

Dynamic remodeling of the dynamin helix during membrane constriction

Adai Colom, Lorena Redondo-Morata, Nicolas Chiaruttini, Aurelien Roux, Simon Scheuring

To cite this version:

Adai Colom, Lorena Redondo-Morata, Nicolas Chiaruttini, Aurelien Roux, Simon Scheuring. Dynamic remodeling of the dynamin helix during membrane constriction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2017, 114 (21), pp.5449 - 5454. 10.1073/pnas.1619578114. $inserm-01653772$

HAL Id: inserm-01653772 <https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01653772v1>

Submitted on 1 Dec 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 24 dynamin, endocytosis, GTPase, high-speed atomic force microscopy, membrane fission,
- 25 membrane trafficking

26 **Abstract:**

27 Dynamin is a dimeric GTPase that assembles into a helix around the neck of 28 endocytic buds. Upon GTP-hydrolysis, dynamin breaks these necks (1), a reaction called 29 membrane fission. Fission requires dynamin to first constrict the membrane (2-4). It is 30 unclear however, how dynamin helix constriction works. Here we undertook a direct 31 high-speed atomic force microscopy imaging analysis to visualize the constriction of 32 single dynamin-coated membrane tubules. We show GTP-induced dynamic 33 rearrangements of the dynamin helix-turns: the average distances between turns and 34 between dimers along the polymer reduce with GTP-hydrolysis. However, these 35 distances vary over time, as helical turns were observed to transiently pair and 36 dissociate. At fission sites, these cycles of association and dissociation were correlated 37 with relative displacement of the turns and constriction. Our findings support a model in 38 which conformational changes at the dimer level drive relative sliding of helical turns, 39 and constriction by torsion.

40

41 **Significance Statement**

42 The GTPase dynamin catalyzes membrane fission and is essential in endocytosis and 43 other events such as organelle division. Dynamin is a unique molecular motor with 44 torsional and contractile abilities. Because these abilities involve a conformational 45 change at the whole polymer level, standard structural biology tools have not been able 46 to fully unravel the mechanism by which it constricts and twists. Here, we used high-47 speed atomic force microscopy to image the constriction and fission of dynamin-coated 48 tubules with sub-nanometer and sub-second resolution. Our results provide important 49 findings to establish the contribution of the various constriction mechanisms.

50

51 \body

52 **Results:**

53 In absence of nucleotides, the molecular structure of the dynamin-1 dimer (5, 6) and 54 dynamin-3 tetramer (7) revealed that dynamins are composed of a rigid stalk, 55 connecting the membrane-binding Pleckstrin Homology (PH) domain to the GTPase 56 domain (see **Fig. 1a**). In the dimer, the stalks form a cross, with the GTPase domains on 57 one side, and the PH domains on the other. This architecture allows membrane binding 58 through a specific interaction between the PH domains and 59 phosphoinositide(4,5)bisphosphate (PIP₂). The GTPase domain is connected to the stalk 60 via a flexible hinge called BSE (Bundle Signaling Element) (8, 9). The crystal structures 61 also provided evidence how molecular interactions between dimers lead to the 62 formation of a helical polymer (5-7). In particular, in the tetrameric form (7), GTPase 63 domains from two contiguous dynamin dimers are closely apposed, forming a structural 64 dimer identifiable in the helical structure (see blue-orange dimer in **Fig. 1a**). In the

65 following, we will call this dimer "helical dimer", as it is not equivalent to the 66 biochemically stable dimer (**see Fig. 1a**).

67 Also, helical dimers from adjacent turns in the helix are closely apposed, suggesting that 68 they may participate in molecular links between turns (see **Fig. 1a**). Cryo-EM 3D-69 reconstructions of dynamin helices were compatible with these models (10), even 70 though the number of dimers per helical turn varied from 14 to 18 (7). Cryo-EM 71 reconstructions of the dynamin helix in the presence of GMP-PCP, a non-hydrolysable 72 GTP-analog, revealed a more constricted state with 13 to 15 dimers per turn (10-12). 73 Altogether, X-ray and cryo-EM studies have shown that dynamin dimers undergo a 74 conformational change leading to the constriction of the membrane tubule beneath (4, 75 10-13). Among these reconstructions the internal organization of dynamin domains is 76 dramatically different: each dimer undergoes a slight rotation along the axis 77 perpendicular to the membrane (called the "cork-screw" model in (12)), and a change of 78 the GTPase domain positions (see **Fig. 1a**, conformational change in the "helix 79 compaction" model). Such conformational change leads to a compaction of the polymer 80 that could constrict the membrane (12)(see **Fig. 1a**, helix compaction). In this model, the 81 relative position of helical dimers in adjacent turns does not change during constriction 82 (see blue-yellow dimers in **Fig. 1a**), and molecular links between adjacent turns are 83 conserved.

84 However, a super-constricted state was recently obtained with GTP and the GTPase-85 reduced mutant dynamin K44A (4). This structure had 11 dimers per turn, suggesting 86 that relative sliding of adjacent turns occurred during constriction. If the helix constricts 87 by torsion, the relative positions of helical dimers in adjacent turns change dramatically 88 upon constriction (see **Fig. 1a**, helix torsion). Supporting this model, optical microscopy 89 of long dynamin-coated membrane tubules showed twisting upon GTP-hydrolysis, 90 suggesting that constriction was accompanied by torsion of the entire helix (14, 15).

91 In the torsion model however, transient breakage of molecular links between helix 92 turns is required to allow for sliding and torsion. GTPase domains, which participate in 93 molecular links between adjacent turns, would thus have to undergo cycles of 94 dissociation/association coupled to their GTPase cycle. Crystal structures are 95 compatible with this hypothesis: no links between GTPase domains are found in absence 96 of nucleotide (5-7). But a truncated dynamin has been crystalized with GDP·AlF₄-(8), a 97 nucleotide mimicking the hydrolytic state of GTP in dynamin, and in this state, GTPase 98 domains interact strongly (termed 'G-G link' in the following). Also the BSE moves by an 99 angle of approximately 70° relative to the nucleotide-free structure, suggesting that the 100 GTPase domains generate a powerstroke driving turn sliding and torsion upon GTP-101 hydrolysis (13).

102 While torsion and compaction are not exclusive, as both mechanisms could occur at 103 the same time in constriction, we ought to test the torsion model by visualizing the 104 global conformational changes of single dynamin-coated membrane tubules with 105 molecular and sub-second resolutions: we adapted *in vitro* reconstitution assays for 106 high-speed atomic force microscopy (HS-AFM (16)) that has recently proven powerful 107 for the study of membrane remodeling proteins on mica-supported bilayers (17). We 108 found that the adhesion of the proteins to the mica could impair the dynamin helix 109 conformational change. To overcome this technical limitation, we coated the mica with 110 biotin-lipid bilayers and attached partially (10%) biotinylated dynamin tubules via 111 streptavidin. We reasoned that one tenth of the dynamins being biotinylated, we would 112 have in average about one functionalized dynamin per helix turn, and hence anchorage 113 of the tubules to the support about every ten turns. This strategy generates links strong 114 enough to avoid displacement of the tubules during HS-AFM scanning, but spreads 115 attachment points far enough for providing motional freedom (18) and allowing to 116 observe conformational changes of the dynamin-helix (**see Methods for details**).

117 First experiments were performed with 100% di-oleyl-phosphatidylserine (DOPS) 118 liposomes (2) mixed with ΔPRD (deleted-Proline-Rich-domain) human dynamin-1 119 expressed and purified from bacteria (5). 100% DOPS was used as it favored the 120 formation of long dynamin-coated tubules in electron microscopy assays (2, 3). We 121 confirmed that ΔPRD-dynamin bound to DOPS liposomes and deformed them into long 122 membrane tubules decorated by a dynamin helix (**Fig. 1b-c**), as previously observed (2, 123 19). ΔPRD-dynamin was used instead of full-length dynamin because absence of the 124 flexible PRD-domain resulted in better resolution in HS-AFM images (see **Fig. S1a,b**). 125 The average thickness of these tubules was 63.0 ± 10.4 nm ($N = 28$) (mean \pm SD, as 126 throughout the article, unless noted) and the pitch of the striations was 19.2 ± 3.6 nm 127 (*N* = 141 turns on 4 tubules, see **Fig. 1c**). We measured similar values in EM images 128 (diameter: 59.0 ± 4.5 nm; *N* = 26, pitch: 15.0 ± 4.5 nm, *N* = 38 tubules), consistent with 129 previous reports (2). It is noteworthy that HS-AFM contours only the protein surface, 130 which in the case of dynamin is composed of helical dimers. Whenever we refer in the 131 following to dimers, we examine the structure and position of these surface exposed 132 domains – helical dimers – and cannot provide information about intramolecular 133 conformational changes.

134 We then added 10μl of a 10mM GTP-solution to the 90μl fluid chamber volume, 135 resulting in 1.1mM GTP. Right after GTP-addition, we often observed tubules 136 constriction (see **Fig. 1b-d, Movie S1**, **Fig. S1c-h**). Constriction, however, appeared very 137 inhomogeneous, with some parts remaining unconstricted and others narrowed. This 138 constriction was not due to forces applied by the AFM tip onto the tubule, as the same 139 constriction was visible on other tubules when the field of observation was widened 140 (compare **Fig. 1b** with **Fig. 1d, Fig. S1c** with **S1e, Fig. S1g** with **S1h**). As well, the 141 constriction was also observed in the more physiological conditions in which full-length 142 dynamin was used to generate tubules out of liposomes formed of brain extract lipids 143 supplemented with 15% PIP2 (**Fig. S1i**). The dynamin-coat remained mostly attached, 144 and striations were visible during the constriction of the tubules, even though the 145 regularity of the pattern was strongly affected (**Fig. 1c, Fig. S1d, f**). At some of the most 146 constricted locations, the tubule was virtually invisible, suggesting that fission may have 147 occurred at these sites (**Fig. 1c and Fig. S1,** orange arrowheads).

148 In order to achieve higher temporal resolution imaging of the constriction, we 149 acquired HS-AFM movies at 0.96s/frame (**Fig. 2a, Movie S2**) and 1.5s/frame (**Fig. 2b,** 150 **Movie S3**). When GTP was added to the observation chamber, a slow constriction of the

151 dynamin-coated tubules was observed (see **Fig. 2a-c, Fig. 2f-g, Fig. S2a-c**). Such 152 continuous constriction of tubules was never observed in the absence of GTP (**Fig. 2h)** 153 or after addition of GDP·AlF₄⁻ (Fig. S3a-c). A minor, homogenous constriction was 154 observed in the presence GMP-PCP (**Fig. S3d-h**), consistent with the cryo-EM data that 155 showed a more constricted state when ΔPRD-dynamin was loaded with GMP-PCP (10, 156 11).

157 Upon GTP-addition, the initial tubule thickness of 60-70 nm reduced to 20-30 nm in 158 the most constricted sites (**Fig. 2f-g, Fig. S2a-c**). As compared to previous estimates of 159 the constriction dynamics of 0.5-1 s (15), the slow constriction dynamics observed in 160 these movies is most probably due to friction with the surface and steric hindrance 161 caused by the streptavidin/biotin bonds, as some tubules showed faster constriction 162 upon a single GTP-addition (e.g. **Fig. 1c**). However, these movies have lower resolution, 163 which suggests that these tubules have fewer bonds with the surface, being freer to 164 move. But the continuous, slow constriction observed (**Fig. 2a-b, Movies S2-3**) argues 165 for an active process triggered by multiple cycles of GTP-hydrolysis, rather than an 166 abrupt, single-event conformational change.

167 After the slow progressive constriction of the entire tubule, a more rapid reduction 168 of the tubule thickness at the most constricted locations was observed (see between 169 time 40 and 50 min in **Fig. 2f**). However, the HS-AFM tip still recorded a height of about 170 18-23 nm in these locations (**Fig. 2g**). This could be explained by highly curved but not 171 broken membrane tubules, or remains of the dynamin coat attached to streptavidin onto 172 the supported bilayer after fission. The height of the dynamin/streptavidin/lipid 173 complex generated for anchoring the tubules to the mica surface is in the range of 15- 174 20 nm (**Fig. S4**). We thus concluded that fission had occurred and that the remaining 175 measured height corresponded to remnants of the dynamin coat at the fission site still 176 linked to the supported bilayer on the mica.

177 Interestingly, in some constricted parts of the tubule, the resolution was high enough to 178 resolve the helical turns of dynamin (**Fig. 2d, Fig. S2d; Fig. S2e**). Our observations show 179 that fission occurred where the helical turns are the most constricted (**Fig. 2d, Fig. S2d**). 180 Due to limitations of how far the tip can penetrate between dynamin turns, it is not 181 detectable in these images whether partial disassembly occurred at the fission site or 182 not. It is however clear that highly constricted turns are in close vicinity to the fission 183 site, and that the depth within the fission site is significantly deeper than the one of the 184 surrounding constricted turns (**Fig. 2d,** end of kymograph). Thus, fission clearly 185 occurred where the curvature gradient along the tubule axis was highest, as previously 186 proposed (20).

187 During constriction of the tubule, the helical pattern remained visible most of the time 188 (**Fig. 2d, Fig. S2d**). Interestingly, some of the helical turns moved apart, some seemed to 189 collapse into a single turn and/or split upon GTP addition, which we interpret as pairing 190 and dissociation of neighboring turns (arrows in **Fig. 2a and d**, see also **Fig. 4a**). 191 Moreover, the 'intensity', i.e. the height of the turns, greatly varied with time, as 192 expected during constriction. On the contrary, neither lateral rearrangements nor height 193 variations were observed in the absence of GTP (**Fig. 2e**), nor in the presence of GMP-

194 **PCP** or GDP \cdot AlF₄ \cdot . Importantly, these variations and rearrangements are unrelated to 195 fluxes occurring in the chamber upon GTP addition, as they did not occur upon buffer 196 addition. We quantified these rearrangements: On average, the helix pitch reduced from 197 19.2 ± 3.6 nm (*N* = 141) to 15.2 ± 4.9 nm (*N* = 38) (**Fig. 2i**), consistent with the helix 198 height profile showing closer turns (**Fig. 2j**), yet the standard deviation, *i.e.* the 199 variability of the pitch, increased. Along with this helix shortening, we observed a 200 significant change of turn lateral thickness (see blue arrows in **Fig. 2d**). This could be 201 due to turn pairing, as described above, or to a change of the angles between turns and 202 the tubule axis: from a sharp distribution around 90° -95 $^{\circ}$ in absence of GTP, the angles 203 spread from 50° to 105° with GTP (**Fig. 2k**). This change of orientation was highly 204 dynamic (**Fig. 2a,** orange arrow**, Fig. S2e**). Altogether, our results show that GTP-205 hydrolysis changes a rather regular helix into a highly dynamic and variable structure 206 on the way to fission, a behavior that could not be pictured by the previous static, 207 averaged structures of crystallographic and cryo-EM data.

208 The resolution of the images on DOPS tubules was however insufficient to visualize 209 the details of the helical reorganization process at the single protein level, most 210 probably because DOPS tubules have a low rigidity limiting HS-AFM resolution (16, 21). 211 To improve HS-AFM contouring and thus the resolution of the images, we opted for the 212 use of rigid lipid nanorods formed by the spontaneous assembly of galactocerebrosides 213 (22, 23). Galactocerebrosides were supplemented with 5% PIP₂ to mediate dynamin 214 binding to the nanorods (22). Nanorods are rigid and cannot be constricted by dynamin. 215 Indeed, once assembled onto these templates in absence of GTP (**Movie S4**), 216 substructures of the helix were resolved (**Fig. 3a**): the pitch of the helix was 217 15.4 ± 2.9 nm (**Fig. 3b**) similar to previous estimates (2, 3, 10, 22), a bit shorter than on 218 the DOPS tubules (**Fig. 2i**). Along the helical path, we observed rigid bodies (**Figs. 3a** and 219 **4a**) that we interpreted as helical dimers, spaced by 12.7 ± 2.3 nm. Moreover, molecular 220 links bridging adjacent turns at the position of each rigid body, of the helix were clearly 221 resolved (**Fig. 3a,** arrow). We interpreted these links as G-G links, between adjacent 222 helical dimers (8).

223 We then added GTP to these dynamin-coated nanorods during HS-AFM imaging. We 224 never observed constriction, however, strong modifications of the dynamin helix 225 occurred. On average, the pitch of the helix shortened by about 30% upon GTP-addition 226 (**Fig. 3b**). But the well-preserved periodicity of the helix in absence of GTP, was lost 227 upon GTP-addition: in some cases, we observed a shortening of the peak-to-peak 228 distance in the helix height profile (**Fig. 3c, Movie S5**) similar to what was seen on DOPS 229 tubules (**Fig. 2i**). In other cases, height profiles showed increasing distances between 230 peaks after GTP-addition (**Fig. 3d, Movie S6**), consistently with a previous report that 231 the helix pitch was larger after GTP-hydrolysis on nanorods (22). To explain this 232 variability within our observations, we checked by negative stain EM how dynamin-233 coated nanorods behaved upon GTP-treatment. As previously reported (22), we 234 observed helices with increased pitch distance (**Fig. S5a,b**), but we also found 235 compacted helices with a shorter pitch (**Fig. S5c**), consistent with the pitch reduction 236 observed by HS-AFM (**Fig. 3b, c**).

237 Altogether, these observations show strong dynamics of dynamin helical turns during 238 GTP-hydrolysis. Indeed, we were able to observe adjacent turns undergoing dynamic 239 cycles of association/dissociation in presence of GTP (**Fig. 4a**), but we cannot provide 240 statistics whether more than two neighboring turns can be clustered by such pairing**.** 241 These observations are consistent with the pairwise collapse and separation of helical 242 turns observed on DOPS tubules (**Fig. 2d**). This dynamical breathing of the dynamin 243 helix turns suggests that the G-G-links can be either tighter, causing the apparent pairing 244 of two adjacent turns, or looser, causing turns to separate, in the presence of GTP 245 (**Fig. 4a,** white arrows)**.** However, we cannot exclude that these cycles of 246 association/dissociation are not random collisions, as we could not observe molecular 247 links between helical dimers in all experiments.

248 Our results on the dynamic changes observed in the pitch and angle of helical turns 249 show that the constriction observed on membrane tubules is correlated with processive 250 cycles of helical turns pairing and separating, probably consecutive to conformational 251 changes at the level of each dimer. Importantly, the dynamical breathing of dynamin 252 turns described above is an essential postulate of the torsion model (see **Fig. 1a**): this 253 model implies that dynamins in neighboring turns must dissociate to allow constriction, 254 slide and reassociate to perform constriction.

255

256 However, we also noticed that the distances between helical dimers along the helical 257 path reduced upon GTP-addition (**Fig. S5d**). The distribution of these distances changed 258 from a single peak distribution centered on 12-14 nm in absence of GTP to a 259 heterogeneous distance distribution with two apparent peaks in presence of GTP, one 260 around 6-10 nm and the other remaining at 12-14 nm (**Fig. S5d**). This change of 261 distances could be the result of GTPase domains powerstroke upon GTP hydrolysis, as in 262 the torsion model GTPases domains have been proposed to slide turns relatively 263 through a myosin-like mechanism (24). But this change of distances could also be the 264 result of a helix compaction following a cork-screw intramolecular conformational 265 change (see **Fig. 1a** and (12)). We thus looked for further evidence of relative 266 displacement of adjacent helical turns.

267 As nanorods do not allow constriction, we looked for evidences of turn relative 268 displacement on DOPS tubules. Although DOPS tubules are softer than nanorods, 269 occasionally helical dimers were visible (**Fig. 2b**, fission point 1 (F.P.1), at higher 270 magnification in **Fig. 4b**) in particular when the tube was already highly constricted and 271 therefore probably more rigid. Close to fission sites, the evenly spaced helical dimers 272 moved with respect to each other in adjacent turns (**Fig. 4b**): While the topographic 273 heights – interpreted as helical dimers – are basically aligned facing each other at 274 t=0min03s (**Fig. 4b**, red and blue outlines), the same are later (t=156s) in a clearly non-275 aligned zig-zag arrangement. Also, the profiles show that these lateral movements are 276 associated with a reduction of the height of the turns, and thus with constriction 277 (**Fig. 4b,** profiles). These results evidence the relative displacement of helical dimers 278 from neighboring turns, but does not clearly show relative sliding of turns over a 279 distance larger than the size of a helical dimer.

280 To provide further evidence for this lateral sliding, we undertook another approach: 281 We ought to visualize dynamin oligomer displacements on the surface of a supported 282 lipid bilayer. We noticed however that Δ PRD-dynamin hardly formed oligomers at the 283 surface of mica-supported bilayers (**Fig. S6a**), most probably, because the substrate is 284 too rigid to allow for a minimal bending of the membrane required for dynamin 285 oligomerization. To overcome this problem, we thought of using two stacked 286 membranes instead of one, expecting the top membrane to be more deformable. The 287 first layer was generated by vesicle-fusion of positively charged GUVs (containing 288 DOTAP) onto the mica, onto which we added negatively charged GUVs (containing 289 DOPS). As expected, ΔPRD-dynamin bound specifically to negatively charged lipids 290 (**Fig. S6b**). Indeed, negative stain EM of these dynamin-coated surfaces showed a 291 striking assembly of short disordered dynamin oligomers (**Fig. 4d**). GTP-treatment 292 produced an increase of the fluorescence signal in absence of soluble dynamin (**Fig. S6b**, 293 panel +GTP). This increased fluorescence signal could be related to clustering of short 294 oligomers. In agreement, EM showed aggregation of oligomers after GTP-addition 295 (**Fig. 4c**, panel +GTP). Further support for this came from AFM nanomechanical 296 measurements (**Fig. S6c and e**), that showed an increased rigidity from 297 59 MPa ±18 MPa to 130 MPa ± 43 MPa of the dynamin-coated membrane after GTP-298 addition, consistent with the formation of clustered dynamin structures on the 299 membrane.

300 We then studied the dynamics of dynamin oligomers on these double-stacked 301 supported bilayers upon GTP-addition using HS-AFM (**Fig. S6d,e**). Even though we could 302 not resolved single dynamin oligomers, we observed displacements of elongated 303 structures from large protein domains (**Fig. 4d, Fig. S7a,b, Movies S7,8**). We 304 interpreted these movements as resulting from the sliding of the short dynamin 305 oligomers relative to each other. The movements of the dynamin chains could change 306 direction over time (**Fig. 4d**, kymograph). These observations are in further support that 307 GTP may lead to relative lateral displacement between adjacent dynamin oligomers.

308 Our study shows that GTP-hydrolysis induces striking changes in the helical 309 structure of assembled dynamin: First, adjacent helix turns can transiently dissociate 310 and reassociate, probably through transient unbinding of G-G links. Second, the helix 311 constricts concomitantly with these molecular rearrangements. However, this 312 constriction is not homogeneous, which may be linked to the difficulty of propagating 313 the constriction along the length of long helices (15). Third, fission occurs where 314 constriction is the strongest, consistent with previous findings (20). Also, we did not 315 observe any detectable disassembly of the dynamin coat upon GTP-hydrolysis, which 316 may question that disassembly is an important step of the fission reaction (24). Our 317 results are thus in support of a model where the GTPase domains transiently interact to 318 induce a powerstroke upon GTP-hydrolysis, driving turn sliding and constriction 319 through torsion (25, 26). However, our study of the dynamics of the topographic surface 320 of dynamin tubules does not provide any information about internal rearrangements of 321 the dynamin coat, leaving entirely open the possibility that this torsion is accompanied 322 by a compaction of the polymer.

323 **Materials and methods:**

324 **Lipid suspensions**

325 All lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, the galactocerebrosides from Sigma.

326

327 **Large Unilamellar Vesicles (LUVs).** Vesicles were prepared using 100% 1,2-dioleoyl-328 *sn*-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine (DOPS) or DOPS:1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3- 329 phosphoethanolamine-N-(cap biotinyl) (Biotinyl Cap PE) 90:10, mol:mol, mixture or 1,2- 330 dipalmitoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC):Biotinyl Cap PE 90:10, mol:mol, 331 mixture. Lipids dissolved in chloroform were dried under N_2 flux, followed by 30' 332 incubation in a vacuum oven at 30°C or 2h in a desiccator. Hereafter, lipids were fully 333 rehydrated with GTPase buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂ at pH 7.4) for 334 10 min at RT, obtaining a 2.5 mg/ml lipid solution. Finally, the lipid suspension was 335 vortexed for 10 s and freeze-thawed three times in liquid nitrogen and a water bath, 336 respectively.

337

338 **Nanorods.** The lipid composition of the nanorods is: galactocerebrosides:L-α-339 phosphatidylcholine (Egg PC):L-α-phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 340 (PI(4,5)P2):cholesterol:Biotinyl Cap PE 40:40:10:9:1 mole ratios. The nanorod lipid mix 341 was dried under N₂ flux, followed by 30 min under vacuum at 30° C (Thermo Scientific 342 Heraeus) or 2h in a desiccator to allow completely solvent evaporation. After, GTPase 343 buffer was added to rehydrate the lipids for 10 min at RT to a final concentration of 344 2.5mg/ml. The mixture was vortexed for 10 s and sonicated for 10 min in a bath 345 sonicator. In the end, a tip sonicator (Active Motif) was applied to the solution during 2 s 346 at 60W and 20kHz.

347

348 **Giant Unilamellar Vesicles (GUVs).** Giant Unilamellar Vesicles (GUVs) were composed 349 of 100% DOPS or 100% 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (DOTAP). GUVs 350 were prepared by electroformation. Briefly, 20 µL of 1 mg/mL lipid solution were 351 deposited on two indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass slides (70-100 Ω surface 352 resistivity, from Sigma) and vacuum dried. $A \sim 1$ mm thick 0-ring was used as spacer to 353 form a chamber between the two ITO slides, which was filled with 200 mM sucrose and 354 exposed to 1V AC-current with a 10 Hz sinusoidal wave for 1 h. The resulting GUVs 355 suspension was carefully harvested and used within the same day.

356 **Dynamin-coated lipids preparation**. For the lipid tubulation with dynamin, 5 µl of 357 LUVs suspension were mixed with 2 µl (0.9 mg/ml) of ΔPRD-dynamin (containing 10% 358 of biotinylated-ΔPRD-dynamin) and 10 µl of GTPase buffer during 30 min at RT. For the 359 dynamin-coated nanorods, 5µl of nanorods suspension were used for the reaction 360 instead.

361 **Supported lipid bilayers.** For mica-Supported Lipid Bilayers (SLBs), LUVs composed of 362 DPPC:Biotinyl Cap PE 90:10, mol:mol, were deposited onto freshly cleaved mica, 363 incubated for 15 min and rinsed thoroughly with GTPase buffer. For the formation of 364 two-stacked SLBs, the sample was prepared by first depositing DOTAP GUVs on a freshly 365 cleaved mica disk. After the Supported Lipid Bilayer was formed, the sample was 366 carefully rinsed with GTPase buffer. Then, DOPS GUVs were deposited, forming a double 367 bilayer, the closest to the mica being the DOTAP bilayer and the farthest the DOPS 368 bilayer. After rinsing with GTPase buffer, ΔPRD-dynamin was added to give a final 369 concentration of 0.22 mg/ml, incubated for 30 min and then rinsed again with GTPase 370 buffer before imaging.

371 **High-Speed atomic force microscopy (HS-AFM) images.** A HS-AFM SS-NEX (RIBM, 372 Japan) (27) setup was equipped with short (7µm long and 2µm large) cantilevers with 373 nominal spring constant of 150 pN nm⁻¹, resonance frequency of about 600 kHz and a 374 quality factor $Q = 1.5$ in liquid (Nanoworld), was used for movie acquisition. The 375 microscope was operated in amplitude modulation mode, where the cantilever oscillates 376 at a frequency close to its resonance frequency. The phase-shift in the oscillation of the 377 cantilever is used to create the phase images, which provide information about the 378 viscoelastic properties of the material. Herein, both topographic and phase images are 379 reported. Either bare mica or mica covered by DPPC:Biotinyl CAP PE, 9:1, mol:mol, SLBs 380 were used as support, in the latest followed by the addition of $0.1 \mu M$ streptavidin. 381 Streptavidin was incubated for 5 min and rinsed 10 times with GTPase buffer. Finally, 382 the dynamin-DOPS tubule sample was added and incubated for 30 min at RT. During 383 imaging, GTP, GDP·AlF₄- or GMP-PCP solutions were added directly to the HS-AFM fluid 384 cell, if indicated. HS-AFM movies were analyzed in ImageJ, self-written analysis routines 385 and WSxM 5.0 software (Nanotec (28)).

386 **Quantitative nanomechanical mapping**. The AFM fluid cell contained 100µL of GTP 387 buffer. After imaging in the absence of GTP, GTP solution was added through the inlet of 388 the AFM fluid cell to give a final concentration of ~ 2.5 mM.

- 389 Nanomechanical measurements were performed on a Nanoscope-V AFM (Bruker, Santa 390 Barbara, CA, USA) equipped with Nanoscope-8 control software, in Peak-Force 391 Ouantitative-Nanomechanics (PF-AFM) mode. We used $Si₃N₄$ cantilevers with a nominal spring constant of 150 pN nm⁻¹ and silicon tips with a nominal radius of 2 nm (MSNL, 393 Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA, USA). The actual spring constant of the cantilever was 394 determined using the thermal fluctuation method (29). Images were obtained at a 395 resolution of 512 by 512 pixels at a line scan rate of 1 Hz. In PF-AFM, the sample support 396 is oscillated at a constant rate (2 kHz) and amplitude (15 nm). Monitoring the cantilever 397 deflection in each oscillation cycle allows to obtain a force-distance curve on each pixel 398 of the image. The approach trace was used to control the maximum force applied 399 (~300 pN). The retract trace was used to determine the Young's modulus by fitting the 400 Hertz model of a spherical tip of radius R indenting an elastic half-space:
- 401 $F = \frac{4E}{3(1-v^2)} \sqrt{R} \delta^{3/2}$, (equation 1)

402 where *F* is the force applied, ν is the Poisson ratio (assumed 0.5 as for a perfectly elastic 403 uncompressed material) and δ the indentation. The tip radius was assumed 2 nm, its 404 nominal radius. To avoid contributions from long-range electrostatic forces and short405 range van der Waals interactions, the Hertz model fit was restricted to a range between 406 30% and 90% of the maximum F. Image and data processing was performed using 407 Gwyddion 2.38 open software (gwyddion.net).

408 **Acknowledgements:**

409 The authors would like to thanks Oliver Daumke, Pierre-Emmanuel Milhiet, Peter 410 Hinterdorfer for their appreciable comments on the manuscript. The Scheuring group 411 acknowledges funding support from: ANR grants, ANR-Nano ANR-12-BS10-009-01 and 412 ANR-BBMS ANR-12-BSV8-0006-01, and a European Research Council (ERC) starting 413 (consolidator) grant #310080-MEM-STRUCT-AFM. The Roux group acknowledges 414 funding support from: Human Frontier Science Program (HFSP), Young Investigator 415 Grant #RGY0076-2008: the European Research Council (ERC), starting (consolidator) 416 grant #311536-MEMFIS: the Swiss National Fund for Research, grants 417 #131003A_130520 and #131003A_149975.

418

419 **References:**

- 420 1. Ferguson SM & De Camilli P (2012) Dynamin, a membrane-remodelling GTPase. 421 *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 13(2):75-88.
- 422 2. Sweitzer SM & Hinshaw JE (1998) Dynamin undergoes a GTP-dependent 423 conformational change causing vesiculation. *Cell* 93(6):1021-1029.
- 424 3. Danino D, Moon KH, & Hinshaw JE (2004) Rapid constriction of lipid bilayers by 425 the mechanochemical enzyme dynamin. *J Struct Biol* 147(3):259-267.
- 426 4. Sundborger AC*, et al.* (2014) A dynamin mutant defines a superconstricted 427 prefission state. *Cell reports* 8(3):734-742.
- 428 5. Faelber K*, et al.* (2011) Crystal structure of nucleotide-free dynamin. *Nature* 429 477(7366):556-560.
- 430 6. Ford MG, Jenni S, & Nunnari J (2011) The crystal structure of dynamin. *Nature* 431 477(7366):561-566.
- 432 7. Reubold TF*, et al.* (2015) Crystal structure of the dynamin tetramer. *Nature* 433 525(7569):404-8.
- 434 8. Chappie JS, Acharya S, Leonard M, Schmid SL, & Dyda F (2010) G domain 435 dimerization controls dynamin's assembly-stimulated GTPase activity. *Nature* 436 465(7297):435-440.
- 437 9. Gao S*, et al.* (2010) Structural basis of oligomerization in the stalk region of 438 dynamin-like MxA. *Nature* 465(7297):502-506.
- 439 10. Chen Y, Zhang P, Egelman E, & Hinshaw JE (2004) The stalk region of dynamin 440 drives the constriction of dynamin tubes. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* v11(n6):574-575.
- 441 11. Zhang P & Hinshaw JE (2001) Three-dimensional reconstruction of dynamin in 442 the constricted state. *Nat Cell Biol* 3(10):922-926.
- 443 12. Mears JA, Ray P, & Hinshaw JE (2007) A corkscrew model for dynamin 444 constriction. *Structure* 15(10):1190-1202.
- 445 13. Chappie JS*, et al.* (2011) A pseudoatomic model of the dynamin polymer 446 identifies a hydrolysis-dependent powerstroke. *Cell* 147(1):209-222.
- 447 14. Roux A, Uyhazi K, Frost A, & De Camilli P (2006) GTP-dependent twisting of 448 dynamin implicates constriction and tension in membrane fission. *Nature* 449 441(7092):528-531.

484 **Figures legends:**

485 **Fig. 1) HS-AFM imaging of dynamin-coated tubules. a)** Two proposed models of 486 dynamin constriction. Top left: basic structural features of the dynamin dimer and of the 487 tetramer: blue and orange GTPase domains from 2 adjacent dynamin dimers form the 488 "helical dimer", a visible unit in the helical form. Bottom left: helix assembly of the 489 dimers. Bottom right: compaction model (schematic but not precise representation of 490 dimer conformational changes, see (12) for details). Top right: Torsion model: The 491 relative movement of helix turns is highlighted by the relative displacement of the blue-492 yellow dimers interacting in the non-constricted state. **b)** DOPS tubule with polymerized 493 ΔPRD-dynamin before GTP-addition. **c)** Image sequence during GTP-hydrolysis of the 494 area outline by dashed rectangle in b) and d). 0s is the time of GTP-injection. White 495 arrowheads point at a constriction site. Orange arrowheads point at a fission site. **d)** 496 ΔPRD-dynamin-DOPS tubule shown in b), after GTP-addition.

497

498 **Fig. 2) Constriction and fission of dynamin-coated tubules observed by HS-AFM. a)** 499 Image sequence of ΔPRD-dynamin-coated tubules, adsorbed on a mica-supported 500 bilayer (see Supplementary Methods). During the experiment, GTP was injected twice, 501 and the dynamin helix conformational change monitored as a function of time. White 502 arrowheads point at constriction sites, orange arrowhead at fission sites. **b)** Another 503 example similar to a), with three consecutive GTP-injections. White arrowheads point at 504 a constriction site, which later became fission sites (indicated by F.P.1 (fission point 1) 505 and F.P.2 (fission point 2)). **c)** Kymograph along the dashed line F.P.1 in b). Red dashed 506 lines indicate GTP-additions. **d)** Helix profile kymograph along the long tubule axis 507 (dashed line labeled 'd' in image b), crossing F.P.2) revealing morphological changes of 508 the dynamin helix. Arrows point at turn height reduction consistent with constriction 509 (white), lateral separation of adjacent turns (green), collapse of two turns in one (red), 510 turn enlargement (blue), and fission (yellow). **e)** Kymograph along the axis of a tubule 511 not treated with GTP. **f**) Maximum height of F.P.1 and F.P.2 as a function of time in b). **g)** 512 Height profile along the dashed line at F.P.1 in b) before GTP-addition and after the third 513 GTP-addition. **h)** Maximum height of a tubule not treated with GTP as a function of time. 514 **i)** Distribution of dynamin helix pitch distances before GTP-addition (grey bars, 515 19.2±3.6nm; mean±SD, *N*=4) and after GTP-addition (red bars, 15.2 ± 4.9 nm; 516 mean ± SD, *N*=2). **j)** Height profiles of the dynamin helix along the tubule axis shown in 517 Fig. 2B before (grey) and after (red) GTP-addition. **k)** Dynamin helix turn angle (with 518 respect to the long tubule axis) before (grey) and after (red) GTP-addition (from movies 519 shown in panels a and b, and another tubule).

520

521 **Fig. 3) GTP-induced turn pairing observed on lipid nanorods. a)** Molecular 522 interactions between dynamin turns within the helix (yellow arrow) are resolved on a 523 lipid nanorod. **b)** Distribution of the dynamin helix pitches on lipid nanorods before 524 (grey bars, 15.4 ± 2.9nm; mean ± SD, *N*=4) and after (red bars, 10.8 ± 3.1nm, *N*=4) GTP-

525 addition. **c)** Dynamin helix images before (left) and after (right) GTP- showing a 526 reduction of the helix pitch, with respective height profiles along the dotted lines 527 (middle). Orange arrowhead point the lipid and white arrowhead dynamin polymerized. 528 **d)** Dynamin helix images before (left) and after (right) GTP-addition showing an 529 increase of the pitch, with respective height profiles along the dotted lines (middle).

530

531 **Fig. 4) Dynamin helix turns relative displacements during constriction. a)** Time-532 lapse sequence of zipper-like dissociation-association movements between neighboring 533 ΔPRD-dynamin helix turns during GTP-hydrolysis. **b)** Time-lapse images and profile 534 analysis of three ΔPRD-dynamin turns close to a fission site (F:P.2 in Fig. 2) on a DOPS 535 tubule: positions of peaks in each turn are sequentially aligned and misaligned. Colors in 536 lower panels correspond to height profiles of the same color. **c)** Transmission electron 537 microscopy images of dynamin polymerized on stacked supported lipid bilayers before 538 (left) and after (right) GTP-addition. **d)** Kymograph (left, along the red dashed line in 539 image +58s) illustrating the relative movement of ΔPRD-dynamin oligomers on stacked 540 planar membranes. Image sequence (right) displaying the morphological changes of the 541 ΔPRD-dynamin coat on the supported lipid bilayer.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

I J K

Helix pitch distribution Helix profile along axis Helix turn angle distribution

Fig. 3

Before GTP C r \blacktriangleleft 50 nm

Helix profile along x-axis $-$ No GTP GTP $\overline{}$ **Profile (nm)** 15 30 75 90 105

Helix profile along x-axis $-$ No GTP GTP $\overline{}$ $\overline{\mathfrak{o}}$ 15 30 **Profile (nm)**75 90 105

After GTP

