

# Which modifiable prenatal factors mediate the relation between socio-economic position and a child's weight and length at birth?

Morgane Ballon, Jérémie Botton, Anne Forhan, B de Lauzon-Guillain, Blandine Lauzon-guillain, Maria Melchior, Fabienne El Khoury, Aurelie Nakamura, Marie Aline Charles, Sandrine Lioret, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Morgane Ballon, Jérémie Botton, Anne Forhan, B de Lauzon-Guillain, Blandine Lauzon-guillain, et al.. Which modifiable prenatal factors mediate the relation between socio-economic position and a child's weight and length at birth?. Maternal and Child Nutrition, 2019, 15 (4), pp.e12878. 10.1111/mcn.12878. inserm-02461791

# HAL Id: inserm-02461791 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-02461791

Submitted on 30 Jan 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Which modifiable prenatal factors mediate the relation between socioeconomic position
- 2 and a child's weight and length at birth?
- 3 M Ballon<sup>1,2</sup>, J Botton<sup>1,2,3</sup>, A Forhan<sup>1,2</sup>, B de Lauzon-Guillain<sup>1,2,4</sup>, M Melchior<sup>5</sup>, F El
- 4 Khoury<sup>5</sup>, A Nakamura<sup>5</sup>, MA Charles<sup>1,2</sup>, S Lioret<sup>1,2</sup>\*, B Heude<sup>1,2</sup>\*
- 5 Author affiliations
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Paris Descartes University, Paris, France
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup>U1153 Centre of Research in Epidemiology and StatisticS (CRESS), EArly life Research on
- 8 later Health (EARoH), Villejuif, France
- <sup>3</sup>Faculty of pharmacy, université Paris-Sud, université Paris-Saclay, 92296 ChâtenayMalabry, France
- <sup>4</sup>INRA, U1125 Epidemiology and Biostatistics Sorbonne Paris Cité Center (CRESS), Early
- 12 life research on later health Team (EARoH), Paris, France
- <sup>5</sup>INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Pierre Louis Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health,
- 14 Department of Social Epidemiology, Paris, France
- 15 Corresponding author: Morgane Ballon, INSERM, UMR1153 Center of Epidemiology and
- 16 StatisticS (CRESS), Early life Research on later Health (EARoH), Bat Inserm, 16, avenue
- 17 Paul Vaillant Couturier, 94807 Villejuif Cedex France. morgane.ballon@inserm.fr; +033 1
- 18 45 59 51 78
- 19 \* S Lioret and B Heude contributed equally to this work.
- 20 Short running title: Mediators of the social gradient in birth size
- 21 Words count for the abstract: 212
- 22 Words count for the main body: 3,173
- 23 Number of references: 37

24 Number of tables: 3 Number of figures: 2

# 25 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives and 26 psychologists who recruited and followed them, and the whole EDEN team, including 27 research scientists, engineers, technicians and managers and especially Josiane Sahuquillo and 28 Edith Lesieux for their commitment and their role in the success of the study. We also 29 30 acknowledge the commitment of the members of the EDEN Mother-Child Cohort Study Group: I. Annesi-Maesano, J.Y. Bernard, J. Botton, M.A. Charles, P. Dargent-Molina, B. de 31 Lauzon-Guillain, P. Ducimetière, M. de Agostini, B. Foliguet, A. Forhan, X. Fritel, A. Germa, 32 V. Goua, R. Hankard, B. Heude, M. Kaminski, B. Larroque<sup>†</sup>, N. Lelong, J. Lepeule, G. 33 Magnin, L. Marchand, C. Nabet, F Pierre, R. Slama, M.J. Saurel-Cubizolles, M. Schweitzer, 34 35 O. Thiebaugeorges. We thank Jo Ann Cahn for her help in preparing the manuscript.

# **36 CONTRIBUTORS**

MB, SL, BH and JB conceived and designed the work, with advice from MAC. MB analyzed 37 38 the data with advice from BH, JB and SL. MB, BH and SL drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors interpreted the data and criticized the manuscript for important 39 intellectual content. MAC and BH designed and led the EDEN mother-child cohort. AF is 40 41 responsible for the EDEN data management. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. This article is the work of the authors. MB serves as guarantor for 42 the contents of this article. All authors had full access to all of the data (including statistical 43 reports and tables) in the study and take the responsibility for the integrity of the data and the 44 accuracy of the data analysis. All researchers are independent of the funding bodies. All 45 46 members in the EDEN mother-child cohort study group designed the study.

# 47 SOURCE OF FUNDING

48 - Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale

49	-	French Ministry of Research			
50	-	Institut Fédératif de Recherche and Cohort Program			
51	-	INSERM Nutrition Research Program			
52	-	French Ministry of Health Perinatal Program			
53	-	French Agency for Environment Security (AFFSET)			
54	-	French National Institute for Population Health Surveillance (INVS)			
55	-	Paris-Sud University			
56	-	French National Institute for Health Education (INPES)			
57	-	Nestlé			
58	-	Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale			
59	-	French Speaking Association for the Study of Diabetes and Metabolism (Alfediam)			
60	-	National Agency for Research (ANR nonthematic program)			
61	-	National Institute for Research in Public Health (IRESP TGIR Cohorte Santé 2008			
62		Program)			
63	The study sponsors were not involved in the study design, data collection, or data analyses.				
64	4 CONFLICT OF INTEREST				
65	No	ne of the authors had a conflict of interest.			
66					
67	4 h	buoristions: Pody Mass Inday (PMI)			
07	AU	breviations. Body Mass muex (BMI)			
08					
69					
70					
71					
72					

#### 73 Abstract

74 Although several studies have shown a positive association between socioeconomic position and size at birth, not enough is known about the modifiable factors that may be involved. We 75 aimed to investigate whether maternal prepregnancy body mass index (BMI), smoking, diet, 76 and depression during pregnancy mediate the positive association between maternal education 77 and birth size. Weight and length z-scores specific for gestational age and sex were calculated 78 79 for 1,500 children from the EDEN mother-child cohort. A mediation analysis of the associations between maternal education and birth size was conducted with a counterfactual 80 81 method, adjusted for recruitment center, parity, maternal height, and age. In the comparison of children of mothers with low vs. intermediate education levels, maternal smoking during 82 pregnancy explained 52% of the total effect of education on birth weight. Similar findings 83 were observed with birth length z-score (37%). The comparison of children of mothers with 84 high vs. intermediate education levels vielded a non-significant total effect, which masked 85 opposite mediating effects by maternal BMI and smoking during pregnancy on both birth 86 weight and length. Prepregnancy BMI and maternal smoking during pregnancy mediate the 87 positive association between maternal education and birth weight and length z-scores. These 88 mediators, however, act in opposite directions, thereby masking the extent to which healthy 89 90 prenatal growth is socially differentiated.

91

Key words: birth weight, birth length, maternal education, smoking, BMI, mediation analysis

92

93

#### 95 INTRODUCTION

96 The existence of social inequalities in growth and childhood overweight in high-income countries has been highlighted in recent years (Ballon et al., 2018; Barriuso et al., 2015; 97 Howe et al., 2012; McCrory et al., 2017). These inequalities are observed as early as the first 98 99 day of life, since positive associations have been described between socioeconomic position and both weight and length at birth (Ballon et al., 2018; Howe et al., 2012; Jansen et al., 2009; 100 Mortensen, Helweg-Larsen, & Andersen, 2011). It is also well known that a lower birth 101 weight, a marker of suboptimal fetal growth, is associated with a range of short- and long-102 term health issues, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity (D. J. Barker, 103 104 Osmond, Forsen, Kajantie, & Eriksson, 2005; Jornayvaz et al., 2016; Shenkin, Starr, & Deary, 2004; Zanetti et al., 2018). These findings suggest intergenerational transmission of health 105 inequalities between the mother and her offspring (Aizer & Currie, 2014; D. Barker, Barker, 106 107 Fleming, & Lampl, 2013). The development of interventions to prevent or reduce these social inequalities in health programming requires that the modifiable factors mediating the positive 108 association between socioeconomic position and birth weight be identified. 109

110 Some studies have shown that prepregnancy body mass index (BMI) and smoking during pregnancy mediate the association between socioeconomic position and birth weight (Gissler, 111 Merilainen, Vuori, & Hemminki, 2003; Jansen et al., 2009; van den Berg, van Eijsden, 112 Vrijkotte, & Gemke, 2012), but only one study has investigated the joint effects of both 113 factors on this birth outcome (Mortensen, Diderichsen, Smith, & Andersen, 2009). To our 114 115 knowledge, only one study has examined factors involved in the association between socioeconomic position and length or height (Galobardes et al., 2012). This study described 116 social inequalities for both prenatal and postnatal growth within a single longitudinal model. 117 However they did not seek to identify mediators of the association between socioeconomic 118 position and birth length specifically. Although weight and length at birth are strongly 119

correlated, their separate associations with socioeconomic position may differ by age and sex 120 121 (Ballon et al., 2018) and thus involve different mediators. Moreover, other prenatal factors remain to be studied. Indeed, healthy dietary patterns during pregnancy have been shown to 122 be positively associated with birth weight (Chia et al., 2019; Emmett, Jones, & Northstone, 123 124 2015). Conversely, unhealthy dietary patterns and maternal depression during pregnancy have been shown to be associated with lower birth weight (Chia et al., 2019; Field, 2011). 125 One study also reported a negative association between maternal depression and birth length 126 (Field, 2011). Given that social inequalities have been described for these three factors 127 (Emmett et al., 2015; Hein et al., 2014), the latter were considered as potential mediators of 128 129 the relation between socioeconomic position and birth size (i.e. weight and length).

Recently, advanced methods for mediation analyses have been developed. In particular, counterfactual approaches allow for causal inference based on observational data by estimating direct and indirect effects with more power than allowed by traditional approaches (Lange, Rasmussen, & Thygesen, 2013; VanderWeele & Vansteelandt, 2014). Among the various existing approaches, the counterfactual method proposed by Lange et al. (Lange et al., 2013) enables the simultaneous assessment of the mediating effect of several factors as well as the consideration of an exposure variable in more than two categories.

The objective of this paper was to investigate whether any of maternal prepregnancy BMI,
smoking, diet, and depression during pregnancy mediate the positive association between
maternal education level and offspring birth size, i.e., weight and length.

#### 140 **KEY MESSAGES**

141 - A positive association exists between socioeconomic position and birth weight, but less
142 research has been done on the prenatal factors involved

Prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy were shown to be important mediators
of the positive associations between maternal education and both birth weight and birth
length.

Other modifiable factors like dietary patterns and depressive symptoms were not shown
to mediate these associations.

These results suggest that promoting a healthy prepregnancy weight and preventing
 smoking during pregnancy are keys to addressing socioeconomic inequalities in healthy
 fetal growth.

151

#### 152 METHODS

# 153 Study design and participants

154 The EDEN mother-child cohort was designed to assess the pre- and postnatal determinants of children's growth, health, and development. This cohort includes 2,002 pregnant women 155 recruited in two French maternity hospitals (in Poitiers and Nancy) between 2003 and 2006. 156 Exclusion criteria were multiple pregnancies, known diabetes, illiteracy, and intention to give 157 birth elsewhere than these two university hospitals or to move outside the region within 3 158 years. Due to the mode of recruitment and the selective acceptance of participation, urban and 159 160 well-educated mothers are over-represented in the EDEN study compared to the national population (Heude et al., 2016). Details of the study protocol have been published elsewhere 161 (Heude et al., 2016). Both parents provided written consent. The ethics committee of the 162 Kremlin-Bicêtre Hospital approved this study, which was also submitted to the national 163 commission for data protection and liberties (CNIL). 164

165 Measurements

Data come from obstetric and pediatric records at birth, as well as from self-reported 166 167 questionnaires completed by the mothers and clinical examinations undertaken at different stages of follow-up. 168

169

# Socioeconomic position

170 Maternal education level, commonly studied in relation to child birth size (Jansen et al., 2009; McCrory et al., 2017; Mortensen et al., 2009) and less likely to be affected by childbearing 171 than income and occupation, was used as a proxy for socioeconomic position. Mothers were 172 asked to self-report their highest educational attainment at study inclusion. Education level 173 was categorized as low (did not complete high school), intermediate (high school diploma to 174 175 2-year university degree, reference category) and high (3-year university degree or more). Intermediate category was chosen as the reference category in order to better disentangle the 176 mediation process when comparing one category of level education to its adjacent one. 177

#### 178

## Child weight and length

At birth, weight and length were measured by midwives with an electronic scale (Seca Ltd) 179 and a somatometer (Testut). As measurement errors are common for birth length, we used 180 predicted length at birth, obtained from growth modeling of an average of 16 measurements 181 of length/height between birth and 5 years (Botton et al., 2014; Carles et al., 2016). For 182 children without predicted data available, measured length was used instead (7%). Birth 183 weight and length z-scores specific for gestational age and sex were calculated according to 184 Audipog references (Faculté de médecine RTH Laennec Lyon, 2008). 185

186 Candidate mediators

Women self-reported their prepregnancy weight at inclusion. Maternal height was measured 187 by research midwives with a wall stadiometer (Seca 206) during clinical examinations 188 conducted between 24 and 28 weeks of gestation. Prepregnancy BMI was calculated as 189 weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared and categorized as underweight ( $<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), 190

normal (>18.5 and <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (>25 and <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or obese (>30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) 191 192 (WHO). During the first visit with research midwives (between 24 and 28 weeks of gestation), mothers reported their daily cigarette consumption and smoking habits at the 193 beginning of pregnancy (smoking in the first trimester) and their smoking status at the time of 194 195 the visit (smoking in the second trimester). After delivery, research midwives collected similar information for smoking at the end of the third trimester of pregnancy (third trimester 196 smoking status). All this information was combined into one variable categorized as non-197 smokers, smokers only in the first trimester, and smokers throughout pregnancy. Depressive 198 symptoms during pregnancy were assessed with the 20-item Center for Epidemiology Studies 199 200 Depression Scale (CES-D) (Radloff, 1977). Each response was coded between 0 and 3 points, then summed into a depressive symptoms score (ranging from 0-60). Different cut-offs, 201 ranging from 16 to 23 (Henry, Grant, & Cropsey, 2018; Radloff, 1977; Vilagut, Forero, 202 203 Barbaglia, & Alonso, 2016), have been proposed to detect individuals with probable depression. We chose the threshold of 23 to define women with depressive symptoms, as 204 205 suggested by a validation study for the French population (Fuhrer & Rouillon, 1989). 206 Maternal diet in the last trimester of pregnancy was assessed during the maternity ward stay 207 after delivery by a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (Deschamps et al., 2009). The 137 standardized items from the FFQ have previously been synthesized by principal 208 component analysis into two dietary patterns (Yuan et al., 2017): the so-called Healthy dietary 209 pattern, characterized by a high intake of fruit, vegetables, fish, and whole grains, whereas the 210 211 Western dietary pattern was characterized by a high intake of processed and snacking foods. These variables were considered to be continuous scores reflecting adherence to each dietary 212 pattern. 213

214 Other variables

Gestational age, maternal age, and parity were collected at birth from medical records.
Preterm birth (yes/no) was defined by a gestational age <37 weeks of gestation.</li>

#### 217 **Population studied**

Of the 1,907 children included in the EDEN cohort, 407 were excluded because of missing values for any of the variables of interest, (i.e., outcomes, exposure, mediators, and confounders). The final sample thus included 1,500 children.

### 221 Statistical methods

Participants included in the analysis were compared to those who were not included.
Characteristics of the study population were described at birth according to maternal
education level. The bivariate statistical analyses used chi-square tests, correlations, and
ANOVA analyses as appropriate.

The counterfactual method developed by Lange et al. (Lange et al., 2013), based on marginal 226 227 structural models, was used to conduct the mediation analysis. This allowed us to break down the total effect of maternal education level on birth size (i.e., weight and length z-scores) into 228 natural direct and indirect effects through the candidate mediators. Based on this method, the 229 total effect can be considered as the change in z-score that would be observed if, for example, 230 maternal education level could change (e.g., from intermediate to high). The natural direct 231 effect can be considered the difference in birth z-scores for a given change in education level 232 (e.g., from intermediate to high), keeping mediators at the value they naturally take when 233 maternal education is unchanged (e.g., at the intermediate level). The natural indirect effect is 234 235 the difference in birth z-scores when maternal education remains unmodified, but the mediators change to the value they would naturally take if maternal education were to change 236 (e.g., from intermediate to high). The validity of this statistical method depends on whether or 237 not it satisfies specific hypotheses. First, for a given mediator, there should be no unmeasured 238 confounders in associations between: 1) exposure and outcome, 2) mediator and outcome, 3) 239

exposure and mediator, 4) mediator and outcome conditional on the exposure. Second, nocausal associations should exist between the mediators.

To select candidate mediators of the association between maternal education and birth 242 outcomes, we first conducted separate mediation analyses for each of the following potential 243 244 mediators, selected *a priori* as most likely to explain this relation: maternal prepregnancy BMI, smoking, depressive symptoms, and maternal dietary patterns during pregnancy. We 245 checked that they were independent of each other, conditional on the exposure and the 246 confounders in our sample, by running a multiple regression model of one mediator on the 247 others, adjusting for maternal education and the confounders. When a statistically significant 248 249 association was observed between candidate mediators, we used the residual method to obtain independent variables and verify the model's assumptions, by generating new variables as the 250 residuals of the regression of one mediator on the others. Simple mediation analyses were 251 252 then conducted with these new variables. All individually significant mediators were next included in two multivariable marginal structural models to assess how they jointly mediated 253 the association between maternal education and each of the birth weight and length z-scores. 254 255 To obtain robust 95% confidence intervals, we used a bootstrap approach with 5,000 replications. Analyses were adjusted for center (i.e., Nancy or Poitiers), parity, maternal 256 height, and age. 257

Because analyses were conducted on the database with no missing data for any of the five mediators (Population B, **Figure 1**), the first sensitivity analysis used the database with no missing data for the mediators selected for the final multivariable model (Population A, **Figure 1**). A second sensitivity analysis excluded 84 preterm infants from the sample (Population C, **Figure 1**). SAS v9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, US) was used for all but the mediation analyses, which were run under R v3.4.2 as proposed by Lange et al. (Lange et al., 264 2013). Graphs were also plotted with R v3.4.2. Statistical significance was defined at  $P \le$  0.05.

266 **RESULTS** 

## 267 **Population characteristics**

Mothers excluded from the analysis (n=407) had lower education levels and were more likely to have experienced depressive symptoms or to be underweight or obese than those who were included (low education level: 42.0% vs. 25.5%; depressive symptoms: 13.8% vs. 7.7%; underweight: 11.2% vs. 8.0%; obesity: 12.3% vs. 7.9%). No statistically significant differences were observed in birth weight and length z-scores between those included and excluded.

There was a positive gradient between maternal education level and both the mother's age and height and the z-scores for the child's birth weight and length (**Table 1**). All candidate mediators except depressive symptoms were strongly associated with both maternal education level and birth size z-scores (**Tables 1 & 2**).

# 278 Simple mediation analysis

Prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy were mediators of the association between 279 maternal education and birth weight and length; the Western dietary pattern was a mediator of 280 the association with birth length only (Table 3). Since this dietary pattern was strongly 281 282 associated with prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy, we performed an additional simple mediation analysis by using the residuals of its regression on BMI, smoking 283 during pregnancy, and confounders, as a new mediator. Because this new variable, which 284 285 assessed variability in the Western dietary pattern independent of other variables, was not significant, the multiple mediation analyses used only prepregnancy BMI and smoking during 286 pregnancy. 287

# 288 Multiple mediation analyses of birth weight and length

The multiple mediation analysis of birth weight showed that the total effect of maternal education level on birth weight in children of mothers with a low, compared with an intermediate, education level was negative, with a -0.14 difference in z-scores (**Figure 2**). The natural indirect effect through smoking was also negative, with a -0.07 difference. There was no significant natural direct or indirect effect through prepregnancy BMI. Smoking during pregnancy mediated 52% of this relation. Similar findings were observed with birth length, although the percentage of mediation was lower (37%, **Figure 2**).

The comparison of children from mothers with high and with intermediate education levels showed no total or direct effect of maternal education level on birth weight or length. There were, however, significant natural indirect effects through smoking and BMI and they worked in opposite directions for both birth weight and length (0.03 difference in z-score: positive and negative, respectively); this difference explains the absence of a total effect.

### 301 Sensitivity analyses

Results were on the whole consistent when analyses were performed for the complete database (Population B) for all mediators or repeated for the database with no missing items on smoking and BMI only (Population A, results not shown but available on request). Results remained consistent after the exclusion of children born preterm.

# 306 **DISCUSSION**

307 This study, using a validated method to assess multiple mediation, provides new and 308 comprehensive insights into modifiable prenatal mediators of the social gradient in birth size.

# 309 Mediators of birth weight and length

Dietary patterns and depressive symptoms during pregnancy did not explain the association between maternal education level and birth size in this study. To our knowledge, no others have investigated these two candidate mediators, although this apparent lack of literature may reflect publication bias. Moreover, dietary patterns and depressive symptoms may be subject to stronger measurement errors than prepregnancy BMI and smoking, which might have reduced statistical power for these two candidate mediators. Indeed, CES-D measure is a screening tool but not a diagnosis and dietary patterns were assessed through dietary intake during the last trimester of pregnancy, which could led to a recall bias. Further research is needed to confirm or infirm our findings. Perhaps stress during pregnancy, rather than depression, and energy intake, rather than diet during pregnancy, explain the association between maternal education and birth size.

Consistent with other studies (Jansen et al., 2009; Mortensen et al., 2009; van den Berg et al., 321 2012), smoking during pregnancy mediated about 52% of the association between maternal 322 323 education and birth weight in the comparison of children of mothers with low, compared with intermediate, education levels. The pattern of mediation was different when we compared 324 high vs. intermediate education levels: prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy 325 326 mediated the association of interest, but their indirect effects were in opposite directions and thus cancelled each other out. Mortensen et al. also found that prepregnancy BMI and 327 smoking during pregnancy mediated in opposite directions across the entire gradient (and did 328 329 not differ whether low or high education levels were compared to the intermediate level) (Mortensen et al., 2009). Consistent findings for mediation observed with birth length are 330 noteworthy in our study, which adds a novel and more comprehensive perspective into the 331 social patterning of birth size. 332

The method proposed by Lange et al. (Lange et al., 2013) enabled us to investigate maternal education in three categories and observe differential effects in these three groups. However, the lack of any mediating effect by prepregnancy BMI between the groups with low and intermediate maternal education must be confirmed in cohorts with greater social variability. The women in these two subgroups of the Eden cohort were very similar in terms of prepregnancy BMI.

The fact that prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy cancelled each other out 339 340 should not be taken lightly. It means that a baby born to an overweight mother who smokes has the same birth weight and length as another whose non-smoking mother has a normal 341 weight. In the first case, birth size would be the result of growth restriction due to exposure to 342 343 smoking, masked by excess growth due to maternal obesity (6% of the EDEN women combined these two characteristics) and misleadingly suggests that their children's growth is 344 optimal, when it is not. For any given birth size, the distinct causes producing them are 345 expected to affect later growth, development, and health differentially. Future studies, 346 investigating metabolic biomarkers in cord blood, might provide evidence supporting (or 347 348 contradicting) this hypothesis.

# 349 **Potential explanation of mechanisms involved**

Smoking during pregnancy may affect birth size through different mechanisms. It could lead 350 351 to vasoconstriction and to higher maternal and fetal bloods levels of carboxyhemoglobin (Wickstrom, 2007), which are responsible for fetal hypoxia. Exposure to smoking during 352 pregnancy is also suspected of modifying regulation of fetal gene expression, by altering 353 354 DNA methylation and microRNA expression (Knopik, Maccani, Francazio, & McGeary, 2012). Maternal overweight or obesity is associated with higher birth size of her offspring 355 (Gaudet, Ferraro, Wen, & Walker, 2014). Mothers with higher BMI have higher levels of 356 circulating blood glucose (Harmon et al., 2011) and lipids, so that more of these nutrients are 357 available for the fetus. Increased insulin secretion by the fetal pancreas in response to glucose 358 359 in turn accelerates fetal growth (Group, 2009).

360 It is noteworthy that prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy are modifiable 361 factors. As two reviews have shown, effective strategies already exist to reduce maternal BMI 362 and smoking (Johnson et al., 2016; Lancaster, Stead, Silagy, & Sowden, 2000), and the 363 consistency of our findings across birth weight and length suggests that promoting healthy weight or preventing smoking during pregnancy in future mothers is likely to favorably andsimultaneously affect the offspring's birth weight and length.

#### 366 Limitations and strengths

Study limitations include our inability to consider all potential mediators, thus we did not 367 368 fully explain the relation between maternal education and birth size. For example, we did not take health care utilization into account, although it is hypothesized to improve fetal 369 monitoring and help prevent fetal growth anomalies. Mothers from the EDEN cohort were, 370 however, very homogeneous in this respect given the mode of inclusion at the maternity ward 371 before 24 weeks of gestation. Maternal stress during pregnancy and passive smoking (due to 372 373 paternal smoking), unmeasured in the current study, are other relevant mediators to explore. 374 Classification of prepregnany BMI relies on self-reported weight. Although women are likely to under-estimate their prepregnancy weight, and more importantly when overweighed or 375 376 obese (Bannon et al., 2017), previous studies have suggested that classification of prepregnancy BMI remains usually unchanged (Bannon et al., 2017; Holland, Moore Simas, 377 Doyle Curiale, Liao, & Waring, 2013). Moreover, validity of prepregnancy weight was 378 379 previously validated within the EDEN study using multiple pregnancy weights collected all over pregnancy (Diouf et al., 2014). The presence of selection bias at inclusion, as is often the 380 case in cohort studies, has implications for the generalization of our findings. We can 381 hypothesize that a better representation of disadvantaged families at baseline would have 382 provided more variability and therefore more power to address the study objectives. Further 383 384 research is needed to confirm our findings on more datasets with greater social variability. The method we used to conduct mediation analyses is validated and relevant. To our 385 knowledge, no dedicated codes to conduct multiple imputation have however been developed 386 to address missing data. Although there are about 20% missing data in the principal analysis, 387 sensibility analysis on Population B, which includes only 6% missing data, led to the same 388

results. Furthermore, the Lange method relies on several assumptions. Residual confounding 389 390 not taken into account cannot be ruled out; prepregnancy BMI and smoking during pregnancy might be proxies for other factors related to unhealthy lifestyles. Our data nonetheless met the 391 principal assumption necessary for using this method: maternal smoking and prepregnancy 392 393 BMI were conditionally independent of maternal education level. Examining the stability of estimates across the one-by-one mediation analysis, multiple mediation, and sensitivity 394 analyses shows that the results appear robust. Moreover, a clear strength of our study is that 395 data are from a prospective birth cohort and can thus identify mediators of the longitudinal 396 397 association between maternal education level and birth parameters. To our knowledge, this 398 study, as the first to investigate birth length, provides new insights into the existing literature. 399 Finally, unlike most mediation analyses, we investigated maternal education level in three categories to examine the social gradient in birth size in more detail. 400

# 401 CONCLUSION

Among the modifiable factors examined, dietary patterns and depressive symptoms did not 402 403 mediate the positive association between maternal education and birth weight and length 404 while prepregnancy BMI and maternal smoking during pregnancy did so. The latter two factors, however, act in opposite directions and thus mask the extent to which prenatal growth 405 is socially differentiated. Although these original findings need to be replicated in more 406 407 socially diverse samples, they suggest that promoting a healthy prepregnancy weight and preventing smoking during pregnancy are keys to addressing socioeconomic inequalities in 408 healthy fetal growth and thereby attenuating the intergenerational transmission of 409 socioeconomic health inequalities. 410

# **REFERENCES**

413	Aizer, A., & Currie, J. (2014). The intergenerational transmission of inequality: maternal disadvantage
414	and health at birth. <i>Science</i> , <i>344</i> (6186), 856-861. doi:10.1126/science.1251872
415	Ballon, M., Botton, J., Charles, M. A., Carles, S., de Lauzon-Guillain, B., Forhan, A., Group, E. MC.
416	C. S. (2018). Socioeconomic inequalities in weight, height and body mass index from birth to
417	5 years. Int J Obes (Lond), 42(9), 1671-1679. doi:10.1038/s41366-018-0180-4
418	Bannon, A. L., Waring, M. E., Leung, K., Masiero, J. V., Stone, J. M., Scannell, E. C., & Moore Simas, T.
419	A. (2017). Comparison of Self-reported and Measured Pre-pregnancy Weight: Implications
420	for Gestational Weight Gain Counseling. <i>Matern Child Health J, 21</i> (7), 1469-1478.
421	doi:10.1007/s10995-017-2266-3
422	Barker, D., Barker, M., Fleming, T., & Lampl, M. (2013). Developmental biology: Support mothers to
423	secure future public health. Nature, 504(7479), 209-211.
424	Barker, D. J., Osmond, C., Forsen, T. J., Kajantie, E., & Eriksson, J. G. (2005). Trajectories of growth
425	among children who have coronary events as adults. N Engl J Med, 353(17), 1802-1809.
426	doi:10.1056/NEJMoa044160
427	Barriuso, L., Miqueleiz, E., Albaladejo, R., Villanueva, R., Santos, J. M., & Regidor, E. (2015).
428	Socioeconomic position and childhood-adolescent weight status in rich countries: a
429	systematic review, 1990-2013. BMC Pediatr, 15, 129. doi:10.1186/s12887-015-0443-3
430	Botton, J., Scherdel, P., Regnault, N., Heude, B., Charles, M. A., & Group, E. MC. C. S. (2014).
431	Postnatal weight and height growth modeling and prediction of body mass index as a
432	function of time for the study of growth determinants. Ann Nutr Metab, 65(2-3), 156-166.
433	doi:10.1159/000362203
434	Carles, S., Charles, M. A., Forhan, A., Slama, R., Heude, B., Botton, J., & group, E. m. c. s. (2016). A
435	Novel Method to Describe Early Offspring Body Mass Index (BMI) Trajectories and to Study
436	Its Determinants. PLoS One, 11(6), e0157766. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157766
437	Chia, A. R., Chen, L. W., Lai, J. S., Wong, C. H., Neelakantan, N., van Dam, R. M., & Chong, M. F.
438	(2019). Maternal Dietary Patterns and Birth Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-
439	Analysis. Adv Nutr. doi:10.1093/advances/nmy123
440	Deschamps, V., de Lauzon-Guillain, B., Lafay, L., Borys, J. M., Charles, M. A., & Romon, M. (2009).
441	Reproducibility and relative validity of a food-frequency questionnaire among French adults
442	and adolescents. <i>Eur J Clin Nutr, 63</i> (2), 282-291. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602914
443	Diouf, I., Botton, J., Charles, M. A., Morel, O., Forhan, A., Kaminski, M., Group, E. S. (2014).
444	Specific role of maternal weight change in the first trimester of pregnancy on birth size.
445	Matern Child Nutr, 10(3), 315-326. doi:10.1111/j.1740-8709.2012.00423.x
446	Emmett, P. M., Jones, L. R., & Northstone, K. (2015). Dietary patterns in the Avon Longitudinal Study
447	of Parents and Children. Nutr Rev, 73 Suppl 3, 207-230. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuv055
448	Faculté de médecine RTH Laennec Lyon. (2008). AUDIPOG.
449	Field, T. (2011). Prenatal depression effects on early development: a review. Infant Behav Dev, 34(1),
450	1-14. doi:10.1016/j.infbeh.2010.09.008
451	Fuhrer, R., & Rouillon, F. (1989). La version française de l'échelle CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic
452	Studies-Depression Scale). Description et traduction de l'échelle d'auto-évaluaion. Psychiatry
453	Psychobiol, 163-166.
454	Galobardes, B., McCormack, V. A., McCarron, P., Howe, L. D., Lynch, J., Lawlor, D. A., & Smith, G. D.
455	(2012). Social inequalities in height: persisting differences today depend upon height of the
456	parents. PLoS One, 7(1), e29118. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029118
457	Gaudet, L., Ferraro, Z. M., Wen, S. W., & Walker, M. (2014). Maternal obesity and occurrence of fetal
458	macrosomia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Biomed Res Int, 2014</i> , 640291.
459	doi:10.1155/2014/640291

- Gissler, M., Merilainen, J., Vuori, E., & Hemminki, E. (2003). Register based monitoring shows
  decreasing socioeconomic differences in Finnish perinatal health. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, *57*(6), 433-439.
- Group, H. S. C. R. (2009). Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study: associations
  with neonatal anthropometrics. *Diabetes, 58*(2), 453-459. doi:10.2337/db08-1112
- Harmon, K. A., Gerard, L., Jensen, D. R., Kealey, E. H., Hernandez, T. L., Reece, M. S., . . . Bessesen, D.
  H. (2011). Continuous glucose profiles in obese and normal-weight pregnant women on a
  controlled diet: metabolic determinants of fetal growth. *Diabetes Care, 34*(10), 2198-2204.
  doi:10.2337/dc11-0723
- Hein, A., Rauh, C., Engel, A., Haberle, L., Dammer, U., Voigt, F., . . . Goecke, T. W. (2014).
  Socioeconomic status and depression during and after pregnancy in the Franconian Maternal
  Health Evaluation Studies (FRAMES). *Arch Gynecol Obstet, 289*(4), 755-763.
  doi:10.1007/s00404-013-3046-y
- Henry, S. K., Grant, M. M., & Cropsey, K. L. (2018). Determining the optimal clinical cutoff on the CESD for depression in a community corrections sample. *J Affect Disord*, 234, 270-275.
  doi:10.1016/j.jad.2018.02.071
- Heude, B., Forhan, A., Slama, R., Douhaud, L., Bedel, S., Saurel-Cubizolles, M. J., . . . group, E. m.-c. c.
  s. (2016). Cohort Profile: The EDEN mother-child cohort on the prenatal and early postnatal
  determinants of child health and development. *Int J Epidemiol*, 45(2), 353-363.
  doi:10.1093/ije/dyv151
- Holland, E., Moore Simas, T. A., Doyle Curiale, D. K., Liao, X., & Waring, M. E. (2013). Self-reported
  pre-pregnancy weight versus weight measured at first prenatal visit: effects on
  categorization of pre-pregnancy body mass index. *Matern Child Health J, 17*(10), 1872-1878.
  doi:10.1007/s10995-012-1210-9
- Howe, L. D., Tilling, K., Galobardes, B., Smith, G. D., Gunnell, D., & Lawlor, D. A. (2012).
  Socioeconomic differences in childhood growth trajectories: at what age do height inequalities emerge? *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 66(2), 143-148.
  doi:10.1136/jech.2010.113068
- Jansen, P. W., Tiemeier, H., Looman, C. W., Jaddoe, V. W., Hofman, A., Moll, H. A., . . . Raat, H. (2009).
   Explaining educational inequalities in birthweight: the Generation R Study. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol, 23*(3), 216-228. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3016.2009.01023.x
- Johnson, M., Backman, D., Kohatsu, N., Stewart, O., Abbott, R., Yu, Z., & Lee, P. (2016). Interventions
   for Reducing Body Mass Index and Other Weight-related Indicators: A Review of Systematic
   Reviews. Institute for population health improvement, 10.
- Jornayvaz, F. R., Vollenweider, P., Bochud, M., Mooser, V., Waeber, G., & Marques-Vidal, P. (2016).
  Low birth weight leads to obesity, diabetes and increased leptin levels in adults: the CoLaus
  study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*, *15*, 73. doi:10.1186/s12933-016-0389-2
- Knopik, V. S., Maccani, M. A., Francazio, S., & McGeary, J. E. (2012). The epigenetics of maternal
  cigarette smoking during pregnancy and effects on child development. *Dev Psychopathol*,
  24(4), 1377-1390. doi:10.1017/S0954579412000776
- Lancaster, T., Stead, L., Silagy, C., & Sowden, A. (2000). Effectiveness of interventions to help people
   stop smoking: findings from the Cochrane Library. *BMJ*, *321*(7257), 355-358.
- Lange, T., Rasmussen, M., & Thygesen, L. C. (2013). Assessing natural direct and indirect effects
   through multiple pathways. *American journal of epidemiology*, *179*(4), 513-518.
- McCrory, C., O'Leary, N., Fraga, S., Ribeiro, A. I., Barros, H., Kartiosuo, N., . . . Lifepath, C. (2017).
   Socioeconomic differences in children's growth trajectories from infancy to early adulthood:
   evidence from four European countries. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, *71*(10), 981-989.
   doi:10.1136/jech-2016-208556
- Mortensen, L. H., Diderichsen, F., Smith, G. D., & Andersen, A. M. (2009). The social gradient in
   birthweight at term: quantification of the mediating role of maternal smoking and body mass
   index. *Hum Reprod*, 24(10), 2629-2635. doi:10.1093/humrep/dep211

- Mortensen, L. H., Helweg-Larsen, K., & Andersen, A. M. (2011). Socioeconomic differences in
  perinatal health and disease. *Scand J Public Health*, *39*(7 Suppl), 110-114.
  doi:10.1177/1403494811405096
- Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general
   population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, *1*, 385-401.
- 516 Shenkin, S. D., Starr, J. M., & Deary, I. J. (2004). Birth weight and cognitive ability in childhood: a 517 systematic review. *Psychol Bull, 130*(6), 989-1013. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.130.6.989
- van den Berg, G., van Eijsden, M., Vrijkotte, T. G., & Gemke, R. J. (2012). Educational inequalities in
   perinatal outcomes: the mediating effect of smoking and environmental tobacco exposure.
   *PLoS One, 7*(5), e37002. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037002
- VanderWeele, T. J., & Vansteelandt, S. (2014). Mediation Analysis with Multiple Mediators. *Epidemiol Methods, 2*(1), 95-115. doi:10.1515/em-2012-0010
- Vilagut, G., Forero, C. G., Barbaglia, G., & Alonso, J. (2016). Screening for Depression in the General
   Population with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D): A Systematic
   Review with Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One, 11*(5), e0155431. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155431
- 526 WHO. Body mass index. <u>http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/nutrition/a-</u>
   527 <u>healthy-lifestyle/body-mass-index-bmi</u>.
- Wickstrom, R. (2007). Effects of nicotine during pregnancy: human and experimental evidence. *Curr Neuropharmacol, 5*(3), 213-222. doi:10.2174/157015907781695955
- Yuan, W. L., Nicklaus, S., Lioret, S., Lange, C., Forhan, A., Heude, B., . . . de Lauzon-Guillain, B. (2017).
  Early factors related to carbohydrate and fat intake at 8 and 12 months: results from the
  EDEN mother-child cohort. *Eur J Clin Nutr, 71*(2), 219-226. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2016.216
- Zanetti, D., Tikkanen, E., Gustafsson, S., Priest, J. R., Burgess, S., & Ingelsson, E. (2018). Birthweight,
   Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, and Cardiovascular Disease: Addressing the Barker Hypothesis With
   Mendelian Randomization. *Circ Genom Precis Med*, *11*(6), e002054.
   doi:10.1161/circgen.117.002054
- 537
- 538

# 540 Figure legends

543

541 **Figure 1:** Flow chart of the population included in the study. EDEN mother-child cohort

542 Figure 2: Total, direct, and mediated effects [β and 95%CI] for association between maternal

544 prepregnancy BMI, adjusted for center, mother's height, parity, and mother's age at delivery

education level and birth weight and length z-scores, mediated by smoking during pregnancy and

	Maternal education level <sup>1</sup>			
	Low	Intermediate	High	
	(n=383)	( <b>n=626</b> )	(n=491)	P
	n (%) or mean ± sd			
Center, Poitiers	218 (56.9)	302 (48.2)	201 (40.9)	<0.0001
Birth weight z-score	$\textbf{-0.15} \pm 1.0$	$-0.03\pm1.0$	$0.06\pm0.9$	0.0076
Birth length z-score	$0.10 \pm 1.0$	$0.30\pm0.9$	$0.41\pm0.9$	<0.0001
Sex, girls	171 (44.7)	298 (47.6)	232(47.3)	0.63
Preterm birth, yes	26 (6.8)	28 (4.5)	30 (6.1)	0.25
Gestational age (weeks)	$39.0\pm1.9$	$39.4 \pm 1.5$	39.3 ± 1.5	0.0004
Mother's height, cm	$162.3\pm5.8$	$163.4\pm6.4$	$164.8\pm5.8$	<0.0001
Mother's age, years	$28.1\pm5.7$	$29.4\pm4.6$	$30.8\pm4.0$	<0.0001
Parity, yes	151 (39.4)	301 (48.1)	216 (44.0)	0.03
Depressive symptoms, yes	41 (10.7)	49 (7.8)	25 (5.1)	0.01
Smoking during				
pregnancy				<0.0001
No	218 (56.9)	471 (75.2)	416 (84.7)	
Only during the 1st				
trimester	30 (7.8)	56 (9.0)	33 (6.7)	
During pregnancy	135 (35.3)	99 (15.8)	42 (8.6)	
Prepregnancy BMI <sup>2</sup>				<0.0001
Underweight	37 (9.6)	47 (7.5)	36 (7.4)	
Normal	227 (59.3)	396 (63.2)	374 (76.2)	
Overweight	83 (21.7)	125 (20.0)	57 (11.6)	
Obesity	36 (9.4)	58 (9.3)	24 (4.8)	
Healthy dietary pattern	$-0.2 \pm 1.0$	$\textbf{-0.1}\pm0.9$	$0.2 \pm 1.0$	<0.0001
Western dietary pattern	$0.4 \pm 1.2$	$-0.0 \pm 0.9$	$-0.3 \pm 0.8$	<0.0001

*Table 1 Characteristics of the population at birth. The EDEN mother child cohort (N=1,500)* 

<sup>1</sup>Low: less than high school; intermediate: high school diploma to 2-year university degree, reference category; high: 3-year university degree or more. <sup>2</sup> Underweight: <18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>; Normal:  $\geq$ 18.5 and <25kg/m<sup>2</sup>; Overweight:  $\geq$ 25 and <30kg/m<sup>2</sup>; Obesity:  $\geq$ 30kg/m<sup>2</sup>

545

	Birth weight z-score		Birth length z-score	
	means ± sd	Р	means ± sd	Р
Depressive symptoms		0.860		0.244
No	$-0.03\pm0.95$		$0.30\pm0.91$	
Yes	$\textbf{-0.02} \pm 1.08$		$0.19 \pm 1.03$	
Smoking during		-0.0001		
pregnancy		<0.0001		<0.0001
No	$0.05\pm0.93$		$0.39\pm0.88$	
Only during the 1st	$0.06 \pm 0.01$		0.10 + 0.87	
trimester	$-0.00 \pm 0.91$		$0.19 \pm 0.87$	
During pregnancy	$\textbf{-0.35} \pm 1.01$		$\textbf{-0.06} \pm 0.97$	
Prepregnancy BMI		<0.0001		<0.0001
Underweight	$\textbf{-0.41} \pm 0.92$		$\textbf{-0.01} \pm 1.00$	
Normal	$\textbf{-0.06} \pm 0.93$		$0.28\pm0.90$	
Overweight	$0.09 \pm 1.01$		$0.45\pm0.95$	
Obesity	$0.27\pm0.96$		$0.41\pm0.84$	
	Corr <sup>1</sup>	Р	Corr <sup>1</sup>	Р
Healthy dietary pattern	0.06	0.013	0.05	0.006
Western dietary pattern	-0.07	0.056	-0.11	<0.0001

Table 2 Unadjusted associations between birth size z-scores and candidate mediators. EDEN mother child cohort (N=1,500)

<sup>1</sup>Pearson's correlation

	Birth weight z-score		Birth length z-scores		
	Maternal education level		Maternal education level		
	Low vs.	High vs.	Low vs.	High vs.	
	Intermediate	Intermediate	Intermediate	Intermediate	
Smoking during pregnancy	-0.07 [-0.11; -0.04]	0.03 [0.01; 0.05]	-0.08 [-0.12; -0.05]	0.03 [0.02; 0.06]	
Prepregnancy BMI	-0.00 [-0.03; 0.02]	-0.03 [-0.06; -0.01]	-0.00 [-0.02; 0.02]	-0.03 [-0.05; -0.01]	
Depressive symptoms	0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]	-0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]	-0.00 [-0.01; 0.00]	0.00 [-0.00; 0.01]	
Healthy dietary pattern	-0.00 [-0.01; 0.00]	0.01 [-0.01 ; 0.02]	0.00 [-0.00; 0.01]	0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]	
Western dietary pattern	-0.02 [-0.04; 0.00]	0.01 [-0.00; 0.02]	-0.02 [-0.05; -0.01]	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]	
Western dietary pattern residuals <sup>1</sup>	-	-	-0.01 [-0.02; 0.00]	0.00 [-0.00; 0.01]	

Adjustment for center, mother's height, parity, and mother's age at delivery <sup>1</sup> Residuals of the regression of the Western dietary pattern on BMI, smoking during pregnancy, and confounders 554