

In silico prediction of protein-protein interactions in human macrophages

Oussema Souiai, Fatma Guerfali, Slimane Ben Miled, Christine Brun, Alia

Benkahla

To cite this version:

Oussema Souiai, Fatma Guerfali, Slimane Ben Miled, Christine Brun, Alia Benkahla. In silico prediction of protein-protein interactions in human macrophages. BMC Research Notes, 2014, 7 (1), pp.157. $10.1186/1756-0500-7-157$. inserm-00981319

HAL Id: inserm-00981319 <https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00981319>

Submitted on 22 Apr 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH ARTICLE Example 2014 CONSIDERING A RESEARCH ARTICLE

In silico prediction of protein-protein interactions in human macrophages

Oussema Souiai^{1,2*}, Fatma Guerfali¹, Slimane Ben Miled^{1,3}, Christine Brun² and Alia Benkahla¹

Abstract

Background: Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analyses are highly valuable in deciphering and understanding the intricate organisation of cellular functions. Nevertheless, the majority of available protein-protein interaction networks are context-less, i.e. without any reference to the spatial, temporal or physiological conditions in which the interactions may occur. In this work, we are proposing a protocol to infer the most likely protein-protein interaction (PPI) network in human macrophages.

Results: We integrated the PPI dataset from the Agile Protein Interaction DataAnalyzer (APID) with different meta-data to infer a contextualized macrophage-specific interactome using a combination of statistical methods. The obtained interactome is enriched in experimentally verified interactions and in proteins involved in macrophage-related biological processes (i.e. immune response activation, regulation of apoptosis). As a case study, we used the contextualized interactome to highlight the cellular processes induced upon Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection.

Conclusion: Our work confirms that contextualizing interactomes improves the biological significance of bioinformatic analyses. More specifically, studying such inferred network rather than focusing at the gene expression level only, is informative on the processes involved in the host response. Indeed, important immune features such as apoptosis are solely highlighted when the spotlight is on the protein interaction level.

Keywords: Protein interaction network, Contextualisation, Macrophage, Inference

Background

Nowadays, infectious respiratory diseases such as tuberculosis (TB) are no longer a major concern for third world countries only. According to the WHO, one third of the worldwide population is infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) in a latent (Latent form Tuberculosis; LTB) and about ten million cases of Active Tuberculosis (ATB) occur annually [[1](#page-10-0)]. The HIV-TB co-infection also plays a major role in the increase of active tuberculosis cases around the world [[1](#page-10-0)]. Although TB is curable by an adequate antibiotic treatment, patient compliance is often problematic and many clinical cases show multi-drug resistance [[2](#page-10-0)]. These cumulated observations underscore the importance of continued investigation into the mechanisms used by the infectious agent, Mycobacterium tuberculosis,

* Correspondence: souiai@gmail.com

¹LIVGM + Laboratory of Medical Parasitology, Biotechnology and Biomolecules, Institut Pasteur de Tunis, Avenue Jugurtha, Tunis, Tunisia ²TAGC, Inserm UMR_S 1090, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

to persist and overturn inside the host cell. The TB infection mostly occurs by aerosols and MTB infects alveolar macrophages, which then provide an environment for replication and persistence of bacilli. To do so, the bacterium uses several host cellular pathways such as the PI(3)kinase network around PKB/AKT1 [[3](#page-10-0)] to subvert the immune response and to persist into the macrophage. In response, the host activates the same pathway to trigger the elimination of the pathogen [[4\]](#page-10-0). The intricacy of these mechanisms on one hand, and the potential utility of protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analyses to understand the various cellular mechanisms on the other hand, led us to hypothesise that identifying the PPI network in infected macrophages, would provide new insights concerning the infection and the persistence of the pathogen within its host cell. Indeed, PPI are key elements in the organisation of cellular functions [[5\]](#page-10-0). In the post-genomic era, most of these interactions have been identified by either of two high-throughput methods: the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) system [[6\]](#page-10-0) and affinity purification followed by

© 2014 Souiai et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

mass spectrometry (AP-MS) [\[7](#page-10-0)]. Numerous methods aiming at inferring interactions have also been proposed, based on sequence signatures and similarities, domain profiling or bayesian predictions [\[8](#page-10-0)-[11](#page-10-0)]. Overall, the assembly of all these PPI added to those identified by small-scale experiments, form large networks called 'interactomes' [\[12\]](#page-10-0). Bioinformatic analyses of these networks have led to numerous functional insights such as function prediction for uncharacterised proteins [\[13-18](#page-10-0)], evolution of the function of the duplicated genes [\[19-21](#page-10-0)] and the organisation of the signalling pathways [[22,23\]](#page-10-0).

However, it is important to note that these interactomes are devoid of spatio-temporal information. Indeed, interactions identified by the Y2H techniques are biophysically possible but physiologically context-less. They therefore remain hypothetical until their characterisation in particular conditions in vivo [[24\]](#page-10-0). In this context, the reconstruction of contextualised macrophage interactome is a crucial methodological step towards a comprehensive study of MTB infection. To support and strengthen the potential occurrence of the interactions discovered using high-throughput and bioinformatic inference methods in particular physiological contexts, additional functional features such as co-expression correlations, genetic interactions, and functional protein annotations have been routinely used as secondary meta-data to contextualize interactomes [[25-27](#page-10-0)] particularly in a bayesian framework [\[28\]](#page-10-0).

In this work, we propose a contextualised macrophage PPI network resulting from the combination of PPIs with functional annotations and expression data. To achieve this, we used as an initial step, statistical and functional criteria to select a Confidence Subset (CS) of interactions containing those likely occurring in vivo in the human macrophage. After showing the reliability of the CS, we used it as a cornerstone to infer the most likely macrophage interactome. The summary of the complete pipeline is illustrated in Figure 1.

We then verified the specificity of the contextualized macrophage interactome composed of 30,182 interactions by showing that it is enriched in proteins related to the immune response, expressed in macrophages according to the Human Protein Atlas [[29](#page-10-0)] and HPRD [[30\]](#page-10-0) and belonging to the host regulatory network during MTB infection [\[31](#page-10-0)] as well as in interactions reported to occur in macrophages according to InnateDB [[32\]](#page-10-0). As a last step, aiming at pointing towards the modifications of the macrophage interactome induced by MTB exposure, we used the contextualized interactome to highlight the cellular processes at work upon MTB infection. Interestingly, we showed that considering protein interactions rather than differentially expressed genes provides complementary functional information.

Results

Contextualizing the interactome Integrating data to constitute a full dataset

We extracted the human interaction dataset from the APID database [[33](#page-10-0)]. Additional information was integrated to describe each interaction. The following qualitative and quantitative descriptors were used: independent methodological proofs and reports of the interaction, gene co-expression in macrophage, functional co-annotation and sub-cellular co-localisation of the interaction partners ([see Material & Methods](#page-8-0) for the detailed processing of the descriptors). For the sake of clarity, the full dataset composed of the values taken by the descriptors of each interaction was named the Full Set (FS).

Defining a confidence subset (CS) of macrophage interactions

From the FS composed of 38,832 interactions involving 9,813 proteins, we extracted a Confidence Subset (CS) composed of interactions that likely occur in macrophages, using functional and statistical parameters. For this, we used principal component analysis (PCA) that allows assembling parameters showing similar behaviours (see [Material & Methods](#page-8-0) for details). According to the correlations obtained, the number of reports and evidences are correlated as well as the number of common Gene Ontology terms describing the cellular components and biological processes in which protein pairs are involved (Figure 2). These statistical observations are used to discriminate the CS interactions.

Considering that gene co-expression is routinely used as a parameter in contextualization attempts [[25,26\]](#page-10-0), we included only interactions between the products of genes co-expressed in macrophages in the CS. Ultimately, considering that proteins composed of known interacting domains have higher confidence in the PPI network, we selected only interactions between partners sharing interacting domains according to PFAM annotations.

Overall, each interaction belonging to the CS obeys the following criteria (Figure [3,](#page-4-0) [Material & Methods](#page-8-0) for details):

- 1) the genes encoding the interacting proteins must be co-expressed in normal, uninfected macrophages;
- the protein partners must share interacting domains according to PFAM;
- 3) protein partners must share functional Gene Ontology annotations;
- 4) the interaction must have been identified several times by independent experiments.

In this way, a CS composed of 530 interactions involving 594 proteins was obtained. The analysis of the Gene Ontology terms annotating those proteins showed that the CS is enriched in terms related to immune

system development (Fold Change, $FC = 3$, p-value = 1.06×10^{-5}), to regulation of apoptosis processes (FC = 3.29, p-value = 2.2×10^{-26}), to regulation of cell death $(FC = 3.6, p-value = 8.1 \times 10^{-29})$ and to regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB cascade (FC = 5.1, p-value = 5.7×10^{-11}) (Additional file [1:](#page-9-0) Table S1).

Aiming to further gain confidence in the CS, we compared our empirical filtering process to clusters obtained upon applying an unsupervised clustering method to the FS. Interestingly, the Self Organizing Map (SOM) [\[34\]](#page-10-0) analysis showed that 64% of the interactions contained in the CS are grouped in a single cluster, the remaining interactions being located in 5 out of 16 clusters (Figure [4](#page-5-0)). This shows that the interactions grouped into the CS according to the criterion empirically chosen (described above) are in agreement with clusters obtained mathematically using an unsupervised algorithm.

In conclusion, the functional enrichment of relevant groups of genes and the satisfactory comparison to unsupervised clustering reinforce the hypothesis that the interactions composing the CS likely occurring in the macrophage.

Delineating the macrophage protein interaction network

To identify the most likely macrophage PPI network, the interactions most resembling those of the CS were selected by computing a similarity distance. To this end, the CS interactions barycentre was first identified and compared to the descriptor values of the FS interactions. In this case, the barycentre is computed as the centre of mass of all the CS interactions. In other words, considering that CS interactions represents a cloud of points in a multidimensional space with an axis for each of the variables (descriptors), the barycentre of these interactions is defined by the mean of each variable. The barycentre is identified for CS elements as a centroid point whose coordinates represent a

vector as follows:
$$
\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}CSd_{1}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}CSd_{2}, ..., \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}CDd_{8}\right],
$$

where CSd_i represents the confidence subset descriptor index.

Second, we computed and compared the distributions of the Euclidean distance values between the barycentre and the CS interactions on one hand, and the FS interactions on the other hand (Figure [5](#page-5-0)). We then considered as possible in a macrophage, all the FS interactions showing a distance value to the barycentre less than 4.2, i.e. the value corresponding to 95% of the surface of the CS distribution. In other words, this cut-off was used to select a CS-like behaviour among the FS interactions.

The resulting Contextualized Interactome (= CI) is composed of 30,182 interactions involving 8,633 proteins, corresponding to 75% of the initial FS. This ratio can be taken to mean that nearly 75% of the interactions composing an interactome are possible in a given tissue [\[35\]](#page-10-0).

Validating the macrophage protein interaction network

In order to increase our confidence in the contextualisation process, we verified the functional enrichment of the CI compared to the FS. We found that interactions involved in regulation of apoptosis (FC = 1.05, p-value = 1.05×10^{-7}) and cellular death mechanisms

are exposures in the CI. pathways involved in the immune response to pathogenic are enriched, underlining the over-representation of $(FC = 1.044$ p-value = 2.2 × 10 exposures in the CI pathways involved in the immune response to pathogenic $(FC = 1.044 \text{ p-value} = 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ (Additional file 2: Table S2) enriched, underlining the over-representation of) (Additional file [2:](#page-9-0) Table S2)

the (Additional file [4:](#page-9-0) Figure S2). compared to those obtained from randomized interactomes compared to those obtained from randomized interactomes tional annotations terms are more significantly enriched Additional file [3:](#page-9-0) Table S5). Similarly, the CI observed funcenriched compared to those of the FS (See Figure 6 and enriched compared to those of the FS (See Figure 6 and the FS, its functional terms are more significantly (Additional file 4: Figure S2). tional annotations terms are more significantly enriched Additional file 3: Table S5). Similarly, the CI observed func-Although the CI corresponds to three Although the CI corresponds to three quarters of ES its functional terms are more significantly quarters of

macrophages macrophages according to InnateDB [\[32](#page-10-0)], a database enriched in interactions experimentally identified in enriched in to random interaction sets. Likewise, the CI is statistically to random interaction sets. Likewise, the CI is statistically tions reported in the MTB regulatory network compared tions reported in the MTB regulatory network compared cantly enriched (p-value $< 2.2 \times 10$ obtained interaction sets. Interestingly, the CI is signifiexposure to MTB [\[31](#page-10-0)] with the CI and with randomly exposure to MTB [31] with the CI and with randomly compared the compared the host regulatory network following an cantly enriched (p-value $< 2.2 \times$ To further assess, statistically, the resulting CI, To further assess, statistically, the resulting CI, we according interactions experimentally identified in host regulatory network following an \overline{c} InnateDB −16
;
∪−16 $[32]$, a t-test) in interact-test) in interacdatabase $M_{\rm e}$

see Materiel & Methods for details). devoted to innate immunity (t -test p-value < 2.2 \times 10-16 see [Materiel & Methods](#page-8-0) for details). devoted to innate immunity (t -test p-value < 2.2 \times 10-16,

Atlas interactome (p-value = 3.56 \times 10⁻²²) (see Figure 7). more significantly with the Protein the HPRD macrophage interactome (p-value = 0.022) and Methods for details). The CI overlaps satisfactorily with other sources: the macrophage proteome from Protein overlap of CI with interactomes contextualised using interactome (p-value = 3.56×10 more significantly with the Protein Atlas macrophage the HPRD macrophage interactome (p-value = 0.022) and [Methods](#page-8-0) for details). The CI overlaps satisfactorily with Atlas [[29\]](#page-10-0) and HPRD [[30\]](#page-10-0) (see [the Materiel &](#page-8-0) other sources: the macrophage proteome from Protein overlap of CI with interactomes contextualised using To complement To complement our analysis, we computed the [29] and HPRD our analysis, $[30]$ (see the we) (see Figure [7](#page-7-0)). Atlas macrophage computed the **Materiel** &

ized interactome (CI). Altogether, these comparisons summarised in Figure $\bar{7}$ emphasize the "macrophagic" specificity of the contextualized interactome (CI). emphasize the Altogether, these comparisons summarised in Figure [7](#page-7-0) specificity of the contextual- \sim 1

 $\bf \omega$ macrophage interactome, In order to evaluate the pertinence of the contextualized Study case: macrophage cellular processes modulated by macrophage interactome, we used it in the following In order to evaluate the pertinence of the contextualized a bacillary infection from an interactome point of view Study case: macrophage cellular processes modulated by bacillary infection from an interactome point of view we used it in the following

The expression signatures of macrophages infected with MTB have been characterized in three independent studies [\[31,36,37](#page-10-0)]. By combining these data, we obtained two lists of down-regulated and up-regulated genes upon MTB infection. Based on the SAM algorithm (Additional file [5:](#page-9-0) Figure S1), we have ultimately cumulated 3,724 under-expressed genes and 1,651 over-expressed genes from the three transcriptomic experiments. We focused on these genes, knowing that MTB infection regulates the activity of particular host genes and cellular processes to its own benefits. To evaluate the insights brought by a PPI level analysis versus a classical differential gene expression approach, we extended the list of genes revealed by SAM to their first interactors in the CI, thus defining two sub-networks of 2,966 and 1,435 interactions anchored respectively on the 3,724 under-expressed and the 1,651 genes over-expressed upon infection (note that not all the modulated genes have interactions in the CI). We then compared the functional enrichments of the modulated gene lists and their resulting sub-networks. As shown in Additional file [6:](#page-9-0) Table S3, whereas the GO terms 'response to oxygen levels', 'cell substrate adhesion', 'cell matrix adhesion', 'positive T cell selection' are the most enriched terms when only under-expressed genes are considered, 'regulation of programmed cell death', 'negative and positive regulation of apoptosis' or 'response to wounding' are found to be overrepresented when the interactors are taken into account. Similarly, considering the up-regulated genes

and their associated sub-network led to the same finding (see Additional file [7:](#page-9-0) Table S4).

Therefore, focusing on the interactions involving the products of the regulated genes rather than only on the expression of the genes favours the emergence of functional aspects caused by MTB infection. Among these aspects, the regulation of the apoptosis is known to be highly targeted and controlled by the pathogen during the different phase of infection and persistence in the macrophage, as is nicely discussed by Lee and colleagues [[38\]](#page-10-0). Notably, although these regulatory aspects are crucial for the outcome of infection, they are more significantly and extensively revealed at the systemic scale by focusing on the PPI. These findings highlight the need to consider infection of the host by a pathogen at the level of the functional module, defined as a group of interacting proteins involved in the same pathway or biological process, instead of focusing solely on genes or their products.

Moreover, considering the interactome revealed that the products of the down-regulated genes after infection, are closer to each other in the network than the rest of the CI proteins. This supports the hypothesis that MTB targets proteins participating to the same pathways. Indeed, the shortest path values between the downregulated genes are significantly lower than the shortest path between the CI proteins (Mean paths for CI and down-regulated genes within the CI are respectively 3.3 and 4.5 (p-values 0.002557; t-test)).

Overall, these results suggest that the bacillus acts upon key proteins, which are closely connected within the network to regulate the host response.

Discussion and conclusions

Interactomes are undoubtedly a remarkable means to investigate infectious diseases. By multiplying data types and sources, we are able to increase the pertinence of the downstream conclusions.

In this study, we proposed a method to contextualise the interactome of a particular cell type by integrating diverse information. In the data integration process, the expression correlation is subject of debate. Even though this parameter has been taken into account to propose contextualised interactomes [\[25](#page-10-0)], this hypothesis has to be considered carefully. Indeed high mRNA expression levels do not necessarily imply a correlated protein expression level and moreover, do not imply the interaction between partner proteins [[39\]](#page-10-0).

An interaction requires the presence of both interacting proteins for its accomplishment. This condition is necessary but not sufficient. In the competitive cellular environment, the occurrence of a particular interaction rather than another possible interaction depends on physico-chemical factors (temperature, pH, covalent modifications such as phosphorylation) [\[40](#page-10-0)]. These observations have to be taken into consideration to improve the contextualisation process. Nevertheless, although integrating tissue and cell type information into interaction network is certainly a desirable goal (see discussion of [[41\]](#page-10-0)), few attempts have been reported. Interestingly, only a few types of data were integrated at one time: Bossi and Lehner [\[25\]](#page-10-0) proposed tissue specific interactomes by integrating gene expression and PPI showing that most 'housekeeping' proteins have important tissue-specific interactions; similarly, Rachlin and colleagues [[27](#page-10-0)] provided networks dedicated to particular biological processes by contextualizing them with Gene Ontology terms. The multiplicity of the integrated data sources was also brought together in a bayesian framework, aiming at proposing functional maps to help the user to build functional hypothesis [[28\]](#page-10-0) and in the analysis of a diverse collection of genome-wide data sets (gene expression, protein interactions, growth phenotype data, and transcription factor binding) to decipher the yeast system modular organisation [[42\]](#page-11-0). Our approach relies on the fact that we used multiple sources of data in order to be able to propose a tissue-specific network of high confidence. The use of multiple data descriptors offers a global view and aims at minimizing the biases for interactome contextualisation.

Second, we used a learning approach based on the constitution of a statistically and functionally reliable CS in order to select the interactions likely to occur in a macrophage. Contextualising networks and defining dense sub-networks and functional modules governing the host response to infection offers a complementary approach to classical analysis for the investigation of infectious diseases. Moreover, considering the modular composition of the host interactomes allows inclusion in the analyses of major actors of the immune response and maintenance of cell fate that would not have been tractable if considering gene or protein data alone. Overall, our work suggests that contextualizing interactomes improves the biological significance of bioinformatics analyses.

Methods

Human interactome descriptors

From APID we extracted an interactome dataset composed of 38832 interactions involving 9831 proteins. Features were added to compose a dataset of interactions described by functional and quantitative descriptors:

- 1. # methods: This information is extracted from APID and corresponds to the number of experimental validations describing the interaction according to the molecular interaction controlled vocabulary PSI-MI [[43](#page-11-0)]. Only leaves of the PSI-MI experimental validation tree were selected.
- 2. # publications: extracted from APID. Corresponds to the number of articles indexed in PubMed and reporting the interaction.
- 3. iPFAM value: extracted from APID. Identifies whether the interactors pair contains domains known as interacting according to the Pfam database [[44](#page-11-0)].
- 4. GO-proxy: this program is part of the GOToolBox suite [\[45\]](#page-11-0). It computes a similarity index between the interactors on the basis of the GO annotation terms they share. The similarity index corresponds to Czekanowski-Dice formula [\[13,](#page-10-0)[46](#page-11-0)].
- 5. # of common GO biological process terms: represents the number of common GO biological processes shared by the interacting proteins. For sake of precision, we only consider terms found at level 3 in the ontology tree.
- 6. # of common GO cellular component terms: corresponds to the number of common GO cellular components shared by interactors.
- 7. # of common KEGG pathways: corresponds to the number of KEGG pathways shared by the interactors.
- 8. Co-expression value: macrophage expression data from Chaussabel and colleagues [\[36\]](#page-10-0), downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO) [[47](#page-11-0)]. Each probe set corresponds to a mRNA and

was categorized either by Present, Absent or Marginal. The Presence/Absence call of the mRNA was calculated according to the MAS5.0 algorithm [[48\]](#page-11-0).

To evaluate the occurrence of the interaction considering the Presence/Absence status of the mRNA, we assumed the following hypotheses:

- i. the presence of the mRNA implies the presence of the corresponding protein: the mRNA is detected as present according to the SAM algorithm [[49\]](#page-11-0);
- ii. for a couple of proteins interacting in vitro, if both proteins are considered as present within a targeted cell according to the hypothesis (i), we assume that the interaction is bio-physically possible in that condition.

Enrichment/depletion analysis parameters

The functional analysis webtool from DAVID [\(http://david.](http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) [abcc.ncifcrf.gov/\)](http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) [\[50\]](#page-11-0) was used to statistically investigate the terms over-/under-represented in the set of proteins belonging to the CS and the CI. The human genome was used as reference to compare the FS and the CI enrichments (Additional file 3: Table S5).

The set of proteins composing the FS interactions was used as reference to compute the enrichment of the CS (Additional file 1: Table S1) and the enrichment of the CI (Additional file 2: Table S2).

The set of proteins composing the CI interactions was used as reference to compute the enrichment of the sub-networks of down-regulated genes and their first interactors (Additional file 6: Table S3) and the enrichment of the sub-networks of up-regulated genes and their first interactors (Additional file 7: Table S4).

The p-values were calculated using a hyper-geometric law and corrected for multi-testing with the Benjamini and Hochberg correction.

Confidence subset statistical relevance

The CS relevance was assessed by using two distinct clustering algorithms.

Self organizing Map (SOM)

We used an unsupervised neural network method, the Self-Organizing Map (SOM) [[34\]](#page-10-0) for clustering and visualising the high-dimensional complex inferred data on a single map. We applied a Euclidean SOM to the APID original dataset composed of 38832 interactions, with the following parameters: map size 5×10 , Gaussian as neighbour, linear initialisation and rectangular topology. The subset composed of 530 interactions was distributed on three neighbouring clusters. The first one contains 437 interactions, the second contains 83 and the third 10.

Principal component analysis (PCA)

The R graphical library Rcmdr was used to import and normalise the FS.

This PCA allowed summarising 81% of the global information.

Contextualised interactomes: We compared the CI to other contextualised macrophage interactome from various data sources:

Protein atlas contextualised interactomes: We queried Protein Atlas [\[29](#page-10-0)] [\(http://www.proteinatlas.org/](http://www.proteinatlas.org/)), to extract a list of proteins having a strong expression in macrophages (1990). To generate a contextualized interactome, we retained only the interactions of the FS between proteins pairs having a macrophage protein expression.

HPRD macrophage interactome: From HPRD database (HPRD_Release9_041310), we selected a subset of proteins localised in the macrophage. We finally obtained 201 interactions between interacting partners both localised in the macrophage based on the tissular expression field of the database.

Additional files

[Additional file 1: Table S1.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-7-157-S1.xls) Enrichment analysis of the Confidence subset (CS) using the The FS as reference.

[Additional file 2: Table S2.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-7-157-S2.xls) Enrichment analysis of the Contextualized interactome (CI) using the The FS as reference.

[Additional file 3: Table S5.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-7-157-S3.xls) Enrichment analysis of the Confidence subset (CI) and the FS as reference using the genome as reference.

[Additional file 4: Figure S2.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-7-157-S4.png) Top 50 comparison enrichment terms p-values between CI and five randomised CI(s): The CI enrichments p-values (black line) are more enriched than the observed randomised CI enrichments p-values (p1, p2, p3, p4 and p5). T-test comparisons were performed between the CI and each randomised set of interactions (p1 to p5). The difference remains significant in each case with t-test p-values varying from 4.166e-05(p2) to 0.03316(p1).

[Additional file 5: Figure S1.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-7-157-S5.png) Constitution of down-regulated and up-regulated gene sets. These genes were identified through SAM analysis (Significance analysis of microarray) with respect to median false discovery rate of 1%. Red points correspond to up-regulated genes and green points correspond to down-regulated genes. Top analysis [[37](#page-10-0)]; Medium analysis [\[31](#page-10-0)]; Bottom analysis [\[36](#page-10-0)]. Ultimately these analyses allowed respectively the constitution of respectively 3724 and 1651 up-regulated and down-regulated gene sets.

[Additional file 6: Table S3.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-7-157-S6.xls) Enrichment of the sub-networks of down-regulated genes and their first interactors.

[Additional file 7: Table S4.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-7-157-S7.xls) Enrichment of the sub-networks of up-regulated genes and their first interactors.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

OS compiled data analyzed interactomes and wrote the initial draft under the supervision of CB and AB. FG and SM collaborated respectively to transcriptomic and statistical analyses. CB and AB reviewed the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Colin Tinsley for his contributions in English spelling and grammar revisions and Javier De Las Rivas for making APID data available.

Author details

¹LIVGM + Laboratory of Medical Parasitology, Biotechnology and Biomolecules, Institut Pasteur de Tunis, Avenue Jugurtha, Tunis, Tunisia. ²TAGC, Inserm UMR_S 1090, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France. 3 ENIT-LAMSIN BP 37, Tunis, Tunisia.

Received: 14 May 2013 Accepted: 7 March 2014 Published: 17 March 2014

References

- Harries AD, Dye C: Tuberculosis. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2006, 100:415-431.
- 2. Shenoi S, Friedland G: Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: a new face to an old pathogen. Annu Rev Med 2009, 60:307–320.
- 3. Kuijl C, Savage NDL, Marsman M, Tuin AW, Janssen L, Egan DA, Ketema M, van den Nieuwendijk R, van den Eeden SJF, Geluk A, Poot A, van der Marel G, Beijersbergen RL, Overkleeft H, Ottenhoff THM, Neefjes J: Intracellular bacterial growth is controlled by a kinase network around PKB/AKT1. Nature 2007, 450:725–730.
- Tiwari S, Choi H-P, Matsuzawa T, Pypaert M, MacMicking JD: Targeting of the GTPase Irgm1 to the phagosomal membrane via PtdIns(3,4)P(2) and PtdIns(3,4,5)P(3) promotes immunity to mycobacteria. Nat Immunol 2009, 10:907–917.
- Dittrich MT, Klau GW, Rosenwald A, Dandekar T, Müller T: Identifying functional modules in protein-protein interaction networks: an integrated exact approach. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 2008, 24:i223-i231.
- 6. Suter B, Kittanakom S, Stagljar I: Two-hybrid technologies in proteomics research. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2008, 19:316–323.
- 7. Gavin A-C, Maeda K, Kühner S: Recent advances in charting proteinprotein interaction: mass spectrometry-based approaches. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2011, 22:42–49.
- 8. Lee H, Deng M, Sun F, Chen T: An integrated approach to the prediction of domain-domain interactions. BMC Bioinforma 2006, 7:269.
- 9. Singhal M, Resat H: A domain-based approach to predict protein-protein interactions. BMC Bioinforma 2007, 8:199.
- 10. Sprinzak E, Margalit H: Correlated sequence-signatures as markers of protein-protein interaction. J Mol Biol 2001, 311:681–692.
- 11. Yu H, Luscombe NM, Lu HX, Zhu X, Xia Y, Han JD, Bertin N, Chung S, Vidal M, Gerstein M: Annotation transfer between genomes: protein-protein interologs and protein-DNA regulogs. Genome Res 2004, 14:1107–1118.
- 12. Sanchez C, Lachaize C, Janody F, Bellon B, Roder L, Euzenat J, Rechenmann F, Jacq B: Grasping at molecular interactions and genetic networks in Drosophila melanogaster using FlyNets, an Internet database. Nucleic Acids Res 1999, 27:89–94.
- 13. Brun C, Chevenet F, Martin D, Wojcik J, Guénoche A, Jacq B: Functional classification of proteins for the prediction of cellular function from a protein-protein interaction network. Genome Biol 2003, 5:R6
- 14. Dutkowski J, Tiuryn J: Phylogeny-guided interaction mapping in seven eukaryotes. BMC Bioinforma 2009, 10:393.
- 15. Li H, Liang S: Local network topology in human protein interaction data predicts functional association. Plos One 2009, 4:e6410.
- 16. Sharan R, Ulitsky I, Shamir R: Network-based prediction of protein function. Mol Syst Biol 2007. 3:88
- 17. Tu K, Yu H, Li Y-X: Combining gene expression profiles and protein-protein interaction data to infer gene functions. J Biotechnol 2006, 124:475–485.
- Wu Y, Lonardi S: A linear-time algorithm for predicting functional annotations from PPI networks. J Bioinform Comput Biol 2008, 6:1049-1065.
- 19. Baudot A, Jacq B, Brun C: A scale of functional divergence for yeast duplicated genes revealed from analysis of the protein-protein interaction network. Genome Biol 2004, 5:R76.
- 20. Makino T, Gojobori T: Evolution of protein-protein interaction network. Genome Dyn 2007, 3:13–29.
- 21. Makino T, Suzuki Y, Gojobori T: Differential evolutionary rates of duplicated genes in protein interaction network. Gene 2006, 385:57–63.
- 22. Baudot A, Angelelli JB, Guénoche A, Jacq B, Brun C: Defining a modular signalling network from the fly interactome. Bmc Syst Biol 2008, 2:45.
- 23. Qian X, Yoon B-J: Effective identification of conserved pathways in biological networks using hidden Markov models. PloS One 2009, 4:e8070.
- 24. Venkatesan K, Rual JF, Vazquez A, Stelzl U, Lemmens I, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, Hao T, Zenkner M, Xin X, Goh KI, Yildirim MA, Simonis N, Heinzmann K, Gebreab F, Sahalie JM, Cevik S, Simon C, de Smet AS, Dann E, Smolyar A, Vinayagam A, Yu H, Szeto D, Borick H, Dricot A, Klitgord N, Murray RR, Lin C, Lalowski M, Timm J, Rau K, Boone C, Braun P, Cusick ME, Roth FP, Hill DE, Tavernier J, Wanker EE, Barabási AL, Vidal M: An empirical framework for binary interactome mapping. Nat Methods 2009, 6:83–90.
- 25. Bossi A, Lehner B: Tissue specificity and the human protein interaction network. Mol Syst Biol 2009, 5:260.
- 26. Lefebvre C, Rajbhandari P, Alvarez MJ, Bandaru P, Lim WK, Sato M, Wang K, Sumazin P, Kustagi M, Bisikirska BC, Basso K, Beltrao P, Krogan N, Gautier J, Dalla-Favera R, Califano A: A human B-cell interactome identifies MYB and FOXM1 as master regulators of proliferation in germinal centers. Mol Syst Biol 2010, 6:377.
- 27. Rachlin J, Cohen DD, Cantor C, Kasif S: Biological context networks: a mosaic view of the interactome. Mol Syst Biol 2006, 2:66.
- 28. Myers CL, Chiriac C, Troyanskaya OG: Discovering biological networks from diverse functional genomic data. Methods Mol Biol Clifton Nj 2009, 563:157–175.
- 29. Uhlen M, Oksvold P, Fagerberg L, Lundberg E, Jonasson K, Forsberg M, Zwahlen M, Kampf C, Wester K, Hober S, Wernerus H, Björling L, Ponten F: Towards a knowledge-based human protein atlas. Nat Biotechnol 2010, 28:1248–1250.
- 30. Peri S, Navarro JD, Amanchy R, Kristiansen TZ, Jonnalagadda CK, Surendranath V, Niranjan V, Muthusamy B, Gandhi TK, Gronborg M, Ibarrola N, Deshpande N, Shanker K, Shivashankar HN, Rashmi BP, Ramya MA, Zhao Z, Chandrika KN, Padma N, Harsha HC, Yatish AJ, Kavitha MP, Menezes M, Choudhury DR, Suresh S, Ghosh N, Saravana R, Chandran S, Krishna S, Joy M, Anand SK, Madavan V, Joseph A, Wong GW, Schiemann WP, Constantinescu SN, Huang L, Khosravi-Far R, Steen H, Tewari M, Ghaffari S, Blobe GC, Dang CV, Garcia JG, Pevsner J, Jensen ON, Roepstorff P, Deshpande KS, Chinnaiyan AM, Hamosh A, Chakravarti A, Pandey A: Development of human protein reference database as an initial platform for approaching systems biology in humans. Genome Res 2003, 13:2363–2371.
- 31. Kumar P, Kumar D, Parikh A, Rananaware D, Gupta M, Singh Y, Nandicoori VK: The Mycobacterium tuberculosis protein kinase K modulates activation of transcription from the promoter of mycobacterial monooxygenase operon through phosphorylation of the transcriptional regulator VirS. J Biol Chem 2009, 284:11090–11099.
- 32. Lynn DJ, Winsor GL, Chan C, Richard N, Laird MR, Barsky A, Gardy JL, Roche FM, Chan THW, Shah N, Lo R, Naseer M, Que J, Yau M, Acab M, Tulpan D, Whiteside MD, Chikatamarla A, Mah B, Munzner T, Hokamp K, Hancock REW, Brinkman FSL: InnateDB: facilitating systems-level analyses of the mammalian innate immune response. Mol Syst Biol 2008, 4:218.
- 33. Prieto C, De Las Rivas J: APID: Agile Protein Interaction DataAnalyzer. Nucleic Acids Res 2006, 34(Web Server issue):W298–W302.
- 34. Kohonen T: Self-Organizing Maps. Springer; 2000. [http://www.springer.com/](http://www.springer.com/physics/complexity/book/978-3-540-67921-9) [physics/complexity/book/978-3-540-67921-9.](http://www.springer.com/physics/complexity/book/978-3-540-67921-9)
- Souiai O, Becker E, Prieto C, Benkahla A, De las Rivas J, Brun C: Functional integrative levels in the human interactome recapitulate organ organization. PloS One 2011, 6:e22051.
- 36. Chaussabel D, Semnani RT, McDowell MA, Sacks D, Sher A, Nutman TB: Unique gene expression profiles of human macrophages and dendritic cells to phylogenetically distinct parasites. Blood 2003, 102:672–681.
- Tailleux L, Waddell SJ, Pelizzola M, Mortellaro A, Withers M, Tanne A, Castagnoli PR, Gicquel B, Stoker NG, Butcher PD, Foti M, Neyrolles O: Probing host pathogen cross-talk by transcriptional profiling of both Mycobacterium tuberculosis and infected human dendritic cells and macrophages. Plos One 2008, 3:e1403.
- 38. Lee J, Hartman M, Kornfeld H: Macrophage apoptosis in tuberculosis. Yonsei Med J 2009, 50:1-11.
- 39. De Sousa AR, Penalva LO, Marcotte EM, Vogel C: Global signatures of protein and mRNA expression levels. Mol Biosyst 2009, 5:1512–1526.
- 40. Nooren IMA, Thornton JM: Structural characterisation and functional significance of transient protein-protein interactions. J Mol Biol 2003, 325:991–1018.
- 41. Huttenhower C, Haley EM, Hibbs MA, Dumeaux V, Barrett DR, Coller HA, Troyanskaya OG: Exploring the human genome with functional maps. Genome Res 2009, 19:1093–1106.
- 42. Tanay A, Sharan R, Kupiec M, Shamir R: Revealing modularity and organization in the yeast molecular network by integrated analysis of highly heterogeneous genomewide data. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101:2981–2986.
- 43. Hermjakob H, Montecchi-Palazzi L, Bader G, Wojcik J, Salwinski L, Ceol A, Moore S, Orchard S, Sarkans U, von Mering C, Roechert B, Poux S, Jung E, Mersch H, Kersey P, Lappe M, Li Y, Zeng R, Rana D, Nikolski M, Husi H, Brun C, Shanker K, Grant SG, Sander C, Bork P, Zhu W, Pandey A, Brazma A, Jacq B, Vidal M, Sherman D, Legrain P, Cesareni G, Xenarios I, Eisenberg D, Steipe B, Hogue C, Apweiler R: The HUPO PSI's molecular interaction format–a community standard for the representation of protein interaction data. Nat Biotechnol 2004, 22:177–183.
- 44. Finn RD, Marshall M, Bateman A: iPfam: visualization of protein-protein interactions in PDB at domain and amino acid resolutions. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 2005, 21:410–412.
- 45. Martin D, Brun C, Remy E, Mouren P, Thieffry D, Jacq B: GOToolBox: functional analysis of gene datasets based on Gene Ontology. Genome Biol 2004, 5:R101.
- 46. Dice L: Measures of the amount of ecologic association between species. Ecology 1945, 26:297–302.
- 47. Barrett T, Troup DB, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Rudnev D, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Soboleva A, Tomashevsky M, Marshall KA, Phillippy KH, Sherman PM, Muertter RN, Edgar R: NCBI GEO: archive for high-throughput functional genomic data. Nucleic Acids Res 2009, 37(Database issue):D885–D890.
- 48. Eschrich SA, Hoerter AM: Libaffy: software for processing Affymetrix GeneChip data. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 2007, 23:1562–1564.
- 49. Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G: Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:5116–5121.
- 50. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 2009, 4:44–57.

doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-157

Cite this article as: Souiai et al.: In silico prediction of protein-protein interactions in human macrophages. BMC Research Notes 2014 7:157.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

(BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit