



HAL
open science

Durable and controlled depletion of neutrophils in mice

Gaël Boivin, Julien Faget, Pierre-Benoit Ancey, Aspasia Gkasti, Julie Mussard, Camilla Engblom, Christina Pfirschke, Caroline Contat, Justine Pascual, Jessica Vazquez, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Gaël Boivin, Julien Faget, Pierre-Benoit Ancey, Aspasia Gkasti, Julie Mussard, et al.. Durable and controlled depletion of neutrophils in mice. *Nature Communications*, 2020, 11 (1), pp.2762. 10.1038/s41467-020-16596-9 . inserm-02879178

HAL Id: inserm-02879178





<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-02879178v1>

Submitted on 23 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Durable and controlled depletion of neutrophils in mice

Gael Boivin^{1,2,3,7}, Julien Faget^{1,3,7}, Pierre-Benoit Ancey^{1,3}, Aspasia Gkasti^{1,3}, Julie Mussard⁴, Camilla Engblom⁵, Christina Pfirschke⁵, Caroline Contat^{1,3}, Justine Pascual^{1,3}, Jessica Vazquez^{1,3}, Nathalie Bendriss-Vermare ⁴, Christophe Caux⁴, Marie-Catherine Vozenin ^{2,3}, Mikael J. Pittet⁵, Matthias Gunzer ⁶ & Etienne Meylan ^{1,3}✉

Neutrophils are an essential part of the innate immune system. To study their importance, experimental studies often aim to deplete these cells, generally by injecting anti-Ly6G or anti-Gr1 antibodies. However, these approaches are only partially effective, transient or lack specificity. Here we report that neutrophils remaining after anti-Ly6G treatment are newly derived from the bone marrow, instead of depletion escapees. Mechanistically, newly generated, circulating neutrophils have lower Ly6G membrane expression, and consequently reduced targets for anti-Ly6G-mediated depletion. To overcome this limitation, we develop a double antibody-based depletion strategy that enhances neutrophil elimination by anti-Ly6G treatment. This approach achieves specific, durable and controlled reduction of neutrophils in vivo, and may be suitable for studying neutrophil function in experimental models.

¹Swiss Institute for Experimental Cancer Research, School of Life Sciences, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

²Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Department of Radiation Oncology, Department of Oncology, CHUV, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland. ³Swiss Cancer Center Léman, Lausanne, Switzerland. ⁴University of Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, INSERM 1052, CNRS 5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Cancer Research Center of Lyon, 69373 Lyon, France. ⁵Center for Systems Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital Research Institute and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114, USA. ⁶Institute for Experimental Immunology and Imaging, University Hospital, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany. ⁷These authors contributed equally: Gael Boivin, Julien Faget. ✉email: etienne.meylan@epfl.ch

With an estimated daily production of 1 billion cells/kg in the bone marrow, neutrophils are the most prevalent immune subset found in human blood¹. Neutrophilia was shown to correlate with a myriad of pathological situations, including auto-immunity, chronic infection or inflammation, wound healing and cancer^{2–5}. To decipher whether neutrophils contribute to these pathological events either positively or negatively, anti-neutrophil antibodies have been extensively used to deplete these cells in vivo^{6–9}. However, the two antibodies available, namely anti-Ly6G clone 1A8 (specific for the Ly6G protein) and anti-Gr1 clone RB6-8C5 (that recognizes both Ly6G and Ly6C), suffer some limitations.

Anti-Gr1 was the first available antibody to deplete neutrophils. It recognizes Ly6G, the most widely used marker of neutrophils, and Ly6C that is additionally expressed on monocytes, macrophages, T-cell subsets, eosinophils and small-vessel endothelial cells¹⁰. Anti-Gr1 is a rat IgG2b that induces cell death through complement-mediated membrane-complex attack¹¹.

Unlike anti-Gr1, anti-Ly6G has the advantage to target neutrophils specifically¹². However, it is a rat IgG2a that induces a Fc-dependent opsonization and phagocytosis of targeted cells¹³. Anti-Ly6G has commonly been associated with a lower efficiency than anti-Gr1 to deplete neutrophils, sometimes resulting in contradictory experimental results¹⁴.

Both antibodies display a short window of efficacy, as a “rebound” has been typically described after a few days of treatment both in infectious and cancer models^{6,15}. This rebound may rely on bone marrow response to peripheral loss¹⁶ (compensation), anti-rat antibody production by the treated mice¹⁵ (mitigation), limited depletion in peripheral tissue¹⁶ (low bioavailability) or induction of neutrophil production in the spleen¹⁶ (extra-medullary granulopoiesis).

Using an in vivo radioactive labelling methodology, Pillay et al.¹⁷ estimated that mouse neutrophils mature during 2.3 days (5.8 days in human) within the bone marrow, before they get released and circulate for 0.75 days (5.4 days in humans). The results regarding the bone marrow maturation time obtained with this approach corresponded to previous studies^{18,19}, however the peripheral transit time was 10 times greater than previously estimated for human neutrophils^{20–22}. According to Pillay et al., these latter approaches required ex vivo labelling, which may have impacted their activation status and circulating time in blood. This explanation remains controversial, as other authors have suggested alternative interpretations of their results²³. Although neutrophil peripheral lifespan remains debated, these studies indicate that the bone marrow maturation transit time under non-inflamed physiological conditions is greater than the circulating one.

Neutrophil production rate increases by a factor of 10 upon acute stress, stimulation with G-CSF or peripheral neutrophil loss¹. Although the observed phenotypes obtained with depletion strategies have always been attributed to the loss of neutrophils, with such tremendous dynamics, it appears important to further characterize the residual neutrophil fraction and assess whether it carries functionality.

In this work, we postulate that the residual neutrophil fraction or the nonspecific targeting can bias data interpretations from anti-Ly6G or anti-Gr1 based experiments and propose an optimized, standardized and neutrophil-specific depletion strategy to alleviate this ambiguity.

Results

Intracellular Ly6G staining overcomes surface unavailability. A known yet often overruled issue in cell depletion strategies is that of antigen masking, whereby an antibody used in vivo will prevent

the binding of the same antibody if used to detect the target cells. Specifically, the use of fluoro-labelled anti-Ly6G in flow cytometry staining results in low sensitivity and false negative measures. We hypothesized the intracellular amount of Ly6G would be sensitive enough to detect neutrophils independently from the treatment-induced limitations (Fig. 1a). First, we validated with the Image-Stream technology that both inner and outer Ly6G could be detected independently with an equal sensitivity and specificity on untreated mouse neutrophils, defined as CD45⁺Ly6G⁺CD11b⁺CD62L⁺ in the bone marrow (Fig. 1b). Then we validated our staining procedure on anti-Ly6G treated mice (Fig. 1c) and concluded that intracellular staining is a highly sensitive and specific mean to detect neutrophils upon depletion treatment despite membrane antigen masking. Other gating strategies, including Ly6C and side scatter (SSCa) can be used and have been reported in the literature, exemplified here using Catchup^{IVM-red} (Ly6g^{Cre-tom/wt}; ROSA26^{Rtom/wt}, C57BL/6) mice²⁴ whose neutrophils can be specifically identified based on tdTomato expression, however SSCa lacks specificity (Supplementary Fig. 1a–c).

Neutrophils upon anti-Ly6G have surface Ly6G paucity in vivo.

As the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) for Ly6G remained lower on anti-Ly6G/Gr1 treated mice despite adequate labelling, we asked whether this loss of signal was due to some technical labelling issue or was a real biological measure. Mice were treated for 9 days with anti-Ly6G and sacrificed. After bone marrow and blood collection, cells were resuspended in 10 µg of anti-Ly6G to saturate the membrane Ly6G antigen and subsequently stained with an anti-rat PE labelled antibody. In this setting, there is no antigen masking by definition as all the anti-Ly6G bound at the membrane will subsequently be revealed by the anti-rat PE antibody. We found a lower MFI for Ly6G upon anti-Ly6G treatment revealing a lower amount of membrane Ly6G at the protein level. On the same samples, previously split for RNA analysis, we found a trend toward increased *Ly6g* gene expression in the bone marrow (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a), while blood neutrophils had a low level of *Ly6g* mRNA expression in both treatment conditions suggesting the gene is mostly transcribed within the bone marrow. We conclude the residual fraction of neutrophils upon anti-Ly6G treatment has low level of membrane antigen.

Residual neutrophils are newly made cells. We sought to clarify whether anti-Ly6G is less efficient than anti-Gr1. Old C57BL/6 mice, which are the most refractory strain to anti-Ly6G (Supplementary Fig. 3a–d), were injected with BrdU 36 h before a single anti-Ly6G or anti-Gr1 injection and sampled 24 h after antibody delivery (Fig. 2b). Neutrophil prevalence in blood was reduced by 50 and 90% in the anti-Ly6G and anti-Gr1 groups, respectively (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2b). In each treatment condition the amount of BrdU-60h positive cells in the blood raised from 20 to >60% (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2b). Blood smear analysis confirmed residual neutrophils have lower amounts of nuclear segmentation in both treated groups, especially upon anti-Gr1 (Fig. 2c). We conclude that both anti-Ly6G and anti-Gr1 are efficient to remove neutrophils because the remaining circulating cells are newly made cells. However, this effect does not equivalently impact neutrophil prevalence in blood. We hypothesized the killing celerity of anti-Ly6G was inferior to neutrophil production in the bone marrow, because neutrophil prevalence is the product of cellular production rate (incidence) and lifespan.

Killing celerity optimization with anti-Ly6G isotype switch. Anti-Gr1 recognizes more antigens on the surface of neutrophils




Fig. 1 Intracellular Ly6G staining overcomes surface Ly6G unavailability. **a** Schematic representation of the antigen masking related issue. Upon anti-Ly6G treatment, the in vivo delivered antibody remains bound to the surface antigen even after sampling, impairing fluorochrome-labeled additional binding. In contrast, the intracellular antigen remains available. **b** (top) Representative image acquired with ImageStream of a neutrophil where the Ly6G antigen has been successively and specifically stained on the membrane then in the intracellular compartment. (down) Representative flow cytometry analysis emphasizing the specificity and sensitivity of the intracellular Ly6G staining. **c** Mice were treated for 24 h with an isotype control (left) or anti-Ly6G (right) antibody, after which the bone marrows were sampled. $CD45^+CD11b^+Ly6G^{intra+}$ neutrophils are shown in red. In the anti-Ly6G-treated group, cells were not detectable using the extracellular staining because of the antigen masking.

(Ly6G + Ly6C) and has a different isotype than anti-Ly6G. Anti-Ly6G is a rat IgG2a isotype, orthologous to the mouse IgG1 heavy chain, which has been shown to mediate neutrophil killing through Fc-dependent macrophage opsonization¹³. Anti-Gr1 is a rat IgG2b, orthologous to the mouse IgG2a heavy chain, expected to work through both classical and alternative complement pathways¹¹. We reasoned that the amount of membrane-bound antibody and the difference in isotype may have an impact on the killing celerity of each antibody. To investigate this, we combined the anti-Ly6G with a mouse IgG2a anti-rat antibody, each of which should recognize two anti-Ly6G molecules and mirror an “isotype switch” (Fig. 3a).

Standardization of anti-Ly6G based turnover induction. First, we tested whether the presence of an anti-rat secondary antibody neutralizes the anti-Ly6G. We injected mice with 100 µg of anti-rat, followed two hours later by an anti-Ly6G injection (reverse

(R)-Combo). After 24 h, we observed a strong depletion of neutrophils in blood and lung, indicating that anti-Ly6G manages to reach its target despite the presence of anti-rat antibodies (Supplementary Fig. 4). Next, we used the antigen masking issue as an advantage to indirectly measure the bioavailability of injected anti-Ly6G. 1.5 days after a single dose, neutrophils could be detected using intracellular Ly6G staining, while the membrane antigen was completely masked (Fig. 3b). The masking was partial 3 days after injection, suggesting the anti-Ly6G concentration in treated mice was too low to fully recover the corresponding epitope at the surface of neutrophils.

Mice treated with anti-Ly6G or a relevant isotype control develop anti-rat antibodies in the course of one week (Supplementary Fig. 5). These anti-antibodies cross-react with both primary antibodies, suggesting they target a shared antigen of the primary isotypes (Supplementary Fig. 5) and are not anti-idiotypic. We immunized mice with an isotype control 10 days




Fig. 2 Newly synthesized neutrophils upon anti-Ly6G have membrane Ly6G paucity. **a** Old (>20 weeks) C57BL/6 mice were treated with anti-Ly6G 50 µg daily for 9 days. After sampling, bone marrow and blood cells were re-incubated with 10 µg of anti-Ly6G to saturate the Ly6G antigen, and subsequently stained with a donkey anti-rat PE-labelled antibody to measure the whole amount of Ly6G antigen at the surface of neutrophils. MFI, mean fluorescence intensity. RNA levels for *Ly6g* on purified neutrophils from the same mice is also shown in the right panel. $n = 4$ control (Ctr) and 5 anti-Ly6G mice. **b** (left) Setting for experiments performed on C57BL/6 mice of >20 weeks. (right) Prevalence of CD45⁺CD11b⁺Ly6G^{intra+} neutrophils among total CD45⁺ immune cells and their respective BrdU-60h incorporation. **c** Neutrophil segmentation, which correlates with neutrophil aging, was analyzed on Giemsa-stained blood smears. $n = 5$ control (Ctr) and 4 treated mice. All the results from (b, c) were acquired from the same blood samples. * $p < 0.05$, from Mann-Whitney test; error bars represent s.d. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

before anti-Ly6G administration, to experimentally mimic the effect of an anti-rat antibody upon anti-Ly6G. In this setting, the epitope availability was rescued much faster after anti-Ly6G delivery (Fig. 3b). We conclude that anti-[anti-rat] antibodies accelerate the turnover of in vivo-delivered antibodies but do not impair the antigen recognition. Next, we combined anti-Ly6G

with the anti-rat antibody at isodoses. Corroborating the results in pre-immunized mice, 1.5 day later, residual neutrophils displayed partial masking, suggesting the Ly6G epitope was partially occupied by the antibody. Summarizing our results, anti-Ly6G should be injected daily to encompass a lower half-life that is artificially reduced in the combination setting and biologically




Fig. 3 Rationale for an optimized anti-Ly6G-based “Combo” depletion strategy. a Scheme introducing the “isotype switch” strategy to enhance the anti-Ly6G killing celerity. **b** Experimental plan to evaluate the impact of anti-antibodies on the ability of anti-Ly6G to bind the Ly6G antigen. Neutrophils from blood were gated as CD45⁺CD11b⁺Ly6G^{intra+}. The MFI of Ly6G extracellular was then measured. One can see that both anti-IgG immunized mice or mice treated with anti-Ly6G + anti-rat (MAR 18.5) antibodies have more Ly6G antigens available 1.5 days after anti-Ly6G injection. **c** According to the data obtained in (b), the ideal scheme for double antibody-based neutrophil depletion requires a daily injection of anti-Ly6G. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01 from Mann-Whitney test; error bars represent s.d. 5-7 control (Ctr) and 4-5 treated mice were used per group. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

reduced by the occurrence of anti-antibodies developed by treated mice. Additional experiments allowed us to diminish the dose of anti-Ly6G to 25 μ g to fully mask neutrophils even when mice were more than 30 g of weight. To spare a daily double injection on mice, we deliver the secondary antibody only once every other day according to our final protocol named “Combo” (Fig. 3c).

Combo specifically and durably reduces neutrophil prevalence. The ability of anti-Ly6G to reduce neutrophil prevalence is strain- and age-dependent and might be influenced by a tumoral status (see Supplementary Fig. 3). We challenged our protocol for 18 days in old C57BL/6 mice, the most difficult situation to achieve depletion. At the end of the experiment, the prevalence of neutrophils in the bone marrow was maintained (Fig. 4a, b). We




Fig. 4 Combo induces neutrophil turnover and reduces their prevalence. **a** Old C57BL/6 mice (>20 weeks) were treated with isotype control antibody (Ctr), anti-Ly6G or the combination strategy (Combo) for 18 days. 60 h before sampling, mice were injected once with 1 mg of BrdU intraperitoneally. **b** Neutrophil prevalence among all immune cells was assessed in bone marrow, blood, spleen and lung while the impact on their dynamic flow rate was measured with BrdU-60h labelling. Both anti-Ly6G and Combo treatment elicit a shift of BrdU neutrophils from the bone marrow stock to the peripheral tissue, but only the Combo treatment is “fast” enough to also reduce neutrophil prevalence. BM, bone marrow. **c,d** Validation of protocol efficacy with Truocount flow cytometry analysis in **(c)** blood and **(d)** lung tissue. **e** Scheme representing neutrophil counts in the circulation from a dynamic perspective, with the impact of increased flow rate on the global prevalence of neutrophils depending on the killing celerity of the depletion strategy. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ from Mann-Whitney test; error bars represent s.d. **b** $n = 7$ control (Ctr) and 5 treated mice per group. **c,d** $n = 5$ mice per group. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

found a significant lowering of the BrdU positive neutrophils in the bone marrow while this proportion was increased in the blood and tissue, suggesting neutrophils were faster mobilized from the bone marrow to the periphery upon treatment. Only Combo drastically reduced neutrophil prevalence in blood and lung tissue. In spleen, where basal neutrophil levels are inherently low, we did not detect a further reduction with Combo. Anti-Ly6G alone did not reduce neutrophil prevalence, even if BrdU positive neutrophils in the bone marrow, blood and lung suggested an increased mobilization from the bone marrow to the periphery (Fig. 4a, b). Furthermore, we measured an equivalent induction of granulopoiesis²⁵ with both strategies as soon as after one day of treatment (Supplementary Fig. 6a). To value the use of a combination approach instead of anti-Gr1 or anti-Ly6G alone, we verified that other Ly6C⁺ immune cells were unaffected by the treatment using regular or Truocount flow cytometry (Supplementary Figs. 6b–e and 7). We also validated using Truocount flow cytometry that Combo but not anti-Ly6G alone reduced, comparably to anti-Gr1, neutrophil counts per μl of blood or per μg of lung tissue (Fig. 4c, d and Supplementary Fig. 2c). Finally, we verified that Combo did not affect classical blood parameters, such as absolute white and red blood cell and platelet (Plt) counts, % of red blood cell hemoglobin, mean corpuscular volume (MCV) or platelet width (Supplementary Fig. 8). Altogether, these results confirm that anti-Ly6G is efficient to enhance neutrophil turnover but is not fast enough to mitigate the bone marrow response and reduce peripheral neutrophil counts (Fig. 4e). Prevalence reduction can only be achieved to an equivalent level as anti-Gr1 with Combo. This protocol will thus allow to isolate Ly6C-mediated effects of anti-Gr1.

Discussion

In this study, we propose a standardized and optimized anti-Ly6G based methodology to reduce neutrophil prevalence specifically both in circulating blood and tissue of interest. To do so, we showed that residual neutrophils upon anti-Ly6G are newly made cells as opposed to non-depleted cells, and display low amount of membrane Ly6G, which limits the whole amount of anti-Ly6G antibody at the surface of circulating neutrophils. Next we used an intracellular Ly6G-based staining method to detect neutrophils with high sensitivity and specificity while using membrane competition as an advantage to measure the ability of in vivo delivered anti-Ly6G to “find its target” at the surface of neutrophils. After we understood the kinetics of anti-Ly6G distribution and clearance, we proposed a double antibody-based method to efficiently reduce the neutrophil prevalence in a long-term way.

In 2015, a news feature pointed that the misuse of antibodies may be a major source of the “science non-reproducibility crisis”²⁶. Resources to target antigens with in vivo delivered antibodies for research are limited: as opposed to the ones used in clinical settings, the majority of antibodies available are from rat isotypes. Consequently, anti-rat antibodies developed by recipient mice are expected to occur. We learned from the clinics that antibodies from a xenogenic source have a short half-life (mur-omonab, murine anti-CD3 in human: half-life 18 h²⁷). Having a short half-life does not necessarily imply antibodies are neutralized: antibodies developed against the non-idiotypic fraction are expected to generate immune complexes and increase the speed of degradation of delivered antibodies (reduced bioavailability, common), while antibodies developed against the idiotypic fraction are expected to neutralize them by disabling the antigen-antibody interaction²⁸ (reduced efficiency, rare). In our setting, we found a cross-recognition of anti-antibodies against both anti-Ly6G and its relevant isotype control, suggesting the

response was targeting heavy chains sharing similar properties. Yet, because the treatment remained efficient in the combination setting, it also indicates that the anti-Ly6G was reaching its target. It implicates that any delivery of rat isotype antibodies in immunocompetent mice should be adjusted (starting from days 4 to 7) on a daily basis. For instance, injecting mice twice weekly may be sufficient the first week, but would result in “non-treated” periods of 5 days out of seven, which strengthens the need for optimized and standardized strategies. This fact may apply to many other antibody-based strategies.

In a previous work, we had proposed to inject anti-Ly6G less frequently and with a one-day delay before the anti-rat administration²⁹. However, this approach was not long-lasting and insufficient to reduce the neutrophil prevalence in tissue. A one-day delay may be too long to circumvent the bioavailability issue, especially in the context of a secondary anti-rat antibody. Thus, we recommend to use our optimized “Combo” developed in the present study.

Anti-anti-Ly6G IgMs are not responsible for the resistance to anti-Ly6G depletion. It was indeed shown that neutrophil rebound still occurs after 4 days of anti-Gr1 treatment despite adjuvant B cell depleting strategies mediated by chemotherapy, as well as with daily anti-Gr1 injection to circumvent the bioavailability issue¹⁵. Enhanced granulopoiesis has been previously reported with both anti-Ly6G/Gr1¹⁶ and therefore proposed as a mechanism of resistance to anti-Ly6G/Gr1 mediated depletion. However, anti-Ly6G has also been shown to be highly but transiently efficient in metastatic tumor models with high G-CSF driven granulopoiesis⁶. This implies enhanced granulopoiesis is not enough to intrinsically limit the anti-Ly6G efficacy. We found circulating cells upon anti-Ly6G had low levels of surface-bound anti-Ly6G, which coincides with a down-regulation of the *Ly6g* transcription that occurs upon neutrophil exit from the bone marrow. There are several predicted causes for this membrane paucity of Ly6G. (1) As Ly6G is expressed all along neutrophil maturation, the acceleration of neutrophil flow rate, as measured by the BrdU kinetics, may result in neutrophil exit before they reach full membrane maturation; (2) the selective pressure of the anti-Ly6G antibody may preferentially remove Ly6G^{high} cells; (3) anti-Ly6G ligation may induce antibody internalization with no recycling of the Ly6G antigen; and (4) circulating Fc γ R positive cells from a mono-granulocytic lineage may interact with the Ly6G/anti-Ly6G complex. Independently from the cause, the peripheral membrane paucity for Ly6G is likely to reduce the efficacy of anti-Ly6G.

The dynamics of neutrophil production and speed of differentiation are remarkable and respond to the need of constantly refurbishing a short-lived highly prevalent cell population¹. Additionally, it has recently been shown that neutrophils infiltrate multiple tissues where they instruct homeostatic and pathological conditions by contributing to circadian gene regulation³⁰, which strengthens the need to “take time seriously” to appreciate neutrophil physiology³¹.

It is important to implement these dynamics to fully interpret anti-Ly6G, anti-Gr1 and Combo experiments. The Ly6G antigen is expressed late in the neutrophil ontogeny, and is not found on neutrophil progenitors or during the mitotic phase of the lineage³². Accordingly, antibody-based strategies target differentiated non proliferative cells, but not the initial source of neutrophils that is further activated to compensate the peripheral loss¹⁶. After initial efficacy, none of these antibody strategies managed to mitigate the neutrophil pool within the bone marrow. The residual neutrophils from all these strategies showed an increased turnover, as they were found to be “younger”. The differential effect on peripheral prevalence, which is the product of cellular lifespan to cellular flow rate, in absence of bone marrow depletion, thus most

likely reflects a differential killing celerity. We chose the term “young” instead of “immature” to define residual circulating neutrophils, as the latter possibly carries a connotation of dysfunction, while poorly segmented young neutrophils in the context of infection for instance are functional and necessary to mitigate infection³³. We also propose to be careful about the term “depletion” and emphasize the “enhanced turnover”, because the residual neutrophil fraction might be an important component in antibody-based experiments. For example, it would be interesting to evaluate if this residual fraction displays equivalent granular composition, as their maturation is “age” dependent³¹. This is a critical difference with chemotherapy-induced neutropenia that results from a loss of progenitors³⁴, leading to agranulocytosis. Importantly, in this setting, mice infected with various pathogens die in the course of a week, while comparable experiments using anti-Ly6G/anti-Gr1 rarely induce lethality, but rather a delay in pathogen clearance^{35–38}. This may indicate that the residual fraction observed upon antibody-based depletion is sufficient to mitigate the severity of infection and thus be functionally relevant.

Methods

Mouse importation and housing conditions. All mouse experiments complied with all relevant ethical regulations for animal testing and research. Experiments from the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne were performed with the ethical approval of the Veterinary Authority of the Canton de Vaud, Switzerland (license number VD2391). Mouse experiments at Massachusetts General Hospital were performed according to approved IACUC guidelines. Wild type C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. KP1.9 tumors were injected intravenously as previously described³⁹. Mouse experiments performed at the Cancer Research Center of Lyon, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France were approved by the local Animal Ethic Evaluation Committee (CECCAPP: C2EA-15) and authorized by the French Ministry of Education and Research. Animals were maintained in a specific pathogen free (SPF) animal facility AniCan platform.

In vivo antibody-based treatments. Anti-Ly6G (clone 1A8, #BP0075-1), anti-Gr1 (clone RB6-8C5, #BE0075), anti-rat Kappa immunoglobulin (clone MAR 18.5, #BE0122) and corresponding isotype controls (#BP0290 and #BP0089) were all purchased from Bio X Cell and injected intraperitoneally following the schemes and dosage that are presented in the Figures or legends. When mice were sequentially injected with two antibodies, we respected 2 h delay between injections.

Flow cytometry. For flow cytometry analysis, single cell suspension was obtained after tissue macro-dissection, following a procedure described previously⁴⁰. All acquisitions were performed using the LSRII SORP (Becton Dickinson), a 5-laser and 18-detector analyzer at the EPFL Flow Cytometry Core Facility. Data analyses were performed using FlowJo X (FlowJo LLC ©). Trucount flow cytometry experiments were performed on 30 µl of total blood directly stained with antibodies washed into 2 ml of PBS then complemented with 25 µl of countBright absolute counting beads (C36950 Invitrogen) and resuspended into 2 ml of PBS before acquisition on a Attune NxT Flow Cytometer (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Combined intra versus extracellular staining for Ly6G. Samples were collected and surface stained with classical methods of flow cytometry labelling. After 3 washes, cells were fixed and permeabilized using the FoxP3 staining kit from eBioscience (#00-5523-00). Then, cells were incubated with anti-Ly6G or anti-Gr1 antibodies or adequate isotype control labelled with distinct fluorochromes from the surface staining in the permeabilization buffer for 15 min at 4 °C. Intracellular staining dilution should be twice less than membrane staining. Cells were washed twice in permeabilization buffer and fixed again for 5 min at room temperature. Cell pictures were acquired using an ImageStreamX Mark II.

BrdU injection and staining procedure. A concentration of 1 mg of BrdU (BD-552598, BD Biosciences) was injected into mice intraperitoneally at 10 mg ml⁻¹. BrdU labelling was performed according to the provider’s protocol. Labelled samples were acquired within 48 h.

Semi-quantitative ELISA. Serum of treated mice was collected after centrifugation of the collected blood (10 min 10,000 RPM). The semi-quantitative ELISAs were performed with the ab223588 kit (Abcam), but 96 well plates were coated with serum diluted at 1/25 with PBS.

Gene expression analysis. RNA for real-time PCR was extracted using TRIzol (15596018, ThermoFisher Scientific). RNA (1 µg) was used for reverse transcription using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (4368814, ThermoFisher Scientific). Real-time PCR was done using Taqman universal PCR master mix (4324018, ThermoFisher Scientific) and Taqman probes for the following genes: *Ly6g*: Mm04934123_m1; gene expression level normalization gene *Rpl30*: Mm01611464_g1 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Data were presented as $\Delta\Delta C_T$ values.

Survey of the scientific literature. We surveyed the scientific literature over a one-year period (April 2017 to March 2018) and found forty-five publications using the key words “neutrophils depletion” on PubMed, in which the authors reported efficient neutrophil depletion. One-third of these publications used anti-Gr1 and two-thirds anti-Ly6G. From each study, we noted the method used to validate neutrophil depletion, or if no validation was shown.

Statistical analysis. All results are presented as mean \pm s.d. or s.e.m. as indicated. Statistical analysis was performed using nonparametric Mann–Whitney test using Prism 6 software. Statistical significance is indicated as * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$, or ns (not significant).

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All relevant data are available in the main text, Source Data file and supplementary information, or upon reasonable requests to the authors.

Received: 3 March 2019; Accepted: 14 May 2020;

Published online: 02 June 2020

References

- Kolaczowska, E. & Kubers, P. Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **13**, 159–175 (2013).
- Kaplan, M. J. Role of neutrophils in systemic autoimmune diseases. *Arthritis Res Ther.* **15**, 219 (2013).
- Wilgus, T. A., Roy, S. & McDaniel, J. C. Neutrophils and wound repair: positive actions and negative reactions. *Adv. wound care* **2**, 379–388 (2013).
- Lecot, P. et al. Neutrophil heterogeneity in cancer: from biology to therapies. *Front Immunol.* **10**, 2155 (2019).
- de Oliveira, S., Rosowski, E. E. & Huttenlocher, A. Neutrophil migration in infection and wound repair: going forward in reverse. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **16**, 378–391 (2016).
- Coffelt, S. B. et al. IL17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells neutrophils Conspire to Promote breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* **522**, 345–348 (2015).
- Szczerba, B. M. et al. Neutrophils escort circulating tumour cells to enable cell cycle progression. *Nature* **566**, 553–557 (2019).
- Engblom, C. et al. Osteoblasts remotely supply lung tumors with cancer-promoting SiglecF neutrophils. *Science* <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal5081> (2017).
- Fridlender, Z. G. et al. Polarization of tumor-associated neutrophil (TAN) phenotype by TGF- β : “N1” versus “N2” TAN. *Cancer* **16**, 183–194 (2010).
- Fleming, T. J., Fleming, M. L. & Malek, T. R. Selective expression of Ly-6G on myeloid lineage cells in mouse bone marrow. RB6-8C5 mAb to granulocyte-differentiation antigen (Gr-1) detects members of the Ly-6 family. *J. Immunol.* **151**, 2399–2408 (1993).
- Füst, G., Medgyesi, G. A., Bazin, H. & Gergely, J. Differences in the ability of rat IgG subclasses to consume complement in homologous and heterologous serum. *Immunol. Lett.* **1**, 249–253 (1980).
- Daley, J. M., Thomay, A. A., Connolly, M. D., Reichner, J. S. & Albina, J. E. Use of Ly6G-specific monoclonal antibody to deplete neutrophils in mice. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **83**, 64–70 (2008).
- Bruhn, K. W., Dekitani, K., Nielsen, T. B., Pantapalangkoor, P. & Spellberg, B. Ly6G-mediated depletion of neutrophils is dependent on macrophages. *Results Immunol.* **6**, 5–7 (2016).
- Wojtasiak, M. et al. Depletion of Gr-1+, but not Ly6G+, immune cells exacerbates virus replication and disease in an intranasal model of herpes simplex virus type 1 infection. *J. Gen. Virol.* **91**, 2158–2166 (2010).
- Stephens-Romero, S. D., Mednick, A. J. & Feldmesser, M. The pathogenesis of fatal outcome in murine pulmonary aspergillosis depends on the neutrophil depletion strategy. *Infect. Immun.* **73**, 114–125 (2005).
- Moses, K. et al. Survival of residual neutrophils and accelerated myelopoiesis limit the efficacy of antibody-mediated depletion of Ly-6G⁺ cells in tumor-bearing mice. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **99**, 811–823 (2016).

17. Pillay, J. et al. In vivo labeling with 2H2O reveals a human neutrophil lifespan of 5.4 days. *Blood* **116**, 625–627 (2010).
18. Dancey, J. T. & Deubelbeiss, K. A. Harker and Finch LACA. Neutrophil kinetics in man. *J. Clin. Invest.* **58**, 705–715 (1976).
19. Price, T. H., Chatta, G. S. & Dale, D. C. Effect of recombinant granulocyte colony-stimulating factor on neutrophil kinetics in normal young and elderly humans. *Blood* **88**, 335–340 (1996).
20. Cartwright, G. E., Athens, J. W. & Wintrobe, M. M. The kinetics of granulopoiesis in normal man. *Blood* **24**, 780–803 (1964).
21. Lawrence, J. S., Ervin, D. M. & Wetrich, R. M. Life cycle of white blood cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Content* **144**, 284–296 (1945).
22. Cronkite, E. P. & Fliedner, T. M. Granulocytopoiesis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **270**, 1347–1352 (1964). CONTD.
23. Djenidi, F. et al. CD8+CD103+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are tumor-specific tissue-resident memory T cells and a prognostic factor for survival in lung cancer patients. *J. Immunol.* **194**, 3475–3486 (2018).
24. Hasenberg, A. et al. Catchup: a mouse model for imaging-based tracking and modulation of neutrophil granulocytes. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 445–452 (2015).
25. Pronk, C. J. H. et al. Elucidation of the phenotypic, functional, and molecular topography of a myeloerythroid progenitor cell hierarchy. *Cell Stem Cell* **1**, 428–442 (2007).
26. Baker, M. Reproducibility crisis: Blame it on the antibodies. *Nature* **521**, 274–276 (2015).
27. Hooks, M. A., Wade, C. S. & Millikan, W. J. Muromonab CD-3: a review of its pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and clinical use in transplantation. *Pharmacotherapy* **11**, 26–37 (1991).
28. Moots, R. J. et al. The impact of anti-drug antibodies on drug concentrations and clinical outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with adalimumab, etanercept, or infliximab: Results from a multinational, real-world clinical practice, non-interventional study. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0175207 (2017).
29. Faget, J. et al. Efficient and specific Ly6G+ cell depletion: a change in the current practices toward more relevant functional analyses of neutrophils. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/498881> (2018).
30. Casanova-Acebes, M. et al. Neutrophils instruct homeostatic and pathological states in naive tissues. *J. Exp. Med.* **215**, 2778–2795 (2018).
31. Reglero-real N. Leukocyte trafficking: time to take time seriously. *Immunity* **50**, 273–275 (2019).
32. Evrard, M. et al. Developmental analysis of bone marrow neutrophils reveals populations specialized in expansion, trafficking, and effector functions. *Immunity* **48**, 364–379.e8 (2018).
33. Honda, T., Uehara, T., Matsumoto, G., Arai, S. & Sugano, M. Neutrophil left shift and white blood cell count as markers of bacterial infection. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **457**, 46–53 (2016).
34. Zuluaga, A. F. et al. Neutropenia induced in outbred mice by a simplified low-dose cyclophosphamide regimen: characterization and applicability to diverse experimental models of infectious diseases. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **6**, 55 (2006).
35. Leendertse, M., Willems, R. J. L. & Giebelen, I. A. J. Roelofs JJTH, Bonten MJM, van der Poll T. Neutrophils are essential for rapid clearance of *Enterococcus faecium* in mice. *Infect. Immun.* **77**, 485–491 (2009).
36. Fischer, M. A. et al. CD11b+, Ly6G+ cells produce type I interferon and exhibit tissue protective properties following peripheral virus infection. *PLoS Pathog.* **7**, e1002374 (2011). Sutter G, ed.
37. Dunay, I. R., Fuchs, A. & Sibley, L. D. Inflammatory monocytes but not neutrophils are necessary to control infection with *Toxoplasma gondii* in mice. *Infect. Immun.* **78**, 1564–1570 (2010).
38. Sousa, L. M. A. et al. Neutrophils have a protective role during early stages of *Leishmania amazonensis* infection in BALB/c mice. *Parasite Immunol.* **36**, 13–31 (2014).
39. Pfirschke, C. et al. Immunogenic chemotherapy sensitizes tumors to checkpoint blockade therapy. *Immunity* **44**, 343–354 (2016).
40. Faget, J. et al. Neutrophils and snail orchestrate the establishment of a pro-tumor microenvironment in lung cancer. *Cell Rep.* **21**, 3190–3204 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPFL SV Flow Cytometry Core Facility for access to instruments and technical help. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (310030_179324), the ISREC Foundation, the Chercher et Trouver Foundation, the Nuovo Soldati Foundation (to G.B.), the MGH ECOR (Executive Committee on Research) Tosteson Postdoctoral Fellowship (to C.P.), NIH-R01-AI084880 (to M.J.P.), a postdoctoral fellowship from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (SPF20160936218) (to J.M.), the French National Cancer Institute INCa 2014-093, INCa 2017-158, the Ligue contre le cancer, and the LABEX DevWeCan.

Author contributions

Study design: G.B. and J.F.; manuscript writing: E.M. and G.B. Experiments from Figs. 1, 2b,c, 3, S2, S4, S5, S6b–e and S8: G.B.; experiments from Fig. 2a: G.B. and P.B.A.; experiments from Fig. 4: G.B., P.B.A., Ca.C. and J.P.; experiments from Fig. S1, S3a–b, S6a and S7: J.F. and A.G.; experiments from Fig. S3c: J.M., N.B.V. and C.C.; experiments from Fig. S3d: C.E., C.P. and M.J.P.; input for research: M.C.V. and M.J.P.; mouse colony management: J.V.; provision of Catchup^{IVM-red} mice: M.G.; all authors contributed to data interpretation and analysis; study supervision: E.M.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information


Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16596-9>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.M.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Andres Hidalgo and other, anonymous, reviewers for their contributions to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020