

Host-targeting agents for prevention and treatment of viral hepatitis C- perspectives and challenges

Mirjam B. Zeisel^{1,2,*}, Joachim Lupberger^{1,2}, Isabel Fofana^{1,2}, Thomas F. Baumert^{1,2,3,*}

¹Inserm, U748, Strasbourg, France, ²Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France, ³Pôle Hépatodigestif, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

Word count: abstract: 190 words; main text: 3700 words

Figures and tables: 3 figures, 1 table

References: 120

Key point boxes: 2

***Corresponding authors:** Mirjam B. Zeisel, PhD, PharmD, Inserm U748, Université de Strasbourg, 3 Rue Koeberlé, F-67000 Strasbourg, France; Phone: (+33) 3 68 85 37 03, Fax: (+33) 3 68 85 37 24, e-mail: Mirjam.Zeisel@unistra.fr and Thomas F. Baumert, M. D., Inserm Unit 748, Université de Strasbourg, 3 rue Koeberlé, F-67000 Strasbourg, France; Phone: (+33) 3 68 85 37 03, Fax: (+33) 3 68 85 37 24, e-mail: Thomas.Baumert@unistra.fr

Abbreviations: DAA: direct-acting antiviral; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HTA: host-targeting agent; IFN: interferon; miR: microRNA; RBV: ribavirin

Abstract

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a major cause of chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma worldwide. Furthermore, HCV-induced liver disease is a major indication of liver transplantation. In the past years, direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) targeting HCV enzymes have been developed. DAAs increase the virologic response to anti-HCV therapy but may lead to selection of drug-resistant variants and treatment failure. To date, strategies to prevent HCV infection are still lacking and antiviral therapy in immunocompromised patients, patients with advanced liver disease and HIV/HCV-co-infection remains limited. Alternative or complementary approaches addressing the limitations of current antiviral therapies are to boost the host's innate immunity or interfere with host factors required for pathogenesis. Host-targeting agents (HTAs) provide an interesting perspective for novel antiviral strategies against viral hepatitis since they have (i) a high genetic barrier to resistance (ii) a pan-genotypic antiviral activity and (iii) complementary mechanisms of action to DAAs and might therefore act in a synergistic manner with current standard of care or DAAs in clinical development. This review highlights HTAs against HCV infection that have potential as novel antivirals, are in clinical development, or are already in clinical use.

With approximately 170 million infected individuals worldwide, hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a major cause of chronic liver disease including liver cirrhosis, liver failure and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [1-3]. HCV-induced liver cirrhosis and HCC are major indications for liver transplantation (LT) [4]. Thus, HCV-induced liver disease is a major challenge for public health [5].

HCV is single-stranded RNA virus of positive polarity belonging to the *Flaviviridae* family and the *hepacivirus* genus (reviewed in [6]). While six major genotypes and several different subtypes have been described worldwide, the virus also circulates as a quasispecies within a given infected individual. This high variability represents a challenge for preventive and therapeutic antiviral strategies as the virus may rapidly evade the host immune responses and antivirals [7, 8]. The current standard of care (SOC) of chronic HCV infection consists of pegylated interferon- α (PEG-IFN- α) and ribavirin (RBV). Moreover, since 2011, the new SOC for HCV genotype 1-infected patients is a triple combination of PEG-IFN- α /RBV and a HCV protease inhibitor (telaprevir or boceprevir). Although the addition of these direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) improves outcome, an important limitation of these DAAs that may contribute to therapy failure is their low genetic barrier for resistance resulting in drug-escape mutants during long-term treatment due to their general mechanism of action [9] and without imposing a large viral fitness cost. DAAs are not approved for LT [10] and IFN- α -based antiviral therapies have limited efficacy and tolerability in LT recipients. In addition to licensed DAAs, other DAAs are at various stages of clinical development in combination with PEG-IFN- α or in IFN-free regimens, including second-generation protease inhibitors, polymerase and non-structural protein 5A (NS5A) inhibitors. Although rapid decline in HCV RNA levels and/or eradication of HCV in IFN-free regimens have been demonstrated in clinical trials, viral breakthroughs due to the selection of HCV resistant-variants as well as differences in virological outcomes for different genotypes and subtypes have been reported. Furthermore, many of these drugs were associated with side effects and raised issues related to drug-drug interactions [11]. Finally, it is not yet clear whether DAA-based therapies will be effective in difficult-to-treat patients, such as null responders to prior PEG-IFN- α /RBV

therapy, patients with advanced liver disease, LT recipients, HIV/HCV-coinfected individuals, hemodialysis patients, or immunosuppressed patients [10].

Another challenge in the management of chronically infected patients is the absence of strategies for prevention of liver graft infection. Development of preventive strategies based on anti-HCV envelope antibodies has been challenged by the high variability of HCV resulting in rapid viral escape [12-15]. Proof-of-concept of broadly cross-neutralizing antibodies in man remains to be demonstrated. Thus, there is an unmet medical need for efficient and safe antiviral strategies for difficult-to-treat patients and for prevention of HCV graft infection during LT.

Recent proof-of-concept studies in preclinical models and clinical trials have highlighted that host-targeting agents (HTA) provide a novel and promising strategy to address current unmet medical needs and limitations of SOC. Two main concepts for HTAs are explored: the first strategy aims to interfere with host factors required for pathogenesis, i. e. to target host factors indispensable for the viral life cycle. These include host cell entry, replication and assembly factors. The second strategy is to target the host by boosting the host's innate immunity, e. g. through the administration of IFN- λ [16] or Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists [17-19].

HTAs offer a promising perspective due to the following features distinguishing them from DAAs: Compared to the viral variability, genetic variability of the host is low. Thus, HTAs impose a very high genetic barrier to resistance [14, 15, 20-23]. As HTAs are essential for the viral life cycle, HTAs are characterized by a broad pan-genotypic activity while first generation DAAs targeting HCV are characterized by a very narrow antiviral activity limited to genotype 1. Indeed, HTAs have been shown to inhibit infection by HCV of all major genotypes, highly variable quasispecies isolated from individual patients and highly infectious escape variants that are resistant to host neutralizing antibodies [14, 20, 21, 24-27]. Finally, by acting through a complementary mechanism of action, HTAs may synergistically act with current anti-HCV SOC [28, 29]. It is expected that this synergy will increase the genetic

barrier for resistance, shorten treatment schedules and ameliorate adverse effects by reducing the doses of the individual compounds.

This review will highlight recent progress in the development of HTAs targeting HCV infection that have the potential to clear chronic HCV infection or prevent HCV infection of the liver graft.

Host-targeting agents against hepatitis C virus infection

The HCV life cycle may be divided into three main steps: viral entry into the target cell, viral replication as well as assembly and release of new infectious virions (Figure 1). Each steps of the HCV life cycle is dependent on host cell factors [30], thereby offering numerous targets for HTAs (Figures 1-3, Table 1).

Entry inhibitors

Viral entry is the first step of HCV-host cell interactions and involves the HCV envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 as well as several host factors. It is believed that cell-free HCV entry is a highly coordinated multistep process (Figure 1). Highly sulfated heparan sulfate proteoglycans [31] represent first attachment sites, allowing viral concentration on the basolateral hepatocyte membrane. The virus then interacts with several entry factors including scavenger receptor BI (SR-BI) [32], CD81 [33], claudin-1 (CLDN1) [34] and occludin (OCLN) [35]. The formation of CD81-CLDN1 complexes is essential for HCV infection [36, 37]. In addition, host cell kinases play an important role in regulating the HCV entry process [21, 38, 39]. Among them, two cell surface receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) have been identified as HCV entry factors: epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and ephrin receptor A2 (EphA2). EGFR and EphA2 promote CD81-CLDN1 co-receptor interaction that is required for HCV entry [21]. The Niemann-Pick C1-Like1 (NPC1L1) cholesterol absorption receptor has recently been proposed as another host entry co-factor [40]. Given its physiological role, NPC1L1 may promote HCV entry either directly by interacting with the HCV lipoviral particle cholesterol or act as indirect entry factor by

modulating cholesterol homeostasis and membrane composition required for HCV entry. HCV is internalized via clathrin- and dynamin-dependent endocytosis and is subsequently delivered to the early endosome [41-44]. CD81 and CLDN1 associate during internalization [44, 45], but it remains unclear whether other HCV host factors internalize together with HCV. Although required for CD81-CLDN1 interaction, EGFR does not seem to be essential for CD81 internalization [44]. The fusion of the viral and the endosomal membrane is pH-dependent and involves both viral and host proteins [41, 46-48]. Among host entry factors, CD81 and CLDN1 play a role in HCV envelope glycoprotein-dependent cell-cell fusion process [34, 49], which is regulated by RTK function [21].

An alternative route of viral entry is direct cell-cell transmission, which also requires numerous host factors including CD81, SR-BI, CLDN1, OCLN, EGFR, EphA2 and potentially NPC1L1 [21, 40, 50, 51]. As this entry route is resistant to the majority of neutralizing antibodies described so far, direct cell-cell transmission probably represents the main process of viral spread [50, 51]. It is worth noting that there is an overlap of host factors required for cell-free and cell-cell transmission as most of the host factors involved in cell-free entry have also been described to play a role in cell-cell transmission.

Targeting HCV entry factors may thus allow to prevent initiation of HCV infection, such as after LT, and also reduce viral spread and thus maintenance of infection. However, while cell-free HCV entry is strictly dependent on CD81, CD81-independent routes of cell-cell transmission have been described [52, 53]. This has to be taken into account for the development of HTA directed against HCV entry factors.

Viral entry has been shown to play an important role for the pathogenesis of HCV infection, especially during HCV reinfection of the graft after LT [14, 15]. Viral entry is thus a very promising target for prevention of HCV infection of the liver graft (Figure 2). Numerous HTAs directed against host entry factors demonstrated potent antiviral activity *in vitro* (reviewed in [54]). Proof-of-concept studies of HTAs targeting HCV entry have been conducted *in vivo* using the chimeric uPA-SCID mouse model. Antibodies directed against CD81 and SR-BI have both been investigated in prophylactic and post-exposure treatment

studies. Administration of 400 µg of either anti-CD81 or anti-SRBI monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) completely protected mice from challenge with HCV [55-57]. Noteworthy, only the administration of anti-SR-BI mAb was able to reduce viral dissemination [56, 57]. The clinically approved EGFR inhibitor erlotinib, preventing the formation of CLDN1-CD81 complexes, and NPC1L1 inhibitor ezetimibe, that decreases systemic cholesterol in patients, markedly impaired the establishment of HCV infection in the uPA-SCID mouse model [21, 40]. Indeed, administration of erlotinib (50 mg/kg/day for 10 days) or ezetimibe (10 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks) prior to viral inoculation significantly delayed the kinetics of HCV infection [21, 40]. The clinical potential of kinase inhibitors has been emphasized in a recent case report describing rapid virologic response (RVR) after erlotinib monotherapy (150 mg/day for 12 months) in a HCV-positive HCC patient after LT and viral recurrence due to a discontinued SOC treatment [58]. A clinical trial investigating safety and toxicity of erlotinib in chronically HCV infected patients will soon be conducted to further assess the potential of kinase inhibitors as anti-HCV drugs in combination with DAAs. A Phase 1b study assessing the safety of ITX5061 [26], a small molecule inhibitor targeting the HCV entry factor SR-BI, in HCV-treatment naive patients is ongoing and an open-label, proof-of-concept Phase 1b study assessing the safety and tolerability of ITX-5061 in LT patients has been initiated (Table 1).

Although HCV entry inhibitors are still at a very early step of clinical development, it has been demonstrated that combinations of entry inhibitors with IFN- α , DAAs, or other HTAs *in vitro* result in an enhanced antiviral activity, compared to each compound used in monotherapy, in a synergistic manner [28, 29]. This holds promise for entry inhibitors as part of SOC as well as future IFN-sparing regimen(s) for the treatment of HCV infection.

HCV replication inhibitors

Following HCV entry, the HCV RNA genome is released into the cytosol. Initiation of HCV translation occurs through binding of the 40S ribosomal subunit to the HCV IRES and this association can be enhanced by miRNA122, a liver-specific miRNA [59, 60]. miR122 is also

an important host factor for HCV replication [24] and miR122 sequestration using 122-2'OMe oligomers or miR122 antisense locked nucleic acid SPC3649 reduces HCV replication in a genotype-independent manner *in vitro* [24, 25]. Interestingly, weekly intravenous administration of miR122 antisense locked nucleic acid miravirsen/SPC3649 (5 mg/kg) for 12 weeks to chronically genotype 1-infected chimpanzees lead to sustained suppression of HCV viremia, with no evidence of viral resistance [61]. Given the physiological role of miR122 in cholesterol metabolism, miravirsen/SPC3649 led to markedly lowered serum cholesterol in animals but no important adverse effects were observed [61-63]. Recently, the safety, tolerability and efficacy of miravirsen/SPC3649 have been assessed in a Phase 2a study (Table 1). Miravirsen/SPC3649 given as a four-week monotherapy (3, 5 and 7 mg/kg) to treatment-naïve genotype 1 patients was well tolerated and provided robust, dose-dependent antiviral activity that was maintained for more than four weeks after the end of therapy [23]. Four out of nine patients treated at the highest dose with miravirsen/SPC3649 (7 mg/kg) became HCV RNA undetectable during the study. Although markedly decreased pretreatment miR122 levels had been reported in livers of chronic HCV-infected patients who did not achieve virological response during IFN therapy [64], the data from this first clinical trial indicate that targeting miR122 *in vivo* offers a high barrier to viral resistance and the potential for combination in a future IFN-free regimen [23]. Most recently, an allosteric self-cleavable ribozyme capable of releasing antisense sequence to miR122 only in the presence of HCV NS5B was developed in order to minimize potential side effects related to targeting physiological miR122 functions [65]. The safety and efficacy of this strategy will next have to be assessed *in vivo*.

HCV RNA replication depends on viral protein association with altered intracellular membranes, probably derived from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), in a so called membranous web (reviewed in [66]). The HCV replication complex, i. e. viral RNA and viral proteins associated to altered host cell membranes, is dependent on the host cell lipid metabolism. Indeed, this complex requires elements of cholesterol and fatty acid synthesis and geranylgeranylation of host proteins as *in vitro* HCV replication can be disrupted by

treatment with inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA (HMGCoA) reductase - such as the statin lovastatin, L-659,699 or ZA - or with an inhibitor of protein geranylgeranyl transferase I [67, 68]. This is in line with data indicating that HCV replication during acute infection of chimpanzees is associated with the modulation of several genes involved in lipid metabolism [69]. Noteworthy, not all HMGCoA reductase inhibitors also inhibit HCV replication as the statin pravastatin exhibits no anti-HCV activity while fluvastatin has the strongest antiviral effect [70]. While initial clinical studies indicated that statin monotherapy did either not significantly modulate HCV RNA levels or only modestly reduced HCV RNA in chronic HCV patients [71-73], statins may represent interesting adjuvants to SOC. Indeed, fluvastatin (20 mg/day) increased the response to PEG-IFN- α /RBV, especially in aged women who respond poorly to SOC [74]. Moreover, in two recent large retrospective analyses, statin use was associated with an improved sustained virological response (SVR) in patients receiving combination antiviral therapy [75, 76]. However, the addition of fluvastatin (80 mg/day) to PEG-IFN- α /RBV did not significantly increase SVR rates in HIV/HCV genotype 1 co-infected patients (also receiving highly active antiretroviral (HAART) therapy with a complete suppression of HIV replication) although it did significantly improve the rapid virological response (RVR) [77]. Taken together, these clinical trials indicate that, with the exception of HIV/HCV coinfecting patients, statins may increase the efficacy of SOC in chronic HCV infected patients. Interestingly, most recently small molecule inhibitors of SKI-1/S1P, a lipogenic pathway regulator upstream of HMGCoA reductase, have been described [78]. The most potent inhibitor, PF-429242, inhibited HCVcc replication more efficiently than statins and, in contrast to statins, also reduced infectious particle production [78]. SKI-1/S1P inhibitors may thus also be considered for development of novel antivirals.

Cyclophilins are also important host factors for HCV replication and CypA has been demonstrated to interact with HCV NS5A [79, 80]. Cyclophilins had been identified as host targets for antiviral therapy more than 20 years ago as cyclosporine, a widely used immunosuppressive drug, was demonstrated to inhibit non-A non-B hepatitis virus [81]. More recently, cyclosporine analogs lacking immunosuppressive activity and displaying higher

in vitro antiviral activity, e. g. alisporivir/Debio 025, NIM811 and SCY-635, have been developed [82-84]. These compounds disrupt CypA-NS5A interaction [85, 86]. Moreover, SCY-635, currently in Phase 1 clinical study, enhances secretion of type I and type III IFNs in replicon cells and increased the expression of IFN response genes [87]. These data suggest that in addition to inhibiting viral replication, CypA inhibitors may restore the host innate immune responses to HCV inhibitors and thereby enhance their antiviral activity [87]. Interestingly, alisporivir/Debio 025 has also proven anti-HIV activity *in vitro* as this molecule inhibits CypA-HIV capsid protein binding [88, 89]. CypA inhibitors may thus have an additional benefit in HIV/HCV co-infected patients. In a Phase 1 study, 14-day oral alisporivir/Debio 025 (1200 mg twice daily) treatment significantly reduced HCV RNA serum levels in HIV/HCV co-infected patients independently of the HCV genotype (1, 3 and 4) [90]. However, potent synergy between alisporivir/Debio 025 (200, 600 and 1200 mg twice a day for one week and then once daily) and PEG-IFN- α was also observed in a subsequent Phase 2 study demonstrating that addition of alisporivir/Debio 025 increases RVR [91]. Further Phase 2 trials also demonstrated improved efficacy and good tolerance adding alisporivir/Debio 025 to PEG-IFN- α /RBV without selection of resistant variants (reviewed in [92]). This CypA inhibitor is thus characterized by a high barrier to resistance and is the first HTA that reached Phase 3 studies (Table 2). Given three cases of acute pancreatitis, the FDA recently put a clinical hold on this trial before proceeding to the next steps. The fact that the combination of alisporivir/Debio 025 with DAAs resulted in additive antiviral activity in short-term *in vitro* antiviral assays [93] holds promise for HTAs as part of future IFN-sparing regimen(s) for the treatment of HCV infection.

HCV assembly/release inhibitors

Following HCV replication, new infectious virions are assembled in the vicinity of lipid droplets and ER [94-97]. The HCV particle is composed of an encapsidated RNA genome that is surrounded by an envelope composed of the envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 [98, 99]. E1 and E2 associate as a noncovalent heterodimer and are essential for viral infectivity

as they mediate interactions with different host cell factors during viral binding and entry. E1 and E2 are heavily N-glycosylated, contain ER retention signals and are processed within the ER by glucosidases I and II to ensure proper folding and assembly [98]. HCV assembly has been suggested to parallel VLDL assembly [100-102]. Microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTP), the rate limiting enzyme of VLDL assembly [103], probably also contributes to HCV particle assembly [101].

Targeting host glucosidases thus represents a promising strategy to interfere with viral infectivity (Table 1). MX-3253/celgosivir (reviewed in [104]), an alpha-glucosidase I inhibitor, induces misfolding of HCV envelope glycoproteins and leads to reduced viral infectivity *in vitro* [105, 106]. MX-3253/celgosivir demonstrated modest antiviral efficacy in a Phase 2a monotherapy study (200 and 400 mg/day for 12 weeks) in treatment-naive and IFN-intolerant genotype 1 HCV patients [107]. While MX-3253/celgosivir (400 mg/day for 12 weeks) demonstrated clinical benefit in combination with PEG-IFN- α /RBV in chronic HCV genotype 1 infected patients [108], the further development of MX-3253/celgosivir for HCV infection has subsequently been halted.

Compounds inhibiting VLDL assembly, such as MTP inhibitors, also reduce HCV release from infected cells [100-102]. MTP inhibitors have been developed for treatment of dyslipidemia and currently several MTP inhibitors are in clinical trials for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia or hyperlipidemia (reviewed in [109]). However, whether MTP inhibitors display an antiviral effect against HCV infection *in vivo* remains to be determined. Moreover, recent screens revealed that several approved drugs display antiviral activity against HCV by targeting HCV assembly and/or release: these studies identified two anti-cancer drugs, pterostilbene (a methylated form of resveratrol) and torimefene (a derivative of tamoxifene) [110] as well as quinidine, a class I antiarrhythmic agent [111] as potential antivirals against HCV. Taken together, these data indicate the further potential of clinical development of HCV assembly inhibitors for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C.

Clinical perspectives of HTAs interfering with the HCV life cycle

To date, the main issue of anti-HCV SOC is to avoid viral resistance and severe side effects. Generally speaking, the use of DAAs against different potential highly variable viruses, such as HCV, HIV or influenza virus, is associated with the development of resistance while HTAs, acting on cellular targets that are less prone to mutations, may impose a higher genetic barrier for resistance (Figure 3) [112, 113]. On the other hand, the principle theoretical drawback of using HTAs is their potential greater cellular toxicity. Nevertheless, it has to be pointed out that the development of several DAAs targeting HCV, such as BILN 2061, had to be stopped due to severe side effects [114]. Moreover, the majority of current drugs widely used for cardiovascular, neurological or endocrine diseases as well as cancer, targets host proteins [115-117]. Thus, side effects have to be carefully evaluated for novel antiviral strategies against hepatitis C irrespective of the drug target.

While DAAs allow to increase the virological response of HCV genotype 1-infected patients, a large fraction of chronic HCV patients, especially HIV/HCV co-infected patients and patients undergoing LT, will not be eligible for DAAs given the important drug-drug interactions with anti-retroviral therapy and immunosuppressive agents. Noteworthy, synergy between IFN- α , DAAs and HTAs allowing to decrease the concentrations of the individual compounds [28, 29] holds promise for a variety of possibilities of future combination therapy treatments of hepatitis C infection that may be adapted to the individual patient. Furthermore, given (i) the importance of host entry factors for HCV reinfection of the graft during LT [15], (ii) the broad antiviral activity of entry inhibitors against viral escape variants selected during LT [14, 20, 21], and (iii) the synergy between entry inhibitors and neutralizing anti-HCV envelope antibodies [27], entry inhibitors also represent a promising strategy to prevent viral reinfection of the liver graft (Figure 2).

Conclusions and perspectives

The goal of current anti-HCV SOC is sustained viral eradication. However, due to the high variability of HCV, viral resistance and subsequent treatment failure remain major

challenges. Moreover, therapeutic strategies for a large fraction of patients, especially HIV/HCV co-infected patients, patients with immunosuppression and co-morbidity and patients undergoing LT remain limited [7, 118]. Although early clinical trials have demonstrated impressive outcomes for combinations of DAAs in IFN-free regimens for treatment naïve patients [11] there will be a need for antivirals addressing resistance, treatment of patients with co-morbidity, co-medication or immunosuppression and patients undergoing LT [10].

Alternative or complementary approaches to current anti-HCV therapies are to boost the host's innate immunity or interfere with host factors required for pathogenesis. HTAs act on cellular targets and thus may impose a higher genetic barrier for resistance than DAAs. Moreover, HTAs are usually characterized by a pan-genotypic antiviral activity. In the past years, tremendous progress has been made in the characterization of the HCV life cycle and several host targets for specific antiviral therapy have been uncovered. Alisporivir/Debio 025 and miravirsen/SPC3649, two HTAs inhibiting HCV replication, recently completed proof-of-concept in man [23, 92]. Many other HTAs targeting the HCV life cycle are at different stages of preclinical and clinical development suggesting that the therapeutic arsenal against chronic HCV infection may widen within the next years. Furthermore, recent studies underscored the importance of host factors during HCV liver graft infection and highlighted the potential of HCV entry inhibitors for prevention of graft infection during LT [15, 20, 21, 57, 119].

The recent preclinical and clinical development of HTAs for HCV as well as novel HTA-based strategies for other pathogens including other viruses and bacteria [120] highlights the promise of this approach to address unmet medical needs in the prevention and treatment of virus-induced liver disease.

Conflict of interest

The authors who have taken part in this study declare that they do not have anything to disclose regarding funding or conflict of interest with respect to this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support of their work by the European Union (ERC-2008-AdG-233130-HEPCENT and INTERREG-IV-2009-FEDER-Hepato-Regio-Net), Laboratoire d'Excellence HEPSYS (Investissement d'Avenir; ANR-10-LAB-28), ANRS (2008/354, 2009/183, 2011/132, 2012/239), Inserm, University of Strasbourg and the Strasbourg University Hospitals, France. We would like to thank Prof. H. Wedemeyer (Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Germany) and Dr. M. Levrero (University of Rome, Italy) for critical reading of the manuscript. We apologize to all authors whose work could not be cited due to space restrictions.

Key points 1

- With more than 170 million infected individuals, viral hepatitis C is a major cause of chronic liver disease and HCC worldwide.
- HCV-induced liver cirrhosis and HCC are major indications for liver transplantation (LT).
- In contrast to hepatitis B virus (HBV), strategies for immunoprevention of HCV reinfection of the graft are absent.
- The high variability of HCV represents a challenge for preventive and therapeutic antiviral strategies.
- DAAs increase the response to interferon-based antiviral therapy against HCV genotype 1 but also lead to selection of drug-resistant HCV variants.
- Given their important side effects and drug-drug interactions, DAAs against HCV are not approved for patients undergoing LT, HCV/HIV co-infected patients or pediatric patients.
- First generation DAAs are not efficient against all HCV genotypes.
- Although early clinical trials have demonstrated impressive outcomes for combinations of DAAs in IFN-free regimens for treatment naïve patients, there will be a need for novel antivirals addressing resistance, treatment of patients with co-morbidity, co-medication or immunosuppression and patients undergoing LT.

Key points 2

- The HCV life cycle offers several well characterized host targets for antiviral therapy.
- Due to low genetic variability of host factors, HTAs may impose a higher genetic barrier to resistance than DAAs.
- Most HTAs have a pan-genotypic antiviral activity.
- Given their complementary mechanism of action, HTAs may inhibit viral infection in a synergistic manner in combination with IFN- α and/or DAAs.
- As for DAAs, host-related adverse effects need to be carefully addressed.
- Pan-genotypic antivirals alisporivir/Debio 025, a specific HTA targeting cyclophilin A, and miravirsen/SPC3649, a miR-122 antisense locked nucleic acid, have completed proof-of-concept in man.
- Many other HTAs targeting the HCV life cycle are at different stages of development.
- Synergy between IFN- α , DAAs and HTAs holds promise for a variety of possibilities of combination therapies for prevention and treatment of hepatitis C infection.
- HTAs offer the perspective to improve antiviral treatment by decreasing resistance, shortening of treatment duration and ameliorating adverse effects.
- Given the importance of host entry factors for HCV reinfection of the graft during LT, entry inhibitors represent a promising strategy to prevent viral reinfection of the liver graft.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Host factors required for the hepatitis C virus life cycle as antiviral targets.

Outline of the hepatitis C virus (HCV) life cycle in polarized hepatocytes. Host-targeting agents (HTAs) and biological response modifiers (BRMs) are indicated in the figure according to their presumable point of interference with the viral life cycle. ER, endoplasmic reticulum; HS, heparan sulfate proteoglycans; RTKs, receptor tyrosine kinases; SR-BI, scavenger receptor BI; CD81, cluster of differentiation 81; CLDN1, claudin-1; OCLN, occludin; NPC1L1, Niemann-Pick C1-like 1 cholesterol absorption receptor; apo, apolipoprotein; BC, bile canaliculus; TJ, tight junction; Ab, antibody; miR, microRNA; HMGCoA, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductase; MTP, microsomal triglyceride transfer protein; TLR, Toll-like receptor; IFN, interferon.

Figure 2. HCV entry host-targeting agents for prevention of HCV liver graft infection.

During liver transplantation, highly infectious variants of the HCV quasispecies escaping from the host neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) infect the liver graft. This "bottleneck" effect is caused by the implantation of a new graft and the lack of selective pressure due to the strong immunosuppression (inset). The inset shows the mechanism of re-infection of naïve hepatocytes and viral spread in the liver graft. HCV variants may spread from cell to cell (i) indirectly after being secreted from the infected cell and thus being accessible to nAbs (cell-free transmission) and (ii) directly without being released from the cell and thus being protected from nAbs (cell-cell transmission). As a consequence, highly infectious HCV variants escaping the host neutralizing immune response are selected during re-infection of the new liver graft through a "bottleneck" effect [14, 15]. HCV entry factors are required for both ways of transmission and are targets of HTAs. Entry HTAs targeting HCV entry factors inhibit HCV entry and spread of all major genotype as well as of HCV escape variants that re-infect the liver graft [14, 20, 21, 26, 119].

Figure 3. Host-targeting agents exhibit a high genetic barrier of resistance. HCV lipoviral particles circulate as quasispecies of viral variants that infect and replicate in hepatocytes. The mechanism of viral escape to drug therapy differs between direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) and host targeting agents (HTAs). (Left panel) DAAs efficiently inhibit the replication of DAA-sensitive HCV variants. An HCV variant that is resistant to DAA treatment becomes the predominant HCV variant escaping the antiviral treatment. (Right panel) Targeting host factors required for HCV entry and infection inhibit a broader spectrum of variants and genotypes since the host factor usage is usually highly conserved for all viral variants. As a consequence, the genetic barrier of viral resistance to HTA treatment is higher compared to DAA treatment.

References

- [1] Rehermann B, Nascimbeni M. Immunology of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus infection. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2005;5:215-229.
- [2] El-Serag HB. Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142:1264-1273 e1261.
- [3] Thomas D, Zoulim F. New challenges in viral hepatitis. *Gut* 2012;61 Suppl 1:i1-5.
- [4] Mutimer DJ, Lok A. Management of HBV- and HCV-induced end stage liver disease. *Gut* 2012;61 Suppl 1:i59-67.
- [5] Watt K, Veldt B, Charlton M. A practical guide to the management of HCV infection following liver transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2009;9:1707-1713.
- [6] Rehermann B. Hepatitis C virus versus innate and adaptive immune responses: a tale of coevolution and coexistence. *J Clin Investig* 2009;119:1745-1754.
- [7] Feinstone SM, Hu DJ, Major ME. Prospects for prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines against hepatitis C virus. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012;55 Suppl 1:S25-32.
- [8] Torresi J, Johnson D, Wedemeyer H. Progress in the development of preventive and therapeutic vaccines for hepatitis C virus. *J Hepatol* 2012;54:1273-1285.
- [9] Sarrazin C, Zeuzem S. Resistance to direct antiviral agents in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *Gastroenterology* 2010;138:447-462.
- [10] Pawlotsky JM. Treatment failure and resistance with direct-acting antiviral drugs against hepatitis C virus. *Hepatology* 2011;53:1742-1751.
- [11] Sarrazin C, Hezode C, Zeuzem S, Pawlotsky JM. Antiviral strategies in hepatitis C virus infection. *J Hepatol* 2012;56 Suppl 1:S88-100.
- [12] von Hahn T, Yoon JC, Alter H, Rice CM, Rehermann B, Balfe P, et al. Hepatitis C virus continuously escapes from neutralizing antibody and T-cell responses during chronic infection in vivo. *Gastroenterology* 2007;132:667-678.

- [13] Keck ZY, Li SH, Xia J, von Hahn T, Balfe P, McKeating JA, et al. Mutations in HCV E2 located outside the CD81 binding sites lead to escape from broadly neutralizing antibodies but compromise virus infectivity. *J Virol* 2009;83:6149-6160.
- [14] Fafi-Kremer S, Fofana I, Soulier E, Carolla P, Meuleman P, Leroux-Roels G, et al. Viral entry and escape from antibody-mediated neutralization influence hepatitis C virus reinfection in liver transplantation. *J Exp Med* 2010;207:2019-2031.
- [15] Fofana I, Fafi-Kremer S, Carolla P, Fauvelle C, Zahid MN, Turek M, et al. Mutations that alter use of hepatitis C virus cell entry factors mediate escape from neutralizing antibodies. *Gastroenterology* 2012;143:223-233.e229.
- [16] Zeuzem S, Arora S, Bacon B, Box T, Charlton M, Diago M, et al. Pegylated interferon-lambda (PEGIFN- λ) shows superior viral response with improved safety and tolerability versus PEGIFN α -2A in HCV patients (GI/2/3/4): EMERGE Phase IIB through week 12. *J Hepatol* 2011;54:S538-S539 (abstract 1362).
- [17] Boonstra A, Liu BS, Groothuisink ZM, Bergmann JF, de Bruijne J, Hotho DM, et al. Potent immune activation in chronic hepatitis C patients upon administration of an oral inducer of endogenous interferons that acts via Toll-like receptor 7. *Antivir Ther* 2012;17:657-667.
- [18] Rodriguez-Torres M, Ghalib RH, Gordon SC, Lawitz E, Patel K, Pruitt R, et al. IMO-2125, a TLR9 agonist, induces immune responses which correlate with reductions in viral load in null responder HCV patients. *Hepatology* 2010;52:336A (abstract 333).
- [19] Zhang X, Kraft A, Broering R, Schlaak JF, Dittmer U, Lu M. Preclinical development of TLR ligands as drugs for the treatment of chronic viral infections. *Exp Opin Drug Discov* 2012;7:597-611.
- [20] Fofana I, Krieger SE, Grunert F, Glauben S, Xiao F, Fafi-Kremer S, et al. Monoclonal anti-claudin 1 antibodies prevent hepatitis C virus infection of primary human hepatocytes. *Gastroenterology* 2010;39:953-964.

- [21] Lupberger J, Zeisel MB, Xiao F, Thumann C, Fofana I, Zona L, et al. EGFR and EphA2 are host factors for hepatitis C virus entry and possible targets for antiviral therapy. *Nat Med* 2011;17:589-595.
- [22] Li B, Snoeck J, Tang Y, Jones CT, Tiongyip C, Bao W, et al. Alisporivir - a host-targeting antiviral, provides low viral breakthrough rate and high barrier to resistance in HCV genotype 1 treatment-naïve patients in the Phase IIb ESSENTIAL study *Hepatology* 2011;54:250A (abstract 1350).
- [23] Janssen HL, Reesink HW, Zeuzem S, Lawitz E, Rodriguez-Torres M, Chen A, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo (plb) controlled safety and anti-viral proof-of-concept study of miravirsin (mir), an oligonucleotide targeting miR122, in treatment naive patients with genotype 1 (gt1) chronic HCV infection. *Hepatology* 2011;54:101A (abstract LB106).
- [24] Jopling CL, Yi M, Lancaster AM, Lemon SM, Sarnow P. Modulation of hepatitis C virus RNA abundance by a liver-specific MicroRNA. *Science* 2005;309:1577-1581.
- [25] Li YP, Gottwein JM, Scheel TK, Jensen TB, Bukh J. MicroRNA-122 antagonism against hepatitis C virus genotypes 1-6 and reduced efficacy by host RNA insertion or mutations in the HCV 5' UTR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011;108:4991-4996.
- [26] Syder AJ, Lee H, Zeisel MB, Grove J, Soulier E, Macdonald J, et al. Small molecule scavenger receptor BI antagonists are potent HCV entry inhibitors. *J Hepatol* 2011;54:48-55.
- [27] Zahid MN, Turek M, Xiao F, Dao Thi VL, Guérin M, Fofana I, et al. The post-binding activity of scavenger receptor BI mediates initiation of hepatitis C virus infection and viral dissemination. *Hepatology* 2012, accepted manuscript.
- [28] Fofana I, Xiao F, Thumann C, Lupberger J, Leyssen P, Neyts JH, et al. Synergy of entry inhibitors and direct acting antivirals or interferon-alfa identifies novel antiviral combinations for hepatitis C virus infection. *Hepatology* 2011;54:401A (abstract 484).

- [29] Zhu H, Wong-Staal F, Lee H, Syder A, McKelvy J, Schooley RT, et al. Evaluation of ITX 5061, a scavenger receptor B1 antagonist: resistance selection and activity in combination with other hepatitis C virus antivirals. *J Infect Dis* 2012;205:656-662.
- [30] Da Costa D, Turek M, Felmler DJ, Girardi E, Pfeffer S, Long G, et al. Reconstitution of the entire hepatitis C virus life cycle in non-hepatic cells. *J Virol* 2012. Aug 15. [Epub ahead of print].
- [31] Barth H, Schäfer C, Adah MI, Zhang F, Linhardt RJ, Toyoda H, et al. Cellular binding of hepatitis C virus envelope glycoprotein E2 requires cell surface heparan sulfate. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:41003-41012.
- [32] Scarselli E, Ansuini H, Cerino R, Roccasecca RM, Acali S, Filocamo G, et al. The human scavenger receptor class B type I is a novel candidate receptor for the hepatitis C virus. *EMBO J* 2002;21:5017-5025.
- [33] Pileri P, Uematsu Y, Campagnoli S, Galli G, Falugi F, Petracca R, et al. Binding of hepatitis C virus to CD81. *Science* 1998;282:938-941.
- [34] Evans MJ, von Hahn T, Tscherne DM, Syder AJ, Panis M, Wolk B, et al. Claudin-1 is a hepatitis C virus co-receptor required for a late step in entry. *Nature* 2007;446:801-805.
- [35] Ploss A, Evans MJ, Gaysinskaya VA, Panis M, You H, de Jong YP, et al. Human occludin is a hepatitis C virus entry factor required for infection of mouse cells. *Nature* 2009;457:882-886.
- [36] Harris HJ, Farquhar MJ, Mee CJ, Davis C, Reynolds GM, Jennings A, et al. CD81 and claudin 1 coreceptor association: role in hepatitis C virus entry. *J Virol* 2008;82:5007-5020.
- [37] Harris HJ, Davis C, Mullins JG, Hu K, Goodall M, Farquhar MJ, et al. Claudin association with CD81 defines hepatitis C virus entry. *J Biol Chem* 2010;285:21092-21102.

- [38] Trotard M, Lepere-Douard C, Regeard M, Piquet-Pellorce C, Lavillette D, Cosset FL, et al. Kinases required in hepatitis C virus entry and replication highlighted by small interference RNA screening. *Faseb J* 2009;23:3780-3789.
- [39] Farquhar MJ, Harris HJ, Diskar M, Jones S, Mee CJ, Nielsen SU, et al. Protein kinase A-dependent step(s) in hepatitis C virus entry and infectivity. *J Virol* 2008;82:8797-8811.
- [40] Sainz B, Jr., Barretto N, Martin DN, Hiraga N, Imamura M, Hussain S, et al. Identification of the Niemann-Pick C1-like 1 cholesterol absorption receptor as a new hepatitis C virus entry factor. *Nat Med* 2012;18:281-285.
- [41] Blanchard E, Belouzard S, Goueslain L, Wakita T, Dubuisson J, Wychowski C, et al. Hepatitis C virus entry depends on clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *J Virol* 2006;80:6964-6972.
- [42] Codran A, Royer C, Jaeck D, Bastien-Valle M, Baumert TF, Kieny MP, et al. Entry of hepatitis C virus pseudotypes into primary human hepatocytes by clathrin-dependent endocytosis. *J Gen Virol* 2006;87:2583-2593.
- [43] Meertens L, Bertaux C, Dragic T. Hepatitis C virus entry requires a critical postinternalization step and delivery to early endosomes via clathrin-coated vesicles. *J Virol* 2006;80:11571-11578.
- [44] Farquhar MJ, Hu K, Harris HJ, Davis C, Brimacombe CL, Fletcher SJ, et al. Hepatitis C virus induces CD81 and claudin-1 endocytosis. *J Virol* 2012;86:4305-4316.
- [45] Collier KE, Berger KL, Heaton NS, Cooper JD, Yoon R, Randall G. RNA interference and single particle tracking analysis of hepatitis C virus endocytosis. *PLoS Pathog* 2009;5:e1000702.
- [46] Tscherne DM, Jones CT, Evans MJ, Lindenbach BD, McKeating JA, Rice CM. Time- and temperature-dependent activation of hepatitis C virus for low-pH-triggered entry. *J Virol* 2006;80:1734-1741.

- [47] Lavillette D, Bartosch B, Nourrisson D, Verney G, Cosset FL, Penin F, et al. Hepatitis C virus glycoproteins mediate low pH-dependent membrane fusion with liposomes. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:3909-3917.
- [48] Lavillette D, Pecheur EI, Donot P, Fresquet J, Molle J, Corbau R, et al. Characterization of fusion determinants points to the involvement of three discrete regions of both E1 and E2 glycoproteins in the membrane fusion process of hepatitis C virus. *J Virol* 2007;81:8752-8765.
- [49] Kobayashi M, Bennett MC, Bercot T, Singh IR. Functional analysis of hepatitis C virus envelope proteins, using a cell-cell fusion assay. *J Virol* 2006;80:1817-1825.
- [50] Timpe JM, Stamataki Z, Jennings A, Hu K, Farquhar MJ, Harris HJ, et al. Hepatitis C virus cell-cell transmission in hepatoma cells in the presence of neutralizing antibodies. *Hepatology* 2008;47:17-24.
- [51] Brimacombe CL, Grove J, Meredith LW, Hu K, Syder AJ, Flores MV, et al. Neutralizing antibody-resistant hepatitis C virus cell-to-cell transmission. *J Virol* 2011;85:596-605.
- [52] Witteveldt J, Evans MJ, Bitzegeio J, Koutsoudakis G, Owsianka AM, Angus AG, et al. CD81 is dispensable for hepatitis C virus cell-to-cell transmission in hepatoma cells. *J Gen Virol* 2009;90:48-58.
- [53] Jones CT, Catanese MT, Law LM, Khetani SR, Syder AJ, Ploss A, et al. Real-time imaging of hepatitis C virus infection using a fluorescent cell-based reporter system. *Nat Biotech* 2010;28:167-171.
- [54] Zeisel MB, Fofana I, Fafi-Kremer S, Baumert TF. Hepatitis C virus entry into hepatocytes: Molecular mechanisms and targets for antiviral therapies. *J Hepatol* 2011;54:566-576.
- [55] Meuleman P, Hesselgesser J, Paulson M, Vanwolleghem T, Desombere I, Reiser H, et al. Anti-CD81 antibodies can prevent a hepatitis C virus infection in vivo. *Hepatology* 2008;48:1761-1768.

- [56] Meuleman P, Catanese MT, Verhoye L, Desombere I, Farhoudi A, Jones CT, et al. A human monoclonal antibody targeting scavenger receptor class B type I precludes hepatitis C virus infection and viral spread in vitro and in vivo. *Hepatology* 2012;55:364-372.
- [57] Lacek K, Vercauteren K, Grzyb K, Naddeo M, Verhoye L, Slowikowski MP, et al. Novel human SR-BI antibodies prevent infection and dissemination of HCV in vitro and in humanized mice. *J Hepatol* 2012;57:17-23.
- [58] Bardou-Jacquet E, Lorho R, Guyader D. Kinase inhibitors in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus. *Gut* 2011;60:879-880.
- [59] Henke JI, Goergen D, Zheng J, Song Y, Schuttler CG, Fehr C, et al. microRNA-122 stimulates translation of hepatitis C virus RNA. *EMBO J* 2008;27:3300-3310.
- [60] Jangra RK, Yi M, Lemon SM. Regulation of hepatitis C virus translation and infectious virus production by the microRNA miR-122. *J Virol* 2010;84:6615-6625.
- [61] Lanford RE, Hildebrandt-Eriksen ES, Petri A, Persson R, Lindow M, Munk ME, et al. Therapeutic silencing of microRNA-122 in primates with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Science* 2010;327:198-201.
- [62] Elmen J, Lindow M, Schutz S, Lawrence M, Petri A, Obad S, et al. LNA-mediated microRNA silencing in non-human primates. *Nature* 2008;452:896-899.
- [63] Hildebrandt-Eriksen ES, Aarup V, Persson R, Hansen HF, Munk ME, Orum H. A Locked Nucleic Acid Oligonucleotide Targeting MicroRNA 122 Is Well-Tolerated in Cynomolgus Monkeys. *Nucl Acid Ther* 2012;2:152-161.
- [64] Sarasin-Filipowicz M, Krol J, Markiewicz I, Heim MH, Filipowicz W. Decreased levels of microRNA miR-122 in individuals with hepatitis C responding poorly to interferon therapy. *Nat Med* 2009;15:31-33.
- [65] Lee CH, Kim JH, Kim HW, Myung H, Lee SW. Hepatitis C virus replication-specific inhibition of microRNA activity with self-cleavable allosteric ribozyme. *Nucl Acid Ther* 2012;22:17-29.

- [66] Moradpour D, Penin F, Rice CM. Replication of hepatitis C virus. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2007;5:453-463.
- [67] Ye J, Wang C, Sumpter R, Jr., Brown MS, Goldstein JL, Gale M, Jr. Disruption of hepatitis C virus RNA replication through inhibition of host protein geranylgeranylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:15865-15870.
- [68] Kapadia SB, Chisari FV. Hepatitis C virus RNA replication is regulated by host geranylgeranylation and fatty acids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:2561-2566.
- [69] Su AI, Pezacki JP, Wodicka L, Brideau AD, Supekova L, Thimme R, et al. Genomic analysis of the host response to hepatitis C virus infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:15669-15674.
- [70] Ikeda M, Abe K, Yamada M, Dansako H, Naka K, Kato N. Different anti-HCV profiles of statins and their potential for combination therapy with interferon. *Hepatology* 2006;44:117-125.
- [71] O'Leary JG, Chan JL, McMahon CM, Chung RT. Atorvastatin does not exhibit antiviral activity against HCV at conventional doses: a pilot clinical trial. *Hepatology* 2007;45:895-898.
- [72] Bader T, Fazili J, Madhoun M, Aston C, Hughes D, Rizvi S, et al. Fluvastatin inhibits hepatitis C replication in humans. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:1383-1389.
- [73] Patel K, Jhaveri R, George J, Qiang G, Kenedi C, Brown K, et al. Open-label, ascending dose, prospective cohort study evaluating the antiviral efficacy of Rosuvastatin therapy in serum and lipid fractions in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J Viral Hepat* 2011;18:331-337.
- [74] Sezaki H, Suzuki F, Akuta N, Yatsuji H, Hosaka T, Kobayashi M, et al. An open pilot study exploring the efficacy of fluvastatin, pegylated interferon and ribavirin in patients with hepatitis C virus genotype 1b in high viral loads. *Intervirology* 2009;52:43-48.
- [75] Harrison SA, Rossaro L, Hu KQ, Patel K, Tillmann H, Dhaliwal S, et al. Serum cholesterol and statin use predict virological response to peginterferon and ribavirin therapy. *Hepatology* 2010;52:864-874.

- [76] Rao GA, Pandya PK. Statin therapy improves sustained virologic response among diabetic patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140:144-152.
- [77] Milazzo L, Caramma I, Mazzali C, Cesari M, Olivetti M, Galli M, et al. Fluvastatin as an adjuvant to pegylated interferon and ribavirin in HIV/hepatitis C virus genotype 1 co-infected patients: an open-label randomized controlled study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;65:735-740.
- [78] Blanchet M, Seidah NG, Labonte P. SKI-1/S1P inhibition: A promising surrogate to statins to block Hepatitis C virus replication. *Antiviral Res* 2012; 95:159-66.
- [79] Hanouille X, Badillo A, Wieruszeski JM, Verdegem D, Landrieu I, Bartenschlager R, et al. Hepatitis C virus NS5A protein is a substrate for the peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase activity of cyclophilins A and B. *J Biol Chem* 2009;284:13589-13601.
- [80] Kaul A, Stauffer S, Berger C, Pertel T, Schmitt J, Kallis S, et al. Essential role of cyclophilin A for hepatitis C virus replication and virus production and possible link to polyprotein cleavage kinetics. *PLoS Pathog* 2009;5:e1000546.
- [81] Teraoka S, Mishiro S, Ebihara K, Sanaka T, Yamaguchi Y, Nakajima I, et al. Effect of cyclosporine on proliferation of non-A, non-B hepatitis virus. *Transplant Proceed* 1988;20:868-876.
- [82] Paeshuyse J, Kaul A, De Clercq E, Rosenwirth B, Dumont JM, Scalfaro P, et al. The non-immunosuppressive cyclosporin DEBIO-025 is a potent inhibitor of hepatitis C virus replication in vitro. *Hepatology* 2006;43:761-770.
- [83] Chatterji U, Bobardt M, Selvarajah S, Yang F, Tang H, Sakamoto N, et al. The isomerase active site of cyclophilin A is critical for hepatitis C virus replication. *J Biol Chem* 2009;284:16998-17005.
- [84] Hopkins S, Scorneaux B, Huang Z, Murray MG, Wring S, Smitley C, et al. SCY-635, a novel nonimmunosuppressive analog of cyclosporine that exhibits potent inhibition of hepatitis C virus RNA replication in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010;54:660-672.

- [85] Coelmont L, Hanouille X, Chatterji U, Berger C, Snoeck J, Bobardt M, et al. DEB025 (Alisporivir) inhibits hepatitis C virus replication by preventing a cyclophilin A induced cis-trans isomerisation in domain II of NS5A. *PLoS ONE* 2010;5:e13687.
- [86] Hopkins S, Bobardt M, Chatterji U, Garcia-Rivera JA, Lim P, Gallay PA. The Cyclophilin Inhibitor SCY-635 Disrupts HCV NS5A-Cyclophilin A Complexes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56:3888-3897.
- [87] Hopkins S, Dimassimo B, Rusnak P, Heuman D, Lalezari J, Sluder A, et al. The cyclophilin inhibitor SCY-635 suppresses viral replication and induces endogenous interferons in patients with chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *J Hepatol* 2012;57:47-54.
- [88] Ptak RG, Gallay PA, Jochmans D, Halestrap AP, Ruegg UT, Pallansch LA, et al. Inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication in human cells by Debio-025, a novel cyclophilin binding agent. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52:1302-1317.
- [89] Daelemans D, Dumont JM, Rosenwirth B, De Clercq E, Pannecouque C. Debio-025 inhibits HIV-1 by interfering with an early event in the replication cycle. *Antiviral Res* 2010;85:418-421.
- [90] Flisiak R, Horban A, Gallay P, Bobardt M, Selvarajah S, Wiercinska-Drapalo A, et al. The cyclophilin inhibitor Debio-025 shows potent anti-hepatitis C effect in patients coinfecting with hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency virus. *Hepatology* 2008;47:817-826.
- [91] Flisiak R, Feinman SV, Jablkowski M, Horban A, Kryczka W, Pawlowska M, et al. The cyclophilin inhibitor Debio 025 combined with PEG IFNalpha2a significantly reduces viral load in treatment-naive hepatitis C patients. *Hepatology* 2009;49:1460-1468.
- [92] Flisiak R, Jaroszewicz J, Flisiak I, Lapinski T. Update on alisporivir in treatment of viral hepatitis C. *Exp Opin Investig Drugs* 2012;21:375-382.
- [93] Coelmont L, Kaptein S, Paeshuyse J, Vliegen I, Dumont JM, Vuagniaux G, et al. Debio 025, a cyclophilin binding molecule, is highly efficient in clearing hepatitis C

- virus (HCV) replicon-containing cells when used alone or in combination with specifically targeted antiviral therapy for HCV (STAT-C) inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009;53:967-976.
- [94] Miyanari Y, Atsuzawa K, Usuda N, Watashi K, Hishiki T, Zayas M, et al. The lipid droplet is an important organelle for hepatitis C virus production. *Nat Cell Biol* 2007;9:1089-1097.
- [95] Boulant S, Targett-Adams P, McLauchlan J. Disrupting the association of hepatitis C virus core protein with lipid droplets correlates with a loss in production of infectious virus. *J Gen Virol* 2007;88:2204-2213.
- [96] Roingard P, Hourieux C, Blanchard E, Prensier G. Hepatitis C virus budding at lipid droplet-associated ER membrane visualized by 3D electron microscopy. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2008;130:561-566.
- [97] Bartenschlager R, Penin F, Lohmann V, Andre P. Assembly of infectious hepatitis C virus particles. *Trends Microbiol* 2011;19:95-103.
- [98] Lavie M, Goffard A, Dubuisson J. Assembly of a functional HCV glycoprotein heterodimer. *Curr Issues Mol Biol* 2007;9:71-86.
- [99] Tews BA, Popescu CI, Dubuisson J. Last stop before exit - hepatitis C assembly and release as antiviral drug targets. *Viruses* 2010;2:1782-1803.
- [100] Huang H, Sun F, Owen DM, Li W, Chen Y, Gale M, Jr., et al. Hepatitis C virus production by human hepatocytes dependent on assembly and secretion of very low-density lipoproteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:5848-5853.
- [101] Gastaminza P, Cheng G, Wieland S, Zhong J, Liao W, Chisari FV. Cellular determinants of hepatitis C virus assembly, maturation, degradation, and secretion. *J Virol* 2008;82:2120-2129.
- [102] Jiang J, Luo G. Apolipoprotein E but not B is required for the formation of infectious hepatitis C virus particles. *J Virol* 2009;83:12680-12691.

- [103] Jamil H, Chu CH, Dickson JK, Jr., Chen Y, Yan M, Biller SA, et al. Evidence that microsomal triglyceride transfer protein is limiting in the production of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins in hepatic cells. *J Lipid Res* 1998;39:1448-1454.
- [104] Durantel D. Celgosivir, an alpha-glucosidase I inhibitor for the potential treatment of HCV infection. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* 2009;10:860-870.
- [105] Chapel C, Garcia C, Roingeard P, Zitzmann N, Dubuisson J, Dwek RA, et al. Antiviral effect of alpha-glucosidase inhibitors on viral morphogenesis and binding properties of hepatitis C virus-like particles. *J Gen Virol* 2006;87:861-871.
- [106] Chapel C, Garcia C, Bartosch B, Roingeard P, Zitzmann N, Cosset FL, et al. Reduction of the infectivity of hepatitis C virus pseudoparticles by incorporation of misfolded glycoproteins induced by glucosidase inhibitors. *J Gen Virol* 2007;88:1133-1143.
- [107] Yoshida E, Kunimoto D, Lee SE, Sherman M, Heathcote JE, Enns R. Results of a Phase II Dose Ranging Study of Orally Administered Celgosivir As Monotherapy in Chronic Hepatitis C Genotype-1 Patients. *Gastroenterology* 2006;130:A-784 (abstract S1059).
- [108] Kaita K, Yoshida E, Kunimoto D, Anderson F, Morris S, Marotta P, et al. Phase II Proof of Concept Study of Celgosivir in Combination with Peginterferon Alfa-2b and Ribavirin in Chronic Hepatitis C Genotype-1 Non-responder Patients. *J Hepatol* 2007;46:S56-S57 (abstract 127).
- [109] Raval SK, Raval PS, Jain MR. Emerging therapies for dyslipidemia: known knowns and known unknowns of MTP inhibitors. *Recent Patents on Endocrine, Metabolic & Immune Drug Discovery* 2012;6:24-29.
- [110] Gastaminza P, Whitten-Bauer C, Chisari FV. Unbiased probing of the entire hepatitis C virus life cycle identifies clinical compounds that target multiple aspects of the infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:291-296.

- [111] Chockalingam K, Simeon RL, Rice CM, Chen Z. A cell protection screen reveals potent inhibitors of multiple stages of the hepatitis C virus life cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:3764-3769.
- [112] Delang L, Vliegen I, Froeyen M, Neyts J. Comparative study of the genetic barriers and pathways towards resistance of selective inhibitors of hepatitis C virus replication. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011;55:4103-4113.
- [113] Konig R, Stertz S, Zhou Y, Inoue A, Hoffmann HH, Bhattacharyya S, et al. Human host factors required for influenza virus replication. *Nature* 2010;463:813-817.
- [114] Vanwolleghem T, Meuleman P, Libbrecht L, Roskams T, De Vos R, Leroux-Roels G. Ultra-rapid cardiotoxicity of the hepatitis C virus protease inhibitor BILN 2061 in the urokinase-type plasminogen activator mouse. *Gastroenterology* 2007;133:1144-1155.
- [115] Imming P, Sinning C, Meyer A. Drugs, their targets and the nature and number of drug targets. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2006;5:821-834.
- [116] Overington JP, Al-Lazikani B, Hopkins AL. How many drug targets are there? *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2006;5:993-996.
- [117] Landry Y, Gies JP. Drugs and their molecular targets: an updated overview. *Fundament Clin Pharmacol* 2008;22:1-18.
- [118] Stoll-Keller F, Barth H, Fafi-Kremer S, Zeisel MB, Baumert TF. Development of hepatitis C virus vaccines: challenges and progress. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2009;8:333-345.
- [119] Zeisel MB, Zahid MN, Xiao F, Dao Thi VL, Cosset F-L, Fofana I, et al. Monoclonal antibodies specific for the SR-BI N-terminal ectodomain block hepatitis C virus entry into human hepatocytes at postbinding steps and cell-cell transmission. *Hepatology* 2011;54:87A (abstract 91).
- [120] Nathan C. Fresh approaches to anti-infective therapies. *Sci Transl Med* 2012;4:140sr142.