HAL
open science

Smoking behavior characteristics of non-selected smokers with childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (AD/HD) history: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Guillaume Fond, Anderson Loundou, Sébastien Guillaume, Xavier Quantin, Alexandra Macgregor, Régis Lopez, Philippe Courtet, Paquito Bernard, Daniel Bailly, Mocrane Abbar, et al.

To cite this version:

Guillaume Fond, Anderson Loundou, Sébastien Guillaume, Xavier Quantin, Alexandra Macgregor, et al.. Smoking behavior characteristics of non-selected smokers with childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (AD/HD) history: a systematic review and meta-analysis.. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience, 2014, 264 (5), pp.379-89. 10.1007/s00406-014-0497-5 . inserm-00959979

HAL Id: inserm-00959979

https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00959979v1

Submitted on 17 Mar 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Table 1. Methodological quality of the case-control studies (N = 9)[1]

	Yes N	No N	Unclear N
Cases			
Was the clinical setting used for recruitmentmade clear?	8	1	0
Was the denominator from which caseswere recruited described?	9	0	0
Was duration of illness adequately described?	8	1	0
Was medication use adequately described?	3	6	0
Was adequate information given on the total number of patients approached?	4	5	0
Was information given on participants and non-participants?	3	6	0
Was information given on the differences between participants and refusers?	0	9	0
Were the inclusion and exclusion criteria describedwell enough to be replicable?	9	0	0
Controls			
Were controls selected froman explicit sampling frame?	9	0	0
Were similar exclusion criteria applied for controls as for cases?	4	5	0
Was information given on number of controls approached?	9	0	0
Was adequate information given on differences between controls refusing and agreeing?	0	9	0
Information bias			
Were the investigatorswho rated the exposuremasked to participants' status?	9	0	0

1. Lee W, Bindman J, Ford T, Glozier N, Moran P, Stewart R, Hotopf M. Bias in psychiatric case-control studies: literature survey. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2007;190:204-209.