbidities to Clinical Assessment and Treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92(2):251-265. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.09.017
- 39. Zajacova A, Ailshire J. Body Mass Trajectories and Mortality Among Older Adults: A Joint Growth Mixture—Discrete-Time Survival Analysis. *Gerontologist*. 2014;54(2):221-231. doi:10.1093/geront/gns164
- 40. Ben-Shlomo Y, Cooper R, Kuh D. The last two decades of life course epidemiology, and its relevance for research on ageing. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(4):973-988. doi:10.1093/ije/dyw096
- 41. Papachristou E, Wannamethee SG, Lennon LT, et al. Ability of Self-Reported Frailty

  Components to Predict Incident Disability, Falls, and All-Cause Mortality: Results From
  a Population-Based Study of Older British Men. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2017;18(2):152157. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2016.08.020
- 42. Stout MB, Justice JN, Nicklas BJ, Kirkland JL. Physiological Aging: Links Among Adipose
  Tissue Dysfunction, Diabetes, and Frailty. *Physiology*. 2017;32(1):9-19.
  doi:10.1152/physiol.00012.2016

# Tables & figures

Table 1: Characteristics of the population in 2015 (N=11 784)

Summary Statistics	Men (N = 8 751)	Women (N = 3 033)
Age (years), mean ± sd	70.5 ± 2.9	67.8 ± 4.2
[60-65[	0 (0)	801 (26)
[65-75[	7 668 (88)	1 997 (66)
[75-85[	1 083 (12)	235 (8)
Marital status		
Living alone	1 050 (12)	1 017 (34)
Education		
< High school	1 530 (18)	761 (26)
High school	5 058 (59)	1 790 (60)
University	2 027 (24)	414 (14)
Alcohol consumption		
Never more than light drinker	2 395 (27)	1 158 (39)
Current moderate or heavy drinker	2 886 (33)	746 (25)

Former moderate or heavy drinker	3 430 (39)	1 095 (37)
Tobacco consumption		
Non-smoker	2 753 (36)	1 654 (67)
Current smoker	537 (7)	186 (7)
Former smoker	4 267 (56)	642 (26)
Psychological difficulties		
Depressed, anxious or stressed	1 290 (15)	985 (32)
Chronic diseases		
Cardiovascular disease	826 (9)	27 (1)
Diabetes	1 113 (13)	209 (7)
Joint pain	3 400 (39)	1 671 (55)
Cancer	1 165 (13)	365 (12)
Frailty status		
Non-frail	5 448 (62)	1 602 (53)
Pre-frail	2 924 (33)	1 139 (38)
Frail	379 (4)	292 (10)
Body Mass Index (kg/m²), mean ± sd	26.5 ± 3.5	25.4 ± 4.3

Normal	3 106 (35)	1 630 (54)
Overweight	4 371 (50)	975 (32)
Obese	1 274 (15)	428 (14)
Obesity duration (years), mean ± sd	2.8 ± 6.4	2.4 ± 6.0
Median (1 <sup>st</sup> quartile, 3 <sup>rd</sup> quartile)	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 0)
10 years or more	1 071 (12)	323 (11)
BMI trajectories		
Consistently normal or overweight BMI	8 278 (95)	2 736 (90)
Increasing BMI	342 (4)	143 (5)
Consistently high BMI	131 (1)	154 (5)

Figures are expressed as N (%), unless another unit is specified.

Table 2: Selection of the latent class mixed model for men (panel A) and women (panel B)

Panel A: Model selection among men.									
Number of latent class	Log likelihood	BIC	%class1	%class2	%class3	%class4	%class5		
1	-406 168.4	812 403.7	100						
2	-323 234.4	64 650.7	96	4.4					
3	-322 936.8	645 992.9	95	3.9	1.5				
4*°	/	/	/	/	/	/	/		
5*^	/	/	/	/	/	/	/		
Panel B: Model selection among women.									
1	-168 835.1	337 730.2	100						
2	-134 891.2	268 864.1	94	5.6					
3	-134 773.9	269 653.9	90	4.7	5.1				
4	-134 674.6	269 479.7	0.5	87	7.9	4.2			
5*+	/	/	/	/	/	/	/		

BIC: Bayesian Information Criterion

%class: prevalence of class one to five (%)

\*: no convergence with initial parameters

°: convergence to a local solution (2-clusters solution)

^: no convergence with doubled convergence parameters

\*: convergence to a local solution (3-clusters solution)

Table 3: Characteristics of the individuals among clusters of BMI trajectories over 26 years in the GAZEL cohort

	Men					
	Cluster 1 Cluster 2		Cluster 3	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3
	Consistently	Increasing BMI	Consistently high	Consistently	Increasing BMI	Consistently
	overweight		ВМІ	normal		high BMI
	8 278 (95%)	342 (3.9%)	131 (1.5%)	2 736 (90%)	143 (4.7%)	154 (5.1%)
Age (years), mean ± sd	70.6 ± 2.9	69.8 ± 2.7	71.1 ± 2.8	67.8 ± 4.2	66.2 ± 3.6	68.4 ± 3.9
[60-65[	0	0	0	725 (26)	50 (35)	26 (17)
[65-75[	7 243 (87)	314 (92)	111 (85)	1 797 (66)	88 (62)	112 (73)
[75-85[	1 035 (13)	28 (8)	20 (15)	214 (8)	5 (3)	16 (10)
Marital status						
Living alone	969 (12)	61 (18)	20 (16)	900 (33)	55 (38)	62 (41)
Education						
< High school	1 436 (18)	69 (20)	25 (19)	675 (25)	41 (29)	45 (31)
High school	4 755 (58)	217 (64)	86 (66)	1 623 (61)	81 (58)	86 (59)
University	1 956 (24)	51 (15)	20 (15)	381 (14)	18 (13)	15 (10)
Body Mass Index (kg/m²),						
mean ± sd	26.1 ± 3.0	35.3 ± 3.4	31.4 ± 4.1	24.5 ± 3.3	34.3 ± 4.0	32.4 ± 5.2
Normal category	3 101 (37)	1 (0)	4 (3)	1 621 (59)	0	9 (6)

Overweight category	4 324 (52)	5 (1)	42 (32)	930 (34)	14 (10)	31 (20)
Obese category	853 (10)	336 (98)	115 (65)	185 (7)	129 (91)	114 (74)
Obesity duration (years),						
mean ± sd	1.9 ± 5.2	18.4 ± 6.1	19.4 ± 6.2	$0.9 \pm 3.2$	14.7 ± 6.3	18.9 ± 7.2
Median (1st quartile, 3rd	0 (0, 0)	21 (13, 24)	23 (16, 24)	0 (0, 0)	15 (11, 19)	22 (16, 24)
quartile)						
10 years or more n (%)	733 (9)	308 (90)	115 (88)	102 (4)	116 (81)	132 (86)
Alcohol consumption						
Never more than light	2 260 (27)	84 (25)	51 (39)	1 017 (38)	62 (44)	79 (52)
drinker						
Current moderate or	2 753 (33)	107 (31)	26 (20)	691 (26)	29 (20)	26 (17)
heavy drinker						
Former moderate or	3 227 (39)	150 (44)	53 (41)	996 (37)	51 (36)	48 (31)
heavy drinker						
Tobacco consumption						
Non-smoker	2 673 (37)	56 (19)	24 (21)	1 500 (67)	70 (61)	84 (67)
Current smoker	503 (7)	26 (9)	8 (7)	173 (8)	7 (6)	6 (5)
Former smoker	3 975 (56)	211 (72)	81 (72)	569 (25)	37 (32)	36 (29)
Frailty status						
Non-frail	5 298 (64)	102 (30)	48 (37)	1 521 (56)	41 (29)	40 (26)

Pre-frail	2 669 (32)	188 (55)	67 (51)	1 006 (37)	61 (43)	72 (47)
Frail	311 (4)	52 (15)	16 (12)	209 (8)	41 (29)	42 (27)
Psychological difficulties						
Depressed, anxious or	1 217 (15)	53 (15)	20 (15)	871 (32)	55 (38)	59 (38)
stressed						
Chronic diseases						
Cardiovascular disease	752 (9)	47 (14)	27 (21)	22 (1)	1 (1)	4 (3)
Diabetes	918 (11)	125 (37)	70 (53)	134 (5)	26 (18)	49 (32)
Joint pain	3 179 (38)	164 (48)	57 (44)	1 473 (54)	99 (69)	99 (64)
Cancer	1 107 (13)	41 (12)	17 (13)	332 (12)	18 (13)	15 (10)

Table 4: Associations between frailty status and body size through life in GAZEL participants, using multinomial models.

		Men		Women	
		Pre-frailty	Frailty	Pre-frailty	Frailty
Model 1: <sup>A</sup>					
Among all participants					
BMI category in 2015					
Normal (ref.)					
Overweight	Unadjusted	1.41 [1.28 – 1.57]	1.18 [0.92 – 1.52]	1.54 [1.30 – 1.82]	2.20 [1.61 – 2.99]
	Adjusted*	1.38 [1.23 – 1.55]	1.11 [0.83 – 1.48]	1.55 [1.27 – 1.89]	1.79 [1.23 – 2.60]
People with obesity	Unadjusted	3.41 [2.96 – 3.93]	4.65 [3.51 – 6.16]	2.84 [2.22 – 3.64]	9.41 [6.72 – 13.2]
	Adjusted*	3.20 [2.72 – 3.77]	4.29 [3.07 – 6.01]	3.10 [2.32 – 4.16]	8.18 [5.36 – 12.5]
Model 2: <sup>B</sup>					
Among participants wi	th				
obesity					
Obesity duration + 1 year	Unadjusted	1.03 [1.01 – 1.04]	1.04 [1.01 – 1.07]	1.03 [1.00 – 1.06]	1.06 [1.02 – 1.10]

	Adjusted*	1.03 [1.01 – 1.05]	1.04 [1.00 – 1.08]	1.03 [0.99 – 1.08]	1.07 [1.02 – 1.13]
Model 3: <sup>c</sup>					
Among participants with					
overweight					
Former obesity: Yes vs No (ref.)	Unadjusted	1.58 [1.34 – 1.87]	3.08 [2.16 – 4.39]	1.34 [0.92 – 1.97]	2.98 [1.76 – 5.03]
	Adjusted*	1.52 [1.25 – 1.85]	3.00 [1.98 – 4.55]	1.20 [0.76 – 1.91]	2.05 [0.98 – 4.28]
Model 4: <sup>D</sup>					
Among all participants					
BMI trajectory					
(reference: cluster 1)					
Cluster 2: increasing BMI	Unadjusted	3.66 [2.86 – 4.68]	8.69 [6.10 – 12.4]	2.25 [1.50 – 3.37]	7.28 (4.61 – 11.5]
	Adjusted*	3.72 [2.81 – 4.93]	8.78 [5.73 – 13.5]	2.41 [1.50 – 3.87]	8.36 [4.62 – 15.1]
Cluster 3: consistently high BMI	Unadjusted	2.77 [1.91 – 4.03]	5.68 [3.19 -	2.72 [1.83 – 4.04]	7.64 [4.84 – 12.1]
			10.11]		
	Adjusted*	2.30 [1.51 – 3.49]	3.84 [1.93 – 7.64]	3.06 [1.89 – 4.94]	7.97 [4.39 – 14.5]

Results are expressed as unadjusted odds-ratio (top line) and adjusted odds-ratio (bottom line).

A: conducted on 8 751/7 392 men and 3 033/2 411 women in unadjusted/adjusted models respectively;

B: conducted on 1 174/960 men and 397/317 women in unadjusted/adjusted models respectively;

C: conducted on 4 145/3 513 men and 905/710 women in unadjusted/adjusted models respectively;

D: conducted on 8 751/7 392 men and 3 033/2 411 women in unadjusted/adjusted models respectively.

\*Adjusted models were adjusted for: age, education, marital status, tobacco and alcohol consumption, diabetes, joint pain, psychological problems, cancer and cardiovascular disease events (only for men). Models for women were not adjusted for cardiovascular disease due to insufficient event size.

BMI: Body Mass Index

**Figure 1:** Trajectories of body mass index with age in the GAZEL cohort, among men (panel A) and among women (panel B).

Panel A: among men.

Panel B: among women.