

Alexithymia in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and its neural correlates

Soumia Benbrika, Franck Doidy, Laurence Carluer, Audrey Mondou,

Marie-Sonia Buhour, Francis Eustache, Fausto Viader, Béatrice Desgranges

▶ To cite this version:

Soumia Benbrika, Franck Doidy, Laurence Carluer, Audrey Mondou, Marie-Sonia Buhour, et al.. Alexithymia in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and its neural correlates. Frontiers in Neurology, In press, 10.3389/fneur.2018.00566 . inserm-01835653

HAL Id: inserm-01835653 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01835653

Submitted on 11 Jul2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Alexithymia in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and its neural correlates.

Soumia Benbrika, Franck Doidy, Laurence Carluer, Audrey Mondou, Marie-Sonia Buhour, Francis Eustache, Fausto Viader* and Béatrice Desgranges*

- 6 *These authors contributed equally to this work
- 7

3

- 8 Neuropsychology and Imaging of Human Memory (U1077), Caen-Normandy University,
- 9 PSL Research University, EPHE, INSERM, Caen University Hospital, Caen, France

10 Address of authors: 2. Rue des Rochambelles, 14000, Caen

11 Corresponding author: Beatrice Desgranges, <u>beatrice.desgranges@unicaen.fr</u>, Tel: +33(0)2
12 31 56 83 81

13 KEYWORDS: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, alexithymia, emotional processing, emotional
 14 awareness, cognitive function

15 ABSTRACT

16 Introduction: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a severe neurodegenerative disease that 17 causes progressive and extensive motor deficits. Patients may also have cognitive 18 impairments or alteration of emotional processing. Very few studies, however, have looked at 19 deficits in how they experience their own feelings (alexithymia). Methods: We assessed 20 alexithymia in 28 patients with ALS using the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20), 21 comparing them with a control group matched for sex, age and education level. We took into 22 account both the total score of the TAS-20 and its three subscores corresponding to the three 23 dimensions of alexithymia: Difficulty Identifying Feelings (DIF), Difficulty Describing 24 Feelings (DDF), and Externally Oriented Thinking (EOT). Patients also underwent a 25 neuropsychological assessment and anatomical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in order to 26 correlate cognitive performances and gray matter volume and level of alexithymia. Results: 27 On average, ALS subjects had a significantly higher total score and DIF sub-score of the TAS-20 than controls indicating an increased alexithymia in patients. Total and DIF Scores correlated significantly and negatively to gray matter volume of the prefrontal cortex, right superior temporal pole and parahippocampal gyri. No correlations were found between scores on executive functions and those on the TAS-20. **Conclusion:** the first stage of one's own emotional processing seems to be affected in ALS independently of executive dysfunction. This trouble seems to be underpinned by cerebral regions that are well known to be both implicated in alexithymia in healthy subjects and altered in ALS.

- 35
- 36 WORD COUNT: Abstract: 242, Main text: 4267
- 37

38 MAIN TEXT

39 1. INTRODUCTION

40 Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neurodegenerative disease of upper and lower motor 41 neurons, with relentless progressive muscular paralysis causing severe functional disability 42 and leading to death. Median survival is 3 years after onset of the disease and 2 years after 43 diagnosis (Couratier et al., 2016).

44 Approximately half of all patients with ALS have some degree of cognitive impairment, 45 mainly affecting executive functions such as mental flexibility, attention and verbal fluency 46 (Phukan et al., 2012). Comorbid frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is present in 5 to 15% of 47 cases (Phukan et al., 2012; Zago, Poletti, Morelli, Doretti, & Silani, 2011). Patients also have 48 impaired emotional facial expression recognition, impaired emotional responses, impaired 49 excitability in response to emotional stimuli, and impaired judgment of emotional valence 50 (Girardi, Macpherson, & Abrahams, 2011; Palmieri et al., 2010). Affective and cognitive 51 Theory of Mind (ToM) is also affected by the disease (Beeldman et al., 2016; Bora, 2017), 52 this finding having been shown to be discrepant when comparing within ALS patients, stratified by bulbar and spinal onset in some studies (Burke et al, 2016; Trojsi et al., 2016),
but not in others (Beeldman et al., 2016).

55 Alexithymia is a concept that was introduced by Sifneos and Nemiah to characterize the 56 psychological profile of patients with psychosomatic disorders. The term literally means *lack* 57 of words for emotions, and has been defined as "a diminution or absence of the basic human 58 ability to experience feeling" (Nemiah & Sifneos, 1970). Five salient characteristics of 59 alexithymia have been described: (a) partial or total inability to experience emotions; (b) 60 difficulty identifying feelings; (c) difficulty describing feelings; (d) externally oriented 61 thinking or inability to focus on one's own emotions; and (e) partial or total inability to 62 fantasize. Larsen et al distinguished between two main forms of alexithymia. In Type-I alexithymia, the absence of both emotional experience and cognition accompanying emotion 63 64 seems to be associated with psychosomatic diseases (e.g. chronic pain or gastrointestinal 65 dysfunction). Type-II alexithymia is characterized by a selective deficit in emotional 66 cognition, with a normal or high degree of conscious awareness of emotional arousal and sparing of emotional experience. This type of alexithymia is thought to be associated with 67 68 psychiatric illness (eating disorder, anxiety, depression).

69 To our knowledge, alexithymia levels in patients with ALS have so far only been assessed in 70 two studies. When Bungener et al. assessed emotional experience and expressiveness in 27 71 patients using the Echelle d'Humeur Depressive (EHD) questionnaire, they concluded that 72 patients have no emotional blunting or anhedonia. In their study, however, there was no 73 control group, and the questionnaire they used mainly assesses emotional deficit (anhedonia, 74 sadness) and loss of emotional control (impulsivity, irritability), which are encountered in 75 depression, rather than in alexithymia. Roy-Bellina et al. (2008) evaluated the alexithymia levels of 14 patients with ALS and nine healthy controls, using the 20-item Toronto 76

Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20). TAS-20 scores were significantly higher in patients than in controls. The authors suggested that alexithymia is a defensive mechanism that protects patients against anguish and the reality of death. In this second study, groups were small and participants were not matched for sex and age. This may have biased the results, as demographic characteristics and education level are known to influence the level of alexithymia (Salminen, Saarijärvi, Aärelä, Toikka, & Kauhanen, 1999). Neither study assessed the neural correlates of alexithymia in ALS.

The above findings suggest that the level of alexithymia is high in some patients with ALS. As mentioned, however, alexithymia is a heterogeneous concept. We therefore set out to pinpoint the difficulties patients have processing their own emotions. Furthermore, we looked for the neural substrate in link with one's own emotional processing in ALS.

The aims of the present study were to compare patients with ALS and controls on the processing of one's own emotions in ALS patients, and to determine the relationship of any impairment with morphological and cognitive data yielded by an anatomical MRI scan and a thorough cognitive assessment.

92 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

93 <u>2.1 Participants</u>

We recruited 28 patients with ALS for the present study. We also included 30 healthy controls. The two groups were matched for age. All participants were native French speakers, were aged more than 18 years, and had more than 7 years of education. Individuals were not included in the study if they had a history of alcoholism, head trauma, or neurological or psychiatric illness. Finally, controls had to have good overall cognitive functioning, as

assessed by the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale (MDRS > 130), and no patient had a severe cognitive deficit (MDRS \geq 127) (Kaszás et al., 2012).

All patients were examined by an experienced neurologist (F.V. or L.C.). They met the new El Escorial criteria for probable or definite ALS (Ludolph et al., 2015). None of them fulfilled the criteria for a diagnosis of FTD according to the core and supporting diagnostic features of FTD detailed in the Lund-Manchester consensus statement (Neary et al., 1998) or Rascovsky et al.'s criteria (Rascovsky et al., 2011) for a possible and/or probable behavioral variant of FTD. None had primary progressive aphasia. Genetic testing was not included in the study.

107 For each patient, we noted disease duration, clinical onset topography (limb vs. bulbar), the 108 severity of ALS at the time of the study according to the ALS Functional Rating Scale revised 109 form (ALSFRS-R), the Norris ALS Scale, which assesses the impact of bulbar involvement, 110 and the Medical Research Council Muscle Strength Scale (MRC scale). Patients were able to 111 speak and/or write intelligibly, had a forced vital capacity above 50% of the predicted value, 112 and no clinical evidence of nocturnal hypoventilation. None of the patients had any additional 113 severe or chronic illness, MRI contraindications, or communication difficulties severe enough 114 to compromise the administration of cognitive tests. They gave their written informed 115 consent, and the study was approved by the regional independent ethics committee.

116 <u>2.2 Alexithymia level measures</u>

The level of alexithymia was gauged with the validated French version of the 20-items Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) (Taylor, Bagby, & Parker, 1992), a reliable self-report scale and the most widely used measure of alexithymia (Loas et al., 2001; Loas, Parker, Otmani, Verrier, & Fremaux, 1997). Each of the 20 items is rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*). The TAS-20 assesses three dimensions of alexithymia, by means of three specific subscales : Difficulty Identifying Feelings (DIF) is
measured by summing responses to Items 1-3-6-11-9-13-14, Difficulty Describing Feelings
(DDF) by summing responses to Items 2-4-7-12-17 and Externally Oriented Thinking (EOT)
by summing responses to Items 5-8-10-15-16-18-19-20 (Loas et al., 2001). We thus obtained
a TAS-20 total score and one additional subscore for each dimension.

127 2.3 Cognitive and behavioral functioning assessment

128 Global cognitive functioning was gauged with the widely used MDRS, which provides a 129 sensitive measure of the degree of frontal-subcortical impairment (Jr, Fisher, Muñoz, & 130 Empting, 1981). Both patients and controls underwent an additional neuropsychological 131 assessment that included the second part of the French version of the Hayling Sentence 132 Completion Test (HSCT) to evaluate the ability to inhibit a dominant response (number of 133 correct responses) (Burgess & Shallice, 1997); the Letter-Number Sequencing task (LN 134 sequencing) to measure the ability to manipulate items in working memory (rearrangement 135 and transformation of representations for goal-directed behavior) (Wechsler, 2000); and the 136 Trail Making Test (TMT) and letter verbal fluency task (VF) to evaluate set-shifting abilities 137 (Godefroy, 2008). The TMT comes in two parts: the time taken to process Part A yields a 138 measurement of processing speed, while that taken to process Part B minus the processing 139 time for Part A (TMT B-A) measures the ability to flexibly shift course during an activity. 140 The verbal fluency performances of patients with a speech impairment were expressed by an 141 index based on the number of words produced in the VF task and the time it took them to read 142 out the words in a subsequent reading task (Abrahams et al., 2000). To evaluate if patients 143 were cognitively impaired, we calculated the Z-scores for each patient using means and standard deviations of the control group with pathological threshold defined at $Z = \pm 2$. 144

To assess behavioral changes induced by the disease, we have proposed the French version of the Neuro-Psychiatric-Inventory Questionnaire (NPI-Q) to relatives. This questionnaire is composed of 12 items corresponding to 12 symptoms: apathy, delirium, hallucination, etc. Caregivers had to note if each symptom is present or absent and if present they have to evaluate its severity (from 1 to 3) and its impact on themselves (from 1 to 5) (Kaufer et al., 2000). We thus obtained three scores for each patient: number of symptoms (/12), severity of symptoms (/36) and caregiver distress (/60).

152 <u>2.4 MRI data acquisition</u>

For each patient, a high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical image was acquired on an Achieva 3T scanner (Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) using a three-dimensional fast field echo sequence (sagittal; repetition time = 20 ms, echo time = 4.6 ms, flip angle = 20° , 180 slices with no gap, slice thickness = 1 mm, field of view = $256 \times 256 \text{ mm}^2$, in-plane resolution = $1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$). The MRI examination was carried out within 24 hours of the clinical testing.

The MRI data were segmented, spatially normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space (voxel size = 1 mm³), modulated to correct for nonlinear warping effects, and smoothed with a 10-mm full width at half maximum Gaussian kernel using the VBM5 toolbox implemented in SPM5 software (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk). Images were masked to exclude nongray matter (GM) voxels from the analyses.

164 <u>2.5 Statistical analysis</u>

165 Statistical analyses of demographic characteristics, alexithymia level and cognitive data were 166 performed using STATISTICA 10.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). The threshold of 167 significance was set at p = .05. As we expected a higher level of alexithymia as already shown by Roy-Bellina et al. (2008) and lower performances to cognitive tests in patients versus controls, we performed between-group comparisons using one-tailed threshold. Regarding correlations, as we had no a priori hypothesis, analyses were done using two-tailed threshold.

As some variables were not normally distributed, non parametric tests were used. U Mann-Whitney test was employed to compare the patients and healthy controls on age, years of education, TAS-20 scores, MDRS score, TMT B-A reaction time difference, verbal fluency score and index, HSCT score and LN sequencing score. A chi-square test allowed us to compare sex ratio and proportion of non-alexithymic versus alexithymic participants across the two groups. In the patient group, correlations between TAS-20 scores and cognitive performances were calculated with Spearman's correlation coefficient.

178 Finally, correlations between impaired TAS-20 total and DIF scores and whole-brain GM 179 volume were calculated using the multiple regression routine of Statistical Parametric 180 Mapping (SPM 5; Wellcome Trust Center for Neurology, London, UK) across the 28 patients. 181 In line with the study's main objectives, we focused on the negative correlations (we expected 182 a higher level of alexithymia to be correlated with lower GM volume). Given the deleterious 183 effect of age on GM volume and the potential effect of educational level on alexithymia, the 184 patients' ages and educational levels were entered as confounding variables. We used a 185 statistical threshold of p = .005 (uncorrected for multiple tests) for the voxels and a cut-off of 186 k (corresponding to the number of voxels in a particular cluster) > 100. Anatomical 187 localization was based on Talairach's atlas and the Anatomical Automatic Labeling atlas 188 (AAL; (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002)). We used the MNI template of SPM 5.

189 3. RESULTS

190 <u>3.1 Demographic, clinical, behavioral and neuropsychological characteristics of the two</u>
 191 groups

192 The demographic, clinical and neuropsychological characteristics of the two groups are shown in Table 1. Patients and controls were matched for sex, $\chi^2(1) = 0$, p = 0.99, age, = 193 194 .0.09, but not for education level, p = .02. Regarding global cognitive and executive 195 functioning, patients scored lower than controls on the MDRS, p < .001, HSCT, p < .001, 196 TMT B-A, p = .01, LN sequencing task, p < .01, letter verbal fluency scores, p < .001, and 197 index, p < .001). All patients were impaired in at least one cognitive test but only 57 % of them were defined as " cognitively impaired", meaning that they were deficient in at least two 198 199 tests. Scores obtained at the NPI-Q are reported in table 1.

200 <u>3.2 Alexithymia Level</u>

Regarding alexithymia level, and as indicated in Table 2, the mean TAS-20 total and DIF subscores were significantly higher in patients than controls. By contrast, even though patients scored higher than controls on the other two subscores (DDF and EOT), the differences were not significant. A total of 53.6% of patients were alexithymic (TAS-20 total score > 51), compared with just 23.3% of controls, $\chi^2(1) = 5.62$, p = .02.

In the patient group, TAS-20 scores correlated with age (r = .41, p = .03), but not with education level, clinical data (ALSFRS-R score, Norris score and MRC score), MDRS score, behavioral status (scores of the NPI-Q) or executive function performances (HSCT, TMT B-A, LN sequencing score and letter verbal fluency score and index).

210 <u>3.3 Correlations between alexithymia level and GM volume</u>

The peaks of the significant negative correlations between the TAS-20 total or DIF scores and GM volume, with age and educational level as confounding variables for 28 patients, are given in Tables 3 and 4. TAS-20 total scores correlated negatively and significantly with GM volume in the right and left anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), left inferior frontal gyrus (triangular and opercular parts), left middle frontal gyrus, and right superior temporal gyrus (see Fig. 1). TAS-20 DIF scores had significant negative correlations with GM volume in the right ACC, left inferior frontal gyrus (triangular and opercular parts), and middle frontal gyrus (see Fig. 1).

219 4. DISCUSSION

220 <u>4.1 Alexithymia in ALS</u>

221 Our results confirm that both the experience and the expression of one's own emotions are 222 altered in a high proportion of patients with ALS. These patients have significant difficulty 223 identifying their feelings compared with healthy individuals. More than half of patients are 224 alexithymic, whereas the prevalence of alexithymia in the general population ranges from 2 to 225 19%, depending on age, sex and educational level (Honkalampi, Hintikka, Tanskanen, 226 Lehtonen, & Viinamäki, 2000; Salminen et al., 1999). The prevalence of alexithymia in our 227 control group was 23%, which approximates to the highest rates in general population, 228 probably because of the predominance of men and the advanced age of the group.

The TAS-20 scale, which was not designed to specifically identify Type-I or Type-II alexithymia, tends to emphasize its cognitive aspects (identifying, verbalizing and analyzing) and to underestimate its emotional component, represented by emotionalizing and fantasizing (Larsen, Brand, Bermond, & Hijman, 2003). However, if we assume that the DIF subscore primarily reflects emotional experience (including first the perception of physical sensations and then an awareness of their significance) whereas the other two subscores reflect emotional mentalizing, then the main difficulty of patients with ALS would appear to lie in the experiencing of emotion, which corresponds to Type-I alexithymia. Interestingly, Larsen et al.
suggested that a substantially reduced level of emotionalizing protects individuals from
emotional and psychiatric problems. It is a matter of fact that fewer than 10% of patients with
ALS experience depression (Kurt, Nijboer, Matuz, & Kübler, 2007; Rabkin et al., 2005),
which could partly be due to their impaired emotional experience.

241 The clinical importance of a high level of alexithymia in ALS remains to be specified. Type I 242 alexithymia could induce psychosomatic manifestations, which could in turn skew the ALS 243 patients' physical condition and make their medical management more difficult if 244 psychological care is not proposed. High levels of alexithymia have been found to be 245 correlated to less capacity of empathy (see e.g. Valdespino, Antezana, Ghane, & Richey, 246 2017). As shown by Lockwood, et al., a low capacity of empathy is associated to apathy, a 247 behavior that increases the burden of ALS caregivers (Chiò et al., 2010). Thus, a lack of 248 empathy potentially induced by the high level of alexithymia in some patients could increase 249 the burden of caregivers. Identifying and treating this psychological condition in patients is 250 crucial to prevent suffering of relatives.

Alexithymia has been found to impair quality of life (QoL) in the general population (Mattila et al., 2009), and in several diseases as well, but not in ALS, to the best of our knowledge. Further studies are needed to shed light on the clinical consequences of high level of alexithymia in ALS.

255 <u>4.2 Alexithymia and cognitive and emotional deficits in ALS</u>

Our group of patients is in line with the generally accepted finding that in ALS about half of the subjects have "normal cognition" and half have some sort of "cognitive impairment" (Montuschi et al., 2015). Even if there are no normative data to interpret the NPI-Q, patients 259 have very little behavioral disorders compared to what is reported in pathology like 260 frontotemporal dementia (Uflacker, Edmondson, Onyike, & Appleby, 2016). Behavioral impairment occurs in around 30 % of ALS patients (Bock et al., 2016; Burke et al., 2016); it 261 262 is usually associated to cognitive impairment but may be isolated in 6% of cases (Montuschi 263 et al, 2015). Behavioral changes mostly include disinhibition and apathy. The most 264 encountered subtype of apathy in ALS is the lack of initiation, a lack of motivation to self 265 generation of thoughts (Radakovic et al., 2017). This subtype is in link with poorer verbal 266 fluency performances, which suggests that apathy in ALS is underpinned by the medial 267 prefrontal cortex (Radakovic et al., 2017).

268 We found no correlations between our patients' set-shifting abilities, working memory and 269 ability to inhibit responses, and their alexithymia level. A high level of alexithymia has been 270 found to be associated with impairment of executive functions as assessed using the TMT and 271 verbal fluency tasks (Bogdanova, Díaz-Santos, & Cronin-Golomb, 2010; McIntosh et al., 272 2014; Santorelli & Ready, 2015). But this association concerned mainly DDF, sometimes 273 with EOT or Total alexithymia(Bogdanova et al., 2010; McIntosh et al., 2014; Santorelli & 274 Ready, 2015). Furthermore, Paradiso et al. (2008) reported a significant association in healthy 275 volunteers between the total alexithymia score and scores on the Controlled Oral Word 276 Association test. These results suggest that alexithymia may be linked not only to impaired 277 executive functions but also possibly to poor linguistic abilities. Thus, only the cognitive 278 aspects of alexithymia (DDF and EOT dimensions) are linked to executive function or 279 language abilities. It is not surprising that we found no such association with the TAS-20 DIF 280 scores in the present study. The absence of a correlation between executive function and level 281 of affective alexithymia (DIF dimension) could be explained by the fact that the first stage of 282 emotional processing does not involve either executive functions or language abilities. The impaired processing of emotions in the self could thus be *specific*, and partly independent ofexecutive dysfunction in ALS.

Patients with ALS are widely acknowledged to have deficits in recognition of other people's 285 286 emotions, and in both cognitive and affective theory of mind (ToM) (Carluer et al., 2015; 287 Girardi, MacPherson, & Abrahams, 2011). In some studies, executive functions have been 288 found to be correlated with affective and cognitive ToM (Carluer et al., 2015; Gibbons et al., 289 2007) but alteration of ToM in ALS have also been shown to be partially independent of 290 executive function (Carluer et al., 2015; van der Hulst, Bak, & Abrahams, 2015). Van der 291 Hulst et al. (2015) showed that deficits in ToM are associated to poorer self awareness. Global 292 emotional and social dysfunctions are potentially underpinned by one's own emotional 293 processing deficit. Indeed, in order to understand the mental states of others, individuals must 294 activate representations of those states (i.e. simulate how others are feeling) within themselves 295 (Schnell, Bluschke, Konradt, & Walter, 2011). Patients' social cognition impairment may 296 therefore stem from the difficulty they have representing their own emotions (because of a 297 lack of emotional experience), insofar as this makes it harder for them to mentalize what 298 others are feeling or thinking.

299 <u>4.3 Alexithymia and its neural correlates in ALS</u>

300 4.3.1 Involvement of the anterior cingulate and prefrontal cortices in the processing of
 301 emotions in the self.

This is the first study to have assessed the correlation between GM volume and alexithymia level in ALS. We found significant negative relationships between the TAS-20 total score and DIF subscore and the GM volume of the prefrontal cortex (inferior and middle frontal gyrus) and ACC. In other words, patients with a high level of alexithymia had reduced GM volume in these areas. These results are consistent with observations in healthy individuals, as several
neuroimaging studies have reported decreased GM volume in the ACC and medial prefrontal
cortex, in healthy individuals with a high level of alexithymia (Gündel et al., 2004; Ihme et
al., 2013; Sturm & Levenson, 2011; Grabe et al, 2014).

310 Reductions in GM density in ALS have been observed in the frontal lobe and, more 311 specifically, in the inferior (Agosta et al., 2007; Cosottini et al., 2012), middle and superior 312 frontal gyri (Cosottini et al., 2012) and ACC (Filippini et al., 2010; Lillo et al., 2012). These 313 areas partially overlap with those thought to be involved in the processing of one's own 314 emotions, which is consistent with the emotional processing alterations found in a number of 315 patients with ALS. Lane et al. (Lane, Ahern, Schwartz, & Kaszniak, 1997) suggested that alexithymia could be an "emotional equivalent" of blindsight, which is when an individual 316 317 claims to be blind, but responds with high accuracy in visual tracking and other selective 318 visual tasks. Extra-striate pathways allow accurate responses to some stimuli that the 319 individual denies seeing, owing to a partial lesion in area V1 of the primary visual cortex that 320 prevents conscious visual perception. Mirroring this description, alexithymia could be a 321 deficit in emotional awareness caused by an impaired connection between subcortical 322 emotion-generating mechanisms and cortical mechanisms, including the ACC, which is 323 involved in the explicit processing of emotion. Lane et al. also found increased rostral ACC 324 and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) activation when they asked healthy individuals 325 to selectively attend to their emotional responses to various images they were shown, and to 326 classify these emotional responses as pleasant, unpleasant or neutral (Lane, Fink, Chau, & 327 Dolan, 1997). These authors suggested that the ACC and VMPFC play a specific part in 328 generating representations of one's subjective emotional responses and regulating subcortical 329 responses. Several more recent studies in the general population have shown that the rostral 330 ACC and surrounding VMPFC regions are involved in recognizing the processing of one's

333 *4.3.2 Dimensions of alexithymia and their neural correlates*

334 In our study, the total scores of the TAS-20 were correlated with three clusters (ACC, frontal 335 inferior and middle gyri, and temporal areas), whereas for the DIF subscore, only the ACC 336 (the biggest cluster) and the frontal inferior and middle gyri were found to be correlated.. 337 There have been a few studies of the neural correlates of the various dimensions of 338 alexithymia. Demers et al. (2015) found a correlation between GM thickness in the dorsal 339 ACC and DIF scores in children with posttraumatic stress disorder. On a large sample of 340 healthy subjects, Grabe et al. (2014), looked for correlations between the gray matter volume 341 and alexithymia, distinguishing the three dimensions. For the total score of the TAS-20, a 342 significant negative correlation was found with the bilateral ACC and middle right cingulate 343 cortex whereas for the DIF score, bilateral ACC, bilateral middle cingulate cortex, inferior 344 temporal and fusiform gyri were the main clusters of negative significant correlations. It is not 345 clear if each dimension of alexithymia implies a specific network, but the cingulate cortex 346 seems to have a transversal role in one's own emotional processing. Developing the initial 347 blindsight neural model of alexithymia proposed by Lane, Ahern, et al. (1997), with the aid 348 of Marr's hierarchical model of vision, Prinz et al. (Prinz, 2006, 2012) (cited in (Smith & 349 Lane, 2015)) proposed a hierarchical model of conscious and unconscious emotional self-350 perception with a three-stage algorithm. Stage 1 (discrete body features) corresponds to the 351 perception of changes in the activity of discrete parts of the body (e.g., changes in heart rate, 352 breathing, temperature, muscle tension). This bodily perception is mediated by the 353 somatosensory cortex. In Stage 2 (whole body patterns), discrete Stage 1 representations are 354 integrated in order to detect/represent coherent whole-body patterns. The anterior and middle

355 insula and dorsal ACC may play a crucial role in this stage (Smith & Lane, 2015). Stage 3 356 (emotion concept) involves mentalizing the integrative whole-body perception and giving 357 meaning to what is perceived and felt. Likely candidates for Stage 3 emotion processing are 358 the rostral ACC and adjacent regions of the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC). Different stages 359 in this emotion processing presumably correspond to different alexithymia dimensions, with 360 DIF corresponding to Stage 1 or 2 of Prinz's model insofar as this dimension reflects a 361 perceptual process of relatively low cognitive level, and DDF and EOT to Stage 3. Our results 362 support the idea that the ACC has an integrative function for perceived sensations, while the 363 inferior and middle frontal gyri are involved in mentalizing emotions.

364 *4.3.3 The temporal lobe and the processing of one's own emotions*

365 Cortical damage in ALS may involve both temporal and limbic areas (Agosta et al., 2007; 366 Cosottini et al., 2012). In our study, a deficit in the processing of one's emotions was 367 associated with reduced GM volume in the right superior and middle temporal pole. Healthy 368 individuals' alexithymia scores are reported in the literature to be correlated with the GM 369 volume of both the superior temporal pole and the parahippocampal region (Ihme et al., 2013; 370 Sturm & Levenson, 2011). Parts of the temporal lobe are known to be involved in facial 371 emotion recognition and social cognition (Jimura, Konishi, & Miyashita, 2009). The superior 372 part is involved in lexical and semantic retrieval, while the anterior temporal part seems to be 373 an associative structure that links representations of meaning (Vandenberghe, 2007). Cerebral 374 lesions or neurodegenerative disease affecting the temporal pole are known to cause 375 behavioral, emotional and social impairments, eg disinhibition, hypersexuality, eating 376 disorder, emotional blunting, apathy and inadequate social responses (Olson, Plotzker, & 377 Ezzyat, 2007). Neuroimaging studies have shown that the temporal pole is involved in tasks 378 requiring to think about thoughts and emotions of others (Kumfor et al., 2016; Olson et al., 2007). The right temporal pole is more specifically implicated in emotional processing, social behavior and personal and episodic memories whereas the left temporal pole is more engaged in semantic processing (Gainotti, 2015; Olson et al., 2007). Pehrs et al. have suggested that the temporal pole integrates information from different modalities and has a modulating effect on perceptual areas. This could explain its implication in alexithymia and, more specifically, in the other dimensions besides DIF.

385 Our study has some limitations. The first one is that as the patients are only mildly physically 386 affected and may thus not be representative of an ALS standard population, generalization of 387 the results must be done with caution. Another limitation is that our threshold of significance 388 for neural correlates has been set at p < 0.005 (uncorrected for multiple tests). This threshold 389 is not very robust but our results are consistent with the few relevant data in the literature, 390 which gives credit to our study. The fact that the TAS-20 scale only captures three of the five 391 previously described dimensions of alexithymia may be a methodological limit of the present 392 study. Finally, we focused on executive functions because these are the most impaired in ALS 393 patients and thus implications of other cognitive domains in alexithymie were not studied. 394 Further studies are needed, first to validate our finding and then to better assess the clinical 395 implications of alexithymia in ALS, in terms of quality of life of both patients and caregivers 396 for example. It would also be interesting to stratify the alexithymia data along clinical and 397 genetic subtypes of the disease.

398 5. CONCLUSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study assessing alexithymia in patients with ALS compared with a matched control group, specifically focusing on the various dimensions of alexithymia. Our results add to the accumulating evidence that a number of central nervous functions are impaired in ALS besides motor control, including the processing of emotions in the self. These emotional disabilities could explain patients' paradoxical psychological reactions. In ALS, emotional self-perception involves brain regions that are known to contribute to emotion processing in healthy individuals. Even if the nature of emotional neurological circuits has been well established, the precise role of specific neurological structures remains to be delineated. ALS could be a good model for improving current understanding of the emotional brain.

409 ETHICAL STANDARDS

All subjects gave their written informed consent, and the study was approved by the regionalindependent ethics committee.

412 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

413 On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

414 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the patients, relatives and healthy controls for their participation. The authors also want to thank clinicians of the neurology department, of the University Hospital Center of Caen, who permit clinical and cognitive DATA acquisition and the Cyceron MRI staff members for imaging examination acquisition.

419 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENT

SB was actively involved in this study from design to drafting. She conduced all the statistical analyses and played a central role in interpreting the results and writing the article. FD and MSB greatly contributed to the neuroimaging part of the study. AM and LC participated in the acquisition of clinical and cognitive data, especially in the careful screening of our cohort. FD, LC and FE provided their critical revision of the manuscript. BD and FV supervised and coordinated the teamwork from start to finish. Their Knowledge and expertise in neuropsychology and ALS pathology were crucial for the design, analyses and interpretation

427	of the result of the project. They were also particularly involved in the revising of the
428	manuscript. All authors read and improved the final manuscript
429	FUNDING
430	This work was supported by the French Minister of Health (PHRC, 2008, n°ID-RCB A01150-
431	55) and Fondation pour la Recherche Medicale (FRM).
432	DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENTS
433	The raw data supporting the conclusions of this manuscript will be made available by the
434	authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.
435	
436	
437	
438	
439	
440	
441	
442	
443	
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	

	Patients	Range	Controls	Range
Sex (M/F)	15/13		16/14	
Age (years)	61.28 (± 11.17)	43-81	57.30 (± 9.72)	45-75
Education (years)*	9.68 (± 2.59)	7-17	11.03 (± 2.57)	7-16
Onset (bulbar/limb)	5/23			
Disease duration	17.89 (± 6.37)	5-44	\	\
(months since clinical onset)				
ALSFRS-R score/48	37.57 (± 5.89;	27-48	\	\
Norris score/39	35.10 (± 5.65)	16-39	\	\
MRC score/120	99.78 (± 15.29)	63-120	/	\
MDRS**	136.39 (± 5.34)	127-144	142.40 (± 2.13)	130-144
HSCT correct responses**	5.89 (± 3.02)	0-12	9.00 (± 3.14)	4-14
TMT B-A (s)*	73.25 (± 86.59)	8-371	34.55 (± 30.90)	3-121
Letter verbal fluency scores**	15.11 (± 4.43)	8-25	24.59 (± 5.75)	13-38
Letter verbal fluency index **	6.89 (± 2.27)	5-13	4.35 (± 1.24)	3-8
LN sequencing score **	8.46 (± 3.63)	3-17	10.48 (± 2.06)	8-16
NPI-Q number of symptoms	2.08 (± 1.55)	0-4	\	\
NPI-Q severity of symptoms	3.91 (± 3.22)	0-12	\	\
NPI-Q caregivers distress	5.20 (± 4.25)	0-15	\	\

Note. Values are means (+/- *SD*). ALSFRS-R = Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scalerevised form; MRC = Muscle Strength Scale; MDRS = Mattis Dementia Rating Scale; HSCT = Hayling Sentence Completion Test; TMT = Trail Making Test. NPI-R: Neuro-Psychiatric Inventory Questionnaire. * p< 0.05. ** p < 0.001. Disease duration was the period between clinical onset and the date of patient inclusion in the study

449		
450		
451		
452		
453		
454		
455		
456		

alexithymic versu	457		
	Patients	Controls	^P 458
TAS-20 Total	49.36 (±13.38)	42.80 (± 10.06)	^{0.03*} 459
TAS-20 DIF	15.78 (± 6.05)	12.43 (± 5.03)	0.01*
(/35)			400
TAS-20 DDF	15.21 (± 4.93)	13.73 (± 5.07)	0.12 461
(/25)			462
TAS-20 EOT	18.32 (± 4.86)	$16.63 (\pm 3.46)$	0.08
(/40)			463
			464
A/NA	15/13	7/23	0.02*
TAS-20 = Toro	nto Alexithymia S	Scale; DIF = Difficulty	/ Identi#65

Feelings; DDF = Difficulty Describing Feelings; EOT = Externally Oriented Thinking; A = alexithymic; NA = non alexithymic. * p < 0.05.

xyz-331530Frontal_Inf_Oper_L2033.83Frontal_Inf_Tri_L3.68Frontal_Mid_L3.6862919Cingulum_Ant_R4353.68-36454Frontal_Mid_L2323.60Frontal_Inf_Tri_LFrontal_Inf_Tri_LFrontal_Mid_Orb_L4333.24-41824Cingulum_Ant_L1433.242213-33Temporal_Pole_Sup_R1113.23ParaHippocampal_RTemporal_Pole_Mid_R1433.24Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercularTri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.	MNI	coord	inates	Labels	K	Z (voxe
xyz-331530Frontal_Inf_Oper_L2033.83Frontal_Inf_Tri_L3.68Frontal_Mid_L3.6862919Cingulum_Ant_R4353.68-36454Frontal_Mid_L2323.60Frontal_Inf_Tri_LFrontal_Mid_Orb_L-41824Cingulum_Ant_L1433.242213-33Temporal_Pole_Sup_R1113.239araHippocampal_RTemporal_Pole_Mid_R						label)
-33 15 30 Frontal_Inf_Oper_L 203 3.83 Frontal_Inf_Tri_L 3.68 Frontal_Mid_L 6 29 19 Cingulum_Ant_R 435 3.68 -36 45 4 Frontal_Mid_L 232 3.60 Frontal_Inf_Tri_L Frontal_Mid_Orb_L -4 18 24 Cingulum_Ant_L 143 3.24 22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.	х	У	Z			
Frontal_Inf_Tri_L 3.68 Frontal_Mid_L 6 2919Cingulum_Ant_R 435 3.68 6 2919Cingulum_Ant_R 435 3.68 -36 454Frontal_Mid_L 232 3.60 Frontal_Inf_Tri_LFrontal_Mid_Orb_L -4 1824Cingulum_Ant_L 143 3.24 22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_RTemporal_Pole_Mid_RLabels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods).K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercularTri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.	-33	15	30	Frontal_Inf_Oper_L	203	3.83
$Frontal_Mid_L$ 6 29 19 Cingulum_Ant_R 435 3.68 -36 45 4 Frontal_Mid_L 232 3.60 Frontal_Inf_Tri_L Frontal_Mid_Orb_L -4 18 24 Cingulum_Ant_L 143 3.24 22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.				Frontal_Inf_Tri_L		3.68
6 29 19 Cingulum_Ant_R 435 3.68 -36 45 4 Frontal_Mid_L 232 3.60 Frontal_Inf_Tri_L Frontal_Mid_Orb_L -4 18 24 Cingulum_Ant_L 143 3.24 22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.				Frontal_Mid_L		
-36 45 4 Frontal_Mid_L 232 3.60 Frontal_Inf_Tri_L Frontal_Mid_Orb_L -4 18 24 Cingulum_Ant_L 143 3.24 22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.	6	29	19	Cingulum_Ant_R	435	3.68
$Frontal_Inf_Tri_L$ $Frontal_Mid_Orb_L$ $-4 18 24 \qquad Cingulum_Ant_L \qquad 143 3.24$ $22 13 -33 \qquad Temporal_Pole_Sup_R \qquad 111 3.23$ $ParaHippocampal_R$ $Temporal_Pole_Mid_R$ $Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.$	-36	45	4	Frontal_Mid_L	232	3.60
$Frontal_Mid_Orb_L$ -4 18 24 Cingulum_Ant_L 143 3.24 22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.				Frontal_Inf_Tri_L		
-4 18 24 Cingulum_Ant_L 143 3.24 22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.				Frontal_Mid_Orb_L		
22 13 -33 Temporal_Pole_Sup_R 111 3.23 ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.	-4	18	24	Cingulum_Ant_L	143	3.24
ParaHippocampal_R Temporal_Pole_Mid_R Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup superior; Mid = middle.	22	13	-33	Temporal_Pole_Sup_R	111	3.23
$Temporal_Pole_Mid_R$ Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.				ParaHippocampal_R		
Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials an methods). $K =$ cluster size; $L =$ left; $R =$ right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup superior; Mid = middle.				Temporal_Pole_Mid_R		
methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.	Labe	ls wer	e obtai	ned using the AAL toolbox	(see Ma	aterials and
Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.	meth	ods). I	K = clu	ster size; L = left; R = right	; Oper =	opercula
superior; Mid = middle.	Tri =	triang	gular; O	rb = orbital; Inf = inferior; A	nt = ante	rior; Sup
	super	rior; M	lid = mi	ddle.		

Table 3: Mean peaks and regions of significant negative correlation between TAS-20 total score and gray-matter volume (p < 0.005)

	Tabl	e 4: N	Mean p	beaks and regions of s	significa	int negative					
	volu	me (p <u>-</u>	≤ 0.005)	e allu	gray-matter					
	MN	coord	oordinates Labels			Z (voxel					
						label)					
	X	Y	Z				_				
	8	37	10	Cingulum_Ant_R	375	4.04					
	-32	14	30	Frontal_Inf_Oper_L	147	3.67					
				Frontal_Mid_L							
				Frontal_Inf_Tri_L							
	Labe	els wer	re obtai	ned using the AAL tool	lbox (se	ee Materials					
	and	method	ds). K Tai — tai	= cluster size, $L = left$,	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{ri}$	ght, Oper =					
	anter	rior. Su	I r I = u r I r = s u r	perior. Mid = middle.	$n_1 = 1n_1$	erior, Ant =					
497			-rr								
498											
499											
500	г.	1 0	•44 1			1	1		1 / 1	••••••	1 1
500	F1g	1: 58	agittal	views of regions in	n whic	en gray-ma	tter volu	ime corr	elated s	ignificant	ly and
501	neg	ativel	y with	TAS-20 total and D	IF sco	res in 28 pa	tients wi	ith ALS.			
502	TAS	S-20 =	= 20-it	em Toronto Alexith	ymia S	Scale; DIF =	= Difficu	lty Iden	tifying F	Feelings. I	Results
503	are displayed at $p < 0.005$ uncorrected and k > 100 mm3. Numbers in the figure indicate x										
504	COO	rdinat	e in M	INI space.							
505											
506											
507											
508											
509	REI	FERE	NCES								
510	Abr	aham	s, S., 1	Leigh, P. N., Harvey	у, А.,	Vythelingu	m, G. N	., Grisé,	D., & 0	Goldstein	, L. H.
511		(2	2000).	Verbal fluency and	l exec	utive dysfu	inction i	in amyo	trophic	lateral sc	lerosis
512		(A	ALS).	Neuropsychologia, 3	8(6), 7	734-747.					

- Agosta, F., Pagani, E., Rocca, M. A., Caputo, D., Perini, M., Salvi, F., ... Filippi, M. (2007).
 Voxel-based morphometry study of brain volumetry and diffusivity in amyotrophic
 lateral sclerosis patients with mild disability. *Human Brain Mapping*, 28(12),
 1430-1438. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20364
- Beeldman, E., Raaphorst, J., Klein Twennaar, M., de Visser, M., Schmand, B. A., & de Haan,
 R. J. (2016). The cognitive profile of ALS: a systematic review and meta-analysis
 update. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 87(6), 611-619.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2015-310734
- 521Bock, M., Duong, Y.-N., Kim, A., Allen, I., Murphy, J., & Lomen-Hoerth, C. (2016).522Cognitive-behavioral changes in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: Screening prevalence523and impact on patients and caregivers. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis &524FrontotemporalDegeneration,17(5-6),525366-373.

525 https://doi.org/10.3109/21678421.2016.1165257

- Bogdanova, Y., Díaz-Santos, M., & Cronin-Golomb, A. (2010). Neurocognitive correlates of
 alexithymia in asymptomatic individuals with HIV. *Neuropsychologia*, 48(5),
 1295-1304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.12.033
- Bora, E. (2017). Meta-analysis of social cognition in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Cortex; a Journal Devoted to the Study of the Nervous System and Behavior*, 88, 1-7.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2016.11.012
- 532 Bungener, C., Piquard, A., Pradat, P.-F., Salachas, F., Meininger, V., & Lacomblez, L.
- 533 (2005). Psychopathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a preliminary study with 27
- 534 ALS patients. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Other Motor Neuron Disorders:
- 535 Official Publication of the World Federation of Neurology, Research Group on M

- Burgess, P. W., & Shallice, T. (1997). *The Hayling and Brixton Tests* (Thurston, Suffolk).
 Thames Valley compagny.
- 538 Burke, T., Pinto-Grau, M., Lonergan, K., Elamin, M., Bede, P., Costello, E., ... Pender, N.
- 539 (2016). Measurement of Social Cognition in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: A
 540 Population Based Study. *PloS One*, *11*(8), e0160850.
 541 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160850
- 542 Carluer, L., Mondou, A., Buhour, M.-S., Laisney, M., Pélerin, A., Eustache, F., ...
 543 Desgranges, B. (2015). Neural substrate of cognitive theory of mind impairment in
 544 amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Cortex; a Journal Devoted to the Study of the Nervous*
- 545 System and Behavior, 65, 19-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2014.12.010
- 546 Chiò, A., Vignola, A., Mastro, E., Giudici, A. D., Iazzolino, B., Calvo, A., ... Montuschi, A.
- 547 (2010). Neurobehavioral symptoms in ALS are negatively related to caregivers'
 548 burden and quality of life. *European Journal of Neurology*, *17*(10), 1298-1303.
 549 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03016.x
- 550 Cosottini, M., Pesaresi, I., Piazza, S., Diciotti, S., Cecchi, P., Fabbri, S., ... Siciliano, G.
- 551 (2012). Structural and functional evaluation of cortical motor areas in Amyotrophic
 552 Lateral Sclerosis. *Experimental Neurology*, 234(1), 169-180.
 553 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.12.024
- Couratier, P., Corcia, P., Lautrette, G., Nicol, M., Preux, P.-M., & Marin, B. (2016).
 Epidemiology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: A review of literature. *Revue Neurologique*, 172(1), 37-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurol.2015.11.002
- 557 Demers, L. A., Olson, E. A., Crowley, D. J., Rauch, S. L., & Rosso, I. M. (2015). Dorsal
 558 Anterior Cingulate Thickness Is Related to Alexithymia in Childhood Trauma-Related
 559 PTSD. *PloS One*, *10*(10), e0139807. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139807

Filippini, N., Douaud, G., Mackay, C. E., Knight, S., Talbot, K., & Turner, M. R. (2010).
Corpus callosum involvement is a consistent feature of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.

562 *Neurology*, 75(18), 1645-1652. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181fb84d1

- Gainotti, G. (2015). Is the difference between right and left ATLs due to the distinction
 between general and social cognition or between verbal and non-verbal
 representations? *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 51, 296-312.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.02.004
- Gibbons, Z. C., Snowden, J. S., Thompson, J. C., Happé, F., Richardson, A., & Neary, D.
 (2007). Inferring thought and action in motor neurone disease. *Neuropsychologia*, 45(6), 1196-1207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.10.008
- Girardi, A., Macpherson, S. E., & Abrahams, S. (2011). Deficits in emotional and social
 cognition in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neuropsychology*, 25(1), 53-65.
 https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020357
- 573 Godefroy, O. (2008). Fonctions exécutives et pathologies neurologiques et psychiatriques:
 574 Évaluation en pratique clinique. Louvain-la-Neuve (Belgique): De Boeck Supérieur.
- Grabe, H. J., Wittfeld, K., Hegenscheid, K., Hosten, N., Lotze, M., Janowitz, D., ...
 Freyberger, H. J. (2014). Alexithymia and brain gray matter volumes in a general
 population sample. *Human Brain Mapping*, 35(12), 5932-5945.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22595
- Gündel, H., López-Sala, A., Ceballos-Baumann, A. O., Deus, J., Cardoner, N., MartenMittag, B., ... Pujol, J. (2004). Alexithymia correlates with the size of the right
 anterior cingulate. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 66(1), 132-140.

- Honkalampi, K., Hintikka, J., Tanskanen, A., Lehtonen, J., & Viinamäki, H. (2000).
 Depression is strongly associated with alexithymia in the general population. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 48(1), 99-104.
- Ihme, K., Dannlowski, U., Lichev, V., Stuhrmann, A., Grotegerd, D., Rosenberg, N., ...
 Suslow, T. (2013). Alexithymia is related to differences in gray matter volume: a
 voxel-based morphometry study. *Brain Research*, 1491, 60-67.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2012.10.044
- Jimura, K., Konishi, S., & Miyashita, Y. (2009). Temporal pole activity during perception of
 sad faces, but not happy faces, correlates with neuroticism trait. *Neuroscience Letters*,
 453(1), 45-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2009.02.012
- Jr, R. G., Fisher, L., Muñoz, S. O., & Empting, L. (1981). Mattis dementia rating scale:
 Internal reliability study using a diffusely impaired population. *Journal of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 3(3), 271-275. https://doi.org/10.1080/01688638108403130
- Kaszás, B., Kovács, N., Balás, I., Kállai, J., Aschermann, Z., Kerekes, Z., ... Karádi, K.
 (2012). Sensitivity and specificity of Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination, Mattis
 Dementia Rating Scale, Frontal Assessment Battery and Mini Mental State
 Examination for diagnosing dementia in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, 18(5), 553-556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2012.02.010
- Kaufer, D. I., Cummings, J. L., Ketchel, P., Smith, V., MacMillan, A., Shelley, T., ...
 DeKosky, S. T. (2000). Validation of the NPI-Q, a brief clinical form of the
 Neuropsychiatric Inventory. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *12*(2), 233-239. https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.12.2.233
- Kumfor, F., Landin-Romero, R., Devenney, E., Hutchings, R., Grasso, R., Hodges, J. R., &
 Piguet, O. (2016). On the right side? A longitudinal study of left- versus right-

607 https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv387

- Kurt, A., Nijboer, F., Matuz, T., & Kübler, A. (2007). Depression and anxiety in individuals
 with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: epidemiology and management. *CNS Drugs*, 21(4),
 279-291.
- Lane, R. D., Ahern, G. L., Schwartz, G. E., & Kaszniak, A. W. (1997). Is alexithymia the
 emotional equivalent of blindsight? *Biological Psychiatry*, 42(9), 834-844.
- Lane, R. D., Fink, G. R., Chau, P. M., & Dolan, R. J. (1997). Neural activation during
 selective attention to subjective emotional responses. *Neuroreport*, 8(18), 3969-3972.
- Larsen, J. K., Brand, N., Bermond, B., & Hijman, R. (2003). Cognitive and emotional
 characteristics of alexithymia: a review of neurobiological studies. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 54(6), 533-541.
- 618 Lillo, P., Mioshi, E., Burrell, J. R., Kiernan, M. C., Hodges, J. R., & Hornberger, M. (2012).
- Grey and white matter changes across the amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-frontotemporal
 dementia continuum. *PloS One*, 7(8), e43993.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043993
- Loas, G., Corcos, M., Stephan, P., Pellet, J., Bizouard, P., Venisse, J. L., ... Réseau INSERM
 no. 494013. (2001). Factorial structure of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale:
 confirmatory factorial analyses in nonclinical and clinical samples. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 50(5), 255-261.
- Loas, G., Parker, J. D., Otmani, O., Verrier, A., & Fremaux, D. (1997). Confirmatory factor
 analysis of the French translation of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 85(3 Pt 1), 1018.
 https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1997.85.3.1018

- Lockwood, P. L., Ang, Y.-S., Husain, M., & Crockett, M. J. (2017). Individual differences in
 empathy are associated with apathy-motivation. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 17293.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17415-w
- 633 Ludolph, A., Drory, V., Hardiman, O., Nakano, I., Ravits, J., Robberecht, W., ... WFN
- 634 Research Group On ALS/MND. (2015). A revision of the El Escorial criteria 2015.
- 635 Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis & Frontotemporal Degeneration, 16(5-6), 291-292.
- 636 https://doi.org/10.3109/21678421.2015.1049183
- Mattila, A. K., Saarni, S. I., Salminen, J. K., Huhtala, H., Sintonen, H., & Joukamaa, M.
 (2009). Alexithymia and health-related quality of life in a general population. *Psychosomatics*, 50(1), 59-68. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.psy.50.1.59
- McIntosh, R. C., Ironson, G., Antoni, M., Kumar, M., Fletcher, M. A., & Schneiderman, N.
 (2014). Alexithymia is linked to neurocognitive, psychological, neuroendocrine, and
 immune dysfunction in persons living with HIV. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 36*,
- 643 165-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2013.10.024
- 644 Montuschi, A., Iazzolino, B., Calvo, A., Moglia, C., Lopiano, L., Restagno, G., ... Chiò, A.
- 645 (2015). Cognitive correlates in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population-based study
 646 in Italy. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 86(2), 168-173.
- 647 https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2013-307223
- 648 Neary, D., Snowden, J. S., Gustafson, L., Passant, U., Stuss, D., Black, S., ... Benson, D. F.
- 649 (1998). Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: a consensus on clinical diagnostic criteria.
 650 *Neurology*, *51*(6), 1546-1554.
- Nemiah, J. C., & Sifneos, P. E. (1970). Psychosomatic illness: a problem in communication. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *18*(1), 154-160.

- Olson, I. R., Plotzker, A., & Ezzyat, Y. (2007). The Enigmatic temporal pole: a review of
 findings on social and emotional processing. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *130*(Pt
 7), 1718-1731. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awm052
- 656 Palmieri, A., Naccarato, M., Abrahams, S., Bonato, M., D'Ascenzo, C., Balestreri, S., ...
- 657 Sorarù, G. (2010). Right hemisphere dysfunction and emotional processing in ALS: an
- 658 fMRI study. Journal of Neurology, 257(12), 1970-1978.
 659 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-010-5640-2
- Paradiso, S., Vaidya, J. G., McCormick, L. M., Jones, A., & Robinson, R. G. (2008). Aging
 and alexithymia: association with reduced right rostral cingulate volume. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16(9), 760-769.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/JGP.0b013e31817e73b0
- Pehrs, C., Zaki, J., Schlochtermeier, L. H., Jacobs, A. M., Kuchinke, L., & Koelsch, S. (2015).
 The Temporal Pole Top-Down Modulates the Ventral Visual Stream During Social
 Cognition. *Cerebral Cortex (New York, N.Y.: 1991)*.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv226
- Phukan, J., Elamin, M., Bede, P., Jordan, N., Gallagher, L., Byrne, S., ... Hardiman, O.
 (2012). The syndrome of cognitive impairment in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a
 population-based study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 83(1),
- 672 102-108. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2011-300188
- 673 Prinz, J. J. (2006). *Gut Reactions: A Perceptual Theory of Emotion*. Oxford, New York:
 674 Oxford University Press.
- Prinz, J. J. (2012). *The Conscious Brain: How Attention Engenders Experience (Philosophy of Mind)*. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.

- Rabkin, J. G., Albert, S. M., Del Bene, M. L., O'Sullivan, I., Tider, T., Rowland, L. P., &
 Mitsumoto, H. (2005). Prevalence of depressive disorders and change over time in
 late-stage ALS. *Neurology*, 65(1), 62-67.
 https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000167187.14501.0c
- Radakovic, R., Stephenson, L., Newton, J., Crockford, C., Swingler, R., Chandran, S., &
 Abrahams, S. (2017). Multidimensional apathy and executive dysfunction in
 amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Cortex; a Journal Devoted to the Study of the Nervous System and Behavior*, 94, 142-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2017.06.023
- Rascovsky, K., Hodges, J. R., Knopman, D., Mendez, M. F., Kramer, J. H., Neuhaus, J., ...
 Miller, B. L. (2011). Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural
 variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *134*(Pt 9),
 2456-2477. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awr179
- Roy-Bellina, S., Brunel, H., Almohsen, C., Gely-Nargeot, M. C., Carton, S., & Camu, W.
 (2008). Alexithymia in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. A neuropsychological approach. *Journal of Neurology*, 255(2), 51-51.
- Salminen, J. K., Saarijärvi, S., Aärelä, E., Toikka, T., & Kauhanen, J. (1999). Prevalence of
 alexithymia and its association with sociodemographic variables in the general
 population of Finland. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 46(1), 75-82.
- Santorelli, G. D., & Ready, R. E. (2015). Alexithymia and Executive Function in Younger
 and Older Adults. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 29(7), 938-955.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2015.1123296
- Schnell, K., Bluschke, S., Konradt, B., & Walter, H. (2011). Functional relations of empathy
 and mentalizing: an fMRI study on the neural basis of cognitive empathy. *NeuroImage*, 54(2), 1743-1754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.08.024

- Smith, R., & Lane, R. D. (2015). The neural basis of one's own conscious and unconscious
 emotional states. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 57, 1-29.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.08.003
- Sturm, V. E., & Levenson, R. W. (2011). Alexithymia in neurodegenerative disease.
 Neurocase, 17(3), 242-250. https://doi.org/10.1080/13554794.2010.532503
- Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. (1992). The Revised Toronto Alexithymia Scale:
 some reliability, validity, and normative data. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*,
 57(1-2), 34-41.
- Trojsi, F., Siciliano, M., Russo, A., Passaniti, C., Femiano, C., Ferrantino, T., ... Santangelo,
 G. (2016). Theory of Mind and Its Neuropsychological and Quality of Life Correlates
 in the Early Stages of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *7*,
 1934. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01934
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N.,
 Joliot, M. (2002). Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a
 macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *NeuroImage*, 15(1), 273-289. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0978
- Uflacker, A., Edmondson, M. C., Onyike, C. U., & Appleby, B. S. (2016). Caregiver burden
 in atypical dementias: comparing frontotemporal dementia, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease,
 and Alzheimer's disease. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 28(2), 269-273.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610215001647
- Valdespino, A., Antezana, L., Ghane, M., & Richey, J. A. (2017). Alexithymia as a
 Transdiagnostic Precursor to Empathy Abnormalities: The Functional Role of the
 Insula. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 2234. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02234

724	van der Hulst, EJ., Bak, T. H., & Abrahams, S. (2015). Impaired affective and cognitive
725	theory of mind and behavioural change in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Journal of
726	Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 86(11), 1208-1215.
727	https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2014-309290
728	Vandenberghe, R. (2007). Functional specialisation within the cortical language network:
729	effects of cortical dysfunction. Verhandelingen - Koninklijke Academie Voor
730	Geneeskunde Van Belgie, 69(1), 5-22.
731	Wechsler, D. (2000). Echelle d'Intelligence de Wechsler pour adultes: manuel : WAIS-III. (J.
732	Grégoire, Trad.). Paris, France: Les Editions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée.
733	Zago, S., Poletti, B., Morelli, C., Doretti, A., & Silani, V. (2011). Amyotrophic lateral
734	sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia (ALS-FTD). Archives Italiennes De Biologie,
735	149(1), 39-56.
736	
737	ANNEX FOR REVIEWER 1 :
738	
739 740	Here, you will find the tables corresponding to the supplementary analyses asked by the reviewer 1
741	
742	
743	
744	
745	
746	
747	

MNI	coordii	nates	Labels	K	Z (voxel
					label)
х	У	Z			
9	38	9	Cingulum Ant R	664	6.32
-11	45	1	Cingulum Ant L	410	2.93
			Frontal Sup Medial L		
			Frontal Med Orb L		
-33	43	5	Frontal Mid L	170	0.25
			Front Inf Tri L		
			Frontal Mid Orb L		
-33	15	29	Frontal Inf Oper L	177	0.86
		-	Frontal Inf Tri L		
			Frontal Mid L		
18	-51	58	Postcentral R	257	0.48
			Parietal Sup R		
-4	17	23	Cingulum Ant L	221	
20	11	-34	Temporal Pole Sup R	217	0.22
			ParaHippocampal R		
			Temporal Pole Mid L		
-16	-74	-10	Lingual L	144	0.86
-37	-8	49	Precentral L	144	0.52
Labe	ls wer	e obtai	ned using the AAL toolbo	x (see M	aterials and

Table 1 : Mean peaks and regions of significant negative correlationbetween TAS-20 total score and gray-matter volume using SPM 5 andthe Total Intracranial Volume as a confounding variable ($p \le 0.005$;)

Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials and methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Oper = opercular; Tri = triangular; Orb = orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.

748			
749			
750			
751			
752			
753			
754			
755			
756			

Table 2: Mean peaks and regions of significant negativecorrelation between TAS-20 DIF score and gray-matter volumeusing SPM 5 and the Total Intracranial Volume as a confoundingvariable ($p \le 0.005$)

MNI coordinates		nates	Labels	Κ	Z (voxel
					label)
Х	Y	Z			
9	38	9	Cingulum_Ant_R	420	4.00
-3	18	31	Frontal_Inf_Oper_L	139	0.87
			Frontal_Mid_L		
			Frontal_Inf_Tri_L		
-7	39	-6	Cingulum Ant L	154	1.1
			Frontal Med Orb L		

Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials and methods). K = cluster size, L = left, R = right, Oper = opercular, Tri = triangular, Orb = orbital, Inf = inferior, Ant = anterior, Sup = superior, Mid = middle.

760			
761			
762			
763			
764			
765			
766			
767			
768			
769			
770			
771			
772			

7	7	3	

- ___

Table 3: Mean peaks and regions of significant negative correlationbetween TAS-20 total score and gray-matter volume using SPM 8 andthe Total Intracranial Volume as a confounding variable ($p \le 0.005$;)MNI coordinatesLabelsKZ(voxel)

MNI coordinates			Labels	K	Z (voxel		
					label)		
х	У	Z					
-36	44	4	Frontal Mid Orb L	564	3.9		
			Frontal Mid L				
			Frontal Inf Tri L				
			Frontal inf Orb L				
4	32	12	Frontal Mid Orb R	834	7.69		
			Cingulum ant R				
			Cingulum Ant L				
			Frontal Mid Orb L				
8	38	7	Cingulum Ant R	372			
-12	44	42	Frontal sup L	219	0.77		
			Frontal sup Medial L				
-18	-75	49	Parietal Sup L	138	0.94		
38	2	-18	Temporal Pole Sup R	100	0.09		
16	40	26	Frontal Sup Orb R	141	1.52		
21	12	-35	Temporal Pole Sup R	156	0.54		
			Temporal Pole Mid R				
			Parahippocampal R				
Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials and							
methods). K = cluster size; L = left; R = right; Tri = triangular; Orb =							

orbital; Inf = inferior; Ant = anterior; Sup = superior; Mid = middle.

table 4: Mean peaks and regions of significant negative correlation between TAS-20 DIF score and gray-matter volume using SPM 8 and the Total Intracranial Volume as a confounding variable ($p \le 0.005$)

MNI coordinates			Labels	К	Z (voxel
					label)
Х	Y	Z			
8	38	7	Cingulum_Ant_R	114	1.22
-3	18	31	Frontal_Mid_Orb L	228	3.42
			Frontal_Mid_L Frontal Inf Orb I		
11	42	45	Frontal Sup L	117	0.46

Labels were obtained using the AAL toolbox (see Materials and methods). K = cluster size, L = left, R = right, Orb = orbital, Inf = inferior, Ant = anterior, Sup = superior, Mid = middle.