

Emergence and evolution of TP53 mutations are a key feature of disease progression in myelodysplastic patients with lower-risk del(5q) treated with lenalidomide.

Laurence Lodé, Audrey Ménard, Laurent Flet, Steven Richebourg, Marion Loirat, Marion Eveillard, Yannick Le Bris, Catherine Godon, Olivier Theisen, Anne-Laure Gagez, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Laurence Lodé, Audrey Ménard, Laurent Flet, Steven Richebourg, Marion Loirat, et al.. Emergence and evolution of TP53 mutations are a key feature of disease progression in myelodysplastic patients with lower-risk del(5q) treated with lenalidomide.. Haematologica, 2018, Epub ahead of print. 10.3324/haematol.2017.181404. inserm-01691580

HAL Id: inserm-01691580 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01691580

Submitted on 24 Jan 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Emergence and evolution of TP53 mutations are a key feature of disease progression in myelodysplastic patients with lower-risk del(5q) treated with lenalidomide

by Laurence Lodé, Audrey Ménard, Laurent Flet, Steven Richebourg, Marion Loirat, Marion Eveillard, Yannick Le Bris, Catherine Godon, Olivier Theisen, Anne-Laure Gagez, Guillaume Cartron, Thérèse Commes-Maerten, Bruno Villemagne, Odile Luycx, Pascal Godmer, Catherine Pellat-Deceunynck, Thierry Soussi, Marie C. Béné, Jacques Delaunay, and Pierre Peterlin

Haematologica 2017 [Epub ahead of print]

Citation: Laurence Lodé, Audrey Ménard, Laurent Flet, Steven Richebourg, Marion Loirat, Marion Eveillard, Yannick Le Bris, Catherine Godon, Olivier Theisen, Anne-Laure Gagez, Guillaume Cartron, Thérèse Commes-Maerten, Bruno Villemagne, Odile Luycx, Pascal Godmer, Catherine Pellat-Deceunynck, Thierry Soussi, Marie C. Béné, Jacques Delaunay, and Pierre Peterlin. Emergence and evolution of TP53 mutations are a key feature of disease progression in myelodysplastic patients with lower-risk del(5q) treated with lenalidomide.

Haematologica. 2017; 102:xxx doi:10.3324/haematol.2017.181404

Publisher's Disclaimer.

E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. Haematologica is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have completed a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication. E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors. After having E-published Ahead of Print, manuscripts will then undergo technical and English editing, typesetting, proof correction and be presented for the authors' final approval; the final version of the manuscript will then appear in print on a regular issue of the journal. All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal also pertain to this production process.

Emergence and evolution of *TP53* mutations are a key feature of disease progression in myelodysplastic patients with lower-risk del(5q) treated with lenalidomide.

Laurence Lodé ^{1,2,3,4}, Audrey Ménard², Laurent Flet⁵, Steven Richebourg⁶, Marion Loirat⁷, Marion Eveillard², Yannick Le Bris², Catherine Godon², Olivier Theisen², Anne-Laure Gagez⁸, Guillaume Cartron^{3,8}, Thérèse Commes-Maerten⁴, Bruno Villemagne⁹, Odile Luycx¹⁰, Pascal Godmer¹¹, Catherine Pellat-Deceunynck¹², Thierry Soussi¹³, Marie C. Béné², Jacques Delaunay^{7,14,15} and Pierre Peterlin^{7,15}

¹ Hematology Biology, Montpellier University Hospital, Montpellier, France

² Hematology Biology, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France

³ UMR CNRS5235, University of Montpellier, Montpellier

⁴Bio2M team, Institut de Recherche en medecine regeneratrice, INSERM U1183, Hôpital Saint-Eloi, Montpellier, France

⁵Pharmacy Unit, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France

⁶Cytogenetics, Québec University Hospital, Hôpital Saint Sacrement, Québec, Canada

⁷Hematology Clinic, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France

⁸Hematology Department, University Hospital, Montpellier, France

⁹Hematology Clinic, CH La Roche-sur-Yon, France

¹⁰Hematology Clinic, CH Lorient, France

¹¹Hematology Clinic, CH Vannes, France

¹²CRCINA, INSERM, CNRS, Angers University, Nantes University, Nantes, France

¹³Sorbonne Université, UPMC Univ Paris 06, France; INSERM, U1138, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Paris, France; Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Cancer Center Karolinska (CCK), Stockholm, Sweden

¹⁴Hematology Department, Le Confluent, Nantes, France

¹⁵ JD and PP contributed equally to this work

Corresponding author:

Pr Marie C Béné

Hematology Biology

Nantes University Hospital

9 Quai Moncousu

44000 Nantes

France

mariecbene@gmail.com - mariechristine.bene@chu-nantes.fr

Running title: Clinical significance of TP53 clonal evolution in lower-risk MDS with del(5q)

Key words: TP53 mutations, NGS, lower-risk MDS, del(5q), emergence, clonal evolution,

lenalidomide, disease progression

Word count: 1497

Figure count: 2

Table count: 1

Reference count: 15

Supplemental material: 1 Table

To the Editor,

The cytogenetic anomaly of isolated del(5q) characterizes a subgroup of patients with lower risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS). In the 2016 WHO classification, one additional karyotypic abnormality (except -7 or del7q) can be present in such patients¹. Median survival is around 6 years and cumulative incidence of progression towards acute myeloblastic leukemia (AML) is 15–20% at 5 years in untreated patients².

The specific efficacy of lenalidomide as a treatment for anemia in these patients was first described in 2006³ and confirmed by a phase III trial⁴. Lenalidomide allows ~50% of patients to become independent of red blood cell transfusions. Approximately 20% of treated patients achieve a complete cytogenetic response although the median response duration is only 2 years and 40% of treated patients still progress to AML at 5 years⁴. This confirms previous data showing that at least some resistant driver del(5q) subclones are not eradicated during lenalidomide treatment⁵.

TP53 mutations occur in lower-risk del(5q) MDS at a frequency of ~20%⁶ and, as in other hematological malignancies, are associated with poor prognosis^{6,7}. Progression of MDS into secondary AML has been reported to be linked to clonal evolution, which can be related to the emergence or variations of genomic anomalies⁸. These observations, supported by recent studies⁹⁻¹¹, led us to address the appearance and evolution of *TP53*-mutated subclones in lower-risk del(5q) MDS patients along the course of the disease. We thus retrospectively screened for *TP53* mutations in a cohort of such MDS patients who received lenalidomide in the course of their treatment.

These patients had been diagnosed by cytogenetics as having the del(5q) anomaly, were treated at one point by lenalidomide and had benefited from at last 2 blood or bone marrow samplings during follow-up as per the discretion of their physician. Two of them, with 5% of blasts at disease discovery were considered low-risk MDS-EB associated to del(5q). To investigate for the appearance or evolution of *TP53* mutations, both diagnosis and the most

recent sample (first progression or last follow-up after initiation of lenalidomide) were first tested for each patient. If one of these samples was positive, *TP53* mutation was investigated on historical stored samples. The study was approved by the Institutional Board of Nantes University Hospital. All patients were followed until death or survival as of January 2017. Erythroid (ER) and Cytogenetic Responses (CyR) were checked for all cases according to the IWG 2006 criteria¹². Disease progression was defined by an increase of the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) score over 1.

Lenalidomide dispensing data were collected from the relevant hospitals' pharmacies, allowing to calculate the cumulative doses of lenalidomide actually delivered to the patients.

Genomic DNA was extracted from cytogenetics or dried pellets. *TP53* (exons 4-11) mutations were analyzed by deep-targeted sequencing according to the IRON-II study network recommendations¹³. The *TP53* mutations detected were compared to *TP53*-dedicated databases (http://p53.iarc.fr and

http://p53.free.fr/Database/p53_cancer/all_cancer.html) and only mutations with deleterious functional impact scores were retained.

TP53 clonal evolution was defined either as expansion of a clone or as emergence of a new TP53 mutated subclone undetectable in previous samples (Table 1).

A Fisher exact test was used for binary variable comparisons and a Mann-Whitney test for comparisons of medians. Cumulative incidence of progression (CIP) was analyzed using the time between diagnosis and progression taking into account the competitive risk of death from another cause. Gray's test was used to compare the impact of various factors on CIP. All statistical tests were performed with the Stata/IC 11.1 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

The cohort comprised 20 women and 4 men, of a median age of 71 years (range 51-79). Eleven patients had an IPSS of 0, another 11 had an IPSS of 0.5 and 2 an IPSS of 1 at diagnosis (Supplemental Table 1). Patients were offered to receive lenalidomide therapy

based on the severity of their anemia, co-morbidities and non-availability of other therapeutic options, such as allogeneic stem cell transplantation. The median follow-up was 74 months (range, 16–207). Lenalidomide treatment (median duration, 25 months; range, 0.06–71) resulted in ER in 18 of the 24 patients (75%) with a median duration of 31 months (range, 3–92). Five patients (21%) achieved a complete CyR.

Ninety-four samples from the 24 patients were analyzed, *i.e.* between 2 and 9 samples per patient (median 3). A *TP53* mutation was detected at diagnosis for 6 patients (25%). *TP53* clonal evolution was observed for 10 patients (Figure 1) and in another one a mutation of *RUNX1* appeared¹. Of the 6 patients positive at diagnosis (25%), 4 remained stable, the clone grew in size for 2 with an additional *TP53* subclone emerging during treatment in one of them. For 9 of the 18 patients negative at diagnosis, *TP53* mutations emerged during the course of the disease. Finally, for 9 patients (37.5%), *TP53* mutations were not detected at any time.

Eleven of the 24 patients experienced disease progression (45.8%), with a median time from diagnosis of 61 months (range 22–171). Of note, 10 of these 11 patients had or acquired a *TP53* clonal evolution (expansion or emergence) the last one being that with *RUNX1* mutation. CIP at 10 years was 77.3% (95 CI, 48.8-96.21%) in patients who underwent *TP53* clonal evolution *vs.* 7.7% (95 CI, 1.1-43.3%) in patients who did not (p=0.009, Figure 2). By contrast, CIP at 10 years was similar between patients with (58.3%; 95 CI, 15.7-98%) or without (52.7%; 95 CI, 26%-84%) *TP53* mutation at diagnosis (p=0.661).

ER according to the IWG 2006 criteria¹² was 100% in the group with *TP53* clonal evolution, higher than the 54% observed in the group without (p=0.037). This former group also had a significantly higher median duration of exposure to lenalidomide than the other group (22 *vs.* 3 months, p=0.02). At the time of disease progression, complex karyotype and/or monosomy 7, classical features of leukemic transformation, were found in 8 cases out of 11. These

¹ This was observed as the patient entered another NGS study where only this mutation was observed, in the absence of *TP53* anomaly, thus possibly explaining the outcome.

karyotyping clonal evolutions were always associated with or preceded by *TP53* clonal evolution, except for one patient. Karyotypic clonal evolution could be detected in only one patient 14 months before progression, whereas *TP53* clonal evolution was detected in 4 patients before progression. The median time from *TP53* clonal evolution to progression was 18 months (range 10-44).

The median duration of exposure to lenalidomide was 11 months for the whole cohort without any significant difference whether the patients had disease progression or not (17 vs. 7.5 months respectively; p = 0.2). However, a statistically significantly higher exposure to lenalidomide (cumulative dose) was observed in patients with progressive disease (2870 mg vs. 1120 mg respectively; p = 0.036, Fig.2B). This exposure was also higher in patients with ts. 7.5 clonal evolution compared to those without (3710mg versus 630mg respectively; p = 0.004, Figure 2A). In one patient, variations in dosage were associated with changes in the size and type of ts. 7.5 mutation.

This longitudinal study analyzed a large number of consecutive samples from lower-risk del(5q) MDS patients treated by lenalidomide, and confirmed the heterogeneity and clonal evolution of this disease. Focusing on clonal evolution of *TP53*, some evidence was obtained of the association of *TP53* clonal evolution and disease progression with a possible link to therapy, as in other hematological malignancies^{8,14–15}.

The backtracking strategy used allowed to identify several patterns of *TP53* clonal evolution or equilibrium, consistent with previous reports¹⁴. *TP53* mutations at diagnosis have been shown to be predictive of disease progression in lower-risk del(5q) MDS⁶. At variance, we observed a stable disease in four of six cases with *TP53* mutation at diagnosis. Indeed, disease progression mostly occurred in patients with *TP53* mutations emerging before or at the time of progression as recently reported by Scharenberg et al⁹. The absence of mutation at diagnosis was in these cases concluded after deep sequencing at a validated threshold of 1%, which does not exclude the presence of smaller subclones at a lower frequency. Finally,

CIP was shown here to be significantly increased when *TP53* clonal evolution occurred, whatever the mutational status at diagnosis.

TP53 mutations may be acquired by the del(5q) founding clone over the disease course or be present very early in selected subclones over time as respectively linear or branched evolution patterns. Here, the *TP53* mutations detected were mainly transitions, a mutational pattern in favor of an ageing-induced process as described elsewhere¹⁵. In the latter study, new deeper digital sequencing proved effective to validate the detection, long before the evolution to TR-AML, of minor subclones with a VAF as small as 0.1%.

In summary, this study suggests that disease progression of lower-risk del(5q) MDS is mostly related to the evolution of pre-existing or emerging subclones carrying a *TP53* mutation. Monitoring *TP53* clonal evolution could thus better predict disease progression in these patients than the mere detection of *TP53* mutations at diagnosis. This could have important practical implications in preemptively modifying patients' management. Such decisions could slow down the natural history of the disease while maintaining both ER for a better quality of life and clonal equilibrium to hopefully reduce the likelihood of disease progression.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the Molecular Hematology team in Nantes University Hospital, Cécile Girard and the Tumor Bank TIRCNA personnel for providing samples, PFGMC (INCa), Nathalie Bourgeois, Solenne Dumont and the Biogenouest ® Genomics core facility for technical support.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no COI disclosure in relation with this study.

References

- 1 Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. Blood. 2016;127(20):2391–2405.
- 2 Germing U, Lauseker M, Hildebrandt B, et al. Survival, prognostic factors and rates of leukemic transformation in 381 untreated patients with MDS and del(5q): a multicenter study. Leukemia. 2012;26(6):1286–1292.
- 3 List A, Dewald G, Bennett J, et al. Lenalidomide in the myelodysplastic syndrome with chromosome 5q deletion. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(14):1456–1465.
- 4 Fenaux P, Giagounidis A, Selleslag D, et al. A randomized phase 3 study of lenalidomide versus placebo in RBC transfusion-dependent patients with Low-/Intermediate-1-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with del5q. Blood. 2011;118(14):3765–3776.
- 5 Tehranchi R, Woll PS, Anderson K, et al. Persistent malignant stem cells in del(5q) myelodysplasia in remission. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(11):1025–1037.
- 6 Jädersten M, Saft L, Smith A, et al. TP53 mutations in low-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with del(5q) predict disease progression. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(15):1971-1979.
- 7 Bejar R, Stevenson K, Abdel-Wahab O, et al. Clinical effect of point mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(26):2496–2506.
- 8 Walter MJ, Shen D, Ding L, et al. Clonal architecture of secondary acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(12):1090-1098.
- 9 Scharenberg C, Giai V, Pellagatti A, et al. Progression in patients with low- and intermediate-1-risk del(5q) myelodysplastic syndromes is predicted by a limited subset of mutations. Haematologica. 2017;102(3):498–508.
- 10 Mossner M, Jann J-C, Wittig J, et al. Mutational hierarchies in myelodysplastic syndromes dynamically adapt and evolve upon therapy response and failure. Blood. 2016;128(9):1246-1259.

- 11 Silva-Coelho P, Kroeze LI, Yoshida K. et al. Clonal evolution in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15099.
- 12 Cheson BD, Greenberg PL, Bennett JM, et al. Clinical application and proposal for modification of the International Working Group (IWG) response criteria in myelodysplasia. Blood. 2006;108(2):419–425.
- 13 Grossmann V, Roller A, Klein H-U, et al. Robustness of amplicon deep sequencing underlines its utility in clinical applications. J Mol Diagn. 2013;15(4):473–484.
- 14 Landau DA, Carter SL, Stojanov P, et al. Evolution and impact of subclonal mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cell. 2013;152(4):714–726.
- 15 Wong TN, Ramsingh G, Young AL, et al. Role of *TP53* mutations in the origin and evolution of therapy-related acute myeloid leukaemia. Nature. 2015;518(7540):552–555.

Table 1. Mutations details


| | TP53 mutations VAF at diagnosis | TP53 mutations | TP53 Mutations | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|---|--|
| # | | VAF(s) at follow- up | DNA | Туре | Protein | |
| 1 | | 24%/29% | c.524G>A c.844C>T | SNV | p.R175H p.R82W | |
| 2 | 29% | 20% | c.818G>A | SNV | p.R273H | |
| 4 | | 43% | c.818G>A | SNV | p.R273H | |
| 6 | | 16%/14% | c.314G>T c743G>A c.818G>A c.833C>G c.614A>G | SNV | p.G105V p.R248Q p.R273H p.P278R p.Y205C | |
| 7 | | 21% | c.844C>T | SNV | p.R282W | |
| 10 | | 4% | c.818G>A | SNV | p.R273H | |
| 11 | 36% | 31% | c.830G>A | SNV | p.C277Y | |
| 12 | 3% | 45% | c.725G>T c.920-1G>A | SNV Splice | p.C242F p. ?(splice) | |
| 13 | 25% | 25% | c.343C>G | SNV | p.H115D | |
| 15 | | 100% | c.821T>C | SNV | p.V271A | |
| 18 | | 33% | c.711G>A | SNV | p.N237I | |
| 19 | 54% | 55% | c.584T>A | SNV | p.I195N | |
| 21 | | 49% | c.413C>T | SNV | p.A138V | |
| 22 | | 55%/20% | c.421T>G c.711G>T | SNV | p.C141G p.M237I | |
| 23 | 14% | 6%/32% | c.743G>A c.817C>T c.844C>T | SNV | p.R248Q p.R273C p.R282W | |


SNV = single nucleotide variant. Note that use of a broader sequencing panel could have disclosed other anomalies.

Figure legends.

Figure 1. Diagram of patients' evolution and *TP53* status over the follow-up period.

Figure 2. Cumulative incidences of progression according to *TP53* clonal evolution during follow-up (n=24, p=0.009). Gray's test, death not caused by progression is analyzed as competitive risk.





Supplemental Table 1. Patients details

| # | Age (y) | Sex | IPSSR | Karyotype | <i>TP53</i> mut at diagnosis | <i>TP53</i> mut At follow-up | Progression | Alive |
|----|------------|-----|-------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 1 | 70 | F | 3 | 46,XX,del(5)(q13q32) | No | Yes | AML | No |
| 2 | 51 | F | 3.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q21q35) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 3 | 75 | F | 3.5 | FISH del(5q) | No | No | No | No |
| 4 | 77 | F | 3.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q34) | No | Yes | MDS-EB I | Yes |
| 5 | 61 | F | 2.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q34) | No | No | No | Yes |
| 6 | 73 | M | 3 | 46,XX,del(5)(q15q35) | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| 7 | 77 | F | 3.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q13q34) | No | Yes | MDS-EB II | No |
| 8 | 74 | F | 2 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q34) | No | No | No | No |
| 9 | 73 | F | 1 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q34) | No | No | No | Yes |
| 10 | 71 | M | 2 | 46,XY,del(5)(q14q34) | No | Yes | MDS-EB II | No |
| 11 | 79 | F | 2.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q34) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 12 | 61 | F | 4.5 | 47,XX,del(5)(q15q35), +8 | Yes | Yes | MDS-EB II / AML | No |
| 13 | 71 | F | 4.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q34), +8 | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 14 | 62 | F | 3 | 46,XX,del(5)(q13q35) | No | No | No | Yes |
| 15 | 63 | M | 4 | 46,XY,del(5)(q14q34) | No | Yes | AML | No |
| 16 | 64 | F | 2.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q22q35) | No | No | No | No |
| 17 | 76 | F | 3.5 | 46,XX,del(5)(q15q35), t(9;12) | No | No | AML | No |
| 18 | 58 | F | 3 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q34) | No | Yes | MDS-EB II | No |
| 19 | 78 | F | 3 | 46,XX,del(5)(q13q24) | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| 20 | 76 | F | 3 | 46,XX,del(5)(q12q31) | No | No | No | Yes |
| 21 | 58 | F | 1 | 46,XX,del(5)(q14q35) | No | Yes | AML | Yes |
| 22 | 55 | М | 2 | 46,XY,del(5)(q14q35), del(20)(q11q13) | Yes | Yes | AML | Yes |
| 23 | 62 | M | 2 | 46,XY,del(5)(q21q35) | No | Yes | MDS-EB II / AML | No |
| 24 | 75 | F | 1 | 46,XX,del(5)(q12q34) | No | No | No | No |

1


2

Supplemental Figure 1. Evolution of the 11 patients for whom *TP53* appeared during therapy.

▼ Progression

- Mutation
- Lenalidomide

— 6 months



2