

# MicroRNA-based Drugs for Brain Tumours

Shubaash Anthiya, Audrey Griveau, Claire Loussouarn, Patrick Baril, Martin Garnett, Jean-Paul Issartel, Emmanuel Garcion

## ▶ To cite this version:

Shubaash Anthiya, Audrey Griveau, Claire Loussouarn, Patrick Baril, Martin Garnett, et al.. MicroRNA-based Drugs for Brain Tumours. Trends in Cancer, 2018, 4 (3), pp.222-238. 10.1016/j.trecan.2017.12.008. inserm-01691531v2

# HAL Id: inserm-01691531 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01691531v2

Submitted on 24 Jan 2018  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Trends in Cancer MicroRNA-based Drugs for Brain Tumours --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	TRECAN-D-17-00183R1		
Article Type:	Review		
Corresponding Author:	Emmanuel Garcion FRANCE		
First Author:	Shubaash Anthiya, PhD		
Order of Authors:	Shubaash Anthiya, PhD		
	Audrey Griveau, PhD		
	Claire Loussouarn, PhD		
	Patrick Baril, PhD		
	Martin Garnett, PhD		
	Jean-Paul Issartel, PhD		
	Emmanuel Garcion, PhD, HDR		
Abstract:	MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are key regulatory elements coded by the genome. A single miRNA can downregulate expression of multiple genes involved in diverse functions. As cancer is a disease with multiple gene aberrations, developing novel approaches to identify and modulate miRNA-pathways may result in a breakthrough for cancer treatment. With a special focus on glioblastoma, this review provides an up-to-date understanding of miRNA biogenesis, role of miRNA in cancer resistance, essential tools for modulating miRNA expression, emerging list of clinically promising RNAi delivery systems and how they can be adapted for therapy.		

1	MicroRNA-based Drugs for Brain Tumours			
2	Shubaash ANTHIYA <sup>1,2*</sup> , Audrey GRIVEAU <sup>1*</sup> , Claire LOUSSOUARN <sup>1</sup> , Patrick BARIL <sup>3</sup> ,			
3	Martin GARNETT <sup>2</sup> , Jean-Paul ISSARTEL <sup>4</sup> and Emmanuel GARCION <sup>1,<math>\boxtimes</math></sup>			
4				
	<sup>1</sup> CRCINA, INSERM, Université de Nantes, Université d'Angers, Angers, France.			
6	<sup>2</sup> School of Pharmacy, University Park, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K.			
7	<sup>3</sup> Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire, CNRS UPR4301, Université d'Orléans, 45071, Orléans,			
8	France.			
9	<sup>4</sup> INSERM U1216, Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences, Université Joseph Fourier, CHU			
10	Michallon, 38043, Grenoble, France.			
11				
12	*These authors contributed equally to this work.			
13				
14				
15				
16	<sup>⊠</sup> Corresponding author:			
17	Dr Emmanuel Garcion			
18	emmanuel.garcion@univ-angers.fr			
19	CRCINA, INSERM U1232 Team 17,			
20	Design and Application of Innovative Local treatments in Glioblastoma (GLIAD),			
21	Université d'Angers,			
22	IBS - CHU,			
23	4 Rue Larrey,			
24	F-49933 Angers, France			
25	+33 (0) 2 44 68 85 43			

### 26 Abstract (91/120 words)

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are key regulatory elements coded by the genome. A single miRNA can downregulate expression of multiple genes involved in diverse functions. As cancer is a disease with multiple gene aberrations, developing novel approaches to identify and modulate miRNApathways may result in a breakthrough for cancer treatment. With a special focus on glioblastoma, this review provides an up-to-date understanding of miRNA biogenesis, role of miRNA in cancer resistance, essential tools for modulating miRNA expression, emerging list of clinically promising RNAi delivery systems and how they can be adapted for therapy.

34

Keywords: glioblastoma, cancer resistance, RNAi, drug delivery, brain targeting, argonaute,
 exosomes, nanoparticles

37

### **39 MicroRNA therapeutics for Glioblastoma**

40

41 Glioblastomas (GBM) are aggressive grade IV primary tumours of the central nervous system [1, 42 2]. Standard therapy consists of maximal surgical resection followed by external radiotherapy 43 and chemotherapy with temozolomide that confers a median survival of about 15 months [2]. 44 Tumour-treating fields (TTFields) is the latest improvement in GBM treatment which uses a 45 non-invasive external device (Optune® transducer-array) to create a low intensity electric field 46 of intermediate frequency (~200kHz) which interferes with mitosis, hinders cell division and 47 consequently induces cell death in dividing cells [3]. The combination of TTFields plus 48 temozolomide after the standard chemoradiotherapy significantly increased the overall median 49 survival to 20.5 months, with better quality of life [3]. Other treatment options for GBM include 50 chemotherapy other than temozolomide, immunotherapy and therapies targeting specific 51 oncogenic pathways, for review see Seystahl et al [4]. All currently available treatments are 52 palliative, not curative [2, 3]. Therapeutic failure of GBM can be attributed to the sub-optimal 53 delivery of drugs and aberrant expression of multiple genes resulting in tumour heterogeneity, 54 aggressive infiltrative behaviour and treatment resistance of GBM cells. It is important to 55 identify multiple drug targets for developing effective therapies.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs or miRs) are natural RNA interference (RNAi) molecules produced by the cells (for Biogenesis and Functions of miRNAs, see **Box-1 and Figure-1**). MiRNAs are involved in GBM pathophysiology, tumor plasticity, and resistance to therapy, see **Figure 2** [5-7]. Differential expression of miRNAs is observed in the tumour mass versus control brain tissue between glioma subtypes [8, 9], and even between different cell subpopulations of the same tumour [7]. These differences in miRNA expression can be used as diagnostic and prognostic

62 biomarkers [7, 10]. The major therapeutic advantage of miRNAs arises from the fact that a single 63 miRNA can target multiple genes involved in distinct cellular functions. For example, increasing 64 the expression of a tumour-suppressor miRNA (ts-miRNA) like miR-128-3p [11-17] or miR-65 145-5p [18-23] in GBM can block cell proliferation, self-renewal, invasion, metastasis, 66 angiogenesis and drug resistance by selectively down-regulating the expression of multiple 67 genes, Figure-2. Similarly, repeated systemic treatment with miR-138 blocks multiple key 68 immune-checkpoints proteins in T-cells (CTLA-4, PD-1 and FoxP3), resulting in significant T-69 cell mediated tumour regression and increased survival in orthotropic brain-tumour model 70 expressing PD-L1 ligand [24]. Conversely, inhibiting the function or blocking the expression of 71 an oncogenic miRNA (oncomiR) in cancer cells can reactivate multiple tumour-suppressor genes 72 leading to tumour regression (e.g. miR-21-3p [25] or miR-21-5p [26]) or even to tumour 73 eradication, as in the case of miR-10b [27]. These examples illustrate that the targeting of 74 miRNAs in GBM either by inhibition, replacement or modulation of their activity is a promising 75 therapeutic approach [28, 29], Figure-2. Shea et al reviewed an extensive list of GBM relevant 76 miRNAs [30].

77 The miRNA database (miRBase, version 21) enlists 1881 precursors and 2588 mature human 78 miRNAs [31], of which several of them are identified preferentially as tumour-suppressor 79 miRNA (ts-miRs), as oncomiRs, or as both, depending on the cell type and function of miRNAs 80 in those cells [19, 32]. For example, Fareh et al showed forced expression of miR-302/367 81 cluster in GBM cells resulted in repression of self-renewal properties and in vivo inhibition of 82 tumour development [33]. Conversely, Guo et al reported that self-renewal and tumour-83 promoting properties were enhanced by the expression of miR-302/367 cluster in prostate cancer 84 cells [34, 35]. On the other hand, blocking oncogenic miR-21 expression in immune cells,

instead of in tumour cells, might block T-cell activation and the anti-tumour immune response
[36]. Hence, it is important to understand the holistic context of miRNA activity [32] and direct
the miRNA therapeutics towards the target cells to maximize therapeutic success.
Nanomedicines provides essential delivery systems to optimize the biodistribution and activity of
miRNA-modulating drugs [37, 38].

90 Here, we review an up-to-date understanding of miRNA biogenesis and functions (**Box-1 and** 91 **Figure-1**), role of miRNA in GBM and treatment resistance (**Figure-2**), various tools available 92 for modulating miRNA expression (**Figure-1**), emerging list of clinically promising RNA 93 delivery systems and how they can be adopted for brain-tumour treatment (**Figure-3**).

#### **Strategies to Modulate MicroRNAs** 95

96 MiRNA could be modulated either by affecting its biogenesis or mode of action, see **Figure-1b**. 97

#### 98 Intervening on microRNA biogenesis

99 Global reduced levels of mature miRNAs are a common feature of cancer, and is usually 100 associated with aggressive metastatic phenotypes, drug resistance and poor prognosis [61-63]. 101 Mutations in p53 are a common occurrence in cancer. Mutant-p53 sequesters cofactors of Drosha 102 (e.g. p68, p72) and interferes with the nuclear cleavage of certain pri-miRNAs, leading to 103 epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), cell migration and survival [63]. Hypoxia 104 downregulates the expression of both Drosha and Dicer and reduces miRNA biogenesis, 105 resulting in tumour progression and infiltration in ovarian and breast cancer [64]. Targeting 106 either Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1a) or combined blockade of transcription factors 107 Avian Erythroblastosis Virus E26 Oncogene Homolog-1 (ETS1) and ETS Transcription Factor 108 (ELK1) rescued miRNA biogenesis and reduced tumour growth in ovarian cancer [64].

109 *Exportin-5* (XPO5) acts as a tumour suppressor by mediating the nucleocytoplasmic export of 110 pre-miR and increasing the levels of mature miRNA in the cytoplasm [61]. Activation of 111 Extracellular Signal-Regulated Kinase 2 (ERK) in liver cancer reduces XPO5 activity, resulting 112 in tumour progression and drug resistance[62]. Inducing XPO5 expression by pharmacologically 113

blocking ERK restores sensitivity to chemotherapy and reduces tumour progression [61, 62].

114 Although restoring miRNA biogenesis in cancer is beneficial in many instances, abrogating 115 microRNA biogenesis may also have beneficial effects. For example, knockdown of argonaute-2 116 (AGO2) by siRNA in myeloid leukaemia induces cell apoptosis [65]. However, such treatments 117 may cause several side effects in clinical settings since they can affect the homeostasis of miRNA biogenesis in the entire body. Hence, miRNA-biogenesis blocking treatments should only be used if the if the treatment benefits out-weigh the risks. Conventional chemotherapy act by damaging the DNA, hence causing a permanent damage to the body. It is possible that these miRNA-biogenesis blocking drugs could be safer compared to conventional genotoxic drugs.

122 Similarly, drugs that selectively inhibit the biosynthesis of specific microRNAs can be used. For 123 example, a synthetic peptide blocks pre-miR-21 [66] and TargapremiR-210 blocks pre-miR-210 124 biogenesis [67], see **Figure 1**. Alternatively, chemicals that activate the expression of 125 microRNAs can also be of use. Phenformin, an anti-diabetic drug, increases the expression of 126 let-7, miR-124 and miR-137 resulting in the inhibition of GBM stemness and growth [68]. 127 Silibinin, a plant based flavonoid, treatment upregulated miR-494 in head and neck cancer, 128 which downregulated A Disintegrin And Metalloprotease Domain 10 (ADAM10) and B 129 Lymphoma Mo-MLV Insertion Region 1 Homolog (BMI1) resulting in inhibition of tumour 130 growth and self-renewal properties. Blocking the protease activity of ADAM10, an enzyme 131 responsible for Neuroligin-3 (NLGL3) secretion, potently inhibits in vivo glioma growth [69]. 132 Hence, silibinin may be useful in GBM treatment. Erismodegib, a phase III drug for 133 medulloblastoma, upregulates the tumour suppressor miR-128, upregulates miR-200 family 134 which suppresses epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), and suppresses the anti-apoptotic 135 miR-21 in glioma-initiating cells [70]. An epigenetic modifier drug decitabine (5-aza-2'-136 deoxycytidine) and a polyphenolic compound curcumin upregulate miR-145 expression [21, 71]. 137 Curcumin also blocks the expression of a long-non-coding RNA, LncRNA-ROR, a competitive 138 endogenous RNA (ceRNA) that blocks miR-145 activity [72]. Klinger et al points out the 139 importance and desperate need of clinical trials with curcumin for glioblastoma and other brain 140 tumours [73]. Taken together, some Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved small141 molecule drugs have the ability to control the expression of beneficial miRNAs. Small molecule 142 drugs usually have better absorption, stability and biodistribution compared to nucleic acid 143 therapeutics, hence preferably used for miRNA modulation.

- 144
- 145

### 146 Gene therapy

147 Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats/ CRISPR-associated 9 148 (CRISPR/Cas9) Genome editing enables permanent changes in specific locations on the 149 genome. Cas9 is a bacterial endonuclease guided by a dual-RNA system comprising a CRISPR 150 RNA (crRNA) and a trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA). Jinek et al engineered a single guide 151 RNA (sgRNA) to replace the dual RNA [74]. sgRNA/Cas9 nucleoprotein complex creates 152 double strand breaks (DSBs) in a specific genome location complimentary to sgRNA and a 153 "NGG" triple-nucleotide protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) [74]. These DSBs are fixed by one 154 of the two DNA repair mechanisms, error-prone non-homologues end-joining (NHEJ), or 155 template-mediated homology directed repair (HDR). NHEJ creates insertion/deletion mutations 156 (INDEL). Such mutations in the stemloop structure of a specific pri-miRNA disrupt its 157 biogenesis and this strategy is used for selective knock-out of onco-miRNAs [27, 75]. For 158 example, ablation of miR-10 expression in GBM caused the death of tumour cells but not of 159 normal cells [27]. Similarly, a missing gene (e.g. ts-miRNA) can be introduced by harnessing the 160 HDR mechanism and supplying a DNA template. However, CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene 161 insertion is relatively less common due to the challenges associated with the co-delivery of 162 DNA-template [76, 77]. The limitation of viral-vectors is the random gene insertion into the 163 genome. For *in vitro* applications, electroporation can be used for delivering Cas9 encoded

plasmid DNA and minimize the risk of gene insertion [77]. Hirosawa *et al* developed miR-Cas9ON and OFF systems for cell-type specific genome editing controlled by endogenous miRNA
levels [78]. This study also used Cas9 to cause several DSBs using a sgRNA targeting an
abundant repetitive DNA sequence found in the genome (*Arthrobacter luteus* restriction
endonuclease cleavable region, Alu1)[78].

169 To develop GBM treatments using genome editing tools, it would be desired to direct the Cas9-170 expression only in desired set of tissues (e.g. in cancer cells) when using viral or non-viral 171 vectors. As human miRNA expression profiles and miRNA promotors are mapped, it is possible 172 to engineer tissue-specific expression of Cas9-gene. For example, if Cas9 expression is not 173 required in a specific tissue type (e.g. normal brain cells), a miRNA that is abundantly expressed 174 in that tissue can be identified (e.g. miR-128, a brain enriched miRNA) and its miRNA-target 175 site can be introduced in the Cas9-gene. This strategy can be multiplexed for different tissue 176 types by introducing several miRNA target site [78]. Conversely, RNAi-Inducible Luciferase 177 Expression System (RILES) is a selective gene expression system responding to the presence of 178 specific miRNAs. This system uses a bacterial transcriptional repressor, Cysteine metabolism 179 repressor (CymR), to suppress a gene-of-interest under the control of an operator sequence. By 180 introducing a miRNA target sequence in the CymR-gene, CymR expression can be reduces in a 181 specific miRNA rich environment, which in-turn leads to the expression of the gene-of-182 interest[79]. Zika virus has shown glioblastoma stem-like cells (GSC)-specific replication and 183 oncolytic activity [80]. If the molecular mechanism behind selective viral replication in GSCs are 184 identified, those strategies also can be used for directing other viral vectors to selectively target 185 GBM cells. T-VISA-miR-34a plasmid system that overexpresses the encoded miRNA is also 186 modified to specifically express the miRNA only in the cells that express high levels of hTERT,

hence targeting cancer cells [81]. This system has a prolonged miRNA activity at the therapeutic
level, one week compared to 2 days for miRNA mimics approach [81]. MicroRNA-sponges are
artificial sequences encoded in a plasmid that potently inhibit miRNA function like the natural
ceRNAs [82].

191

### 192 Oligonucleotide Therapy

MiRNA replacement therapy aims at increasing the expression of a specific miRNA in a target cell. This can be achieved by introducing synthetic double stranded RNA molecules called miRNA mimics with identical sequence as natural miRNAs. Yu *et al* showed that free metabolically stabilized single-stranded-RNA (ssRNA) can function like ds-siRNA. When stereotactically injected into mouse brain ss-RNAs combined with AGO2 and inhibited target genes without any carrier system [83].

199 Conversely, anti-miRNA therapy aims to block the expression or inhibit the function of an 200 oncogenic miRNA (oncomiR). Anti-sense oligonucleotides (ASOs) are ssRNAs that binds to 201 mature miRNA and compromises its function [84]. ASOs are referred by different terminologies 202 such as antagomiRs, anti-miRs, anti-microRNA oligonucleotides (AMOs) or Locked Nucleic 203 Acids (LNA). Small RNA zippers are a new class of ssDNA-LNA designed to block a miRNA 204 by connecting the 3'-end sequences of a mature miRNA to the 5'-end sequences of the adjacent 205 miRNA molecule [85]. Most of the clinical trials with synthetic oligonucleotides (ODNs) are 206 conducted with naked RNA, i.e. without a carrier system. ODNs are chemically modified to 207 achieve desired properties for RNA-delivery to target cells [83, 86]. Most often, they contain a 208 mix of chemical modifications optimised for function [83, 86-88]. To be clinically active, these 209 ODNs must survive endo and exonucleases in the body, avoid immune activation, avoid

sequestration by the reticuloendothelial system, stay longer in circulation, access its target site (passive diffusion), be preferentially taken up by the target cells (active targeting), and access the cytoplasm (site of action) [86]. Additional requirements for effective delivery of miRNA-mimics includes successful RISC-loading, passenger strand separation, target interaction, translational suppression, and release [86].

215 Cancer cells can develop resistance to miRNA replacement therapy. The possible mechanisms 216 are discussed here. After being released, miRNA mimics might require RNA editing enzymes 217 like ADAR (Adenosine deaminase acting on RNA) and APOBEC1 (apolipoprotein B 218 mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like-1) to function like natural miRNAs [89]. 219 ADAR2 acts on dsRNA and mediates adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I) editing, which changes the 220 miRNA target specificity and is essential for stability and normal functions 221 of certain miRNAs [89]. ADAR2 is downregulated in GBM resulting in reduced conversion of 222 A-to-I editing of miRNA[89]. This problem can be overcome if the supplied miR-mimics already 223 contain inosine at appropriate positions. Some other problems associated with miRNA-resistance 224 includes the expression of competitive endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) [72] and competitive 225 endogenous RNA-binding proteins (ceRBPs) can bind to miRNA-target sites (MRE) preventing 226 access to RISC and hence block miR-mediated gene-silencing [90]. In a 3D in-vivo setting, gap-227 junction (connexin) mediated intracellular transport of miRNA [52] could dilute the miRNA 228 concentration in the target cells, but also could help to spread the gene-silencing activity to 229 neighbouring cells [52]. All these points must be considered to achieve successful miRNA 230 function. Chemical modifications are discussed in **Table 1** [84, 86-88, 91] and clinical trials are 231 discussed in **Box 1**.

Blood and extracellular matrix contain abundant extracellular miRNA stabilized by exosomes and argonaute protein complexes and they are biologically active after cellular entry [50, 51, 92] indicating that chemical modifications can be beneficial but not a requirement to achieve RNAi effects when combined with RNA-stabilizing nanocarriers derived from both natural and synthetic sources.

It is very difficult to understand what is being presented and discussed in this entire section. Itneeds to be heavily re-written.

239

### 240 Natural Nanomedicine

241 Exosomes and microvesicles are naturally secreted miRNA loaded vesicles that act as natural 242 delivery and signalling systems in cell to cell communication [93]. These vesicles can be charged with miRNA, either by transfecting large amounts of exogenous miRNA into the packaging cells 243 244 or by directly transfecting the exosomes by electroporation or chemical methods [93-95]. Cell 245 mediated miRNA delivery has been reported where mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) or 246 glioblastoma cancer cells were modified ex vivo to over-express certain tumour-suppressor 247 miRNAs. These modified cells produced exosomes loaded with the specific ts-miRNA. When 248 these cells were injected in the tumour vicinity, they secreted exosomes loaded with ts-miRNA 249 and inhibited tumour growth [33].

Argonaute-2 (AGO2) is a the major functional element of miRNA. The evidences showing that
AGO2 can be used for miRNA delivery is discussed in Box-2.

252

253 **Bio-mimetic Delivery Systems** 

Bacterial-mediated RNAi delivery (transkingdom RNAi) has also been explored. The microRNA
of interest can be expressed in bacteria through a plasmid encoding its hairpin loop structure as
described for *in vitro* siRNA delivery [97]. The virus-like particles (VLPs) via bacteriophage
MS2 can deliver a miRNA. MS2 VLPs are biocompatible, biodegradable, stable and the
synthesis is simple [98].

260

### 261 Synthetic Nanoparticles

*Spherical nucleic acids (SNA)* consist of a core gold nanoparticle (AuNP) with its surface densely packed with oligonucleotides attached via thiolate-Au interaction. The passenger strand bearing a thiol (SH) group can react with gold nanoparticles to deliver miRNA or siRNA. The guide strand can be separated from the passenger strand inside the cells by the RNAi machinery [99]. These nanoparticles show high transfection efficiency, low toxicity and ability to cross the blood brain barrier (BBB) without a targeting ligand [99].

*Cell penetrating peptides (CPP)* and other cationic peptides can be complexed with nucleic acids to form highly efficient delivery systems. Introducing a thiol group in the peptide helps to create a bioreducible polymeric peptide that can be specifically released in the intracellular environment and deliver both siRNA and plasmid DNA [100].

*A liposome* containing siRNA-CPP complexes and magnetic nanoparticles were used where magnetic field was used to concentrate the nanoparticles at the site tumour and later the release of siRNA-CPP was triggered using an electric field [101]. This strategy is interesting for selective release of RNAi molecules using external triggers. *Lipid nanocapsules (LNCs)* have an oily hydrophobic core stabilized by surfactants.
Oligonucleotides like LNAs can be delivered with a cationic peptide grafted on LNC's surface
[102].

279 *Polyethylenimine (PEI)* is a gold standard cationic polymer used in the nucleic acid delivery. Use 280 in the clinic is limited by toxicity [103]. Toxicity can be overcome by chemically modifying 281 more toxic primary and secondary amines into less toxic secondary and tertiary amines. High 282 molecular weight (HMW) and branched cationic polymers show high toxicity while the low 283 molecular weight (LMW) and linear polymers show poor nucleic acid binding and transfection 284 efficiency [103]. One way to overcome this is by using hybrid polymers, e.g. linear PEI-chitosan 285 hybrid nanoparticles show better transfection efficiency and improved safety profiles [103]. 286 Another way is by cross-linking LMW polymers with bioreducible disulphide linkages. For 287 example, thiol cross-linked LMW-PEI polyplexes conjugated with brain targeting rabies virus 288 glycoprotein (RVG) were useful for the delivery of miR-124a [104]. These nanoparticles 289 displayed low toxicity and brain targeting capabilities.

290 Endosomal escape and release from nanocarrier are two major factors that impact the efficiency 291 of synthetic nanocarriers. The polymeric delivery systems can destabilize the late endosomal 292 (LE) compartment by *proton-sponge effect* during its acidification (pH 5 - 6) [105]. Therefore, 293 endosomal escape of polymer-nucleic acid complex should accompany the escape of 294 oligonucleotides from the polymers for a functional activity. Similarly, the fate of  $\sim 70$  % of 295 siRNA delivered by lipid nanoparticles reach late endosomes (LE), packed into exosomes for 296 exocytosis [106] and the remaining would be degraded after fusion with lysosome [105, 107]. 297 Only a small fraction (1 - 2%) of the oligonucleotides reach the cytoplasm that account for the 298 functional activity. This cytoplasmic release was predicted to happen either by direct fusion of 299 liposomal delivery systems with cell membrane or destabilization of the endosomal bilayer 300 causing the oligonucleotides to leak out into the cytoplasm [107, 108]. Hence, strategies that 301 allow the intra-cellular release of oligonucleotides after internalization should be addressed more 302 seriously to achieve highly functional delivery systems [109].

303 This section needs to be heavily re-written for clarity and grammar.

304

### 305 Crossing the BBB and Locoregional Delivery

306 The blood brain barrier (BBB) prevents the access of drugs and nanoparticles from the blood 307 stream to the brain, and represents a major challenge to deliver therapeutic amounts of drugs to 308 intracranial tumours. Several strategies have been devised to overcome the BBB including 309 ligand-mediated transcytosis [110], temporary physical or chemical disruption [111], or 310 convection enhanced delivery [112]. Yu et al created an optimised bi-specific antibody targeting 311 human transferrin receptor that crossed the BBB in mice and monkeys, while also targeting an 312 intra-brain enzyme  $\beta$ -secretase [110]. Optimal affinity between ligand and receptor is an 313 important factor to increase brain uptake, as it prevents receptor degradation and allows multiple 314 rounds of transcytosis [110]. In clinical trials, Carpentier et al implanted an ultrasound (US) 315 transducer in the skull of GBM patients and achieved safe, reversible, loco-regional BBB 316 opening and observed no dose-limiting toxicities to increasing intensities of ultrasound [111]. 317 US-mediated BBB opening enabled the crossing of gadolinium contrast agent (1kDa) and 318 hydrophilic carboplatin (0.3kDa) [111]. As this study indicates that the intensity of ultrasound 319 can be safely increased further, this technique can also be used to facilitate brain entry of ASOs 320 (8kDa), miRNAs (~15kDa) or nanoparticles (>100kDa).

siR-LODER is a PLGA based biodegradable polymeric implant loaded with siRNA polyplexes
that releases siRNA-polyplexes in the local environment for extended period [2 – 5 months]
[113]. A phase I study reported good progress and Silenseed Ltd. is starting a Phase II clinical
trials with siG12D-LODER (siRNA against mutated KRAS oncogene) in combination with
gemcitabine for pancreatic cancer patients (NCT01676259). Such long-term release systems can
be very helpful for loco-regional delivery of RNAi molecules for GBM treatment.

327

### 328 Concluding Remarks

329 GBM patients have a median survival of less than two years due to the lacks effective curative 330 treatments. The importance of miRNA and its therapeutic benefits in GBM are increasingly 331 documented in pre-clinical studies. Further developments in this field can lead novel treatments 332 for GBM. MiRNA-modulating strategies can either act as stand-alone therapeutics or be used in 333 combination with conventional therapies as sensitizing agents. Many of these strategies use 334 plasmid DNA or oligonucleotides and delivering nucleic acids to cells is an important technical 335 problem. Simpler delivery strategies are more likely to enter clinical trials, hence naked RNA 336 delivery is attractive. Chemical modifications on RNAi molecules have improved in vivo 337 stability of naked RNA, however further improvements in cellular uptake and intracellular 338 release are needed to expand its applications beyond liver. Synthetic nanoparticles often show 339 promising results in *in vitro* and *in vivo*, but fail in human applications due issues with stability, 340 toxicity, targeting and efficacy. Use of patient derived exosomes might be more biocompatible 341 and safe in clinical applications. The potential of AGO2 to excel as a RNAi delivery system is 342 high (refs?) [114-116]. If argonaute-mediated miRNA delivery is properly explored, AGO2 can 343 be a breakthrough for RNAi, like CRISPR-Cas9 is for genome editing. AGO2 will not however solve the delivery concerns with ASOs, hence other strategies such as chemical modifications
and nanoparticle mediated RNA delivery are equally important. Such as?? Combining RNAi,
nanomedicine and locoregional delivery can result in effective cancer therapeutics for GBM.

#### 348 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Inca (Institut National du Cancer) through the PL-BIO 2014-2020 349 350 INCA project MARENGO - "MicroRNA agonist and antagonist Nanomedicines for 351 GliOblastoma treatment: from molecular programmation to preclinical validation". It is also related to the LabEx IRON "Innovative Radiopharmaceuticals in Oncology and Neurology" as 352 353 part of the french government "Investissements d'Avenir" program. The authors are also 354 thankful to the European support in the frame of the NanoFar consortium, an Erasmus Mundus 355 Joint Doctorate (EMJD) program in nanomedicine and pharmaceutical innovation. European 356 Commission (NanoFar EMJD), "Le Conseil Général de Maine-et-Loire" and Inca financially 357 supported SA, AG and CL, respectively.

#### 358 **Conflict of Interest**

359	The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
360	
361	
362	
363	
364	
365	
366	
367	

### 368 **References**

- Louis, D.N. et al. (2016) The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the
   Central Nervous System: a summary. Acta Neuropathol 131 (6), 803-20.
- 2. Stupp, R. et al. (2005) Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 352 (10), 987-96.
- 373 3. Stupp, R. et al. (2015) Maintenance Therapy With Tumor-Treating Fields Plus Temozolomide
- 374 vs Temozolomide Alone for Glioblastoma: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 314 (23), 2535-
- 375 43.
- 4. Seystahl, K. et al. (2016) Therapeutic options in recurrent glioblastoma--An update. Crit Rev
  Oncol Hematol 99, 389-408.
- 378 5. Zhao, J. (2016) Cancer stem cells and chemoresistance: The smartest survives the raid.
  379 Pharmacol Ther 160, 145-58.
- 6. Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144(5), 646-74.
- 382 7. Godlewski, J. et al. (2017) MicroRNA Signatures and Molecular Subtypes of Glioblastoma:
   383 The Role of Extracellular Transfer. Stem Cell Reports 8 (6), 1497-1505.
- 8. Lages, E. et al. (2011) MicroRNA and target protein patterns reveal physiopathological
  features of glioma subtypes. PLoS One 6 (5), e20600.
- 9. Piwecka, M. et al. (2015) Comprehensive analysis of microRNA expression profile in
  malignant glioma tissues. Mol Oncol 9 (7), 1324-40.
- 388 10. Ye, X. et al. (2017) Identification of microRNAs associated with glioma diagnosis and 389 prognosis. Oncotarget 8 (16), 26394-26403.
- 390 11. Shi, Z.-M. et al. (2012) MiR-128 inhibits tumor growth and angiogenesis by targeting
- 391 p70S6K1. PLoS One 7 (3), e32709.
- 12. Papagiannakopoulos, T. et al. (2012) Pro-neural miR-128 is a glioma tumor suppressor that
  targets mitogenic kinases. Oncogene 31 (15), 1884-1895.
- 394 13. Shan, Z.N. et al. (2016) miR128-1 inhibits the growth of glioblastoma multiforme and
  395 glioma stem-like cells via targeting BMI1 and E2F3. Oncotarget 7 (48), 78813-78826.
- 14. Rooj, A.K. et al. (2017) MicroRNA-Mediated Dynamic Bidirectional Shift between the
   Subclasses of Glioblastoma Stem-like Cells. Cell Rep 19 (10), 2026-2032.
- 15. Evangelisti, C. et al. (2009) MiR-128 up-regulation inhibits Reelin and DCX expression and
  reduces neuroblastoma cell motility and invasiveness. FASEB J. 23 (12), 4276-4287,
  10.1096/fi.09-134965.
- 401 16. Godlewski, J. et al. (2008) Targeting of the Bmi-1 Oncogene/Stem Cell Renewal Factor by
- 402 MicroRNA-128 Inhibits Glioma Proliferation and Self-Renewal. Cancer Res. 68 (22), 9125-403 9130.
- 404 17. (2014) MicroRNA 128a Increases Intracellular ROS Level by Targeting Bmi-1 and Inhibits
   405 Medulloblastoma Cancer Cell Growth by Promoting Senescence.
- 406 18. Alvarado, A.G. et al. (2016) Coordination of self-renewal in glioblastoma by integration of 407 adhesion and microRNA signaling. Neuro Oncol 18 (5), 656-66.
- 408 19. Cioce, M. et al. (2016) Mir 145/143: tumor suppressor, oncogenic microenvironmental factor 409 or ...both? Aging (Albany NY).
- 410 20. Li, C. et al. (2017) The lincRNA-ROR/miR-145 axis promotes invasion and metastasis in
- 411 hepatocellular carcinoma via induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition by targeting ZEB2.
- 412 Sci Rep 7 (1), 4637.

- 413 21. Zhu, X. et al. (2014) miR-145 sensitizes ovarian cancer cells to paclitaxel by targeting Sp1
- 414 and Cdk6. Int J Cancer 135 (6), 1286-96.
- 22. Zheng, H. et al. (2014) Fas signaling promotes chemoresistance in gastrointestinal cancer by 415 416 up-regulating P-glycoprotein. Oncotarget.
- 417 23. Xu, Q. et al. (2012) MiR-145 directly targets p70S6K1 in cancer cells to inhibit tumor 418 growth and angiogenesis. Nucleic Acids Res 40 (2), 761-74.
- 419 24. Wei, J. et al. (2016) MiR-138 exerts anti-glioma efficacy by targeting immune checkpoints. 420 Neuro Oncol 18 (5), 639-48.
- 421 25. Shang, C. et al. (2015) MiR-21 up-regulation mediates glioblastoma cancer stem cells 422 apoptosis and proliferation by targeting FASLG. Mol Biol Rep 42 (3), 721-7.
- 26. Ge, Y. et al. (2016) Strand-specific in vivo screen of cancer-associated miRNAs unveils a 423 424 role for miR-21(\*) in SCC progression. Nat Cell Biol 18 (1), 111-21.
- 425 27. El Fatimy, R. et al. (2017) Genome Editing Reveals Glioblastoma Addiction to MicroRNA-426 10b. Mol Ther 25 (2), 368-378.
- 427 28. Lee, R.C. et al. (1993) The C. elegans heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with 428 antisense complementarity to lin-14. Cell 75 (5), 843-54.
- 429 29. Lee, Y. et al. (2004) MicroRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. Embo j 23 430 (20), 4051-60.
- 431 30. Shea, A. et al. (2016) MicroRNAs in glioblastoma multiforme pathogenesis and therapeutics. 432 Cancer Med 5 (8), 1917-46.
- 433 31. Kozomara, A. and Griffiths-Jones, S. (2014) miRBase: annotating high confidence 434 microRNAs using deep sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 42 (Database issue), D68-73.
- 435 32. Svoronos, A.A. et al. (2016) OncomiR or Tumor Suppressor? The Duplicity of MicroRNAs
- 436 in Cancer. Cancer Res 76 (13), 3666-70.
- 437 33. Fareh, M. et al. (2017) Cell-based therapy using miR-302-367 expressing cells represses 438 glioblastoma growth. Cell Death Dis 8 (3), e2713.
- 439 34. Gao, Z. et al. (2015) The miR-302/367 cluster: a comprehensive update on its evolution and 440 functions. Open Biol 5 (12), 150138.
- 441 35. Guo, Y. et al. (2017) miR-302/367/LATS2/YAP pathway is essential for prostate tumor-442 propagating cells and promotes the development of castration resistance. Oncogene.
- 443 36. He, W. et al. (2017) MiR-21 is required for anti-tumor immune response in mice: an 444 implication for its bi-directional roles. Oncogene 36 (29), 4212-4223.
- 445 37. Nel, A.E. et al. (2009) Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio 446 interface. Nature Materials 8 (7), 543-557.
- 447 38. Peer, D. et al. (2007) Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. Nature 448 Nanotechnology 2 (12), 751-760.
- 449 39. Bartel, D.P. (2004) MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 116 450 (2), 281-97.
- 451 40. Finnegan, E.F. and Pasquinelli, A.E. (2013) MicroRNA biogenesis: regulating the regulators.
- 452 Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 48 (1), 51-68.
- 453 41. Han, J. et al. (2006) Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the 454 Drosha-DGCR8 complex. Cell 125 (5), 887-901.
- 455 42. Lund, E. et al. (2004) Nuclear export of microRNA precursors. Science 303 (5654), 95-8.
- 456 43. Wilson, R.C. et al. (2015) Dicer-TRBP complex formation ensures accurate mammalian 457
  - microRNA biogenesis. Mol Cell 57 (3), 397-407.

- 458 44. Frank, F. et al. (2010) Structural basis for 5'-nucleotide base-specific recognition of guide 459 RNA by human AGO2. Nature 465 (7299), 818-22.
- 460 45. Bartel, D.P. (2009) MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell 136 (2), 215--33.
- 462 46. Eichhorn, S.W. et al. (2014) mRNA destabilization is the dominant effect of mammalian 463 microRNAs by the time substantial repression ensues. Mol Cell 56 (1), 104-15.
- 464 47. Jonas, S. and Izaurralde, E. (2015) Towards a molecular understanding of microRNA-465 mediated gene silencing. Nat Rev Genet 16 (7), 421-33.
- 466 48. Weinmann, L. et al. (2009) Importin 8 is a gene silencing factor that targets argonaute 467 proteins to distinct mRNAs. Cell 136 (3), 496-507.
- 468 49. Nishi, K. et al. (2013) Human TNRC6A is an Argonaute-navigator protein for microRNA-469 mediated gene silencing in the nucleus. RNA 19 (1), 17-35.
- 470 50. Makarova, J.A. et al. (2016) Intracellular and extracellular microRNA: An update on 471 localization and biological role. Prog Histochem Cytochem 51 (3-4), 33-49.
- 472 51. Arroyo, J.D. et al. (2011) Argonaute2 complexes carry a population of circulating
  473 microRNAs independent of vesicles in human plasma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108 (12), 5003474 8.
- 475 52. Zong, L. et al. (2016) Gap junction mediated miRNA intercellular transfer and gene 476 regulation: A novel mechanism for intercellular genetic communication. Sci Rep 6, 19884.
- 477 53. Adlakha, Y.K. and Saini, N. (2014) Brain microRNAs and insights into biological functions
  478 and therapeutic potential of brain enriched miRNA-128. In Mol Cancer, p. 33.
- 479 54. Zhang, X. et al. (2017) Identification of miRNA-7 by genome-wide analysis as a critical
  480 sensitizer for TRAIL-induced apoptosis in glioblastoma cells. Nucleic Acids Res 45 (10), 5930481 5944.
- 482 55. Tian, R. et al. (2017) Differential expression of miR16 in glioblastoma and glioblastoma
- 483 stem cells: their correlation with proliferation, differentiation, metastasis and prognosis.484 Oncogene.
- 485 56. Guessous, F. et al. (2013) Oncogenic effects of miR-10b in glioblastoma stem cells. J
  486 Neurooncol 112 (2), 153-63.
- 487 57. Fabbri, M. et al. (2012) MicroRNAs bind to Toll-like receptors to induce prometastatic
  488 inflammatory response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 (31), E2110-6.
- 489 58. Hu, J. et al. (2016) MiR-215 Is Induced Post-transcriptionally via HIF-Drosha Complex and
- 490 Mediates Glioma-Initiating Cell Adaptation to Hypoxia by Targeting KDM1B. Cancer Cell 29491 (1), 49-60.
- 492 59. Mucaj, V. et al. (2015) MicroRNA-124 expression counteracts pro-survival stress responses
  493 in glioblastoma. Oncogene 34 (17), 2204-14.
- 494 60. Khalil, S. et al. (2016) miRNA array screening reveals cooperative MGMT-regulation 495 between miR-181d-5p and miR-409-3p in glioblastoma. Oncotarget 7 (19), 28195-206.
- 496 61. Li, Y. et al. (2016) Downregulation and tumor-suppressive role of XPO5 in hepatocellular 497 carcinoma. Mol Cell Biochem 415 (1-2), 197-205.
- 498 62. Sun, H.L. et al. (2016) ERK Activation Globally Downregulates miRNAs through 499 Phosphorylating Exportin-5. Cancer Cell 30 (5), 723-736.
- 500 63. Gurtner, A. et al. (2016) Dysregulation of microRNA biogenesis in cancer: the impact of
- 501 mutant p53 on Drosha complex activity. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 35, 45.
- 502 64. Rupaimoole, R. et al. (2014) Hypoxia-mediated downregulation of miRNA biogenesis 503 promotes tumour progression. Nat Commun 5, 5202.
  - 21

- 504 65. Naoghare, P.K. et al. (2011) Knock-down of argonaute 2 (AGO2) induces apoptosis in
- 505 myeloid leukaemia cells and inhibits siRNA-mediated silencing of transfected oncogenes in 506 HEK-293 cells. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 109 (4), 274-82.
- 507 66. Shortridge, M.D. et al. (2017) A Macrocyclic Peptide Ligand Binds the Oncogenic
- 508 MicroRNA-21 Precursor and Suppresses Dicer Processing. ACS Chem Biol 12 (6), 1611-1620.
- 509 67. Costales, M.G. et al. (2017) Small Molecule Inhibition of microRNA-210 Reprograms an
- 510 Oncogenic Hypoxic Circuit. J Am Chem Soc 139 (9), 3446-3455.
- 511 68. Jiang, W. et al. (2016) Repurposing phenformin for the targeting of glioma stem cells and the 512 treatment of glioblastoma. Oncotarget 7 (35), 56456-56470.
- 512 treatment of glioblastoma. Oncotarget 7(35), 56456-56470.
- 513 69. Venkatesh, H.S. et al. (2017) Targeting neuronal activity-regulated neuroligin-3 dependency 514 in high-grade glioma. Nature advance online publication.
- 515 70. Fu, J. et al. (2013) NPV-LDE-225 (Erismodegib) inhibits epithelial mesenchymal transition
- 516 and self-renewal of glioblastoma initiating cells by regulating miR-21, miR-128, and miR-200.
- 517 Neuro Oncol 15 (6), 691-706.
- 518 71. Zaman, M.S. et al. (2010) The functional significance of microRNA-145 in prostate cancer.
- 519 Br J Cancer 103 (2), 256-64.
- 520 72. Liu, T. et al. (2017) Curcumin suppresses proliferation and in vitro invasion of human 521 prostate cancer stem cells by ceRNA effect of miR-145 and lncRNA-ROR. Gene.
- 522 73. Klinger, N.V. and Mittal, S. (2016) Therapeutic Potential of Curcumin for the Treatment of
  523 Brain Tumors. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2016, 9324085.
- 524 74. Jinek, M. et al. (2012) A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive 525 bacterial immunity. Science 337 (6096), 816-21.
- 526 75. Chang, H. et al. (2016) CRISPR/cas9, a novel genomic tool to knock down microRNA in 527 vitro and in vivo. Sci Rep 6, 22312.
- 528 76. Chen, Z.H. et al. (2017) Targeting genomic rearrangements in tumor cells through Cas9-529 mediated insertion of a suicide gene. Nat Biotechnol 35 (6), 543-550.
- 530 77. Meca-Cortes, O. et al. (2017) CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Knockin Application in Cell Therapy:
- A Non-viral Procedure for Bystander Treatment of Glioma in Mice. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 8,395-403.
- 533 78. Hirosawa, M. et al. (2017) Cell-type-specific genome editing with a microRNA-responsive 534 CRISPR-Cas9 switch. Nucleic Acids Res 45 (13), e118.
- 535 79. Ezzine, S. et al. (2013) RILES, a novel method for temporal analysis of the in vivo regulation 536 of miRNA expression. Nucleic Acids Res 41 (20), e192.
- 80. Zhu, Z. et al. (2017) Zika virus has oncolytic activity against glioblastoma stem cells. J Exp
  Med.
- 81. Li, L. et al. (2012) Targeted expression of miR-34a using the T-VISA system suppresses
  breast cancer cell growth and invasion. Mol Ther 20 (12), 2326-34.
- 541 82. Ma, S. et al. (2017) Combination of AAV-TRAIL with miR-221-Zip Therapeutic Strategy
- 542 Overcomes the Resistance to TRAIL Induced Apoptosis in Liver Cancer. Theranostics 7 (13), 543 3228-3242.
- 544 83. Yu, D. et al. (2012) Single-stranded RNAs use RNAi to potently and allele-selectively inhibit 545 mutant huntingtin expression. Cell 150 (5), 895-908.
- 546 84. Ariyoshi, J. et al. (2015) Development of Novel Antisense Oligonucleotides for the
- 547 Functional Regulation of RNA-Induced Silencing Complex (RISC) by Promoting the Release of
- 548 microRNA from RISC. Bioconjug Chem 26 (12), 2454-60.

- 549 85. Meng, L. et al. (2017) Small RNA zippers lock miRNA molecules and block miRNA 550 function in mammalian cells. Nat Commun 8, 13964.
- 551 86. Khvorova, A. and Watts, J.K. (2017) The chemical evolution of oligonucleotide therapies of clinical utility. Nat Biotechnol 35 (3), 238-248.
- 553 87. Nikan, M. et al. (2016) Docosahexaenoic Acid Conjugation Enhances Distribution and
- 554 Safety of siRNA upon Local Administration in Mouse Brain. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 5 (8),
- 555 e344.
- 556 88. Elkayam, E. et al. (2017) siRNA carrying an (E)-vinylphosphonate moiety at the 5 end of the 557 guide strand augments gene silencing by enhanced binding to human Argonaute-2. Nucleic
- 558 Acids Res 45 (6), 3528-3536.
- 559 89. Paul, D. et al. (2017) A-to-I editing in human miRNAs is enriched in seed sequence, 560 influenced by sequence contexts and significantly hypoedited in glioblastoma multiforme. Sci
- 561 Rep 7 (1), 2466.
- 562 90. Degrauwe, N. et al. (2016) The RNA Binding Protein IMP2 Preserves Glioblastoma Stem 563 Cells by Preventing let-7 Target Gene Silencing. Cell Rep 15 (8), 1634-47.
- 564 91. Esposito, C.L. et al. (2016) A combined microRNA-based targeted therapeutic approach to 565 eradicate glioblastoma stem-like cells. J Control Release 238, 43-57.
- 566 92. Li, L. et al. (2012) Argonaute 2 complexes selectively protect the circulating microRNAs in 567 cell-secreted microvesicles. PLoS One 7 (10), e46957.
- 93. Wahlgren, J. et al. (2016) Delivery of Small Interfering RNAs to Cells via Exosomes.
  Methods Mol Biol 1364, 105-25.
- 570 94. Lunavat, T.R. et al. (2016) RNAi delivery by exosome-mimetic nanovesicles Implications 571 for targeting c-Myc in cancer. Biomaterials 102, 231-8.
- 572 95. Haraszti, R.A. et al. (2017) Loading of Extracellular Vesicles with Chemically Stabilized
- 573 Hydrophobic siRNAs for the Treatment of Disease in the Central Nervous System. Bio Protoc 7
- 574 (20).
- 575 96. Lee, H.K. et al. (2013) Mesenchymal stem cells deliver synthetic microRNA mimics to 576 glioma cells and glioma stem cells and inhibit their cell migration and self-renewal. Oncotarget 4 577 (2), 346-61.
- 578 97. Ahmed, O. et al. (2015) Delivery of siRNAs to cancer cells via bacteria. In Methods Mol 579 Biol (2014/10/17 edn), pp. 117-29.
- 580 98. Ashley, C.E. et al. (2011) Cell-specific delivery of diverse cargos by bacteriophage MS2 581 virus-like particles. ACS Nano 5 (7), 5729-45.
- 582 99. Kouri, F.M. et al. (2015) miR-182 integrates apoptosis, growth, and differentiation programs 583 in glioblastoma. Genes Dev 29 (7), 732-45.
- 584 100. Yoo, J. et al. (2017) Bioreducible branched poly(modified nona-arginine) cell-penetrating 585 peptide as a novel gene delivery platform. J Control Release 246, 142-154.
- 586 101. Yang, Y. et al. (2016) Thermal and magnetic dual-responsive liposomes with a cell-
- 587 penetrating peptide-siRNA conjugate for enhanced and targeted cancer therapy. Colloids Surf B
- 588 Biointerfaces 146, 607-15.
- 589 102. Griveau, A. et al. (2013) Silencing of miR-21 by locked nucleic acid-lipid nanocapsule 590 complexes sensitize human glioblastoma cells to radiation-induced cell death. Int J Pharm.
- 591 103. Tripathi, S.K. et al. (2012) Linear polyethylenimine-graft-chitosan copolymers as efficient
- 592 DNA/siRNA delivery vectors in vitro and in vivo. Nanomedicine 8 (3), 337-45.
- 593 104. Hwang do, W. et al. (2011) A brain-targeted rabies virus glycoprotein-disulfide linked PEI
- nanocarrier for delivery of neurogenic microRNA. Biomaterials 32 (21), 4968-75.

- 595 105. Gujrati, M. et al. (2016) Multifunctional pH-Sensitive Amino Lipids for siRNA Delivery.
- 596 Bioconjug Chem 27 (1), 19-35.
- 597 106. Sahay, G. et al. (2013) Efficiency of siRNA delivery by lipid nanoparticles is limited by 598 endocytic recycling. Nat Biotechnol 31 (7), 653-8.
- 599 107. Juliano, R.L. and Carver, K. (2015) Cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking of oligonucleotides. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 87, 35-45.
- 601 108. Gilleron, J. et al. (2013) Image-based analysis of lipid nanoparticle-mediated siRNA
- delivery, intracellular trafficking and endosomal escape. Nat Biotechnol 31 (7), 638-46.
- 603 109. Gilleron, J. et al. (2015) Identification of siRNA delivery enhancers by a chemical library
   604 screen. Nucleic Acids Research.
- 605 110. Yu, Y.J. et al. (2014) Therapeutic bispecific antibodies cross the blood-brain barrier in 606 nonhuman primates. Sci Transl Med 6 (261), 261ra154.
- 607 111. Carpentier, A. et al. (2016) Clinical trial of blood-brain barrier disruption by pulsed 608 ultrasound. Sci Transl Med 8 (343), 343re2.
- 609 112. Halle, B. et al. (2016) Convection-enhanced delivery of an anti-miR is well-tolerated,
- 610 preserves anti-miR stability and causes efficient target de-repression: a proof of concept. J 611 Neurooncol 126 (1), 47-55.
- 612 113. Zorde Khvalevsky, E. et al. (2013) Mutant KRAS is a druggable target for pancreatic
  613 cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110 (51), 20723-8.
- 614 114. Prud'homme, G.J. et al. (2016) Neuropilin-1 is a receptor for extracellular miRNA and
- 615 AGO2/miRNA complexes and mediates the internalization of miRNAs that modulate cell
- 616 function. Oncotarget 7 (42), 68057-68071.
- 617 115. Kang, T. et al. (2016) Synergistic targeting tenascin C and neuropilin-1 for specific
   618 penetration of nanoparticles for anti-glioblastoma treatment. Biomaterials 101, 60-75.
- 619 116. Ferreira, R. et al. (2014) Argonaute-2 promotes miR-18a entry in human brain endothelial
- 620 cells. J Am Heart Assoc 3 (3), e000968.
- 117. Bartel, D. (2004) MicroRNAsGenomics, Biogenesis, Mechanism, and Function. Cell 116(2), 281--297.
- 118. Toscano-Garibay, J.D. and Aquino-Jarquin, G. (2014) Transcriptional regulation
   mechanism mediated by miRNA-DNA\*DNA triplex structure stabilized by Argonaute. Biochim
   Biophys Acta 1839 (11), 1079-83.
- 119. Wang, Z. (2011) The principles of MiRNA-masking antisense oligonucleotides technology.
- 627 Methods Mol Biol 676, 43-9.
- 628 120. Weil, S. et al. (2017) Tumor microtubes convey resistance to surgical lesions and 629 chemotherapy in gliomas. Neuro Oncol 19 (10), 1316-1326.
- 630 121. Hong, X. et al. (2015) Gap junctions modulate glioma invasion by direct transfer of631 microRNA. Oncotarget 6 (17), 15566-77.
- 632 122. van der Ree, M.H. et al. (2016) Miravirsen dosing in chronic hepatitis C patients results in
- 633 decreased microRNA-122 levels without affecting other microRNAs in plasma. Aliment 634 Pharmacol Ther 43 (1), 102-13.
- 635 123. Van Der Ree, M. et al. LO7 : A single subcutaneous dose of 2mg/kg or 4mg/kg of RG-101,
- 636 a GalNAc-conjugated oligonucleotide with antagonist activity against MIR-122, results in
- 637 significant viral load reductions in chronic hepatitis C patients. Journal of Hepatology 62, S261.
- 638 124. Grimm, D. (2011) The dose can make the poison: lessons learned from adverse in vivo639 toxicities caused by RNAi overexpression. Silence 2, 8.
- 640

### 641 Legend - Figure 1: Biogenesis and Modulating Strategies of miRNAs

642 (a) Canonical Biogenesis of miRNA: (adapted and modified with permission [117]) MiRNA 643 genes are transcribed by RNA polymerases in the nucleus forming large primary-miRNA (> 500 644 bases) which harbours one or more stem-loop structures [29]. The RNA-binding protein (Di 645 George syndrome Critical Region gene 8, DGCR8/Pasha) and a RNAse III endonuclease 646 (Drosha) recognizes the stemloop structures and releases the stem-loop Precursor miRNA (PremiRNA, ~70 nucleotides) [41]. Pre-miRNA is then transported out of the nucleus through 647 648 nuclear pore complexes (NPC) by binding to Exportin-5 (XPO5) and Ran-GTPase [42]. The pre-649 miRNA has two arms (5' and 3') each might encode an active miRNA sequence named as miR-650 X-5p (Red strand) or miR-X-3p (Black strand) respectively. Once in the cytoplasm, the pre-651 miRNA loads into a pre-RISC (RNA induced silencing complex) consisting of Dicer, TAR RNA binding protein (TRBP), one of the argonautes (AGO1 - AGO4) and chaperones (Heat Shock 652 653 Proteins, HSP70/HSP90) [39, 40, 43]. Dicer cleaves the stem-loop structure creating a ~23bp 654 miRNA duplex which loads into an argonaute (AGO) [39, 40, 43]. Either miR-5p or miR-3p 655 strand loads into the AGO [26, 44]. Binding of seed sequence (2 - 7) nucleotides at the 5'-end of 656 the miRNA, highlighted in green) to the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of the target mRNA 657 results in the inhibition of protein synthesis either by messenger RNA (mRNA) destabilization 658 (>75%) and translational repression [46, 47].

(b) Other functions of miRNA and RISC: RISC complex also exerts nuclear functions by
shuttling between the cytoplasm and the nucleus via Exportin 1 and Importin 8 [48, 49, 118],
and possibly also exerts mitochondrial functions [50]. MicroRNA can be secreted from the cell,
either in extracellular vesicles or bound to AGO2 [7, 50, 51], and function as endocrine
signalling molecules.

- (c) MicroRNA Modulation Strategies: Genome editing [27, 75]; Small Molecule miRNA
  Inhibitors (e.g. TargapremiR) [67]; MicroRNA mimics and ss-siRNA [83, 86]; Antisense
  Oligonucleotides (ASO) [85]; MicroRNA Sponges (Gene Therapy) [82]; MicroRNA Masks
  [119].
- 668 (d) Can recombinant-AGO2 with targeting ligands acts as a RNAi delivery system?

669 Legend - Figure 2: Role of miRNA in the Hallmarks of Cancer and Treatment Resistance

670 (a) Role of miRNA in Treatment Resistance: Standard glioblastoma treatment involves radio 671 and chemotherapy which damage DNA and create double strand breaks (DSBs). Various 672 resistance mechanisms lead to treatment failure: (1) Efflux pumps reduce intracellular drug 673 concentration [22]; (2) Detoxifying enzymes inactivate the drug [5]; (3) DNA repair reverses 674 lethal DSBs and DNA damages; MGMT is a DNA repair enzyme targeted by miR-181d and miR-409 [60]; (4, 5) Damaged DNA induces cell death signals and stop cell cycle progression. 675 676 For detailed list of resistance genes and mechanisms, see review [5]; (6) Tumour-microtubes and 677 connexin mediated invasion through exchange of resistance factors (e.g. miRNAs) helps cancer 678 cells to adapt to genotoxic treatments and surgery [120, 121].

(b) Role of miRNA in other cancer functions: (1) Role of ts-miRNAs (miR-128 [11-17], miR145 [18-23], miR-7 [54], miR-16 [55]) and oncomiRs (miR-21-5p [25] and miR-21-3p [26]) in
controlling other hallmarks of cancer. (2) Exosomal miRNAs (especially miR-21 and miR-29a)
can directly bind to Toll-like receptors (TLR7 and TLR8) in the endosomal compartment of
macrophages resulting in pro-metastatic inflammation [57];

(c) Intrinsic and Extrinsic Regulation of miRNA function: (1) Intracellular competitive
endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) and competitive endogenous RNA binding proteins (ceRBPs) can
inhibit ts-miRNA [72, 90]. Lnc-RNA-ROR, a ceRNA inhibiting miR-145 is presented as an
example. Curcumin inhibits Lnc-RNA-ROR and hence restoring miR-145 function; (2) Small
molecule drugs controlling the expression of ts-miRNAs and oncomiRs [21, 67, 68, 70, 72];

(d) Role of Argonaute-miRNA complex: Vascular endothelial cells express neurophilin1
(NRP1) which acts a receptor for AGO2-miRNA complexes [114-116]. Functional significance
of AGO2-miRNA complexes in GBM is yet unknown.

692 (e) Legend explaining the symbols.

693 Abbreviations: EGFR (Epidermal growth factor receptor); PDGFR (Platelet-derived growth 694 factor receptor); BMI1 (B Lymphoma Mo-MLV Insertion Region 1 Homolog); E2F3 (E2F 695 Transcription Factor 3); DCX (Doublecortex), RELN (Reelin); OCT4 (Octamer-Binding 696 Transcription Factor 3); SOX2 [SRY (Sex-Determining Region Y)-Box 2]; KLF4 (Kruppel-Like 697 Factor 4); JAM-A (a junction adhesion molecule), ZEB2 (Zinc Finger E-Box Binding 698 Homeobox 2), ABCB1 (ATP Binding Cassette Subfamily B Member 1), HIF-a (hypoxia-699 inducible factor 1); TRAIL (TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand); XIAP (X-linked inhibitor 700 of apoptosis protein); BCL2 (B-Cell CLL/Lymphoma 2), CDK6 (Cyclin-dependent kinase-6); 701 PHACTR4 (Phosphatase and actin regulator 4); FASLG (FAS ligand); TLR7 and TLR8 (Toll-702 like receptor 7 and 8); KDM1B (Lysine Demethylase 1B); Cx43 (Connexin 43); GAP43 703 (Growth Associated Protein 43).

704

### 706 Legend - Figure-3: RNA nanomedicine based strategies for GBM treatment

Solid GBM tumours are facing two main situations, unresectable tumour and the resection cavity. Two major modalities of treatment using innovative nanomedicines may impact the modulation of miRNA contingents and thus GBM outcome: (a) loco-regional treatments and (b) systemic delivery.

(a) For loco-regional treatment, although intratumoural stereotaxic infusion (a1) is explored,
intrathecal, intranasal and CSF delivery can also be used for infusion of natural (a2) or synthetic
nanomedicine (a3).

(a2) Natural Delivery Systems: Argonaute-2 (AGO-2)-miRNA complex and exosomes are
 presented as examples. Pros: Biocompatible and safe. Cons: Delivery and targeting efficiency
 needs further improvement.

717 (a3) Synthetic Delivery Systems: Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) have a metallic core. The RNA 718 is usually loaded on the surface using strong thiol-gold interaction (S-Au); Pros: Facile synthesis 719 and biocompatible. Cons: Require chemical modification as the RNA are exposed to the surface 720 [99]; Lipid nanocapsules (LNCs) have an oily hydrophobic core. LNC's surface can be modified 721 with cationic polymers or peptides which be used for RNA binding. Alternatively, lipoplexes 722 (cationic lipid+RNA complexes) can be prepared and embedded into its core; *Pros*: High cellular 723 uptake. Cons: Low RNA loading capacity and toxicity from surfactants. Chemical conjugates are 724 chemically modified naked RNA linked to a ligand to facilitate cellular uptake and increase 725 delivery efficacy; Pros: Simple design. Cons: Optimization needed to reach organs other than 726 liver. Liposomes can hold the RNA in its shell or in the aqueous core; *Pros*: High cellular 727 uptake. Cons: Low endosomal escape. Cationic polymers condense the nucleic acid by electrostatic interaction to form nanoparticles. *Pros:* Can load very high quantities of RNA per
nanoparticle due to ionic condensation. *Cons:* Toxicity and release of RNA from the polymer
after cellular entry is not clearly understood. The surface of synthetic and natural nanoparticles
can be easily engineered with polyethylene glycol (PEG) and desired ligand.

(a4) Modalities of administration: As in the case of delivery of radiopharmaceticals {Vanpouille-Box, 2011 #5048}, it is important to reach optimal therapeutic index and to define therapeutic time windows where the treatment is more efficient. Drug distribution and clearance information help to decide dose fractionation and schedule of administration of miRNAnanomedicine {Ezzine, 2013 #567}. The volume of distribution would depend on the mode of administration (Bolus or CED). (Part of the image adapted from Servier Medical Art, available under creative commons attributions 3.0).

(a5) Alternatively, long term release implant might be used for sustained release like siRLODER[113].

741 (b) Systemic delivery and crossing the blood-brain barrier (BBB): The brain endothelium 742 with tight junctions and the surrounding supporting cells form a selective barrier isolating most 743 of the blood components from accessing the delicate brain tissue. Ultrasound transducers placed 744 inside the cranium can reversibly disrupt the BBB causing the leakage of blood components into 745 the brain tissue [111]. This strategy can be used for facilitating the entry of intravenously 746 injected nanoparticles into the brain tissue. (b2) Nanoparticles conjugated with anti-transferrin 747 antibodies can enter the brain tissue by transcytosis through the endothelial cells [110]. Pink dots 748 represent nanoparticles without any ligand on its surface, while the Green dots represent 749 transferrin-conjugated nanoparticles.

### 751 Box 1: Biogenesis and functions of miRNAs

752 The biogenesis and functions of human miRNAs are represented in Figure 1 [39, 40]. MiRNA 753 genes are transcribed mainly from the nuclear genome (Primary-miRNA transcript, pri-miR) 754 [29], processed and transported out of the nucleus (Stem-loop structured Precursor-miRNA, pre-755 miR) [41, 42], trimmed in the cytoplasm (Duplex miRNA, miR-5p/miR-3p) [43] and loaded onto 756 argonaute proteins to form the RISC complex (single-stranded Mature miRNA). Either or both 757 miRNA-strands can be loaded onto individual RISC complexes [26, 44]. MiRNA-guided RISC 758 binds to target messenger-RNAs (primarily in the 3'-untranslated region, at specific sites called 759 miRNA recognition elements (MREs)) and blocks protein expression by mRNA destabilization 760 (~80%) and repressing translation (~20%) [45-47]. The RISC complex also exerts miRNA-761 mediated gene-silencing in the nucleus [48, 49] and mitochondria [50]. MiRNAs can also 762 function like endocrine or paracrine signalling molecules as they can be transported to adjacent 763 cells via connexins [52] or secreted from the cell, either in extracellular vesicles (EVs) or bound 764 to argonaute proteins (e.g. AGO2) [7, 50, 51].

### 766 Box 2: Argonaute as a miRNA Delivery System

767 Extracellular microRNAs are extremely stable and abundantly found in all body fluids, either 768 bound to argonaute proteins (especially AGO2) or encapsulated in extracellular vesicles (EV) 769 [50, 51, 92]. Also, AGO2 stabilizes many of the miRNAs in exosomes [92]. EV-associated 770 circulating miRNA and its role in cell-to-cell communication is increasingly becoming evident 771 while the functions of AGO2-associated miRNAs are explored very less. Ferreira et al showed that AGO2 increased miRNA uptake in selective human endothelial cells (EC), derived from 772 cerebral arteriovenous malformation (AVM), normal and glioma endothelium, without the need 773 774 of any transfection agent [116]. AGO2 also protected miRNAs from degradation after cellular 775 entry and they were functionally active under both *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* conditions [116]. In an 776 intracranial glioma model, intravenously injected AGO2-miR-18a complexes inhibited 777 angiogenesis by specific internalization by brain endothelium [116]. The specificity of AGO2-778 miR uptake strongly indicates the involvement of receptor mediated endocytosis. Prud'homme et 779 al showed that neuropilin-1 (NRP1) acts as a receptor mediating translocation of free miRNAs 780 and productive uptake of AGO2-miRNA complexes [114]. NRP1 is highly expressed in vascular 781 endothelial cells, GBM, and other cancer cells which makes them ideal targets for AGO2-782 mediated miRNA delivery and might explain the results from Ferreira et al [114-116]. The 783 AGO2-mediated RNAi delivery can be expanded to other tissues by attaching ligands on its 784 surface or producing recombinant AGO2-ligand fusion proteins, see Figure 1. Also, AVM-EC 785 can be used for AGO-miRNA delivery as it is known to secrete AGO2. Unlike other delivery 786 systems where the guide strand must be loaded into endogenous AGO to be functional, AGO2-787 RNAi would be functional once reaching the cytoplasm. Pre-loading exogenous AGO would 788 minimize the toxicity associated with overloading and sequestering of the endogenous RNAi

machinery by exogenous RNAi molecules [124]. Also, loading AGO2 with a mature guide strand eliminates the non-specific effects associated with the passenger strand. AGO2 protects the RNA from degradation, hence no chemical modifications are necessary; AGO2 is conserved across all humans and hence the native form of recombinant-AGO2 is probably safe for systemic delivery. Exploring the endocytosis and endosomal-release pathways of AGO2-miRNA complexes are needed. With proper exploration, AGO2 can become the solution for RNAi delivery as it has the potential to overcome several barriers.

796

### 798 Table-1 Clinical Trials with AMOs and MicroRNA mimics

799 Miravirsen was the first AMO in human clinical trials. Miravirsen development was 800 discontinued due to poor performance in liver, attributed to the lack of uptake enhancers like 801 targeting ligands or nanocarriers [122]. RG-101, the next generation GalNAc conjugated 802 antagomiR against miR-122 is under phase II clinical trial conducted by Regulus Therapeutics 803 (EudraCT Number: 2015-001535-21). The targeted RG-101 has shown significant reduction in 804 the viral load with a single subcutaneous injection at 2 and 4 mg/kg of dosing [123]. These 805 clinical trials emphasise the importance of cellular uptake enhancers is required for the activity 806 of ODNs in vivo. MRX34 by Mirna Therapeutics is the only miRNA therapeutic in clinical trials 807 and uses SMARTICLE® lipid based formulation. Phase I clinical trial (NCT01829971) 808 evaluating maximum tolerated dose was completed. The clinical trial with MRX34 and all other 809 pipeline R&D programmes were voluntarily discontinued by the Mirna Therapeutics due to 810 serious immune related adverse events. This clinical study emphasises on the safety of RNA-811 delivery systems is paramount for achieving success in clinic.

812

### 814 **Table – 1 MiRNA and GBM**

815 MiR-128 is highly expressed in normal brain tissue, but down-regulated in GBM [53]. There 816 exists an inverse correlation between miR-128 expression and grade of glioma [11]. MiR-128 817 reduces tumour growth (EGFR, PDGFR [12]), stemness (BMI1 and E2F3 [13, 14]), invasion 818 (DCX, RELN [15]), induces apoptosis [16] and senescence [17]. miR-128 was expressed at low 819 levels in proneural-GSCs (Glioma Stem-like Cancer cells) and no expression in more aggressive 820 mesenchymal-GSCs [14]. However, overexpressing miR-128 inhibited tumour growth in all 821 molecular-subtypes of GSCs [14]. miR-145 is implicated in the reduction of cancer stemness and 822 invasion abilities by controlling several genes involved in self-renewal (OCT4, SOX2, 823 NANOG), a junction adhesion molecule (JAM-A) which has the potential to rescue stemness by 824 the activation of pAKT [18] and EMT (e.g. ZEB2) [19, 20]. It also targets efflux pump, ABCB1 825 (or P-gp) [22], cell cycle regulators CDK6 and SP1 thereby involved in chemo-sensitization [21]. 826 Both miR-128 [11] and miR-145 [23] targets p7086 kinase1, an important down-stream effector 827 of PI3k/ AKT/ mTOR pathway, highly expressed in glioma and promotes angiogenesis by 828 activates hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF- $\alpha$ ) [11]. MiRNA-7 sensitized GBM to TRAIL-829 mediated apoptosis (TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand) by directly downregulating XIAP 830 (X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein) [54]. MiR-16 inhibited tumour proliferation and 831 invasion by targeting multiple genes BCL2, CDK6 (Cyclin-dependent kinase-6), cyclin D1, 832 cyclin E1 and SOX5 at protein level, however seed base-pairing with isolated target sites yielded 833 only faint but statistically significant downregulation [55]. Similarly, overexpression of 834 oncomiR-10b did not show any downregulation of predicted targeted genes even at protein-level, 835 however, the therapeutic impact was evident upon blocking its expression [56]. Abolishing miR-836 10 expression by genome engineering did not affect normal brain cells while eradicating tumour

837 [27]. MiR-21-5p is widely studied oncomiR, while relatively less expressed miR-21-3p 838 oncogenic role was recently identified [26]. MiR-21-3p targets PHACTR4 (Phosphatase and 839 actin regulator 4) resulting in rapid cell proliferation and oncogenesis; This study indicates the 840 importance of studying the miRNA functions without strand-bias [26]. MiR-21-5p decreases 841 apoptosis by targeting FASLG (FAS ligand) [25]. miRNA-21 and miR-29a loaded exosomes are 842 released by tumours cells which activates Toll-like receptors (TLR7 and TLR8) in macrophages 843 causing inflammation and promoting tumour proliferation and metastasis [57]. miR-215 targets 844 epigenetic regulator KDM1B (Lysine Demethylase 1B) and provides GBM growth adaptation 845 under hypoxic condition [58], while reintroducing hypoxia suppressed miR-124 induces cell 846 death by suppressing TEAD1 (Transcriptional Enhancer Factor 1), MAPK14/p38α and SERP1 847 (Stress-associated Endoplasmic Reticulum Protein 1) [59].

849	Trend	ds Box
850 851	•	miRNAs are involved in GBM development and resistance to conventional
852		therapies.
853	•	MiRNA based drugs and nanomedicine can revolutionize GBM treatment.
854	•	Pioneering clinical trials on miRNA inhibitors and miRNA-mimics show the need
855		for optimization of delivery systems.
856	•	AGO2 is emerging as a RNAi carrier and can become an important tool for RNAi
857		delivery.
858	•	Initial success in PLGA-based long-term siRNA delivery systems in solid tumors
859		provides new hope for developing miRNA-based locoregional treatments for
860		GBM.

863	Outstanding Questions Box				
864 865 866 867	•	What is are the most appropriate microRNA networks and pathways to target in glioblastoma?			
868 869 870	•	Can miRNA targeting solve radioresistance and occurrence of the the yet inevitable recurrence?			
871 872 873	٠	How to get successful spatial and temporal delivery of miRNA antagonists or agonist into glioblastoma cells?			
874 875	٠	How can we achieve long-term delivery of microRNA in the brain?			
876 877 878	•	Can therapeutic weapons dedicated to miRNAs greatly benefit from innovative nanomedicines? Can argonaute become a solution for RNAi delivery?			





### Fig 2 - Role of miRNA in the Hallmarks of Cancer and Treatment Resistance



Table 1 Important Chemical modifications

Location	Examples of Chemical Modification	References
5'-end	(E)-vinylphosphonate	[87]
3'-end	Aptamer conjugates	[90]
3'-end	hydrophobic moieties	[86]
backbone	phosphorothioate (PS)	[86]
backbone	peptide nucleic acids (PNAs)	[85]
backbone	morpholino	[85]
ribose sugar	2'-O-Methyl	[86]
ribose sugar	2'-O-Fluoro	[86]
ribose sugar	2'-O, 4'-C methylene bridged locked nucleic acids (LNA)	[85]
ribose sugar	2'-O, 4'-C-ethylene-bridged nucleic acids (ENA)	[83]

### Trends Box (900 characters, including spaces, required)

- miRNAs are involved in GBM development and resistance to conventional therapies.
- MiRNA based drugs and nanomedicine can revolutionize GBM treatment.
- Pioneering clinical trials on miRNA inhibitors and miRNA-mimics show the need for optimization of delivery systems.
- AGO2 is emerging as a RNAi carrier and can become an important tool for RNAi delivery.
- Initial success in PLGA-based long-term siRNA delivery systems in solid tumors provides new hope for developing miRNA-based locoregional treatments for GBM.

## **Outstanding Questions Box**

- What is are the most appropriate microRNA networks and pathways to target in glioblastoma?
- Can miRNA targeting solve radioresistance and occurrence of the the yet inevitable recurrence?
- How to get successful spatial and temporal delivery of miRNA antagonists or agonist into glioblastoma cells?
- How can we achieve long-term delivery of microRNA in the brain?
- Can therapeutic weapons dedicated to miRNAs greatly benefit from innovative nanomedicines? Can argonaute become a solution for RNAi delivery?

Interactive Questions new

Click here to access/download Interactive Questions InteracQ.pdf Glossary revised

Click here to access/download Author Supplementary Material Glossary revised.docx