

# Hepatitis B Virus Evasion From Cyclic Guanosine Monophosphate-Adenosine Monophosphate Synthase Sensing in Human Hepatocytes

Eloi R Verrier, Seung-Ae Yim, Laura Heydmann, Houssein El Saghire, Charlotte Bach, Vincent Turon-Lagot, Laurent Mailly, Sarah C Durand, Julie Lucifora, David Durantel, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Eloi R Verrier, Seung-Ae Yim, Laura Heydmann, Houssein El Saghire, Charlotte Bach, et al.. Hepatitis B Virus Evasion From Cyclic Guanosine Monophosphate-Adenosine Monophosphate Synthase Sensing in Human Hepatocytes. Hepatology, 2018, 68 (5), pp.1695-1709. 10.1002/hep.30054 . inserm-01981524

# HAL Id: inserm-01981524 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01981524

Submitted on 15 Jan 2019  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Hepatitis B virus evasion from cGAS sensing in human hepatocytes 1 2 Eloi R. Verrier<sup>1,\$,\*</sup>, Seung-Ae Yim<sup>1,\$</sup>, Laura Heydmann<sup>1</sup>, Houssein El Saghire<sup>1</sup>, Charlotte Bach<sup>1</sup>, 3 Vincent Turon-Lagot<sup>1</sup>, Laurent Mailly<sup>1</sup>, Sarah C. Durand<sup>1</sup>, Julie Lucifora<sup>2</sup>, David Durantel<sup>2</sup>, 4 Patrick Pessaux<sup>1,3</sup>, Nicolas Manel<sup>4,5</sup>, Ivan Hirsch<sup>6</sup>, Mirjam B. Zeisel<sup>1</sup>, Nathalie Pochet<sup>7</sup>, 5 Catherine Schuster<sup>1,#,\*</sup> and Thomas F. Baumert<sup>1,3,#,\*</sup> 6 7 8 <sup>\$</sup>ERV and SAY contributed equally as first authors, alphabetical order. 9 <sup>#</sup>CS and TFB contributed equally as senior authors 10 11 <sup>1</sup>Université de Strasbourg, Inserm, Institut de Recherche sur les Maladies Virales et Hépatiques UMRS 1110, F-12 67000 Strasbourg, France; <sup>2</sup>Inserm, U1052, Cancer Research Center of Lyon (CRCL), Université de Lyon 13 (UCBL1), CNRS UMR 5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France; <sup>3</sup>Pôle Hépato-Digestif, Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, F-67000 Strasbourg, France; <sup>4</sup>Immunity and Cancer 14 15 Department, Institut Curie, PSL Research University, F-75005 Paris, France; <sup>5</sup>Inserm, U932, F-75005 Paris, 16 France; <sup>6</sup>Department of Genetics and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Biocev, Charles University, 12844 17 Prague, Czech Republic; Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, CAS, IOCB & Gilead Research Center, 18 16610 Prague, <sup>7</sup>Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases, Department of Neurology, Brigham and Women's 19 Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA, Cell Circuits Program, Broad Institute of MIT and 20 Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA. 21 22 \*Corresponding authors: Prof. Thomas F. Baumert, MD, e-mail: thomas.baumert@unistra.fr, 23 Dr. Catherine Schuster, PhD, e-mail: catherine.schuster@unistra.fr, and Dr. Eloi R. Verrier, PhD, 24 e-mail: e.verrier@unistra.fr, Inserm U1110, Institut de Recherche sur les Maladies Virales et 25 Hépatiques, 3 Rue Koeberlé, 67000 Strasbourg, France. Tel: +33 3 68 85 37 03; fax: +33 3 68

85 37 24.

26

- 27 **Key words** antiviral, capsid, innate immunity, liver, recognition.
- 28

#### 29 List of Abbreviations

HBV: hepatitis B virus; cGAS: cyclic GMP-AMP synthase; HSPG: heparan sulfate proteoglycan; 30 31 NTCP: Na+/taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide; rcDNA: relaxed circular DNA; cccDNA: 32 covalently closed circular DNA; pgRNA: pregenomic RNA; PRR: pattern recognition receptors; cGAMP: cyclic GMP-AMP; IFN: interferon; STING: stimulator of IFN genes; ISG: IFN-stimulated 33 gene; PHH: primary human hepatocyte; PEG: polyethylene glycol; siRNA: small interfering RNA; 34 35 HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; VSV-G: vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein; sgRNA: single-guide RNA; PMM: primary hepatocyte maintenance medium; dsIDNA: double stranded 36 37 linear DNA; dsDNA: double stranded DNA; GSEA: gene set enrichment analysis; FDR: false discovery rate; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; KO: knock-out. SeV: Sendai virus. IAR: 38 39 innate antiviral response gene set. Dpi: days post infection.

40

41 Financial support: This work was supported by the European Union (ERC-AdG-2014-671231-42 HEPCIR, EU H2020-667273-HEPCAR, EU-InfectEra HepBccc), the National Institute of Health 43 (NIAID 1R03AI131066-01A1, NCI R21 CA209940 and NIAID U19AI123862), the Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le Sida et les Hepatites Virales (ANRS, 2015/1099), the Fondation 44 ARC pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (TheraHCC IHUARC IHU201301187), GACR 17-15422S, 45 and a PhD fellowship of the Région Alsace, France. The work has been published under the 46 47 framework of the LABEX ANR-10-LABX-0028 HEPSYS and benefits from funding from the state managed by the French National Research Agency as part of the Investments for the future 48 49 program. E.R.V. was supported by an ANRS fellowship (ECTZ50121).

#### Page 3

#### 50 Abstract

51 Chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a major cause of chronic liver disease and cancer 52 worldwide. The mechanisms of viral genome sensing and the evasion of innate immune responses by HBV infection are still poorly understood. Recently, the cyclic GMP-AMP synthase 53 54 (cGAS) was identified as a DNA sensor. In this study, we aimed to investigate the functional role of cGAS in sensing of HBV infection and elucidate the mechanisms of viral evasion. We performed 55 56 functional studies including loss- and gain-of-function experiments combined with cGAS effector gene expression profiling in an infectious cell culture model, primary human hepatocytes and 57 58 HBV-infected human liver chimeric mice. Here we show that cGAS is expressed in the human 59 liver, primary human hepatocytes and human liver chimeric mice. While naked relaxed-circular 60 HBV DNA is sensed in a cGAS-dependent manner in hepatoma cell lines and primary human hepatocytes, host cell recognition of viral nucleic acids is abolished during HBV infection, 61 62 suggesting escape from sensing, likely during packaging of the genome into the viral capsid. 63 While the hepatocyte cGAS pathway is functionally active, as shown by reduction of viral cccDNA 64 levels in gain-of-function studies, HBV infection suppressed cGAS expression and function in cell 65 culture models and humanized mice. Conclusion: HBV exploits multiple strategies to evade 66 sensing and antiviral activity of cGAS and its effector pathways.

67 With more than 250 million chronically infected patients, hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a 68 leading cause of liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma (1, 2). Current antiviral therapies 69 effectively control viral load, but largely fail to cure (3). HBV is a partially double stranded DNA (dsDNA) virus infecting human hepatocytes after initial attachment to heparan sulfate 70 71 proteoglycans (HSPG) and its receptor Na+/taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP; 72 reviewed in (4)). Following uncoating, the viral nucleocapsid is released into the cytoplasm. The 73 viral genome is imported into the nucleus through mechanisms which are still poorly understood. The viral genome it is converted in the nucleus into a covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) 74 75 (5). This minichromosome serves as a template for both pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) and viral 76 mRNA transcription. While recent studies suggested sensing of the pgRNA or other HBV RNAs 77 by either MDA5 (6) or RIG-I (7), the recognition of the viral nucleic acids by the regular pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) still remains elusive. In general, HBV does not or only marginally 78 79 activate innate immune responses in cell culture models and in vivo (8-14), leading to the concept 80 that HBV behaves like a "stealth" virus avoiding viral DNA and RNA sensing (15). Other studies 81 have suggested an active inhibition of the innate immune responses by HBV proteins (16). 82 Consequently, the interaction of HBV and the innate immune system of hepatocytes, and in 83 particular the sensing of HBV DNA, is only poorly understood.

Foreign DNA recognition by cytosolic DNA sensors triggers an early antiviral innate immune response, including type I and type III IFN production (17). Recently, the cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) synthase (cGAS) was identified as a DNA sensor exhibiting an antiviral activity against a broad range of DNA and RNA viruses (18-20). cGAS is encoded by *MB21D1* gene and directly binds to dsDNAs inducing the production of cGAMP which is recognized by the stimulator of IFN genes (STING, encoded by *TMEM173*) triggering the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) through TBK1 activation (21-23). While two studies have investigated cGAS-HBV 91 interactions in viral replication and assembly (24, 25), the functional role of cGAS in sensing of
92 the viral genome during natural infection of human hepatocytes remains unknown.

93 The understanding of HBV-host interactions, including innate immune response after 94 infection, has been hampered for long time by the absence of robust cell culture model system 95 for the study of viral infection (26). The development of HBV-susceptible NTCP-overexpressing hepatoma cells, such as HepG2-NTCP cells, allows the study of the full life cycle in a robust and 96 97 easy-to-use cell culture model (26). HepG2 cells are capable of mounting an efficient innate immune response after infection by hepatitis C virus (27). Moreover, another study took 98 99 advantage of HBV-infected HepG2-NTCP for studying the interaction between RIG-I and HBV 100 RNA (7), suggesting that this cell line is suitable for the study of innate immune response after 101 HBV infection. Here, we aimed to understand the functional role of cGAS for the HBV life cycle in 102 human hepatocytes and unravel the mechanisms of viral evasion using loss- and gain-of-function 103 experiments combined with cGAS effector gene expression profiling in human liver chimeric mice. 104

105 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Human subjects. Human material including liver tissue from patients undergoing surgical
 resection or HBV-positive serum was obtained with informed consent from all patients. Protocols
 were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Strasbourg Hospitals, France (CPP
 10-17 and DC-2016-2616).

110

Animal Experimentation. All mice were kept in a specific pathogen-free animal housing facility at Inserm U1110. The respective protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Strasbourg Hospitals and authorized by the French Ministry of Research (number 02014120416254981AL/02/19/08/12, AL/01/18/08/1202014120416254981, 0201412051105 115 4408). Mice were kept in individual ventilated cages, with bedding composed of irradiated sawdust and chips from spruce and pine and enriched with cotton cocoon and aspen bricks. The animal 116 diet consists of 25kGy irradiated RM3(E) (SDS) and mice were not fasted. Primary human 117 hepatocytes (PHH) were transplanted into 3 week-old uPA/SCID-bg mice (male and female) by 118 119 intrasplenic injection as described (28). Engraftment and viability of PHH were assessed by 120 guantification of human serum albumin by ELISA (E80-129, Bethyl Laboratories; (28)). uPA/SCIDbg mice were then infected with serum-derived HBV and sacrificed 16 weeks after virus 121 inoculation. Serum HBV load was determined by qPCR (Realtime HBV viral load kit, Abbott) 122 123 before sacrifice. Interventions were all performed during light cycle.

124

Cell lines and human hepatocytes. HEK 293T (29) and HepG2-NTCP (30) cells and isolation
 of PHH have been described (29).

127

Reagents and plasmids. DMSO, PEG 8000 (polyethylene glycol), Poly (I:C) and calf thymus
 DNA (control dsDNA) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, pReceiver-Lv151 plasmid from
 GeneCopoeia<sup>™</sup> and lentiCas9-Blast and lentiGuide-Puro plasmids were gifts from Feng Zhang
 (Addgene #52962 and #52963).

132

Small interfering RNAs for functional studies. Pools of ON-TARGET plus (Dharmacon) small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting *MB21D1* (cGAS), *TMEM173* (STING), *TBK1*, and *IFI16* expression were reverse-transfected into HepG2-NTCP using Lipofectamine RNAi-MAX (Invitrogen) as described (29). RNA was purified from cells harvested two days after transfection and gene expression was analyzed using gRT-PCR.

138

139 HepG2-NTCP-cGAS overexpressing and MB21D knock-out cells. Lentivirus particles were generated in HEK 293T cells by cotransfection of plasmids expressing the human 140 141 immunodeficiency virus (HIV) gap-pol, the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) and either the human MB21D1 full open reading frame (ORF) encoding plasmid, or the MB21D1-142 143 targeting single-guide RNA (sgRNA) encoding plasmids, or the Cas9 expressing plasmid in the 144 ratio of 10:3:10. HepG2-NTCP cells were then plated and transduced with lentivirus encoding either the human *MB21D1* ORF or the *eGFP* ORF in pReceiver-Lv151 vector (GeneCopoeia<sup>™</sup>). 145 After 3 days, transduced cells were selected with 200 µg/ml of neomycin (G418). The cGAS-over-146 147 expressing and control HepG2-NTCP cells were then further cultured in presence of G418 at 200 µg/ml. For the generation of MB21D1 knock-out cell lines, one MB21D1-targeting sgRNA was 148 149 designed using CRISPR Design Tool (Broad Institute: http://www.genome-150 engineering.org/crispr/?page id=41). The sgRNA sequence targeting the exon 1 of MB21D1 151 (sgcGAS 5'-CACCGCGGCCCCCATTCTCGTACGG-3') was inserted into lentiGuide-Puro 152 plasmid (31). We first generated Cas9 expressing HepG2-NTCP cells after transduction of cells with the lentiCas9-Blast plasmid (31). Cells were then selected with 6 µg/ml blasticidin for 10 153 154 days. HepG2-NTCP-Cas9 cells were seeded in six-well plates at 50% confluency 24 h prior to 155 transduction with the sgcGAS-encoding plasmid. Subpopulations of cells were selected from the 156 whole population and cultured independently. cGAS expression was controlled by Western blot. Finally, two cGAS-deficient cell lines (cGAS KO#1 and cGAS KO#2) were selected. 157

158

Analysis of gene expression using qRT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted using ReliaPrep<sup>™</sup> RNA
 Miniprep Systems (Promega) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using Maxima First Strand cDNA
 Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gene expression
 was then quantified by qPCR using a CFX96 thermocycler (Bio-Rad). Primers and TaqMan®

probes for *MB21D1* (cGAS), *TMEM173* (STING), *TBK1*, *IFI16*, *IFNB1*, *IFNL1*, and *GAPDH* mRNA
detection were obtained from ThermoFisher (TaqMan® Gene expression Assay, Applied
Biosystems). All values were normalized to *GAPDH* expression.

166

Protein expression. The expression of cGAS, STING, and β-actin proteins was assessed by
Western blot as described (30) using two polyclonal rabbit anti-cGAS antibodies (HPA031700,
Sigma & NBP1-86761, Novus Biologicals, see Supporting Information), a polyclonal rabbit antiSTING antibody (19851-1-AP, Proteintech), and monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody (mAbcam8226,
Abcam). Protein expression was quantified using ImageJ software.

172

173 Infection of HepG2-NTCP cells and PHH. The purification of infectious recombinant HBV 174 particles from HepAD38 cells and infection of HepG2-NTCP cells has been described (30). Briefly, 175 HepG2-NTCP and derived cells were plated one day prior to incubation with HBV in presence of 176 4% PEG at multiplicity of infection (MOI) ~ 500 genome equivalent/cell (GEq/cell) except otherwise stated. Sixteen hours after HBV inoculation, cells were washed with PBS and then 177 178 cultured in 3.5% DMSO primary hepatocyte maintenance medium (PMM) for ten days. HBV 179 infection was assessed by quantification of HBV pgRNA using gRT-PCR or HBV total DNA using 180 qPCR as described (30), or by immunofluorescence (IF) using anti-HBsAg antibody (1044/329, 181 Bio-Techne) and AF647-labelled goat antibody targeting mouse IgGs (115-605-003, Jackson Research) as described (30). Southern blot detection of HBV cccDNA was performed using DIG-182 183 labelled (Roche) specific probes as described (32). Total DNA from HBV-infected cells was extracted using the previously described HIRT method (33). Specific DIG-labelled probes for the 184 detection of HBV and mitochondrial DNAs were synthetized using the PCR DIG Probe Synthesis 185 186 Kit (Roche) and the primers indicated in Table S1. PHH were plated one day prior to incubation

with a HBV preS1- or a control peptide for one hour at 37°C as described (30). PHH were then
infected with recombinant HBV particles for ten days. HBV infection was assessed by
quantification of HBV pgRNA using qRT-PCR and immunofluorescence as described above.

Sendai virus (SeV) infection. HepG2-NTCP cells and PHH were infected with SeV DI-H4 at an
MOI of 10 as described (13).

193

Extraction of HBV rcDNA from HBV infectious particles. HBV rcDNA was extracted from HBV 194 195 preparations using QiaAMP DNA MiniKit protocol (Qiagen). PEG-precipitated cell supernatants 196 from naive HepG2-NTCP cells were used as non-virion controls. The presence of HBV DNA was 197 confirmed by PCR and quantified by qPCR as described (30) (see Supporting Information). One 198 µg of rcDNA or dsDNA (calf thymus DNA) was transfected in cells using Lipofectamine 2000 199 (Invitrogen) and CalPhos Mammalian Transfection Kit (Clonetech) according to manufacturer's 200 instructions. Cells transfected with HepG2-NTCP control supernatants were used as a control. 201 Three days after transfection, total RNA was extracted and purified as described above.

202

203 Transcriptomic analysis by digital multiplexed gene profiling using nCounter NanoString. 204 Transcriptomic analyses using nCounter NanoString were performed according to manufacturer's instructions. Specific probes for a set of 36 innate antiviral response-related (IAR) genes 205 206 (according to (20) and additional genes listed in Table S2) were obtained from the manufacturer. 207 HepG2-NTCP cells, HepG2-NTCP derived cell lines and PHH were either infected with HBV or 208 SeV, or were transfected with Poly (I:C) (100ng) for two days. Alternatively, HepG2-NTCP-Cas9 209 and HepG2-NTCP-KO cGAS#2 cells were transfected with rcDNA (1µg) or dsDNA (calf thymus 210 DNA, 1µg) for three days. Total RNA was then extracted and subjected to nCounter Digital

Page 10

211 Analyzer system (NanoString). Alternatively, total liver RNA was extracted from HBV-infected mice 212 and gene expression was assessed by either gRT-PCR (MB21D1 expression) or nCounter Digital 213 Analyzer system. The 36 genes were considered as an artificial gene set termed innate antiviral response (IAR) gene set. Its perturbation by infection, transfection or gain-/loss-of -function 214 215 studies was assessed through Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA (34)). GSEA determines whether an a priori defined set of genes shows statistically significant differences between two 216 217 biological states. False discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Heatmaps illustrating the induction (red) or repression (blue) of the genes of the IAR compared 218 219 to control were illustrated using Morpheus software (Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, 220 Cambridge, MA, USA). The heatmap illustrating the induction (red) or repression (blue) of 221 individual genes in chimeric mouse livers were designed using GenePattern (Broad Institute of 222 MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA).

223

224 FISH analyses. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analyses were performed as described 225 (28, 35). Briefly, liver samples were collected from mice and then immediately embedded into 226 optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT). OCT-embedded liver sections were cryosectioned 227 (10 µm) using a cryostat (Leica). Upon fixation with 4% formaldehyde at 4°C, washing, and 228 dehydration in ethanol, tissue sections were boiled at 90–95°C for 1 min in a pretreatment solution 229 (Affymetrix-Panomics), followed by a 10 min digestion in protease QF (Affymetrix-Panomics) at 40°C. Sections were then hybridized using specific probe sets targeting HBV (target region 230 231 nucleotides 483-1473 of HBV [Genotype D, GenBank V01460]) and human MD21D1 (VA1-232 3013492-VC, Affymetrix-Panomics). Pre-amplification, amplification and detection of bound 233 probes were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, pictures were 234 acquired by LSCM (LSM710, Carl Zeiss Microscopy) and Zen2 software.

235

236 Statistical Analysis. Except otherwise stated, cell culture experiments were performed at least 237 three times in an independent manner. Statistical comparisons of the samples were performed using a two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test. For in vivo experiments, a two-tailed unpaired Student's 238 239 t-test was performed for comparing gene expression from non-infected and HBV-infected mice. p < 0.05 (\*), p < 0.01 (\*\*), and p < 0.001 (\*\*\*) were considered significant. Significant p values are 240 indicated by asterisks in the figures. Each digital multiplexed gene profiling experiment was 241 performed using three biological replicates per condition and the induction or repression of the 242 243 gene set was analyzed using GSEA. FDR < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

244

#### 245 **RESULTS**

Expression of cGAS in primary human hepatocytes and an infectious HBV cell culture 246 247 model. Prior to its functional characterization, we studied cGAS/MB21D1 expression in primary 248 hepatocytes and HBV permissive cell lines. As shown in Figure 1A, cGAS protein expression was 249 easily detectable in PHH from three independent donors. Since HBV infection of primary cells is 250 highly variable and does not allow robust perturbation studies, we used an HBV infectious cell 251 culture model based on differentiated hepatocyte-derived HepG2 cells overexpressing NTCP (30) 252 - a key HBV entry factor. As shown in Figure 1A, cGAS protein is expressed in HepG2-NTCP cells. We validated the specificity of cGAS detection using a siRNA specifically targeting the 253 *MD21B1* expression (sicGAS) and Western blots applying two antibodies (Figure 1A, Figure S1). 254 255 Moreover, we generated CRISPR-mediated MB21D1 knock-out (KO) cells using a specific sgRNA 256 (Figure 1B). Two cell lines, KO cGAS#1 and KO cGAS#2 were selected for further studies (Figure 1B). Interestingly, the adaptor STING was also detected in HepG2-NTCP cells, suggesting 257 a fully functional cGAS-STING pathway (Figure 1C). To test the suitability of these cells as a 258

Page 12

259 model to analyze cGAS-mediated innate immune response after virus infection, we stimulated 260 cells with different analogs of viral nucleic acids. Stimulation by Poly (I:C) or dsDNA transfection 261 elicited a dose-dependent IFNB1 expression in HepG2-NTCP cells (Figure 1D). These results suggest that the cGAS sensing machinery is present, functional, even if it is less efficient that the 262 263 RNA sensing complex. Moreover, cGAS protein expression was induced by both Poly (I:C) and 264 dsDNA stimulation confirming an efficient IFN response through the upregulation of ISGs such as 265 *MB21D1* (36) after RNA or DNA stimulation (Figure 1E). Collectively, these data show that the HepG2-NTCP model is suitable to study innate immune responses. 266

267

268 HBV evades cGAS sensing. Next, we investigated whether HBV was sensed in HBV permissive 269 cells. To address this question, we infected HepG2-NTCP cells with recombinant HBV (MOI: 500 270 GEq/cell) and studied the expression of IFNB1 at early time points after HBV infection. As it has 271 been described that HBV infection may induce the expression of type III IFN (7), IFNL1 expression 272 was also guantified. As shown in Figure 2A-B, the lack of increase in IFNB1 and IFNL1 expression 273 in spite of efficient infection (Figure S2) indicates poor or absent detection of HBV by cellular 274 sensors. In contrast, SeV, known to induce a strong IFN response in hepatocytes (13), strongly 275 induced IFNB1 and IFNL1 expression (Figure 2 AB). Since cGAS has been shown to induce the 276 expression of a large set of innate effector genes (such as OAS2 or IFI44, see (20)), the analysis 277 of expression of a single effector gene such IFNB1 may not be sufficient to evaluate cGAS sensing. Therefore, we designed a 36 innate antiviral response gene set (named IAR), comprising 278 279 29 ISGs whose expression is modulated by cGAS activity described by Schoggins and Rice in 280 (20) as well as 7 established innate immune response effector genes (Table S2). We then infected 281 HepG2-NTCP cells with HBV or SeV, and measured the innate antiviral immune response at day 282 2 post infection by analysis of IAR gene expression using digital multiplexed gene profiling

Page 13

283 (nCounter NanoString) and GSEA-based analysis. Whereas Poly (I:C) transfection and SeV infection induced a marked modulation of cGAS effector/IAR gene expression (FDR = 0.004 and 284 285 < 0.001, respectively), no significant modulation of IAR gene expression was observed in HBVinfected cells (Figure 2C), as further illustrated by the expression of IFNB1 and IFI44 (Figure 2E). 286 287 To measure the impact of cGAS expression on cellular response to HBV infection, we then 288 infected cGAS-depleted (KO cGAS#2) and -overexpressing (cGAS OE) HepG2-NTCP cells with HBV and analyzed the expression of the cGAS-related genes after infection. As shown in Figure 289 2D, no significant modulation of the IAR signature was observed in HBV-infected samples, as 290 291 further illustrated by absent modulation of *IFNB1* and *IFI44* expression (Figure 2F).

292 To exclude the possibility that low HBV infection could be the reason for the absence of 293 IFN induction, we performed additional experiments using increasing MOIs. As shown in Figure 294 3A-C, no induction of IFNB1 (Figure 3A) was observed even at a MOI 10000, despite very high 295 infection efficiency as shown by quantitation of pre-genomic RNA and immunofluorescence of 296 HBsAg (Figure 3B-C). To investigate whether IFN induction occurs potentially at a very early step 297 of viral infection and was missed in the experimental design shown above, we performed a time 298 course studying IFN response to HBV within the first 24 hours post infection. As shown in Figure 299 3D, HBV infection did not induce a measurable IFN response during early steps of HBV infection. 300 In contrast, SeV, an established inducer of IFN showed robust induction of IFN responses in HepG2-NTCP cells (Figure 3D). Taken together, these data suggest an absence of sensing of 301 HBV infection by the cGAS-STING pathway in HepG2-NTCP cells. 302

As the HBV genome is packaged into the nucleocapsid (37), we investigated whether packaging shields virion DNA from cGAS recognition. We purified HBV genomic rcDNA from HBV infectious particles (Figure S3) and transfected the naked viral genome into HepG2-NTCP cells (1  $\mu$ g, corresponding to approximately 10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>7</sup> HBV DNA copies/ $\mu$ L). As shown in Figure 4A, a 307 significant (FDR = 0.02) induction of the IAR signature (illustrated by IFNB1 and IFI44 expression, 308 Figure 4B) was observed after both rcDNA and dsDNA transfection, suggesting sensing of the 309 naked HBV genome. Interestingly, the amount of cellular HBV DNA copies was higher in HBV-310 infected cells compared to rcDNA transfected cells (Figure 4C), confirming that the levels of HBV 311 DNA in HBV infected cells are sufficient to trigger IFN signaling and the absence of HBV sensing 312 in infected cells was not due to low MOIs. Moreover, the induction of the IAR gene expression was absent in HepG2-NTCP-KO cGAS#2 cells, suggesting a cGAS-specific activation of innate 313 immunity by both dsDNA and rcDNA transfection in our model. 314

315 To validate these observations in a more physiological model, we infected PHH with HBV 316 and control cGAS gene expression after two days of infection. Interestingly, while SeV strongly 317 induced IAR gene expression (Figure 5B), a highly efficient HBV infection (Figure 5A) did not induce the induction of the expression of innate antiviral response genes (Figure 5B). In contrast, 318 319 the transfection of rcDNA and dsRNA into PHH from four different donors robustly triggered the 320 expression of *IFNB1* and *IFNL1* (Figure 5C), suggesting a robust sensing of viral DNA in human 321 hepatocytes. Collectively, these data suggest that non-encapsidated HBV DNA is sensed by 322 cGAS, but this sensing is impaired during HBV infection.

323

The cGAS-STING pathway exhibits robust antiviral activity against HBV infection with reduction of cccDNA levels. As cGAS exhibits an antiviral activity against a broad range of DNA and RNA viruses (meaning even in absence of direct viral sensing) (20), we then investigated the antiviral effect of the cGAS-STING signaling pathway in HBV infection. We silenced the expression *MB21D1*, *TMEM173* (encoding the STING protein), *TBK1* and *IFI16* (encoding the gamma-interferon-inducible protein 16, another cytoplasmic DNA sensor able to directly activate STING (17)) in HepG2-NTCP cells prior to infection with HBV. As shown in Figure 6A-B, silencing

Page 14

Page 15

331 of MB21D1, TMEM173 and TBK1 expression induced a marked increase in HBV infection. In 332 contrast, the silencing of IFI16 had no effect on HBV infection. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated KO or 333 overexpression of cGAS protein resulted in a marked increase or decrease in HBV infection and HBV cccDNA levels – the key viral nucleic acid responsible for viral persistence (Figure 6C-E). 334 335 Notably, the overexpression of cGAS did not affect NTCP expression at the cell surface, 336 suggesting that the susceptibilities of the different cell lines to HBV infection are equivalent (Figure 337 S4). Taken together, our results suggest that cGAS is functional and exerts antiviral activity in HBV permissive cells. 338

339

340 HBV infection induces repression of cGAS and its effector gene expression in cell culture 341 and in liver chimeric mice. As several reports have suggested that HBV proteins can inhibit IFNsignaling pathways (16), we next investigated whether HBV infection interferes with the 342 343 expression of cGAS-related gene by quantifying MB21D1/cGAS mRNA and protein expression 344 (Figure 7A-B). Interestingly, cGAS protein expression (Figure 7B) as well as the expression of 345 MB21D1, TMEM173, and TBK1 mRNA (Figure 7C) were significantly inhibited in HBV-infected 346 cells. To confirm this observation in vivo, we then investigated the expression of human MB21D1 347 expression in HBV-infected human liver chimeric mice. MB21D1 was expressed at low but 348 detectable levels (Figure 7D). As shown in Figure 7E, MB21D1 expression was significantly (p = 0.013) downregulated in HBV-infected mice compared to non-infected control mice, confirming 349 our results in the cell culture model. Importantly, MB21D1 expression levels did not correlate with 350 351 HBV genotype (Table 1). An absent correlation of human serum albumin with either MB21D1 expression (Table 1, Figure 7E) or status of HBV infection (Table 1, t-test HBV versus Ctrl: p =352 353 0.26) largely excludes that the observed differences in cGAS expression are due to different 354 human hepatocyte repopulation levels or due to a decrease of human hepatocyte cell viability in

Page 16

individual animals. To investigate whether HBV modulates cGAS effector function, we analyzed virus-induced changes on cGAS effector gene expression using gene expression profiling in three control mice and the three HBV-infected mice exhibiting the lowest levels of *MB21D1* expression (Table 1). As shown in Figure 7F, HBV infection resulted in a significant (FDR = 0.047) downregulation of the expression of cGAS effector genes in human hepatocytes in chimeric mice. The data showed that HBV represses expression of cGAS and its effector genes *in vivo*.

361

#### 362 **DISCUSSION**

363 The interaction between HBV and the innate immune system is a complex process still remaining 364 elusive and controversial (15). Collectively, our data demonstrate that in human hepatocytes (i) 365 naked HBV genomic rcDNA is sensed in a cGAS-dependent manner whereas the packaged HBV genome appears not to be recognized during viral infection; (ii) cGAS-STING pathway exhibits 366 367 antiviral activity against HBV infection including reduction of viral cccDNA levels; (iii) HBV infection 368 suppresses both cGAS expression and function in cell culture and humanized liver chimeric mice. 369 The detection of HBV DNA by the cellular sensors within infected cells is still poorly 370 understood and remains controversial. In vitro and in vivo data strongly suggest that HBV behaves 371 like a stealth virus unable to trigger any innate immune response (8, 9, 11). Other studies have 372 suggested that HBV-derived dsDNA fragments (25) and viral nucleocapsid destabilization and 373 disassembly (38, 39) could induce innate immune responses. Our results demonstrate, that in 374 human hepatocytes - the natural target cell of HBV infection - the exposure of the naked HBV 375 genome leads to the activation of innate antiviral immune responses. In contrast, sensing is largely absent during HBV infection, most likely due to packaging into the viral capsid. These 376 377 results extend a previous observation in hepatoma cell lines transfected with replication-378 competent HBV DNA that the HBV genome itself can be recognized by the classical sensors (25).

Interestingly, the capsid of HIV-1 also prevents the sensing of HIV cDNA by cGAS following reverse-transcription up to integration, whereas HIV-2 capsid may unmask the cDNA leading to a stronger sensing by cGAS and a lower pathogenicity of the strain (40).

Another explanation of this absence of sensing would be the lack a functional STING 382 protein in hepatocyte, as it has been recently reported (41). In our study, rcDNA and dsDNA were 383 384 sensed in a cGAS-dependent manner and were able to activate the cGAS-mediated antiviral response in HepG2-NTCP cells (Figure 2). Moreover, we detected STING at the protein level in 385 accordance with a recent study (42) and specific silencing of TMEM173 (STING) expression was 386 387 associated with a significant increase in HBV infection (Figure 6). Consequently, it is likely that 388 STING is functionally active in HepG2 cells. The observed HBV DNA sensing in PHH (Figure 5) 389 suggests that the foreign DNA detection pathways are active in PHH as well. This observed innate 390 immune response in spite of a weak STING expression may suggest a STING-independent 391 activity of cGAS as it has been recently reported (43), including in hepatocytes (44). To understand 392 the impact of the cGAS and STING expression on innate immune response to HBV infection, it 393 would be of further interest to analyze the HBV-induced modulation of gene expression in Kupffer 394 cells following phagocytosis, as they exhibit higher STING- and cGAS levels compared to 395 hepatocytes (41, 45) and respond to HBV infection (11). In the same vein, cGAMP has been 396 shown to be packaged in viral particles (46). It would be of interest to determine whether HBV particles can incorporate cGAMP during viral assembly and to test their ability to stimulate other 397 398 cell types through this indirect pathway.

Moreover, our results show conclusive evidence that cGAS basal expression has antiviral activity against HBV infection including reduction of viral cccDNA. This finding extends a previous studies showing that cGAS exhibited an antiviral activity against a broad range of RNA and DNA viruses (20) and that the cGAS/STING pathway can impair HBV replication and assembly in 403 transfection studies (24, 25). Schoggins and colleagues have proposed that the expression of 404 cGAS may be responsible for the establishment of a basal antiviral level in the cells through its 405 activation by an unknown ligand. cGAS-depleted cells may then be more susceptible to viral 406 infections through the downregulation of the basal level of innate antiviral genes (20).

407 Given its antiviral function, cGAS is a target of choice for viruses in order to evade immune 408 responses. It has been reported that the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus negatively regulated cGAS-dependent signaling pathway (47, 48). In the same vein, HBV viral proteins have 409 been shown to interfere with the JAK-STAT signaling pathway (16). Our data suggest that HBV 410 411 can repress the expression of the cGAS and its related genes, such as MB21D1, TMEM17 and 412 TBK1. More interestingly, MB21D1 expression was downregulated in the liver of HBV-infected 413 mice, validating the relevance of these findings in vivo. It still needs to be determined whether HBV can directly target cGAS and cGAS-related factors for an active inhibition of this signaling 414 415 pathway. A recent study elegantly demonstrated an active inhibition of cGAS pathway by Dengue 416 virus through NS2B protein (49). On the other hand, MB21D1 (as a classical member of the ISGs 417 (20, 36)) downregulation may be the consequence of the global inhibition of the canonical IFN 418 pathways by HBV as suggested by some investigators (16, 50), but not by others (11, 13, 14). 419 Given the antiviral activity of the cGAS-signaling pathway against HBV including reduction of HBV 420 cccDNA (Figure 5, (24, 25)) the virus-mediated restriction of MB21D1 expression may play an 421 additional role in HBV immune evasion.

422

423

#### 424 **REFERENCES**

1. Trepo C, Chan HL, Lok A. Hepatitis B virus infection. Lancet 2014;384:2053-2063.

426 2. Zeisel MB, Lucifora J, Mason WS, Sureau C, Beck J, Levrero M, Kann M, et al. Towards

an HBV cure: state-of-the-art and unresolved questions-report of the ANRS workshop on HBV

428 cure. Gut 2015;64:1314-1326.

427

429 3. Levrero M, Testoni B, Zoulim F. HBV cure: why, how, when? Curr Opin Virol 2016;18:135430 143.

431 4. Verrier ER, Colpitts CC, Sureau C, Baumert TF. Hepatitis B virus receptors and molecular
432 drug targets. Hepatol Int 2016;10:567-573.

433 5. Nassal M. Hepatitis B virus cccDNA - viral persistence reservoir and key obstacle for a
434 cure of chronic hepatitis B. Gut 2015;64:1972-1984.

435 6. Lu HL, Liao F. Melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 senses hepatitis B virus and

436 activates innate immune signaling to suppress virus replication. J Immunol 2013;191:3264-3276.

437 7. Sato S, Li K, Kameyama T, Hayashi T, Ishida Y, Murakami S, Watanabe T, et al. The
438 RNA sensor RIG-I dually functions as an innate sensor and direct antiviral factor for hepatitis B
439 virus. Immunity 2015;42:123-132.

Wieland S, Thimme R, Purcell RH, Chisari FV. Genomic analysis of the host response to
hepatitis B virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:6669-6674.

Wieland SF, Chisari FV. Stealth and cunning: hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses. J Virol
2005;79:9369-9380.

Fletcher SP, Chin DJ, Ji Y, Iniguez AL, Taillon B, Swinney DC, Ravindran P, et al.
Transcriptomic analysis of the woodchuck model of chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2012;56:820830.

11. Cheng X, Xia Y, Serti E, Block PD, Chung M, Chayama K, Rehermann B, et al. Hepatitis
B virus evades innate immunity of hepatocytes but activates macrophages during infection.
Hepatology 2017;66:1779-1793.

450 12. Luangsay S, Gruffaz M, Isorce N, Testoni B, Michelet M, Faure-Dupuy S, Maadadi S, et

451 al. Early inhibition of hepatocyte innate responses by hepatitis B virus. J Hepatol 2015;63:1314452 1322.

453 13. Suslov A, Boldanova T, Wang X, Wieland S, Heim MH. Hepatitis B Virus Does Not
454 Interfere with Innate Immune Responses in the Human Liver. Gastroenterology 2018. In press.

Mutz P, Metz P, Lempp FA, Bender S, Qu B, Schoneweis K, Seitz S, et al. HBV Bypasses
the Innate Immune Response and Does not Protect HCV From Antiviral Activity of Interferon.
Gastroenterology 2018. In press.

458 15. Ferrari C. HBV and the immune response. Liver Int 2015;35 Suppl 1:121-128.

Bertoletti A, Ferrari C. Innate and adaptive immune responses in chronic hepatitis B virus
 infections: towards restoration of immune control of viral infection. Gut 2012;61:1754-1764.

461 17. Chan YK, Gack MU. Viral evasion of intracellular DNA and RNA sensing. Nat Rev
462 Microbiol 2016;14:360-373.

463 18. Gao D, Wu J, Wu YT, Du F, Aroh C, Yan N, Sun L, et al. Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is an
464 innate immune sensor of HIV and other retroviruses. Science 2013;341:903-906.

465 19. Sun L, Wu J, Du F, Chen X, Chen ZJ. Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is a cytosolic DNA
466 sensor that activates the type I interferon pathway. Science 2013;339:786-791.

20. Schoggins JW, MacDuff DA, Imanaka N, Gainey MD, Shrestha B, Eitson JL, Mar KB, et
al. Pan-viral specificity of IFN-induced genes reveals new roles for cGAS in innate immunity.
Nature 2014;505:691-695.

470 21. Xiao TS, Fitzgerald KA. The cGAS-STING pathway for DNA sensing. Mol Cell 471 2013;51:135-139.

Zhang X, Shi H, Wu J, Sun L, Chen C, Chen ZJ. Cyclic GMP-AMP containing mixed
phosphodiester linkages is an endogenous high-affinity ligand for STING. Mol Cell 2013;51:226235.

Wu J, Sun L, Chen X, Du F, Shi H, Chen C, Chen ZJ. Cyclic GMP-AMP is an endogenous
second messenger in innate immune signaling by cytosolic DNA. Science 2013;339:826-830.

477 24. He J, Hao R, Liu D, Liu X, Wu S, Guo S, Wang Y, et al. Inhibition of hepatitis B virus
478 replication by activation of the cGAS-STING pathway. J Gen Virol 2016;97:3368-3378.

Dansako H, Ueda Y, Okumura N, Satoh S, Sugiyama M, Mizokami M, Ikeda M, et al. The
cyclic GMP-AMP synthetase-STING signaling pathway is required for both the innate immune
response against HBV and the suppression of HBV assembly. FEBS J 2016;283:144-156.

482 26. Verrier ER, Colpitts CC, Schuster C, Zeisel MB, Baumert TF. Cell Culture Models for the
483 Investigation of Hepatitis B and D Virus Infection. Viruses 2016;8.

484 27. Israelow B, Narbus CM, Sourisseau M, Evans MJ. HepG2 cells mount an effective
485 antiviral interferon-lambda based innate immune response to hepatitis C virus infection.
486 Hepatology 2014;60:1170-1179.

Mailly L, Xiao F, Lupberger J, Wilson GK, Aubert P, Duong FH, Calabrese D, et al.
Clearance of persistent hepatitis C virus infection in humanized mice using a claudin-1-targeting
monoclonal antibody. Nat Biotechnol 2015;33:549-554.

490 29. Lupberger J, Zeisel MB, Xiao F, Thumann C, Fofana I, Zona L, Davis C, et al. EGFR
491 and EphA2 are host factors for hepatitis C virus entry and possible targets for antiviral therapy.
492 Nat Med 2011;17:589-595.

30. Verrier ER, Colpitts CC, Bach C, Heydmann L, Weiss A, Renaud M, Durand SC, et al. A
targeted functional RNAi screen uncovers Glypican 5 as an entry factor for hepatitis B and D
viruses. Hepatology 2016;63:35–48.

496 31. Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for
 497 CRISPR screening. Nat Methods 2014;11:783-784.

498 32. Lucifora J, Salvetti A, Marniquet X, Mailly L, Testoni B, Fusil F, Inchauspe A, et al.

499 Detection of the hepatitis B virus (HBV) covalently-closed-circular DNA (cccDNA) in mice 500 transduced with a recombinant AAV-HBV vector. Antiviral Res 2017;145:14-19.

501 33. Gao W, Hu J. Formation of hepatitis B virus covalently closed circular DNA: removal of 502 genome-linked protein. J Virol 2007;81:6164-6174.

34. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A,
et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide
expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:15545-15550.

35. Wieland S, Makowska Z, Campana B, Calabrese D, Dill MT, Chung J, Chisari FV, et al.
Simultaneous detection of hepatitis C virus and interferon stimulated gene expression in infected
human liver. Hepatology 2014;59:2121-2130.

Schoggins JW, Wilson SJ, Panis M, Murphy MY, Jones CT, Bieniasz P, Rice CM. A diverse
range of gene products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response. Nature
2011;472:481-485.

512 **37**. Blondot ML, Bruss V, Kann M. Intracellular transport and egress of hepatitis B virus. J 513 Hepatol 2016;64:S49-59.

S14 38. Cui X, Clark DN, Liu K, Xu XD, Guo JT, Hu J. Viral DNA-Dependent Induction of Innate
Immune Response to Hepatitis B Virus in Immortalized Mouse Hepatocytes. J Virol 2015;90:486496.

517 39. Cui X, Luckenbaugh L, Bruss V, Hu J. Alteration of Mature Nucleocapsid and 518 Enhancement of Covalently Closed Circular DNA Formation by Hepatitis B Virus Core Mutants 519 Defective in Complete-Virion Formation. J Virol 2015;89:10064-10072.

40. Lahaye X, Satoh T, Gentili M, Cerboni S, Conrad C, Hurbain I, El Marjou A, et al. The capsids of HIV-1 and HIV-2 determine immune detection of the viral cDNA by the innate sensor cGAS in dendritic cells. Immunity 2013;39:1132-1142. 41. Thomsen MK, Nandakumar R, Stadler D, Malo A, Valls RM, Wang F, Reinert LS, et al.
Lack of immunological DNA sensing in hepatocytes facilitates hepatitis B virus infection.
Hepatology 2016;64:746-759.

42. Cho CS, Park HW, Ho A, Semple IA, Kim B, Jang I, Park H, et al. Lipotoxicity induces
hepatic protein inclusions through TBK1-mediated p62/SQSTM1 phosphorylation. Hepatology
2017. In press.

43. Yang H, Wang H, Ren J, Chen Q, Chen ZJ. cGAS is essential for cellular senescence.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017;114:E4612-E4620.

44. Lei Z, Deng M, Yi Z, Sun Q, Shapiro RA, Xu H, Li T, et al. cGAS-mediated autophagy
protects the liver from ischemia/reperfusion injury independent of STING. Am J Physiol
Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2018. In press.

45. Leong CR, Oshiumi H, Okamoto M, Azuma M, Takaki H, Matsumoto M, Chayama K, et al. A MAVS/TICAM-1-independent interferon-inducing pathway contributes to regulation of hepatitis B virus replication in the mouse hydrodynamic injection model. J Innate Immun 2015;7:47-58.

46. Gentili M, Kowal J, Tkach M, Satoh T, Lahaye X, Conrad C, Boyron M, et al. Transmission
of innate immune signaling by packaging of cGAMP in viral particles. Science 2015;349:12321236.

47. Wu JJ, Li W, Shao Y, Avey D, Fu B, Gillen J, Hand T, et al. Inhibition of cGAS DNA
Sensing by a Herpesvirus Virion Protein. Cell Host Microbe 2015;18:333-344.

48. Li W, Avey D, Fu B, Wu JJ, Ma S, Liu X, Zhu F. Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus
Inhibitor of cGAS (KicGAS), Encoded by ORF52, Is an Abundant Tegument Protein and Is
Required for Production of Infectious Progeny Viruses. J Virol 2016;90:5329-5342.

546 49. Aguirre S, Luthra P, Sanchez-Aparicio MT, Maestre AM, Patel J, Lamothe F, Fredericks

- AC, et al. Dengue virus NS2B protein targets cGAS for degradation and prevents mitochondrial
  DNA sensing during infection. Nat Microbiol 2017;2:17037.
- 549 50. Ortega-Prieto AM, Skelton JK, Wai SN, Large E, Lussignol M, Vizcay-Barrena G, Hughes
- 550 D, et al. 3D microfluidic liver cultures as a physiological preclinical tool for hepatitis B virus 551 infection. Nat Commun 2018;9:682.
- 552
- 553 Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.
- 554

555	Acknowledgments: We thank D. Garcin (University of Geneva, Switzerland) for providing the
556	SeV inoculum; D. Calabrese, S. Wieland and M. Heim (University of Basel, Switzerland) for the
557	FISH analyses and helpful discussions; F. Zhang (Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge,
558	MA, USA) for lentiCas9-Blast and lentiGuide-Puro plasmids, C. Thumann, N. Brignon, and M.
559	Oudot (Inserm U1110) for excellent technical support, and J.M. Rousée from Laboratoire Schuh-
560	groupement Bio 67 (Strasbourg) for the quantification of HBV loads in sera of humanized mice.
561	
562	Conflict of interest: The authors declare no competing financial interests.
563	
564	Author contribution. TFB initiated the study. TFB, ERV and CS designed and supervised
565	research. ERV, SAY, LH, CB, VTL, SD, LM, JL, TC and DD performed the experiments. ERV, SAY,
566	LH, CB, VTL, JL, DD, MBZ, NP, CS and TFB analyzed the data. PP provided liver resections for
567	PHH isolation. NM, IH and NP made substantive intellectual contributions. ERV, SAY, CS and TFB
568	wrote the paper.

#### 569 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

570

571 Figure 1. cGAS expression and function in human hepatocytes and in a cell culture model for HBV infection. (A) Detection of endogenous cGAS protein expression in different cellular 572 573 models by Western blot. Cell lysates from Huh7.5.1, HepG2, HepG2-NTCP cells, and PHH from 574 three independent donors were used. HepG2-NTCP cells were reverse transfected with a siRNA targeting MB21D1 (sicGAS) or a non-targeting siRNA control (siCtrl) two days before cGAS 575 detection. β-actin was used as a Western blot control. Individual representative experiments are 576 577 shown. (B) Generation of MB21D1 knock out (KO) cells. MB21D1 KO HepG2-NTCP cell lines 578 were generated via CRISPR/Cas9 technology. The absence or presence of cGAS protein was 579 controlled by Western blot using the HPA031700 anti-cGAS antibody in Cas9-expressing HepG2-580 NTCP cells (Cas9) and in different cell lines after transduction with the sgRNA targeting MB21D1 581 (line-A, line-B, line-C, cGAS KO#1 and cGAS KO#2). One experiment is shown. (C) Detection 582 of endogenous STING protein in HepG2-NTCP cells. siRNA targeting TMEM173 (siSTING) or a 583 non-targeting siRNA (siCtrl) were reverse-transfected into HepG2-NTCP cells. Silencing efficacy 584 was assessed by Western blot. One experiment is shown. (D-E) Poly (I:C) and dsDNA transfection 585 induce IFNB1 and MB21D1 expression in HepG2-NTCP cells. HepG2-NTCP cells were 586 transfected with increasing doses of Poly (I:C) or calf thymus DNA at the indicated concentrations. IFNB1 mRNA expression was quantified by qRT-PCR 24 h after transfection and cGAS protein 587 expression was assessed by Western blot 24 h and 48 h after transfection. gRT-PCR data (D) 588 589 are expressed as means ± SD relative IFNB1 expression (log10) compared to non-transfected 590 control (0, set at 100) from four independent experiments performed in triplicate (dsDNA) or from 591 three independent experiments performed in triplicate (Poly I:C). One representative Western blot 592 experiment is shown (E).

593

Figure 2. Impaired cGAS-mediated sensing of HBV infection in HepG2-NTCP cells. (A-B) 594 595 HBV infection does not induce IFNB1 or IFNL1 expression. HepG2-NTCP cells were infected with HBV (MOI: 500) or SeV (MOI: 10) and total RNA was extracted every day for 3 days. RNA 596 597 extracted from naive cells before infection was used as a control (D0). IFNB1 (A) and IFNL1 (B) 598 expression was then assessed by qRT-PCR. Results are expressed as means ± SD IFNB1/IFNL1 599 relative expression (log10) compared to controls (D0, all set at 1) from three independent experiments performed at least in duplicate (SeV) or four independent experiments performed in 600 601 duplicate (HBV). No robust IFNL1 expression was detected in HBV-infected samples 602 (representative dots are presented under the "detection limit" dotted line). (C, E) cGAS-related 603 ISGs are not affected by HBV infection. HepG2-NTCP cells were infected with HBV or SeV. 604 Alternatively, HepG2-NTCP cells were transfected with Poly (I:C) (100ng). Two days after infection 605 or transfection, total RNA was extracted. Gene expression of IAR signature was then analyzed 606 using multiplexed gene profiling. Results were analyzed by GSEA enrichment compared to non-607 transfected or non-infected controls (C) or by IFNB1 and IFI44 gene expression (log10) compared 608 to non-transfected or non-infected controls (set at 1) (E). One experiment performed in triplicate 609 is shown. (D, F) cGAS expression level does not affect the cellular response to HBV infection. 610 HepG2-NTCP-Cas9 (Cas9), HepG2-NTCP-KO cGAS#2 (Ko cGAS#2), HepG2-NTCP-Ctrl ORF (Ctrl ORF), and HepG2-NTCP-cGAS OE (cGAS OE) were infected with HBV. Two days after 611 infection, total RNA was extracted. Gene expression of IAR signature gene set was then analyzed 612 613 using multiplexed gene profiling. Results were analyzed by GSEA enrichment compared to nontransfected or non-infected controls (D) or by IFNB1 and IFI44 gene expression (log10) compared 614 615 to non-transfected or non-infected controls (set at 1) (F). One experiment performed in triplicate 616 is shown. IAR: innate antiviral response gene set.

617

Figure 3. Impaired sensing of HBV infection at high MOIs or early time points of infection. 618 (A-C) HepG2-NTCP cells were infected with HBV at increasing MOIs (0, 50, 500, and 10000 619 GEq/cell) or transfected with Poly (I:C) (100 ng). Two days after infection or transfection, cells 620 621 were lysed, total RNA was extracted, and IFNB1 expression (A) as well as HBV pgRNA levels (B) 622 were quantified by gRT-PCR. (A) Results are expressed as means ± SD relative IFNB1 expression (log10) compared to mock infected cells (MOI 0, set at 1) from three independent 623 experiments performed in triplicate. (B) Results are expressed means ± SD relative HBV pgRNA 624 625 levels compared to mock infected cells (MOI 0, set at 100%) from three independent experiments 626 performed in triplicate. Alternatively, HBV infection was assessed at 10 days post infection by IF 627 of HBsAg (C). One representative experiment is shown. (D) HBV infection does not induce IFNB1 expression at early time points. HepG2-NTCP cells were either infected by HBV or SeV, or 628 629 transfected with Poly (I:C). Total RNA was extracted at 2 h, 6 h, 18 h, and 24 h post 630 infection/transfection and IFNB1 expression was assessed by qPCR. Results are expressed as means ± SD relative IFNB1 expression (log10) compared to naive cells (0, set at 1) from three 631 632 independent experiments performed in triplicate.

633

Figure 4. Sensing of HBV rcDNA in HepG2-NTCP cells. (A-B) Transfection of purified HBV rcDNA genome induces a cGAS-mediated innate immune response. HBV rcDNA was extracted from recombinant HBV virions as described in Experimental Procedures and quantified by qPCR (Figure S3). HBV rcDNA (1 μg) and positive control dsDNA (1 μg) were transfected into HepG2-NTCP-Cas9 and HepG2-NTCP-KO\_cGAS#2 cells. Three days after transfection, total RNA was extracted. Gene expression of IAR set was then analyzed using multiplexed gene profiling. The transcripts were analyzed by GSEA enrichment compared to non-transfected control (A) or by

641 IFNB1 and IFI44 gene expression (log10) compared to non-transfected control (set at 1) (B). One experiment in triplicate is shown. (C) HBV DNA in HepG2-NTCP cells after rcDNA transfection 642 and HBV infection are similar. HepG2-NTCP were infected with HBV or transfected with HBV 643 rcDNA (1µg). At day 2 after transfection/infection, DNA was extracted and total HBV DNA was 644 645 quantified by qPCR. Results are expressed as means ± SD total DNA copies per µg of total DNA 646 (log10) from three independent experiments performed in triplicate (HBV infection) and two independent experiments performed in triplicate (HBV rcDNA transfection). IAR: innate antiviral 647 648 response gene set.

649

650 Figure 5. Sensing of naked HBV rcDNA but not infectious HBV virions in primary human 651 hepatocytes. (A-B) HBV infection does not induce ISG expression in PHH. PHH were treated with a preS1 peptide (PreS1) or a scrambled peptide (Ctrl) for one hour before infection with HBV. 652 653 As positive controls, PHH were transfected with Poly (I:C) (100ng) or infected with SeV (MOI ~ 654 10). HBV infection of PHH was assessed 10 days post infection by gRT-PCR (A, left panel) or immunofluorescence (A, right panel). qRT-PCR results are expressed as means ± SD ratio HBV 655 656 pgRNA RNA / GAPDH mRNA from one experiment corresponding to the gene profiling experiment 657 and performed in duplicate. Two days after infection, total RNA was extracted and gene 658 expression of IAR was then analyzed using multiplexed gene profiling. Results were analyzed by GSEA enrichment compared to non-transfected control (B). One experiment in triplicate is shown. 659 (C) Induction of *IFBN1* and *IFNL1* expression in PHH. PHH were transfected with dsDNA (4 µg) 660 661 or HBV rcDNA (4 µg). IFNB1- and IFNL1 expression was assessed 24 h after transfection by gRT-PCR. Results are expressed as means ± SD log10 IFNB1 or IFNL1 expression compared to non-662 663 transfected control cells (Ctrl, set at 1) from five independent experiments performed at least in 664 duplicate. IAR: innate antiviral response gene set.

665

666

667 Figure 6. Antiviral activity of cGAS results in reduction of HBV cccDNA. (A-B) Silencing of cGAS-related gene expression increases HBV infection. siRNA targeting MB21D1 (sicGAS), 668 669 TMEM173 (siSTING), TBK1 (siTBK1), IFI16 (siIFI16) or a non-targeting siRNA (siCtrl) were 670 reverse-transfected into HepG2-NTCP cells 2 days prior to HBV infection. Silencing efficacy was assessed by gRT-PCR 2 days after transfection (A). Results are expressed as means ± SD % 671 gene expression relative to siCtrl (set at 100%) from four independent experiments performed in 672 673 technical duplicate. HBV infection was assessed by guantification of HBV pgRNA by gRT-PCR 674 10 days after infection (B). Results are expressed as means ± SD % HBV pgRNA expression 675 relative to siCtrl (set at 100%) from four independent experiments performed in technical duplicate. 676 (C) KO of MB21D1 gene increases HBV infection. cGAS KO#1, cGAS KO#2, and the control 677 Cas9 cells were then infected with HBV and viral infection was assessed 10 days after infection 678 as described above. Results are expressed as means ± SD % HBV pgRNA expression relative 679 to control cell line (Cas9, set at 100%) from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. 680 (D) cGAS overexpression reduces HBV infection. HepG2-NTCP cells were transduced with 681 lentivirus encoding either a control plasmid (Ctrl ORF) or a plasmid encoding the full length 682 MB21D1 ORF (cGAS OE). MB21D1 expression was assessed by qRT-PCR (left panel). Results are expressed as means ± SD % relative MB21D1 expression (log10) relative to control cell line 683 (Ctrl ORF, set at 1) from three independent experiments performed in duplicate. HepG2-NTCP, 684 685 Ctrl ORF, and cGAS OE cells were then infected with HBV for ten days and HBV infection was assessed as described above. Results are expressed as means ± SD % HBV pgRNA expression 686 687 relative to control cell line (Ctrl ORF, set at 100%) from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. (E) Detection of HBV cccDNA by Southern blot. HepG2-NTCP-derived cGAS KO- or 688

Page 31

cGAS\_overexpressing cell lines were infected for 10 days with HBV. Total DNA from indicated
HBV infected cells was extracted and HBV DNA were detected by Southern blot. Two different
DNA ladders (L1 & L2) were used. *Xhol* digestion of DNA extracted from HBV-infected HepG2NTCP-Cas9 cells was used as a control and resulted in a single 3.2 kb band (dsl HBV DNA).
Mitochondrial DNA (mt DNA) was detected as a loading control. One experiment is shown.

694

Figure 7. HBV infection suppresses the expression of the cGAS-related genes cell culture 695 and humanized liver chimeric mice in vivo. (A-C) HepG2-NTCP cells were infected with HBV 696 697 for 10 days. HBV infection was assessed by quantification of HBV pgRNA by gRT-PCR. Results 698 are expressed as means ± SD from three experiments performed in triplicate. cGAS protein 699 expression was assessed 10 days after infection (B, one experiment is shown). Gene expression 700 relative to non-infected control cells of MB21D1, TMEM173 and TBK1 were assessed by gRT-701 PCR at day 10 after infection (C). Results are expressed as means ± SEM from three independent 702 experiments performed in triplicate. (D-E) MB21D1- and IAR gene set expression is impaired in 703 HBV-infected mice. uPA-SCID mice were infected with HBV for 16 weeks. Mice were then 704 sacrificed and HBV infection was assessed by HBV RNA specific in situ hybridization (D) and 705 quantification of HBV viral load in the serum (Table 1). Human MB21D1 expression was detected 706 in human hepatocytes by FISH from one HBV-infected mouse (D) and by qRT-PCR from 7 HBV-707 infected mice and 4 control mice (E, left panel). Results are expressed as the ratio MB21D1 mRNA / GAPDH mRNA. All individual mice are presented as well as means ± SD for each group (Mock-708 709 and HBV-infected mice). The level of MB21D1 expression was independent of the viability of 710 engrafted human hepatocytes as indicated by an absent correlation between MB21D1 expression 711 and the human serum albumin expression in humanized mice ( $R^2 = 0.231$ , E, right panel). (F) The 712 IAR gene set was analyzed using the nCounter NanoString in mice 6472, 6251, and 6254 (Mockinfected mice, Table 1) and 4766, 4771, and 4847 (HBV-infected mice, Table 1). A significant downregulation (FDR = 0.047) of the gene set was observed in HBV-infected mice compared to control mice. Individual Z-scores for the genes significantly modulated between the two groups according to GSEA analysis are presented. Negative Z-score (blue) and positive Z-score (red) correspond to repression and induction of the indicated genes, respectively. Dpi: days post infection.

# Figure 1.



D.

## Figure 2.







Time (days)

3





## Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.



# Figure 6.



## Figure 7.



### Hepatitis B virus evasion from cGAS sensing in human hepatocytes

Eloi R. Verrier, Seung-Ae Yim, Laura Heydmann, Houssein El Saghire, Laurent Mailly, Charlotte Bach, Vincent Turon-Lagot, Sarah C. Durand, Julie Lucifora, David Durantel, Patrick Pessaux, Nicolas Manel, Ivan Hirsch, Mirjam B. Zeisel, Nathalie Pochet, Catherine Schuster and Thomas F. Baumert

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

### **Supplementary Experimental Procedures**

Detection of cGAS protein expression using two independent antibodies. HepG2-NTCP cells were transfected with a siRNA targeting *MB21D1* expression (sicGAS) or with a non-targeting siRNA control (siCtrl). Three days after transfection, cells were lysed and cGAS protein expression was assessed as described in the Experimental Procedures section using two rabbit polyclonal anti-cGAS antibodies (HPA031700, Sigma & NBP1-86761, Novus Biologicals). Given the proximity of the bands, another western blot was run in parallel for the detection of  $\beta$ -actin as a control. Molecular weights were assessed using the Precision Plus Protein<sup>TM</sup> Standards molecular weight marker (Bio-Rad).

**Detection of HBV DNA by PCR and qPCR.** DNA was extracted using QiaAMP DNA MiniKit (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions. The presence of HBV DNA was confirmed by PCR using the following primers (expected band size: 148 bp) (1) : forward primer 5'-CACCTCGCCTAATCATC-3', reverse primer 5'-GGAAAGAAGTCAGAAGGCA-3'. For qPCR quantification of HBV DNA, The presence of HBV DNA was confirmed by PCR and quantified by qPCR using the following primers and probe (1) : forward primer 5'-CACCTCGCCTAATCATC-3', reverse primer 5'-GGAAAGAAGTCAGAAGGCA-3'; TaqMan probe 5'-[6FAM]-TGGAGGCTTCAACAGTAGGACATGAAC-[BHQ1]-3'. Copy number of HBV was determined using a standard curve.

**Detection of NTCP expression by flow cytometry.** HepG2 cells, HepG2-NTCP-Ctrl\_ORF cells, and HepG2-NTCP-cGAS\_OE cells were treated with the AF647-labelled pres1 peptide for one hour at 37°C as described (1). Cells were then fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature. NTCP expression was then quantified by flow cytometry using MacsQuant instrument (Miltenyi).

### **Supplementary Figures**



Figure S1. Detection of cGAS protein in HepG2-NTCP cells (related to Figure. 1). HepG2-NTCP cells were transfected for three days with a siRNA targeting *MB21D1* expression (sicGAS) or with a non-targeting siRNA control (siCtrl). Cells were then lysed and cGAS protein expression was assessed using two rabbit polyclonal anti-cGAS antibodies (HPA031700, Sigma, used in the main manuscript & NBP1-86761, Novus Biologicals).  $\beta$ -actin expression was assessed as a control. One representative experiment is shown.



**Figure S2.** Analysis of HBV infection in HBV time course samples with quantification of HBV pregenomic RNA and HBsAg expression (related to Figure 2). HepG2-NTCP cells were infected with HBV as described in Experimental Procedures. 10 days after infection, total RNA was extracted and HBV infection was assessed by quantification of HBV pgRNA as described in Methods. Results are expressed as means ± SD HBV pgRNA / GAPDH mRNA from four independent experiments performed in duplicate (corresponding to the four experiments shown in Figure 2A). Alternatively, cells were infected for 10 days in HBV, and HBV infection was assessed by immunofluorescence using an anti-HBsAg antibody. One representative experiment is shown.



Figure S3. Analysis and quantification of HBV DNA extracted from HBV infectious particles by PCR (related to Figure 4). A-B. HBV genomic DNA (rcDNA) was extracted from cell culture-derived HBV virions. Extraction from naive HepG2-NTCP control supernatants without virus was used as a control (Ctrl). HBV DNA standard preparation used as a template for the calculation of HBV DNA concentration was used as a positive control (Ctrl template). The presence of HBV DNA was controlled by PCR (expected band size: 148 base pairs [bp]) (A) and quantified by qPCR (B). Two independent experiments (A) and one experiment performed in triplicate (B) are shown. C. Quantification of total HBV DNA in transfected or infected cells. HepG2-NTCP were infected with HBV or transfected with rcDNA. Three days after transfection/infection, DNA was extracted and total HBV DNA was quantified by qPCR.





**Figure S4. PreS1-binding/NTCP cell surface expression is independent on cGAS expression.** HepG2 cells, HepG2-NTCP-Ctrl\_ORF cells, and HepG2-NTCP-cGAS\_OE cells were treated with the AF647-labelled preS1 peptide for one hour at 37°C. PreS1 binding corresponding to NTCP expression was quantified by flow cytometry.

# Supplementary Tables

Target	Name	Sequence
	HBV-F1	TAGCGCCTCATTTTGTGGGT
	HBV-R1	CTTCCTGTCTGGCGATTGGT
	HBV-F2	TAGGACCCCTGCTCGTGTTA
	HBV-R2	CCGTCCGAAGGTTTGGTACA
	HBV-F3	ATGTGGTATTGGGGGCCAAG
	HBV-R3	GGTTGCGTCAGCAAACACTT
	HBV-F4	TGGAACCTTTTCGGCTCCTC
	HBV-R4	GGGAGTCCGCGTAAAGAGAG
	HBV-F6	TACTGCACTCAGGCAAGCAA
	HBV-R6	TGCGAATCCACACTCCGAAA
	HBV-F8	AGACGAAGGTCTCAATCGCC
	HBV-R8	ACCCACAAAATGAGGCGCTA
	Fw_huND1	CCCTACTTCTAACCTCCCTGTTCTTAT
	Rw_huND1	CATAGGAGGTGTATGAGTTGGTCGTA
Mitochondrial	Fw_huND5	ATTTTATTTCTCCAACATACTCGGATT
DNA	Rw_huND5	GGGCAGGTTTTGGCTCGTA
	Fw_huATP6	CATTTACACCAACCACCCAACTATC
	Rw-huATP6	CGAAAGCCTATAATCACTGTGCC

Tables S1: Specific probes used for the detection of HBV and Mitochondrial DNA (2).

Tables S2: Specific probes of the IAR gene set for multiplexed gene profiling analysis.

Gene	Accession Number	Target Sequence	Note
ATP5B	NM_001686.3	GAAATTCTGGTGACTGGTATCAAGGTTGTCGATCTGCTA GCTCCCTATGCCAAGGGTGGCAAAATTGGGCTTTTTGGT GGTGCTGGAGTTGGCAAGACTG	HG
BAG6	NM_001199698.1	CATTGATCACGGGGCTAGAAGAGTATGTGCGGGAGAGTT TTTCCTTGGTGCAGGTTCAGCCAGGTGTGGACATCATCC GGACAAACCTGGAATTTCTCCA	HG
NDUFA2	NM_001185012.1	ATGGGCTAGGCTTTAGGGTCCGCGGTTGGTCAGACCGG AGCACTTGGCCTGAAGACCTGGAATTGGCGACTTCGATA TTAACAAGGATGGCGGCGGCCGC	HG
ARL9	NM_206919.1	CAGATATCCATGAAGCTTTGGCATTATCTGAAGTGGGAAA TGACAGGAAGATGTTCTTGTTTGGAACCTACCTGACTAAG AATGGCTCAGAGATACCCTC	Schoggins
CASP1	NM_001223.3	TGGAGACATCCCACAATGGGCTCTGTTTTTATTGGAAGA CTCATTGAACATATGCAAGAATATGCCTGTTCCTGTGATG TGGAGGAAATTTTCCGCAAGG	Schoggins
CXCL16	NM_001100812.1	CCATGGGTTCAGGAATTGATGAGCTGTCTTGATCTCAAA GAATGTGGACATGCTTACTCGGGGATTGTGGCCCACCAG AAGCATTTACTTCCTACCAGCC	Schoggins
CXCL8	NM_000584.2	ACAGCAGAGCACAAGAGCTTCTAGGACAAGAGCCAGGAA GAAACCACCGGAAGGAACCATCTCACTGTGTGTAAACAT GACTTCCAAGCTGGCCGTGGCT	Schoggins
HERC5	NM_016323.2	TGGGCTGCTGTTTACTTTCGGTGCTGGAAAACATGGGCA ACTTGGTCATAATTCAACACAGAATGAGCTAAGACCCTGT TTGGTGGCTGAGCTTGTTGGG	Schoggins

		TCCATCACCCAGATTTATACTTAGAGTCAGACGAAGTCGC	;
HERC6	NM_001165136.1		Schoggins
			-
ні д.в	NM 005514 6		Schoggins
	11111_000014.0	GTGGTCATCGGAGCTGTGGTCGC	Ochoggins
		GAGCGGGAGGGCCGGAGTATTGGGACCGGAACACACA	
HLA-H	NR 001434.3	GATCTGCAAGGCCCAAGCACGGACTGAACGAGAGAACC	Schoaains
		TGCGGATCGCGCTCCGCTACTACA	33
		TGCCCTCTGCTTGCGGGCTCTGCTCTGATCACCTTTGAT	
IFI35	NM 005533.3	GACCCCAAAGTGGCTGAGCAGGTGCTGCAACAAAGGA	Schoggins
	_	GCACACGATCAACATGGAGGAGT	00
		GATGAAAGAAAGATAAAAGGGGTCATTGAGCTCAGGAAG	
IFI44	NM_006417.4	AGCTTACTGTCTGCCTTGAGAACTTATGAACCATATGGAT	Schoggins
		CCCTGGTTCAACAAATACGAA	
		GCTTGGGAGAACCCTCTCCCTTCTCTGAGAAAGAAAGAA	
IFIH1	NM_022168.2	GTCGAATGGGTATTCCACAGACGAGAATTTCCGCTATCT	Schoggins
		CATCTCGTGCTTCAGGGCCAGG	
		CGCCTGCTAAGGGATGCCCCTTCAGGCATAGGCAGTATT	
IFIT3	NM_001031683.2	TTCCTGTCAGCATCTGAGCTTGAGGATGGTAGTGAGGAA	Schoggins
-		ATGGGCCAGGGCGCAGTCAGCT	
		AGCCCGCCGAGGGCTGCCCCGCCTGGCTGTGTCAGACT	
ISG20	NM_002201.5	GAAGCCCCATCCAGCCCGTTCCGCAGGGACTAGAGGCT	Schoggins
-			4
			O alta a mina
LRRC17	NM_001031692.1		Schoggins
			-
	NM 002462.2		Soboggino
	INIVI_002402.2		Schoggins
			-
0452	NM 016817.2		Schoggins
07.02	NN_010017.2	GAGGTGCTGGCCGCCTTCAA	Ochoggins
		GGCGTTTCTGAGCTGTTTCCACAGCTTCCAGGAGGCAGC	
OASI	NM 198213.1	CAAGCATCACAAAGATGTTCTGAGGCTGATATGGAAAAC	Schoggins
		CATGTGGCAAAGCCAGGACCTG	e en e ggine
		GCTTGAAAATCTTACACCAGGAAGCGATGAATGCGTCCA	1
PLCG2	NM_002661.2	CGCCCACCATTATCGAGAGTTGGCTGAGAAAGCAGATAT	Schoggins
		ATTCTGTGGATCAAACCAGAAG	
		ACTCACAGAGACAGCTATTCTGGAGGCGTTGTCAATATG	
PSMB8	NM_004159.4	TACCACATGAAGGAAGATGGTTGGGTGAAAGTAGAAAGT	Schoggins
		ACAGATGTCAGTGACCTGCTGC	
		TCAGGTATATGGAACCCTGGGAGGAATGCTGACTCGACA	
PSMB9	NM_002800.4	GCCTTTTGCCATTGGTGGCTCCGGCAGCACCTTTATCTA	Schoggins
		TGGTTATGTGGATGCAGCATAT	
		CTGACCCTCGTGCCCTGTCTCAGGCGTTCTCTAGATCCT	
RARRES3	NM_004585.3	TTCCTCTGTTTCCCTCTCTCGCTGGCAAAAGTATGATCTA	Schoggins
01.04540		GCCGCTTCTTCAACTGGTTTTACTGGAGCATCAACCTGG	<u>.</u>
SLC15A3	NM_016582.1	GIGCIGIGCIGICGCIGCIGGIGGCGIIIAIICAGC	Schoggins
			O alta a suita a
INFRSFIB	NIVI_001066.2		Schoggins
	NIM 002225 2		Cohoggino
UBA7	NIVI_003335.2		Schoggins
			+
	NM 004223 3		Schoggins
ODLZLO	NIVI_004223.3	GCATTCAGTCCAGGGGGGGGCC	Schoggins
ZC3H4\/1	NM 0201193		Schoggins
		ATTICTTGCGTCTGCTTCAGC	Conoggins
			<u>t</u>
ZMYND15	NM 032265 1	TGCCTTCATCTTCCACCTGGTTTACAAGCCTGCTCAAGG	Schoogins
		GAGCGGGGCCCGCCCGGCGCCC	Conceggino
L			i

		CTAGTGTTTTTGCCGAAGATTACCGCTGGCCTACTGTGA
PMAIP1	NM_021127.2	AGGGAGATGACCTGTGATTAGACTGGGCGGCTGGGGAG Schoggins
		AAACAGTTCAGTGCATTGTTGTT
		TTCTACAAGATATGCCATGGGCCTTTTCACAGGGGACAC
GBP4	NM_052941.4	AGGCTTCTTAAAACAACCCGGCTTCCTCACCCTATGTCCTSchoggins
		TTATTTACAAAGCTGTGCTCC
		CTGGCATGGTCATATTACATCGGATATCTGCGGCTGATC
TMEM173	NM_198282.1	CTGCCAGAGCTCCAGGCCCGGATTCGAACTTACAATCAG STING
		CATTACAACAACCTGCTACGGG
		ACGACTGAACACAATCAACTGTGAGGAAGGAGATAAACT
IFI16	NM_005531.1	GAAACTCACCAGCTTTGAATTGGCACCGAAAAGTGGGAA
		TACCGGGGAGTTGAGATCTGTA
		ACAGACTTACAGGTTACCTCCGAAACTGAAGATCTCCTA
IFNB1	NM_002176.2	GCCTGTGCCTCTGGGACTGGACAATTGCTTCAAGCATTC
		TTCAACCAGCAGATGCTGTTTA
		TCATGGCCCCAGGACCAGCCGTGGACCAAGAGGCTCGT
IRF3	NM_001571.5	GATGGTCAAGGTTGTGCCCACGTGCCTCAGGGCCTTGG
		TAGAAATGGCCCGGGTAGGGGGTG
		CGCAGCGTGAGGGTGTGTCTTCCCTGGATAGCAGCAGC
IRF7	NM_001572.3	CTCAGCCTCTGCCTGTCCAGCGCCAACAGCCTCTATGAC
		GACATCGAGTGCTTCCTTATGGA
		ACAGTGGTTAGAAAAGCAAGACTGGGAGCACGCTGCCAA
STAT1	NM_139266.1	TGATGTTTCATTTGCCACCATCCGTTTTCATGACCTCCTG
		TCACAGCTGGATGATCAATAT
		ACCAGTCTTCAGGATATCGACAGCAGATTATCTCCAGGT
TBK1	NM_013254.2	GGATCACTGGCAGACGCATGGGCACATCAAGAAGGCAC
		TCATCCGAAAGACAGAAATGTAG

HG: Housekeeping genes

Schoggins: cGAS-related genes described by Shoggins et al., (3)

### **Supplementary References**

1. Verrier ER, Colpitts CC, Bach C, Heydmann L, Weiss A, Renaud M, Durand SC, et al. A targeted functional RNAi screen uncovers Glypican 5 as an entry factor for hepatitis B and D viruses. Hepatology 2016;63:35–48.

2. Lucifora J, Salvetti A, Marniquet X, Mailly L, Testoni B, Fusil F, Inchauspe A, et al. Detection of the hepatitis B virus (HBV) covalently-closed-circular DNA (cccDNA) in mice transduced with a recombinant AAV-HBV vector. Antiviral Res 2017;145:14-19.

3. Schoggins JW, MacDuff DA, Imanaka N, Gainey MD, Shrestha B, Eitson JL, Mar KB, et al. Pan-viral specificity of IFN-induced genes reveals new roles for cGAS in innate immunity. Nature 2014;505:691-695.