

Impact of pre-transplant diffusion lung capacity for nitric oxide (DLNO) and of DLNO/pre-transplant diffusion lung capacity for carbon monoxide (DLNO/DLCO) ratio on pulmonary outcomes in adults receiving allogeneic stem cell transplantation for hematological diseases

Amandine Le Bourgeois, Florent Malard, Patrice Chevallier, Gaxuxa Urbistandoy, Thierry Guillaume, Jacques Delaunay, Pierre Peterlin, Patricia Lemarchand, Patrick Germaud, Mohamad Mohty, et al.

► To cite this version:

Amandine Le Bourgeois, Florent Malard, Patrice Chevallier, Gaxuxa Urbistandoy, Thierry Guillaume, et al.. Impact of pre-transplant diffusion lung capacity for nitric oxide (DLNO) and of DLNO/pre-transplant diffusion lung capacity for carbon monoxide (DLNO/DLCO) ratio on pulmonary outcomes in adults receiving allogeneic stem cell transplantation for hematological diseases: Impact of DLNO and DLNO/DLCO ratio after allo-SCT. Bone Marrow Transplantation, 2015, 51 (4), pp.589 - 592. 10.1038/bmt.2015.284. inserm-01636141

HAL Id: inserm-01636141 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01636141

Submitted on 16 Nov 2017 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

2

3 Impact of pre-transplant diffusion lung capacity for nitric oxide (DLNO) and of 4 DLNO/pre-transplant diffusion lung capacity for carbon monoxide (DLNO/DLCO) 5 ratio on pulmonary outcomes in adults receiving allogeneic stem cell transplantation for 6 haematological diseases.

- 7
- 8 Amandine Le Bourgeois,¹ Florent Malard,^{1,2,3} Patrice Chevallier,¹ Gaxuxa Urbistandoy,⁴
- 9 Thierry Guillaume,¹ Jacques Delaunay,¹ Pierre Peterlin,¹ Patricia Lemarchand^{4,5,6,7} Patrick

10 Germaud,⁴ Mohamad Mohty,^{1,3} Philippe Moreau,¹ Arnaud Chambellan.^{4,5,6,7}

11 1-CHU de Nantes, Hématologie Clinique, Centre d'Investigation Clinique en Cancérologie

12	(CI2C),	Nantes,	F-44000	France.
----	---------	---------	---------	---------

2- INSERM, UMR 1064-Center for Research in Transplantation and Immunology, Nantes, F44093 France

- 15 3- Centre de recherche Saint-Antoine, INSERM, UMRs 938, Paris, France and Université
- 16 Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

17 4- INSERM, UMR1087, l'institut du thorax, Nantes, F-44000 France;

- 18 5- CNRS, UMR 6291, Nantes, F-44000 France;
- 19 6-Université de Nantes, Nantes, F-44000 France;
- 20 7- CHU de Nantes, Nantes, F-44000 France;
- 21
- 22 **Running title:** Impact of DLNO and DLNO/DLCO ratio after allo-SCT.
- **23 Words count:** 1495 words
- 24 Number of figures: 0; Number of Tables: 2; Number of references: 15
- 25 All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

- 26 Correspondance to: Amandine Le Bourgeois, MD; Service d'Hématologie Clinique, CHU
- 27 Hotel-Dieu, Place A. Ricordeau, 44093 Nantes Cedex, France. Phone: (33) 240083271; Fax:
- 28 (33) 240083250; E-Mail: amandine.lebourgeois@chu-nantes.fr

29

30 Incidence of pulmonary damages is high after allogeneic stem cell transplantation 31 (allo-SCT) and accounting for one of the main causes of non-relapse mortality (NRM) and 32 intensive care unit admission. Pre-transplant alteration of the lung function is considered as 33 the most important parameter to take into account to predict pulmonary complications and outcomes.¹ As a consequence, pre-transplant pulmonary function testing (PFT) is required in 34 35 all patients eligible for an allo-SCT. Diffusion lung capacity for carbon monoxide measured 36 after a 10 second single breath-hold technique (DLCO_{10s} thereafter DLCO), which reflects the 37 alveolar capillary interface, is considered as one of the key parameters to cancel the allograft. 38 Indeed, reduction of the diffusion capacity has been shown to predict NRM, overall survival (OS) and early respiratory failure after allo-SCT.^{1, 2} Thus, current guidelines suggest that 39 40 patients with a pre-transplant DLCO < 60% of predicted normal value are not ideal candidates 41 for allo-SCT. However, this recommendation is currently debated, especially since the predominant use of less toxic reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens.³⁻⁵ 42

43 Other pulmonary parameters may help to discriminate patients at high-risk of severe 44 lung complications. In 1987, Guénard et al, demonstrated that nitric oxide (NO), together with 45 CO, can be used to measure the diffusion pathway from the alveoli to capillary plasma in the lung.⁶ The lung diffusing capacity for NO (DLNO) is considered as a measure of membrane 46 47 conductance which is less affected by any diffusive resistance associated with capillary 48 erythrocytes, except the presence of free hemoglobin. In fact, DLNO reflects the distance 49 between the alveoli and the capillaries mainly related to the alveolar-capillary membrane and 50 the thickness of the alveolar blood barrier while DLCO rather reflects the capillary blood 51 vessels function.⁷ Also, DLNO/DLCO ratio represents a new index of gas exchange and an alternative way of investigating the alveolar membrane and the blood reacting with the gas.⁸ 52 Although explored in many lung diseases, ⁹⁻¹² impact of DLNO and DLNO/DLCO ratio has 53 54 not been yet established in the setting of allo-SCT. It is of particular interest as radiotherapy and chemotherapy used to treat patients with hematological diseases affect mostly the alveolar-capillary membrane, which destruction is more appreciate by DLNO than DLCO measure. In our study, DLNO and DLNO/DLCO ratio seem more appropriate to predict pulmonary complications than DLCO after allo-SCT and therefore should be rather considered to define eligibility for transplant.

60 Between March 2012 and January 2014, 153 adults performed a pre-transplant PFT in 61 our department. Fifty patients were excluded of the study because: 1) no DLNO measure was 62 performed during the pre-transplant PFT (n=30); 2), an unevaluated pre-transplant diffusion 63 lung capacity (n=10); 3) allograft was contra-indicated due to abnormal PFT (n=1), 64 uncontrolled infection (n=4), psychiatric illness (n=1), relapse before transplant (n=3), or 65 death during the conditioning regimen (n=1). Thus, overall, the full PFT data required for the 66 study for each case was available in 103 patients. Characteristics of patients are summarized 67 in Table 1. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the French learned 68 society for respiratory medicine -Société de Pneumologie de Langue Française (ref number 69 CEPRO 2015-025) and patients gave their consents for anonymous use of their data.

70 All PFT were performed in routine at the CHU of Nantes in the same laboratory. 71 Because of faster diffusion, DLNO is measured after a 5 (and not 10) second single breath-72 hold technique (DLNO_{5s}, thereafter DLNO). DLCO can be measured simultaneously 73 (DLCO_{5s}) for a double diffusion measure, allowing to calculate a DLNO_{5s}/DLCO_{5s} ratio 74 (thereafter DLNO/DLCO). Normal DLCO and DLNO percentage of predicted normal value 75 PNV (>80%) were documented in 48 and 44 patients, respectively but median percentages for 76 the all cohort were below the normal at transplant: DLCO: 78.9%, DLNO: 78.1%. Median 77 DLNO/DLCO ratio was 5.3 (range: 2.7-8.6). Only 6 patients had a pejorative high-risk lung function score (LFS) (between 6 and 9).¹³ Median DLCO was significantly decreased in older 78 79 patients (>58 years, 75.4% of PNV vs 81.0%, p=0.05), patients with previous documented respiratory events (72.3% vs 81.5%, p=0.001) or previous administration of drugs with
cardiac or pulmonary toxicities (74.2% vs 80.6%, p=0.02). Median DLNO was also
significantly decreased in patients with previous documented respiratory events (74.3% versus
80.9%, p=0.03) and patients with active or history of smoking (75.3% versus 81.8%, p=0.03).
Finally, younger patients (≤58 years) had a significant lower median DLNO/DLCO ratio (5.2
vs 5.5, p=0.04).

Median follows up was 21.5 months (range: 3.8-34.7). Two years OS, disease free
survival, relapse incidence and NRM were 65.4% (55.2-73.6), 52.5% (42.7-62.2), 31.8%
(22.3-41.7) and 15.8% (9.4-23.5), respectively. Cumulative incidence (CI) of acute GVHD
grade II-IV and grade III-V were 34% (25-43.2) and 19.4% (12.4-27.6) while CI of overall
and extensive chronic GVHD were 25.5% (17.5-34.3) and 14.7% (8.6-22.3), respectively.

91 After transplant, any type of pulmonary event was collected retrospectively from 92 clinical and radiologic data available in medical records. Thus, 77 respiratory events were 93 documented in 53 patients: 27 bronchitis, 22 pneumonia, 14 invasive fungal infection, 4 94 bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome, 2 idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, 2 pneumothorax, 1 95 tuberculosis, 1 sinusoidal obstruction syndrome, 1 mediastinal lymphoma, 1 acute pulmonary 96 edema, 1 pulmonary embolus, and 1 case with multiple pulmonary nodules of undetermined 97 significance. The median number of pulmonary events per patient after transplant was 1 98 (range: 0-7). Two year CI of severe pulmonary complication (SPC) (defined as any 99 pulmonary complication responsible for hospitalization), acute respiratory distress syndrome 100 (ARDS) and pulmonary related mortality (PRM) were 25.4% (17-34), 7.8% (4-14), and 4.9% 101 (1.8-10.4), respectively. Overall, five patients died of a respiratory complication (1 invasive 102 aspergillosis; 1 CMV pneumonia; 3 bacterial pneumonia in patients with severe acute or 103 refractory chronic GVHD: pseudomonas aeruginosa: n=2, pseudomonas aeruginosa + 104 *acinetobacter baumanii*: n=1).

105 In univariate analysis, when considering various cut-offs ($\geq 80\%$, 60-80% and < 60%), 106 DLCO was not predictive of any outcomes considered for the analysis: ARDS, SPC, PRM 107 and NRM (Table 2). Conversely, a DLNO value <60% was associated with significant higher 108 incidences of SPC (>=80%: 20.5% vs 60-80%: 24% vs <60%: 59.3%, p=0.02) and ARDS 109 (>=80%: 9.1% vs 60-80%: 2% vs <60%: 35.6%, p<0.005). When considering DLCO and 110 DLNO as continuous variables, lower percentage were associated with higher risk of SPC for 111 both parameters (p=0.048 and p=0.026, respectively). Also, lower DLNO/DLCO ratio 112 (considered as a continuous variable) was associated with higher PRM (p=0.04). Previous 113 history of pulmonary events was associated with higher risk of ARDS (no: 3.1% vs yes: 114 15.8%, p < 0.01). Among factors related to patient, disease or transplant, only the type of 115 disease impacted on pulmonary outcomes as lymphoid patients were associated with higher 116 risk of ARDS (p=0.04), SPC (p=0.03) and PRM (p=0.02).

In multivariate analysis, there was a trend for a significant association between lower value of pre-transplant DLNO (<60%) and higher risk of ARDS (HR: 3.34, 95%CI: 0.99-11.2, p=0.05) and of SPC (HR: 2.5, 95%CI: 0.93-7.12, p=0.06).

At our knowledge, this is the first series reporting on the impact of pre-transplant DLNO percentage and DLNO/DLCO ratio after allo-SCT. In univariate analysis, lower value of DLNO (<60% of PNV) was associated with higher incidence of ARDS and SPC while lower DLNO/DLCO ratio was associated with an increased risk of PRM. Significance was not reached by multivariate analysis probably because of the relative small number of patients of our cohort.

126 Currently, lower pre-transplant DLCO percentage remains generally an excluding 127 criteria when considering the eligibility of a patient for allo-SCT. Guidelines suggest that 128 patients with pre-transplant DLCO <60% of PNV should not proceed with the transplant because of an inacceptable increased risk of pulmonary complication and mortality. Here, we found no impact of lower pre-transplant DLCO value, confirming recent results observed in adults as well as in children.³⁻⁵ In fact, DLCO is the most variable parameter in a PFT, particularly when a restrictive or obstructive ventilatory impairment is present, suggesting that lower value in a particular patient may be equivalent to a normal value in another. Thus, DLCO should not be retained anymore as unique criteria to decide or not to perform the graft in patient.

In the literature, DLNO/DLCO ratio has been observed between 4.3 and 5.3,⁸ which is in accordance with the median value (4.9+-0.6) observed in a cohort of healthy subjects who performed PFT, including both DLNO and DLCO measures, in our department. If the median ratio was higher for haematological patients included in this study, it is difficult to define it as abnormal, as no normal values have been clearly reported currently. Higher median ratio may be the fact of older age of our cohort or higher concentration of free haemoglobin in this population, as it is highly reactive with NO.¹⁴

As a conclusion, DLNO and DLNO/DLCO ratio seem more appropriate to predict pulmonary complications than DLCO after allo-SCT and therefore should be rather considered to define eligibility for transplant. Howerer, the number of patients is low in our study and many variables may be involved explaining the results. Then multivariable analysis with a larger patient-group and clear exclusion criteria of transplant patients, who are considered not eligible because of preceding pulmonary problems, should be proposed in the future.

150

151	References
-----	------------

152

1- Parimon T, Madtes DK, Au DH, Clark JG, Chien JW. Pretransplant lung function,
respiratory failure, and mortality after stem cell transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*.
2005 Aug 1;172(3):384-90.

- 2- Sorror ML, Maris MB, Storb MR, Baron F, Sandmanier BM, Maloney DG et al.
 Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT)-specific comorbidity index: a new tool for risk
 assessment before allogeneic HCT. *Blood* 2005; 106:2912-19.
- 3- Chien JW, Sullivan KM. Carbon monoxide diffusion capacity: how low can you go for
 hematopoietic cell transplantation eligibility? *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2009; 15: 447453.
- 4- Ho VT1, Weller E, Lee SJ, Alyea EP, Antin JH, Soiffier RJ. Prognostic factors for early
 severe pulmonary complications after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2001;7(4):223-9.
- 165 5- Kaya Z1, Weiner DJ, Yilmaz D, Rowan J, Goyal RK. Lung function, pulmonary
- 166 complications, and mortality after allogeneic blood and marrow transplantation in children.
- 167 Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009 Jul;15(7):817-26.
- 6- Guénard H, Varene N, Vaida P. Determination of lung capillary blood volume and
 membrane diffusing capacity in man by the measurements of NO and CO transfer. *Respir Physiol* 1987; 70: 113-20.
- 171 7- Glenet SN, De Bisschop C, Vargas F, Guénard HJ. Deciphering the nitric oxide to carbon
- monoxide lung transfer ratio: physiological implications. *J Physiol*. 2007 Jul 15: 767-75.
- 173 8- Hughes JM1, van der Lee I. The TL,NO/TL,CO ratio in pulmonary function test
 174 interpretation. *Eur Respir J.* 2013 Feb;41(2):453-61.
 - 8

- 9- van der Lee I, Gietema HA, Zanen P, van Klaveren RJ, Prokop M, Lammers JW et al.
 Nitric oxide diffusing capacity versus spirometry in the early diagnosis of emphysema in
 smokers. *Respir Med.* 2009 Dec;103(12):1892-7.
- 178 10-Werneau-Stervinou L, Perez T, Murphy C, Polge AS, Wallaert B. Lung capillary blood
- volume and membrane diffusion in idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. *Respir Med* 2012; 106:
 564-70.
- 181 11- Degano B, Mittaine M, Guenard H, Rami J, Kamar N, Bureau C et al. Nitric oxide and
- 182 carbon monoxide lung transfer in patients with advanced liver cirrhosis. *J Appl Physiol* 2009;
 183 107: 139-43.
- 184 12- Farha S, Laskowski D, George D, Park MM, Tang WH, Dweik RA et al. Loss of alveolar
- 185 membrane diffusing capacity and pulmonary capillary blood volume in pulmonary arterial
 186 hypertension. *Respi Res.* 2013; 14(1): 6.
- 187 13- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, Crapo RO, Burgos F, Casaburi R et al. Interpretative
- 188 strategies for lung function tests.*Eur Respir J.* 2005 ; 26(5) : 948-68.
- 189 14- Sakari H, Okuda N, Sato A, Yamanue T, Takeoka S, Tsuchida E. Hemoglobin
 190 encapsulation in vesicles retards NO and CO binding and O2 release when perfused through
 191 narrow gas-permeable tubes. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2010; 298(3); 956-965.
- 192 15- Armand P, Kim HT, Logan BR, Wang Z, Alyea EP, Kalaycio ME et al. Validation and
- 193 refinement of the Disease Risk Index for allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood* 2014;
- 194 123(23):3664-3671.

Table 1: Characteristics of patients (N=103)

Variables	N= 103
Gender: male	68 (66%)
Median age at transplant: years (range)	58 (24-69.1)
Median weight at transplant: Kg (range)	72 (52-105)
Type of diseases:	
-Myeloid diseases: AML/MDS/MF/CML/AA	67 (65%): 47/10/7/2/1
-Lymphoid diseases: ALL/HD/DLBCL/FL/ATCL/PTCL/MCL/CLL/MM	36 (35%): 14/1/5/2/1/2/1/6/4
Status at transplant: CR (CR1/CR2)/PR/active non treated/refractory disease	56 (54.4%) (44/11)/23 (22.3%)/8 (7.8%)/16 (15.5%)
DRI: low/intermediate/high/very high/unavailable	13 (12.6%)/57 (55.3%)/29 (28.2%)/3 (2.9%)/1 (1%)
Type of donor: MRD/haplo-RD/MUD/MisMUD/UCB	35 (34%)/5 (4.9%)/43 (41.7%)/9 (8.7%)/11 (10.7%)
Type of stem cell source: BM/PBSC/UCB	10 (9.7%)/83 (80.6%)/10 (9.7%)

Conditioning regimen:*

-RIC/MAC	85 (82.5%)/18 (17.5%)
-use of ATG: yes/no	85 (82.5%)/18 (17.5%)
-use of TBI>6gy: yes/no	5 (4.85%)/98 (95.15%)
Smoking history:	
-active smokers/former smokers/never smoked	17 (16.5%)/36 (35%)/50 (48.5%)
-median number of pack-years/smokers (range)	22 (2-45)
Previous administration of therapeutic with cardiac or pulmonary toxicities	
-previous graft: autograft/allograft	16 (15.5%)/5 (4.8%)
- bleomycin/methotrexate/anthracyclin/cyclophosphamide	2 (1.9%)/9 (8.7%)/82 (79.6%)/41 (39.8%),
-median number of drugs administered per patient (range)	1 (0-5)
- number of drugs administered per patient: <2 vs ≥ 2	63 (61.2%)/40 (38.8%)

Previous pulmonary events:

 -no/yes: (pulmonary embolism/bronchitis/bacterial pneumonia/IFI/
 65 (63.1%)/38 (36.9%) : (5/1/12/16/5/3/1/1/1/3)

 asthma/emphysema or COPD/pneumothorax/pleural effusion/ sarcoidosis/
 Pulmonary involvement of the haemopathy)

HCT-CI: low risk (0)/intermediate risk (1-2)/high risk (\geq 3)

37 (35.9%)/37 (35.9%)/29 (28.2%)

AA : aplastic anemia, ALL: acute lymphoid leukemia, AML: acute myeloid leukemia, ATCL : angio-immunoblastic T-cell lymphoma. 2 3 ATG: antithymocyte globulin, BM: bone marrow, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CLL: chronic lymphoid leukemia, CML: chronic myeloid leukemia, CR : complete remission, DLBCL : diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, DRI: Disease risk index,¹⁵ FL : follicular 4 lymphoma, IFI: invasive fungal infection, haplo-RD: haploidentical related donor, HD: hodgkin disease, HCT-CI: Hematopoietic Cell 5 Transplantation-Comorbidity Index,² MAC: myeloablative conditioning, MCL: mantle-cell lymphoma, MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome, MF: 6 7 myelofibrosis, MM: multiple myeloma, MRD: matched related donor, MUD: matched unrelated donor, MisMUD: mismatched unrelated donor, PBSC: peripheral blood stem cell, PR : partial remission, PTCL : peripheral T-cell lymphoma, RIC: reduced intensity regimen, UCB: 8 9 umbilical cord blood. *GVHD prophylaxis consisted of cyclosporine (CsA) alone or CsA + mycophenolate mofetyl after RIC allo-SCT using a 10 sibling donor or an unrelated donor, respectively. Standard combination of CsA + short course of low dose methotrexate was used after MAC. 11 Granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor was administered during aplasia only in patients receiving a cord blood transplant.

Table 2 : Univariate analysis

	NRM			PRM	Severe pul	monary	ARDS		
			complication*						
	%	p-value	%	p-value	%	p-value	%	p-value	
DRI: low-risk +	14.4 (7.4-	0.25	5.8 (1,8-	0.56	25.9 (16.2-	0.55	10.1 (4.4-18.5) vs	0.16	
intermediate vs	23.4) vs 9.4		13.1) vs 3.1		36.7) vs 25.0		3.1 (0.2-14.2)		
high-risk+very-	(2.3-22.6)		(0.2-14.2)		(11.5-41.1)				
high risk									
Disease:	19.8 (8.6-	0.04	11.4 (3.5-	0.02	39.7 (23.5-	0.03	14.2 (5.1-27.8) vs	0.04	
lymphoid vs	34.4) vs 9.0		24.4) vs 1.5		55.5) vs 17.9		4.5 (1.2-11.4)		
myeloid	(3.6-17.3)		(0.1-7.2)		(9.8-28.0)				
HCT-CI: 0 vs ≥ 1	8.3 (2.1-20.2)	0.14	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.09	21.7 (10.0-	0.39	2.7 (0.2-12.3) vs	0.11	
	vs 15.2 (7.7-		vs 7.6 (2.8-		36.3) vs 27.3		10.6 (4.6-19.5)		
	24.9)		15.6)		(17.1-38.4)				

Previous	9.4 (3.8-18.1)	0.5	3.1 (0.6-9.8)	0,28	21.8 (12.6-	0.28	3.1 (0.06-0.09) vs	< 0.01
pulmonary event:	vs 18.4 (8.0-		vs 7,9 (2.0-		32.6) vs 31.6		15.8 (6.3-29.2)	
no vs yes	32.4)		19.4)		(17.5-46.7)			
Age: $\langle vs \rangle$	15.6 (7.2-	0.57	3.9 (0.7-	0.65	26.8 (15.5-	0.94	7.6 (2.4-16.8) vs	0.76
median (58y)	26.9) vs 9.8		12.0) vs 5,9		39.3) vs 24.0		8.0 (2.5-17.7)	
	(3.6-19.9)		(1.5-14.8)		(13.2-36.6)			
Conditioning	10.7 (5.2-	0.11	5.9 (2.2-	0.29	24.9 (16.2-	0.97	5.9 (2.2-12.5) vs	0.18
Regimen: RIC vs	18.4) vs 22.2		12.5) vs 0.0		34.6) vs 278		16.7 (3.9-37.2)	
MAC	(6.6-43.6)		(0.0-0.0)		(9.6-49.6)			
Use of ATG: yes	9.5 (4.4-16.9)	0.12	3.6 (0.9-9.3)	0.18	23.7 (15.2-	0.52	5.9 (2.2-12.4) vs	0.18
vs no	vs 27.8 (9.7-		vs 11.1 (1.7-		33.2) vs 33.3		16.7 (3.9-37.3)	
	49.5)		30.5)		(13.0-55.3)			
LFS: 2 vs \geq 3	12.7 (5.1-	0.79	4.3 (0.8-	0.76	19 (9.3-31.3)	0.06	6.4 (1.6-15.8) vs	0.40
	23.9) vs 12.7		13.0) vs 5.5		vs 30.9 (0.2-		9.1 (3.3-18.5)	

	(5.5-23.0)		(1.4-13.8)		0.4)			
$DLCO_{10s}$ % of	12.7 (5.1-	0.95	4.3 (0.8-	0.57	19.0 (9.3-	0.06	6.4 (1.6-15.8) vs	0.20
predicted normal	23.9) vs 12.8		13.0) vs 4.3		31.3) vs 27.7		6.4 (1.6-15.9) vs	
value: > 80% vs	(5.1-24.1) vs		(0.8-13.0)		(15.7-41.0) vs		25.0 (3.0-57.9)	
60-80% vs < 60%	12.5 (0.4-		vs 12.5 (0.4-		50.0 (12.2-			
	45.3)		45.3)		79.6)			
DLNO % of	18.2 (8.4-	0.26	9.1 (2.8-	0.07	20.5 (10.0-	0.02	9.1 (2.8-19.9) vs	<0.005
predicted normal	30.9) vs 8.0		19.9) vs 0.0		33.5) vs 24.0		2.0 (0.2-9.3) vs	
value: > 80% vs	(2.5-17.7) vs		(0.0-0.0) vs		(13.2-36.6) vs		35.6 (5.7-68.8)	
60-80% vs < 60%	11.1 (0.5-		11.1 (0.5-		59.3 (13.0-			
	40.9)		40.9)		87.4)			
	HR	p-value	HR	p-value	HR	p-value	HR	p-value
DLCO _{10s} % of	1.0 (1.0-1.1)	0.94	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	0.23	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	0.04	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	0.13

-

predicted normal								
value ^{\$}								
DLNO % of	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	0.35	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	0.45	2.0 (1.1-3.7)	0.02	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	0.17
predicted normal								
value ^{\$}								
DLNO/DLCO	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	0.7	1.6 (1.0-2.6)	0.04	0.9 (0.5-1.7)	0.78	1.6 (0.6-4.0)	0.34
ratio ^{\$}								

*defined by complication requiring hospitalization, ^{\$}: analysis considering continuous variables, ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome,
 ATG: antithymocyte globulin, DLCO: diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (with correction for hemoglobin concentration), DLNO: diffusion
 capacity for nitric oxide, DRI: disease risk index,¹⁵ LFS: lung function score,¹³ MAC: myeloablative conditioning, NRM : cumulative incidence
 of non-relapse mortality, PRM : cumulative incidence of pulmonary related mortality, RIC: reduced intensity regimen, vs: versus