

# Interindividual variability of lutein bioavailability in healthy men: characterization, genetic variants involved, and relation with fasting plasma lutein concentration

Patrick Borel, C. Desmarchelier, M. Nowicki, R. Bott, S. Morange, N. Lesavre

# ▶ To cite this version:

Patrick Borel, C. Desmarchelier, M. Nowicki, R. Bott, S. Morange, et al.. Interindividual variability of lutein bioavailability in healthy men: characterization, genetic variants involved, and relation with fasting plasma lutein concentration. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2014, 100 (1), pp.168 - 175. 10.3945/ajcn.114.085720. inserm-01478524

# HAL Id: inserm-01478524 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01478524

Submitted on 15 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Interindividual variability of lutein bioavailability in healthy men: characterization, genetic variants involved, and relationship with fasting plasma lutein concentration.

Patrick Borel<sup>\*</sup>, Charles Desmarchelier<sup>\*\*</sup>, Marion Nowicki, Romain Bott, Sophie Morange, Nathalie Lesavre

INRA, UMR INRA1260, F-13005, Marseille, France (PB, CD, MN, RB)
INSERM, UMR\_S 1062, F-13005, Marseille, France (PB, CD, MN, RB)
Aix Marseille Université, NORT, F-13005, Marseille, France (PB, CD, MN, RB)
CIC Hôpital de la Conception, F-13005, Marseille, France (SM)
CIC Hôpital Nord, F-13015, Marseille, France (NL)

Names for pubmed indexing: Borel, Desmarchelier, Nowicki, Bott, Morange, Lesavre

\* To whom correspondence and request for reprints should be addressed:

Patrick.Borel@univ-amu.fr

UMR 1260 INRA/1062 INSERM/Aix-Marseille University

"Nutrition Obesity and Risk of Thrombosis"

Faculté de Médecine

27, boulevard Jean Moulin

13005 Marseille

France

Phone: +33 (0)4 91 29 41 11

Fax: +33 (0)4 91 78 21 01

**Funding:** The present work has received research funding from the European Community's Sixth Framework Programme. The funding was attributed to the Lycocard project (n°016213) which was an Integrated Project within the framework of the "Food Quality and Safety" programme. This publication reflects only the view of the authors. The Lycocard community is not liable for any use that may be made of the results.

Running head: SNPs involved in lutein bioavailability

1	Abbreviations: ABCG2 (ATP-binding cassette, sub-family G, member 2); (ABCG5/G8)
2	ATP Binding Cassette G5/G8; ABCA1 (ATP Binding Cassette sub-family member 1); AUC
3	(area under the curve); BCMO1 ( $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene-15,15'-monooxygenase); BCO2 ( $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene-
4	9,10'-oxygenase); BCRP (breast cancer resistance protein); CD36 (cluster determinant 36);
5	CM (chylomicron); ELOVL2 (ELOVL fatty acid elongase 2); HPLC (high performance
6	liquid chromatography); I-FABP (intestinal-fatty acid binding protein); ISX (Intestine
7	Specific Homebox); L-FABP (liver-fatty acid binding protein); LUT (lutein); MTTP
8	(microsomal triglyceride transfer protein); NPC1L1 (Niemann-Pick disease, type C1, gene-
9	like 1); PLS (partial least squares); SCARB1 (Scavenger receptor class B, member 1); SNPs
10	(single nucleotide polymorphisms); SR-BI (scavenger receptor class B type I); VIP (variable

11 importance in the projection).

This clinical trial is registered at <u>http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/</u>, The ID number is NCT02100774.

#### 12 Abstract

3

13 Background: Lutein (LUT) accumulates in the macula and in the brain where it is assumed 14 to play physiological roles. Its bioavailability is assumed to display a high interindividual 15 variability that is hypothesized to be due, at least partly, to genetic polymorphisms. 16 **Objectives:** i) to characterize interindividual variability in LUT bioavailability in humans, ii) 17 to assess the relationship between this variability and fasting blood LUT concentration, iii) to 18 identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) involved in this phenomenon. 19 **Design**: In a randomized, 2-way crossover study, 39 healthy males consumed a meal 20 containing a LUT supplement, or the same meal where LUT was provided through tomato 21 puree. LUT concentration was measured in plasma chylomicrons (CM) isolated at regular 22 time intervals over 8 h postprandially. Multivariate statistical analyses were used to identify a 23 combination of SNPs associated with the postprandial CM LUT response (0 to 8 h area under 24 the curve). 1785 SNPs in 51 candidate genes were selected. 25 **Results:** The postprandial CM LUT responses to the meals were very variable (CV of 75%) 26 and 137% for the LUT supplement and the tomato-sourced LUT meal, respectively). The 27 postprandial CM LUT responses measured after the two meals were positively correlated 28 (r=0.68, P<0.0001) and were positively correlated to the fasting plasma LUT concentration (r=0.51, P<0.005 for the LUT supplement-containing meal). A significant (P=1.9 x  $10^{-4}$ ) and 29 30 validated partial least squares regression model, which included 29 SNPs in 15 genes, could 31 explain most of the variance in the postprandial CM LUT response. 32 **Conclusions:** The ability to respond to LUT appears to be at least in part genetically 33 determined. It is explained in large part by a combination of SNPs in 15 genes related to both 34 LUT and CM metabolism. Finally, our results suggest that the ability to respond to LUT and 35 the blood LUT status are related.

#### 37 Introduction

Lutein (LUT) is found at high concentrations in the human macula lutea (1-6). Its involvement
in the prevention of age-related macular degeneration has been suggested (7-13). LUT has
recently been found in the human brain where it has been suggested to have a beneficial role
on cognitive function (14, 15).

42 Clinical studies that have provided dietary LUT have reported high interindividual
43 variability in blood and tissue LUT levels in response to dietary LUT (16). Both dietary
44 factors (17) and genetic variations between individuals (18) have been proposed to explain
45 this phenomenon. In support of the latter factor, recent studies have shown that blood and
46 tissue levels of LUT are associated with genetic polymorphisms (16, 19, 20).

47 LUT metabolism starts in the gastrointestinal lumen where digestive enzymes can 48 modulate its bioaccessibility by allowing the release of this hydrophobic compound from its 49 food matrix to mixed micelles (17, 21). Cell culture studies have shown that at least two 50 apical membrane transport proteins, SR-BI (scavenger receptor class B type I) (22, 23), which 51 is encoded by SCARB1, and NPC1L1 (Niemann-Pick disease, type C1, gene-like 1) (24), 52 participate in the uptake of micellarized LUT. Candidate gene association studies have also 53 suggested that other enterocyte membrane lipid transporters, *i.e.* cluster determinant 36 54 (CD36) (19), ATP Binding Cassette G5/G8 (ABCG5/G8) (19, 20) and ATP Binding Cassette 55 sub-family member 1 (ABCA1) (16, 20), could participate in LUT absorption. 56 After its uptake at the apical side of the enterocyte, LUT is transported within the cell 57 to the site where it is incorporated into chylomicrons (CM). The precise mechanisms of this 58 process are not known, although proteins involved in intracellular transport of lipids might be 59 involved (25).

60 The enterocyte contains two enzymes that can be involved in carotenoid metabolism
61 within the enterocyte: BCMO1 (β,β-carotene-15,15'-monooxygenase), which has been

62 associated with blood LUT status (16, 19, 26-28), and BCO2 ( $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene-9,10'-oxygenase), whose involvement in LUT metabolism has recently been demonstrated (29). The fraction of 63 64 carotenoid that is not metabolized by these enzymes is incorporated into CM, which are secreted into the lymph and then enter the bloodstream. CM are then hydrolyzed to CM 65 remnants that are mainly taken up by liver cells (30). 66 67 While it is known that there is high interindividual variability in LUT bioavailability no study has attempted to comprehensively characterize this phenomenon. Furthermore, the 68 69 consequences of this variability with regard to chronic blood LUT status are not known. Thus, 70 the aims were i) to better characterize interindividual variability of LUT bioavailability in 71 healthy subjects, ii) to assess whether this variability in LUT bioavailability can affect fasting 72 concentrations of LUT, and iii) to identify the interplay between the multiple genetic variants 73 that are involved in this phenomenon.

# 75 Subjects and Methods

#### 76 Subject number and characteristics

77 Forty healthy, nonobese, nonsmoker male subjects were recruited for the study. This 78 group of subjects had allowed to identify a combination of single nucleotide polymorphisms 79 (SNPs) that significantly explains the postprandial CM triacylglycerol response to dietary fat 80 (31). The subjects presented normal energy consumption, i.e.  $\approx$  2500 kcal/d. They either drank 81 no alcohol or <2% alcohol as total energy. Subjects had no history of chronic disease, 82 hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia, and were not taking any medication known to affect LUT or 83 lipid metabolism during the month prior to the study or during the study period. Because of 84 the relatively large volume of blood that was drawn during the study, subjects were required 85 to have a blood hemoglobin concentration >1.3 g/L as inclusion criteria. The study was 86 approved by the regional committee on human experimentation (N°2008-A01354-51, Comité 87 de Protection des Personnes Sud Méditerranée I, France). The procedures followed were in 88 accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 as revised in 1983. The objectives and 89 requirements of the study were fully explained to all participants before beginning the study, 90 and informed written consent was obtained from each subject. One subject left the study for 91 personal reason, before he participated in the first postprandial experiment, leaving 39 92 subjects whose baseline characteristics are reported in Table 1.

93

#### 94 DNA preparation and genotyping methods

An average of 25 µg of DNA was isolated from a saliva sample from each subject
using the Oragene kit (DNA Genotek Inc., Kanata, ON, Canada) as described in detail
previously (32). DNA concentration and purity were checked by spectrophotometry at 260 nm
and 280 nm (Nanodrop ND1000, Thermo Scientific, Villebon sur Yvette, France). All
genotyping procedures were carried out by Integragen (Evry, France). Concerning the whole

100genome genotyping the procedure was as follows: 200 ng of DNA was hybridized overnight101to HumanOmniExpress BeadChips (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), allowing the analysis of102approximately  $7.33 \times 10^5$  SNPs per DNA sample. Unhybridized and non-specifically103hybridized DNA was washed out. The BeadChips were then stained and scanned on an104Illumina iScan. Detailed methods are provided in the Infinium HD Assay Ultra Protocol105Guide from Illumina. Concerning the 43 other SNPs (see below), they were genotyped as106previously described (33).

107

# 108 Postprandial experiments

109 For the clinical research study, we used a randomized, 2-way crossover design with a 110 washout period of 3 weeks minimum between each meal. Subjects were asked to refrain from 111 consuming LUT-rich foods (an exclusion list was provided by a dietitian) for 48 h before each 112 postprandial clinic visit. In addition, the day prior to the postprandial clinic visit, subjects 113 were asked to eat dinner between 7 and 8 p.m., without any alcohol intake, and afterward to 114 abstain from consuming any food or beverage other than water until their clinic visit. After 115 the overnight fast, subjects arrived at the local Center for Clinical Investigation (la 116 Conception Hospital, Marseille, France) and consumed either a meal that provided LUT as a 117 supplement or a meal that provided LUT in a food matrix, i.e. tomato puree. Both meals 118 consisted of semolina (70 g) cooked in 200 mL of hot water, white bread (40 g), egg whites 119 (60 g), peanut oil (50 g), and mineral water (330 mL). The LUT supplement was provided in 120 three pills, each containing 5 mg of free LUT (Visiobane Protect, Pileje, France). The source 121 of free LUT in the pills was FloraGLO (Kemin industry, Inc., Des Moines, IA, USA). The 122 pills also contained Porphyra, B vitamins, vitamins C and E, fish oil, bee wax, and gelatin. 123 The tomato puree (100 g/meal), purchased from a local supermarket, provided 0.1 mg free 124 LUT as measured by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). Tomato puree was

125 chosen as a source of food LUT because it has been shown that a postprandial CM LUT 126 response can efficiently be measured after tomato puree consumption (34) and because we 127 used this food in a parallel study dedicated to lycopene bioavailability (data presented in a 128 future paper on tomato lycopene bioavailability). Thirthy three out of the 39 subjects 129 participated in the study on tomato lycopene bioavailability and thus consumed the tomato 130 puree. Subjects were asked to consume the meals at a steady pace, with half of the meal 131 consumed in 10 min and the remainder of the meal consumed within 30 min, in order to 132 diminish variability due to different rates of intake and thus gastric emptying. No other food 133 was permitted over the following 8 h. The subjects were only allowed to finish the remaining 134 bottled water they had not drunk during the meal. A baseline blood sample was drawn before 135 administration of the meals, *i.e.* in the fasted state, as well as at 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 h after meal 136 consumption. Blood was taken up into evacuated tubes containing K-EDTA. The tubes were 137 immediately placed into an ice-water bath and covered with aluminum foil to avoid light 138 exposure. Plasma was isolated by centrifugation (10 min at 4  $^{\circ}$ C and 878 g) within 2 h 139 following collection.

140

141 *CM preparation* 

Plasma (6 mL) was overlaid with 0.9% NaCl solution (4.5 mL) and centrifuged for 28
min at 130,000 g at 10 °C using a SW41Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France) in a
Thermo Sorvall WX100 ultracentrifuge (Thermo Scientific, Saint Herblain, France). The
upper phase, containing mainly CM and large CM remnants (35, 36), was collected.
Immediately after recovery, CM were stored at -80 °C prior to LUT analysis.

149 CM LUT was extracted and analyzed as follows. Briefly, up to 2 mL of CM were 150 deproteinated by adding one volume of ethanol containing apo 8'-carotenal as an internal 151 standard. After adding two volumes of hexane, the mixture was vortexed for 10 min. and 152 centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The upper phase (containing LUT) was collected, and 153 the sample was extracted a second time with hexane following the same procedure. The 154 hexane phases were pooled and evaporated completely under nitrogen gas. The dried extract 155 was then redissolved in 200  $\mu$ L of a dichloromethane/methanol mixture (65/35; v/v). All 156 extractions were performed at room temperature under yellow light to minimize light-induced 157 damage. A volume of 90 µL was used for HPLC analysis. Separation was achieved using a 10 158  $\times$  4.0 mm, 2 µm Modulo-Cart QS guard column (Interchim, Montluçon, France) followed by 159 a  $250 \times 4.6$  mm internal diameter, 5 µm particle size, YMC C30 column (Interchim) held at 160 35 °C. The mobile phase was composed of HPLC grade methanol (A), methyl tert-butyl 161 ether (B) and water (C) (Carlo Erba – SDS, Peypin, France). A linear gradient from 96% A, 162 2% B, 2% C at t = 0 to 18% A, 80% B, 2% C at t = 27 min at a flow rate of 1 mL/min was 163 used. The HPLC system consisted of a pump (Waters 2690) associated with a photodiode-164 array detector (Waters 2996) (Waters, Saint Quentin en Yvelines, France). LUT was 165 identified via spectra and retention time coincident with authentic standard (generous gift of 166 DSM LTD, Basel, Switzerland) and quantitated at 450 nm. Peaks were integrated using 167 Chromeleon software (version 6.80, Dionex, Villebon sur Yvette, France), and quantitation 168 was performed by comparing sample peak area with LUT calibration curves and corrected by 169 extraction efficiency based on the recovery of internal standard.

170

171 Calculations

Trapezoidal rule was used to calculate the postprandial plasma CM LUT response, i.e.
the AUC of the postprandial plasma CM LUT concentration over 8 hours. Calculated AUCs
were incremental, i.e. baseline-corrected using the fasting plasma CM LUT concentration
measured in each subject.

176

177 Choice of candidate genes

178 The candidate genes included those whose encoded proteins have been shown by in 179 vitro methods to be involved in LUT uptake by the enterocyte, *i.e. SCARB1* (Scavenger 180 receptor class B, member 1) and NPC1L1 (22, 24), genes that are suspected to be involved, 181 directly or indirectly, in enterocyte LUT metabolism, e.g. L-FABP (liver-fatty acid binding 182 protein), I-FABP (intestinal-fatty acid binding protein)(25), MTTP (microsomal triglyceride 183 transfer protein), and genes that have been associated in genome-wide association studies (26) 184 or candidate gene association studies (18, 28, 37) with blood LUT concentration. This 185 resulted in the selection of 28 genes (Supplementary table 1), representing 2091 SNPs on 186 the arrays. In addition, we added 30 SNPs in 23 genes that we have recently found to be 187 associated with the postprandial CM triacylglycerol response in the same group of subjects 188 (31). Indeed, CMs are the main blood carrier of newly absorbed LUT and we hypothesized 189 that genetic variants that affect the secretion/clearance of CMs in the postprandial period 190 likely affect the postprandial blood response in LUT. We also added 43 SNPs that have been 191 associated, in previous publications, with lipid metabolism (Supplementary table 2). After 192 genotyping of the subjects (see above), SNPs whose genotype call rate was <95%, or SNPs 193 presenting a significant departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P<0.05 following the 194 Chi-squared test), were excluded from all subsequent analysis (336 SNPs excluded leaving 195 1785 SNPs for the PLS regression analysis).

# 197 Statistics - Partial least squares regression

198 In order to identify SNPs associated with the variability in the postprandial CM LUT response, we employed partial least squares regression (PLS). PLS is a multivariate statistical 199 200 tool often used for chemometric (38) and spectrometric modeling, and which has recently 201 been applied to SNP-based predictions by our group (31) and others (19, 31, 39, 40). Due to 202 the large number of SNPs compared with the low number of subjects and multicollinearity 203 between SNPs, PLS regression was choosen to identify SNPs (among the 1785 candidate 204 SNPs) that were predictive of the postprandial CM LUT response, according to their variable 205 importance in the projection (VIP) value. A general genetic model was assumed, *i.e.* the three 206 genotypes of each SNP were treated as separate categories with no assumption made about 207 the effect conferred by the variant allele for homozygotes or heterozygotes on the postprandial 208 CM LUT response. Different PLS regression models were built using increasing VIP 209 threshold values. The model maximizing the explained  $(R^2)$  and the predicted variance  $(Q^2)$ 210 and validated following cross-validation ANOVA was selected. Additional validation 211 procedures of the PLS regression models (41, 42) were also performed and are described in 212 Supplementary Methods. Simca-P12 software (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden) was used for all 213 multivariate data analyses and modeling.

214

215 Statistics - Univariate analysis

In a second approach, we performed univariate analyses to compare the postprandial CM LUT response between subgroups of subjects who bore different genotypes for the SNPs selected in the PLS regression model. Differences obtained in the different genotype subgroups were analyzed using Student's t-test with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction, using QVALUE software (43). For all tests, a false discovery rate q-value <0.05 was

221 considered significant.

#### 223 **Results**

224 Interindividual variability in CM LUT responses to the meals

225 Figure 1 shows the postprandial CM LUT response after consumption of the meal 226 containing the LUT supplement. The CV of the postprandial CM LUT response, called "LUT 227 response" hereafter in the manuscript, was 75%, as illustrated in Figure 2. Lower LUT 228 responses with a higher variability (CV=137%) were observed after consumption of the 229 tomato-sourced LUT meal (n=33 of the 39 subjects)(data not shown). 230 231 Correlation between the LUT responses measured after consumption of the two meals 232 In order to assess whether the ability to respond to dietary LUT was an intrinsic 233 characteristic of the subjects, we calculated the correlation between the LUT responses 234 measured after the two meals. Results showed that there was a significant positive relationship 235 (Pearson's r=0.68, P<0.0001 and Spearman's rank correlation coefficient=0.45, P=0.011) 236 between the two responses. 237

238 Correlations between the LUT responses to the meals and the fasting plasma LUT239 concentrations

240 In order to assess whether the fasting plasma concentration of LUT, which is known to 241 be a marker of LUT status, was related to the ability to respond to dietary LUT, we calculated 242 the correlation between the LUT response of the subjects and their fasting plasma LUT 243 concentration. To estimate the fasting plasma LUT concentration, we averaged four fasting 244 plasma LUT concentrations measured at least 3 weeks apart. Results showed that there was a significant positive relationship between the LUT response to the meal that contained the LUT 245 246 supplement and the fasting plasma LUT concentration (Pearson's r=0.51, P < 0.003 and 247 Spearman's rank correlation coefficient=0.49, P=0.006). The relationship between the LUT

response to the meal that contained tomato puree, as a source of LUT, and the fasting plasma LUT concentration was also positive (Pearson's r=0.3 and Sperman's rho= 0.3), although non significant (P=0.09 and P=0.07 respectively), likely because of the higher variability of the LUT response observed after this meal (see above).

252

# 253 Genetic variants associated with the LUT response

254 As stated previously, we used PLS regression to examine whether the 1785 candidate 255 SNPs (used as qualitative X variables) could explain a significant part of the variability in the 256 LUT response of the 39 subjects following consumption of the meal containing the LUT supplement. We focused on the the LUT supplement-containing meal because of the higher 257 258 number of subjects and the higher, more accurate LUT response measured, due to the higher 259 dose of LUT in this meal. As shown in Table 2, the model including all SNPs described the group variance with good accuracy ( $R^2=0.92$ ) but was not predictive of this variance ( $Q^2=-$ 260 261 0.10). Therefore, to improve the model and find an association of SNPs more predictive of the 262 LUT response, we filtered out SNPs that made no important contribution, *i.e.* those who 263 displayed the lowest variable importance in the projection (VIP) value. After applying several 264 thresholds of VIP value (Table 2), we found that the best model obtained included 39 SNPs 265 (29 not in linkage disequilibrium plus 10 in linkage disequilibrium which are shown in 266 Supplementary table 3). The 29 SNPs were located in or near 15 genes (Table 3) and described 73 % of the group variance, with a prediction index  $O^2$  of 56 % (**Table 2**). The 267 robustness and the stability of the model were validated by three additional methods detailed 268 269 in the Supplementary Methods section.

Using univariate statistics, the association of the 29 SNPs with the LUT response was
further evaluated by comparing for each SNP the LUT response of subjects who bore different

272 genotypes (**Table 3**). For 11 of the 29 SNPs, subjects bearing different genotypes exhibited a

273 significantly different LUT response (q-value<0.05).

274

# 275 Genetic score to predict the LUT response of a genotyped subject

Knowing a subject's genotype at the 29 aforementioned loci, it is possible to predicthis ability to respond to LUT according to the following equation:

$$\widehat{RP} = a + \sum_{1}^{29} ri * genotype. (SNPi)$$

- 278 With RP as the responder phenotype (i.e. the LUT response), a as a constant,  $r_i$  as the
- 279 regression coefficient of the  $i^{th}$  SNP included in the PLS regression model, and
- 280 *genotype.*(*SNP*<sub>*i*</sub>) as a Boolean variable indicating the subject's genotype at the  $i^{th}$  SNP. A list
- 281 of the regression coefficients calculated by the SIMCA software can be found in

# 282 Supplementary table 4.

285 The first noteworthy observation was the high interindividual variability observed in 286 the LUT response to the two LUT-containing meals (CV of 75% and 137% after the meal 287 providing the LUT supplement and the tomato meal, respectively). This is in agreement with 288 the high interindividual variability reported for  $\beta$ -carotene (44-48), lycopene (48) and LUT 289 (48). The higher interindividual variability observed after intake of the tomato meal compared 290 to the meal containing the LUT supplement was likely due to the lower amount of LUT 291 provided by the tomato puree (0.1 vs 15 mg), which likely led to a lesser accuracy in the CM 292 LUT measure. Nevertheless, several other additional factors could also participate in this 293 higher interindividual variability: i) the lower number of subjects who consumed the tomato 294 meal as compared to the LUT supplement meal (33 instead of 39), ii) the tomato matrix in 295 which LUT was embedded and which contained fibers that may have impaired LUT 296 absorption (49) iii) a competition with other carotenoids present in the tomato matrix, e.g. 297 lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene (34), iii) a higher variability in gastric emptying rate due to the 100 g 298 tomato puree, iv) a higher variability in CM clearance rates. 299 The second noteworthy observation was that the LUT responses measured after the 300 two meals in the same subjects were significantly correlated. This suggests that, as previously 301 observed for  $\beta$ -carotene (44, 45, 48), the ability to absorb dietary LUT is an intrinsic, likely 302 genetic, characteristic of the subjects. This, together with the fact that several proteins have 303 been associated with LUT absorption and transport within the body (25), led us to hypothesize

that polymorphisms in genes involved in LUT metabolism might be involved in the LUT

305 response (18, 50). Since the LUT response is a complex phenotype that likely involves several

- 306 genes, a thorough study of the association between this phenotype and candidate genetic
- 307 variants should simultaneously include SNPs in all genes that are assumed to be involved in
- 308 this phenotype. The results of the multivariate analysis, whose validity was checked by several

309 tests (see Subjects and Methods section as well as the Supplementary Methods section), 310 showed that a significant part (73%) of the interindividual variability in the LUT response 311 could be assigned to 29 SNPs in or near 15 genes, out of 51 candidate genes. Seven out of 312 these 29 SNPs (Table 3) have recently been found to be involved in the postprandial CM 313 triacylglycerol response in the same group of subjects (31). This observation is not surprising 314 as it is assumed that most newly absorbed LUT is carried from the intestine to peripheral 315 organs via CM, which are mainly constituted of triacylglycerols. Because this study aims to 316 identify genes that are specifically associated with the LUT response, we will only discuss the 317 potential role of the four genes that had not been associated with the CM triacylglycerol 318 response (31) and which displayed a significant P-value following univariate statistics (Table 319 3), *i.e. ISX* (Intestine Specific Homebox), *ELOVL2* (ELOVL fatty acid elongase 2), *ABCG2* 320 (ATP-binding cassette, sub-family G, member 2) and MTTP. First, it is striking to observe that 321 the SNPs in these four genes were the most important in the PLS model, i.e. they had the 322 highest VIP value were thus the main contributors in LUT variability. ISX has been shown to 323 act as a transcriptional repressor of SR-BI expression in the intestine (51). Because SR-BI is 324 involved in LUT uptake (22), we hypothesize that SNPs in ISX may affect its 325 expression/activity. This in turn would affect SR-BI expression and thus LUT uptake 326 efficiency. ABCG2 encodes for a breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) which is a 327 multidrug transporter (52). As far as we know, there is currently no study on the involvement 328 of this protein in LUT transport. However, we selected it as a candidate gene because 329 flavonoids have been shown to be inhibitors of ABCG2/BCRP (53) and the flavonoid 330 naringenin impairs LUT uptake in Caco-2 cells (54). Thus, the association of SNPs in 331 ABCG2/BCRP with the LUT response suggests that this protein participates in LUT 332 absorption. Further studies are required to verify this hypothesis. MTTP encodes for the 333 microsomal triglyceride transfer protein, which is involved in the packaging of

triacylglycerols within the CM. The association between a SNP in *MTTP* and the LUT

response is therefore not surprising, as LUT is incorporated into CM in the enterocyte.

ELOVL2 catalyzes the elongation of EPA to DPA and, subsequently, DHA. This association
was also observed in a previous study were the rs1150561 SNP near *ELOVL2* was associated
with blood LUT response to LUT supplementation (16). The mechanism of this relationship is

339 uncertain and requires further study.

340 The third noteworthy observation was that the subjects' fasting plasma LUT

341 concentrations and their LUT responses were positively correlated. This relationship has been

342 previously reported by Norkus *et al.* (55) who observed that higher initial (baseline) serum

343 LUT concentrations predicted a greater serum LUT response after LUT supplementation. This

344 suggests that the ability to respond to dietary LUT is a key factor that governs blood, and

345 likely tissue, LUT concentration.

346

347 To conclude, results of this study demonstrate that the interindividual variability in 348 LUT bioavailability is at least partially genetically controlled. They also show that the ability 349 to absorb dietary LUT is an important determinant of circulating fasting blood concentrations 350 of LUT. Finally, our results suggest that a significant portion of the interindividual variability 351 in LUT bioavailability can be explained by a combination of SNPs, most of them located in or 352 near genes that have been associated with CM triacylglycerol response. We believe that this 353 study will be the starting point of a series of studies aiming to genetically predict LUT 354 bioavailability among individuals or more probably group of individuals carrying key SNPs 355 involved in LUT bioavailability. The objective of these kinds of studies will be to give 356 nutritionists an accurate and validated genetic tool to predict LUT response for future 357 supplementation studies. Ultimately, this approach could be used to help optimize LUT intake

- 358 for those who may be at risk for developing diseases which may benefit from LUT
- 359 consumption (i.e. macular degeneration, cognitive decline, etc.).

# 361 Acknowledgements

362 We are grateful to Dr. Rachel Kopec for critical comments on the manuscript.

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

363

# 364 Authors' contributions to manuscript:

- 365 PB designed research; MN, NL and SM conducted the clinical research; RB analysed lutein
- by HPLC; CD and PB analyzed data and CD performed statistical analysis; PB and CD wrote
- 367 the paper; PB and CD had primary responsibility for final content.

# References

- Bone RA, Landrum JT, Friedes LM, Gomez CM, Kilburn MD, Menendez E, Vidal I, Wang WL. Distribution of lutein and zeaxanthin stereoisomers in the human retina. Exp Eye Res 1985;64:211-8.
- Rapp LM, Maple SS, Choi JH. Lutein and zeaxanthin concentrations in rod outer segment membranes from perifoveal and peripheral human retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2000;41:1200-9.
- Landrum JT, Bone RA. Lutein, zeaxanthin, and the macular pigment. Arch Biochem Biophys 2001;385:28-40.
- Bernstein PS, Khachik F, Carvalho LS, Muir GJ, Zhao DY, Katz NB. Identification and quantitation of carotenoids and their metabolites in the tissues of the human eye. Exp Eye Res 2001;72:215-23.
- Bone RA, Landrum JT, Hime GW, Cains A, Zamor J. Stereochemistry of the human macular carotenoids. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1993;34:2033-40.
- 6. Stahl W. Macular carotenoids: lutein and zeaxanthin. Dev Ophthalmol 2005;38:70-88.
- Snodderly DM. Evidence for protection against age-related macular degeneration by carotenoids and antioxidant vitamins. Am J Clin Nutr 1995;62:1448S-61S.
- Pratt S. Dietary prevention of age-related macular degeneration. J Am Optom Assoc 1999;70:39-47.
- 9. Moeller SM, Jacques PF, Blumberg JB. The potential role of dietary xanthophylls in cataract and age-related macular degeneration. J Am Coll Nutr 2000;19:522S-7S.
- Bone RA, Landrum JT, Mayne ST, Gomez CM, Tibor SE, Twaroska EE. Macular pigment in donor eyes with and without AMD: a case-control study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2001;42:235-40.

- 11. Gale CR, Hall NF, Phillips DI, Martyn CN. Lutein and zeaxanthin status and risk of age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2003;44:2461-5.
- Chew EY, Clemons TE, Sangiovanni JP, Danis RP, Ferris FL, 3rd, Elman MJ, Antoszyk AN, Ruby AJ, Orth D, Bressler SB, et al. Secondary Analyses of the Effects of Lutein/Zeaxanthin on Age-Related Macular Degeneration Progression: AREDS2 Report No. 3. JAMA Ophthalmol 2014;132:142-9.
- 13. Chew EY, Clemons T, SanGiovanni JP, Danis R, Domalpally A, McBee W, Sperduto R, Ferris FL. The Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2 (AREDS2): study design and baseline characteristics (AREDS2 report number 1). Ophthalmology 2012;119:2282-9.
- Johnson EJ. A possible role for lutein and zeaxanthin in cognitive function in the elderly. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96:1161S-5S.
- 15. Feeney J, Finucane C, Savva GM, Cronin H, Beatty S, Nolan JM, Kenny RA. Low macular pigment optical density is associated with lower cognitive performance in a large, population-based sample of older adults. Neurobiol Aging 2013;34:2449-56.
- Yonova-Doing E, Hysi PG, Venturini C, Williams KM, Nag A, Beatty S, Liew SM,
   Gilbert CE, Hammond CJ. Candidate gene study of macular response to supplemental
   Lutein and Zeaxanthin. Exp Eye Res 2013;115:172-7.
- Borel P. Factors affecting intestinal absorption of highly lipophilic food microconstituents (fat-soluble vitamins, carotenoids and phytosterols). Clin Chem Lab Med 2003;41:979-94.
- Borel P. Genetic variations involved in interindividual variability in carotenoid status. Mol Nutr Food Res 2012;56:228-40.
- Borel P, de Edelenyi FS, Vincent-Baudry S, Malezet-Desmoulin C, Margotat A, Lyan
   B, Gorrand JM, Meunier N, Drouault-Holowacz S, Bieuvelet S. Genetic variants in

BCMO1 and CD36 are associated with plasma lutein concentrations and macular pigment optical density in humans. Ann Med 2010;43:47-59.

- 20. Meyers KJ, Johnson EJ, Bernstein PS, Iyengar SK, Engelman CD, Karki CK, Liu Z, Igo RP, Jr., Truitt B, Klein ML, et al. Genetic determinants of macular pigments in women of the Carotenoids in Age-Related Eye Disease Study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54:2333-45.
- Yeum KJ, Russell RM. Carotenoid bioavailability and bioconversion. Annu Rev Nutr 2002;22:483-504.
- 22. Reboul E, Abou L, Mikail C, Ghiringhelli O, Andre M, Portugal H, Jourdheuil-Rahmani D, Amiot MJ, Lairon D, Borel P. Lutein transport by Caco-2 TC-7 cells occurs partly by a facilitated process involving the scavenger receptor class B type I (SR-BI). Biochem J 2005;387:455-61.
- 23. During A, Dawson HD, Harrison EH. Carotenoid transport is decreased and expression of the lipid transporters SR-BI, NPC1L1, and ABCA1 is downregulated in Caco-2 cells treated with ezetimibe. J Nutr 2005;135:2305-12.
- 24. Sato Y, Suzuki R, Kobayashi M, Itagaki S, Hirano T, Noda T, Mizuno S, Sugawara M, Iseki K. Involvement of cholesterol membrane transporter Niemann-Pick C1-like 1 in the intestinal absorption of lutein. J Pharm Pharm Sci 2012;15:256-64.
- Reboul E, Borel P. Proteins involved in uptake, intracellular transport and basolateral secretion of fat-soluble vitamins and carotenoids by mammalian enterocytes. Prog Lipid Res 2011;50:388-402.
- 26. Ferrucci L, Perry JR, Matteini A, Perola M, Tanaka T, Silander K, Rice N, Melzer D, Murray A, Cluett C, et al. Common Variation in the beta-Carotene 15,15'-Monooxygenase 1 Gene Affects Circulating Levels of Carotenoids: A Genome-Wide Association Study. Am J Hum Genet 2009;84:123-33.

- 27. Wood AR, Perry JR, Tanaka T, Hernandez DG, Zheng HF, Melzer D, Gibbs JR, Nalls MA, Weedon MN, Spector TD, et al. Imputation of Variants from the 1000 Genomes Project Modestly Improves Known Associations and Can Identify Low-frequency Variant - Phenotype Associations Undetected by HapMap Based Imputation. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e64343.
- 28. Hendrickson SJ, Hazra A, Chen C, Eliassen AH, Kraft P, Rosner BA, Willett WC. beta-Carotene 15,15'-monooxygenase 1 single nucleotide polymorphisms in relation to plasma carotenoid and retinol concentrations in women of European descent. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96:1379-89.
- Amengual J, Lobo GP, Golczak M, Li HN, Klimova T, Hoppel CL, Wyss A, Palczewski K, von Lintig J. A mitochondrial enzyme degrades carotenoids and protects against oxidative stress. FASEB J 2011;25:948-59.
- 30. Dallinga-Thie GM, Franssen R, Mooij HL, Visser ME, Hassing HC, Peelman F, Kastelein JJ, Peterfy M, Nieuwdorp M. The metabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins revisited: new players, new insight. Atherosclerosis 2013;211:1-8.
- 31. Desmarchelier C, Martin JC, Planells R, Gastaldi M, Nowicki M, Goncalves A, Valero R, Lairon D, Borel P. The postprandial chylomicron triacylglycerol response to dietary fat in healthy male adults is significantly explained by a combination of single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes involved in triacylglycerol metabolism. J Clin Endocr Metab 2014;99:E484-E8.
- 32. Hansen TV, Simonsen MK, Nielsen FC, Hundrup YA. Collection of blood, saliva, and buccal cell samples in a pilot study on the Danish nurse cohort: comparison of the response rate and quality of genomic DNA. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16:2072-6.

- 33. Lecompte S, Szabo de Edelenyi F, Goumidi L, Maiani G, Moschonis G, Widhalm K, Molnár D, Kafatos A, Spinneker A, Breidenassel C, et al. Polymorphisms in the CD36/FAT gene are associated with plasma vitamin E levels in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;93:1-8.
- 34. Tyssandier V, Cardinault N, Caris-Veyrat C, Amiot MJ, Grolier P, Bouteloup C, Azais-Braesco V, Borel P. Vegetable-borne lutein, lycopene, and beta-carotene compete for incorporation into chylomicrons, with no adverse effect on the mediumterm (3-wk) plasma status of carotenoids in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;75:526-34.
- 35. Luchoomun J, Hussain MM. Assembly and secretion of chylomicrons by differentiated Caco-2 cells. Nascent triglycerides and preformed phospholipids are preferentially used for lipoprotein assembly. J Biol Chem 1999;274:19565-72.
- 36. Richelle M, Lambelet P, Rytz A, Tavazzi I, Mermoud AF, Juhel C, Borel P, Bortlik K.
  The proportion of lycopene isomers in human plasma is modulated by lycopene
  isomer profile in the meal but not by lycopene preparation. Br J Nutr 2012;107:14828.
- 37. McKay GJ, Loane E, Nolan JM, Patterson CC, Meyers KJ, Mares JA, Yonova-Doing E, Hammond CJ, Beatty S, Silvestri G. Investigation of Genetic Variation in Scavenger Receptor Class B, Member 1 (SCARB1) and Association with Serum Carotenoids. Ophthalmology 2013;120:1632-40.
- 38. Eriksson L, Antti H, Gottfries J, Holmes E, Johansson E, Lindgren F, Long I, Lundstedt T, Trygg J, Wold S. Using chemometrics for navigating in the large data sets of genomics, proteomics, and metabonomics (gpm). Anal Bioanal Chem 2004;380:419-29.

- Le Cao KA, Boitard S, Besse P. Sparse PLS discriminant analysis: biologically relevant feature selection and graphical displays for multiclass problems. BMC Bioinformatics 2011;12:253.
- 40. Long N, Gianola D, Rosa GJ, Weigel KA. Dimension reduction and variable selection for genomic selection: application to predicting milk yield in Holsteins. J Anim Breed Genet 2011;128:247-57.
- 41. Martin JC, Canlet C, Delplanque B, Agnani G, Lairon D, Gottardi G, Bencharif K, Gripois D, Thaminy A, Paris A. 1H NMR metabonomics can differentiate the early atherogenic effect of dairy products in hyperlipidemic hamsters. Atherosclerosis 2009;206:127-33.
- 42. Thabuis C, Destaillats F, Lambert DM, Muccioli GG, Maillot M, Harach T, Tissot-Favre D, Martin JC. Lipid transport function is the main target of oral oleoylethanolamide to reduce adiposity in high-fat-fed mice. J Lipid Res 2011;52:1373-82.
- Storey JD. A direct approach to false discovery rates. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology) 2002;64:479-98.
- 44. Gilbert AM, Stich HF, Rosin MP, Davison AJ. Variations in the uptake of betacarotene in the oral mucosa of individuals after 3 days of supplementation. Int J Cancer 1990;45:855-9.
- 45. Borel P, Grolier P, Mekki N, Boirie Y, Rochette Y, Le Roy B, Alexandre-Gouabau MC, Lairon D, Azais-Braesco V. Low and high responders to pharmacological doses of beta-carotene: proportion in the population, mechanisms involved and consequences on beta-carotene metabolism. J Lipid Res 1998;39:2250-60.

- 46. Lin YM, Dueker SR, Burri BJ, Neidlinger TR, Clifford AJ. Variability of the conversion of beta-carotene to vitamin A in women measured by using a double-tracer study design. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:1545-54.
- 47. Hickenbottom SJ, Follett JR, Lin Y, Dueker SR, Burri BJ, Neidlinger TR, Clifford AJ. Variability in conversion of beta-carotene to vitamin A in men as measured by using a double-tracer study design. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;75:900-7.
- 48. O'Neill ME, Thurnham DI. Intestinal absorption of b-carotene, lycopene and lutein in men and women following a standard meal: response curves in the triacylglycerol-rich lipoprotein fraction. Br J Nutr 1998;79:149-59.
- 49. Riedl J, Linseisen J, Hoffmann J, Wolfram G. Some dietary fibers reduce the absorption of carotenoids in women. J Nutr 1999;129:2170-6.
- Da Costa LA, Garcia-Bailo B, Badawi A, El-Sohemy A. Genetic determinants of dietary antioxidant status. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2012;108:179-200.
- 51. Lobo GP, Hessel S, Eichinger A, Noy N, Moise AR, Wyss A, Palczewski K, von Lintig J. ISX is a retinoic acid-sensitive gatekeeper that controls intestinal beta, betacarotene absorption and vitamin A production. FASEB J 2010;24:1656-66.
- 52. Ni Z, Bikadi Z, Rosenberg MF, Mao Q. Structure and function of the human breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2). Curr Drug Metab 2010;11:603-17.
- 53. Juvale K, Stefan K, Wiese M. Synthesis and biological evaluation of flavones and benzoflavones as inhibitors of BCRP/ABCG2. Eur J Med Chem 2013;67C:115-26.
- 54. Reboul E, Thap S, Tourniaire F, Andre M, Juhel C, Morange S, Amiot MJ, Lairon D,
  Borel P. Differential effect of dietary antioxidant classes (carotenoids, polyphenols, vitamin C and vitamin E) on lutein absorption. Br J Nutr 2007;97:440-6.

55. Norkus EP, Norkus KL, Dharmarajan TS, Schierle J, Schalch W. Serum lutein response is greater from free lutein than from esterified lutein during 4 weeks of supplementation in healthy adults. J Am Coll Nutr 2010;29:575-85.

# Tables

Age (y)	$32.3 \pm 2.0^{1}$
Weight (kg)	$73.5 \pm 1.3$
BMI (kg/m²)	$23.0\pm0.3$
Glucose (mmol/L) <sup>2</sup>	$4.8\pm0.1$
Triacylglycerol (g/L) <sup>2</sup>	$0.7\pm0.1$
Total cholesterol $(g/L)^2$	$1.6\pm0.1$
Hemoglobin $(g/dL)^2$	$15.1\pm0.1$
Lutein ( $\mu$ mol/L) <sup>2,3</sup>	$0.30\pm0.01$
Postprandial chylomicron lutein response (nmol/L/h) <sup>4</sup>	$69.0\pm8.3$

Table 1. Characteristics of the subjects included in the statistical analysis of the results

<sup>1</sup> Mean  $\pm$  SEM, n=39.

<sup>2</sup> Fasting plasma parameters.

<sup>3</sup> Since the fasting plasma lutein concentration can be affected by recent dietary intakes of lutein, blood levels were measured on four occasions for each subject, with at least a 3 week interval between each measurement.

<sup>4</sup> Incremental area under the curve of chylomicron lutein concentration measured during the postprandial period (0 to 8 h) following the intake of the meal providing the lutein supplement.

VIP threshold	R²	Q²	SNP number	P (CV-ANOVA)
No selection	0.92	-0.10	1785	1
VIP>0.5	1.00	0.59	505	0.99
VIP>1.0	0.95	0.61	148	0.14
VIP>1.5	0.76	0.49	69	2.7 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
VIP>1.6	0.74	0.50	62	2.2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
VIP>1.7	0.73	0.47	55	2.2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
VIP>1.8	0.73	0.56	39	<b>1.9</b> x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
VIP>1.9	0.71	0.52	31	1.8 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
VIP>2.0	0.69	0.52	26	1.2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
VIP>2.1	0.61	0.47	18	2.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
VIP>2.2	0.62	0.48	17	1.2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
VIP>2.3	0.58	0.46	13	3.1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
VIP>2.4	0.58	0.46	13	3.1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>

**Table 2.** Performances of the different partial least square regression models that explained the postprandial chylomicron lutein response to the meal containing the lutein supplement.<sup>1</sup>

VIP>2.5	0.54	0.42	11	7.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Different partial least square regression models were built using increasing VIP threshold values. The model maximizing the explained ( $R^2$ ) and the predicted variance ( $Q^2$ ), and validated following cross-validation ANOVA plus three other validation methods (described in **Supplemental Material**), was selected. This is the model with VIP >1.8, shown in bold font.

Abbreviations: VIP, variable importance in the projection; R<sup>2</sup>, explained variance; Q<sup>2</sup>, predicted variance; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphisms; CV-ANOVA, ANOVA after cross-validation.

**Table 3.** Genes and single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with the postprandial

 chylomicron lutein response <sup>1</sup>

Gene and SNP rs number <sup>2</sup>	VIP value	SNP minor allele frequency	q-value <sup>3</sup>
ELOVL2-rs9468304	3.37	0.309	0.020
ABCG2-rs17731631	3.20	0.125	0.020
ELOVL2-rs3798709	3.19	0.250	0.025
ISX-rs137252	2.84	0.090	0.020
MTTP-rs17029213	2.65	0.051	0.003
ISX-rs137269	2.62	0.132	0.033
LPL-rs1441778	2.60	0.189	0.063
APOA1-rs2070665	2.50	0.180	0.020
ABCG2-rs6532059	2.41	0.047	0.026
<i>ISX</i> -rs5749706	2.25	0.199	0.068
MC4R-rs11873337	2.21	0.128	0.092
ISX-rs137238	2.17	0.122	0.092
PKD1L2-rs12596941	2.09	0.203	0.092
INSIG2-rs17006621	2.09	0.203	0.092
IRS1-rs2178704	2.06	0.199	0.093
LPL-rs7821631	2.05	0.204	0.092
APOB-rs2854725	2.03	0.092	0.063
LPL-rs10096561	2.02	0.146	0.102
ABCA1-rs4149316	2.01	0.117	0.020
RPE65-rs1924546	2.00	0.119	0.078
ABCA1-rs390253	1.96	0.257	0.094
LPL-rs256	1.94	0.140	0.102

LIPC-rs12591216	1.91	0.096	0.094
ABCA1-rs9919066	1.89	0.063	0.094
ABCA1-rs2020926	1.88	0.105	0.003
COBLL1-rs3769877	1.82	0.189	0.102
IRS1-rs1316328	1.81	0.142	0.033
ISX-rs5755368	1.81	0.234	0.124
LIPC-rs12593880	1.80	0.075	0.094

<sup>1</sup> SNPs present in the selected partial least square regression model shown in **Table 2**. Note that 18 out of the 39 SNPs present in the selected model were in linkage desequilibrium. Since these SNPs provided redundant information in the model, we randomly kept one of each SNP, those presented in this table, in the final selected PLS model. The SNPs in linkage disequilibrium with some of these SNPs are shown in **Supplemental table 2**.

<sup>2</sup> SNPs are ranked by decreasing VIP value.

<sup>3</sup> Student's t-test with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction was carried out to test differences between the postprandial chylomicron lutein response according to the genotype groups for each SNP.

Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; rs, reference SNP; VIP, variable importance in the projection. A complete list of gene names and symbols can be found in

# Supplemental table 1.

# **Figure Legends**

# Figure 1: Incremental chylomicron lutein concentrations measured after consumption of the meal containing the lutein supplement.

For each subject, postprandial chylomicron lutein concentrations were baseline-corrected using the fasting chylomicron lutein concentration. Bold curve: mean  $\pm$  SEM values of the 39 subjects. Lower dotted curve: concentration of lutein measured in the lowest responder, *i.e.* the subject who had the lowest area under the curve of the postprandial chylomicron lutein concentration among all the subjects. Higher dotted curve: concentration of lutein measured in the highest responder.

# Figure 2: Individual postprandial chylomicron lutein responses after consumption of the meal containing the lutein supplement.

Subjects were sorted by increasing postprandial chylomicron lutein response, i.e. 0-8 h area under the curve.