

Quality control implementation for universal characterization of DNA and RNA viruses in clinical respiratory samples using single metagenomic next-generation sequencing workflow

Antonin Bal, Maxime Pichon, Caroline Picard, Jean-Sébastien Casalegno, Martine Valette, Isabelle Schuffenecker, Lisa Billard, Sophie Vallet, Gaëlle Vilchez, Valérie Cheynet, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Antonin Bal, Maxime Pichon, Caroline Picard, Jean-Sébastien Casalegno, Martine Valette, et al.. Quality control implementation for universal characterization of DNA and RNA viruses in clinical respiratory samples using single metagenomic next-generation sequencing workflow. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2018, 18 (1), pp.537. 10.1186/s12879-018-3446-5. inserm-02044167

HAL Id: inserm-02044167 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-02044167

Submitted on 21 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

TECHNICAL ADVANCE

Open Access



Quality control implementation for universal characterization of DNA and RNA viruses in clinical respiratory samples using single metagenomic next-generation sequencing workflow

A. Bal^{1,2,3,4}, M. Pichon^{1,2,3}, C. Picard^{5,6}, J. S. Casalegno^{1,2,3}, M. Valette^{1,2,3}, I. Schuffenecker¹, L. Billard⁷, S. Vallet^{7,8}, G. Vilchez⁴, V. Cheynet⁴, G. Oriol⁴, S. Trouillet-Assant⁴, Y. Gillet⁹, B. Lina^{1,2,3}, K. Brengel-Pesce⁴, F. Morfin^{1,2,3} and L. Josset^{1,2,3*}

Abstract

Background: In recent years, metagenomic Next-Generation Sequencing (mNGS) has increasingly been used for an accurate assumption-free virological diagnosis. However, the systematic workflow evaluation on clinical respiratory samples and implementation of quality controls (QCs) is still lacking.

Methods: A total of 3 QCs were implemented and processed through the whole mNGS workflow: a no-template-control to evaluate contamination issues during the process; an internal and an external QC to check the integrity of the reagents, equipment, the presence of inhibitors, and to allow the validation of results for each sample. The workflow was then evaluated on 37 clinical respiratory samples from patients with acute respiratory infections previously tested for a broad panel of viruses using semi-quantitative real-time PCR assays (28 positive samples including 6 multiple viral infections; 9 negative samples). Selected specimens included nasopharyngeal swabs (n = 20), aspirates (n = 10), or sputums (n = 7).

Results: The optimal spiking level of the internal QC was first determined in order to be sufficiently detected without overconsumption of sequencing reads. According to QC validation criteria, mNGS results were validated for 34/37 selected samples. For valid samples, viral genotypes were accurately determined for 36/36 viruses detected with PCR (viral genome coverage ranged from 0.6 to 100%, median = 67.7%). This mNGS workflow allowed the detection of DNA and RNA viruses up to a semi-quantitative PCR Ct value of 36. The six multiple viral infections involving 2 to 4 viruses were also fully characterized. A strong correlation between results of mNGS and real-time PCR was obtained for each type of viral genome (R² ranged from 0.72 for linear single-stranded (ss) RNA viruses to 0.98 for linear ssDNA viruses).

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: laurence.josset@chu-lyon.fr

¹Laboratoire de Virologie, Institut des Agents Infectieux, Groupement

Hospitalier Nord, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

²Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Faculté de Médecine Lyon Est, CIRI, Inserm U1111 CNRS UMR5308, Virpath, Lyon, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

(Continued from previous page)

Conclusions: Although the potential of mNGS technology is very promising, further evaluation studies are urgently needed for its routine clinical use within a reasonable timeframe. The approach described herein is crucial to bring standardization and to ensure the quality of the generated sequences in clinical setting. We provide an easy-to-use single protocol successfully evaluated for the characterization of a broad and representative panel of DNA and RNA respiratory viruses in various types of clinical samples.

Keywords: Clinical virology, Quality control, Next-generation sequencing, Viral metagenomics, Respiratory viruses

Background

Since the development of Next Generation-Sequencing (NGS) technologies in 2005, the use of metagenomic approaches has grown considerably. It is now considered as an efficient unbiased tool in clinical virology [1, 2], in particular for the characterization of viral acute respiratory infections (ARIs). Several advantages of metagenomic NGS (mNGS) compared to conventional real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) assays have been highlighted. Firstly, the full viral genetic information is immediately available allowing the investigation of respiratory outbreaks, viral epidemiological surveillance, or identification of specific mutations leading to antiviral resistance or higher virulence [3–5]. Secondly, a significant improvement in viral ARIs diagnosis has been reported [4, 6-9]; as the process is sequence independent, mNGS is able to identify highly divergent viral genomes, rare respiratory pathogens, and to discover respiratory viruses missed by targeted PCR [1, 4, 7].

However, the diversity in viral nucleic acid types has impaired the development of a unique viral metagenomic workflow allowing the comprehensive characterization of viruses present in a clinical sample. Most of the published viral metagenomic protocols have been optimized for the detection either of DNA viruses or RNA viruses [4, 5, 10-13]. In addition, despite the growing number of studies using a metagenomic process in clinical virology, evaluation of workflows has not systematically included both clinical samples and quality control (QC) implementation. A metagenomic protocol involves a large number of steps and all of these have to be controlled to ensure the quality of the generated sequences [6, 14–16]. Furthermore, specimen to specimen, environmental, and reagent contaminations are also a major concern in metagenomic setting and must be accurately evaluated [6, 17-19].

The objective of this study was to implement QCs in a single metagenomic protocol and to evaluate it for the detection of a broad panel of DNA and RNA viruses in clinical respiratory samples.

Methods

Clinical samples

A total of 37 respiratory samples collected from patients hospitalized in the university hospital of Lyon (Hospices Civils de Lyon, HCL) were retrospectively selected to evaluate our metagenomic approach. Selected specimens included various types of clinical samples; nasopharyngeal swabs (n = 20), aspirates (n = 10), or sputums (n = 7). These samples were initially sent to our laboratory for routine viral diagnosis of ARI using semi-quantitative real-time PCR assays targeting a comprehensive panel of DNA and RNA viruses (r-gene, bioMérieux, Marcy l'étoile, France). This panel included: influenza virus type A and B, adenovirus, cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, human herpes virus 6, human bocavirus (HBoV), human rhinovirus, respiratory syncytial virus, human parainfluenza virus, human coronavirus (HCoV), human metapneumovirus, and measles virus. Twenty-two samples were positive for only one targeted virus, 6 were characterized by a multiple viral infection and 9 were negative for all the targeted viruses. These 9 samples were also found to be negative using the FilmArray Respiratory Panel (FA RP, bioMérieux). After PCR testing, the rest of samples were stored at - 20 °C until mNGS analysis.

Metagenomic workflow

For sample viral enrichment, a 3-step method was applied to 200 μ l of thawed and vortexed sample [20]: low-speed centrifugation (6000 g, 10 min, 4 °C), followed by filtration of the supernatant using 0.80 µm filter (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) to remove eukaryotic and bacterial cells, without loss of large viruses [21] and then Turbo DNase treatment (0.1 U/µL, 37 °C, 90 min; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Total nucleic acid was extracted using the NucliSENS EasyMAG platform (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) followed by an ethanol precipitation (2 h at - 80 °C). As previously described, modified whole transcriptome amplification was performed to amplify both DNA and RNA viral nucleic acids (WTA2, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) [21]. Amplified DNA and cDNA were then purified using a QiaQuick column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and quantified using the Qubit fluorometer HS dsDNA Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Nextera XT DNA Library preparation and Nextera XT Index Kit were used to prepare paired-end libraries, according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). After normalization, a pool of libraries (V/V) was made and quantified using universal KAPA

library quantification kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA); 1% PhiX genome was added to the quantified library before sequencing with Illumina NextSeq $500 \ ^{\infty}$ platform (Fig. 1). In addition, it should be noticed that our wet-lab process was designed to prevent contaminations as much as possible: reagents were stored and prepared in a DNA-free room; patient samples were opened in a laminar flow hood in a pre-PCR room; after the amplification step, tubes were handled and stored in a post-PCR room.

Bioinformatic analysis

A stepwise bioinformatic filtering pipeline was used to quality filter reads using cutadapt and sickle; and to remove human, archaeal, bacterial, and fungal sequences by aligning reads with bwa mem. The databases used were GRCh38.p2, RefSeq archaea, RefSeq bacteria, and RefSeq fungi. Remaining reads were aligned on ezVIR viral database v0.1 [22] and bacteriophage genomes from the RefSeq database (downloaded on 17 February 2017) using bwa mem. Normalization for comparing viral genome coverage values was performed using reads per kilobase of virus reference sequence per million mapped reads (RPKM) ratio [4, 23]. RPKM ratio corrects differences in both sample sequencing depth and viral gene length. Viral reads (expressed in RPKM) from the No-Template Control (NTC) were subtracted from viral reads (in RPKM) of each sample within the batch prior to further analysis. A sample was considered to be positive for a particular virus when the RPKM of this virus was positive. No threshold regarding genome coverage pattern was applied nor requirement to cover a particular region of the genome. This latter requirement could be important to correctly identify RNA virus subtypes with high recombination frequencies within a species, but has to be implemented specifically for each viral family.

Quality control implementation

All respiratory specimens were spiked with internal quality control (IQC) before sample preparation. MS2 bacteriophage from a commercial kit (MS2, IC1 RNA internal control; r-gene, bioMérieux) was selected as the IQC. As positive external quality control (EQC), we used



Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the metagenomic workflow and quality control steps. The whole process is summarized in the middle. On the left side, internal control (MS2 bacteriophage) is represented in blue, and external controls are represented in red, including positive control (MS2 bacteriophage spiked in viral transport medium) and No-Template Control (NTC: viral transport medium). Quality control testing 1 corresponds to MS2 bacteriophage molecular detection with commercial PCR assay. Quality control testing 2 corresponds to control by sequencing metrics (number of MS2 reads normalized with RPKM ratio and MS2 genome coverage). On the right, each technique used by phases is indicated black. In addition, on the far right the duration of each step is indicated

viral transport medium spiked with MS2 at the same concentration used for the IQC. A No-Template Control (NTC) was implemented to evaluate contamination during the process. NTC was constituted of viral transport medium (Sigma-virocult, MWE, Corsham, UK) that was processed through all mNGS steps. Two QC testing (QCT) were performed: QCT1 which was the semi-quantitative detection of MS2 using a commercial real-time PCR assay (IC1 RNA internal control, r-gene, bioMérieux,) after amplification step (Fig. 1). QCT1 validation criteria were: MS2 semi-quantitative PCR Cycle threshold (Ct) below 37 Ct for IQC and EQC, and no MS2 detection for NTC. QCT2 evaluated the sequencing performance by quantifying the number of reads aligned on the MS2 genome (in RPKM) and MS2 genome coverage (MS2 genome accession number: NC_001417.2; Fig. 1). QCT2 validation criteria were MS2 genome coverage > 95% for positive EQC, and an MS2 RPKM > 0 for IOC.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPrism version 5.02 applying the appropriate statistical test (associations between mNGS and viral real-time PCR assay were determined by applying the Pearson's correlation coefficient and differences between median and distributions were evaluated by the Mann–Whitney U test). A p-value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Determination of optimal internal quality control spiking

MS2 bacteriophage (MS2), a single-stranded RNA virus (ssRNA), was used as the IQC to validate the whole metagenomic process for each sample. In order to optimize IQC spiking level, the sensitivity of the metagenomic analysis workflow for MS2 detection was first evaluated with a ten-fold serial dilutions of MS2 (from 10^{-2} to 10^{-5}) in a nasopharyngeal swab tested negative using FA RP (bioMérieux). MS2 was detected in internal QCT1 (IQCT1) for all levels of MS2 spiking (Ct ranged from 17.5 at the 10^{-2} dilution to 26.4 Ct at the 10^{-5} dilution). Full to partial MS2 genome coverage was obtained for all MS2 spiking levels in internal QCT2 (IQCT2; coverage ranged from 98% at the 10^{-2} dilution to 69% at the 10^{-5} dilution). For the highest spiking level, 66.0% of the total number of viral reads was mapped to MS2; for the lowest spiking level, 0.9% were so (Fig. 2). To limit the number of NGS reads consumed for IQC detection, the optimal spiking condition was determined to be the 10^{-5} dilution and was used for the rest of the study.

Validation of mNGS results

A total of 37 clinical respiratory samples from patients with ARIs caused by a broad panel of DNA and RNA viruses or of unknown etiology were analyzed in a single mNGS workflow. Libraries were sequenced to a mean of 5,139,248 million reads passing quality filters (range: 270,975 to 13,586,456 reads). Human sequences represented the main part of NGS reads for both positive samples (mean = 61.3%) and negative samples (mean = 67.1%), but not of NTC which was mainly composed of bacterial reads (67.8%). The proportion of viral reads ranged from 0.006 to 85.2% (mean = 9.6% for positive samples and 0.6% for negative samples, Additional file 1). Viral metagenomic results were then validated according to the criteria described in the Methods section. QCT1 (MS2 molecular detection performed before library preparation) was negative for NTC. After sequencing, viral contamination represented 0.13% (4245/3,215,616) of the total reads generated from NTC including 2 MS2 reads (MS2 RPKM = 173). For targeted viruses, 21 reads (RPKM = 480) and 185 reads (RPKM = 1.1E + 04) mapping to influenza A(H3N2) and HBoV were detected, respectively. The positive EQC was successfully detected at QCT1 (MS2 PCR positive at 25 Ct) and after the sequencing step (QCT2; MS2 genome coverage = 99.7%, MS2 RPKM = 5.5E + 05). Regarding IQC results, 37/37 samples passed QCT1 (MS2 PCR Ct values < 37) and were therefore further processed. A total of 33/37 samples passed QCT2 (MS2 RPKM > 0; Fig. 3). For these 33 samples, MS2 genome coverage ranged from 15 to 100% (Additional file 2).

The 4 samples that did not pass IQCT2 included one sputum that was previously tested negative using real-time PCR (sample # 37), one HCoV positive sputum (sample # 11, Ct = 32), one HBoV positive nasopharyngeal swab (sample # 19, Ct = 30), and one nasopharyngeal aspirate tested positive for HBoV and CMV (sample # 23, Ct = 15 and 31, respectively). For sample # 37 and sample # 19, none of the real-time PCR targeted viruses were detected after bioinformatic analysis. For sample # 19, we sequenced a replicate which similarly failed both IQC and HBoV detection. We could not test any replicate for sample # 37 owing to insufficient quantity. Viral metagenomics results for sample # 23 were validated as viral reads represented 85.2% (9,489,578/ 11,144,324) of the total reads generated (Fig. 3). For sample # 11, the number of reads mapping to HCoV was 9/ 5,125,947 with a HCoV genome coverage of 0.2%. Results were therefore not validated for this sample. Overall, mNGS results were validated for 34/37 samples including 26/28 positive samples and 8/9 negative samples.

Metagenomic workflow evaluation according to viral genome type

The evaluation of the metagenomic workflow was performed using the 26 previously validated respiratory





samples tested positive with viral real-time PCR targeting a representative panel of DNA and RNA viruses. For all 26 samples tested, viral metagenomic sequencing allowed the identification of the 36/36 viral genotypes matching targeted PCR results and on-target viral genome coverage ranged from 0.6 to 100% (median = 67.7%). For these 36 targeted viruses, the real-time PCR Ct values ranged from 15 to 37 Ct (median = 28 Ct). The six multiple viral infections involving from 2 to 4 different viruses were also fully characterized (Table 1). For sample # 25 (sample tested positive for 2 DNA viruses and 2 RNA viruses using real-time PCR), mNGS results were crosschecked on a duplicate which reported RPKM deviations lower than 0.5 log for each targeted virus (mNGS results for the 2 replicates are summarized in Additional file 3). Regarding mNGS results obtained from the 8 negative samples validated with IQC, no clinically relevant virus was detected. A strong correlation between mNGS and real-time PCR results was obtained for each viral genome type (R² ranged from 0.72 for linear ssRNA viruses to 0.98 for linear ssDNA viruses, Fig. 4a). Normalized read counts were significantly lower for linear dsDNA viruses than for other viral genome types (Fig. 4b).

Table 1 Metagenomic NGS results for the va	dated respiratory samples	s tested positive with viral	real-time PCR.
--	---------------------------	------------------------------	----------------

Sample No.	Real-time PCR Ct values		Viral genome type	mNGS results for targeted viruses ^a			
				Identification	No. of reads	RPKM	Coverage(%)
1	HRV/EV	25	linear ssRNA	HRV-A19	13,061	5.5E + 06	97.6
2		24		HRV-A19	29,743	8.2E + 06	98.2
3		29		HRV-A63	2672	1.4E + 06	58.1
4		34		HRV-A56	453	1.4E + 04	75.2
5	RSV	27		RSV-B	14,218	1.9E + 06	91.2
6		36		RSV-A	187	1.5E + 03	22.0
7	MPV	33		HMPV-A	44,556	9.1E + 05	100.0
8	HCoV	20		HCoV NL63	73,878	2.4E + 06	94.2
9		24		HCoV 229E	19,615	1.1E + 06	99.8
10		28		HCoV 229E	20,666	2.4E + 05	100.0
12		36		HCoV NL63	1815	1.3E + 04	9.6
13	MV	23		Measles Virus	289,019	9.1E + 06	98.1
14	IBV	23	fragmented ssRNA	Influenza B	42,212	1.1E + 06	97.2
15	IAV	27		Influenza A(H3N2)	24,234	1.9E + 05	78.6
16		34		Influenza A(H3N2)	1559	1.9E + 04	21.2
17		35		Influenza A(H3N2)	258	1.8E + 03	26.5
18	HBoV	24	linear ssDNA	HBoV-1	79,504	2.7E + 06	100.0
20	AdV	17	linear dsDNA	HAdVC-1	245,2476	1.6E + 07	99.8
21		36		HAdVD-51	18	8.0E + 01	0.6
22 ^b		30		HAdVC-6	284	1.0E + 03	6.2
	HHV-6	28		HHV-6B	18,411	1.4E + 04	54.8
23 ^b	HBoV	15	linear ssDNA	HBoV-1	9,470,426	1.6E + 08	100.0
	CMV	31	linear dsDNA	CMV	653	2.5E + 02	5.3
24 ^b	HBoV	17	linear ssDNA	HBoV-1	7,966,089	1.1E + 08	100
	MPV	29	linear ssRNA	HMPV-A	10,629	5.9E + 04	95.7
25 ^{b, c}	AdV	26	linear dsDNA	HAdVC-2	2165	6.8E + 03	12.4
	HPIV	26	linear ssRNA	HPIV-3	17,576	1.3E + 05	66.7
	HRV/EV	34		HRV-C	446	7.0E + 03	9.2
	CMV	27	linear dsDNA	CMV	34,577	1.7E + 04	24.8
26 ^b	HRV/EV	26	linear ssRNA	HRV-A78	114,684	1.4E + 07	99.9
	AdV	30	linear dsDNA	HAdVC-2	65	1.6E + 03	9.6
	RSV	30	linear ssRNA	RSV-A	586	3.5E + 04	68.7
27 ^b	AdV	32	linear dsDNA	HAdVC-2	24	1.3E + 02	3.2
	HPIV	37	linear ssRNA	HPIV-2	50	6.3E + 02	2.3
28 ^b	HRV/EV	31		HRV-A71	1309	3.5E + 04	61.3
	EBV	23	linear dsDNA	EBV	2556	3.0E + 03	39.3

HRV: human rhinovirus, *EV*: enterovirus, *RSV*: respiratory syncytial virus, *HCoV*: human coronavirus, *HMPV*: human metapneumovirus, *HPIV*: human parainfluenza virus, *MV*: measles virus, *HBoV*: human bocavirus, *AdV*: adenovirus, *HHV*: human herpes virus, *CMV*: cytomegalovirus, *EBV*: Epstein-Baar virus, *Ct*: Cycle threshold, *RPKM*: reads per kilobase of virus reference sequence per million mapped reads (normalization of the number of reads mapping to a targeted viral genome) ^aTargeted viruses: viruses detected with real-time PCR

^bMultiple viral infections

^cCross-checked on duplicate sample (deviation < 0.5 log)

Discussion

Over the last few years, a growing number of viral metagenomic protocols have been published but systematic evaluation on clinical respiratory samples and validation by QC is still lacking. In the present study, we describe a process allowing the sensitive detection of both DNA



and RNA viruses in a single assay and implemented several QCs to validate the whole metagenomic workflow.

First, IQC was implemented to control the integrity of the reagents, equipment, the presence of inhibitors, and to allow the validation of mNGS results for each sample. The MS2 bacteriophage was selected as IQC for three main reasons; firstly MS2 is widely used as IQC during viral real-time PCR assays to control both extraction and inhibition [24], secondly, an RNA virus was required to control the random reverse transcription and second strand synthesis steps, and thirdly MS2 is a ssRNA virus with a small genome (3569-bp) that is perfectly characterized and therefore can be easily detected after bioinformatic analysis without the need for extensive NGS reads. The use of MS2 as an IQC has been previously reported for metagenomic analysis of cerebrospinal fluid specimens [25]. In another metagenomic study, RNA of MS2 was included after extraction as an IQC but the use of purified RNA does not validate the viral enrichment step [26]. In the protocol described herein, whole MS2 virions were added to each clinical sample from the beginning of the workflow. QCT1 was implemented to control the first steps of the process and to avoid unnecessary library preparation when these steps fail. At the end of the workflow, QCT2 was able to invalidate 2 samples as neither MS2 nor viruses causing ARIs were significantly detected after metagenomic analysis while routine PCR screenings detected a HBoV and a HCoV. The re-testing of these 2 samples found the same findings suggesting an inhibition or a competition issue during the process. Without the use of IQC, these samples would have been mistakenly classified as false negatives by mNGS. However, the expected competition between viruses and MS2 during the process could lead to a non-detection of IQC reads in case of high viral load. Thus, the interpretation of IQC results should consider the proportion of viral reads of each sample. Although not observed, IQC reads may also be reduced in samples with a greater numbers of patient cells which may affect the sensitivity of the assay [25].

In addition to IQC, we implemented negative external control because contamination issues are frequently reported in metagenomic studies and may lead to misinterpretation in clinical practice [17]. mNGS reads in this negative control were mainly composed of bacterial reads. However, viral reads (mainly derived from prokaryote viruses) were also detected which could be present in reagents ("kitome") or may represent laboratory contaminants or bleed-over contaminations from highly positive samples within the batch. Such contamination was observed in the present study from the highly positive HBoV sample (sample # 23, Ct = 15) which contaminated the NTC (HBoV: 185 reads, RPKM = 1.1E + 04 RPKM). In the clinical setting, subtracting NTC viral reads prior to interpretation of each sample result is therefore required.

To evaluate the workflow, clinical respiratory samples tested for a representative panel of DNA and RNA viruses using real-time PCR were selected. This workflow is based on a previous publication where a single protocol had been specifically developed for stool specimens and evaluated on mock communities containing high concentrations of spiked viruses [21]. Interestingly, 6 multiple viral infections involving both DNA and RNA viruses were fully characterized highlighting the power of our mNGS approach as a universal method for virus characterization despite the lack of common viral sequence. In addition to viruses targeted by PCR, viral reads deriving from the commensal virome, including viruses from the *Anelloviridae* family, were generated both in PCR negative and positive samples but not in the NTC.

Regarding the sensitivity of the mNGS approach, a wide range of semi-quantitative real-time PCR Ct values was covered. Thorburn et al., compared mNGS to conventional real-time PCR for the detection of RNA viruses on nasopharyngeal swabs and reported a detection cut-off of 32 Ct for the mNGS approach [27]. Our workflow allowed the characterization of both DNA and RNA viruses up to a semi-quantitative real-time PCR Ct value of 36 which is considered to be a low viral load. A major critical point in viral metagenomics is to reduce host and bacterial components. In comparison with similar studies, viral reads herein were highly represented (mean = 7.4%); for example, a study on 16 nasopharyngeal aspirates tested positive with viral PCR assays found a mean of 0.05% of viral reads [12]. In addition, a strong correlation between results of mNGS and conventional real-time PCR was obtained by regrouping viruses according to their genome types. Similar findings were reported elsewhere, suggesting that mNGS results could be used for semi-quantitative measurement of the viral load in clinical samples [3-5, 12]. A lower RPKM values for dsDNA viruses compared to the other viral genome types were noticed. As previously described for EBV and CMV, the necessary use of DNase to reduce host contamination may affect these fragile large dsDNA viruses [9, 10]. As the detection limit of mNGS analysis is mainly dependent on viral load and total number of reads per sample, this effect could be overcome by increasing sequencing depth; however, we chose to limit the costs of the workflow.

The reagent cost of this mNGS approach is relatively low and was estimated to $\sim \in 150$ thanks to our viral enrichment process and the amplification method using a commercial kit which is diluted 5-fold [21]. The use of a universal workflow for both DNA and RNA viruses also reduces the reagent cost compared with metagenomic protocols targeting DNA and RNA viruses separately. In contrast, targeted NGS of specific viruses following their specific amplification by PCR can be up to 2 times cheaper based on our experience (e.g. influenza virus sequencing [28]. Due to several limitations, including its cost and a long turnaround time, viral metagenomics is currently considered to be a second-line approach and is not used as a primary routine diagnostic tool. However, with the improvement of sequencing technologies allowing real-time sequencing such as MinION sequencers (Oxford nanopore, Oxford, United Kingdom), it could be envisioned that mNGS will gradually be used for primary diagnosis in the mid-term. In case of high viral load and sufficient DNA input after amplification our workflow might be used with a MinION sequencer.

The approach described in this preliminary work is crucial to bring standardization for the routine clinical use of mNGS process within a reasonable timeframe. Further evaluation studies with a greater number of samples are urgently needed to establish IQC cut-off according to the number of viral, human and bacterial reads, and to define the performance of the workflow, including repeatability, reproducibility, as well as the detection limit for each virus. In addition, improvement of the bioinformatics pipeline are being explored, including implementation of threshold regarding genome coverage pattern [25], but their impact on performance of the workflow has to be established.

Conclusion

The potential of mNGS is very promising but several factors such as inhibition, competition, and contamination can lead to a dramatic misinterpretation in the clinical setting. Herein, we provide an efficient and easy to use mNGS workflow including quality controls successfully evaluated for the comprehensive characterization of a broad and representative panel of DNA and RNA viruses in various types of clinical respiratory samples.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Summary of clinical samples and metagenomic NGS information. (XLS 45 kb)

Additional file 2: Quality control testing results. QCT1 corresponds to MS2 bacteriophage molecular detection with commercial real-time PCR assay. QCT2 corresponds to control by sequencing metrics (number of MS2 reads normalized with RPKM ratio and MS2 genome coverage). MS2 RPKM for the 37 selected clinical samples was determined after subtracting of NTC MS2 RPKM. (XLS 37 kb)

Additional file 3: Metagenomic NGS results for duplicates of sample # 25. Sample # 25 corresponds to a clinical respiratory sample tested positive for 2 DNA viruses (adenovirus, cytomegalovirus) and 2 RNA viruses (human parainfluenza virus, human rhinovirus) using real-time PCR. This sample was analyzed twice using our single metagenomic

workflow (replicate 1 and replicate 2). a) Pie charts show classification of reads into human, bacteria, viruses, fungi, archea and unknown categories (unassigned reads). b) Normalized read counts (RPKM) for each targeted virus (viruses detected with real-time PCR) and for internal quality control (MS2 bacteriophage). c) Coverage plot of targeted viral genomes and internal quality control (MS2 bacteriophage). Sequencing reads were mapped on ezVIR viral database that identified human adenovirus C-2 (accession number: KF268130.1), cytomegalovirus (accession number: GQ396662.1), human parainfluenza virus 3 (accession number: KF687321.1), human rhinovirus C (accession number: JF317014.1) and MS2 bacteriophage (accession number: NC_001417.2). (PPT 283 kb)

Abbreviations

ARIs: Acute Respiratory Infections; Ct: Cycle threshold; EQC: External Quality Control; HCL: Hospices Civils de Lyon; IQC: Internal Quality Control; mNGS: metagenomic Next-Generation Sequencing; MS2: MS2 bacteriophage; NGS: Next-Generation Sequencing; NTC: No-Template Control; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction; QC: Quality controls; QCT: Quality Control Testing; RPKM: Reads per kilobase of virus reference sequence per million mapped reads

Acknowledgments

We thank Audrey Guichard, Gwendolyne Burfin, Delphine Falcon and Cecile Darley for their technical assistance as well as Philip Robinson (DRCI, Hospices Civils de Lyon) for his excellent help in manuscript preparation. Part of these data has been presented at the International Conference of Clinical Metagenomic held in Geneva in October 2017.

Funding

This study was funded by a metagenomic grant received in 2014 from the French foundation of innovation in infectious diseases (FINOVI, *fondation innovation en infectiologie*).

Availability of data and materials

The sequence data generated in this study has been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRP163370;bioproject: PRJNA494633) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/494633.

Authors' contributions

AB, LJ, FM, KB, SA conceived the study. AB, MP, LB, CP, VC performed the sample preparations and sequencing. LJ, GO, GV performed bioinformatic analysis. LJ is the guarantor for the NGS data. YG, MV, IS, BL, SV, JSC, FM are the guarantor for clinical data and sample collection. AB was the main writer of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This single center retrospective study received approval from HCL board of the French data protection authority (*Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés*) and is registered with the national data protection agency (number 17–024). Respiratory samples were collected for regular disease management during hospital stay and no additional samples were taken for this study. In accordance with French legislation relating to this type a study, a written informed consent from participants was not required for the use of de-identified collected clinical samples (Bioethics law number 2004–800 of August 6, 2004). During their hospitalization in the HCL, patients are made aware that their de-identified data including clinical samples may be used for research purposes, and they can opt out if they object to the use of their data.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Laboratoire de Virologie, Institut des Agents Infectieux, Groupement Hospitalier Nord, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France. ²Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Faculté de Médecine Lyon Est, CIRI, Inserm U1111 CNRS UMR5308, Virpath, Lyon, France. ³Centre National de Reference des virus respiratoires France Sud, Hospices Civils de Lyon, 103 Grande-Rue de la Croix Rousse, 69317 Lyon, France. ⁴Laboratoire Commun de Recherche HCL-bioMerieux, Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Pierre-Bénite, France. ⁵Unité de Biologie des Infections Virales Emergentes, Institut Pasteur, Lyon, France. ⁶CIRI Inserm U1111, CNRS 5308, ENS, UCBL, Faculté de Médecine Lyon Est, Université de Lyon, Lyon, France. ⁷INSERM UMR1078 "Génétique, Génomique Fonctionnelle et Biotechnologies", Axe Microbiota, Univ Brest, Brest, France. ⁸Département de Bactériologie-Virologie, Hygiène et Parasitologie-Mycologie, Pôle de Biologie-Pathologie, Centre Hospitalier Régional et Universitire de Brest, Hôpital de la Cavale Blanche, Brest, France. ⁹Hospices Civils de Lyon, Urgences pédiatriques, Hôpital Femme Mère Enfant, Bron, France.

Received: 14 February 2018 Accepted: 16 October 2018 Published online: 29 October 2018

References

- 1. Mokili JL, Rohwer F, Dutilh BE. Metagenomics and future perspectives in virus discovery. Curr Opin Virol. 2012;2:63–77.
- Capobianchi MR, Giombini E, Rozera G. Next-generation sequencing technology in clinical virology. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19:15–22.
- Prachayangprecha S, Schapendonk CME, Koopmans MP, Osterhaus ADME, Schürch AC, Pas SD, et al. Exploring the potential of next-generation sequencing in detection of respiratory viruses. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52:3722–30.
- Graf EH, Simmon KE, Tardif KD, Hymas W, Flygare S, Eilbeck K, et al. Unbiased detection of respiratory viruses by use of RNA sequencing-based metagenomics: a systematic comparison to a commercial PCR panel. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54:1000–7.
- Fischer N, Indenbirken D, Meyer T, Lütgehetmann M, Lellek H, Spohn M, et al. Evaluation of unbiased next-generation sequencing of RNA (RNA-seq) as a diagnostic method in influenza virus-positive respiratory samples. J Clin Microbiol. 2015;53:2238–50.
- Schlaberg R, Queen K, Simmon K, Tardif K, Stockmann C, Flygare S, et al. Viral pathogen detection by metagenomics and Pan-viral group polymerase chain reaction in children with pneumonia lacking identifiable etiology. J Infect Dis. 2017;215:1407–15.
- Xu L, Zhu Y, Ren L, Xu B, Liu C, Xie Z, et al. Characterization of the nasopharyngeal viral microbiome from children with community-acquired pneumonia but negative for Luminex xTAG respiratory viral panel assay detection. J Med Virol. 2017 Dec;89(12):2098–107.
- Lewandowska DW, Schreiber PW, Schuurmans MM, Ruehe B, Zagordi O, Bayard C, et al. Metagenomic sequencing complements routine diagnostics in identifying viral pathogens in lung transplant recipients with unknown etiology of respiratory infection. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0177340.
- Parize P, Muth E, Richaud C, Gratigny M, Pilmis B, Lamamy A, et al. Untargeted next-generation sequencing-based first-line diagnosis of infection in immunocompromised adults: a multicentre, blinded, prospective study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2017;23:574.e1–6.
- Lewandowska DW, Zagordi O, Geissberger F-D, Kufner V, Schmutz S, Böni J, et al. Optimization and validation of sample preparation for metagenomic sequencing of viruses in clinical samples. Microbiome. 2017;5:94.
- Reyes A, Haynes M, Hanson N, Angly FE, Heath AC, Rohwer F, et al. Viruses in the faecal microbiota of monozygotic twins and their mothers. Nature. 2010;466:334–8.
- Yang J, Yang F, Ren L, Xiong Z, Wu Z, Dong J, et al. Unbiased parallel detection of viral pathogens in clinical samples by use of a metagenomic approach. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:3463–9.
- Kim K-H, Bae J-W. Amplification methods bias metagenomic libraries of uncultured single-stranded and double-stranded DNA viruses. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77:7663–8.
- Kozyreva VK, Truong C-L, Greninger AL, Crandall J, Mukhopadhyay R, Chaturvedi V. Validation and implementation of clinical laboratory improvements act-compliant whole-genome sequencing in the public health microbiology laboratory. J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55:2502–20.
- Simner PJ, Miller S, Carroll KC. Understanding the promises and hurdles of metagenomic next-generation sequencing as a diagnostic tool for infectious diseases. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66(5):778–88.

- Ruppé E, Schrenzel J. Messages from the second international conference on clinical metagenomics (ICCMg2). Microbes Infect. 2018;20(4):222–7.
- Miller RR, Uyaguari-Diaz M, McCabe MN, Montoya V, Gardy JL, Parker S, et al. Metagenomic investigation of plasma in individuals with ME/CFS highlights the importance of technical controls to elucidate contamination and batch effects. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0165691.
- Thoendel M, Jeraldo P, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Yao J, Chia N, Hanssen AD, et al. Impact of contaminating DNA in whole-genome amplification kits used for metagenomic shotgun sequencing for infection diagnosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55:1789–801.
- Gargis AS, Kalman L, Lubin IM. Assuring the quality of next-generation sequencing in clinical microbiology and public health laboratories. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54:2857–65.
- Hall RJ, Wang J, Todd AK, Bissielo AB, Yen S, Strydom H, et al. Evaluation of rapid and simple techniques for the enrichment of viruses prior to metagenomic virus discovery. J Virol Methods. 2014;195:194–204.
- Conceição-Neto N, Zeller M, Lefrère H, De Bruyn P, Beller L, Deboutte W, et al. Modular approach to customise sample preparation procedures for viral metagenomics: a reproducible protocol for virome analysis. Sci Rep. 2015;5: 16532.
- Petty TJ, Cordey S, Padioleau I, Docquier M, Turin L, Preynat-Seauve O, et al. Comprehensive human virus screening using high-throughput sequencing with a user-friendly representation of bioinformatics analysis: a pilot study. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52:3351–61.
- Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat Methods. 2008;5: 621–8.
- Dreier J, Störmer M, Kleesiek K. Use of bacteriophage MS2 as an internal control in viral reverse transcription-PCR assays. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43: 4551–7.
- Schlaberg R, Chiu CY, Miller S, Procop GW, Weinstock G. Professional practice committee and committee on laboratory practices of the American Society for Microbiology, et al. validation of metagenomic next-generation sequencing tests for universal pathogen detection. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2017;141:776–86.
- Zhou Y, Fernandez S, Yoon I-K, Simasathien S, Watanaveeradej V, Yang Y, et al. Metagenomics study of viral pathogens in undiagnosed respiratory specimens and identification of human enteroviruses at a Thailand hospital. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016;95:663–9.
- Thorburn F, Bennett S, Modha S, Murdoch D, Gunson R, Murcia PR. The use of next generation sequencing in the diagnosis and typing of respiratory infections. J Clin Virol Off Publ Pan Am Soc Clin Virol. 2015;69:96–100.
- Pichon M, Gaymard A, Josset L, Valette M, Millat G, Lina B, et al. Characterization of oseltamivir-resistant influenza virus populations in immunosuppressed patients using digital-droplet PCR: comparison with qPCR and next generation sequencing analysis. Antivir Res. 2017;145:160–7.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

