

Investigation of the impact of PTMs on the protein backbone conformation

Pierrick Craveur, Tarun Narwani, Joseph Rebehmed, Alexandre de Brevern

► To cite this version:

Pierrick Craveur, Tarun Narwani, Joseph Rebehmed, Alexandre de Brevern. Investigation of the impact of PTMs on the protein backbone conformation. Amino Acids, 2019, 51 (7), pp.1065-1079. 10.1007/s00726-019-02747-w. inserm-02266176

HAL Id: inserm-02266176 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-02266176

Submitted on 13 Aug 2019 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Investigate the impact of PTMs on the protein backbone conformation.

Pierrick Craveur^{1,2,3,4,5,#}, Tarun J. Narwani^{1,2,3,4,#}, Joseph Rebehmed^{1,2,3,4,6,+}

& Alexandre G. de Brevern ^{1,2,3,4,+,*}

¹ INSERM, U 1134, DSIMB, F-75739 Paris, France.

² Univ Paris, Univ de la Réunion, Univ des Antilles, UMR_S 1134, F-75739 Paris, France.

³ Institut National de la Transfusion Sanguine (INTS), F-75739 Paris, France.

⁴ Laboratoire d'Excellence GR-Ex, F-75739 Paris, France.

⁵ Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA.

⁶ Department of Computer Science and Mathematics, Lebanese American University, Byblos 1h401 2010, Lebanon.

Short title: flexibility & PTMs

[#]: Both first authors contributed equally

⁺: Both last authors contributed equally

* <u>Corresponding author</u>: Dr. de Alexandre G. de Brevern, INSERM UMR_S 1134, DSIMB, Université de Paris, Institut National de Transfusion Sanguine (INTS), 6, rue Alexandre Cabanel, 75739 Paris cedex 15, France e-mail : <u>alexandre.debrevern@univ-paris-diderot.fr</u> Tel: +33(1) 44 49 30 38 / Fax: +33(1) 47 34 74 31

Key words: rigidity, mobility, deformability, N-glycosylation, phosphorylation, methylation, statistics, renin endopeptidase, liver carboxylesterase, cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2), actin.

Abstract

Post-Translational Modifications (PTMs) are known to play a critical role in the regulation of the protein functions. Their impact on protein structures, and their link to disorder regions have already been spotted on the past decade. Nonetheless, the high diversity of PTMs types, and the multiple schemes of protein modifications (multiple PTMs, of different types, at different time, etc) make difficult the direct confrontation of PTM annotations and protein structures data.

We so analyzed the impact of the residue modifications on the protein structures at local level. Thanks to a dedicated structure database, namely PTM-SD, a large screen of PTMs have been done and analyze at a local protein conformation levels using the structural alphabet Protein Blocks (PBs). We investigated the relation between PTMs and the backbone conformation of modified residues, of their local environment, and at the level of the complete protein structure. The two main PTM types (N-glycosylation and phosphorylation) have been studied in non-redundant datasets, and then, 4 different proteins were focused, covering 3 types of PTMs: N-glycosylation in renin endopeptidase and liver carboxylesterase, phosphorylation in cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2), and methylation in actin. We observed that PTMs could either stabilize or destabilize the backbone structure, at a local and global scale, and that these effects depend on the PTM types.

Introduction

After its synthesis, a protein can undergo reversible or irreversible covalent modifications, namely Post-Translational Modifications (PTMs). In some cases, such as Nglycosylation, the modifications take place during the translation, but are commonly included under the term PTMs. The modifications alter the physicochemical properties of the proteins and thereby regulate enzymatic activity, cellular localization and intermolecular interactions (Deribe et al. 2010; Duan and Walther 2015; Zhao et al. 2010). This wide range of functions is reflected by the high diversity of PTMs depending on the cell type, the tissue, and the organism in which proteins are synthesized. Additionally a protein could be modified in many ways and at different residue positions over time. The same position may also undergo changes of different kinds. However, changes may be specific to certain amino acids, like Nglycosylation found on asparagine in the specific consensus sequence Asn-X-Ser/Thr where X can be any amino acid residue but proline (Moremen et al. 2012). PTMs are extremely diverse, ranging from the addition of a small group of atoms, such as phosphorylation (Humphrey et al. 2015), to the attachment of bulkier oligosaccharide by glycosylation (Imberty 1997). PTMs are essential to regulate biological functions, such as DNA transcription by histone methylation and demethylation, acetylation or phosphorylation (Bannister and Kouzarides 2011; Mijakovic et al. 2016), nuclear-cytosolic or extra-cytosolic transport by SUMOylation (Hendriks and Vertegaal 2016; McIntyre et al. 2015) or glycosylation (Dewald et al. 2016; Imberty and Perez 1995), tagging proteins for degradation by ubiquitination (Zhou and Zeng 2016), and regulation of kinase activity with phosphorylation (Krupa et al. 2004). PTMs are also associated with major human diseases such as cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disorders and Alzheimer's disease (Kamath et al. 2011; Li et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2011).

In context of protein function, this diversity leads to cooperative mechanisms of PTMs

such as competition for serine and threonine residues between phosphorylation and Oglycosylation (Butt et al. 2012; Zeidan and Hart 2010); ubiquitination favored over phosphorylation leading to protein degradation (Vodermaier 2004), or the interactions between PTMs regulating the activity of the p53 protein and Histones (Brooks and Gu 2003; Latham and Dent 2007). These observations suggest towards the existence of a PTM-code (Creixell and Linding 2012; Minguez and Bork 2017; Nussinov et al. 2012), which is based on the presence and association of several PTMs leading to the realization of particular functions. Recently, the increasing number of annotations on PTMs have assisted scientists to study the cross talk or direct / indirect influences among different types of PTMs (Lu et al. 2011; Tokmakov et al. 2012; van Noort et al. 2012) their competition for the same residue (Danielsen et al. 2011), or the co-evolution of different PTMs sites within the same protein (Minguez and Bork 2017; Minguez et al. 2013; Minguez et al. 2012).

Many databases and prediction tools have been developed to enhance the understanding of various PTMs in different organisms and to simplify the analysis of complex PTM data (Gianazza et al. 2016). These PTM databases contain crucial sequence annotations, specific to some PTM types and/or organisms (Gupta et al. 1999; Hornbeck et al. 2015; Yao and Xu 2017), and provide related structural data which mainly correspond to the mapping of the PTM sites in protein entries of the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Huang et al. 2016). Numerous machine learning methods consisting of predicting PTM sites were published recently. They mainly differ in the types of PTM and/or organisms focused, in their learning protocols (support vector machine, random forest, neuronal network, etc.), and in the set of descriptors extracted from the mining of the experimental data (Audagnotto and Dal Peraro 2017; Gianazza et al. 2016). Few of them, used descriptors derived from structural data, such as prediction of secondary structures, disorder and accessible surface area (Lopez et al. 2017; Lorenzo et al. 2015), or from structural properties extracted from PDB (Torres et al. 2016;

Wuyun et al. 2016).

The proteins functions and their 3D structures are intrinsically related. Hence, it is expected that PTMs, which regulate function, impact the structure of proteins as well. Several previous studies have investigated the effects that PTMs could have on the protein structure and dynamics, using X-ray data (Xin and Radivojac 2012), and NMR data (Gao and Xu 2012). Xin and Radivojac (Xin and Radivojac 2012) computed local and global RMSDs between modified (with at least one PTM), and unmodified PDB chains of the same protein. They concluded from the statistical analysis of their RMSDs that N-glycosylation and phosphorylation induce conformational changes, with a limited impact, at both local and at global levels, with a larger influence for phosphorylation. On their side, Gao and Xu (Gao and Xu 2012) suggest that disorder-to-order transition could be induced by the modifications of phospho-serine/-threonine, various types of methyllysines, sulfotyrosine, 4-carboxyglutamate, and potentially 4-hydroxyproline.

Disorder regions are mainly defined as series of missing residues in X-ray structures taken from the PDB, they are highly frequent (more than 80% of the X-ray structures with a resolution worse than 1.75 Å have missing residues) (Djinovic-Carugo and Carugo 2015). Many analyses have focused on Intrinsic Disorder Proteins (IDPs, (Piovesan et al. 2017)) that are often implicated in molecular recognition. They bind a partner molecule and undergo "induced folding" or "disorder-to-order transition" leading to an ordered state in which PTMs can paly a role (Fuxreiter and Tompa 2012). Hence IDP regions have been associated with numerous PTMs, as hydroxylation, methylation, and notably phosphorylation (Gao and Xu 2012; Vucetic et al. 2007; Xie et al. 2007a; Xie et al. 2007b) which was recently proposed to function as protein interaction switches in more ordered regions (Betts et al. 2017).

To investigate a potential relation between the presence of a PTM on the protein and the structure itself, we used PTM-SD (Craveur et al. 2014) that gives access to X-ray

structures of modified residues in proteins that specifically correspond to all PTM annotations. We investigated the impact of PTMs on the protein backbone conformations observed in crystallographic data. First, the diversity of the backbone conformations of N-glycosylated and phosphorylated regions was analyzed. Then local and global effects in the backbones were compared between 4 specific examples of PTMs associated to a high number of experimental data. Finally, the presence and absence of PTMs on the protein were also compared in regards to the backbone flexibility.

Methods

PTM-SD. Post Translational Modification Structural Database (http://www.dsimb.inserm.fr/dsimb tools/PTM-SD/) is designed to give users a curated access to the proteins for which one or more Post Translational Modification(s) is (are) structurally resolved in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) and also experimentally annotated in dbPTM (Huang et al. 2016) and PTMCuration (Khoury et al. 2011). PTM-SD uses diverse set of rules to underline the discrepancies between annotation in the structure and the sequences owing to different sources. Also, PTM-SD allows the user to create customized PTMs queries and perform different analyses on the returned entries, e.g. computing distribution of organisms, proteins, PDB codes/chains, and PTM types, assigning PBs, computing N_{eq} (see bellow), highlighting discrepancies between PDB sequence and UniProt sequence, clustering for generation of non-redundant dataset, etc.

Besides a global view on PTMs, the database also provides details for each PTM and further connects to different PTM information and annotations found in other databases. Such data are very informative for studying relationship between PTMs and protein structures, for designing comparative modeling protocol, and for prediction protocol based on different approaches, for example, on secondary structure descriptors.

Dataset. The dataset used in this study is generated using PTM-SD. It comprises of structures pertaining to phosphorylation, N-glycosylation and Methylation while also contains corresponding structures without a modification (a tabular summary of the dataset can be found in Table S1 and the dataset in Dataset S1). The comprehensive dataset included a total of 9.870 PTMs present on 5.948 structures. From these, 7.110 modifications were N-glycosylation while 1.874 were phosphorylation and 886 methylations. The dataset was further refined to remove redundancy (>25% identity) using PTM-SD clustering toolkit. It is important to generate non-redundant dataset by filtering over-represented chains to avoid biasing the analyses..

The non-redundant dataset consisted of 348 N-glycosylation on 156 PDB chains from 41 different organisms, 92 phosphorylations on 76 structures from 12 different organisms and 19 methylations on 15 structures from 9 distinct organisms. Similar datasets were generated, using PTM-SD, for the analysis of different types of phosphorylations. 57 serine modifications on 45 pdb chains while 29 phosphothreonine and 34 phosphotyrosine are found on 29 and 30 unique pdb chains (tabular details are provided in Table S2).

A derived dataset was also created to assess the impact of PTM on the global structure. Therefore, a dataset comprising 4 proteins with the largest number of observations; Renin endopeptidase (N-glycosylation), Liver carboxylesterase (N-glycosylation), Cyclin dependent Kinase 2 (Phosphothreonine) and Actin (Methylation) was generated (refer to Table S3), sequences with too many missing residues were not taken into account. As we are working with same protein chain, it is essential to keep here all entries, i.e. to have a better view of the (potential) local protein conformation differences.

Protein Blocks. Protein Blocks (PBs) are a structural alphabet composed by a set of 16 local prototypes of 5 residues in length, labeled from a to p (see Figure 1A). They are

described as series of eight Φ , Ψ dihedral angles. An unsupervised classifier similar to Kohonen Maps (Kohonen 1982; Kohonen 2001) and Hidden Markov Models (Rabiner 1989) obtained them. Briefly described, PBs *m* and *d* are prototypes for the central region of α -helix and β -strand, respectively. PBs *a* to *c* primarily represent the N-cap of β -strand while *e* and *f* correspond to C-caps; PBs *g* to *j* are specific to coils, PBs *k* and *l* correspond to N cap of α helix while C-caps are represented by PBs *n* through *p*.

The Protein Blocks efficiently approximate all local regions of a protein structure with an average RMSD of 0.41 Å (Etchebest et al. 2005). They have been employed in various approaches including protein superimposition (Gelly and de Brevern 2011; Joseph et al. 2012), structural analysis (Dudev and Lim 2007; Wu et al. 2010) or prediction (Rangwala et al. 2009; Zimmermann and Hansmann 2008) of protein binding sites, and structural analysis of β -bulges (Craveur et al. 2013).

Protein Blocks assignment. The assignment translates a 3D structure to 1D sequence of PBs. In our study input structures come from PDB files. The algorithm uses 5 residues long window for each position. For each "nth" position, 8 dihedrals ψ_{n-2} , φ_{n-1} , ψ_{n-1} , φ_n , ψ_n , φ_{n+1} , ψ_{n+1} , φ_{n+2} are compared to the reference set of 16 PBs. The comparison is performed using the RMSDA criteria (*Root Mean Square Deviation on Angular values*) (Schuchhardt et al. 1996):

$$RMSDA(V_1, V_2) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=M-1} \left[\psi(V_1) - \psi(V_2)\right]^2 + \left[\varphi(V_1) - \varphi(V_2)\right]^2}{2(M-1)}}$$
(1)

 V_1 is the 8 dihedrals vector extracted from the M = 5 residues long window; V_2 is the 8 dihedrals vector corresponding to the compared PBs. PB, which gets lowest RMSDA is chosen as the representing conformation observed in the window. PB assignment was done with a modified version of the PBxplore tool (<u>https://github.com/pierrepo/PBxplore</u>, (Barnoud

et al. 2017))(see Figure 1B).

 N_{eq} - Local structure entropy. 3D structures of a specific protein could be observed with different conformations in X-ray crystals, or during molecular dynamics simulations. This could be attributed to the intrinsic flexibility of the structure or the consequences of interactions with small molecules (ligand, cofactor, water molecules), or macromolecules (proteins, DNA, RNA). Under such scenarios, each of these 3D conformations would be assigned a different PB sequence (see Figure 1B). By analyzing the variation of PBs at each position, it's possible to investigate the local conformational changes in a protein structure.

The equivalent number of PBs (N_{eq}) is a statistical measurement similar to Shannon entropy and represents the average number of PBs observed at a given position (de Brevern et al. 2000). N_{eq} is calculated as follows:

$$Neq = \exp(-\sum_{x=1}^{16} f_x \ln f_x)$$
 (2)

where f_x is the frequency of PB x (x takes values from a to p). A N_{eq} value of 1 indicates that only one type of PB is observed, while a value of 16 is equivalent to a random distribution.

For example N_{eq} value around 6 would indicate that at the current position of interest, 6 different PBs are observed. If N_{eq} exactly equal to 6, this means that 6 different PBs are observed in equal proportions (1/6). By plotting the computed N_{eq} value at each residue position (see Figure 1B), it is possible to locate which protein regions have local conformation change, or in other words, which region of the structure represents backbone deformation. A clear interest of N_{eq} in regards to the use of root mean square deviation (see (Burra et al. 2009) for similar analyses) is to provide a simple measure that quantifies locally the divergence. *B-factor normalization.* B-factor values are partly dependent on the resolution of the crystal and of the refinement process (Hinsen 2008; Linding et al. 2003; Schlessinger and Rost 2005). Also crystallographic contact packing and addition of stabilizing molecules can impact the B-factor values. Then, in order to compare B-factor from several X-rays of the same protein, it is needed to normalize the value. In our study raw B-factor values were normalized as recommended by Smith et al (Smith et al. 2003), starting by removed outliers values detected with the median-based approach. The normalized B-factors are computed as follow:

$$Bfactor_i^{norm} = \frac{Bfactor_i^{raw} - \mu}{\sigma}$$
(3)

where μ and σ are the mean and the standard deviation of the B-factor values (without outliers) respectively, *Bfactor*_i^{norm} is the normalized B-factor at position *i* in the sequence (and the structure) and *Bfactor*_i^{raw} the original B-factor value.

Various analyses. The 3D structure representations were generated using PyMOL software (<u>http://www.pymol.org</u>) [The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.7 Schrödinger, LLC.] (Delano 2013). Most of the analyses were done using Python programming language and R software (R Core Team 2013).

Results

Backbone protein conformational diversity at the vicinity of N-glycosylated and phosphorylated residues. Using PTM-SD (Craveur et al. 2014), the two most frequent PTMs were focused upon, N-glycosylation and phosphorylation. 3,092 and 1,307 chains were found containing 7,110 N-glycosylations and 1,873 phosphorylations in 100 and 22 organisms respectively. A non-redundant dataset, with less than 25% of identity between the

corresponding UniProt sequences, was generated, resulting in the selection of 348 Nglycosylations (for 156 protein chains in 41 organisms) and 92 phosphorylations (for 75 protein chains in 12 organisms, see Supplementary Table S1).

 N_{eq} was used to analyze the local protein conformations. Based on 16 PBs, it underlines the diversity of local conformation in a finer manner than the classical secondary structures (see Methods). Figure 2 shows the variations of PBs around the two PTMs. Nglycosylated and phosphorylated sites do not exhibit any significant preferences for a particular local structure conformation. The N_{eq} values are very high, ranging from 9.03 to 11.44 for N-glycosylation, and from 5.95 to 11.41 for phosphorylation, implying that these two modifications are observed in widely diverse structural contexts. Nonetheless, it is interesting to note that both types of PTMs have an overall different N_{eq} profiles (see black curve in Figure 2).

For N-glycosylation, the PTM site position presents an $N_{eq} = 10.76$ which is extremely high. This would mean that N-glycosylated residues have backbone conformation as diverse as $^{2}/_{3}$ of the backbone conformations observed in proteins. Additionally the surrounding positions of the PTM sites show the same level of diversity, with N_{eq} values fluctuating around 10.

For phosphorylation, the N_{eq} profile is quite different. First of all, as indicated by the red curve on Figure 2, the surrounding positions of phosphorylation sites are mainly disordered. The farther the positions are from the PTM sites, the higher is the disorder in the structure; meaning that less residues were available at these positions in the PDB chains to be used for the PBs assignments and the N_{eq} computation. However the data used is diverse enough to reach high level of N_{eq} (6.48) computed at the PTM position. Preceding positions -8 to -2 show even higher diversity. It is important to confirm that the absence of data in the

surrounding positions is not the consequences of phosphorylation sites located at the N- or Cterminus; indeed only 12 of them (out of 92) are close to the protein extremities.

A more precise analysis of the distribution of each type of PBs (see Supplementary Figure S1) underlines that N-glycosylation and phosphorylation sites are observed for all types of local conformations, almost any kind of PBs (except PBs *g for both,* and, *h, j*, and *p* for phosphorylation).

The conformations of the N-glycosylation sites and their surrounding residues are mainly associated with the PBs *d* and *m*. However, this proportion does not exceed 31%. It is interesting to note that the positions +3 to +6 following the N-glycosylation sites are significantly observed in a PB *d* conformation. This illustrates the fact that in ~1/3 of the times N-glycosylation site precedes a β -strand conformation.

For phosphorylation, the modification sites have a preference of PB d, the cores of β strands, in a little over 40% of cases. The vicinity of the phosphorylation sites is also observed with a wide variety of conformations, however a slight preference was observed for the PBs b, c, d, f, l and m. It should be noted that more than 50% of the phosphorylation sites are separated by two residues of a PB d.

It is important to understand that data used here provides information on the backbone conformation of PTM sites when the modifications are present, but do not obviously reflects the backbone in the absence of modifications.

Additionally, while phospho-serine and phospho-threonine share similar PB profiles, they are distinct from phospho-tyrosine (see Supplementary Figures S2, S3, and Supplementary Table S2). The modified residues were observed in a large set of backbone conformations for all three cases, but the preferences for the core β -strand conformation (PB *d*) is greater in the case of Serine and Threonine.

Local backbone diversity compared to global backbone diversity in modified proteins. In order to compare the flexibility of the PTM region with the rest of the protein, we selected a large number of 3D chains corresponding to the same protein. Each chain was solved with a single PTM at identical sequence positions. 4 different proteins were studied, covering 3 types of PTMs: N-glycosylation in renin endopeptidase and liver carboxylesterase, phosphorylation in cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2), and methylation in actin. A total of 471 PDB chains were used in this analysis (see Supplementary Table S3).

The N_{eq} profiles of modified sites and surrounding positions were compared with those of all other positions in the proteins. Figure 3 shows the example of one N-glycosylated residue, at position 141, in renin endopeptidase. Figure 3A is a zoom around the PTM site, while Figure 3B shows the N_{eq} all along the protein. In this example, the maximum entropy is found at position 234, with a N_{eq} value of 7.13. This position and its surroundings are associated with the maximum number of missing residues (red curves in Figure 3B), underlining a highly flexible region. It corresponds to what Zhang et al. defined as a Dual Personality Fragments (DPF): a protein region, which appears either ordered or disordered in crystal structures. It is suggested that DPFs are potential targets of regulation by allostery or PTMs (Zhang et al. 2007). Here, this flexible region (position 230-238, see red fragment in Figure 3C) is not annotated as PTM site, and also does not interact with ligands in the structures; but interestingly it includes 4 positions (230 to 234) known to be missing in a second isoform of this protein.

In comparison, the backbone of the modified residue is always ordered and presents slight deformations with N_{eq} of 1.94. Its immediate neighbor positions are in the same range, with slightly higher values in positions -6, -1, and +1 (N_{eq} values 2.58, 2.10, and 2.53 respectively).

In Figure 3A, the PTM site seems to be slightly more deformable than majority of its surrounding positions. Using a larger scale (Figure 3B) this deformation does not seems to be significantly different than other deformable parts along the sequence. To quantify it precisely, statistical tests were performed for each case (see Table 1, Figure 4, Supplementary Figures S4 and S5).

Firstly, the Shapiro-Wilk (SK) test provides extremely low *p*-value, in all the cases, forcing the rejection of the null hypothesis (see columns 3 and 4 of Table 1). This underlines that N_{eq} values for PTM-region and the rest of the protein does not follow a normal distribution. Further, the nonparametric Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test was used to see if N_{eq} profiles observed in the PTM-region are significantly different from those observed in the rest of the protein. With a type I error $\alpha = 5\%$, only the phosphorylated Thr-160 in the Cyclindependent kinase 2 protein and its neighboring positions have a significantly different N_{eq} profile than the rest of the protein; the *p*-value being equal to 0.012.

It should be noted that in both cases of N-glycosylation, no significant differences were observed between the N_{eq} profile of the PTM-region and the N_{eq} profile of the rest of the protein.

In comparison to N_{eq} , the B-factor does not give a measure of the deformation of the backbone, but could be used to represent its mobility in the crystal context. For each of the 4 proteins of interest, the B-factors of the C α were extracted from every PDB chain. After normalization (see Methods), the B-factors were averaged for each, structurally available, position along the sequence. The same statistical analyses, as applied to N_{eq} , were performed with the B-factors in order to compare the backbone mobility in the PTM areas, and in the rest of the protein (see Supplementary Figure S6 and Supplementary Table S4).

Flexibility & PTMs Local and global backbone diversity compared between modified and unmodified

proteins. To determine if N_{eq} profiles observed on all positions are due to the presence of the PTM in the structure, the N_{eq} calculations were also performed on X-ray structures without PTMs (see Supplementary Table S3).

For two of these proteins, the renin endopeptidase (P00797) and the carboxylesterase (P23141), the local and global N_{eq} profiles, observed in presence of the N-glycosylation, are very similar to the one computed in their absence (see Figure 3, Supplementary Figures S4, S7 and S8). This highlights that the attached glycans on the structures do not impact the intrinsic flexibility of these two proteins.

This is supported by the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (see Table 1), which do not provides very low p-values when comparing local and global N_{eq} profiles. An explanation could be the presence of several disulphide bonds stabilizing the structures (indicated in blue sphere in Figure 3C and S4C). Also, glycans are solvent oriented that limits their interactions with the rest of the protein.

On the contrary, the other two proteins, CDK 2 (P24941) and actin (P68135), present N_{eq} profiles significantly different when the residues, Thr 160 and His 75 respectively, are not modified (see Figure 4 and Supplementary Figures S5, S9 and S10). This is also supported by the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (see Table 1).

For actin, the PTM of interest is the methylation of the Histidine 75 (H75). In this case, the both profiles with and without the PTM (see Supplementary Figures S5 and S9 respectively) show peaks at similar positions. But these peaks differ in intensity in a way that the whole actin protein seems to be more rigid when the PTM is present (lower N_{eq} values). However, the majority of the actin structures used for the analysis interact with ligands. These ligands are from different types (such as ADP, ATP, swinholide A, pectenotoxin 2, etc, see Supplementary Figure S11), and bind at multiple sites. The lack of consistency in the number,

the types and the binding modes of the ligands across the structures make it difficult to conclude on the exclusive effect of H75 methylation. Nonetheless, from a local point of view, the ligands do not interact with the modified Histidine and its direct surroundings. At the -1 and +1 positions (see Supplementary Figures S5A and S9A), a clear difference in the N_{eq} value could be observed. The values increase from 1.96 to 3.95 for position -1, and from 1.63 to 2.43 for position +1. Regardless the overall rigidity of the backbone, the methylation increases the deformation in the direct neighborhood of the PTM site.

The analysis of cyclin-dependent kinase 2 structures indicates an effect of the presence of the PTM on the flexibility. Here the PTM of interest is the phosphorylation of threonine 160. This modification is always observed in X-ray structures when the kinase proteins are complexed with protein partners. Therefore, to compare N_{eq} profiles with PTM and without PTMs only chains complexed with Cyclin A2 have been used (see Table 2). As for actin, most of the kinase X-ray structures used (except 3) interact with ligands (see Supplementary Figure S12). However in this case, the ligands bind in the same pocket, regardless that the structures are phosphorylated or not. The consistent binding location makes it possible to compare N_{eq} profiles, in order to investigate the PTM effect in flexibility.

Comparison of both N_{eq} profiles (with and without modification) shows some significant differences. A striking one is observed at the site of modification (position 160) and its surrounding positions. In the absence of the phosphorylation (see Supplementary Figure S10), this area corresponds to a wide flexible loop, sometimes not even ordered in few structures (see red line). Nonetheless, in the presence of the phosphorylation, the N_{eq} value in position +1 drops from 5.27 to 2.40, indicating an increase in rigidity. On the contrary, the region between positions 8 and 18 shows an increase of flexibility when the modification is present; values change from 3.89 to 6.52 for the two highest points. These points correspond to the direct vicinity of two other phosphorylation sites (annotated) at Thr-14 and Tyr-15.

From a functional point of view, the phosphorylation of Thr-160 is known to promote kinase activity, whereas the phosphorylation of Thr-14 and Tyr-15 are known to reduce its activity (Gu et al. 1992; Welburn et al. 2007). Thus the change in flexibility observed in these three phosphorylation sites, affirms the hypothesis of a regulatory mechanism in CDK2 involving coupled stiffening - flexibility pathway, an indicative of underlying allostery. A second area centered on the Thr-39 shows the exact same behavior as the first region. In the same way the phosphorylation of Thr-160 lead to an allosteric effect, which increases the flexibility of this region. The position 39 is also a phosphorylation site, which is not related to the kinase activity, but is implicated in the CDK2 intracellular localization and the cell apoptosis (Maddika et al. 2008). It is also interesting to note that Tyr-19, also annotated as phosphorylation site (Oppermann et al. 2009), does not undergo significant change in flexibility; the N_{eq} value goes from 1.0 to 1.06 respectively in the absence and presence of the PTM.

Analyses of normalized B-factors (see Supplementary Figures S6 and S13) for all cases do not show any significant deviation. The presence of any of the three PTM types studied here (N-glycosylation, methylation, and phosphorylation) does not affect the mobility of the whole structure in crystals. The Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test supported it (see Supplementary Table S4). However in a local point of view, we can observe a variation of normalized B-factor from 1 to 2 on the surrounding of the phosphorylation site in CDK 2 (see Supplementary Figures S6C and S13C). It is interesting to note that, in this position, the decrease in mobility (B-factor) is associated with a decrease in deformability, namely N_{eq} .

Discussion

As noted in different seminal papers (Berezovsky et al. 2017), the variety of known PTMs are around half-thousand, even if everybody agrees on their importance, questions

about precise occurrence, impact on biological functions, implication in the evolution, and even modifying enzymes for many PTMs are yet to be answered (Sirota et al. 2015).

Using various datasets extracted from PTM-SD (Craveur et al. 2014), we were able to analyze the effects of some specific PTMs on protein backbone conformation. We first measured the conformational backbone diversity of modified residues and its close neighborhood positions for the two most frequent PTMs, N-glycosylation and phosphorylation. Secondly, we focused our analyses on 4 different proteins, and compared the local and global backbone diversity observed in X-ray data when one PTM is present. Finally, for these 4 cases, the backbone diversity with and without the PTM was compared.

In a non-redundant dataset of X-ray structures, N-glycosylation sites do not present particular structural characteristics related to the presence of the modification. In other words, the asparagine residues do not adopt a different backbone conformation when the glycans are linked in crystals. However, it is interesting to note that in ~1/3 of the times the consensus sequence Asn-X-Ser/Thr just precedes a local β -strand conformation.

In the case of phosphorylation, the modified residues were also observed in a wide set of backbone conformations, but exhibits preference for the β -strand conformation (PB *d*) in the case of Serine and Threonine. On the contrary, Phospho-Tyrosine doesn't exhibit any preferences.

For the 4 examples of protein with single PTM (N-glycosylation in renin endopeptidase and liver carboxylesterase, phosphorylation in CDK 2, and methylation in actin), we observed that only the phosphorylation site and its neighborhood positions display a backbone diversity that is significantly different than the rest of the proteins. By comparing with structures of unmodified CDK2, the presences of the phosphorylation on the activation loop at Thr160 have several local effects. It rigidified (potential stabilization) the backbone (lower N_{eq} and lower B-factor) locally while increasing the deformation (potential

destabilization) of two other regions, near Thr14-Tyr15, and near Thr39, three other phosphorylation sites related to CDK2 activity and subcellular localization respectively. The observed rigidity in the backbone is in agreement with the proposition made by Xin and Radivojac (Xin and Radivojac 2012) that phosphorylation, by introducing new H-bond and salt bridges in the local neighborhood leads to a conformational shift of the lowest valley in the energy landscape of the protein. This decrease of energy was also observed by Groban and coworkers (Groban et al. 2006) in their attempt to predict the conformation changes of the CDK2 activation loop induced by the phosphorylation using computational methods. This methodology was quite inventive, using a hierarchical loop prediction algorithm optimizing dihedral angle backbone sampling, linked to rotamer-based side chain optimization, and an all-atom force field and a Generalized Born solvation model to predict the structural consequences of phosphorylation. Finally Gao and Xu (Gao and Xu 2012) suggest, by analyzing NMR structures, that disorder-to-order transition might be introduced by Threonine phosphorylation.

In our datasets numerous PDB structures lack coordinates for some regions, which was depicted by a dip of the red curves in N_{eq} plots. These particular regions mainly correspond to disorder regions in protein, which diversify the functional spectrum of proteins (DeForte and Uversky 2016) by expanding their partnered interactions with other proteins, collectively termed as protein-protein interactions (PPI). The selectivity of interacting partners and order-disorder transition of the protein structures is regulated by PTMs, and most of the times by phosphorylations. (Hsu et al. 2013). During our analysis of CDK2, we also found identical structures with missing coordinates in the catalytic loop (functional domain), flagged as a Dual Personality Fragment (Zhang et al. 2007). However, this region gets ordered based on the phosphorylation of threonine 160. We may suggest that the number of phosphorylations, in and around the catalytic domain, may also impact this selectivity of interacting partners for

CDK2.

The example of actin methylation shows an opposite effect of the modification compared to the example of CDK2. The methylation induces a local increase of the backbone diversity at the PTM site region, highlighting a higher deformation of this part of the protein. Interestingly no effect on the intrinsic mobility of this region has been observed (same B-factor profiles with or without the PTM). Unfortunately, the large variability of ligands found in the X-ray data of actin used in this study, does not allow suggesting an effect of the methylation at a global scale.

Finally for the two examples of N-glycosylation, we concluded that the addition of the glycan neither impact the local, neither global, backbone conformation of the proteins.

Despite the intrinsic linked between PTM and protein function, the molecular effects of the modifications on the protein structures and dynamics remains poorly understood. Our study, like previous systematic studies of structural data of modified and unmodified protein (Gao and Xu 2012; Xin and Radivojac 2012), shows that these effects could be of multiple types (stabilization and destabilization), at different scales (at the local PTM region, in other part of the protein as allosteric effect, or at a global level), and depend of the PTM types. However, in order to propose general rules for the molecular impact of each type of PTMs, additional structural data related to the large amount of PTM annotations already available are needed. In the scope of a systematic study, these data have to be used carefully. Indeed, many factors, independent of the presence of PTMs, could have affected the structure of the proteins, such as the crystallographic packing, the presence of engineer mutations or cross-links to help crystallization process, the presence of ions, ligands and protein partners in contact with the protein structure of interest. It must be noticed that a recent paper provides interesting insight in how to look at B-factors that could be very useful for future studies (Carugo 2018).

Molecular modeling of PTMs combines with molecular dynamic simulation is an interesting alternative. Some recent computational studies have investigate the effect of PTMs (Audagnotto and Dal Peraro 2017) on the stability of specific proteins, but the growing success of these kind of simulations also rely upon the growing number of experimental data, for the development of accurate PTM force field parameters.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Research (France), University of Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, National Institute for Blood Transfusion (INTS, France), Institute for Health and Medical Research (INSERM, France). PC acknowledges grant from French Ministry of Research. Calculations were done on SGI cluster granted by *Conseil Régional - Ile de France* and INTS (SESAME Grant). The authors were granted access to high performance computing (HPC) resources at the French National Computing Center CINES under grant no. c2013037147 funded by the GENCI (Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif). TN and AdB acknowledges to Indo-French Centre for the Promotion of Advanced Research / CEFIPRA for collaborative grant (number 5302-2). This study was supported by grant from Laboratory of Excellence GR-Ex, reference ANR-11-LABX-0051. The labex GR-Ex is funded by the program *Investissements d'avenir* of the French National Research Agency, reference ANR-11-IDEX-0005-02. This work is supported by a grant from the French National Research Agency (ANR): NaturaDyRe (ANR-2010-CD2I-014-04) to JR and AdB.

The funding bodies have no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Ethical statements

All authors have been personally and actively involved in substantive work leading to the manuscript, and will hold themselves jointly and individually for its contents.

This material has not been published in whole or in part elsewhere.

The manuscript is not currently being considered for publication in another journal.

LEGENDS:



Figure 1. The Protein Blocks (PB) structural alphabet and the Neq. (A) The structural alphabet is composed of 16 PBs, labeled from *a* to *p*. Each PB represents the backbone conformation of a fragment of 5 residues in length, here showed in ball-and-stick and cartoon representations and colored from blue to red, from N-ter to C-ter respectively. (B) N_{eq} is an entropic measure of the backbone conformation, taking value from 1 to 16 (see Methods). Here the backbone conformations of the deformable loop (in green) could be described with numerous sequences of PBs. Plotting the N_{eq} on a graph provides an easy way to compare the backbone diversity of a protein along the sequence.



Figure 2. Neq profile for N-glycosylation and phosphorylation sites. The N_{eq} profile is shown in black in the vicinity of N-glycosylation (A) and phosphorylation sites (B). The red lines indicate the amount of data used to compute N_{eq} values, or in other words the percentage of ordered residues at each position in the X-ray crystals.



Figure 3. *N-glycosylation on the Asn141 of the human renin endopeptidase (P00797)* The N_{eq} profiles are given at a local scale (**A**), for the surrounding positions of the PTM site (color in green), and at a global scale (**B**), for all sequence positions. (**C**) The 80 structures used for the computation were aligned on the backbone, and represented in cartoon. The glycosylated position is shown in green sticks, the disulphide-bridges in blue spheres, and the DPF loop color in red.

Flexibility & PTMs



Figure 4. *Phosphorylation on Thr160 of human cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (P24941)* The N_{eq} profiles are given at a local scale (A), for the surrounding positions of the PTM site (coloured in green), and at a global scale (B), for all sequence positions. (C) The 96 structures used for the computation were aligned on the backbone, and represented as cartoon. The phosphorylated position is shown in green sticks.

References

- Audagnotto M, Dal Peraro M (2017) Protein post-translational modifications: In silico prediction tools and molecular modeling Comput Struct Biotechnol J 15:307-319 doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2017.03.004
- Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T (2011) Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications Cell Res 21:381-395 doi:10.1038/cr.2011.22
- Barnoud J, Santuz H, Craveur P, Joseph AP, Jallu V, de Brevern AG, Poulain P (2017) PBxplore: A Tool To Analyze Local Protein Structure And Deformability With Protein Blocks PeerJ:in press doi:10.1101/136408
- Berezovsky IN, Guarnera E, Zheng Z, Eisenhaber B, Eisenhaber F (2017) Protein function machinery: from basic structural units to modulation of activity Curr Opin Struct Biol 42:67-74 doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2016.10.021
- Betts MJ et al. (2017) Systematic identification of phosphorylation-mediated protein interaction switches PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005462 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005462
- Brooks CL, Gu W (2003) Ubiquitination, phosphorylation and acetylation: the molecular basis for p53 regulation Curr Opin Cell Biol 15:164-171
- Burra PV, Zhang Y, Godzik A, Stec B (2009) Global distribution of conformational states derived from redundant models in the PDB points to non-uniqueness of the protein structure Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:10505-10510 doi:10.1073/pnas.0812152106
- Butt AS, Abbas Z, Jafri W (2012) Hepatocellular carcinoma in pakistan: where do we stand? Hepat Mon 12:e6023 doi:10.5812/hepatmon.6023
- Carugo O (2018) Atomic displacement parameters in structural biology Amino Acids 50:775-786 doi:10.1007/s00726-018-2574-y
- Craveur P, Joseph AP, Rebehmed J, de Brevern AG (2013) beta-Bulges: extensive structural analyses of beta-sheets irregularities Protein Sci 22:1366-1378 doi:10.1002/pro.2324
- Craveur P, Rebehmed J, de Brevern AG (2014) PTM-SD: a database of structurally resolved and annotated posttranslational modifications in proteins Database (Oxford) 2014 doi:10.1093/database/bau041
- Creixell P, Linding R (2012) Cells, shared memory and breaking the PTM code Mol Syst Biol 8:598 doi:10.1038/msb.2012.33
- Danielsen JM et al. (2011) Mass spectrometric analysis of lysine ubiquitylation reveals promiscuity at site level Mol Cell Proteomics 10:M110 003590 doi:10.1074/mcp.M110.003590
- de Brevern AG, Etchebest C, Hazout S (2000) Bayesian probabilistic approach for predicting backbone structures in terms of protein blocks Proteins 41:271-287
- DeForte S, Uversky VN (2016) Order, Disorder, and Everything in Between Molecules 21 doi:10.3390/molecules21081090
- Delano WL (2013) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System on World Wide Web. http://www.pymol.org
- Deribe YL, Pawson T, Dikic I (2010) Post-translational modifications in signal integration Nat Struct Mol Biol 17:666-672 doi:10.1038/nsmb.1842
- Dewald JH, Colomb F, Bobowski-Gerard M, Groux-Degroote S, Delannoy P (2016) Role of Cytokine-Induced Glycosylation Changes in Regulating Cell Interactions and Cell Signaling in Inflammatory Diseases and Cancer Cells 5 doi:10.3390/cells5040043
- Djinovic-Carugo K, Carugo O (2015) Missing strings of residues in protein crystal structures Intrinsically Disord Proteins 3:e1095697 doi:10.1080/21690707.2015.1095697
- Duan G, Walther D (2015) The roles of post-translational modifications in the context of

protein interaction networks PLoS Comput Biol 11:e1004049 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004049

- Dudev M, Lim C (2007) Discovering structural motifs using a structural alphabet: application to magnesium-binding sites BMC Bioinformatics 8:106 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-106
- Etchebest C, Benros C, Hazout S, de Brevern AG (2005) A structural alphabet for local protein structures: Improved prediction methods Proteins:810-827
- Fuxreiter M, Tompa P (2012) Fuzzy complexes: a more stochastic view of protein function Adv Exp Med Biol 725:1-14 doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-0659-4 1
- Gao J, Xu D (2012) Correlation between posttranslational modification and intrinsic disorder in protein Pac Symp Biocomput:94-103
- Gelly JC, de Brevern AG (2011) Protein Peeling 3D: new tools for analyzing protein structures Bioinformatics 27:132-133 doi:btq610 [pii]
- 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq610
- Gianazza E, Parravicini C, Primi R, Miller I, Eberini I (2016) In silico prediction and characterization of protein post-translational modifications J Proteomics 134:65-75 doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2015.09.026
- Groban ES, Narayanan A, Jacobson MP (2006) Conformational changes in protein loops and helices induced by post-translational phosphorylation PLoS Comput Biol 2:e32 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0020032
- Gu Y, Rosenblatt J, Morgan DO (1992) Cell cycle regulation of CDK2 activity by phosphorylation of Thr160 and Tyr15 EMBO J 11:3995-4005
- Gupta R, Birch H, Rapacki K, Brunak S, Hansen JE (1999) O-GLYCBASE version 4.0: a revised database of O-glycosylated proteins Nucleic Acids Res 27:370-372
- Hendriks IA, Vertegaal AC (2016) A comprehensive compilation of SUMO proteomics Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 17:581-595 doi:10.1038/nrm.2016.81
- Hinsen K (2008) Structural flexibility in proteins: impact of the crystal environment Bioinformatics 24:521-528 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm625
- Hornbeck PV, Zhang B, Murray B, Kornhauser JM, Latham V, Skrzypek E (2015) PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations Nucleic Acids Res 43:D512-520 doi:10.1093/nar/gku1267
- Hsu WL et al. (2013) Exploring the binding diversity of intrinsically disordered proteins involved in one-to-many binding Protein Sci 22:258-273 doi:10.1002/pro.2207
- Huang KY et al. (2016) dbPTM 2016: 10-year anniversary of a resource for post-translational modification of proteins Nucleic Acids Res 44:D435-446 doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1240
- Humphrey SJ, James DE, Mann M (2015) Protein Phosphorylation: A Major Switch Mechanism for Metabolic Regulation Trends Endocrinol Metab 26:676-687 doi:10.1016/j.tem.2015.09.013
- Imberty A (1997) Oligosaccharide structures: theory versus experiment Curr Opin Struct Biol 7:617-623
- Imberty A, Perez S (1995) Stereochemistry of the N-glycosylation sites in glycoproteins Protein Eng 8:699-709
- Joseph AP, Srinivasan N, de Brevern AG (2012) Progressive structure-based alignment of homologous proteins: Adopting sequence comparison strategies Biochimie 94:2025-2034 doi:S0300-9084(12)00216-7 [pii]
- 10.1016/j.biochi.2012.05.028
- Kamath KS, Vasavada MS, Srivastava S (2011) Proteomic databases and tools to decipher post-translational modifications J Proteomics 75:127-144 doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2011.09.014
- Khoury GA, Baliban RC, Floudas CA (2011) Proteome-wide post-translational modification

statistics: frequency analysis and curation of the swiss-prot database Sci Rep 1 doi:10.1038/srep00090

- Kohonen T (1982) Self-organized formation of topologically correct feature maps Biol Cybern 43:59-69
- Kohonen T (2001) Self-Organizing Maps (3rd edition). Springer,
- Krupa A, Preethi G, Srinivasan N (2004) Structural modes of stabilization of permissive phosphorylation sites in protein kinases: distinct strategies in Ser/Thr and Tyr kinases J Mol Biol 339:1025-1039 doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2004.04.043
- Latham JA, Dent SY (2007) Cross-regulation of histone modifications Nat Struct Mol Biol 14:1017-1024 doi:10.1038/nsmb1307
- Li S, Iakoucheva LM, Mooney SD, Radivojac P (2010) Loss of post-translational modification sites in disease Pac Symp Biocomput:337-347
- Linding R, Jensen LJ, Diella F, Bork P, Gibson TJ, Russell RB (2003) Protein disorder prediction: implications for structural proteomics Structure 11:1453-1459
- Lopez Y et al. (2017) SucStruct: Prediction of succinylated lysine residues by using structural properties of amino acids Anal Biochem 527:24-32 doi:10.1016/j.ab.2017.03.021
- Lorenzo JR, Alonso LG, Sanchez IE (2015) Prediction of Spontaneous Protein Deamidation from Sequence-Derived Secondary Structure and Intrinsic Disorder PLoS One 10:e0145186 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145186
- Lu Z, Cheng Z, Zhao Y, Volchenboum SL (2011) Bioinformatic analysis and posttranslational modification crosstalk prediction of lysine acetylation PLoS One 6:e28228 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028228
- Maddika S, Ande SR, Wiechec E, Hansen LL, Wesselborg S, Los M (2008) Akt-mediated phosphorylation of CDK2 regulates its dual role in cell cycle progression and apoptosis J Cell Sci 121:979-988 doi:10.1242/jcs.009530
- Martin L, Latypova X, Terro F (2011) Post-translational modifications of tau protein: implications for Alzheimer's disease Neurochem Int 58:458-471 doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2010.12.023
- McIntyre JC, Joiner AM, Zhang L, Iniguez-Lluhi J, Martens JR (2015) SUMOylation regulates ciliary localization of olfactory signaling proteins J Cell Sci 128:1934-1945 doi:10.1242/jcs.164673
- Mijakovic I, Grangeasse C, Turgay K (2016) Exploring the diversity of protein modifications: special bacterial phosphorylation systems FEMS Microbiol Rev 40:398-417 doi:10.1093/femsre/fuw003
- Minguez P, Bork P (2017) Bioinformatics Analysis of Functional Associations of PTMs Methods Mol Biol 1558:303-320 doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6783-4 14
- Minguez P, Letunic I, Parca L, Bork P (2013) PTMcode: a database of known and predicted functional associations between post-translational modifications in proteins Nucleic Acids Res 41:D306-311 doi:10.1093/nar/gks1230
- Minguez P et al. (2012) Deciphering a global network of functionally associated posttranslational modifications Mol Syst Biol 8:599 doi:10.1038/msb.2012.31
- Moremen KW, Tiemeyer M, Nairn AV (2012) Vertebrate protein glycosylation: diversity, synthesis and function Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13:448-462 doi:10.1038/nrm3383
- Nussinov R, Tsai CJ, Xin F, Radivojac P (2012) Allosteric post-translational modification codes Trends Biochem Sci 37:447-455 doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2012.07.001
- Oppermann FS et al. (2009) Large-scale proteomics analysis of the human kinome Mol Cell Proteomics 8:1751-1764 doi:10.1074/mcp.M800588-MCP200
- Piovesan D et al. (2017) DisProt 7.0: a major update of the database of disordered proteins Nucleic Acids Res 45:D219-D227 doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1056

- R Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rabiner LR (1989) A tutorial on hidden Markov models and selected application in speech recognition Proceedings of the IEEE 77:257-286
- Rangwala H, Kauffman C, Karypis G (2009) svmPRAT: SVM-based protein residue annotation toolkit BMC Bioinformatics 10:439 doi:1471-2105-10-439 [pii]
- 10.1186/1471-2105-10-439
- Schlessinger A, Rost B (2005) Protein flexibility and rigidity predicted from sequence Proteins 61:115-126 doi:10.1002/prot.20587
- Schuchhardt J, Schneider G, Reichelt J, Schomburg D, Wrede P (1996) Local structural motifs of protein backbones are classified by self-organizing neural networks Protein Eng 9:833-842
- Sirota FL, Maurer-Stroh S, Eisenhaber B, Eisenhaber F (2015) Single-residue posttranslational modification sites at the N-terminus, C-terminus or in-between: To be or not to be exposed for enzyme access Proteomics 15:2525-2546 doi:10.1002/pmic.201400633
- Smith DK, Radivojac P, Obradovic Z, Dunker AK, Zhu G (2003) Improved amino acid flexibility parameters Protein Sci 12:1060-1072 doi:10.1110/ps.0236203
- Tokmakov AA, Kurotani A, Takagi T, Toyama M, Shirouzu M, Fukami Y, Yokoyama S (2012) Multiple post-translational modifications affect heterologous protein synthesis J Biol Chem 287:27106-27116 doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.366351
- Torres MP, Dewhurst H, Sundararaman N (2016) Proteome-wide Structural Analysis of PTM Hotspots Reveals Regulatory Elements Predicted to Impact Biological Function and Disease Mol Cell Proteomics 15:3513-3528 doi:10.1074/mcp.M116.062331
- van Noort V et al. (2012) Cross-talk between phosphorylation and lysine acetylation in a genome-reduced bacterium Mol Syst Biol 8:571 doi:10.1038/msb.2012.4
- Vodermaier HC (2004) APC/C and SCF: controlling each other and the cell cycle Curr Biol 14:R787-796 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.09.020
- Vucetic S, Xie H, Iakoucheva LM, Oldfield CJ, Dunker AK, Obradovic Z, Uversky VN (2007) Functional anthology of intrinsic disorder. 2. Cellular components, domains, technical terms, developmental processes, and coding sequence diversities correlated with long disordered regions J Proteome Res 6:1899-1916 doi:10.1021/pr060393m
- Welburn JP et al. (2007) How tyrosine 15 phosphorylation inhibits the activity of cyclindependent kinase 2-cyclin A J Biol Chem 282:3173-3181 doi:10.1074/jbc.M609151200
- Wu CY, Chen YC, Lim C (2010) A structural-alphabet-based strategy for finding structural motifs across protein families Nucleic Acids Res 38:e150 doi:10.1093/nar/gkq478
- Wuyun Q, Zheng W, Zhang Y, Ruan J, Hu G (2016) Improved Species-Specific Lysine Acetylation Site Prediction Based on a Large Variety of Features Set PLoS One 11:e0155370 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155370
- Xie H, Vucetic S, Iakoucheva LM, Oldfield CJ, Dunker AK, Obradovic Z, Uversky VN (2007a) Functional anthology of intrinsic disorder. 3. Ligands, post-translational modifications, and diseases associated with intrinsically disordered proteins J Proteome Res 6:1917-1932 doi:10.1021/pr060394e
- Xie H, Vucetic S, Iakoucheva LM, Oldfield CJ, Dunker AK, Uversky VN, Obradovic Z (2007b) Functional anthology of intrinsic disorder. 1. Biological processes and functions of proteins with long disordered regions J Proteome Res 6:1882-1898 doi:10.1021/pr060392u
- Xin F, Radivojac P (2012) Post-translational modifications induce significant yet not extreme

changes to protein structure Bioinformatics 28:2905-2913 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts541

- Yao Q, Xu D (2017) Bioinformatics Analysis of Protein Phosphorylation in Plant Systems Biology Using P3DB Methods Mol Biol 1558:127-138 doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6783-4_6
- Zeidan Q, Hart GW (2010) The intersections between O-GlcNAcylation and phosphorylation: implications for multiple signaling pathways J Cell Sci 123:13-22 doi:10.1242/jcs.053678
- Zhang Y, Stec B, Godzik A (2007) Between order and disorder in protein structures: analysis of "dual personality" fragments in proteins Structure 15:1141-1147 doi:10.1016/j.str.2007.07.012
- Zhao S et al. (2010) Regulation of cellular metabolism by protein lysine acetylation Science 327:1000-1004 doi:10.1126/science.1179689
- Zhou B, Zeng L (2016) Conventional and unconventional ubiquitination in plant immunity Mol Plant Pathol doi:10.1111/mpp.12521
- Zimmermann O, Hansmann UH (2008) LOCUSTRA: accurate prediction of local protein structure using a two-layer support vector machine approach J Chem Inf Model 48:1903-1908 doi:10.1021/ci800178a

Table 1. *Comparison of structures with or without PTMs*. Statistical tests for the 4 proteins. Shapiro-Wilk (SW) test checks if data follows Normal law distribution, while Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon (MWW) is a nonparametric test that compared mean values. Are indicated the size of samples (n), the calculated statistics (stats), and the p-values. The chosen risk α is equal to 5%, the significant p-values allow dismissing the hypothesis H₀ and are in italics.

		SW	SW	MWW	SW	SW	MWW
		Neq PTM region vs	Neq rest of the	Neq PTM region vs	Neq all protein with 0	Neq all protein with 1	Neq 0 PTM vs Neq 1
		Normal law	protein vs Normal	Neq rest of the	PTM vs Normal law	PTM vs Normal law	PTM
	-		law	protein			
Renin	n	21	316	21/316	364	337	364/337
endopeptidase P00797 (Human)	Statistic	0.6790	0.4312	3571	0.5276	0.4429	59812.5
N-glycosylation	<i>p</i> -value	1.52E-05	2.16E-30	5.08E-01	<i>4.52E-30</i>	5.34E-31	5.13E-01
Liver	n	21	507	21/507	529	528	529/528
carboxylesterase 1 P23141 (Human)	Statistic	0.5522	0.4383	5582	0.4762	0.4436	138025.5
N-glycosylation	<i>p</i> -value	<i>6.40E-07</i>	<i>6.52E-37</i>	6.19E-01	1.26E-36	2.12E-37	6.60E-01
Cyclin-dependent	n	21	275	21/275	296	296	296/296
kinase P24941 (Human)	Statistic	0.6792	0.4102	3687	0.4927	0.4299	39350
phosphorylation	<i>p</i> -value	1.53E-05	5.10E-29	1.94E-02	3.06E-28	1.44E-29	1.32E-02
Actin	n	21	350	21/350	371	371	371/371
P68135 (Rabbit)	Statistic	0.7082	0.7201	3758	0.6745	0.7174	78367.5
methylation	<i>p</i> -value	<i>3.49E-05</i>	8.32E-24	8.53E-01	4.34E-26	1.42E-24	7.17E-04

Table 2. Occurrence of the different chains of human Cyclin-dependent Kinase 2 (Id:P24941) in different cyclin A2 complexes.

UniProt AC of the protein partner in complexes	Protein partner's name and organisms	Number of kinase chains involved
Complexe	es with phosphorylation of	on Thr 160
P20248	Cyclin-A2 Homo sapiens (Human)	70
P30274	Cyclin-A2 Bos taurus (Bovine)	24
P51943	Cyclin-A2 Mus musculus (Mouse)	4
		Total: 98
Complexes	without phosphorylation	on Thr 160
P20248	Cyclin-A2 Homo sapiens (Human)	46
		Total: 46



Figure S1. *Protein Blocks profile*. The PB distributions of the complete analysis in case of **A**) N-glycosylation and **B**) Phosphorylation. The color is represented as per the intensity legend provided on the right side. Red represents very high presence of the PB while blue being the opposite.



Figure S2. Flexibility profile for different Phosphorylations. N_{eq} distribution curves (black) gives an insight into the extent of local structural changes at the phosphorylation site and its sequential neighbourhood. The red curve represents the percentage of available data for calculating N_{eq} at a position. Higher the percentage better is the confidence.



Figure S3. *Protein Blocks profile for phosphorylations*. The PB distributions of the complete analysis in case of **A**) Phospho-Serine, **B**) Phospho-Threonine, C) Phospho-Tyrosine. The color is represented as per the intensity legend provided on the right side. Red represents very high presence of the PB while blue being the opposite.



Figure S4. *Structural Analysis of Carboxylesterase 1*. **A)** The Neq profile of the N-linked Glycosylation at Asn 79 at its neighbouring positions. **B)** The entire Neq profile of the Carboxylesterase chain. The dip in the red curve is interpreted as the absence of data at the position. C) The structural superposition of all available crystal structures of Carboxylesterase. The green color highlights the glycosylation on the Asn 79. The blue spheres mark the disulphide bridges.



Figure S5. *Structural Analysis of Actin.* **A)** The N_{eq} profile of the methylation of Histidine residue 75 and its neighbouring positions. **B)** The entire N_{eq} profile of the actin chain. The red curve depicts the amount of crystal coordinates available for N_{eq} calculation. **C)** The structural superposition of all available crystal structures (39 chains) of actin. The green color highlights the conformational change due to methylation of H75.



3

2

0

-2 -1

ကိ

normalized B-Factor







Figure S6. Normalized B-Factor distribution for different PTMs. B-factors are used to assess the extent of flexible motions present in the crystal structure. The B-factor trends match with the trends observed in N_{eq} analysis. Here normalized B-factor are represented for all proteins used in the current study, containing different PTMs. P00797 (Renin endopeptidase, N-glycosylation), P23141 (Liver carboxylesterase 1, N-glycosylation), P24941 (Cyclin dependent kinase 2, phosphorylation), P68135 (Actin, methylation). The green curve highlights the PTM site and its neighbourhood.



Figure S7. Detailed N_{eq} Profile for Renin endopeptidase without N-glycosylation at N141. A. N_{eq} around the PTM position (in green) with the occurrence of non-missing residue (in red). B. Same information on the complete sequence, N_{eq} is in black except for the PTM position (in green, see A).



Figure S8. Detailed N_{eq} Profile for Liver carboxylesterase 1 without N-glycosylation at N79. See Figure S7 for more details.



Figure S9. Detailed N_{eq} Profile for Actin without methylation at H75. See Figure S7 for more details.



Figure S10. Detailed N_{eq} Profile for CDK2 without Phosphorylation at T 160. See Figure S7 for more details.



Figure S11. *Impact of methylation on Actin and its ligand binding*. Superimposed Actin structure along with its binding ligands. **A)** Actin with its ligand, without the methylation of H75. The ligands are represented as stick models. **B)** Actin with ligands while Histidine at 75 is methylated. Subtle changes can be observed in binding pattern of the ligand due to the presence of PTM. However, the data-set does not allow to precise the nature of such changes.



Figure S12. *Impact of phosphorylation on CDK on the ligand binding*. Superimposed CDK2 structure along with Cyclin A2 (regulator) bound. **A)** CDK2 with Cyclin A2, without the phosphorylation of T169. The cyclin is represented as stick model. **B)** CDK2 with Cyclin A2 while Threonine at 169 is phosphorylated. No visible change is observed in binding pattern of the ligand due to the presence or absence of the PTM.



1 15 30 45 60 75 90 105 120 135 150 165 180 195 210 225 240 255 270 285 300 315 330 345 360 377 position in UniProt sequence

Figure S13. Normalized B-Factor distribution for different proteins without PTM. Normalized B-factor are represented for all proteins used in the current study, without any PTMs. **P00797** (Renin endopeptidase, N-glycosylation), **P23141** (Liver carboxylesterase 1, N-glycosylation), **P24941** (Cyclin dependent kinase 2, phosphorylation), **P68135** (Actin, methylation). The green curve highlights the PTM site and its neighbourhood. When compared to Figure S6, major structure impacted due to PTM is observed to be CDK2, especially in the PTM neighbourhood.

Table S1. *The Dataset for PTM analysis.* Using PTM-SD, a comprehensive structural dataset is prepared with PTMs, N-glycosylation, phosphorylation and methylation. The table indicates the details of the dataset with diversity indicated as no. of different source organisms, size depicted by the no. of chains and quality of data is indicated by No. of PTM. Similar statistic is also given for the derived non-redundant dataset (in columns 5 to 7).

РТМ туре		Whole data		No	n-redundant da	ıtaset
	Number of organisms	Number of chains	Number of PTMs	Number of organisms	Number of chains	Number of PTMs
N-glycosylation	100	3092	7110	41	156	348
phosphorylation	22	1 308	1 874	12	75	92
methylation	21	584	886	9	15	19

Table S2. *The Dataset for Phosphorylation analysis:* The table represents the details of the dataset comprising of different kind of phosphorylation modifications, built using PTM-SD. The diversity of the data is indicated by the no. of different source organisms, size depicted by the no. of chains and quality of data is indicated by No. of PTM. Similar statistics is also given for the derived non-redundant dataset (in columns 5 to 7).

РТМ type		Whole data		No	on-redundant	data
	Number of organisms	Number of chains	Number of PTMs	Number of organisms	Number of chains	Number of PTMs
phospho-serine	14	498	618	8	45	57
phospho- threonine	11	561	611	7	29	29
phospho- tyrosine	6	453	638	6	30	34

Table S3. Dataset to analyse local and global impacts of PTMs on 4 proteins. Four proteins as listed in first column, they are selected to study the impact of PTM on the protein structure. Second Column lists the modification taken into account while third and fourth columns are the number of structures used for comparison of structural impact in presence and absence of the PTM, respectively.

Proteins (UniProt-AC)	PTM type and position in sequence	Number of chains with PTM	Number of chains without PTM
Renin endopeptidase P00797 (Human)	N-glycosylation on Asn 141	80	49
Liver carboxylesterase 1 P23141 (Human)	N-glycosylation on Asn 79	59	17
Cyclin-dependent kinase P24941 (Human)	Phosphorylation on Thr 160	96	46
Actin P68135 (Rabbit)	Methylation on His 75	39	85

Table S4. *Comparison of structures with or without PTMs. Statistical tests for the 4 proteins.* Shapiro - Wilk (SW) test checks if it follows Normal law distribution, while Mann – Whitney - Wilcoxon (MWW) is a nonparametric test that compared mean values. Are indicated the size of samples (n), the calculated statistics (stats), and the p-values. The chosen risk α is equal to 5%, the significant values allow dismissing the hypothesis H0 and are colored in red.

		SW Bfactor rest of the protein vs Normal law	MWW <i>Bfactor</i> PTM region vs <i>Bfactor</i> rest of the protein	SW <i>Bfactor</i> all protein with 0 PTM vs Normal law	SW <i>Bfactor</i> all protein with 1 PTM vs Normal law	MWW <i>Bfactor</i> 0 PTM vs <i>Bfactor</i> 1 PTM	
Renin endopeptidase P00797 (Human)	п	21	316	21/316	406	406	406/406
N-glycosylation	Statistic	0.9615	0.9116	4804	0.9447	0.9195	64262
	<i>p</i> -value	5.46E-01	1.13E-12	5.90E-04	1.51E-10	1.81E-12	5.20E-01
Liver carboxylesterase 1	п	21	507	21/507	567	567	567/567
P23141 (Human) N-glycosylation	Statistic	0.9319	0.9035	4006	0.9033	0.8991	141442
	<i>p</i> -value	1.51E-01	2.20E-17	5.46E-02	8.34E-18	3.57E-18	7.19E-01
Cyclin-dependent kinase	п	21	275	21/275	298	298	298/298
P24941 (Human) phosphorylation	Statistic	0.9045	0.9383	2160.0000	0.9392	0.9351	43323
	<i>p</i> -value	4.27E-02	2.61E-09	5.45E-02	1.12E-09	4.26E-10	8.16E-01
Actin P68135 (Rabbit)	п	21	348	21/348	377	377	377/377
methylation	Statistic	0.9340	0.8969	3794	0.8915	0.8949	68532
	<i>p</i> -value	1.65E-01	1.32E-14	7.69E-01	1.45E-15	2.94E-15	9.78E-01

Dataset S1: the list of PDB id used for both **PTM** and **no-PTM** analyses.

The experimental methods and resolutions are distributed from the PDB search result page as follow :

For the **PTM** analysis :

- Experimental Method:
 - X-ray (387)
 - Electron Microscopy (8)
 - \circ Solution NMR (7)
 - \circ Fiber Diffraction (1)
- X-ray Resolution:
 - \circ less than 1.5 Å (10)
 - 1.5 2.0 Å (82)
 - 2.0 2.5 Å (142)
 - 2.5 3.0 **Å** (114)
 - \circ 3.0 and more Å (39)

For the **no-PTM** analysis :

- Experimental Method
 - X-ray (306)
 - Electron Microscopy (7)
 - Electron Crystallography (1)
- X-ray Resolution
 - less than 1.5 $\text{\AA}(4)$
 - 1.5 2.0 **Å** (153)
 - 2.0 2.5 Å (105)
 - 2.5 3.0 Å (37)
 - \circ 3.0 and more Å (7)

PDB id for PTM :

1A39 1A4G 1AC5 1AGM 1AK0 1AOT 1AQ0 1ATN 1B37 1B5F

1BHG
1BLF
1BTE
1BU8
1BVW
1BY2
1CE7
1CF3
1CX8
1CZF
1D4W
1DEO
1DMT
1DYM
1E9H
1ESV
1E0 (
1F88
1FIR
1FO1
1G5G
1GH7
1GV3
1U1J 1U1D
1111F 11110
1ПIQ 1Ш1D
1ПІК 1Ц19
1 IILU 1 IINE
$\frac{1}{1}\frac{1}{7}W$
11/W 11D1
1IKS
111Q
IJ4L
IJ4P
IJ6Z
IJMA
IJND
IJST
IJSU
1JU5
1JUH
1KSI
1KTB
1L6Z
1L8J
1LF8
1LOT

1LOV
1MA9
1MN1
1MX1
1MX5
1MVD
1 N 26
11NZ0 1NI72
IN/J
INAC
1015
IOVA
IOZN
1P22
1P5E
1PC8
1PKD
1PY1
1QFX
1QG1
1QMZ
1QZ5
1QZ6
1R42
1REO
1RJ5
1RMG
1RPA
1S4N
1SCH
1T15
1T7V
1TH1
1TU5
11150
11191
1VSG
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1 W 90
$1 W \cup A$ 1 V 27
IYIE
IY64
IYA4
/
IYA8

1VAН
IYAJ
1YVH
1YWN
1YXO
1760
1208
1261
1Z8D
1ZAG
1ZPU
21210
2AJL
2A40
2A41
2A42
2AC1
2AHY
ZAWZ
2B5I
2BVA
2C6T
2001 2001
2001
2001
2D1K
2D7I
2DF3
2DOV
2007
2DQL
2DR0
2E4U
2E56
2ERI
2ER5 2ET7
2EIL
2FXU
2G1N
2G10
2G1R
2018
2015
2GIY
2G22
2G9X
2GJX
2GUV
2001
2GWK
2GY5
2H7C
2HCZ
21102 2HDV
2HMH
2HMP

2HOR
2HRQ
2HRR
2I6S
2IH8
2IW6
2IW8
2IW9
2J0L
2JFL
2JGZ
2NRU
208Y
2001 208D
20DD 2PAV
2PRD
2PCU
21 CO 2PE4
21 L + 2PMV
21 WI V 2DSY
20011
2007
2001
2QCI 2DEN
2KEN 2UZD
2UZB
MUZD
2UZD
2UZD 2W3O
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3AL3
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3AL3 3B1B
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3AL3 3B1B 3B3Q
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3A13 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3A13 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3AL3 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHB
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3A13 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHT 3BHT 3BHU
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3AL3 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHT 3BHU 3BHU 3BHV
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3AL3 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHT 3BHU 3BHU 3BHV 3BN3
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3A13 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHT 3BHU 3BHV 3BHV 3BN3 3BUN
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3A13 3B1B 3B3Q 3B6M 3BHB 3BHU 3BHU 3BHU 3BHV 3BN3 3BUN 3BZI
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3AL3 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHT 3BHU 3BHU 3BHV 3BN3 3BUN 3BUN 3BZI 3C4W
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3A13 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHT 3BHU 3BHV 3BHV 3BHV 3BN3 3BUN 3BZI 3C4W 3CFW
2UZD 2W3O 2WMA 2WMB 2Y1K 2Z64 2Z81 2ZWH 3A77 3A7F 3A13 3B1B 3B3Q 3BGM 3BHB 3BHU 3BHU 3BHU 3BHU 3BHV 3BN3 3BUN 3BZI 3C4W 3CFW 3CI5

201.4
3CL4
3COJ
3D12
3D12
3D2D
3DDP
3000
JUDQ
3DI3
3DOG
3EXH
3F6K
3F8U
3FBX
2EEC
3FEC
3FGR
3FOU
2EOV
3FQX
3FXZ
3G2V
2027
5057
3G5C
3G6Z
2670
3070
3G72
3GW5
3H5C
3HBT
3HKL
3HN3
3HUF
3INB
31113
210.4
3J8A
3J8F
3IVF
211/11
JJY H
3K1W
3K2L
21/4D
SK4P
3K4Q
3KM4
3KO4
JKQ4
3LB6
3LGD
3LKT
3LMY
3LW1
3M1F
21/211
JIVIJIN
3MAZ
3MDJ

51011 1
3MHR
3MJ7
3MI /
3MXC
3MY5
3NKM
2040
20040
309L
30AD
30AG
30R1
2011
30J Y
30L2
30MH
300T
3001
30QF
30QK
303T
2011
3Q4A
3Q4B
305H
3002
JQD2
3QHK
3QHW
3087
3508
5576
2000
3SFC
3SFC 3SI1
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 2V7D
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3V7D 3VA4
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 2VSV
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VTA
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VSX 3VTA 3VUC
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VTA 3VUC 3VVD
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VTA 3VUC 3VYD
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VTA 3VUC 3VYD 3VYE
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VTA 3VUC 3VYD 3VYE 3VYF
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VTA 3VUC 3VYD 3VYE 3VYF 3W81
3SFC 3SI1 3TMP 3TNW 3TPE 3TRQ 3U7B 3UAL 3UEO 3UNN 3UZD 3V7D 3VA4 3VCM 3VSW 3VSX 3VTA 3VUC 3VYD 3VYE 3VYF 3W81 3WP1

075111
3ZEW
3ZKF
2711
3ZNI
4A7F
4A7H
4Δ7I
$\frac{1}{1}$
4A/N
4BCK
4BCM
4BCN
4BCO
4BCP
ABCO
ACAE
4C4E
4CFM
4CFN
4CFU
4CFV
ACEW
4CFW
4CFX
4CXA
4DC2
4EOI
4FOI
4EOV
4EUK
4EOL
4EOM
4EON
4EOO
AEOP
4E00
4EOQ
4EOR
4EOS
4EUU
4F7B
4FOI
4FGU
4FXW
4GDX
4GJ5
4GI6
4017
40J/
4GJ8
4GJ9
4GJA
4GIB
AGIC
4GJD
4GL9
4GLR

4GVC
4GZ9
4H03
4H1S
4I3Z
4IAN
4IGK
4II5
4J6S
4JAX
4JLU
4JMG
4JMH
4JS1
4JS8
4KIK
4KJY
4L1U
4MHX
4NM5
4NM7
4NO3
4NU1
40TD
4PO7
4PSI
4PYV
4Q1N
4QSY
4R3P
4R3R
4R4H
4RA0
4RER
4RH5
4RXZ
4RYC
4RYG
4RZ1
4S1G
4V11
5A7F
5A7G

PDB id for non-PTM :

1AQ1 1B38 1B39 1BBS 1BIL 1BIM 1CKP 1DI8 1DM2 1E1V 1E1X 1FIN 1FVT 1FVV 1G5S 1GIH 1GII 1GIJ 1GZ8 1H00 1H01 1H07 1H08 1H0V 1H0W 1HCK 1HCL 1IJJ 1JVP 1KE5 1KE6 1KE7 1KE8 1KE9 1LCU 1MX9 10GU 10I9 10IQ 10IR 10IT 10IU 10IY 1P2A 1PF8 1PW2 1PXI 1PXJ

1PXK
11 AL
IPAM 1DDD
IPXN
1PXO
1PXP
1PYE
1R78
1RDW
1REO
IVIK
IVYW
1VYZ
1W0X
1W8C
1WCC
1Y8Y
1791
2 A OC
2A0C
2A4L
2A5X
2B52
2B54
2B55
2BHE
2BHH
2BKZ
2BPM
2DT M 2RTP
2DIK 2DTS
2B15
2C4G
2C5X
2C5Y
2C68
2C69
2C6I
2C6K
2061
2C6M
2C0W
2C60
2ULX
2DSI
2DUV
2EXM
2FS4
2FVD
2G20
2G21
-0-1

2G24
2026
2020
2G27
2140
2140
2I4Q
$\frac{1}{2}$
21KU
2IKU
211.2
2J9M
201N
2011
2Q31
2036
200
2R64
2UZN
20ZO
2V0D
2VIA
2VTH
2VTI
2 V 1 1
2VTJ
OVTI
ZVIL
2VTM
2VTN
2 V 1 IN
2VTO
2VTP
2 11
2VTQ
2VTR
2V18
2VTT
21112
2VU3
2VV9
211/05
$2 \le 0.5$
2W06
2W17
2 VV 1 /
2W1H
<u>2</u> ₩/IH
2 W III
2WIP
Э ₩/₽А
2WXV
2XMY
2XNB
2Y83
20511
3830
3D91
200
JEID
3EJ1
2500
JEUC
3EZR
3EZR
3EZR 3EZV
3EZR 3EZV 3F5X

2571
3FZ1
3IG7
3IGG
314K
3181
2101
3J8J
3J8K
3JBJ
3K9B
3LE6
31 EN
3LFQ
3LFS
3M6G
3NS9
30WN
2010
JFJ0
3PXF
3PXQ
3PXR
3PXY
3PY7
2DV0
SPYU
3PY1
3QL8
300F
300G
200U
3QQJ
3QQK
3QQL
3ORT
3ORI
2010
JUIQ
3QTR
3QTS
3QTU
3OTW
30TY
JQIA 20TZ
3QIZ
3QU0
3QWJ
30WK
30X2
20V4
JQA4
3QXO
3QXP
3QZF
30ZG
2071

307I
2D10
3K15
3RTY
3R28
3R6X
3R71
3R73
3R7E
3R7I
2D7II
2D7V
$\mathcal{S}K/V$
3K/Y
3R83
3R8L
3R8M
3R8P
3R8U
3R8V
3287
3K9D
3K9H
3R9N
3R90
3RAH
3RAI
3RAK
3RAL
3RIC
3DK5
2DV7
JKK/
3RK9
3RKB
3RM6
3RM7
3RMF
3RNI
3ROY
3RPO
3000
JKP V
3RPY
3RZB
3S00
3S0O
3S1H
3S2P
3800
3SW7
3TI1
J I I I

3TIV
2717
311Z
3TPQ
3ULI
3UNJ
3UNK
3WBL
4AB1
4ACM
4AMT
4BGH
4BZD
4D1X
4D17
4D1Z
4EKJ
4ENU 4EDW
4EK W
4EZ3
4EZ/
4FKG
4FKI
4FKJ
4FKO
4FKQ
4FKR
4FKS
4FKT
4FKU
4FKV
4FKW
4FX3
4GCJ
4K41
4K42
4K43
4KD1
4LYN
4RJ3
4XX3
4XX4
5A14
547H
54ND
5ANE
5ANC
JAINU 5 A NII
JANI
JANJ
JANK
JANU
SCYL

5D1J
5FP5
5FP6
5IEV
5IEX
5IEY
5IF1
5JLF
5K4J
5KOQ
5KOS
5SXN
5SY2
5SY3
5SZ9
5T4S