# Supplementary data

Table of contents:

Supplementary methods (Page 2)

Supplementary table (Page 3)

Supplementary references (Page 4)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

# **Ang-2 plasma sample measurement**

As previously described (1), blood samples were drawn at baseline and immediately processed for plasma and serum freezing at -80°C. Samples frozen more than 4 hours following venous blood collection were excluded from the analysis. Enzymelinked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were used to measure Ang-2 in serum samples (R&D systems and Diaclone) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each sample was analysed in duplicate.

## Statistical analysis interpretation

#### Discrimination

The C-index estimates the proportion of all pairwise patient combinations from the sample data whose survival time can be ordered such that the patient with the highest predicted survival is the one who actually survived longer (discrimination). The C-index  $(0 \le C \le 1)$  is a probability of concordance between predicted and observed survival, with C=0.5 for random predictions and C=1 for a perfectly discriminating model.

### Calibration

Calibration refers to the ability to provide unbiased survival predictions in groups of similar patients. A prediction model was considered "well calibrated" if the difference between predictions and observations in all groups of similar patients was close to 0 (perfect calibration). Any large deviation (p < 0.1) indicated lack of calibration.

#### Boostrapping

Bootstrapping is the preferred simulation technique and was first described by Bradley Efron.(2) The idea is that the original dataset is a random sample of patients representative of a general population. Bootstrapping means generating a large number of datasets each of which with the same sample size as the original one by resampling with replacement (*ie.* an already selected patient may be selected again).

## Risk reclassification

Continuous net reclassification is the sum of the net percentages of persons with and without the event of interest correctly assigned a different predicted risk; this statistic cannot be interpreted as a percentage. Theoretical range is -2 to 2. cNRI was originally proposed to overcome the problem of selecting categories in applications where they do not naturally exist.(3)

# **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE**

of	Number	Univariate unstratified analysis			Univariate stratified analysis		
	or deaths	HR	95%CI	Р	HR	95%CI	Р
93	84	1	-	-	1	-	-
84	78	1.243	[0.912; 1.694]	0.1689	1.172	[0.857; 1.603]	0.3192
74	65	1			1		
103	97	1.310	[0.955; 1.798]	0.0941	1.157	[0.839; 1.59]	0.3732
91	80	1			1		
76	73	1.274	[0.927; 1.751]	0.1355	1.148	[0.833; 1.581]	0.3999
120	113	1			1		
57	49	0.855	[0.610; 1.196]	0.3597	1.032	[0.732; 1.457]	0.8559
	111	1			1		
54	47	1.458	[1.035; 2.054]	0.0308	1.260	[0.892; 1.780]	0.1899
	59	1			1		
98	95	1.816	[1.305; 2.527]		1.632	[1.170; 2.276]	
11	8	0.686	[0.326; 1.442]	0.0003	1.037	[0.465; 2.314]	0.0140
440	101						
				0.0004		F4 400 0 0 4 = 7	
							0.0026
137	122	1.120	[1.056; 1.188]	0.0002	1.108	[1.044; 1.176]	0.0008
132	120	0.795	[0.589; 1.073]	0.1339	0.823	[0.610; 1.111]	0.2037
56	49	1			1		
59	58	2.026	[1.373; 2.988]	0.0004	1.897	[1.279; 2.812]	0.0014
177	162	1.911	[1.492; 2.448]	<0.0001	1.814	[1.387; 2.373]	<0.0001
	93 84 74 103 91 76 120 57 119 54 68 98 11 110 65 137 132 56 59	of patients         of deaths           93         84           84         78           74         65           103         97           91         80           76         73           120         113           57         49           119         111           54         47           68         59           98         95           11         8           110         101           65         59           137         122           132         120           56         49           59         58	of patients         of deaths         HR           93         84         1           84         78         1.243           74         65         1           103         97         1.310           91         80         1           76         73         1.274           120         113         1           57         49         0.855           119         111         1           54         47         1.458           68         59         1           98         95         1.816           11         8         0.686           110         101         1           65         59         1.433           137         122         1.120           132         120         0.795           56         49         1           59         58         2.026	of patients         of deaths         HR         95%CI           93         84         1         -           84         78         1.243         [0.912; 1.694]           74         65         1         [0.955; 1.798]           91         80         1         [0.927; 1.751]           120         113         1         [0.927; 1.751]           120         113         1         [0.610; 1.196]           119         111         1         1.458         [1.035; 2.054]           68         59         1         [1.305; 2.527]         11         8         0.686         [0.326; 1.442]           110         101         1         [0.326; 1.442]         137         122         1.120         [1.056; 1.188]           132         120         0.795         [0.589; 1.073]         56         49         1         1.373; 2.988]	of patients         of deaths         HR         95%CI         P           93         84         1         -         -           84         78         1.243         [0.912; 1.694]         0.1689           74         65         1         [0.912; 1.694]         0.01689           91         80         1         [0.955; 1.798]         0.0941           91         80         1         [0.927; 1.751]         0.1355           120         113         1         [0.927; 1.751]         0.1355           119         111         1         [0.610; 1.196]         0.3597           119         111         1         [1.035; 2.054]         0.0308           68         59         1         [1.305; 2.527]         0.0308           68         59         1         [1.305; 2.527]         0.0003           110         101         1         [0.326; 1.442]         0.0003           110         101         1         [0.326; 1.442]         0.0304           137         122         1.433         [1.035; 1.984]         0.0002           132         120         0.795         [0.589; 1.073]         0.1339           5	of patients         of deaths         HR         95%CI         P         HR           93         84         1         -         -         1           84         78         1.243         [0.912; 1.694]         0.1689         1.172           74         65         1         0.912; 1.694]         0.1689         1.172           91         80         1         0.927; 1.798]         0.0941         1.157           91         80         1         0.927; 1.751]         0.1355         1.148           120         113         1         0.01355         1.148           120         113         1         0.610; 1.196]         0.3597         1.032           119         111         1         1         1         1           54         47         1.458         [1.035; 2.054]         0.0308         1.260           68         59         1 </td <td>of patients         of deaths         HR         95%CI         P         HR         95%CI           93         84         1         -         -         1         -           84         78         1.243         [0.912; 1.694]         0.1689         1.172         [0.857; 1.603]           74         65         1         0.925; 1.798]         0.0941         1.157         [0.839; 1.59]           91         80         1         0.927; 1.751]         0.1355         1.148         [0.833; 1.581]           120         113         1         0.3597         1.032         [0.732; 1.457]           119         111         1         0.3597         1.032         [0.732; 1.457]           119         111         1         0.3597         1.032         [0.732; 1.457]           68         59         1         1.458         [1.035; 2.054]         0.0308         1.260         [0.892; 1.780]           68         59         1         1.632         [1.170; 2.276]         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1</td>	of patients         of deaths         HR         95%CI         P         HR         95%CI           93         84         1         -         -         1         -           84         78         1.243         [0.912; 1.694]         0.1689         1.172         [0.857; 1.603]           74         65         1         0.925; 1.798]         0.0941         1.157         [0.839; 1.59]           91         80         1         0.927; 1.751]         0.1355         1.148         [0.833; 1.581]           120         113         1         0.3597         1.032         [0.732; 1.457]           119         111         1         0.3597         1.032         [0.732; 1.457]           119         111         1         0.3597         1.032         [0.732; 1.457]           68         59         1         1.458         [1.035; 2.054]         0.0308         1.260         [0.892; 1.780]           68         59         1         1.632         [1.170; 2.276]         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1

Supplementary Table 1: Cox Univariate analyses for OS prediction with and without the stratified approach (sensitivity analysis)

Red characters represent significative results

# **SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES**

- 1- Kim S, Dobi E, Jary M, Monnien F, Curtit E, Nguyen T et al. Bifractionated CPT-11 with LV5FU2 infusion (FOLFIRI-3) in combination with bevacizumab: clinical outcomes in first-line metastatic colorectal cancers according to plasma angiopoietin-2 levels. BMC Cancer 2013; 13: 611.
- 2- Efron (1979) Bootstrap Methods: Another Look at the Jackknife. Ann. Stat. . Vol. 7. 1-26.
- 3- Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Sr., Steyerberg EW. Extensions of net reclassification improvement calculations to measure usefulness of new biomarkers. Stat Med 2011; 301: 11-21.