

Interindividual variability in the cholesterol-lowering effect of supplementation with plant sterols or stanols

Frédéric Fumeron, Jean-Marie Bard, Jean-Michel Lecerf

▶ To cite this version:

Frédéric Fumeron, Jean-Marie Bard, Jean-Michel Lecerf. Interindividual variability in the cholesterol-lowering effect of supplementation with plant sterols or stanols. Nutrition Reviews, 2017, 75 (2), pp.134-145. 10.1093/nutrit/nuw059. inserm-02452775

HAL Id: inserm-02452775 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-02452775v1

Submitted on 23 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Special Article
2	Plant sterols and stanols: Physiology, Metabolism and Inter-individual
3	variability in the cholesterol-lowering effect of supplementation
4	with plant sterols/stanols
5	Frédéric Fumeron, Jean-Marie Bard, Jean-Michel Lecerf
6	F. Fumeron is with INSERM, UMR_S 1138, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, F-75006,
7	Paris, France; Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR_S 1138, Centre de
8	Recherche des Cordeliers, F-75006, Paris, France; Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris
9	Cité, UMR_S 1138, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, F-75006, Paris, France; and Univ
10	Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, UMR_S 1138, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, F-
11	75006, Paris, France
12	JM. Bard is with Université de Nantes- EA 2160, IUML - Institut Universitaire Mer et
13	Littoral - FR3473 CNRS et CRNH - Centre de recherche en Nutrition Humaine, 9 rue Bias,
14	44035 Nantes, France; and Institut de Cancérologie de l'Ouest, Boulevard Jacques Monod,
15	44805 Saint-Herblain, France
16	JM. Lecerf is with Institut Pasteur de Lille - Service de Nutrition, 1 rue du professeur
17	Calmette 59019 Lille cedex, France
18	Correspondence: F. Fumeron, INSERM UMRS1138, équipe 2, 15 rue de l'Ecole de
19	Médecine, 75006, Paris, France
20	frederic.fumeron@inserm.fr
21	Phone: 33 1 44 27 81 14

- 22 **Abbreviations:** ABCA1, ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1; ABCB4, ATP-
- binding cassette subfamily B member 4; ABCG5/G8, ATP-binding cassette subfamily G
- member 5/8; ACAT2, Acyl coenzyme A: cholesterol acyltransferase 2; APOE,
- 25 Apolipoprotein E; CETP, Cholesterol ester transfer protein; CYP7A1, Cholesterol 7 α-
- 26 hydroxylase; FCH, familial combined hyperlipidemia; FH, familial hypercholesterolemia;
- 27 FSR, fractional cholesterol synthesis rate; HDL(-C), high-density lipoprotein (cholesterol);
- 28 LDL(-C), low-density lipoprotein (cholesterol); MDR2, multidrug resistance 2; MetS,
- 29 Metabolic syndrome; NPC1L1, Niemann-Pick C1 Like 1 protein; SCARB1, scavenger
- receptor BI; SOAT2, sterol O-acyltransferase 2; STRIP, Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor
- 31 Intervention Project

Abstract

Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) plays a causal role in atherosclerosis.

One way to reduce LDL-C levels is to inhibit cholesterol absorption. Plant sterols and stanols compete with cholesterol for absorption in the intestine and induce an average decrease in LDL-C by 5 to 15% in a dose-dependent manner. However, a decrease does not occur in all individuals. This review focuses on the inter-individual variability in response to supplementing the diet with plant sterols and stanols. Dietary plant sterols and stanols have no significant effect on substantial numbers of subjects. Higher responses, in absolute value and percentage of LDL-C, are observed in subjects with higher cholesterol absorption and a lower rate of cholesterol synthesis. Some data evidenced the influence of genetics on the response to plant sterols and stanols. Further studies on large populations are required to extend these conclusions about genetic influences.

Keywords: plant sterols, cholesterol transporters, intestinal absorption, LDL-cholesterol,

individual response, genetics

Introduction

Plant sterols are natural compounds found in plants, especially seeds and oilseeds. They have a similar structure to cholesterol, but differ in the side chain at carbon 24 and in the position and configuration of the double bond structure. (Figure 1). Stanols are produced by the hydrogenation of plant sterols, with no double bond at carbon 5. Plant sterols and plant stanols have a cholesterol lowering effect that was first identified in 1951, and sitosterol was introduced as a lipid-lowering therapeutic agent by Eli Lilly in 1957. Its low aqueous solubility and low bioavailability have made it a forgotten and abandoned agent. The esterification of plant sterols and stanols has not only improved their solubility, but given a renewed interest in these molecules used in functional foods. These compounds compete with cholesterol for absorption in the intestine and induce an average decrease in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) of 5 to 15% in a dose-dependent manner.

There is inter-individual variability in the response to diverse interventions, and the metabolic response to plant sterols and stanols in the diet is no exception. In this review, we consider the range of this variability, and examine the metabolic and genetic factors that have been studied in an attempt to clarify this variability.

Nature and sources of plant sterols and stanols

Plant sterols are the botanical analogs of cholesterol, and are found in the parts of plants rich in fibers and fats. The main sources of plant sterols are nuts, oilseeds, cocoa butter, legumes, and grains. Vegetables and fruits contain also small amounts of plant sterols (**Table** 1).³,⁵⁻⁷ Plant stanols are naturally found in some cereals (rice, maize, wheat) and plant products, for example the wood of conifers such as pine and spruce.

More than 200 types of plant sterols and stanols have been identified^{1,8} but the most abundant in the diet are sitosterol (about 60%), and campesterol (about 20%), then brassicasterol, stigmasterol, sitostanol and campestanol.^{9,10}

In nature, plant sterols may be free, or esterified with fatty acids or with ferulic acid, or glycosylated with a hexose (usually glucose) or a 6-fatty-acyl hexose, on carbon 3 (**Figure 1**). These glycosylated classes are frequently not measured, because their measurement needs an additional acid hydrolysis cleaving the glycosyl link or direct analysis of intact glycosides. The exclusion of glycosylated derivatives underestimates the total amount of plant sterols by up to 37%.⁵

In addition, the analysis of the main plant sterols excludes minor sterols.⁵ It is unclear to what extent the glycosylated plant sterols are bioactive in humans because they are not hydrolyzed by pancreatic enzymes in vitro.¹¹

Role of plant sterols and stanols in cholesterol metabolism

Cholesterol is involved in many biological functions as a cell membrane component and as a precursor of steroid hormones, bile acids and vitamin D, but can play a deleterious role in diseases like atherosclerosis. Cholesterol homeostasis depends on a complex balance between absorption and synthesis. This reflects the limited role of dietary cholesterol on plasma cholesterol and its very controversial role in cardiovascular risk. The absorption of cholesterol is carried out by many systems and receptors, allowing a redundancy of mechanisms. Like plant sterols, plasma cholestanol, the 5α -dihydro derivative of cholesterol, is a marker of cholesterol absorption under normal conditions.

Plant sterols and stanols come into competition with free cholesterol in the micelles of lecithin and bile salts, which causes a reduction in cholesterol solubilization. When the concentration of plant sterols/stanols is high, intestinal cholesterol loses almost all

solubility.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The affinity of plant sterols/stanols for micelles exceeds that of cholesterol. Accordingly, the addition of plant stanols in a test meal reduces the absorbable cholesterol of micelles (measured by duodenal aspiration in humans) which leads to decreased intestinal absorption.¹⁶

The mechanism of action of plant sterols and stanols is not limited to the intestinal lumen. They are picked up with cholesterol by the intestinal mucosa via the membrane transporter Nieman Pick Disease C1 Like1 (NPC1L1) and can be absorbed into the systemic circulation. However, the majority of plant sterols and stanols are expelled from the enterocytes into the intestinal lumen by the ATP-binding cassette subfamily G members 5 and 8 (ABCG5 and ABCG8) heterodimeric pumps. Plant sterols and stanols ejected from the enterocyte are excreted in the feces with unabsorbed and non-micellar cholesterol, catabolized as coprostanol by the intestinal microbiota. Alteration of the micellar solubilization of intestinal cholesterol seems to be the only mechanism of action of plant sterols and stanols that is unequivocally established. Nevertheless, other mechanisms involving modifications in cholesterol esterification, cholesterol efflux or bile acid synthesis, composition and excretion, have been discussed. 11,17-21

Acyl coenzyme A: cholesterol acyltransferase 2 (ACAT2), also known as sterol O-acyltransferase 2 (SOAT2), is a key step in the metabolism of cholesterol, allowing its enterocyte esterification, followed by its incorporation into chylomicrons and export. ACAT2 esterifies cholesterol much more efficiently than sitosterol (between 7.2 and 60 times, as estimated from different studies). Because of this strong preference, there is no evidence that plant sterols are esterified by ACAT2. Consequently, plant sterols are not incorporated into chylomicrons and most of them are ejected into the intestinal lumen. It is also possible that a certain fraction of plant sterols may be incorporated into high-density lipoprotein (HDL) particles after basolateral efflux through ATP-binding cassette A1

(ABCA1) transporter.²⁴ In animals, it has been shown that plant sterols and stanols reduced the enzymatic activity of ACAT2, causing a reduction in the net absorption of dietary cholesterol.¹⁷ The reduced activity of ACAT2 could be explained by a diminished trafficking of cholesterol from the plasma membrane to the endoplasmic reticulum.¹⁷

Plant sterols and stanols also induce the expression of ABCA1, a cholesterol transporter, *in vitro*. ^{19,20} ABCA1 regulates the efflux of cholesterol and phospholipids from the cell to the extracellular space. However, ABCA1 carries out its functions in the basolateral membrane of enterocytes, and not in the apical membrane, where it could excrete cholesterol into the lumen.

A diet rich in plant sterols alters the expression of more than 130 hepatic genes and their transcripts involved in the regulation of sterol metabolism in mice.²¹ Nevertheless, it is possible that such changes are due to the inhibition of cholesterol absorption or reductions in plasma cholesterol, and are not a direct effect of plant sterols.

The addition of sitosterol (1 g) to a test meal containing cholesterol (500 mg) reduced cholesterol absorption by an average of 42%. ²⁵ In another experimental protocol where both a liquid diet and sitosterol or sitostanol were infused in the intestinal lumen, sitosterol and sitostanol reduced cholesterol absorption by 50% and 85% respectively. ²⁶ This mimics the effect of a deficit in hepatic cholesterol, and causes an increase in the expression of LDL receptors. This higher expression of LDL receptors induces an increase in the hepatic uptake of cholesterol and a decrease in plasma LDL-C. A compensatory increase in the synthesis of cholesterol takes place: the synthesis of whole body cholesterol (as measured through the incorporation in cholesterol of deuterium from water marked with deuterium) increases from 38 to 53%. ²⁷ Even at high doses (from 1.6 up to 8.6 g/d), plant sterols and stanols cause a decrease in the synthesis and excretion of bile acids, ²⁸ which could explain the reduction in the cholesterol-lowering effect after a month. The decrease in bile acid synthesis is caused by

increased net absorption of plant sterols from the diet leading to higher plasma levels.²⁸ Nevertheless, a short term experimental study in an ileostomy model showed that a modest increase in plant sterols (584 mg/d vs. 258 mg/d), increased synthesis and excretion of bile acids.²⁹ Stanols do not lead to changes in the composition of bile acids, or the percentage of biliary cholesterol.^{28,30} This might be due to the fact that stanol absorption and plasma levels are much lower than those of plant sterols. (See *infra*).

Absorption characteristics and plasma levels of plant sterols and stanols

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

The absorption rate of plant sterols and stanols depends on their chemical structure. The side chain group at carbon 24 (methyl for campesterol and campestanol, ethyl for sitosterol and sitostanol) and the presence of a double bond at carbon 5 have independent effects on absorption efficiency. 31 (**Figure 1**). Sterols with longer side chains and no double bond are less absorbed because of increased hydrophobicity and decreased micellar solubility. This explains why sterols are better absorbed than stanols, and why campesterol is better absorbed than sitosterol. Consequently, plasma levels of campesterol are higher than plasma sitosterol, although higher amounts of sitosterol are generally consumed. The net systemic absorption of plant sterols and stanols after a few days goes from 0.04% for sitostanol to 1.9% for campesterol.³¹ In the general population, 3 to 16 µmol/L (0.12 to 0.66 mg/dL) of sitosterol and 7 to 28 $\mu mol/L$ (0.28 to 1.12 mg/dL) of campesterol are found in plasma. 32 Concentrations of plant sterols are 15 to 30 times higher than those of stanols, but 200 times lower than those of cholesterol. The plasma concentrations of plant sterols are modulated by plant sterol intake. The percentage absorption of plant sterols is lower when intakes are higher, despite higher mass absorption. With supplementation of 1.8 to 2.0 g/d plant sterols for 4 to 8 weeks in adults, ³² plasma campesterol increased from 52 to 99%, and sitosterol from 23 to 96%. When doubling the usual intake in children (132 mg/d vs. 65 mg/d), plasma

campesterol and sitosterol increased by 75% and 44% respectively.³³ Conversely, stanols (1.5 to 3.0 g/d for 4 weeks) reduced campesterol from 28 to 113% and sitosterol from 24 to 50%.³²

Sitosterolemia is a rare recessive disease characterized by excessively high plasma plant sterol levels (30 to 100 times higher than normal values) 34,35 due to mutations in the *ABCG5* or *ABCG8* transporter genes. $^{36-38}$ These mutations cause abnormal retention of plant sterols and stanols. Heterozygous subjects are generally healthy, but have higher plasma plant sterol levels (+30 to +50%) than the general population. 34 Some genetic polymorphisms of *ABCG5* and *ABCG8* have been associated with variations in plasma plant sterol levels (see *infra*).

Apolipoprotein E (APOE) is a major component of all lipoprotein classes except LDL. It is involved in the removal of chylomicron and very-low-density lipoprotein remnants from the circulation. Three common isoforms of APOE, E2, E3 and E4 are encoded by the alleles $\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$ and $\varepsilon 4$, respectively. The most frequent is $\varepsilon 3$. Some very rare isoforms have also been described. The $\varepsilon 4$ allele (or E4 isoform) is generally associated with higher total cholesterol and LDL-C levels in the general population, and higher cholesterol absorption, with the $\varepsilon 2$ allele (E2 isoform) having the opposite effects. ³⁹ Elevated plasma plant sterol levels are observed in subjects with the *APOE* E4 isoform. ⁴⁰

Statins decrease endogenous cholesterol synthesis, but induce a compensatory increase in sterol absorption, including plant sterols, with higher plasma levels in statin users. 41,42

Conversely, familial combined hyperlipidemia (FCH), obesity, metabolic syndrome (MetS), and type 2 diabetes with insulin resistance are associated with a decreased absorption of plant sterols. ^{40,43-45} This has been linked to increased expression of the *ABCB4* (*MDR2*) transporter gene involved in the biliary excretion of sterols in cases of insulinopenia or insulin resistance. ³²

The physiological intake of plant sterols and stanols

The dietary intake of plant sterols and stanols is low but not absent, roughly equivalent to that of cholesterol. However, cholesterol has essential physiological functions that cause the body to keep it stable by adjusting the absorption and synthesis. Conversely, plant sterols and stanols are at an extremely low plasma concentration and have no known role, which can account for the very powerful processes to eliminate them. The response to plant sterol and stanol treatment is lower in subjects with high basal cholesterol synthesis (generally low absorption) than in subjects with low basal synthesis (high absorption).⁴⁶ (See *infra*)

Their presence in the gut has an effect on the intestinal absorption of cholesterol and its plasma level even at very low doses. ⁴⁷ The spontaneous level of intake of plant sterols and stanols is inversely correlated with LDL-C levels and intestinal cholesterol absorption. ⁴⁸ Several studies are in favor of a non-negligible role of plant sterols and stanols in the cholesterol-lowering effect of some oils (e.g. corn) rich in plant sterols and stanols, especially when unrefined, beyond their fatty acid composition. ^{11,49,50}

General variability in the cholesterol lowering effect of plant sterols/stanols

A recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies (including 124 studies) clearly showed a dose-response effect of plant sterols and stanols.⁴ For intakes of 1 to 4 g/d, LDL-C concentrations reduced on average by 6 to 12%. When plant sterols and stanols were analyzed separately, similar dose-response relationships were observed.

Nevertheless, there is inter-individual variability in the response to supplementing the diet with plant sterols and stanols. Some studies report that dietary plant sterols and stanols have no significant effects on substantial numbers of subjects. ^{46,51-54} In one study with a spread providing 0.8 g/d plant sterols, there was no lowering of LDL-C in 37% of the subjects after 3 weeks. ⁵¹ Similarly, 33% of subjects were non-responders after 4 weeks of consuming a

spread providing 2.0 g/d plant sterols, ⁵² and no change was observed in 20% of the subjects consuming 1.3 to 1.6 g/d plant sterols in enriched milk. ⁵³ A 4-week cross-over study in hypercholesterolemic subjects assessing the effects of plant sterol doses of between 1.6 and 2.0 g/d (meta-analysis of three trials) showed an average lowering of LDL-C by -7.3±1.2%; however, 47 subjects (42%) were non-responders (LDL-C: +3.7±1.0%), and 66 were responders (-15.2±1.0%). ⁴⁶ In this study, the responders were those with a decrease in LDL-C of 5% or more. Among hypercholesterolemic male subjects (n=82), 31 (38%) were non-responders to 2.0 g/d plant sterols (spread). Plasma total cholesterol increased by 4.4±1.2% and LDL-C by 9.4±5.9% in non-responders, whereas in responders, the total cholesterol decrease was 12.7±1.2% and that of LDL-C was 18.3±2.0%. ⁵⁴

Other than the factors linked to the characteristics of the trials, and the origin and the doses of the plant sterols and stanols, or the food matrix used, main sources of variation in these studies are the subjects themselves.

Role of the initial plasma cholesterol concentration

The first source of variation linked to the subject is the initial plasma cholesterol concentration. In a meta-analysis of four randomized trials with plant stanol esters, there was a highly significant effect (*P*<0.001) of baseline plasma LDL-C on the final response. The lowering obtained with 2.0 g/d stanols was between -0.24 mmol/L and -0.17 mmol/L (-8.0 to -5.7%) for an initial LDL-C value of 3 mmol/L, between -0.32 mmol/L and -0.22 mmol/L (-8.0 to -5.5%) for an initial LDL-C value of 4 mmol/L, and between -0.41 mmol/L to -0.27 mmol/L (-8.2 to -5.4%) for an initial LDL-C of 5 mmol/L. Therefore, the effects of plant stanols as a percentage of the baseline LDL-C value were constant, such that the change increased in absolute value with the value at baseline. The authors calculated that with 3.55

mmol/L initial LDL-C, the variation would be -5.8%, -7.5% and -10.2% for stanol doses of 1.7 g/d, 2.2 g/d and 3.0 g/d, respectively.

Relationship with cholesterol synthesis and/or absorption

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

Other individual characteristics that modulate the LDL-C response to plant sterols and stanols in the diet are those which influence the absorption and endogenous synthesis of cholesterol. Generally subjects with high basal plasma plant sterol levels, an indirect measure of absorption efficiency, display large reductions in LDL-C in response to plant sterol therapy. ⁵⁶ In the study by Rideout et al., ⁴⁶ the fractional cholesterol synthesis rate (FSR, assessed directly by stable isotope analysis) was 23% higher in non-responders than in responders. The lowering of the LDL-C was only 3.2% in the highest synthesis quartile whereas it was 12.3% in the lowest quartile. When selecting subjects with high vs. low cholesterol synthesis (assessed by the cholesterol precursor lathosterol to cholesterol ratio), MacKay et al.⁵⁷ confirmed that cholesterol synthesis rate predicted the responsiveness to 2.0 g/d plant sterols. In subjects defined as high synthesizers, the LDL-C lowering was 0.05 mmol/L vs. 0.29 mmol/L in low synthesizers. Zhao et al. 54 reported that responders had a lower synthesis rate than non-responders. In subjects with low basal plasma plant sterol levels, absorption rate was low and the FSR was high, and the opposite was observed in subjects with high plasma plant sterol levels. There was a trend for subjects with high basal levels to be responders, and for a higher frequency of non-responders among those with low levels (P=0.09). Post-experiment plasma plant sterol concentrations were highly positively correlated with absorption rates of campesterol and cholesterol, and negatively correlated with cholesterol FSR.⁵⁸ Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is generally associated with high cholesterol synthesis

and low cholesterol absorption. 43,45,59 In the study by MacKay et al., 57 subjects with high

cholesterol synthesis and low response to plant sterols had higher body mass index and lower HDL-C, both characteristics associated with MetS. In a randomized parallel trial, 24 moderate hypercholesterolemic patients with MetS were compared to 24 moderate hypercholesterolemic patients without MetS. Enrichment of a "healthy" diet with plant sterols (2.0 g/d) for 3 months lowered LDL-C by 10.5% in patients without MetS but had no effect in patients with MetS. 60 The authors suggest that this difference is due to poor absorption efficiency, as demonstrated by lower campesterol and sitosterol concentrations (before and after diet) in patients with MetS than those without MetS. In particular, the plasma concentration of plant sterols following their consumption increased only by 20 to 30% in patients with MetS, but by 50 to 60% in controls. Nevertheless, these results contrast with those of another study⁶¹: plant sterol supplementation (4.0 g/d) of the habitual diet for 2 months lowered total cholesterol and LDL-C by 15.9% and 20.3%, respectively, in patients with MetS. The discrepancy between these two studies might be explained by the type of diet during the intervention period ("healthy" in one case, "westernized" in the other) and by the dose of plant sterols (2.0 g/d and 4.0 g/d). The second study also included 5 times more patients and therefore had greater statistical power. As noted in ⁶², the discrepancies in trials involving patients with MetS might also be due to the broad range in criteria that define MetS. In type 2 diabetic patients, a meta-analysis of 5 randomized, placebo controlled trials, showed that plant sterols and stanols (1.6 to 3.0 g/d) significantly reduced LDL-C (combined effect: -12.2%). 63 Although stanols seemed more effective than sterols, no significant heterogeneity between studies was found in the meta-analysis. Nevertheless, few studies were examined. Type 2 diabetes (insulin resistance) has been associated with high synthesis and low absorption of cholesterol. 40,59 Type 2 diabetic patients should therefore have a low LDL-C response to plant sterol supplementation. Since it does not seem to be the case, Plat et al. 62 raised the question of the value of surrogate markers (plasma plant sterols and cholesterol

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

precursors) in the affected population. Plant stanols were effective for LDL-C lowering in patients with type 1 diabetes ⁶⁴; of note, type 1 diabetes is characterized by low synthesis and high absorption of cholesterol. ⁶⁵

Genetic factors

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

Monogenic diseases

Healthy subjects heterozygous for the mutations of ABCG5 or ABCG8, responsible for sitosterolemia in homozygotes, might have a particular response to plant sterol therapy. This possibility has been tested, although sample sizes are small due to the rarity of the mutations. In a study of both (obligate) heterozygote parents of a patient with sitosterolemia, LDL-C decreased by 11% after 4 weeks on a diet containing 3.3 g/d plant sterols (margarine), and plasma concentrations of plant sterols increased by 83 to 139%. 66 These subjects were also obese and hypercholesterolemic. In 12 obligate heterozygotes from 2 families (with 2 different mutations), a low fat diet, poor in saturated fatty acids and cholesterol, led to a decrease in LDL-C of 11.2% after 6 weeks and 16% after 12 weeks. The addition of 2.2 g/d plant sterol esters to this diet led to an additional 5.9% decrease. 67 The LDL-C response is similar to that of subjects who do not carry the mutations. Seven heterozygotes and 10 controls received portions of margarine containing 2.0 g of plant sterols, 2.0 g of stanols or a control margarine daily for 6 weeks each. 68 Similar decreases in total and LDL-C were observed in both groups. The changes in plasma concentrations of plant sterol expressed as percentages did not differ between the two groups. With sterols in the diet, plasma plant sterols increased by 14.5 µmol/L (0.59 mg/dL, +23%) in heterozygotes, and by 4.9 µmol/L (0.20 mg/dL, +20.5%) in controls. With stanols, plasma plant sterols decreased by 34.2 μmol/L (1.40 mg/dL, -54.2%) in heterozygotes and by 12.2 μmol/L (0.50 mg/dL, -50.6%) in controls. ⁶⁸ Similar changes in LDL-C were observed in 10 individuals heterozygous for the

ABCG8 S107X mutation (-10.7%) and 15 controls (-9%) following the change from placebo during 4 weeks to 1.6 g/d plant sterols. Cholesterol absorption was decreased and synthesis increased similarly in both groups (stable isotope measurements).⁶⁹

In conclusion, inclusion of plant sterol and stanols in the diet leads to a relative decrease in total cholesterol or LDL-C that is similar both in individuals heterozygous for sitosterolemia mutations and in control subjects (normal healthy subjects from other studies). With plant sterols in the diet, the relative increase in plasma plant sterols (as a percentage of baseline levels) was similar in heterozygotes and control subjects (although the absolute value is much larger). With dietary stanols, the percentage decrease in plasma plant sterols was also similar in the two groups, but again the change in absolute values was different.

(Supplementary table S1 online only)

The effects of these products have been studied in cases of monogenic familial hypercholesterolemia (FH), an inherited autosomal dominant disorder of the lipoprotein metabolism caused by mutations on the LDL-receptor gene. A meta-analysis of 4 studies of patients heterozygous for a LDL-receptor gene mutation was performed in 2006. Three of these studies were in children. The meta-analysis demonstrated that the decrease in total cholesterol and LDL-C was similar in heterozygous FH patients and in other hypercholesterolemic subjects after 2.3±0.5 g/d plant sterols or stanols. The effects of 1.6 to 2.0 g/d (depending on body weight) plant sterols for 12 weeks were compared between different groups of hypercholesterolemic children. The groups studied included FH heterozygotes, cases of FCH and cases with unknown cause of hypercholesterolemia. The decrease in LDL-C was slightly smaller in FH heterozygotes (-10.7%) than in groups with FCH (-14.2%) or undetermined hypercholesterolemia (-16.0%). However, the number of subjects included was small, and consequently the difference observed is probably not significant. (Supplementary table S1 online only)

APOE polymorphism

As already mentioned, the E4 isoform (or ε4 allele) has been associated with higher total cholesterol and LDL-C in the general population, and higher cholesterol absorption.

Consequently, a number of studies have investigated whether the effects of plant sterols and stanols are greater in carriers of E4 than other subpopulations. (Figure 2, supplementary table S2 online only).

One study 15 with 67 moderate hypercholesterolemic subjects yielded results in the expected direction: total cholesterol and LDL-C concentration in the plasma decreased by 7.5% and 10%, respectively in the intervention group. Subjects with phenotypes E4E4 or E3E4 were better responders to plant stanols (LDL-C:-11.8%) than E3E3 subjects (LDL-C:-6%). Plasma sitosterol and campesterol concentrations were lower and cholesterol precursors higher in E4E4 or E3E4 than E3E3 subjects, indicating a greater decrease in cholesterol

expected direction: total cholesterol and LDL-C concentration in the plasma decreased by 7.5% and 10%, respectively in the intervention group. Subjects with phenotypes E4E4 or E3E4 were better responders to plant stanols (LDL-C:-11.8%) than E3E3 subjects (LDL-C:-6%). Plasma sitosterol and campesterol concentrations were lower and cholesterol precursors higher in E4E4 or E3E4 than E3E3 subjects, indicating a greater decrease in cholesterol absorption with a compensatory increase in synthesis. The same team⁷⁶ studied the effects of a small dose (700 mg/d) of plant sterols or plant stanols in 31 moderate hypercholesterolemic subjects. Four diets were tested: rapeseed mayonnaise, rapeseed + sitosterol, rapeseed + sitostanol and rapeseed + sitostanol esters. Serum total cholesterol and LDL-C reductions were small in the plant sterol-fed groups, and tended to be highest in the sitostanol ester group (-7%). The reduction in LDL-C (5%) was significant when sterol/stanol groups were combined. The decrease in LDL-C in E4 carriers (n=8) was 0.28 mmol/L (*P*<0.05) and in E3E3 subjects (n=15) 0.06 mmol/L (NS). The LDL-C decrease correlated with a decrease in absorption and an increase in endogenous synthesis, which was more pronounced in E4 carriers.

However, other studies do not find any greater effect of plant sterols/stanols in subjects carrying E4. In a study of 105 healthy subjects receiving either a placebo, or 2.0 g/d

or 3.0 g/d stanols for 4 weeks, there was no difference in plasma total cholesterol and LDL-C responses between subjects with E3 and those with E4.⁷⁷ A crossover trial was performed in normocholesterolemic children selected from the STRIP (Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project) cohort. ⁷⁸ In the group carrying the E4 isoform, there was a decrease in LDL-C of 8.4% after consumption of a margarine containing plant stanols; the corresponding value for non-carriers was 7.6%. Nevertheless, cholesterol synthesis (assessed by assaying plasma sterol precursors) was increased only in E4 carriers and absorption was similarly lowered in both groups. In healthy adult subjects (crossover trial with a margarine providing 3.2 g/d plant sterols, for 3 weeks), the decrease in LDL-C was 12.2% in subjects not carrying E4 and 9.8% in E4 carriers (not significant). 79 In another crossover trial with 1.7 g/d plant sterols, 81 there was no statistically significant difference in total cholesterol and LDL-C response according to genotype. The response was significant only in E3E3 subjects, but the number of E3E4 subjects was small. A similar result was obtained with hypercholesterolemic patients subjected to a diet during 5 weeks (control margarine/+1.1 g/d plant sterols/+2.2 g/d plant sterols). There was a significant response in E3E3 subjects but not in E4 carriers. 82 Once again, this result might have been due to a lack of statistical power because of the small number of E4 subjects. Nevertheless, the greatest response in the study was observed in E2 carriers. In another study of 75 moderate hypercholesterolemic adult subjects, no difference in response was observed according to APOE isoforms after a period of 3 months on either a standard NCEP/ATIII diet, or the same diet + 2.0 g/d plant sterols and stanols in low-fat milk. 83 Again, the sample sizes were small (13 E4 subjects, 24 E3E3 subjects). In the most recent study, a crossover trial was performed in 63 mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects (2 periods of 4 weeks with a margarine providing either 2.0 g/d plant sterols or no plant sterols). 84 The LDL-C decrease in APOE E3 carriers (-0.13 mmol/L,

P<0.05, n=40) was smaller than in APOE E4 carriers (-0.31 mmol/L, P<0.001, n=23). In this

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

study, a higher response was found in subjects with low endogenous cholesterol synthesis (assessed by the lathosterol/cholesterol ratio), but the cholesterol synthesis phenotype was not associated with *APOE* polymorphism. Nevertheless, the authors of this study suggested as a potential mechanism that the enhanced LDL-C response to plant sterols/stanols may be due to the accelerated clearance of chylomicrons with E4 isoform, leading to a faster hepatic delivery of cholesterol. ⁸⁴ Overall, these studies have not fully demonstrated the expected better response of E4 isoform carriers due to high basal LDL-C and high cholesterol absorption. The association of E4 isoform with cholesterol absorption has not always been found, and may depend on the amount of dietary cholesterol consumption. ⁸⁵ Another explanation is that most of these studies lack statistical power due to small samples.

Polymorphisms of the genes encoding the sterol transporters ABCG5/G8 and NPC1L1

ABC transporters, and in particular ABCG5/G8, play a major role in intestinal sterol absorption. Mutations in the genes coding for these two proteins explain the high plasma plant sterol and cholesterol concentrations associated with sitosterolemia. ³⁶⁻³⁸ The associations between genetic polymorphisms of these transporters and plasma sterols have been studied. In 100 Japanese hypercholesterolemic subjects, the *ABCG8* 1285A/G (M429V) polymorphism was associated with higher plasma sitosterol levels and sitosterol/cholesterol ratio, and with lower lathosterol (cholesterol precursor). ⁸⁶ The rare H variant of *ABCG8* D19H polymorphism has been consistently found to be associated with a higher risk of gallstone disease, and with lower cholesterol absorption (lower levels of plant sterols in plasma) and higher cholesterol synthesis (higher levels of sterol precursors). ^{87,88} Nevertheless, a meta-analysis of the associations of *ABCG5/G8* polymorphisms (859T/C (C287R) and 1810C/G (Q604E) in *ABCG5*, 1285A/G (M429V), 161G/A (C54Y), 1199C/A (T400K) and 1895C/T

(A632V) in *ABCG8*) found little evidence of any effect on plasma LDL-C concentrations.⁸⁸ (Supplementary table S3 online only).

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

An intervention study on the effects of plant stanols according to 3 of these polymorphisms⁸⁹ found that, after a stabilization period of 4 weeks and before the experimental sequence, plasma LDL-C levels were higher in subjects with the QQ genotype than in E carriers for the ABCG5 Q640E polymorphism (3.04±0.75 vs. 2.70±0.81 mmol/L, P=0.039). Subjects with the TT genotype of ABCG8 T400K polymorphism had higher levels of plasma campesterol and sitosterol than allele K carriers (16.9 and 6.6 µmol/L vs. 13.5 and 4.8 µmol/L respectively) (0.68 and 0.27 mg/dL vs. 0.54 and 0.20 mg/dL), suggesting higher absorption efficiency in TT subjects. Nevertheless, at the end of the experiment, LDL-C had decreased in all genotypes and no difference was found according to ABCG5/G8 transporter polymorphisms. In a study where the average effects of plant stanols and plant sterols were -4.2% and -4.4% respectively relative to control values, ABCG5/G8 polymorphisms were not found to have any effect. 90 The interaction between ABCG5/G8 transporter gene polymorphisms and plant sterol absorption level has also been examined.⁵⁴ Hypercholesterolemic subjects were ranked according to plant sterol absorption, as assessed by a stable isotope method, and compared according to their basal concentrations of plasma sterols. Subjects with high basal plant sterol concentrations showed high sterol absorption and low cholesterol FSR. The response to plant sterols varied according to 1199C/A (T400K) polymorphism and the basal plant sterol levels. Among subjects carrying the A allele (400TK+KK), the LDL-C decline was 4 times larger in the group with high than low basal plant sterol concentrations (-16.6±6.3% vs. -3.4±5.7%, P<0.05). No such interactions were found for the other polymorphisms studied (D19H and Q604E). This study also investigated another gene important for sterol absorption, NPC1L1. A haplotype involving the two polymorphisms 872 C/G (L272L) and 3929 G/A (Y1291Y) was associated with a 2.4 greater

change in LDL-C: -13.4 \pm 3.0% for minor allele carriers vs. -3.9 \pm 2.9% (P<0.05) for common allele carriers. In the study by MacKay et al., ⁸⁴ where significant results for APOE were found, no significant association was found between ABCG8 T400K polymorphisms and the response to plant sterols.

Other polymorphisms

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

Other factors, such as the scavenger receptor B1 (SR-B1 coded by the gene SCARB1) and apolipoprotein AIV (coded by APOA4), may influence the effects of dietary plant sterols/stanols. SR-B1 is a receptor for high density lipoproteins and might be involved in cholesterol absorption by enterocytes. Apolipoprotein AIV plays a role in chylomicron formation, allowing the sterols present in intestinal cells to be exported into lymphatic vessels. The consequences of genetic polymorphisms of these proteins ($Gln^{360} \rightarrow His$, $Thr^{347} \rightarrow Ser$ for APOA4, SCARB1 HaeIII) have been evaluated together with those of other proteins involved in lipoprotein intravascular remodeling (CETP TaqIB) and transport (APOE E2/E3/E4), and endogenous cholesterol synthesis (HMGCoA Reductase VNTR). 80 Three groups of normocholesterolemic subjects were included in a parallel trial for 8 weeks: control rapeseed margarine (n=42), the same margarine with 3.8 g/d plant stanols from vegetable oil (n=34), and the same margarine with 4.0 g/d plant stanols from wood (n=36). Before the intervention, plasma high density lipoprotein cholesterol and cholesterol synthesis (lathosterol/cholesterol ratio) were lower in SCARB1 allele 2 carriers, and LDL-C was lower in APOE E2 carriers. Nevertheless, none of the polymorphisms studied were associated with the LDL-C response to plant stanols.⁸⁰ In another study, two CETP polymorphisms were studied: TaqIB and I405V.81 This crossover trial included 60 moderate hypercholesterolemic subjects (average 7 mmol/L) and

involved 2 periods of 4 weeks, one with a margarine providing 2.8 g/d plant sterol esters, the

other with control margarine. The change in total cholesterol was -7.2%, -4.2% and -0.4% in subjects with genotypes II (n=15), IV (n=27) and VV (n=9), respectively; the changes in LDL-C were -9.5%, -6.3% and +4.8, respectively. Consequently in this experiment, 18% of the subjects were non-responders (VV homozygotes). There was no difference in response according to *CETP* TaqIB polymorphism.

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

Cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (CYP7A1) is the rate limiting enzyme in the bile acid synthesis pathway, the major way to remove cholesterol from the body. The -204A>C (rs3808607) polymorphism of the CYP7A1gene promoter has been studied. 91 The C allele is functional, enhancing promoter activity and reducing the binding of transcription inhibitors of the CYP7A1 gene. Two trials (4 and 8 weeks duration, 3.2 and 2.0 g/d plant sterols) were pooled, including 67 subjects (males and females, mean age 42 years). In subjects carrying the C allele (n=36), the change in total cholesterol was -0.43 mmol/L vs. 0.14 mmol/L in AA subjects. The lathosterol/cholesterol ratio (cholesterol synthesis marker) increased more in C carriers than in AA subjects (0.75 vs. 0.10). 91 The very recent study by MacKay et al. confirmed this effect of the CYP7A1 gene polymorphism.⁸⁴ In this crossover trial with 2.0 g/d plant sterols in mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects, the C allele was associated with a statistically significant LDL-C response in a dose-dependent fashion (-0.05, -0.22, and -0.46 mmol/L in AA, CA, and CC genotypes respectively). Interestingly, the C allele was more frequent in the group of subjects with low cholesterol synthesis on the basis of lathosterol/cholesterol ratio. Nevertheless the genotype was not significantly associated with FSR measured by an isotopic technique. There was a trend for an interaction between CYP7A1 and APOE genotypes, with the lowest response observed in subjects carrying the combination E3-AA. These interesting results need to be confirmed with a larger sample. An alternative approach to large samples of unselected subjects may be to select individuals on

the basis of the most relevant genotypes for *APOE* and *CYP7A1*. The same study did not show a significant effect of *CETP* I405V polymorphisms.

Conclusion

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

There is some evidence for substantial diversity in the individual response to dietary supplementation with plant sterols or stanols, and in particular the absence of response in 20-42% of subjects. One of the factors responsible for the variability is the initial LDL-C concentration. The decrease in LDL-C is apparently constant as a percentage, and is therefore higher in absolute value in subjects with high basal plasma LDL-C. Also, the effect is positively correlated with the rate of intestinal absorption of sterols and negatively correlated with the rate of endogenous cholesterol synthesis. Trials with APOE genotyping are not concordant. The first studies indicated a higher efficiency in E4 isoform carriers, but subsequent investigations suggest that there were no such differences, or even that there was a high efficiency in E2 and no apparent effect in E4 carriers. Polymorphisms of ABCG5/G8 do not seem to play an important role. Only one study showed a greater change in subjects carrying the A allele (K) of ABCG8 T400K with high sterol absorption levels. Few studies have been performed with polymorphisms of other lipid metabolism genes. Nevertheless, polymorphisms of NPC1L1, CETP (I405V) and CYP7A1 have been reported to have significant effects, but only the results with CYP7A1 have been replicated once. These results need to be replicated. Indeed, more generally, all of the relevant studies lack statistical power; therefore, new studies with larger populations are needed to assess the relationship between genetic polymorphisms and dietary supplementation with plant sterols and stanols. Moreover, inter-individual variability in responsiveness to any nutrient or food component cannot be explained using just one polymorphism, rather a combinatorial approach should be used. To this end, a score combining polymorphisms of genes involved in cholesterol metabolism might be calculated. 92 By analogy, the intake of sugar-sweetened beverages was associated

511	with a	diposity in interaction with a genetic predisposition score calculated on the basis of 32			
512	BMI-associated loci. 93 Future research towards this direction would enhance our				
513	understanding on the role of genetics in cholesterol lowering responsiveness to plant				
514	sterols	s/stanols.			
515					
516	Ackno	owledgments			
517	Declaration of interest. The authors have no relevant interests to declare.				
518	SUPPORTING INFORMATION				
519	The fo	ollowing Supporting Information is available through the online version of this article at			
520	the publisher's website:				
521	Table S1 Response to dietary plant sterols/stanols in subjects with mutations for				
522	sitosterolemia and familial hypercholesterolemia				
523	Table S2 Response to dietary plant sterols/stanols in subjects according to APOE				
524	polymorphism				
525	Table S3 Experimental protocols of studies on plant sterols/stanols according to sterol				
526	transporter polymorphisms				
527					
528	Refer	ences			
529	1.	Moreau RA, Whitaker BD, Hicks KB. Phytosterols, phytostanols, and their conjugates			
530		in foods: structural diversity, quantitative analysis, and health-promoting uses. Prog			
531		Lipid Res. 2002;41:457-500.			
532	2.	Peterson DW. Effect of soybean sterols in the diet on plasma and liver cholesterol in			
533		chicks. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1951;78:143-147.			
534	3.	Ellegard LH, Andersson SW, Normen AL, Andersson HA. Dietary plant sterols and			
535		cholesterol metabolism. Nutr Rev. 2007;65:39-45.			

- A. Ras RT, Geleijnse JM, Trautwein EA. LDL-cholesterol-lowering effect of plant sterols
- and stanols across different dose ranges: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled
- 538 studies. Br J Nutr. 2014;112:214-219.
- 5. Phillips KM, Ruggio DM, Ashraf-Khorassani M. Phytosterol composition of nuts and
- seeds commonly consumed in the United States. J Agric Food Chem. 2005;53:9436-
- 541 9445.
- 542 6. Ryan E, Galvin K, O'Connor TP, Maguire AR, O'Brien NM. Phytosterol, squalene,
- tocopherol content and fatty acid profile of selected seeds, grains, and legumes. Plant
- Foods Hum Nutr. 2007;62:85-91.
- 545 7. Mattila P, Konko K, Eurola M, et al. Contents of vitamins, mineral elements, sand
- some phenolic compounds in cultivated mushrooms. J Agr Food Chem.
- 547 2001;49:2343-2348.
- 548 8. Piironen V, Lindsay DG, Miettinen TA, Toivo J, Lampi AM. Plant sterols:
- biosynthesis, biological function and their importance to human nutrition. J Sci Food
- 550 Agr. 2000;80:939-966.
- 551 9. Valsta LM, Lemstrom A, Ovaskainen ML, et al. Estimation of plant sterol and
- cholesterol intake in Finland: quality of new values and their effect on intake. Brit J
- Nutr. 2004;92:671-678.
- 554 10. Klingberg S, Andersson H, Mulligan A, et al. Food sources of plant sterols in the
- EPIC Norfolk population. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008;62:695-703.
- 556 11. Ostlund RE, Jr., Lin X. Regulation of cholesterol absorption by phytosterols. Curr
- 557 Atheroscler Rep. 2006;8:487-491.
- Lecerf JM, de Lorgeril M. Dietary cholesterol: from physiology to cardiovascular risk.
- 559 Br J Nutr. 2011;106:6-14.

- Miettinen TA, Tilvis RS, Kesaniemi YA. Serum cholestanol and plant sterol levels in
- relation to cholesterol metabolism in middle-aged men. Metabolism. 1989;38:136-140.
- 14. Matthan NR, Lichtenstein AH. Approaches to measuring cholesterol absorption in
- 563 humans. Atherosclerosis. 2004;174:197-205.
- 15. Ikeda I, Tanabe Y, Sugano M. Effects of sitosterol and sitostanol on micellar solubility
- of cholesterol. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol. 1989;35:361-369.
- 566 16. Nissinen M, Gylling H, Vuoristo M, Miettinen TA. Micellar distribution of cholesterol
- and phytosterols after duodenal plant stanol ester infusion. Am J Physiol Gastrointest
- Liver Physiol. 2002;282:G1009-1015.
- 569 17. De Smet E, Mensink RP, Plat J. Effects of plant sterols and stanols on intestinal
- 570 cholesterol metabolism: suggested mechanisms from past to present. Mol Nutr Food
- Francisco Res. 2012;56:1058-1072.
- 572 18. Field FJ, Mathur SN. beta-sitosterol: esterification by intestinal acylcoenzyme A:
- 573 cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT) and its effect on cholesterol esterification. J Lipid
- 574 Res. 1983;24:409-417.
- 575 19. Plat J, Mensink RP. Increased intestinal ABCA1 expression contributes to the
- decrease in cholesterol absorption after plant stanol consumption. FASEB J.
- 577 2002;16:1248-1253.
- 578 20. Plat J, Nichols JA, Mensink RP. Plant sterols and stanols: effects on mixed micellar
- composition and LXR (target gene) activation. J Lipid Res. 2005;46:2468-2476.
- 580 21. Xu Z, Le K, Moghadasian MH. Long-term phytosterol treatment alters gene
- expression in the liver of apo E-deficient mice. J Nutr Biochem. 2008;19:545-554.
- Lee RG, Willingham MC, Davis MA, Skinner KA, Rudel LL. Differential expression
- of ACAT1 and ACAT2 among cells within liver, intestine, kidney, and adrenal of
- nonhuman primates. J Lipid Res. 2000;41:1991-2001.

- 585 23. Temel RE, Gebre AK, Parks JS, Rudel LL. Compared with Acyl-CoA:cholesterol O-
- acyltransferase (ACAT) 1 and lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase, ACAT2 displays
- the greatest capacity to differentiate cholesterol from sitosterol. J Biol Chem.
- 588 2003;278:47594-47601.
- 589 24. Field FJ, Born E, Mathur SN. LXR/RXR ligand activation enhances basolateral efflux
- of beta-sitosterol in CaCo-2 cells. *J Lipid Res.* 2004;45:905-913.
- 591 25. Mattson FH, Grundy SM, Crouse JR. Optimizing the effect of plant sterols on
- cholesterol absorption in man. Am J Clin Nutr. 1982;35:697-700.
- 593 26. Heinemann T, Kullak-Ublick GA, Pietruck B, von Bergmann K. Mechanisms of
- action of plant sterols on inhibition of cholesterol absorption. Comparison of sitosterol
- and sitostanol. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1991;40 Suppl 1:S59-63.
- 596 27. Jones PJ, Raeini-Sarjaz M, Ntanios FY, Vanstone CA, Feng JY, Parsons WE.
- Modulation of plasma lipid levels and cholesterol kinetics by phytosterol versus
- 598 phytostanol esters. J Lipid Res. 2000;41:697-705.
- 599 28. O'Neill FH, Sanders TA, Thompson GR. Comparison of efficacy of plant stanol ester
- and sterol ester: short-term and longer-term studies. Am J Cardiol. 2005;96:29D-36D.
- 601 29. Ellegard L, Andersson H, Bosaeus I. Rapeseed oil, olive oil, plant sterols, and
- cholesterol metabolism: an ileostomy study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2005;59:1374-1378.
- 603 30. Miettinen TA, Vuoristo M, Nissinen M, Jarvinen HJ, Gylling H. Serum, biliary, and
- fecal cholesterol and plant sterols in colectomized patients before and during
- consumption of stanol ester margarine. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;71:1095-1102.
- 606 31. Ostlund RE, Jr., McGill JB, Zeng CM, et al. Gastrointestinal absorption and plasma
- kinetics of soy Delta(5)-phytosterols and phytostanols in humans. Am J Physiol
- Endocrinol Metab. 2002;282:E911-916.

- 609 32. Chan YM, Varady KA, Lin Y, et al. Plasma concentrations of plant sterols: physiology
- and relationship with coronary heart disease. Nutr Rev. 2006;64:385-402.
- Tammi A, Ronnemaa T, Valsta L, et al. Dietary plant sterols alter the serum plant
- sterol concentration but not the cholesterol precursor sterol concentrations in young
- children (the STRIP Study). Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project.
- 614 J Nutr. 2001;131:1942-1945.
- 615 34. Srivastava SA, Burgess JC, Gyi KM, Hodson ME, Bilton D, Baker EH. Relationship
- between Hba1c and Lung Disease in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis Not Known to Have
- Diabetes. Thorax. 2009;64:A112-A112.
- 618 35. Olsen BN, Schlesinger PH, Baker NA. Perturbations of membrane structure by
- cholesterol and cholesterol derivatives are determined by sterol orientation. J Am
- 620 Chem Soc. 2009;131:4854-4865.
- 621 36. Berge KE, Tian H, Graf GA, et al. Accumulation of dietary cholesterol in
- sitosterolemia caused by mutations in adjacent ABC transporters. Science.
- 623 2000;290:1771-1775.
- 624 37. Lee MH, Lu K, Hazard S, et al. Identification of a gene, ABCG5, important in the
- regulation of dietary cholesterol absorption. Nat Genet. 2001;27:79-83.
- 626 38. Hubacek JA, Berge KE, Cohen JC, Hobbs HH. Mutations in ATP-cassette binding
- proteins G5 (ABCG5) and G8 (ABCG8) causing sitosterolemia. Hum Mutat.
- 628 2001;18:359-360.
- 629 39. Kesaniemi YA, Ehnholm C, Miettinen TA. Intestinal cholesterol absorption efficiency
- in man is related to apoprotein E phenotype. J Clin Invest. 1987;80:578-581.
- 631 40. Miettinen TA, Gylling H, Nissinen MJ. The role of serum non-cholesterol sterols as
- surrogate markers of absolute cholesterol synthesis and absorption. Nutr Metab
- 633 Cardiovasc Dis. 2011;21:765-769.

- Uusitupa MI, Miettinen TA, Happonen P, et al. Lathosterol and other noncholesterol sterols during treatment of hypercholesterolemia with lovastatin alone and with cholestyramine or guar gum. Arterioscler Thromb. 1992;12:807-813.
- Vanhanen H, Miettinen TA. Pravastatin and lovastatin similarly reduce serum

 cholesterol and its precursor levels in familial hypercholesterolaemia. Eur J Clin

 Pharmacol. 1992;42:127-130.
- Lupattelli G, De Vuono S, Mannarino E. Patterns of cholesterol metabolism:

 pathophysiological and therapeutic implications for dyslipidemias and the metabolic

 syndrome. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2011;21:620-627.
- Lupattelli G, Pirro M, Siepi D, et al. Non-cholesterol sterols in different forms of
 primary hyperlipemias. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2012;22:231-236.
- Cofan M, Escurriol V, Garcia-Otin AL, et al. Association of plasma markers of
 cholesterol homeostasis with metabolic syndrome components. A cross-sectional
 study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2011;21:651-657.
- 648 46. Rideout TC, Harding SV, Mackay D, Abumweis SS, Jones PJ. High basal fractional 649 cholesterol synthesis is associated with nonresponse of plasma LDL cholesterol to 650 plant sterol therapy. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92:41-46.
- 47. Pelletier X, Belbraouet S, Mirabel D, et al. A diet moderately enriched in phytosterols
 lowers plasma cholesterol concentrations in normocholesterolemic humans. Ann Nutr
 Metab. 1995;39:291-295.
- Sanclemente T, Marques-Lopes I, Fajo-Pascual M, et al. Naturally-occurring
 phytosterols in the usual diet influence cholesterol metabolism in healthy subjects.
 Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2012;22:849-855.

- 657 49. Ostlund RE, Jr., Racette SB, Okeke A, Stenson WF. Phytosterols that are naturally
- present in commercial corn oil significantly reduce cholesterol absorption in humans.
- 659 Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;75:1000-1004.
- 660 50. Howell TJ, MacDougall DE, Jones PJ. Phytosterols partially explain differences in
- cholesterol metabolism caused by corn or olive oil feeding. J Lipid Res. 1998;39:892-
- 662 900.
- 51. Sierksma A, Weststrate JA, Meijer GW. Spreads enriched with plant sterols, either
- esterified 4,4-dimethylsterols or free 4-desmethylsterols, and plasma total- and LDL-
- cholesterol concentrations. Br J Nutr. 1999;82:273-282.
- 52. Jakulj L, Trip MD, Sudhop T, Von Bergmann K, Kastelein JJP, Vissers MN.
- Inhibition of cholesterol absorption by the combination of dietary plant sterols and
- ezetimibe: Effects on plasma lipid levels. J Lipid Res. 2005;46:2692-2698.
- Thomsen AB, Hansen HB, Christiansen C, Green H, Berger A. Effect of free plant
- sterols in low-fat milk on serum lipid profile in hypercholesterolemic subjects. Eur J
- 671 Clin Nutr. 2004;58:860-870.
- 54. Zhao HL, Houweling AH, Vanstone CA, et al. Genetic variation in ABC G5/G8 and
- NPC1L1 impact cholesterol response to plant sterols in hypercholesterolemic men.
- 674 Lipids. 2008;43:1155-1164.
- 675 55. Naumann E, Plat J, Kester AD, Mensink RP. The baseline serum lipoprotein profile is
- related to plant stanol induced changes in serum lipoprotein cholesterol and
- 677 triacylglycerol concentrations. J Am Coll Nutr. 2008;27:117-126.
- 56. Fuentes F, Lopez-Miranda J, Garcia A, et al. Basal plasma concentrations of plant
- sterols can predict LDL-C response to sitosterol in patients with familial
- 680 hypercholesterolemia. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008;62:495-501.

- 681 57. Mackay DS, Gebauer SK, Eck PK, Baer DJ, Jones PJ. Lathosterol-to-cholesterol ratio
- in serum predicts cholesterol-lowering response to plant sterol consumption in a dual-
- center, randomized, single-blind placebo-controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr.
- 684 2015;101:432-439.
- 58. Zhao HL, Houweling AH, Vanstone CA, et al. Action of plant sterol intervention on
- sterol kinetics in hypercholesterolemic men with high versus low basal circulatory
- plant sterol concentrations. J Am Coll Nutr. 2011;30:155-165.
- 688 59. Gylling H, Hallikainen M, Pihlajamaki J, et al. Insulin sensitivity regulates cholesterol
- metabolism to a greater extent than obesity: lessons from the METSIM Study. J Lipid
- 690 Res. 2010;51:2422-2427.
- 691 60. Hernandez-Mijares A, Banuls C, Jover A, et al. Low intestinal cholesterol absorption
- is associated with a reduced efficacy of phytosterol esters as hypolipemic agents in
- patients with metabolic syndrome. Clin Nutr. 2011;30:604-609.
- 694 61. Sialvera TE, Pounis GD, Koutelidakis AE, et al. Phytosterols supplementation
- decreases plasma small and dense LDL levels in metabolic syndrome patients on a
- 696 westernized type diet. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2012;22:843-848.
- 697 62. Plat J, Mackay D, Baumgartner S, Clifton PM, Gylling H, Jones PJ. Progress and
- prospective of plant sterol and plant stanol research: report of the Maastricht meeting.
- 699 Atherosclerosis. 2012;225:521-533.
- 700 63. Baker WL, Baker EL, Coleman CI. The effect of plant sterols or stanols on lipid
- 701 parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Res Clin Pract.
- 702 2009;84:e33-37.
- 703 64. Hallikainen M, Lyyra-Laitinen T, Laitinen T, Moilanen L, Miettinen TA, Gylling H.
- Effects of plant stanol esters on serum cholesterol concentrations, relative markers of

- cholesterol metabolism and endothelial function in type 1 diabetes. Atherosclerosis.
- 706 2008;199:432-439.
- 707 65. Miettinen TA, Gylling H, Tuominen J, Simonen P, Koivisto V. Low synthesis and
- high absorption of cholesterol characterize type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care.
- 709 2004;27:53-58.
- 710 66. Stalenhoef AF, Hectors M, Demacker PN. Effect of plant sterol-enriched margarine on
- 711 plasma lipids and sterols in subjects heterozygous for phytosterolaemia. J Intern Med.
- 712 2001;249:163-166.
- 713 67. Kwiterovich PO, Jr., Chen SC, Virgil DG, Schweitzer A, Arnold DR, Kratz LE.
- Response of obligate heterozygotes for phytosterolemia to a low-fat diet and to a plant
- sterol ester dietary challenge. J Lipid Res. 2003;44:1143-1155.
- 716 68. Kratz M, Kannenberg F, Gramenz E, et al. Similar serum plant sterol responses of
- 717 human subjects heterozygous for a mutation causing sitosterolemia and controls to
- diets enriched in plant sterols or stanols. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2007;61:896-905.
- 719 69. Myrie SB, Mymin D, Triggs-Raine B, Jones PJ. Serum lipids, plant sterols, and
- cholesterol kinetic responses to plant sterol supplementation in phytosterolemia
- heterozygotes and control individuals. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012;95:837-844.
- 722 70. Moruisi KG, Oosthuizen W, Opperman AM. Phytosterols/stanols lower cholesterol
- concentrations in familial hypercholesterolemic subjects: a systematic review with
- 724 meta-analysis. J Am Coll Nutr. 2006;25:41-48.
- 725 71. Amundsen AL, Ose L, Nenseter MS, Ntanios FY. Plant sterol ester-enriched spread
- lowers plasma total and LDL cholesterol in children with familial
- hypercholesterolemia. Am J Clin Nutr. 2002;76:338-344.

- 728 72. de Jongh S, Vissers MN, Rol P, Bakker HD, Kastelein JJ, Stroes ES. Plant sterols
- lower LDL cholesterol without improving endothelial function in prepubertal children
- with familial hypercholesterolaemia. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2003;26:343-351.
- 73. Gylling H, Siimes MA, Miettinen TA. Sitostanol ester margarine in dietary treatment
- of children with familial hypercholesterolemia. J Lipid Res. 1995;36:1807-1812.
- 733 74. Guardamagna O, Abello F, Baracco V, et al. Primary hyperlipidemias in children:
- effect of plant sterol supplementation on plasma lipids and markers of cholesterol
- 735 synthesis and absorption. Acta Diabetol. 2011;48:127-133.
- 736 75. Vanhanen HT, Blomqvist S, Ehnholm C, et al. Serum cholesterol, cholesterol
- precursors, and plant sterols in hypercholesterolemic subjects with different apoE
- phenotypes during dietary sitostanol ester treatment. J Lipid Res. 1993;34:1535-1544.
- 739 76. Miettinen TA, Vanhanen H. Dietary sitostanol related to absorption, synthesis and
- serum level of cholesterol in different apolipoprotein E phenotypes. Atherosclerosis.
- 741 1994;105:217-226.
- 742 77. Ishiwata K, Homma Y, Ishikawa T, Nakamura H, Handa S. Influence of
- apolipoprotein E phenotype on metabolism of lipids and apolipoproteins after plant
- stanol ester ingestion in Japanese subjects. Nutrition. 2002;18:561-565.
- 745 78. Tammi A, Ronnemaa T, Miettinen TA, et al. Effects of gender, apolipoprotein E
- phenotype and cholesterol-lowering by plant stanol esters in children: the STRIP
- study. Special Turku Coronary Risk Factor Intervention Project. Acta Paediatr.
- 748 2002;91:1155-1162.
- 749 79. Geelen A, Zock PL, de Vries JH, Katan MB. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and
- serum lipid response to plant sterols in humans. Eur J Clin Invest. 2002;32:738-742.
- 751 80. Plat J, Mensink RP. Relationship of genetic variation in genes encoding apolipoprotein
- A-IV, scavenger receptor BI, HMG-CoA reductase, CETP and apolipoprotein E with

- cholesterol metabolism and the response to plant stanol ester consumption. Eur J Clin
- 754 Invest. 2002;32:242-250.
- 755 81. Lottenberg AM, Nunes VS, Nakandakare ER, et al. The human cholesteryl ester
- transfer protein I405V polymorphism is associated with plasma cholesterol
- concentration and its reduction by dietary phytosterol esters. J Nutr. 2003;133:1800-
- 758 1805.
- 759 82. Sanchez-Muniz FJ, Maki KC, Schaefer EJ, Ordovas JM. Serum lipid and antioxidant
- responses in hypercholesterolemic men and women receiving plant sterol esters vary
- by apolipoprotein E genotype. J Nutr. 2009;139:13-19.
- 83. Banuls C, Martinez-Triguero ML, Lopez-Ruiz A, et al. Serum lipid responses to
- phytosterol-enriched milk in a moderate hypercholesterolemic population is not
- affected by apolipoprotein E polymorphism or diameter of low-density lipoprotein
- 765 particles. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2011;65:255-261.
- MacKay DS, Eck PK, Gebauer SK, Baer DJ, Jones PJ. CYP7A1-rs3808607 and
- APOE isoform associate with LDL cholesterol lowering after plant sterol consumption
- in a randomized clinical trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2015;102:951-957.
- 769 85. Von Bergmann K, Lutjohann D, Lindenthal B, Steinmetz A. Efficiency of intestinal
- cholesterol absorption in humans is not related to apoE phenotype. J Lipid Res.
- 771 2003;44:193-197.
- 772 86. Miwa K, Inazu A, Kobayashi J, et al. ATP-binding cassette transporter G8 M429V
- polymorphism as a novel genetic marker of higher cholesterol absorption in
- hypercholesterolaemic Japanese subjects. Clin Sci (Lond). 2005;109:183-188.
- 87. Buch S, Schafmayer C, Volzke H, et al. A genome-wide association scan identifies the
- hepatic cholesterol transporter ABCG8 as a susceptibility factor for human gallstone
- 777 disease. Nat Genet. 2007;39:995-999.

- 778 88. Jakulj L, Vissers MN, Tanck MW, et al. ABCG5/G8 polymorphisms and markers of
- cholesterol metabolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Lipid Res.
- 780 2010;51:3016-3023.
- 781 89. Plat J, Bragt MCE, Mensink RP. Common sequence variations in ABCG8 are related
- to plant sterol metabolism in healthy volunteers. J Lipid Res. 2005;46:68-75.
- 783 90. Gylling H, Hallikainen M, Raitakari OT, et al. Long-term consumption of plant stanol
- and sterol esters, vascular function and genetic regulation. Br J Nutr. 2009;101:1688-
- 785 1695.
- 786 91. De Castro-Oros I, Pampin S, Cofan M, et al. Promoter variant -204A > C of the
- cholesterol 7alpha-hydroxylase gene: association with response to plant sterols in
- humans and increased transcriptional activity in transfected HepG2 cells. Clin Nutr.
- 789 2011;30:239-246.
- 790 92. Abdullah MM, Jones PJ, Eck PK. Nutrigenetics of cholesterol metabolism:
- observational and dietary intervention studies in the postgenomic era. Nutr Rev.
- 792 2015;73:523-543.
- 793 93. Qi Q, Chu AY, Kang JH, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverages and genetic risk of obesity.
- 794 N Engl J Med. 2012;367:1387-1396.

Table 1: Plant sterol content (mg/100 g) in a selection of foods (from ^{3,5})

Food	Plant sterol content
Fruits and vegetables ³	
Broccoli (frozen)	44
Green peas (frozen)	25
Orange	24
Apple	13
Cucumber	6
Tomato	5
Cereals ³	
Wheat bran	200
Swedish knackebrot	89
Wholemeal bread	53
Rolled oats	39
Wheat bread	29
Fats and oils ³ (except *)	
Corn oil	912
Rapeseed (canola) oil	668
Liquid margarine	522
Sunflower oil	213
Spreadable butter	153
Olive oil	154
Peanut butter* ⁵	146
Nuts and seeds 5	
Almond	199
Cashew	150
Hazelnut	121
Macadamia nut	187
Peanut	135
Pecan	157
Pistachio	279

Sesame seed	400
Sunflower seed kernel	270
Walnut	113

798 799

800

Plant sterol content:

for reference ³ = sum of sitosterol, campesterol, stigmasterol, sitostanol and campestanol

for reference 5 = sum of sitosterol, campesterol, stigmasterol, $\Delta 5$ -avenasterol, sitostanol,

802 campestanol, other sterols

803	Figure legends
804	Figure 1: Structure of sterols and stanols
805	
806	Figure 2: LDL-C change (%) in response to dietary plant sterols/stanols according to
807	APOE polymorphism
808	Differences significant only for reference ⁷⁵ , ⁷⁶ and ⁸⁴ . Changes in % were found in the articles
809	except for references ^{80, 82,83,84} (calculated by the authors of the present review). More details
810	are given in Supplementary table S2 (online only).
811	