

Are lutein, lycopene, and β -carotene lost through the digestive process?

Rachel E. Kopec, Béatrice Gleize, Patrick Borel, Charles Desmarchelier,

Catherine Caris-Veyrat

► To cite this version:

Rachel E. Kopec, Béatrice Gleize, Patrick Borel, Charles Desmarchelier, Catherine Caris-Veyrat. Are lutein, lycopene, and β -carotene lost through the digestive process?. Food and Function, 2017, 8 (4), pp.1494-1503. 10.1039/C7FO00021A . inserm-01499474

HAL Id: inserm-01499474 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01499474v1

Submitted on 4 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Are Lutein, Lycopene, and β -carotene Lost through the Digestive Process?

3 4	Rachel E. Kopec ^{1,2,3} , Béatrice Gleize ^{1,2} , Patrick Borel ⁴ *, Charles Demarchelier ⁴ , Catherine Caris-Veyrat ^{1,2} *
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 ¹ INRA, UMR408, Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'Origine Végétale, F-84000 Avignon, France ²Université d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse, UMR408, Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'Origine Végétale, F-84000 Avignon, France ³Human Nutrition Program, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, U.S.A. ⁴Aix Marseille University, INRA, INSERM, NORT, Marseille, France *Correspondence: Dr. Catherine Caris-Veyrat, phone: +33(0)4.32.72.24.89, email: catherine.veyrat@avignon.inra.fr, fax: +33 (0)4.32.72.24.92; Dr. Patrick Borel, phone: +33 (0)4 91 29 41 11; fax: +33 (0)4 91 78 21 01, email: patrick.borel@univ-amu.fr
15	
16 17	Keywords: carotenoids, iron, metmyoglobin, <i>in vitro</i> digestion, metabolism, carotenoid degradation
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 29 30	

31 Abstract

The bioavailability of many carotenoids has been assessed, but little attention has been given to 32 the metabolism of these antioxidant compounds during digestion. The isomerization and loss of 33 lutein, lycopene, and β -carotene incorporated into a lipid-rich liquid meal was determined *in vitro* 34 through the gastric, duodenal, and jejunal phases in the presence and absence of digestive enzymes, 35 and in the presence and absence of known oxidizing agents often found in mixed meals 36 (metmyoglobin in red meat and ferrous sulfate in supplemental iron). Carotenoids were quantitated 37 using HPLC-PDA. In the absence of enzymes, lutein and lycopene were lost during earlier phases 38 of the digestive process. In the presence of enzymes, lutein and lycopene were robust through the 39 gastric and duodenal phases, with statistically significant losses of 40% and 20%, respectively, 40 observed only during the jejunal phase. Regardless of the presence or absence of enzymes, an 41 42 initial 25% of β -carotene was lost during the gastric phase, but no further loss was observed. Ferrous sulfate had no significant impact on any carotenoid level. Metmyoglobin had no impact 43 on lutein, but significantly reduced lycopene and β -carotene levels by 30% and 80%, respectively, 44 by the end of the jejunal phase. No significant isomerization was observed between the initial and 45 jejunal phases for any of the carotenoids. 46

48 **1. Introduction**

The consumption of carotenoid-containing foods has been associated with a reduced risk of developing a number of different types of diseases, such as various types of cancer¹ and cardiovascular disease.² The contribution of oxidative stress to these and other chronic conditions has been well established.^{3–5} Thus, for many years, the prevailing hypothesis was that carotenoids absorbed from carotenoid-containing foods exerted antioxidant and singlet oxygen quenching effects *in vivo*, ultimately leading to a reduction in chronic diseases.^{6–8}

However, low carotenoid concentrations in areas where oxidative damage is a concern⁹, as well as
inconsistent results from high-dose clinical studies, have called into question the plausibility of
this hypothesis.¹⁰⁻¹²

In contrast, higher carotenoid concentrations found in the lumen of the gastrointestinal tract 58 (i.e. after the consumption of a carotenoid-containing meal) more convincingly support the theory 59 that these compounds may act as antioxidants in the gut.^{13,14} In fact, work from our group^{15–18} and 60 others^{19,20} has demonstrated the capacity of carotenoids to confer protection to unsaturated fatty 61 acids under gastric and micellar (i.e. duodenal-like) conditions. Carotenoids also have a 62 notoriously low bioaccessibility²¹ and bioavailability²² relative to other fat-soluble antioxidants 63 like tocopherols²³ and omega-3-fatty acids.²⁴ It is possible that at least a portion of this low 64 bioavailability may be due to loss of the carotenoid via oxidation during the digestive process. 65 Indeed, carotenoids are known to be sensitive to increased temperature, pro-oxidative species, and 66 an acidic pH, three factors found during digestion.^{13,14} Furthermore, foods are consumed and co-67 consumed in a multitude of combinations. The essential metal iron is present in various oxidation 68 69 states and complexes in the diet, and has also been shown to be a strong carotenoid oxidizing agent.¹⁵ The oxidation effect of iron may be perpetuated in the presence of unsaturated fatty acids,
where lipid peroxidation products can ultimately co-oxidize carotenoids in solution.¹⁶

There is still no prevailing consensus on the mechanism(s) of action of non-provitamin A 72 carotenoids once absorbed in the human body. More recent theories suggest that carotenoids may 73 serve as "pro-bioactives", i.e. the parent carotenoid consumed from a fruit or vegetable may be 74 75 chemically or enzymatically converted into a biologically active metabolite(s) that ultimately exerts a disease-protective effect.^{25,26} This hypothesis is further supported by the fact that non-76 provitamin A metabolites of the most commonly consumed carotenoids, i.e. β-carotene, lycopene, 77 78 and lutein, have been identified in blood plasma and various fruits, vegetables, and food products.^{27–29} It is not clear if these products were 1) absorbed directly from the foods themselves, 79 2) formed during digestion, 3) formed after parent carotenoid absorption, or a combination thereof. 80 To better understand the fate of carotenoids during digestion, *in vitro* methods were employed 81 to study carotenoid stability after each phase (initial, post-gastric, post-duodenal, and post-jejunal). 82 83 Three of the most widely consumed carotenoids were chosen for study: lutein, β -carotene, and lycopene. Experiments were carried out both with and without digestive enzymes to better 84 understand their influence. Finally, the impact of different dietary iron sources (i.e. ferrous sulfate 85 86 commonly prescribed as an iron supplement, and metmyoglobin as the primary source of oxidized iron in red meat) were also investigated. 87

88 2. Materials & Methods

2.1 Materials – For digestion experiments, food-grade lutein powder (product number 1EAA6165;
69% lutein by weight, as determined by molar extinction coefficient of all-*trans* lutein and HPLCPDA analysis; geometrical isomer breakdown: 95% all-*trans*- and 5% *cis*-lutein) and food-grade
lycopene-containing oleoresin (product number 1EAA9272; 4.5% lycopene by weight as

determined by molar extinction coefficient of all-trans-lycopene and LC-PDA analysis; 93 geometrical isomer breakdown: 78% as all-trans-, 11% 5-cis- and 11% other-cis-lycopene) were 94 kindly donated by Simona Birtic of Naturex (Montfavet, France). Fluka brand β -carotene ($\geq 97\%$ 95 pure; geometrical isomer breakdown: 96% all-trans- and 4% cis-β-carotene) from Sigma-Aldrich 96 97 (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) was used both for digestion experiments and for analytical quantitation. White granular sugar and 100% sunflower oil were purchased from a local 98 99 supermarket (Auchan, Avignon, France). Food-grade phospholipid (Ovolife IF 50, containing 50% 100 w/w phospholipid derived from egg yolk with a maltodextrin excipient) was kindly donated by 101 Lecico, Inc. (Hamburg, Germany). Deionized water was obtained through filtration via a Millipore Q-Plus. HPLC grade methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) and LC-MS grade methanol were purchased 102 103 from Fisher Scientific (Illkirch, France). Ammonium acetate (≥ 98% pure, reagent grade), pepsin 104 (pepsin A, from porcine gastric mucosa), bile extract (porcine origin), pancreatin (from porcine pancreas), mucin (type III, from porcine stomach), α-amylase (from *Bacillus subtilis*), myoglobin 105 (≥ 90% from equine heart), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium citrate tribasic dehydrate, sodium 106 bicarbonate, calcium chloride dihydrate, disodium 107 potassium phosphate, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA); Fluka brand potassium chloride, Extrasynthese brand 108 lycopene (analytical grade), and Sigma brand pyrogallol (>99%) were purchased from Sigma-109 Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). Hydrochloric acid (HCl) was purchased from VWR. 110 Tardyferon[®] was used as the source of ferrous sulfate (Boulogne, France). O-TRENSOX[®] (used 111 to chelate metmyoglobin³⁰) was a kind gift from Gisele Gellon. 112

113 2.2 Carotenoid in oil preparation for the test meals – β -Carotene (2 mg) or powdered lutein (3.1 114 mg) were added to 0.6 g sunflower oil, while the lycopene oleoresin (63 mg) was combined with 115 0.84 g sunflower oil. The oil mixtures were stirred overnight in the absence of light and under argon. Note that the quantity of each carotenoid-containing product mixed with oil was dosed todeliver approximately 1.0 mg of carotenoid in 0.3 g oil.

2.3 Test meal preparation - Quantities of the test meal ingredients are shown in Table 1. Water 118 and sugar were first mixed together in a beaker until the sugar was dissolved. The phospholipid 119 containing powder (Ovolife IF 50) was added to the mixture and homogenized using a Heidolph 120 121 Silent Crusher M (Schwabach, Germany) operated at 24,000 rpm for 2 min. Sunflower oil was then added and the mixture was again homogenized using the same conditions, followed by probe 122 123 sonication using a Q700 QSonica (Newton, USA) with 40% amplitude and 30 sec sonication 124 followed by 30 sec rest, repeated 8 x in sequence. After the removal of 18 mL of solution (which served to provide 3 "control" meals), the carotenoid in oil was added to the test meal remaining in 125 the beaker. The meal was again homogenized and probe sonicated as described before. 126

127 2.4 Ferrous sulfate (Fe^{II}) solution – A Tardyferon[®] tablet containing 80 mg of iron was powdered, 128 and 20% of the final powder weight was added to 45 mL of a 0.9% aq. NaCl solution. The solution 129 was homogenized for 30 sec and then bath sonicated for 10 min to enhance dissolution (final 130 concentration = 5.7 mM Fe^{II}/6 mL).

131 2.5 *Metmyoglobin (MbFe^{III}) solution* – The Sigma product myoglobin (MbFe^{II}) was dissolved in 132 water and tested via UV-Visible spectrometry to indeed confirm by λ_{max} that the product had been 133 completely oxidized to metmyoglobin (MbFe^{III})³¹. MbFe^{III} (21 mg) was dissolved in 10 mL of a 134 0.9% aq. NaCl solution (final concentration = 40 μ M MbFe^{III}/2 mL).

2.6 Inhibitor solutions – Inhibitor solutions were prepared to halt any further chemical degradation
of the digesta samples during extraction. The pyrogallol solution (used to quench samples of the
digesta of carotenoid alone) was prepared by dissolving 125 mg pyrogallol in 25 mL water. The
Na₂EDTA solution (to quench the carotenoid + Fe^{II} digesta) was made by dissolving 140 mg

Na₂EDTA and 100 mg pyrogallol in 20.2 mL of water. The O-TRENSOX® solution (to quench
carotenoid + MbFe^{III} digesta) was made by dissolving 8.6 mg O-TRENSOX® and 100 mg
pyrogallol in 20.2 mL of water.

2.7 Digestion with enzymes – Following the initial in vitro digestion method of Garret et al.³², 142 modifications were made as previously described³³, as well as the addition of a jejunal phase. Each 143 144 digestive condition with carotenoid was tested in triplicate, and the test beakers were placed on a magnetic stir plate in an oven (in the absence of light) at 37 °C during each phase. The digestions 145 were prepared by adding 6 mL of test meal (delivering 80 µg carotenoid) to 32 mL of a 0.9% aq. 146 147 NaCl solution (carotenoid alone), 30 mL of a 0.9% aq. NaCl solution containing 2 mL of the MbFe^{III} solution (carotenoid + MbFe^{III}), or 26 mL of a 0.9% aq. NaCl solution containing 6 mL of 148 the Fe^{II} solution (carotenoid + Fe^{II}). The buccal phase (10 min), gastric and duodenal phases (each 149 30 min) were mimicked as previously described.³³ The jejunal phase was mimicked by adjusting 150 the pH to 7 by adding ~1.2 mL 0.9M aq. NaHCO₃ and digesting for 30 min. In addition, during the 151 152 duodenal and jejunal phases, the beaker headspace was backfilled with argon to displace any air and thus any dioxygen molecules. Samples (0.5 mL) were taken immediately after the test meal 153 was added to the digestion beaker (t = 0), and after the gastric, duodenal, and jejunal phases, and 154 155 quenched with an equivalent volume of their respective inhibitor solutions.

2.8 Digestion without enzymes- The procedures were followed as described above, with the
exception that the respective digestion buffers alone were added in place of the buffers with
enzymes.

2.9 Sample extraction – To each sample already combined with inhibitor solution, methanol (0.5
mL) was added and the vial was shaken for 1 minute. Next, hexane was added (2 mL), the sample
vortexed for 1 min. The upper hexane phase was transferred to a clean glass vial, and

dichloromethane (2 mL) was then added to the remaining sample. The sample was again vortexed
(1 min), and then centrifuged for 2 min at 3,000 rpm (Jouan MR1822, ThermoFisher Scientific,
Walham, MA, U.S.A.) to induce a clean phase separation. The lower dichloromethane phase was
removed and pooled with the hexane extract and dried under a stream of argon at 30°C. Dried
extracts were stored at -20°C for no more than 2 days before analysis.

167 2.8 HPLC Analysis – Extracts were redissolved in 50 µL MTBE, to which 50 µL of MeOH was added and samples were briefly sonicated (< 5 sec) in a sonication bath for dissolution. Samples 168 169 were analyzed using an HP1100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, U.S.A.) HPLC system 170 equipped with a photodiode array detector. Lutein and β -carotene samples were separated using a YMC C30 column (150 mm x 2.0 mm, 3 µm particle size) using a previously published liquid 171 172 chromatography method³⁴ employing solvent A (80:18:2 MeOH/water/2% aq. ammonium acetate) and solvent B (20:78:2 MeOH/MTBE/2% aq. ammonium acetate), but halting the gradient at 26 173 min and injecting 10 μL. Note that this method separated all-*trans*-β-carotene and all-*trans*-lutein 174 from cis geometrical isomers (9-cis-β-carotene was putatively determined by coincident PDA 175 spectra and elution order just after all-*trans*- β -carotene³⁵, while all other *cis*-isomers of β -carotene 176 177 and all cis isomers of lutein were determined by PDA spectra and elution prior to the all-trans configuration). Lycopene samples were separated using a method designed to partially separate 5-178 179 *cis*-lycopene (which elutes just after the all-*trans* configuration on a C30 column³⁶) from all-*trans*lycopene, as well as other *cis*-isomers (which elute prior to the all-*trans* configuration). A YMC 180 181 C30 column (150 mm x 4.6 mm, 3 µm particle size) column was employed using the same solvent 182 system as above, with the following gradient: beginning at 40% B, increasing linearly to 80% B over 23 min, holding at 80% B for 1 min, returning to 40% B over 3 min. The column was held at 183 a cooler temperature of 20°C (which afforded better separation of the lycopene isomers³⁷), the 184

flow rate was 1.3 mL/min, and 10 μ L samples were injected. Carotenoids were quantitated using external calibration curves generated from authentic standards by integrating the peak areas at 445 nm (lutein), 450 nm (β -carotene), and 471 nm (lycopene). Total carotenoid calculated at each step incorporated any previous digesta volume change (with the addition of enzyme/buffer solution and previous sample removal) over the course of the experiment.

2.10 Statistical Analysis - Data was analyzed using R statistical software³⁸. A mixed factorial 190 191 design with repeated measures ANOVA was employed to test the fixed factors of meal (between 192 subject factor with 3 levels consisting of control, metmyoglobin, and ferrous sulfate), digestion 193 phase (within subject factor with 4 levels i.e. initial, gastric, duodenal, and jejunal), and meal*digestion phase, on carotenoid level (dependent variable). The error factor controlled for 194 within flask variability. A *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Post-hoc analysis 195 196 was performed using the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons to determine statistically 197 significant interactions within the same meal over multiple digestion phases, and between meals at the same digestion phase. 198

199 **3. Results**

Figure 1 reveals the loss of lutein in the 3 different meals during the course of *in vitro* digestion. In the absence of enzymes (Figure 1A), approximately 25% of the initial lutein was lost during the gastric phase regardless of the meal (i.e. control, metmyoglobin, or ferrous sulfate). During the duodenal and jejunal phases, no additional loss of lutein was observed with lutein alone or lutein + ferrous sulfate. Only in the presence of metmyoglobin was an additional 20% of lutein lost during the duodenal phase. Figure 1B reveals that lutein was more robust through the gastric and duodenal phases of digestion when enzymes were present, with no difference observed between conditions or phases. However, by the jejunal phase, the results mimicked that of the experiment withoutenzymes, and a total of 60% of the lutein remained regardless of meal.

Figure 2 demonstrates the loss of lycopene during digestion. In the absence of enzymes (Figure 209 2A), gradual loss of lycopene was observed at each stage, resulting in a 35% overall loss post-210 jejunal phase. The presence of metmyoglobin or ferrous sulfate did not significantly alter lycopene 211 212 degradation at any digestion stage. In comparison, the experiment with enzymes (Figure 2B) revealed no change in lycopene levels with lycopene alone or lycopene + ferrous sulfate until the 213 214 jejunal phase, where a $\sim 20\%$ loss was observed. In contrast, a more pronounced lycopene loss was 215 observed after the duodenal and jejunal phases (12% and 19%, respectively) in the presence of metmyoglobin. 216

The loss of β -carotene during digestion is shown in Figure 3. In the absence of enzymes 217 (Figure 3A), an immediate 20% post-gastric loss of β -carotene was observed under all meal 218 conditions, but there was no further significant β -carotene loss when alone or together with ferrous 219 220 sulfate during the rest of the experiment. In contrast, an additional 20% of β -carotene was lost in the presence of metmyoglobin during the duodenal phase. In the presence of enzymes (Figure 3B), 221 a 25% loss of β -carotene when alone was observed post-gastric phase, but no additional significant 222 223 loss was observed through the duodenal and jejunal phases. When ferrous sulfate was added together with β -carotene, this resulted in a ~40% β -carotene loss during the gastric phase, but no 224 225 further loss through the duodenal and jejunal phases was observed. By comparison, when 226 metmyoglobin was added to β -carotene, a 50% β -carotene loss was observed during the gastric phase and a further 30% loss from initial β -carotene level during the duodenal phase of digestion. 227

No significant change in % *cis*-isomers was observed between the starting material and the jejunal phase for any of the 3 carotenoids tested. Thus, the bars in Figures 1, 2, and 3 represent total carotenoid (i.e. the summation of all-*trans* + *cis* isomers) at each stage.

231 **4. Discussion**

The relative susceptibility of these three carotenoids to loss under various conditions of 232 233 digestion presents some intriguing results. We begin by comparing the behavior of carotenoids 234 alone digested without enzymes, as compared to carotenoids digested with enzymes (solid bars, A 235 vs. B in Figures 1, 2, and 3). Our experiments clearly reveal that a greater percentage of lutein and 236 lycopene survived the gastric and duodenal phases of digestion in the presence of enzymes, as compared to the experiments without enzymes. In the experiments with enzymes, lipases and bile 237 salts work in concert to reduce the size of emulsified lipid droplets and create mixed micelles 238 during the duodenal phase.³⁹ In contrast, the digestion experiments without enzymes were 239 240 performed to better understand the impact of chemical conditions alone on carotenoid degradation. 241 Thus, these experiments contained the emulsified test meal throughout each phase. Studies on the stability of β -carotene^{40,41} and lutein⁴⁰ emulsions have demonstrated that carotenoid stability is 242 directly proportional to lipid droplet size. Consequently, we expected the larger emulsified lipid 243 244 droplets produced in the experiments without enzyme to be more protective than small particle micelles in the experiments with enzymes. Therefore, it was initially quite surprising to observe 245 246 no difference in β -carotene degradation, and a more rapid lutein and lycopene degradation in the 247 experiments without enzymes. However, the enzyme experiments also contained various proteins, such as amylase during the initial sample treatment, pepsin added during the gastric phase, and the 248 porcine pancreatin preparation added during the duodenal phase. Previous in vitro studies have 249 demonstrated that certain whey proteins further protect β -carotene⁴¹ and lutein⁴⁰ from degradation 250

in emulsification model systems, as these proteins are believed to rest at the interface between the lipid droplet and the aqueous solution.⁴¹ Similarly, a whey-protein encapsulated lycopene has been shown to successfully deliver lycopene in humans, presumably via at least partial protection of lycopene through gastro-intestinal conditions.⁴² In short, our results suggest that protein solutions confer additional protection to lutein and lycopene against chemical oxidation during the gastric and duodenal phases of digestion, but any advantage gleaned from protein is lost during the jejunal phase (likely due to carotenoid transfer to and retention in less-protective micelles).

 β -carotene was equally susceptible to degradation, regardless of the absence or presence of 258 enzymes (solid bars, Figure 3A vs. 3B). It should be noted that these experiments with β -carotene 259 260 were repeated (with the repeat experiments also performed in triplicate), with the same average β -261 carotene level and variability observed each time. Previous research has demonstrated that the % 262 carotenoid transferred from emulsion lipid droplet to micelle is inversely proportional to carotenoid hydrophobicity, with lutein > β -carotene > lycopene.³⁹ Thus, we would expect that any 263 "emulsion effect" would more dramatically impact lutein as compared to β-carotene. Similarly, 264 we would anticipate that protein confers the same type of protection to β -carotene as compared to 265 lutein or lycopene.⁴¹ Thus, it is not clear why no difference in β -carotene was observed regardless 266 267 of the absence or presence of enzymes.

268 Of those tested, lycopene alone was found to be the most stable carotenoid through the 269 entire digestive process with enzymes, with a 20% loss overall. In contrast, 40% of lutein alone 270 and β -carotene alone were lost during their respective digestions with enzymes. The lycopene and 271 β -carotene results are in good agreement with Blanquet-Diot et al., who reported a 20% and ~30% 272 loss, respectively using a dynamic *in vitro* digestion model.⁴³ In contrast, Courraud et al., reported 273 a 50% loss of β -carotene after the gastric phase, and a further 20% loss during the intestinal

phase⁴⁴. These differences in β -carotene stability are likely due to Courraud et al.'s direct application of β -carotene dissolved in acetone to the mimicked digesta, and a much lower pH of 2 used during a prolonged gastric phase.⁴⁴ Lutein loss was similar to that reported by Courraud et al. in raw spinach.⁴⁴ Other studies by Blanquet-Diot et al., and Chitchumroonchokchai et al., observed no change in lutein levels over the course of *in vitro* digestion^{43,45}. It is likely that the use of a tomato food matrix⁴³ and the absence of a jejunal digestion phase⁴⁵ are the source of this divergence.

Regardless of the presence or absence of enzymes, ferrous sulfate had no additional impact 281 on carotenoid loss during the experiments (white bars, Figures 1, 2, and 3). Previous work by our 282 group has demonstrated that in a gastric-like micellar model, increasing levels of ferrous iron 283 relative to β -carotene (0-10 equivalents) result in increasing levels of β -carotene oxidation, as 284 compared to no iron. However, *excess* levels of ferrous iron relative to β -carotene (50 equivalents) 285 resulted in an initial oxidative loss of β -carotene over 5-10 min, but surprisingly less β -carotene 286 oxidation through 120 min. It was postulated that when ferrous iron is present in excess, various 287 perferryl and ferryl intermediates are formed, which further react with ferrous iron to produce high 288 levels of a diferric ether product, which is inert.¹⁵ The dose of ferrous sulfate and carotenoid used 289 290 in this study were calculated to reproduce a plausible scenario of a single iron tablet prescribed as a supplement (delivering 80 mg of divalent iron) consumed with a meal containing 20 mg 291 292 carotenoid. Under these conditions, the iron to carotenoid equivalent is 37 for meals containing 293 lycopene or β -carotene + ferrous sulfate, and 39 for meals containing lutein + ferrous sulfate. Based upon our results, we speculate that the ferrous sulfate levels were sufficiently high to result in the 294 295 formation of inert diferric ether, resulting in no additional carotenoid oxidation in these groups. It is also possible that the gelatin coating and maltodextrin excipient of the iron tablet exerted a
 protective carotenoid effect during the initial phase of digestion.⁴⁶

The concentration of metmyoglobin used in our studies (40 µM) is reflective of the quantity 298 expected from the consumption of a 255 g (9 oz.) beef steak (estimated to deliver 30-50 µM 299 metmyoglobin).^{47–49} In the *in vitro* experiments with enzymes, metmyoglobin had no impact on 300 lutein stability (striped bars, Figure 1B), but a more pronounced impact on carotene stability 301 (striped bars, Figures 2B and 3B), especially that of β -carotene. These results are in good 302 agreement with similar work observing the capacity of various carotenoids to inhibit 303 metmyoglobin-induced peroxidation of linoleic acid in an emulsion model.¹⁶ bitory concentrations 304 at 50% of maximal linoleic acid peroxidation (IC₅₀) values were measured, and were shown to 305 follow the order of β -carotene > lycopene > xanthophylls derived from bacteria.¹⁶ In other words, 306 a larger concentration of β -carotene was needed to protect linoleic acid relative to the other 307 carotenoids. Further measures of the peroxide kinetics suggested that this difference may lie in the 308 309 fact that carotenes sit in the center of the lipid droplet, and thus their only mechanism of defense is to halt further fatty acid peroxide propagation. In contrast, bacterial xanthophylls sit at the lipid-310 water interface and likely inhibit peroxidation initiation altogether.¹⁶ It is not clear why β -carotene 311 312 was more sensitive than lycopene to metmyoglobin-induced oxidation. It is possible that at the concentrations of lycopene used, lycopene microcrystals may have formed in the digesta mixture⁵⁰, 313 314 which may have conferred physico-chemical protection, while β -carotene likely remained 315 dissolved.

We intentionally used un-stripped sunflower oil in all of our experiments to create a realistic liquid beverage that would be safe for use in a human clinical study. However, the endogenous tocopherols and tocotrienols present likely protected carotenoids and reduced losses

319 due to oxidation, as studies have previously demonstrated that alpha-tocopherol protects 320 lycopene^{51,52}, β -carotene⁵³, and lutein⁴⁰ from various forms of oxidation in emulsion systems.

It should be noted that under typical digestive conditions (i.e. with enzymes), levels of lutein 321 alone and lycopene alone were not significantly different from the initial meal through the 322 duodenal phase. Immunohistochemical staining demonstrates the presence of apical membrane 323 transporters SR-B1 and CD-36 in the duodenum and jejunum of humans⁵⁴, with Western blotting 324 showing significantly higher SR-B1 protein expression in the duodenum⁵⁵ and higher expression 325 of CD-36 in the jejunum⁵⁶ of rodents. Thus, we anticipate a fair portion of lutein and lycopene to 326 327 be absorbed in the duodenum (before degradation), and any resulting loss in the jejunum would probably have a limited impact on overall bioavailability. However, our results suggest that partial 328 degradation of β -carotene alone under these types of meal conditions may ultimately reduce the 329 quantity of β -carotene that is bioavailable. 330

We noted no significant change from the initial % *cis* isomers to the % *cis* isomers remaining 331 in jejunal phase. These results are in good agreement with previous studies using static in vitro 332 digestion, which have demonstrated that the % cis isomer contribution to total carotenoid content 333 is well maintained for lycopene^{57,58}, lutein⁵⁹, and β -carotene.⁶⁰ These results are further 334 corroborated with human studies on gastric digesta for lycopene and β -carotene.⁶¹ The same 335 human study also investigated duodenal digesta, and found no change in lycopene but a slight 336 increase in % *cis* β -carotene (relative to starting % *cis* β -carotene).⁶¹ We would attribute this 337 disparity to the dynamic nature of absorption in humans, and transporter selectivity for all-trans-338 β-carotene.⁶² 339

340 While our primary objective was to understand carotenoid loss during digestion, we also 341 anticipated observing carotenoid degradation products in our samples. Indeed, the extraction and

HPLC-PDA methods employed for the analysis of β-carotene- and lycopene-meal digesta would 342 have revealed the presence of β -apo-carotenals and apo-lycopenals, respectively, if they had been 343 present in sufficiently high quantities for PDA detection. Furthermore, previous work by our group 344 has demonstrated that under certain mimicked conditions of gastric digestion and in the presence 345 of metmyoglobin, β -apo-carotenals can be observed by PDA.¹⁵ However, no oxidation products 346 were observed in any of the samples in this study. This discrepancy with previous work may be 347 due to the previous use of non-biological surfactants (Tween 20 and Brij 35) to create micelle-like 348 conditions.¹⁵ Similarly, aldehydes have the capacity to react irreversibly with proteins to form 349 Schiff bases⁶³ and thus it is possible that aldehyde products were formed in our study, but were 350 not able to be extracted due to protein sequestration. While apo-carotenaldehydes were not 351 observed, the loss of lutein and lycopene in the jejunal phase, and the immediate loss of β -carotene 352 in the gastric phase, indicate that at least some derivatives were created. Further work is needed to 353 identify these products, and to determine if they are absorbed, and/or if they might have biological 354 355 effects in the jejunum or colon.

In conclusion, some of the chemical factors that reduce carotenoid stability in an in vitro 356 emulsion model are counteracted in the presence of digestive enzymes. In the presence of enzymes, 357 358 lutein and lycopene were robust to the effects of the digestive process throughout the gastric and duodenal phases, with the most pronounced losses occurring during the jejunal phase. β -carotene 359 360 was most susceptible to degradation during the gastric phase, but no further loss was observed. 361 Our data suggests that a commonly prescribed dose of supplemental iron consumed with a carotenoid-containing meal does not have an impact on carotenoid stability. However, co-362 363 consumption of red meat with lycopene- or β -carotene-containing foods is likely to reduce the 364 quantity of carotenoid available for absorption, and may generate carotenoid oxidation products.

Further work is needed to determine which products are produced, and whether they havebiologically relevant actions.

- 369 There are no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 370

371 Acknowledgements

- We would like to thank Simona Birtic of Naturex, Inc. who kindly provided the lutein powder
- and lycopene oleoresin used in this study. We would also like to thank Gisele Gellon of the
- University of Grenoble Alpes (DCM UMR CNRS 5250, CS 40700, F-38058 Grenoble, France)
- for providing the O-TRENSOX[®]. This work was supported by a grant from the PACA
- 376 (Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur) region. Rachel Kopec received support from the European
- Union, in the framework of the Marie-Curie FP7 COFUND People Programme, through the
- award of an AgreenSkills fellowship (under grant agreement n° 267196).
- 379

380 Abbreviations:

- 381 DCM dichloromethane
- 382 HPLC high-performance liquid chromatography
- 383 LC-MS liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

384 MeOH methanol

- 385 MTBE methyl *tert*-butyl ether
- 386 PDA photodiode array detection

388	Ref	erences
389	1	E. Giovannucci, Tomatoes, tomato-based products, lycopene, and cancer: review of the
390		epidemiologic literature, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 1999, 91, 317–331.
391	2	H. D. Sesso and J. M. Gaziano, Heart and vascular diseases, in Carotenoids in Health and
392		Disease, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2004, pp. 491–502.
393	3	B. Halliwell, Oxidative stress and cancer: have we moved forward?, Biochem. J., 2007,
394		401 , 1–11.
395	4	N. R. Madamanchi, A. Vendrov and M. S. Runge, Oxidative stress and vascular disease,
396		Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol., 2005, 25, 29–38.
397	5	M. F. Beal, Aging, energy, and oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases, Ann.
398		Neurol., 1995, 38 , 357–366.
399	6	N. I. Krinsky, Carotenoids and cancer in animal models, J. Nutr., 1989, 119, 123–126.
400	7	W. Stahl and H. Sies, Lycopene: A biologically important carotenoid for humans?, Arch.
401		Biochem. Biophys., 1996, 336 , 1–9.
402	8	A. Cantrell, D. J. McGarvey, T. George Truscott, F. Rancan and F. Böhm, Singlet oxygen
403		quenching by dietary carotenoids in a model membrane environment, Arch. Biochem.
404		Biophys., 2003, 412 , 47–54.
405	9	J. E. Romanchik, D. W. Morel and E. H. Harrison, Distributions of carotenoids and α -
406		tocopherol among lipoproteins do not change when human plasma is incubated in vitro, J .
407		Nutr., 1995, 125 , 2610–2617.
408	10	S. Stanner, J. Hughes, C. Kelly and J. Buttriss, A review of the epidemiological evidence
409		for the "antioxidant hypothesis," Public Health Nutr., 2004, 7, 407–422.

410	11	C. A. Rice-Evans, J. Sampson, P. M. Bramley and D. E. Holloway, Why do we expect
411		carotenoids to be antioxidants in vivo?, Free Radic. Res., 1997, 26, 381–398.
412	12	S. A. R. Paiva and R. M. Russell, β -Carotene and other carotenoids as antioxidants, J. Am.
413		<i>Coll. Nutr.</i> , 1999, 18 , 426–433.
414	13	B. Halliwell, K. Zhao and M. Whiteman, The gastrointestinal tract: A major site of
415		antioxidant action?, Free Radic. Res., 2000, 33, 819-830.
416	14	J. Kanner and T. Lapidot, The stomach as a bioreactor: dietary lipid peroxidation in the
417		gastric fluid and the effects of plant-derived antioxidants, Free Radic. Biol. Med., 2001, 31,
418		1388–1395.
419	15	C. Sy, O. Dangles, P. Borel and C. Caris-Veyrat, Iron-induced oxidation of (all-E)-β-
420		carotene under model gastric conditions: kinetics, products, and mechanism, Free Radic.
421		<i>Biol. Med.</i> , 2013, 63 , 195–206.
422	16	C. Sy, C. Caris-Veyrat, C. Dufour, M. Boutaleb, P. Borel and O. Dangles, Inhibition of
423		iron-induced lipid peroxidation by newly identified bacterial carotenoids in model gastric
424		conditions: comparison with common carotenoids, Food Funct., 2013, 4, 698-712.
425	17	P. Goupy, E. Reynaud, O. Dangles and C. Caris-Veyrat, Antioxidant activity of (all-E)-
426		lycopene and synthetic apo-lycopenoids in a chemical model of oxidative stress in the
427		gastro-intestinal tract, N. Journal Chem., 2012, 36, 575-587.
428	18	E. Vulcain, P. Goupy, C. Caris-Veyrat and O. Dangles, Inhibition of the metmyoglobin-
429		induced peroxidation of linoleic acid by dietary antioxidants: Action in the aqueous vs. lipid
430		phase, Free Radic. Res., 2005, 39, 547–563.

431	19	S. Kiokias, C. Dimakou and V. Oreopoulou, Activity of natural carotenoid preparations
432		against the autoxidative deterioration of sunflower oil-in-water emulsions, Food Chem.,
433		2009, 114 , 1278–1284.
434	20	S. Kiokias and T. Varzakas, Activity of flavonoids and β -carotene during the auto-oxidative
435		deterioration of model food oil-in water emulsions, Food Chem., 2014, 150, 280–286.
436	21	E. Reboul, M. Richelle, E. Perrot, C. Desmoulins-Malezet, V. Pirisi and P. Borel,
437		Bioaccessibility of carotenoids and vitamin E from their main dietary sources, J. Agric.

- 438 *Food Chem.*, 2006, **54**, 8749–8755.
- 439 22 K. H. van Het Hof, C. E. West, J. A. Weststrate and J. G. Hautvast, Dietary factors that
- 440 affect the bioavailability of carotenoids, *J. Nutr.*, 2000, **130**, 503–506.
- P. Borel, D. Preveraud and C. Desmarchelier, Bioavailability of vitamin E in humans: An
 update, *Nutr. Rev.*, 2013, **71**, 319–331.
- J. Dyerberg, P. Madsen, J. M. Møller, I. Aardestrup and E. B. Schmidt, Bioavailability of
 marine n-3 fatty acid formulations, *Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fatty Acids*, 2010, 83,
 137–141.
- 446 25 M. Carail and C. Caris-Veyrat, Carotenoid oxidation products: From villain to saviour?,
 447 *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2006, **78**, 1493–1503.
- 448 26 J. W. Erdman, N. A. Ford and B. L. Lindshield, Are the health attributes of lycopene related
 449 to its antioxidant function?, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 2009, **483**, 229–235.
- 450 27 A. Eroglu, D. P. Hruszkewycz, C. dela Sena, S. Narayanasamy, K. M. Riedl, R. E. Kopec,
- 451 S. J. Schwartz, R. W. Curley Jr and E. H. Harrison, Naturally occurring eccentric cleavage
- 452 products of provitamin A β -carotene function as antagonists of retinoic acid receptors, J.
- 453 *Biol. Chem.*, 2012, **287**, 15886–15895.

454	28	R. E. Kopec, K. M. Riedl, E. H. Harrison, R. W. Curley Jr, D. P. Hruszkewycz, S. K.
455		Clinton and S. J. Schwartz, Identification and quantification of apo-lycopenals in fruits,
456		vegetables, and human plasma, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2010, 58, 3290-3296.
457	29	F. Khachik, C. J. Spangler, J. C. Smith, L. M. Canfield, A. Steck and H. Pfander,
458		Identification, quantification, and relative concentrations of carotenoids and their
459		metabolites in human milk and serum, Anal. Chem., 1997, 69, 1873–1881.
460	30	G. Serratrice, H. Boukhalfa, C. Béguin, P. Baret, C. Caris and JL. Pierre, O-TRENSOX, a
461		New Tripodal Iron Chelator Based on 8-Hydroxyquinoline Subunits: Thermodynamic and
462		Kinetic Studies, Inorg. Chem., 1997, 36, 3898–3910.
463	31	W. J. Bowen, The absorption spectra and extinction coefficients of myoglobin, J. Biol.
464		Chem., 1949, 179 , 235–245.
465	32	D. A. Garrett, M. L. Failla and R. J. Sarama, Development of an in vitro digestion method
466		to assess carotenoid bioavailability from meals, J. Agric. Food Chem., 1999, 47, 4301-
467		4309.
468	33	B. Gleize, F. Tourniaire, L. Depezay, R. Bott, M. Nowicki, L. Albino, D. Lairon, E. Kesse-
469		Guyot, P. Galan, S. Hercberg and P. Borel, Effect of type of TAG fatty acids on lutein and
470		zeaxanthin bioavailability, Br. J. Nutr., 2013, 110, 1–10.
471	34	G. A. Garzón, CE. Narváez-Cuenca, R. E. Kopec, A. M. Barry, K. M. Riedl and S. J.
472		Schwartz, Determination of carotenoids, total phenolic content, and antioxidant activity of
473		Arazá (Eugenia stipitata McVaugh), an Amazonian fruit, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2012, 60,
474		4709–4717.

475	35	L. K. Henry, G. L. Catignani and S. J. Schwartz, Oxidative degradation kinetics of
476		lycopene, lutein, and 9-cis and all-trans β -carotene, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 1998, 75, 823–
477		829.
478	36	K. Fröhlich, J. Conrad, A. Schmid, D. E. Breithaupt and V. Böhm, Isolation and structural
479		elucidation of different geometrical isomers of lycopene, Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res., 2007, 77,
480		369–375.
481	37	V. Böhm, Use of column temperature to optimize carotenoid isomer separation by C30 high
482		performance liquid chromatography, J. Sep. Science, 2001, 24, 955–959.
483	38	R Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
484		Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria., 2013 Available at: http://www.R-project.org/.
485	39	V. Tyssandier, B. Lyan and P. Borel, Main factors governing the transfer of carotenoids
486		from emulsion lipid droplets to micelles, BBA - Mol. Cell Biol. L., 2001, 1533, 285–292.
487	40	K. Frede, A. Henze, M. Khalil, S. Baldermann, F. J. Schweigert and H. Rawel, Stability and
488		cellular uptake of lutein-loaded emulsions, J. Funct. Foods, 2014, 8, 118–127.
489	41	L. Cornacchia and Y. H. Roos, Stability of β -carotene in protein-stabilized oil-in-water
490		delivery systems, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2011, 59, 7013-7020.
491	42	M. Richelle, K. Bortlik, S. Liardet, C. Hager, P. Lambelet, M. Baur, L. A. Applegate and E.
492		A. Offord, A food-based formulation provides lycopene with the same bioavailability to
493		humans as that from tomato paste, J. Nutr., 2002, 132, 404–408.
494	43	S. Blanquet-Diot, M. Soufi, M. Rambeau, E. Rock and M. Alric, Digestive stability of
495		xanthophylls exceeds that of carotenes as studied in a dynamic in vitro gastrointestinal
496		system, J. Nutr., 2009, 139, 876–883.

497	44	J. Courraud, J. Berger, JP. Cristol and S. Avallone, Stability and bioaccessibility of
498		different forms of carotenoids and vitamin A during in vitro digestion, Food Chem., 2013,
499		136 , 871–877.

- 500 45 C. Chitchumroonchokchai, S. J. Schwartz and M. L. Failla, Assessment of lutein
- bioavailability from meals and a supplement using simulated digestion and caco-2 human
 intestinal cells, *J. Nutr.*, 2004, **134**, 2280–2286.
- 503 46 D. J. Cox, D. R. Kearney, S. T. Kirksey Jr and M. J. Taylor, Oil-in-water dispersions of β504 carotene and other carotenoids stable against oxidation prepared from water-dispersible
 505 beadlets having high concentrations of carotenoid, US6007856 A, 1999.
- J. D. Love and A. M. Pearson, Metmyoglobin and nonheme iron as prooxidants in cooked
 meat, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1974, 22, 1032–1034.
- 48 H. B. Craig, T. N. Blumer, W. W. G. Smart and M. B. Wise, Evaluation of hemoglobin,
- myoglobin, blood oxygen content and organoleptic qualities of beef from steers fed grain
 on pasture or cut forage and grain in drylot, *J. Animal Sci.*, 1966, **25**, 1128–1132.
- 511 49 K. Sato and G. R. Hegarty, Warmed-over flavor in cooked meats, *J. Food Sci.*, 1971, 36,
 512 1098–1102.
- 50 D. J. McClements, Crystals and crystallization in oil-in-water emulsions: Implications for
 emulsion-based delivery systems, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2012, **174**, 1–30.
- 515 51 R. Bou, C. Boon, A. Kweku, D. Hidalgo and E. A. Decker, Effect of different antioxidants
- 516 on lycopene degradation in oil-in-water emulsions, *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **113**,
- 517 724–729.
- 518 52 C. S. Boon, Z. Xu, X. Yue, D. J. McClements, J. Weiss and E. A. Decker, Factors affecting
 519 lycopene oxidation in oil-in-water emulsions, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2008, 56, 1408–1414.
 - 24

520	53	M. Heinonen, K. Haila, AM. Lampi and V. Piironen, Inhibition of oxidation in 10% oil-
521		in-water emulsions by β -carotene with α - and γ -tocopherols, J. Amer. Oil Chem. Soc., 1997,
522		74 , 1047.
523	54	M. V. Lobo, L. Huerta, N. Ruiz-Velasco, E. Teixeiro, P. de la Cueva, A. Celdrán, A.
524		Martín-Hidalgo, M. A. Vega and R. Bragado, Localization of the lipid receptors CD36 and
525		CLA-1/SR-BI in the human gastrointestinal tract: towards the identification of receptors
526		mediating the intestinal absorption of dietary lipids, J. Histochem. Cytochem., 2001, 49,
527		1253–1260.
528	55	S. F. Cai, R. J. Kirby, P. N. Howles and D. Y. Hui, Differentiation-dependent expression
529		and localization of the class B type I scavenger receptor in intestine, J. Lipid Res., 2001, 42,
530		902–909.
531	56	M. Chen, Y. Yang, E. Braunstein, K. E. Georgeson and C. M. Harmon, Gut expression and
532		regulation of FAT/CD36: possible role in fatty acid transport in rat enterocytes, Am. J.
533		<i>Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.</i> , 2001, 281 , E916–E923.
534	57	M. L. Failla, C. Chitchumroonchokchai and B. K. Ishida, In vitro micellarization and
535		intestinal cell uptake of cis isomers of lycopene exceed those of all-trans lycopene, J. Nutr,
536		2008, 138 , 482–486.
537	58	M. Richelle, B. Sanchez, I. Tavazzi, P. Lambelet, K. Bortlik and G. Williamson, Lycopene
538		isomerisation takes place within enterocytes during absorption in human subjects, Br. J.
539		Nutr., 2010, 103 , 1800–1807.
540	59	F. Granado-Lorencio, B. Olmedilla-Alonso, C. Herrero-Barbudo, B. Pérez-Sacristan, I.
541		Blanco-Navarro and S. Blazquez-García, Comparative in vitro bioaccessibility of

- 542 carotenoids from relevant contributors to carotenoid intake, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2007,
 543 55, 6387–6394.
- 544 60 M. G. Ferruzzi, J. L. Lumpkin, S. J. Schwartz and M. Failla, Digestive stability,
- 545 micellarization, and uptake of β -carotene isomers by Caco-2 human intestinal cells, *J*.
- 546 Agric. Food Chem., 2006, **54**, 2780–2785.
- 547 61 V. Tyssandier, E. Reboul, J.-F. Dumas, C. Bouteloup-Demange, M. Armand, J. Marcand,
- 548 M. Sallas and P. Borel, Processing of vegetable-borne carotenoids in the human stomach
- and duodenum, *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.*, 2003, **284**, G913-923.
- 550 62 A. During, M. M. Hussain, D. W. Morel and E. H. Harrison, Carotenoid uptake and
- secretion by CaCo-2 cells: β-carotene isomer selectivity and carotenoid interactions, *J. Lipid Res*, 2002, 43, 1086–1095.
- 1 , , , ,
- 553 63 D. J. Tuma, T. Hoffman and M. F. Sorrell, The chemistry of acetaldehyde-protein adducts, *Alcohol Alcohol. Suppl.*, 1991, 1, 271–276.
- 555 64 M. Armand, P. Borel, C. Dubois, M. Senft, J. Peyrot, J. Salducci, H. Lafont and D. Lairon,
- 556 Characterization of emulsions and lipolysis of dietary lipids in the human stomach, *Am. J.*
- 557 *Physiol.*, 1994, **266**, G372-381.

Table 1. Composition of the test meals

90 g
9 g
150 mg
3 g
0.3 g

a) Delivering 75 mg of phospholipid derived from egg, to match the 1:40 ratio of phospholipid to lipid representative of a western diet⁶⁴.

b) See Materials and Methods for additional details.

562 563





Figure 1. Loss of initial lutein through the gastric, duodenal, and jejunal phases of digestion in the absence (A) and presence (B) of digestive enzymes. Bars represent average % lutein \pm standard deviation (n=3 for each meal). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences within the same meal at different digestion phases (*P* < 0.05). There was no significant difference between meal types at any of the digestion phases (*P* < 0.05).





573Figure 2. Loss of initial lycopene through the gastric, duodenal, and jejunal phases of digestion574in the absence (A) and presence (B) of digestive enzymes. Bars represent average % lycopene \pm 575standard deviation (n=3 for each meal). Different letters indicate statistically significant576differences within the same meal at different digestion phases (P < 0.05). Statistically significant577differences between meal types in the same digestion phase are noted with an asterisk, described578below.579*Significantly different from Lycopene + FeII after the same digestion phase (P < 0.001)

⁵⁸⁰ **Significantly different from Lycopene Alone and Lycopene + FeII after the same digestion

581 phase (P < 0.0001)





Figure 3. Loss of initial β -carotene through the gastric, duodenal, and jejunal phases of digestion in the absence (A) and presence (B) of digestive enzymes. Bars represent average % β -carotene ± standard deviation (n=3 for each meal). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences within the same meal at different digestion phases (P < 0.05). Statistically significant differences between meal types in the same digestion phase are noted with an asterisk, described below.

- Significantly different from β-Carotene + FeII after the same digestion phase (P < 0.005)
- 591 **Significantly different from β -Carotene Alone after the same digestion phase (P = 0.006)
- 592 ***Significantly different from β -Carotene Alone and β -Carotene + FeII after the same digestion

