Role of glycanation and convertase maturation of the soluble Glypican-3 in inhibiting proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cells
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ABSTRACT.
Glypican 3 (GPC3) is a complex heparan sulfate proteoglycan associated with the outer surface of the plasma membrane by a glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchor (GPI). It is also N-glycosylated and processed by a furine-like convertase. GPC3 has numerous biological functions. While undetectable in normal liver tissue, it is abnormally and highly overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Interestingly, proliferation of HCC cells such as HepG2 and HuH7 is inhibited when they express a soluble form of GPC3 after lentiviral transduction. To get more insight into the role of some of its post-translational modifications, we have designed a mutant GPC3, sGPC3m, without its GPI anchor, convertase cleavage site and glycosaminoglycan chains. The highly pure sGPC3m protein strongly inhibited HuH7 and HepG2 cell proliferation in vitro, and induced a significant increase in their cell doubling time. It changed the HuH7 cell morphology but not that of HepG2. It induced HuH7 cell nuclear area oversize and adherent cell junction restructuring. Unexpectedly, for both cell types the apoptosis, cell division and the β-catenin levels were not altered though the growth inhibition was very efficient. Overall, our data show that glycanation and convertase maturation are not required for sGPC3m to inhibit HCC cell proliferation.
INTRODUCTION.

Glypican 3 (GPC3) is a complex heparan-sulfate proteoglycan that plays a crucial role in cell homeostasis and tissue development. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchors this glycoprotein in the plasma membrane. Its role was extended to the control of growth factor/receptor interactions, among which are Wnt, Hedgehog (Hh), bone morphogenetic proteins (BMP) and fibroblast growth factors (FGF). GPC3 acts upstream of these key signaling pathways that are linked to proliferation, differentiation, migration, gene expression, and pathologies such as cancers. The role of GPC3 in the control of cell biology has been highlighted by "loss-of-function" mutations affecting the GPC3 gene in the X-linked genetic Simpson-Golabi-Behmel Syndrome (SGBS).

GPC3 is also involved in several cancers in different ways. In lung and breast cancers, it acts as a tumor gene suppressor. On the contrary, it is an oncogene in liver tumors, including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and hepatoblastoma, for which GPC3 mRNA and protein are abnormally overexpressed. In these hepatic tumors, the Wnt pathway is frequently overactivated. GPC3 constitutes a highly relevant molecular target and a widely used biomarker (see for examples).

These opposite characteristics of GPC3 in cancers could be related to its post-translational modifications (PTM) that could influence its partnership with different soluble ligands and membranous proteins. Those PTM are depicted in Figure 1, where GPC3 is modelled using the atomic structure obtained for the human GPC1. GPC3 follows the secretory pathway and the N-terminal leader peptide is removed after GPC3 has reached the plasma membrane as a GPI-anchored protein. The anchor can be cleaved to release soluble GPC3 (sGPC3) in the extracellular matrix. GPC3 can also be proteolyzed by a furin-like convertase between R355 and R358, which generates two fragments of approximately 38 kDa (N-ter fragment) and 22 kDa (C-ter fragment). The two peptides remain linked thanks to disulfide bridges established through 14 perfectly conserved cysteines. This processing would be essential for survival of non HCC cells and for Wnt signaling modulation but not required for HCC cell growth stimulation and Wnt activation. GPC3 is N- and O-glycosylated. The attachment sites and composition of N-glycans are unknown. The HSGAG chains are linear repeats of variably sulphated disaccharide units (mainly glucuronic acid linked to N-acetylglucosamine) attached to S and S and facilitate Wnt and Hh binding.
Figure 1: Schematic representation of GPC3. Inset: sGPC3m, after purification by Ni-NTA chromatography, analyzed by SDS-PAGE and (1) Coomassie blue staining or (2) western blotting with anti-GPC3 antibodies from Biomosaics.

Capurro et al. have shown that GPC3 associates with Wnt ligands and the Frizzled receptors and must be anchored to the membrane to stimulate the Wnt pathway. As a consequence, Zitterman et al. hypothesized that a soluble form of GPC3 secreted in the extracellular medium would titrate Wnt from the cell surface of HCC cells and thus, prevent Wnt activation and tumoral hepatic cell proliferation. Moreover, they observed that various HCC cells infected with lentiviruses allowing the expression of a soluble GPC3 (sGPC3, no GPI anchor) exhibited a lower proliferation rate. Later on, Feng et al. reported in a short communication that a partially purified recombinant sGPC3 without its last 25 amino acids (sGPC3) decreased HepG2 cell proliferation, thus suggesting that the sGPC3 protein itself mediates this effect.

To clearly establish that the protein itself accounts for the inhibitory effect and investigate more in depth the role of the PTM in this process, we designed a mutant, named sGPC3m, devoid of HSGAG chains, GPI anchor and proprotein convertase site. We obtained a highly pure protein and showed that the HSGAG chains and the convertase processing are not requisite to mediate inhibition by sGPC3. Interestingly, we observed no activation of apoptotic mechanisms or cell cycle arrest or important modification of the Wnt/ß-catenin pathway. Rather, sGPC3m increased the doubling time and induced important morphological changes of HuH7 cells including an apparent increase in the nuclear area of 20 % and a modification of the cell-cell junctions. Finally, our results clearly state the role of the sGPC3m protein itself in inhibiting HCC cell growth, with no need of HSGAG addition or convertase maturation.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.

Cell lines, plasmid constructs, lentiviral production and titration, and cell transduction

The hepatoma-derived HuH7 and HepG2 cell lines were cultured in the D-MEM GlutaMAX™ medium (GIBCO) containing 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS) supplemented with 100 µg/ml penicillin and streptomycin antibiotics (complete medium) at 37 °C with 5 % CO2. The sGPC3m encoding gene was chemically synthesized by the GeneScript Company. It was subcloned into the BamHI and XbaI sites of the pL-eGFP-GLO plasmid, to be placed under the control of the long human elongation factor 1 (EF1) alpha promotor. Production and titration of infectious lentiviral particles, as well as biosafety considerations, procedures and policies have been described previously. Lentiviral particles were added to the Gibco® 293-F cells and incubated for 24 h in the complete medium. The cells were then washed twice with 1X PBS and adapted to the Freestyle™293 expression medium (Gibco™) medium.

Production and purification of sGPC3m

The Gibco® 293-F cells stably expressing sGPC3m were incubated for five days at 37 °C with 8 % CO2 in the Freestyle™293 expression medium (Gibco™). After the cells were centrifuged, the culture medium was equilibrated with 350 mM NaCl in Buffer A (50 mM NH4HCO3, pH 7.5, 5 % methanol). After loading, the Ni-NTA (nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid) resin (QIAGEN®) was washed with 350 mM NaCl in Buffer A, then with 150 mM NaCl in Buffer A. sGPC3m was eluted with a linear gradient from 100 % of buffer A containing 50 mM NaCl to 50 % of buffer B (50 mM NH4CH3COOH, pH 4.5, 50 mM NaCl and 5 % methanol). The fractions containing sGPC3m were pooled and concentrated in Buffer C (150 mM NaCl in Buffer A) and in Vivaspin® 15R units from Sartorius (MMCO: 10,000 Da). The protein concentration was calculated with the molar absorption coefficient at 280 nm, ε = 54,290 M
\times cm^{-1} or 0.85 ml\times mg\times cm^{-1}, which was deduced from the sGPC3m content in tyrosine, tryptophan and cystine, according to Pace et al.\textsuperscript{28}.

**Mass spectrometry analyses**

Purified sGPC3m was digested with five different proteases \textit{i.e.} trypsin (Sigma), chymotrypsin, GluC, elastase and thermolysin (Promega). The resulting peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS on a Q-Exactive (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) mass spectrometer. Data were searched through Proteome Discoverer 2.1 using Sequest as database search engine. Byonic (ProteinMetrics) was also used for intact glycopeptide detection.

**Cell proliferation inhibition assays**

20,000 to 50,000 cell/ml were incubated in the complete medium to which was added Buffer C (< 5 % of total volume) containing sGPC3m (5 to 40 µg/ml) or not (control). After one to six days of growth, cells were trypsinized and counted. The percentage of proliferation (% of control) was the ratio of the number of cells counted in the presence of sGPC3m (N\textsubscript{sGPC3m}) to the number of cells counted in the absence of sGPC3m (N\textsubscript{c}) for a given day: % proliferation = 100 \times \frac{N\textsubscript{sGPC3m}}{N\textsubscript{c}}. The proliferation inhibition was I (%) = 100 \times (1-(N\textsubscript{sGPC3m}/N\textsubscript{c})). The inhibition curve as a function of time was analyzed as a first order process: I = I\textsubscript{Max}(1-e^{-kt}), where I is the percentage of proliferation inhibition, I\textsubscript{Max} the maximum of inhibition, k, the first order kinetic constant, and t the number of incubation days. The inhibition curve as a function of sGPC3m concentration was analyzed with a model of a single class of binding site for sGPC3m: I = I\textsubscript{Max} \times \frac{[sGPC3m]}{IC\textsubscript{50} + [sGPC3m]}, where I is the percentage of inhibition, IC\textsubscript{50} the concentration inducing half of the maximum of inhibition (I\textsubscript{Max}) and [sGPC3m] the inhibitor concentration.

**Cell biomass**

Cell biomass was measured with the Sulforhodamine B based \textit{In vitro} Toxicology Assay Kit (Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The dye binds to cellular proteins and is then solubilized in a base. The absorbance of the dye is measured at a wavelength of 565 nm and is proportional to the cell biomass of viable cells.

**Flow cytometry**

Cells (50,000/ml) were grown in the complete medium in the absence (control) or in the presence of sGPC3m (20 µg/ml) for four days. The apoptotic and necrotic cells were identified with the PE Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I from BD Pharmingen according to the manufacturer recommendation. The proportions of cells in each part of the cell cycle were determined with the BrdU Flow kit from BD Pharmingen. Cells were then analyzed with a Fluorescence-activated cell sorter (BD FACSCanto II) and the BD FACSDiva software.

**Hoechst staining**

Nuclear DNA was visualized by Hoechst staining. HuH7 cells were seeded on sterile coverslips in 12-well plates containing the complete medium to which was added buffer C alone (control) or supplemented with 20 or 30 µg/ml sGPC3m. After four days of growth, the cells were fixed for 10 min with 4 % paraformaldehyde, washed twice with PBS, and stained with Hoechst 33258 (1/1000) for 5 min at room temperature. The stained cells were washed twice with PBS. The coverslips were mounted with 10 µl of Dako\textsuperscript{+} medium and were examined under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon). The captured images were analyzed with the ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) to estimate the nuclear DNA area. At least 120 (control) or 210 (sGPC3m) cells were analyzed.
**Cell extracts**

Cells were lysed with the cell lysis buffer from Cell Signaling Technology in the presence of the cOmplete™ ULTRA, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail and the PhosSTOP™ phosphatase inhibitor from Roche. After a brief sonication on ice and centrifugation at 4°C, the protein concentration in the supernatant was determined with the Bicinchoninic Acid reagent from Sigma.

**Antibodies, cell immunostaining and western blot analyses**

Whole cell extracts (40 µg of proteins/lane) were loaded on a 4-20 % SDS–PAGE. After blotting, the nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare) were saturated with 5 % bovine serum albumin in PBS-Tween and successively incubated with the indicated primary antibodies and the adequate horseradish peroxidase-coupled or fluorescent secondary antibodies. Enhanced chemiluminescence signals were detected using the GeneGnome XRQ from Syngene and quantified using the ImageJ software. Anti-GPC3 antibodies were from Biomosaics, anti-pentaHis from GE-Healthcare, anti-FN1, anti-Wnt3a and anti-β-catenin were from R&D Systems, anti-E-cadherin from BD Biosciences, anti-ZO-1 from Invitrogen, anti Akt, Erk, pAkt and pErk from Cell Signaling Technology and anti-actin from Sigma. Blots decorated with fluorescent secondary antibodies were analyzed with the Odyssey system (Li-Cor Biosciences). After blotting, membranes were stained with SYPRO Ruby Protein Blot Stain (Invitrogen) and scanned with the Molecular Imager PharosFX (Bio-Rad) for the quantification of protein loading. Specific antibody signals were normalized on total protein amount on each entire lane or on actin content for each lane.

For immunofluorescence studies, cells were grown on microscope coverslips, rinsed with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2 % Triton-X100, treated with the primary antibody and then with the fluorescent secondary antibody. Coverslips were counterstained with DAPI and mounted in Fluoromount-G (Molecular Probes) before observation and photo capture under an epifluorescence microscope (magnification ×63) or a confocal microscope.

**Statistical analyses**

All analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software. Data are represented as mean with standard deviation (SD) from at least three independent experiments. When experiment contained three groups of values or more, regular two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for the comparison of multiple means. Means were considered significantly different if p-value < 0.05. The ANOVA test was followed by a Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison post-test and all data or selected pairs of data were compared. Two groups of values were compared with an unpaired T-test.
RESULTS.

Design, production and purification of sGPC3m

We designed a gene encoding a soluble form of GPC3, sGPC3m, of which the GPI attachment sequence was replaced with the TEV protease recognition and cleavage sites, followed by a stretch of 6 histidines. Both HSGAG attachments sites (S495 and S509) were mutated into alanines. GPC3 is proteolyzed by a furin-like convertase. This process is partial in vivo and dispensable to some GPC3 functions. Hippo et al. identified the proteolytic site as being between R358 and S359. Both arginines of the recognition site (R355-Q-Y-R358) were mutated into alanines.

sGPC3m was purified from the culture media of the stably transduced 293-F cells. A gradient of pH and imidazole applied on the Ni-NTA columns eluted two peaks (data not shown). The first one, at around pH 6.5, had a higher absorbance at 254 nm than at 280 nm and contained virtually no sGPC3m, which was eluted in the second peak. The whole fraction corresponding to peak 2 exhibited a pH value of around 5 and the absorbance at 280 nm was higher than at 254 nm. The fractions for each peak were pooled and concentrated. As shown by SDS-PAGE analyses, the second peak contained highly pure sGPC3m, whose electrophoretic migration corresponded to an apparent molecular mass comprised between 50 and 75 kDa (Figure 1, inset), consistent with the expected molecular mass (63,500 kDa) for the protein core. The apparent pI was estimated from isoelectrofocalisation analyses and was around 6. This value is close to 5.1, which corresponds to the calculated pI of the non-glycanated protein.

sGPC3m was further characterized by mass spectrometry. The results confirmed the high degree of purity of the protein preparation (99.5 %). We could clearly identify three N-glycosylation sites: Asn124, Asn241 and Asn418. The glycosyl composition was much more heterogenous for Asn124 and Asn241 than for Asn418 (Supplementary Table S1), but the precise assembly was not elucidated. The GPC3 leader sequence corresponds to the first 24 amino acids. Finally, the N-terminus of the mature protein was identified as a pyroglutamate, which corresponded to the cyclization of Gln1.

Externally added sGPC3m inhibits tumor cell proliferation

We evaluated the inhibitory properties of the pure sGPC3m on HCC cell growth. We first compared HuH7 proliferation without or with 20 µg/ml of sGPC3m during six days (Figure 2A) and observed a significant reduction in the cell number in the presence of sGPC3m suggesting that sGPC3m induced proliferation inhibition. After four days, the treated cell exhibited more heterogeneous morphology than the untreated cells: some were bigger, others more elongated and the number and area of nuclei were variable (Figure 2B). The proliferation inhibition increased with incubation duration and reached a plateau after four to five days (Figure 2C) with \( L = 56 \pm 3 \% \) in the presence of 20 µg/ml sGPC3m. The reaction rate coefficient was \( k = 0.68 \pm 0.14 \) d\(^{-1}\). The generation time, calculated from the proliferation rate after four days of growth, was 1.5 ± 0.2 days for the control cells and was increased to 2.3 ± 0.35 days and 4.4 ± 0.4 days in the presence of 20 µg/ml and 30 µg/ml of sGPC3m, respectively (Figure 2D). We checked that the externally added sGPC3m was stable during the incubation with HuH7 cells by analyzing the culture media, after one, five and six days of growth, by SDS-PAGE and immunodetection with antibodies directed against GPC3 or the polyhistidine tag. No proteolytic degradation was observed even after six days (data not shown).
Figure 2: Inhibition of HuH7 cell proliferation by externally added sGPC3m. HuH7 cells (20,000-50,000/ml) were incubated for up to six days with 20 µg/ml (A-D) or variable amounts of sGPC3m (E, F). A) N/No corresponds to the ratio between N the number of cells at the indicated time and No the number of cells inoculated at t = 0. B) HuH7 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated without (a) or with sGPC3m (b, c, d). Cells were photographed after six days under a microscope with a 10X lens. The bar stands for 200 µm. The different panels represent different fields under the microscope and they underline the heterogeneity of the cell morphology in the presence of sGPC3m. C) Kinetics of inhibition in the presence of 20 µg/ml sGPC3m. The proliferation (%) of the control was the ratio of the number of cells counted in the presence (N_{accw}) and the number of cells counted in the absence of sGPC3m (N_c) for a given day: proliferation (%) control) = \frac{100 \times N_{accw}}{N_c}. The inhibition of proliferation was (%) = 100 \times (1 - \frac{N_{accw}}{N_c}). D) The doubling time t_d was calculated after four days of growth, without (Control) or with 20 µg/ml sGPC3m using t_d = \frac{\ln(2)}{\ln(N/No)}. *** p< 0.001. E) Kinetics of inhibition after four (dotted line) and six days (continuous line) of growth in the presence of variable amounts of sGPC3m. F) HuH7 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated for five or six days without or with variable amounts of sGPC3m (10, 20, 30 µg/ml). Cell biomass was measured by sulforhodamine B staining after five and six days and compared to the percentage of proliferation after six days.

The sGPC3m-mediated proliferation inhibition on HuH7 cells was concentration-dependent after two (Figure S1), four and six days of growth (Figure 2E). We analyzed the data with a model of a single class of binding site for sGPC3m (see Materials and Methods). I_{max} was 55 ± 13 %, 63 ± 7 and 87 ± 22 % after two, four and six days of growth, respectively. The mean IC_{50} value was 8 ± 2 µg/ml (120 ± 33 nM).
The cell biomass, which reflects the cell growth, was measured after five or six days in the absence or presence of 10, 20 or 30 µg/ml of sGPC3m and compared to HuH7 cell proliferation after 6 days of growth (Figure 2F). The decrease in biomass roughly followed the decrease in proliferation, except in the presence of 10 µg/ml sGPC3m, which is close to the IC₅₀ (see above). In this case, the biomass was not significantly different from that of the control.

We then investigated the inhibition properties of sGPC3m on the hepatoblastoma cells HepG2 because they express GPC3 at a higher level than HuH7 cells. We observed a significant proliferation inhibition (Figure 3A) reaching 71 ± 11 % after seven days of growth in the presence of 30 µg/ml sGPC3m. The maximum of inhibition could not be calculated precisely and the IC₅₀ value was roughly estimated to be around 16 µg/ml (250 nM). The doubling time increased from 1.9 ± 0.1 days in the absence of sGPC3m to 2.5 ± 0.2 days and 4 ± 0.8 days in the presence of 20 µg/ml and 30 µg/ml of sGPC3m, respectively (Figure 3B). Contrarily to what was observed with the HuH7 cells, which exhibit an epithelial phenotype, added sGPC3m did not induce morphological changes of the HepG2 cells (Figure 3C), which kept growing in small clusters. Noticeably, the transformed human embryonic kidney cells HEK293T, which do not express detectable levels of GPC3, were not affected by sGPC3m treatment while the human breast cancer cells, MCF-7, were moderately and transiently inhibited (around 30 % after four days) (Data not shown). As for the HuH7 cells, the HepG2 cell biomass was reduced along with the proliferation and the amount of added sGPC3m (Figure 3D). In the end, for the investigated HCC cells, the decrease in biomass could possibly arise from cell death or cell cycle arrest induced by the externally added sGPC3m.

Figure 3: sGPC3m inhibits HepG2 proliferation. A-D) HepG2 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated without (control) or with sGPC3m (20 and 30 µg/ml) for up to seven days. The percentage of proliferation was calculated from the comparison with the control cells (100 ×
N/No). ***, p < 0.001. B) The doubling time was calculated as in the legend of Figure 2. **, p<0.01. C) HepG2 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated for six days without (control) or with 20 µg/ml sGPC3m and photographed under a microscope. The white bar stands for 200 µm. C) HepG2 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated with variable amounts of sGPC3m and incubated for six days. The proliferation and biomass rates were determined as described in the Figure 2 legend.

Then we compared different ways of adding the inhibitor to the cell cultures. HuH7 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated without or with 20 µg/ml sGPC3m and after two days of growth, either the incubation was continued (dotted line) or 20 µg/ml sGPC3m were added daily to the medium (increments, dashed line) or the medium was daily replaced with fresh medium containing 20 µg/ml sGPC3m (renewal, solid line) (Figure 4). As expected, growth proliferation in the presence of 20 µg/ml reached a plateau of around 55 ± 8 % after five days. Interestingly, upon the daily addition of 20 µg/ml sGPC3m the level of proliferation decreased to around 25 ± 2% after five days. At that time the concentration of sGPC3m was 80 µg/ml. When the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 20 µg/ml sGPC3m, we observed the same pattern of inhibition as the daily addition of 20 µg/ml sGPC3m (Figure 4, Renewal), suggesting that a new fraction of the cells was inhibited, similarly to what was observed after progressive addition of sGPC3m (Figure 4, Increments). The inhibitory effect was not linked to the addition of fresh medium since both proliferation curves (Increments and Renewal) were similar. These results suggested that a fraction of sGPC3m remained tightly associated with the cells, in one way or another, to exert a stable inhibition.

![Figure 4](image-url)

Figure 4: The proliferation inhibition is increased by sGPC3 increments or renewal of the culture medium. HuH7 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated without or with sGPC3m (20 µg/ml). After two days, the incubation was continued (dotted line) or 20 µg/ml of sGPC3 were added every day (Increments, dashed line) or the growth medium was replaced every day with fresh medium containing 20 µg/ml sGPC3m (Renewal, solid line). ***, p < 0.0001.
Externally added sGPC3m modifies the nuclear area size and distribution of HuH7 cells, but does not induce apoptosis, necrosis or changes in cell cycle of HCC cells

As shown in Figure 2B, we noticed that after six days of growth in the presence of sGPC3m, HuH7's morphology changed as opposed to untreated cells. Some cells became larger or longer, exhibiting a bigger nucleus or two or more nuclei. HuH7's nuclei were visualized using Hoechst staining after four days of growth without (control) or with 20 or 30 µg/ml sGPC3m (Figure 5A). The untreated HuH7 cells exhibited regularly shaped DNA areas while in the presence of sGPC3m, we observed binucleated or polynucleated cells, with uneven shapes and areas. The cell-permeable dye Hoechst 33258 binds to the minor groove of double-stranded DNA in living or fixed cells. This can give an estimate of the nuclear area size, by assimilating the fluorescent regions to particles, which we measured with the ImageJ software (Figure 5B). The mean particle areas of the control cells (0.59 ± 0.14 AU, arbitrary unit) were significantly different from the cells treated with sGPC3m (0.71 ± 0.22 and 0.71 ± 0.25 for 20 and 30 µg/ml, respectively). Moreover, the particle area distribution varied depending on the sGPC3m concentration. Indeed, for the control cells, it was almost Gaussian and distributed around 0.5 AU. In the presence of 20 µg/ml sGPC3m, we observed two populations distributed around 0.5 and 0.8 AU, and for 30 µg/ml, a main population distributed around 0.6 AU with a shoulder at 0.9-1.0 AU and two other minor peaks at 1.2 and 1.5 AU. The important rise in the nuclear particle area is consistent with an increase in the number of binucleated or polynucleated cells observed in the presence of sGPC3m (Figure 2B).

Figure 5: sGPC3m increases the nuclear DNA area. HuH7 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated for four days without (control) or with 20 or 30 µg/ml sGPC3m. A) After fixation, the nuclei

Figure 5: sGPC3m increases the nuclear DNA area. HuH7 cells (20,000/ml) were incubated for four days without (control) or with 20 or 30 µg/ml sGPC3m. A) After fixation, the nuclei
were stained with Hoechst and the cells were photographed (40× magnification) under a fluorescence microscope. The white bar represents 50 µm. B) Fluorescent nuclei were analyzed as particles with ImageJ, and the area was expressed in arbitrary units (AU).

Unexpectedly, the sGPC3m treated cells did not undergo cell death. As can be seen in Figure 6A, after four days of growth, HuH7 cells behave similarly in the absence or presence of 20 µg/ml sGPC3m. Indeed there was no increase in the number of cells entering necrosis or apoptosis and more than 95 % of the analyzed cells remained viable in both cases. The same conclusion holds true for HepG2 cells (Figure 6B). The question arises as to whether the progression of the cell cycle was modified by sGPC3m. The distribution of HuH7 and HepG2 cells in the cell cycle was similar, with or without added sGPC3m (Figure 6C and D), indicating that the proliferation inhibition did not result from cell cycle modification either.

Figure 6: sGPC3m does not induce cell death or modify the cell cycles. HuH7 cells (A, C) or HepG2 cells (B, D) (50,000/ml) were incubated for four days with 20 µg/ml sGPC3m. They were then processed by flow cytometry for apoptosis and necrosis analyses with the PE Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I from BD Pharmingen (A, B) or cell cycle with the BrdU Flow kit from BD Pharmingen (C, D). Each result is representative of at least three independent experiments.
sGPC3m inhibition could involve the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in the HepG2 cells but not in the HuH7 cells.

Soluble GPC3 inhibits the Wnt pathway in HuH6 and HuH7-derived tumors in mice but not in HepG2-derived tumors. To evaluate this pathway in the presence of sGPC3m, we analyzed the cell extracts from HuH7 and HepG2 cells incubated with 20 µg/ml sGPC3m for four days by SDS-PAGE and western blotting. β-catenin was detected at similar levels as well as the mesenchymal marker fibronectin 1 (FN1), as exemplified in Figure 7A and B. These levels did not change either when HuH7 cells were incubated in the presence of 40 and 50 µg/ml sGPC3m for 3, 4 and 5 days (data not shown). However, we could not reliably detect Wnt3a in the culture medium of HuH7 cells, whatever the conditions and duration of incubation (data not shown). The Wnt pathway was described as being not very active in these cells, which express a non-mutated form of β-catenin. Thus, we propose that in the HuH7 cells the inhibitory effect of sGPC3m might not involve alteration of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway. On the contrary, in the HepG2 cells, the level of Wnt3a in the culture medium increased with the sGPC3m concentration though the number of cells decreased due to proliferation inhibition (Figure 7C). The Wnt pathway was described as being very active in these cells, which contain a truncated and constitutively active form of β-catenin. Our results suggest that the HepG2 cells were offsetting the Wnt pathway inhibition by adjusting the Wnt3a levels. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that the expression of the soluble GPC3 does not modify the Wnt pathway in HepG2 cells. Besides that, there was no difference in the Erk and Akt levels and phosphorylation status (Figure 7B). Noticeably, high levels of sGPC3m were detected in extracts of both cell types (Figure 7D). The endogenous GPC3 was barely detectable in the HuH7 cells as opposed to what was observed for the HepG2 cells.

Figure 7: sGPC3mut inhibition could involve the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in HepG2 cells but not in HuH7 cells. A to C) HuH7 cell extracts were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting, after 4 days of growth without (-) or with sGPC3mut (+). Total proteins were stained with SYPRO Ruby or Ponceau Red before immunodecoration with anti actin, β-catenin antibodies (A) or with anti phosphoAKT, phosphoERK, AKT, ERK, E-cadherin, ZO-1, or or
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FN1 antibodies (B) or anti GPC3 antibodies (D). Each result is representative of at least three independent experiments. C) The culture medium (CM) of HepG2 cells (20,000/ml) incubated with 0, 10, 20 or 30 µg/ml sGPC3mut was analyzed after six days of growth by western blotting with antibodies directed against Wnt3a or GPC3. Pure sGPC3mut was loaded on the right lane.

The added sGPC3m had an electrophoretic mobility on SDS-PAGE different from that of the native GPC3, in line with the difference between their molecular masses. We analyzed the GPC3 location by confocal microscopy with an antibody directed against GPC3 (Figure 8). In the HuH7 control cells, it was mainly associated with the membranes while it was also observed within the cytoplasm of the HepG2 control cells. In the presence of sGPC3m, the fluorescence labeling in the cytoplasm was highly increased for both cell types and fluorescent dots were noticed (Figure 8). These observations suggest an efficient uptake of sGPC3m by cells during culture. Our results do not demonstrate that sGPC3m is internalized by endocytosis or by a process involving a GPC3 specific receptor. However, it is reasonable to think that the absence of the GPI anchor precludes the tight binding of sGPC3m to the membranes. We propose that sGPC3m association with the plasma membrane could precede its uptake by a still unknown mechanism.

Figure 8: Subcellular localization of GPC3 and sGPC3m in hepatic cells incubated or not with sGPC3m. HuH7 or HepG2 cells (20,000/ml) were seeded on sterile cover glasses without or with sGPC3m (20 µg/ml). After four days of growth, cells were stained with DAPI and antibodies against GPC3 (red).

sGPC3m modifies the ZO-1 distribution within the HuH7 cell junctions
According to a recent paper, cell migration and metastatic capacities of various HCC cells are proportional to the endogenous GPC3 expression levels and can be increased by adding a soluble form of the fully glycanated GPC3 outside the cells31. HepG2 cells have higher migration and invasion capacities than HuH7 cells, which express much lower levels of GPC3 and higher levels of E-cadherin31. E-cadherin, β-catenin and the tight junction protein ZO-1 (or TJP1) are among the markers of epithelial cells. We first observed that the levels of these proteins were
not modified by sGPC3m addition (Figure 7B), whatever the HCC cell type. We then analyzed their distributions by immunofluorescence. E-cadherin was expressed at the HuH7 cell junctions but also concentrated in spots in the cytoplasm and this was not modified by sGPC3m (data not shown). In the case of ZO-1, sGPC3m induced a disruption of its membranous location in favor of a more soluble distribution in HuH7 cells (Figure 9A, white arrows point to examples). This could be linked to the decrease in the cell number and to the aberrant cell shapes, as observed in Figure 2B. To quantify these observations, we measured the total length of the ZO-1 network (µm) and the surface of the DNA regions stained with DAPI (µm²) with the ImageJ software for 8 photographs under each experimental condition. The normalized ratio ZO-1/DAPI (µm/µm² or 1/µm) in the presence of sGPC3m was half of the ratio in the absence (Figure 9C). If we take into account the 20-% increase in the nuclear area size induced by sGPC3m (Figure 5), the ZO-1/DAPI ratio is diminished by 40 %, which remains significant. These results reflected a clear decrease in the continuous ZO-1 network induced by sGPC3m. In the case of the HepG2 cells, we observed no difference, induced sGPC3m, in the ZO-1 distribution, which was mainly cytoplasmic and not associated with the plasma membrane (Figure 9B).

Figure 9: sGPC3m modifies the HuH7 cell junctions but not that of the HepG2 cells. HuH7 (A, C) or HepG2 cells (B) (20,000/ml) were seeded on sterile cover glasses without or with sGPC3m (20 µg/ml). After four days of growth, cells were stained with DAPI and antibodies against ZO-1 (green) (A, B). White arrows indicate disruption of the ZO-1 network. C) The length (µm) of the ZO-1 network and the surface of the nuclear area (µm²) visualized by DAPI...
were measured with the ImageJ software for the HuH7 cells. The ZO-1/DAPI ratio was normalized to the values obtained for the control cells. **, p<0.01.

DISCUSSION

Tumoral progression is often associated with high expression of GPC3 in HCC cells and immunostaining shows that GPC3 localizes within the cytoplasm and also at the plasma membrane. The role of GPC3 in tumorigenesis is supported by the fact that its silencing by RNAi induces apoptosis in HepG2 cells. Therefore GPC3 is considered as a powerful biomarker for both HCC diagnosis and prognostic as well as an interesting therapeutic target (see for examples16,17,18,19). Yet, a soluble form of GPC3, from which the GPI anchor was removed, can revert this tumorigenicity. Indeed, its ectopic expression inhibited HCC cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo. Eventually, Feng et al. used a partially purified GPC3 and concluded that the soluble GPC3 protein itself played a role in HCC cell inhibition. Besides that, GPC3 undergoes several other PTM, whose roles vary with the GPC3 function and cellular context. Therefore, it was important to control these PTM. For this purpose, we designed a soluble mutant of GPC3 of which the HSGAG attachment sites were removed and the furin-like cleavage site was mutated.

We succeeded in obtaining a highly pure sGPC3m and confirmed the three N-glycosylation sites previously hypothesized (Asn₁²₄, Asn₂₄₁ and Asn₄₁₈) as well as the N-terminus of the mature protein (Gln²), which appeared to be blocked by a pyroglutamic acid, due to the conversion of Gln². Such a post-translational modification is present at the N-terminus of numerous peptides and proteins (neuronal peptides, amyloid-beta peptides, hormones, antibodies...)33. Yet its biological role other than in ensuring protein stability against degradation by aminopeptidases is still poorly understood. This modification could also arise from the conditions of sGPC3m production in the 293-F cells, as suggested for other proteins35. Therefore, we cannot conclude with regards to the biological relevance of this post-translational modification in the case of GPC3. The purified sGPC3m, whose convertase site was inactivated, exhibited the expected molecular mass. It underwent no degradation during storage at 4 °C for up to four months. This suggests the absence of a cryptic convertase site, unlike what was proposed for the glypican Dally-like.

The proliferation inhibition properties of the highly purified sGPC3m were examined on two HCC cells. The generation times of HuH7 and HepG2 cells practically doubled upon the addition of 30 µg/ml sGPC3m. The proliferation inhibition was concentration-dependent with an apparent IC₅₀ = 8 ± 2 µg/ml for HuH7 cells and around 16 µg/ml for HepG2 cells. Our results are in line with those of Feng et al. who observed HepG2 cell growth inhibition with a partially purified soluble GPC3 with an IC₅₀ of around 15 µg/ml. We can conclude that the convertase and HSGAG modifications are not required for inhibiting HCC cell growth in vitro. We also observed that sGPC3m did not inhibit the transformed HEK293T cell proliferation (data not shown). In the case of the breast cancer cells MCF-7, the inhibition was moderate (around 30 %) and almost disappeared after five days of incubation (data not shown). The role of glypicans in breast tumor progression is still debated and contradictory results were obtained regarding their expression. GPC3 down-regulation was described as responsible for some breast cancer development and GPC3 ectopic expression inhibited breast cancer cell growth. These results suggest an antitumor role of GPC3. Obviously sGPC3m lacks one or more modifications to be an efficient anti-breast cancer agent, and tethering to the membrane by the GPI anchor could be the prerequisite.

We observed that externally added sGPC3m was partly associated with the cells, while another part remained stable in the growth medium. Daily renewal of sGPC3m increased growth inhibition similarly to daily addition. It seems that only those cells that did not bind
sGPC3m could escape inhibition. We can conclude that when sGPC3m was stably associated with HCC cells, either trapped at the plasma membrane or located within the cytoplasm, the inhibition was irreversible. The membranous location of sGPC3m probably precedes its uptake.

Deregulation of the canonical Wnt pathway has been described for various HCC and when soluble GPC3 is ectopically expressed, it titrates Wnt3a, which was externally added to Hep3B and HepG2 cells. Thus, we supposed that externally added sGPC3m would similarly titrate Wnt3a and inhibit the Wnt pathway. Interestingly, we observed an increase in Wnt3a expression for the HepG2 cells correlating with the concentration of added sGPC3m. This suggests that these cells could be trying to overcome a possible Wnt pathway inhibition by overproducing Wnt3a. On the contrary, we could not detect Wnt3a expression for HuH7 cells and we observed no variation of the intracellular level of β-catenin, which is of the wild type in these cells. We can suggest that added sGPC3m does not dramatically modify the canonical Wnt pathway for the HuH7 cells. In line with our results, Zitterman and al. hypothesized that this pathway is not very active in HuH7-derived tumors. Several other signaling pathways are aberrantly activated in HCC such as EGF and HGF pathways. However, sGPC3m did not modify the status of Erk and Akt phosphorylation, unlike what was observed with the ectopic expression of sGPC3. One possible reason is that these growth factors bind to GPC3 through its HSGAG chains and they were removed in our studies. Investigating this hypothesis requires the construction of another mutant GPC3 with a different tag. This approach is currently under consideration, as well as global transcriptome and proteome analyses to identify targets of sGPC3m and understand more in depth its inhibitory properties.

The convertase processing is not mandatory for membrane tethered GPC3 to stimulate HCC cell proliferation and our results clearly show that it is not necessary either for soluble GPC3 to inhibit HCC cell proliferation, at least in the absence of HSGAG addition. On the other hand, convertase processing is required for GPC3 to modulate the Wnt or Hedgehog signaling pathways or cell survival of non-HCC cell lines. This could explain why we did not observe variation of the beta-catenin contents of HCC cells, and possibly of the Wnt pathway, upon incubation with sGPC3m.

sGPC3m induced changes in the HuH7 cell morphology associated with a significant increase in nuclear DNA area. However, the levels of apoptotic and necrotic cells were not modified by the addition of sGPC3m for both HCC cell types examined. The progression of the cell cycle was not modified either, supporting the idea that the main sGPC3m effect was lengthening of the HCC generation time after tight association with the cells. The presence of the HSGAG and/or the convertase maturation process appears to play a critical role in inducing cell death. We can propose that the lack of negative charges prevents sGPC3m binding to specific receptors at the cell surface, and this could be necessary to elicit cell death.

HuH7 cells are epithelial-like and as such tightly joined. When investigating different markers of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), such as E-cadherin and ZO-1, we observed no modification of their expressions. However, the tight junction protein ZO-1 is differently organized around HuH7 cells in the presence of sGPC3m. This may be related to the poorer proliferation of the HuH7 cells resulting in an increase in the generation time and in the nuclear area. The unchanged levels of E-cadherin or ZO-1 suggest that sGPC3m does not induce EMT and therefore metastatic capabilities.

In conclusion, the mutant GPC3 we designed and produced inhibits efficiently and specifically HCC cell proliferation by increasing the generation time in vitro. Clearly, we show that the convertase maturation and the HSGAG addition are dispensable to this effect. However, they seem to be crucial for the cells to enter cell death. The high degree of purity of sGPC3m preparation has allowed us to undertake structural studies (paper in preparation), which will shed light on the structure of GPC3 and open the way to the understanding of the differences between the various members of the glypican family at an atomistic level and to the design of
inhibiting peptides. Indeed, so far GPC3-based immunotherapy, though promising, has failed to improve significantly patient survival and there is still a crucial need of efficient treatments against HCC.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0Site</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Glycan</th>
<th>Byonic Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(1)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(4)</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(6)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[R].HAKNYTNAMFK.[N]</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[F].EIVVRHAKNY.[T]</td>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(2)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[F].EIVVRHAKNY.[T]</td>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[F].EIVVRHAKNY.[T]</td>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(6)Hex(3)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[F].EIVVRHAKNY.[T]</td>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(2)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N124</td>
<td>[F].EIVVRHAKNY.[T]</td>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(2)</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(3)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Fuc(2)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(4)Fuc(2)NeuGc(1)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(2)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(5)Fuc(2)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(3)</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(4)Hex(6)Fuc(3)</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(2)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuGc(1)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(2)</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(2)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(5)Fuc(1)</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(5)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(5)Fuc(2)</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(5)Fuc(3)</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(6)Hex(3)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(6)Hex(3)Fuc(2)</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(6)Hex(3)Fuc(3)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[I].NTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(7)Hex(6)</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N121</td>
<td>[V].INTTDHL.[K]</td>
<td>Elastase</td>
<td>HexNAc(2)Hex(9)</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N418</td>
<td>[E].NDTLCWNGQE.[L]</td>
<td>GluC</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(2)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N418</td>
<td>[P].VAENDT.[L]</td>
<td>Thermolysin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(2)</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N418</td>
<td>[P].VAENDT.[L]</td>
<td>Thermolysin</td>
<td>HexNAc(5)Hex(4)Fuc(1)NeuAc(1)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1: Glycan composition determined by Byonic. The search engine compares MS/MS from intact glycopeptides against a database consisting of 309 glycan structures commonly found among mammals. Only glycopeptides with Byonic score higher than 100 are shown. Peptide sequences and used proteases are also indicated. All three potential N-glycosylation sites are found glycosylated.
**Figure S1**: Inhibition of HuH7 cell proliferation by sGPC3m. HuH7 cells (20,000-50,000/ml) were incubated for two days at 37 °C, 5 % CO2 with variable amounts of sGPC3m (0 to 40 µg/ml).