

# Associations of social and material deprivation with tobacco, alcohol, and psychotropic drug use, and gender: a population-based study.

Michèle Baumann, Elisabeth Spitz, Francis Guillemin, Jean-François Ravaud, Marie Choquet, Bruno Falissard, Nearkasen Chau, Lorhandicap Group

# ▶ To cite this version:

Michèle Baumann, Elisabeth Spitz, Francis Guillemin, Jean-François Ravaud, Marie Choquet, et al.. Associations of social and material deprivation with tobacco, alcohol, and psychotropic drug use, and gender: a population-based study.. Int J Health Geogr, 2007, 6, pp.50. 10.1186/1476-072X-6-50. inserm-00433008

# HAL Id: inserm-00433008 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00433008

Submitted on 17 Nov 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# **International Journal of Health Geographics**



**Open Access** Research

# Associations of social and material deprivation with tobacco, alcohol, and psychotropic drug use, and gender: a population-based study

Michèle Baumann<sup>1</sup>, Elisabeth Spitz<sup>2</sup>, Francis Guillemin<sup>3</sup>, Jean-François Ravaud<sup>4</sup>, Marie Choquet<sup>5,6,7</sup>, Bruno Falissard<sup>5,6,7,8</sup>, Nearkasen Chau\*5,6,7 and Lorhandicap group

Address: <sup>1</sup>INtegrative research unit on Social and Individual DEvelopment (INSIDE), University of Luxembourg, Faculty LSHASE, Luxembourg; Luxembourg;, <sup>2</sup>Department of psychology, University of Metz, Metz, France;, <sup>3</sup>EA 4003, Ecole de Santé Publique, University Henri Poincaré -Nancy 1, Faculté de Médecine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France;, 4INSERM, U 750, CERMES, IFR25-IFRH, Villejuif, France;, 5INSERM, U669, Paris, France;, <sup>6</sup>Univ Paris-Sud, Paris, France;, <sup>7</sup>Univ Paris-Descartes, UMR-S0669 Paris, France; and <sup>8</sup>AP-HP, Villejuif, France.

Email: Michèle Baumann - michele.baumann@uni.lu; Elisabeth Spitz - elisa.spitz@wanadoo.fr; Francis Guillemin - francis.guillemin@medecine.uhp-nancy.fr; Jean-François Ravaud - ravaud@vjf.cnrs.fr; Marie Choquet - choquet@cochin.inserm.fr; Bruno Falissard - falissard\_b@wanadoo.fr; Nearkasen Chau\* - Nearkasen.Chau@wanadoo.fr;  $Lorhandicap\ group\ -\ Nearkasen. Chau @wanadoo.fr$ 

\* Corresponding author

Published: 9 November 2007

International Journal of Health Geographics 2007, 6:50 doi:10.1186/1476-072X-6-50

This article is available from: http://www.ij-healthgeographics.com/content/6/1/50

© 2007 Baumann et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 29 June 2007 Accepted: 9 November 2007

#### **Abstract**

Background: The aim was to assess the relationships between social and material deprivation and the use of tobacco, excessive alcohol and psychotropic drugs by both sexes and in various age groups. Greater knowledge concerning these issues may help public health policy-makers design more effective means of preventing substance abuse.

Methods: The sample comprised 6,216 people aged ≥ 15 years randomly selected from the population in northeastern France. Subjects completed a post-mailed questionnaire covering socio-demographic characteristics, occupation, employment, income, smoking habit, alcohol abuse and "psychotropic" drug intake (for headache, tiredness, nervousness, anxiety, insomnia). A deprivation score (D) was defined by the cumulative number of: low educational level, manual worker, unemployed, living alone, nationality other than western European, low income, and non-home-ownership. Data were analysed using adjusted odds ratios (ORa) computed with logistic models.

Results: Deprivation was common: 37.4% of respondents fell into category D = 1, 21.2% into D = 2, and 10.0% into  $D \ge 3$ . More men than women reported tobacco use (30.2% vs. 21.9%) and alcohol abuse (12.5% vs. 3.3%), whereas psychotropic drug use was more common among women (23.8% vs. 41.0%). Increasing levels of deprivation were associated with a greater likelihood of tobacco use (ORa vs. D = 0: 1.16 in D = 1, 1.49 in D = 2, and 1.93 in  $D \ge 3$ ), alcohol abuse (1.19 in D = 1, 1.32 in D = 2, and 1.80 in  $D \ge 3$ ) and frequent psychotropic drug intake (1.26 in D = 1, 1.51 in D = 2, and 1.91 in D  $\geq$  3). These patterns were observed in working/other non-retired men and women (except for alcohol abuse in women). Among retired people, deprivation was associated with tobacco and psychotropic drug use only in men.

Conclusion: Preventive measures should be designed to improve work conditions, reduce deprivation, and help deprived populations to be more aware of risk and to find remedial measures.

## **Background**

Worldwide, the use of tobacco, alcohol and psychotropic drugs results in substantial morbidity and mortality [1-6]. More than 400,000 people die from cigarette smoking every year, and one in every five deaths in the United States is believed to be smoking-related [7]. The consequences of smoking include respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, cancer, physical disabilities, mental disorders, injury, and death [1,8-14]. Among the effects of alcohol abuse are cirrhosis, cardiovascular disease, cancer, gastrointestinal problems, neurocognitive deficits, bone loss, emotional challenges, depression, deterioration in posture control and mobility, injury, job-loss and premature death [4,15-17]. Psychotropic drug intake is common in Europe [1,2,5,18] and alters health status, increases the risk of cancer, injury, and obesity, and deteriorates quality of life [3,13,19-22]. Substance abuse is associated with poor living conditions [2], and recent research has shown that its aetiology involves genetic, material, social and psychological factors [2]. Use of tobacco, alcohol or psychotropic drugs is widely recognised to be a strong, but controllable, risk factor for poor health and social disparities in health [1,3-5,8,23]. It is therefore necessary, from a pubic health perspective, to identify and help those individuals most at risk.

Social and material deprivation affects a large number of people [24-27] and is multidimensional. Factors to consider include low educational level, poor employment status (manual worker, unemployed), living alone, ethnic background (other than western European) low income, and not being a home-owner [28-33]. Findings over recent decades have shown that, taken together, these characteristics result in very difficult living conditions, markedly deteriorated health status, premature death, and unsafe health-related behaviours [8,24-40], notably substance use [1,2,8,23,25,35,36].

Most studies in the literature were focused on one substance, but the patterns of risk may vary from substance to substance due to differences in their social acceptability and other factors. Greater understanding in this area would be expected to lead to improvements in the design of preventive measures. France is a vine growing country where alcohol consumption and psychotropic drug intake are higher than elsewhere, and smoking is prevalent[1,2,5].

Risk patterns may also differ between working and retired people and between the sexes. Many working people, particularly manual workers, use substances in order to cope with work-related difficulties [23,41], and occupations differ between men and women. On the whole, retired people have less good health status and more disability[26,42] than working people due to ageing itself and to

having a longer history of working. Risk patterns also vary according to occupational group. Women suffer more from mental disorders than do men[25,42,43], and are more likely to take psychotropic drugs under medical supervision [1,17], to be given them following a medical consultation, to receive longer courses, and to renew the treatment [44].

Key questions in this context concern the relationships between deprivation and tobacco, alcohol and psychotropic drug use. Sex- and age-related differences among active and retired people are also of interest, as are the associations between various dimensions of deprivation and the use of specific substances. Knowledge about these patterns may be of use to professionals directly helping the people concerned, and to those responsible for designing and implementing public health policies intended to reduce social inequalities. Disparities in health are a burgeoning field in which debate is ongoing concerning the models used to explain inequality (particularly whether it is mediated by social, economic and lifestyle-related determinants of health) [29,32,45]. Although social disparities in mortality are greater in France than in other western European countries [34], inequalities in health are poorly documented there [46-48]. Research in recent decades has shed light on the impact of deprivation on health, but most of the work has focused on populations in specific geographic areas defined by neighbourhood deprivation indices [28-30]. Deprivation affects people in most parts of all countries, and epidemiological studies may need to look beyond the so-called "deprived areas" [24,25].

The present study looked at the relationship between social deprivation and substance use among people aged 15+ years in a French population. It investigated (1) the relationships between deprivation and tobacco, excessive alcohol and psychotropic drug use, (2) sex and age differences among working/other non-retired and retired people, and (3) the relationships between various aspects of deprivation, and tobacco, excessive alcohol and psychotropic drug use.

## Materials and methods

The initial sample consisted of everyone aged 15 years or more living in 8,000 randomly selected households in the Lorraine region of north-eastern France (2.3 million inhabitants). Only households with a telephone were eligible.

Before the initial survey, a 3-month media campaign (television, print, and radio) was conducted in order to raise awareness. The investigation was approved by the *Commission Nationale d'Informatique et Libertés*, and written informed consent was obtained from respondents.

The study protocol included: (a) an application to participate that ascertained the number of people in the household, and (b) three standardized self-administered questionnaires with a covering letter and a pre-paid envelope for the reply. Mailings were made at 1-month intervals. When the number of individuals was unknown, two questionnaires were sent first, and another later. Questionnaires were completed by the subjects themselves, but adolescents were free to ask their parents about anything they did not understand. Questions covered: sex, date of birth, educational level, occupation (previous occupation for retired people) coded according to the Insee classification (Paris, 1983), smoking habit, alcohol abuse, nationality, family characteristics, unemployment, homeownership, perceived income, and psychotropic drug use. Alcohol abuse was defined using the Deta questionnaire (at least two positive responses to four items: (i) consumption considered excessive by the subject; (ii) consumption considered excessive by people around the subject, (iii) subject wishes to reduce consumption, and (iv) consumption on waking) [8,25]. With regard to perceived income, subjects were asked whether they considered themselves: comfortable or well off, earning just enough, coping but with difficulties, or getting into debt. Psychotropic drug use was determined by asking whether respondents had frequently taken medication (prescribed and/or non-prescribed) for headache, tiredness, nervousness or anxiety, or insomnia over the previous year (Yes/ No) [8,18,43]. Deprivation was defined by the number of positive responses to the following seven criteria: low educational level (primary school), manual worker, unemployed, living alone, nationality other than western European, low income (coping, but with difficulties, or getting into debt), and not being a home-owner [28,30].

Of the 8,000 households included in the sample, mailings to 193 (2%) were lost (due to address error or death). Of 7,807 households contacted, 3,460 (44.3%) participated (all eligible members of the family took part in 86% of those). In total, 6,234 subjects filled in a questionnaire; 18 were of unknown sex or age, leaving 6,216 subjects who were similar in age and sex distribution to the Lorraine population (Table 1).

# Statistical analyses

The  $\chi^2$  independence test was used to compare the two sexes for various variables. The deprivation score (D) was defined by the number of the seven criteria considered above and classified into four groups: D = 0, D = 1, D = 2, and D  $\geq$  3. We also used the principal component analysis in order to define a score as a linear combination of the previous criteria but this was not retained because: (1) the seven eigenvalues found were close enough (1.33, 1.20, 1.06, 0.97, 0.87, 0.84, and 0.73), suggesting that each component contributed almost equally to the D; and (2)

Table I: Distribution according to sex and age of the sample studied and of the general population of Lorraine [49] (%)

	Sample studied	Lorraine general population
No. of subjects	6,216	1,848,579
Percentage of women Age (yr)	52.4	51.5
15–19	5.4	9.6
20–24	8.0	9.8
25-29	9.7	9.7
30–34	10.4	9.6
35–39	10.5	9.6
40-44	7.9	9.3
45-49	8.5	5.9
50-54	6.0	6.6
55–59	6.3	6.8
60–64	7.2	6.6
65–69	7.5	5.7
70 or over	12.6	10.8

Only people aged 15 or more were considered.

the relationships between the deprivation levels defined by the  $50^{th}$ ,  $75^{th}$ , and  $90^{th}$  percentile values of the score obtained [49] with tobacco, excessive alcohol, and psychotropic drug use were close to those found with D = 0, D = 1, D = 2, and D  $\geq$  3. Cronbach's alpha was modest (0.24). To assess the relationships between D and tobacco, excessive alcohol and psychotropic drug use, adjusted odds ratios (ORa) and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using logistic models.

## Results

The characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 2. Deprivation (D) was common: 37.4% of subjects were classified as D = 1, 21.2% D = 2, and 10.0% D  $\geq$  3. Low educational level, living alone, unemployment, and not being a home-owner were more common among women, whereas men were more likely to be manual workers. Men exhibited a significantly (p < 0.001) higher prevalence than women of tobacco use (30.2% vs. 21.9%) and alcohol abuse (12.5% vs. 3.3%), but women reported more frequent psychotropic drug use (for headache, tiredness, nervousness/anxiety, insomnia, 41.0% vs. 23.8%).

Table 3 shows that the deprivation patterns differed between various age groups with the exception of "foreign" nationality for both sexes. There were clear differences between generations/ages in educational level, income and home-ownership. Tobacco use was more frequent among younger men and women. Alcohol abuse predominantly affected men aged 40–59 and women aged under 50. No difference between the age groups was found in psychotropic drug use among either men or women.

Table 2: Characteristics of the subjects by sex: %

	Men (n = 2,959)	Women (n = 3,257)	p-value
Age (yr)			0.002
≤29	21.5	24.5	
30–39	21.8	20.1	
40–49	17.8	15.1	
50–59	12.6	12.0	
60–69	14.5	15.0	
≥70	11.8	13.3	
Deprivation (D)			
Low educational level (primary school)	26.4	32.1	< 0.001
Manual worker	27.0	9.5	< 0.001
Low perceived income (with difficulties)	8.8	9.0	0.74
Living alone	7.7	15.0	< 0.001
Unemployed	3.1	4.6	< 0.001
Foreign nationality (other than Western European)	2.4	1.8	0.11
Not home-owner	36.9	40.9	<0.001
D score			0.01
0	32.9	29.9	
1	35.7	39.1	
2	20.9	21.5	
≥3	10.5	9.5	
Fobacco use	30.2	21.9	<0.001
Alcohol abuse	12.5	3.3	<0.001
requent use of psychotropic drugs	23.8	41.0	<0.001
Tiredness	3.1	6.1	< 0.001
Headache	13.7	25.9	< 0.001
Insomnia	6.2	11.0	< 0.001
Nervousness or anxiety	5.9	13.6	< 0.001

The results in Tables 4 and 5 demonstrate a strong and similar relationship between deprivation and tobacco and psychotropic drug use among both sexes, and with alcohol abuse in men (not women) of all ages combined. However, risk patterns differed between working/other non-retired subjects and retired respondents. Among working/other non-retired men and women, the relationship between deprivation and tobacco and psychotropic drug use persisted, whatever the age group. The risk of alcohol abuse was similar for the D = 1, D = 2 and D  $\geq$  3 groups in men and non-significant in women. In retired men, there was a proportional relationship between deprivation and psychotropic drug use, but only the  $D \ge 3$ group had a significant OR for tobacco and alcohol abuse. Among retired women, a higher risk of psychotropic drug use was observed in subjects who were in  $D \ge 3$  and less than 70 years old.

Tables 6 and 7 show that risk patterns varied between working/other non-retired and retired people, the two sexes, and according to the deprivation dimensions and

the substance concerned. Among working/other nonretired men, low educational level, manual employment and low income were related to tobacco and psychotropic drug use, whereas low income was associated with the use of all three substances, living alone with alcohol abuse only; and not being a home-owner with alcohol abuse. Among retired men, having been a manual worker was associated with alcohol abuse only, and low income with alcohol abuse and psychotropic drug use. In women, low educational level, being a manual worker and low income were associated with psychotropic drug use, but low income, living alone and unemployment were associated with tobacco use in working/other non-retired and retired subjects. Among retired women a significant association was noted between not being a home-owner and alcohol abuse only.

## **Discussion**

The present study demonstrates that deprivation is common in the population considered, and that it has a strong association with tobacco, excessive alcohol intake, and

Table 3: Relationship between age and deprivation (D) and substance use for each sex: %

	Men (2,959 subjects)						Women (3,257 subjects)							
N. C. I.	<u>≤29</u>	30–39	40–49	50-59	60–69	≥70	p-value	≤29	30–39	40–49	50–59	60–69	≥70	p-value
No. of subjects	637	645	528	373	428	348		799	655	491	391	487	434	
Deprivation														
Low educational level	10.1	15.2	22.7	29.0	44.2	58.0	< 0.001	8.8	16.5	30.5	44.5	56.5	61.7	< 0.001
Manual worker	20.4	35.6	29.4	28.2	25.2	20.1	< 0.001	6.4	9.9	12.4	9.0	12.3	8.8	0.002
Low perceived income	11.9	9.6	11.2	9.9	3.7	2.6	<0.001	10.9	9.3	13.9	8.4	4.3	5.3	< 0.001
Living alone	10.1	7.9	5.9	5.1	6.5	10.1	0.012	9.4	7.0	4.1	13.0	22.6	42.6	< 0.001
Unemployed	5.0	3.4	2.5	5.9	0.2	_	< 0.001	7.3	5.5	5.3	5.9	1.4	-	< 0.001
Foreign nationality	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.7	2.6	3.4	0.79	1.8	1.7	0.8	3.1	1.9	2.3	0.24
Not home-owner	56.4	48.7	31.2	22.8	18.0	26.2	<0.001	61.2	46.7	31.0	28.4	24.2	36.2	<0.001
D score							< 0.001							< 0.001
D = I	39.3	37.0	31.2	32.7	31.3	42.0		42.5	38.9	31.4	37.6	42.7	38.7	
D = 2	23.7	22.0	18.0	15.3	21.0	23.8		21.3	16.3	18.5	18.9	24.8	32.0	
D ≥ 3	9.1	12.6	11.4	11.3	8.6	9.8		6.4	7.9	9.0	11.3	9.9	16.4	
Tobacco use	37.1	41.2	38.1	23.6	15.0	11.2	<0.001	34.7	36.0	21.4	12.0	7.2	3.0	< 0.001
Alcohol abuse	11.0	11.8	15.9	16.6	10.8	8.9	0.003	3.9	4.0	5.1	3.1	1.4	1.4	0.004
Frequent use of psychotropic drugs	21.5	23.7	25.2	23.3	22.0	28.4	0.19	42.2	36.0	41.3	43.2	41.1	43.5	0.10

Age was related to D with p < 0.001.

psychotropic drug use. It highlights that risk patterns vary with the substance concerned, sex, and between active and retired people. The risk of substance use differed between deprivation dimensions. The material and social deprivation index used here was defined from seven criteria (low educational level, manual worker, unemployed, living alone, nationality other than western European, low income, and not being a home-owner) generally used in the literature [28,30]. The nationality criterion was included in the deprivation index considered because it may be associated with cultural disadvantages, poor work/living conditions, poor living environment, poor health and access to care. It should be noted that racial composition has been included in deprivation indexes by several authors [30]. The seven eigenvalues found with the principal component analysis were close enough (between 0.73 and 1.33) to suggest that all components contributed almost equally to the D value. Cronbach's alpha was modest. A similar observation was highlighted in the literature after elimination of redundant items in each domain[30]. The deprivation index described here reflects the multidimensional character of community socioeconomic status [30].

These findings indicate that material and social conditions are potential risk factors for harmful health-related behaviours during both working life and retirement, and that the presence of several dimensions of deprivation is associated with a very high risk. This is consistent with the results of other studies, although, to our knowledge, they did not focus on all three substances studied here [19,23,28-30,32]. Tobacco, alcohol and psychotropic drugs are strong contributors to social disparities in health

[1,3-5,8,23]. Two studies in France showed a strong relationship of the cumulative number of deprivation dimensions with tobacco, cannabis, psychotropic, tranquillizer and antidepressant use, as well as with physical and mental health status, obesity, underweight, diabetes and hypertension [5,24].

Any selection bias here would be small: 96% of households had telephones at the time of the study, and only 16% had confidential addresses. Discussions before the survey, for example with associations of people with disabilities, suggested that this list would not be biased with regard to health status or living conditions. The participation rate was rather modest but similar to that achieved in similar surveys in France [1,49]. The age and sex distributions of the sample reflect those of the general population of Lorraine [50]. The quality of the completed questionnaires was very good. As mentioned above, all the factors studied had been validated and used in other studies [5,8,13,18,43].

Although the study was conducted on a large sample, the results should be interpreted with caution due to possible selection bias. The self-administered occupational health history questionnaire is considered reliable and valid [51]. A study analysing non-response bias in a mailed health survey showed that respondents and non-respondents were of similar sex and age distributions, and close in terms of health care expenditure [52]. Similar observations were reported by the Maastricht Cohort Study [53]. The prevalences of various variables in the present sample were similar to the directly standardized adjusted rates computed in reference to the Lorraine population [50].

Table 4: Relationships between deprivation score (D)and tobacco, excessive alcohol, and frequent psychotropic drug use for various age groups among working and other non-retired people: odds ratios and 95% CI, vs. D = 0

		Working and other non-retired people <sup>a</sup>						ie sample <sup>b</sup>
	Age < 40 yr		Ago	Age ≥ 40 yr		Total		
Men								
No. of subjects		986		845		1831		2959
•			To	obacco use				
D = I	1.47*	1.04-2.07	1.42*	1.00-2.03	1.52‡	1.20-1.94	1.27*	1.04-1.56
D = 2	1.98‡	1.36-2.88	1.75†	1.16-2.65	2.00‡	1.53-2.63	1.73‡	1.37-2.18
$D \ge 3$	3.43‡	2.20-5.37	1.98†	1.23-3.19	2.83‡	2.05-3.88	2.62‡	1.98-3.46
			Excess	sive alcohol use				
D = I	2.09†	1.18-3.71	1.62*	1.02-2.57	1.68‡	1.19-2.39	1.46†	1.10-1.94
D = 2	1.88*	1.01-3.52	1.83*	1.07-3.10	1.66†	1.12-2.46	1.54†	1.12-2.12
$D \ge 3$	1.97	0.97-4.01	2.29†	1.28-4.09	1.95†	1.25-3.03	2.26‡	1.58-3.23
			Psycho	tropic drug use				
D = I	1.03	0.68-1.54	1.55*	1.05-2.28	1.24	0.94-1.64	1.32*	1.06-1.65
D = 2	1.64*	1.07-2.50	2.35‡	1.52-3.64	1.91‡	1.42-2.58	1.71‡	1.34-2.17
$D \ge 3$	2.18†	1.34-3.53	2.40‡	1.46-3.96	2.30‡	1.63-3.24	2.22‡	1.67-2.96
Women								
No. of subjects	1096		1094		2190		3257	
			To	obacco use				
D = I	1.25	0.93-1.70	0.97	0.64-1.47	1.18	0.93-1.49	1.21	0.98-1.51
D = 2	2.12‡	1.49-3.00	0.99	0.61-1.61	1.60‡	1.22-2.09	1.75‡	1.36-2.26
$D \ge 3$	2.14‡	1.35-3.39	2.06†	1.20-3.51	2.01‡	1.43-2.82	2.06‡	1.48-2.86
			Excess	sive alcohol use				
D = I	0.91	0.40-2.06	1.40	0.66-2.91	1.15	0.66-1.98	1.11	0.68-1.79
D = 2	1.52	0.65-3.56	0.91	0.35-2.36	1.21	0.64-2.26	1.43	0.84-2.44
$D \ge 3$	0.62	0.14-2.86	0.84	0.23-3.04	0.74	0.28-1.97	1.10	0.51-2.33
			Psycho	tropic drug use	!			
D = I	1.04	0.77-1.40	1.13	0.85-1.52	1.08	0.88-1.33	1.16	0.97-1.38
D = 2	1.20	0.85-1.69	1.53*	1.09-2.14	1.35*	1.06-1.72	1.36†	1.11–1.66
$D \ge 3$	1.44	0.91-2.28	2.23‡	1.43-3.46	1.81‡	1.32-2.48	1.77‡	1.36-2.30

P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001.

This is due, as noted above, to similar age and sex distributions in the sample and the Lorraine population as a whole. It should be noted that our study would underestimate the differences, as the most economically deprived (i.e. those with no home and therefore no telephone) were not included in the sample.

Our study found that 30% of men and 22% of women were current smokers. These figures differ slightly from those reported among French people aged 12–75 in 1999 (32% and 26% respectively) [25]. Alcohol abuse (in terms of the Deta index) was similar at 13.3% in men and 4% in women [25]. The higher prevalence of alcohol abuse among men seen here was also found in the ESEMeD study [42]. Psychotropic drug use was common (23.8% in men and 41.0% in women). Comparison with other studies is difficult because of variations in the study populations, the psychotropic drugs considered, and the methodological approaches adopted. In France, one-third

of workers use drugs for work-related reasons, 20% to feel better, 12% to control an awkward symptom, and 18% to relax after a difficult day's work [41,54]. The ESEMeD study found that the prevalence of antidepressant, anxiolytic, and antipsychotic or mood-stabilizing drug use over a 12-month period was 19.2% in France, 15.5% in Spain, 13.7% in Italy, 13.2% in Belgium, 7.4% in the Netherlands, and 5.9% in Germany [1]. In Belgium, Bruffaerts et al. [55] reported that about 19% of people aged 18 years or more said they had used a psychotropic drug over the previous 12 months.

The present study reveals a strong association between deprivation and tobacco and psychotropic drug use, for the two age groups (<40 and ≥40 years), among working/ other non-retired men and women. In other words, the likelihood of tobacco and psychotropic drug use increases with the deprivation score, and the association appears early and persists throughout the working lives of both

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Students were excluded (n = 643). <sup>b</sup> Odds ratios adjusted for age.

Table 5: Relationships between deprivation score (D)and tobacco, excessive alcohol, and frequent psychotropic drug use for various age groups among retired people: odds ratios and 95% CI, vs. D = 0

		Retired people					All the sample <sup>a</sup>		
	Ag	Age < 70 yr Age $\geq$ 70 yr Total		Total					
Men									
No. of subjects		503	333			836		2959	
			Т	obacco use					
D = I	1.04	0.59-1.82	0.63	0.23-1.69	18.0	0.50-1.32	1.27*	1.04-1.56	
D = 2	1.23	0.65-2.30	1.97	0.77 <del>-4</del> .99	1.36	0.82-2.26	1.73‡	1.37-2.18	
$D \geq 3$	2.00	0.95-4.21	2.03	0.64-6.38	1.88*	1.01-3.48	2.62‡	1.98-3.46	
			Exces	sive alcohol use	:				
D = I	0.94	0.47-1.88	0.86	0.29-2.51	0.86	0.48-1.52	1.46†	1.10-1.94	
D = 2	1.41	0.68-2.92	1.03	0.32-3.33	1.20	0.65-2.22	1.54†	1.12-2.12	
$D \geq 3$	3.31†	1.50-7.28	2.78	0.82-9.35	2.97‡	1.54-5.72	2.26‡	1.58-3.23	
			Psycho	otropic drug use	е				
D = I	1.53	0.90-2.61	1.40	0.74-2.65	1.56*	1.05-2.34	1.32*	1.06-1.65	
D = 2	1.65	0.91-3.00	1.35	0.66-2.77	1.59*	1.01-2.51	1.71‡	1.34-2.17	
$D \geq 3$	2.00	0.95-4.21	2.28	0.95-5.45	2.21†	1.26-3.87	2.22‡	1.67-2.96	
Women									
No. of subjects		368		285		716		3257	
			Т	obacco use					
D = I	1.75	0.60-5.09	(1)		1.03	0.43-2.46	1.21	0.98-1.51	
D = 2	2.77	0.94-8.23	0.34	0.07-1.77	1.34	0.55-3.25	1.75‡	1.36-2.26	
$D \ge 3$	0.94	0.17-5.04	0.40	0.08-2.05	0.33	0.07-1.57	2.06‡	1.48-2.86	
			Exces	sive alcohol use	:				
D = I	0.65	0.04-10.5	(1)		0.17	0.02-1.63	1.11	0.68-1.79	
D = 2	2.14	0.19-24.0	0.40	0.06-2.96	0.93	0.21-4.23	1.43	0.84-2.44	
$D \ge 3$	2.38	0.15-39.1	0.72	0.10-5.32	1.37	0.27-6.93	1.10	0.51-2.33	
			•	otropic drug use					
D = I	1.29	0.75-2.22	1.04	0.52-2.05	1.21	0.80-1.85	1.16	0.97-1.38	
D = 2	1.39	0.76-2.51	1.04	0.52-2.10	1.26	0.81-1.97	1.36†	1.11–1.66	
$D \geq 3$	2.91†	1.36-6.23	1.00	0.46-2.16	1.65	0.98-2.76	1.77‡	1.36-2.30	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Odds ratio adjusted for age.

Table 6: Relationships between deprivation score (D)and tobacco, excessive alcohol, and frequent psychotropic drug use among working and other non-retired people: odds ratios adjusted for age and 95% CI

	Working and other non-retired people								
	Tobacc	o use	Excessive	e alcohol intake	Frequent use of psychotropic drugs				
Men (1831 subjects)									
Deprivation (D)									
Low educational level	1.39†	1.08-1.79	1.02	0.72-1.45	2.05‡	1.58-2.66			
Manual worker	1.75‡	1.42-2.14	1.21	0.91-1.61	1.49‡	1.19-1.86			
Low perceived income	1.69‡	1.25-2.28	2.20‡	1.54-3.16	1.96‡	1. <del>44</del> –2.67			
Living alone	1.25	0.87-1.79	1.78†	1.14-2.76	1.39	0.95-2.04			
Unemployed	1.43	0.92-2.22	1.31	0.73-2.34	1.00	0.61-1.64			
Foreign nationality	1.13	0.58-2.21	0.53	0.16-1.77	1.76	0.91-3.41			
Not home-owner	1.50‡	1.23-1.83	1. <del>4</del> 6†	1.10-1.93	1.11	0.89-1.38			
Women (2190 subjects)									
Deprivation									
Low educational level	1.08	0.84-1.39	0.95	0.55-1.64	1.42†	1.15-1.74			
Manual worker	1.13	0.82-1.56	0.69	0.30-1.62	1.34*	1.01-1.77			
Low perceived income	2.50‡	1.86-3.36	1.07	0.52-2.18	1.56†	1.18-2.06			
Living alone	1.68†	1.20-2.36	1.74	0.87-3.48	1.10	0.82-1.47			
Unemployed	1.53*	1.07-2.20	0.95	0.37-2.39	0.92	0.65-1.30			
Foreign nationality	0.45	0.17-1.19	(1)		1.36	0.72-2.58			
Not home-owner	center I.43‡	1.17-1.76	1.04	0.64-1.68	1.14	center0.95-1.37			
*P<0.05, †P<0.01, ‡P<0.001	•								

Table 7: Relationships between deprivation score (D)and tobacco, excessive alcohol, and frequent psychotropic drug use among retired people: odds ratios adjusted for age and 95% CI

				Retired people			
	Tobacco use		Excessive alcol	nol intake	Frequent use of psychotropic drugs		
Men (836 subjects)							
Deprivation (D)							
Low educational level	1.37	0.93-2.03	1.23	0.79-1.94	1.29	0.93-1.78	
Manual worker	1.27	0.83-1.96	2.22‡	1.40-3.52	1.32	0.92-1.90	
Low perceived income	1.80	0.82-3.95	2.45*	1.08-5.56	2.20*	1.07-4.54	
Living alone	1.58	0.81-3.10	1.65	0.77-3.52	1.13	0.63-2.04	
Unemployed	-		-		-		
Foreign nationality	1.27	0.42-3.84	(1)		1.11	0.43-2.87	
Not home-owner	1.38	0.88-2.16	1.57	0.95-2.60	1.38	0.95-2.01	
Women (716 subjects)							
Deprivation							
Low educational level	0.70	0.36-1.35	0.46	0.24-1.65	1.09	0.80-1.48	
Manual worker	0.70	0.24-2.04	1.77	0.37-8.45	1.48	0.95-2.32	
Low perceived income	0.89	0.20-4.03	(1)		1.13	0.56-2.27	
Living alone	1.23	0.61 - 2.47	1.66	0.48-5.76	1.23	0.90-1.70	
Unemployed	-		-		-		
Foreign nationality		3.45	center0.69-17.2	(1)	0.55	0.17-1.77	
Not home-owner	1.43	0.72-2.87	4.46*	1.27-15.7	1.09	0.78-1.52	
*P<0.05, ‡P<0.001							

sexes. These findings are consistent with those of other studies. It is reported that one-third of the French working population use medications or other legal psychoactive substances in order to cope with work-related difficulties, and that such use is more common in manual workers [41]. Manual workers have poorer working conditions that may lead to physical and mental disturbances [26] and consequently to psychotropic drug use. Manual workers also have a higher prevalence of tobacco use [2,5] and of disabilities than other workers in both age groups <40 and ≥40 years [26]. Physical job demands lead to fatigue [56] and the development of work-related stress reactions, psychological overload, and health problems [57]. Cumulative job stress is common and is associated with increased risk of mental health disorders and psychotropic drug use [58]. The volume of services provided and job dissatisfaction are associated with hypnotic and tranquillizer use [59]. Interesting findings here were that among the seven deprivation domains studied: (1) low educational level, being a manual worker and low income were associated with psychotropic drug use among men as well as women; (2) tobacco use was related to low educational level, being a manual worker, low income and not being a home-owner in men, and to low income, living alone, unemployment and not being a home-owner in women. Other studies have stated that unemployment, low educational level, being a manual worker, being divorced or widowed, and living alone were associated with an increased risk of tobacco and psychotropic drug use in France and in Europe [1,2,5,35,36]. No relationship between nationality and any substance use was observed in our study – this was also true for psychotropic drug use in Europe (when controlling for co-factors) [1].

With regard to alcohol abuse, our study found a strong relationship with deprivation in male, but not female, working/other non-retired people. Alcohol abuse was more common in men than in women (12.5% vs. 3.3%, p < 0.001). This sex difference has also been reported by other studies in France [25,42] which related increasing deprivation to alcohol abuse, but not daily alcohol use [5]. It should be noted that of the seven deprivation criteria considered, only low income, living alone and not being a home-owner were related to alcohol abuse in men, and no association was observed among women. The differences between the sexes may be explained in part by the fact that alcohol abuse is three-fold lower in women, who are more likely to take psychotropic drugs [1,17]. Excessive alcohol use appeared here to be more associated with poverty and poor living conditions [2] than with working issues. Other investigations have found a relationship between living alone and unemployment, and partner-relationship disruptions are strongly associated with suicidal behaviour among individuals with alcoholism [35,36].

Another important finding is that risk patterns for substance use clearly differ between active and retired men, and between retired men and retired women. Indeed, among the active and retired men, there was a gradient in

the relationship between psychotropic drug useand deprivation score, whereas smoking and alcohol abuse were associated only with  $D \ge 3$ . The lower overall associations between deprivation and smoking and alcohol abuse among retired men (except  $D \ge 3$  group for alcohol abuse) may be explained as follows: (1) smoking and alcohol abuse are less frequent in retired people (compared with other generations/age groups); (2) premature mortality (before 65/70 years) is higher among subjects most at risk, particularly manual workers, smokers and alcohol users [37,38] (this was also observed in the 9-year prospective premature mortality (<70 years) analysis of the sample studied: manual workers had an increased adjusted risk ratio of 1.84, 95% CI 1.00-3.37 compared with upper class; risk ratios for current smokers and ex-smokers were 1.76, 95% CI 1.08-2.88 and 1.52, 95% CI 0.96-2.40 respectively; and subjects with alcohol abuse had a risk ratio of 2.07, 95% CI 1.31-3.26 - as yet unpublished data); and (3) the absence of stressful working conditions and a lesser perception of complaints without the physical and mental demands of a job. The strong relationship between psychotropic drug use and deprivation in retired men may be explained by a higher prevalence of altered health status and disability due to aging [26] that could increase psychotropic drug use [1,60,61]. Regarding retired women, only subjects aged less than 70 years with  $D \ge 3$  had a significant risk for psychotropic drug use (that would be due to manual workers who had a higher consumption, close to significance). It should be noted that only three of the seven deprivation domains were associated with excessive alcohol and psychotropic drug use in retired men: having been a manual worker, low income, and not being a home-owner. Among retired women, only not being a home-owner was related to alcohol abuse. Therefore substance use in retired people relates to previous work conditions and poverty.

The present survey demonstrates that an accumulation of several deprivation dimensions is associated with marked deterioration in health-related behaviours [25,28-32,35,36,39] to cope with very difficult living and working conditions. In many industrialized countries, people start smoking at an increasingly younger age, putting themselves at greater, and earlier, risk of avoidable tobacco-related illnesses [35,62]. Alcohol abuse and psychotropic drug use are very common and often begin at a young age [18,43,62]. Findings over recent decades have shown that multiple deprivations affect many people from childhood onwards, and that the accumulation of various aspects of disadvantages leads to marked deteriorations in living conditions, health-related behaviours and health status [2,8,23,25-32,34-36,39,41]. Observed geographical differences in heath outcomes are attributed to the individual characteristics of members of the populations concerned and their living conditions and lifestyle [63,64]. Some adult health problems and premature mortality may be influenced by the childhood circumstances of the person concerned [2,65,66]. Interventions should be designed and evaluated to address these issues, and the most promising should be implemented on a large scale.

In the present study, women were different from men in that the association between deprivation and substance use was less evident, particularly among retired people. This may be attributable to less job demands, and to differences in the substances consumed in order to deal with everyday life. There were clear differences between age groups in the patterns of both deprivation and substance use. Low educational level was more common among older people, but younger people were more likely to report low income and not being a home-owner. Among men, the proportion of manual workers was higher in the 30-59 age group than in the group aged 60+ years. This tendency can be explained by premature death: for the sample studied between 1996 and 2004, there were 85 deaths in people under 65, and 41 between 65 and 70; premature mortality (<70 years) rates per 1,000 personyears were 1.32, 4.33 and 12.6 for the age groups  $\leq$ 49, 50– 59 and ≥60 years, respectively (data not yet published). Compared with other age groups, men aged 40-69 years were less likely to be living alone, as were women under 50. This phenomenon, which was more marked in women, can in part be explained by the premature death of the spouse. Such changes reflect the deprivation many people face, and the different ways in which it manifests itself over a lifetime.

The results of our study highlight the associations between accumulation of deprivation dimensions and tobacco, alcohol, and psychotropic drug use at various ages. The role of cumulative advantages/disadvantages during the life-time or the mechanism for inequalities across a temporal process are investigated by few authors [67,68]. Ross and Wu [67] showed that among the subjects aged 20 to 64 in the United States, the gap in selfreported health, physical functioning, and physical wellbeing among people with high and low educational attainment increases with age, and that household income does not explain education's effect. In our study, a stronger relationship in the working and other nonretired people aged 40+ than in those aged 39 or less was found between the deprivation score and psychotropic use only. DiPrete and Eirich [68] examined the different theoretical and empirical cumulative advantages models proposed by sociologists, sociobiologists, social psychologists, and economists as a mechanism for inequality. However, these interesting issues could not be treated in our cross-sectional study. We rather examined the relationships between the accumulation of several deprivation dimensions and tobacco, alcohol, and psychotropic drug use at the time of the survey. Many factors play a role in creating or reducing inequality over time, including: mortality of subjects most at risk, cultural changes, movements of the population (for example north-south for older people, rural migration, etc.), and changes in society as a whole (education levels, living conditions, air pollution, working procedures and hazards, life style, family structure, etc.).

#### **Conclusion**

The present study elucidates the relationships of material and social deprivation with tobacco, excessive alcohol and psychotropic drug use for men and women and in various age-groups. It shows a gradient in the relationship between deprivation and tobacco, excessive alcohol and psychotropic drug use in all age groups during active life and after retirement. Alcohol abuse was related to poverty and family structure, whereas tobacco and psychotropic drug use were related to poverty, educational level and working conditions. Public policies aimed at reducing substance use should address the need to improve physical and mental working conditions, reduce deprivation, and help deprived populations to be more aware of the risks and to find remedial measures.

# **Competing interests**

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Authors' contributions**

MB participated in conceiving the study and writing the manuscript.

ES participated in conceiving the study and writing the manuscript.

FG participated in conceiving the study and writing the manuscript.

JFR participated in conceiving the study and writing the manuscript.

MC participated in conceiving the study and writing the manuscript.

BF participated in conceiving the study and writing the manuscript.

NC participated in conceiving the study, carrying out the study and had the main responsibility for writing the manuscript.

Lorhandicap group carried out the study of which this work is a part. The group followed and reviewed the manuscript.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank D Saouag, M Weiss, M Depesme-Cuny, and B Phélut for their help with the study. The work is supported by a grant from the Pôle Européen de Santé.

Lorhandicap group: N. Chau, F. Guillemin, J.F. Ravaud, J. Sanchez, S. Guillaume, J.P. Michaely, C. Otero Sierra, A. Dazord, M. Choquet, L. Méjean, N. Tubiana-Rufi, J.P. Meyer, Y. Schléret, J.M. Mur.

#### References

- 1. Alonso J, Angermeyer MC, Bernert S, Bruffaerts R, Brugha TS, Bryson H, de Girolamo G, Graaf R, Demyttenaere K, Gasquet I, et al.: Psychotropic drug utilization in Europe: results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 2004:55-64.
- Galea S, Nandi A, Vlahov D: The social epidemiology of substance use. Epidemiol Rev 2004, 26:36-52.
- Cokkinides V, Bandi P, Ward E, Jemal A, Thun M: Progress and opportunities in tobacco control. CA Cancer J Clin 2006, 56:135-142.
- Stevenson JS: Alcohol use, misuse, abuse, and dependence in later adulthood. Annu Rev Nurs Res 2005, 23:245-280.
- Guilbert P, Baudier F, Gautier A: Baromètre santé 2000 Résultats Volume 2. Vanves: Editions CFES; 2001.
- Rehm J, Greenfield TK, Rogers JD: Average volume of alcohol consumption, patterns of drinking, and all-cause mortality: results from the US National Alcohol Survey. Am J Epidemiol 2001. 153:64-71.
- Tobacco information and prevention source (TIPS) [http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/]
- Bhattacherjee A, Chau N, Sierra CO, Legras B, Benamghar L, Michaely JP, Ghosh AK, Guillemin F, Ravaud JF, Mur JM: Relationships of job and some individual characteristics to occupational injuries in employed people: a community-based study. J Occup Health 2003, 45:382-391.
- Chen Z, Boreham J: Smoking and cardiovascular disease. Semin Vasc Med 2002, 2:243-252.
- Kuper H, Adami HO, Boffetta P: Tobacco use, cancer causation and public health impact. J Intern Med 2002, 251:455-466.
- 11. Jaakkola MS: Environmental tobacco smoke and health in the elderly. Eur Respir J 2002, 19:172-181.
- Pereira CB, Strupp M, Holzleitner T, Brandt T: Smoking and balance: correlation of nicotine-induced nystagmus and postural body sway. Neuroreport 2001, 12:1223-1226.
- Chau N, Mur JM, Benamghar L, Siegfried C, Dangelzer JL, Francais M, Jacquin R, Sourdot A: Relationships between certain individual characteristics and occupational injuries for various jobs in the construction industry: a case-control study. Am J Ind Med 2004, 45:84-92.
- 14. Gauchard GC, Chau N, Touron C, Benamghar L, Dehaene D, Perrin P, Mur JM: Individual characteristics in occupational accidents due to imbalance: a case-control study of the employees of a railway company. Occup Environ Med 2003, 60:330-335.
- Hingson R, Heeren T, Winter M, Wechsler H: Magnitude of alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18–24: changes from 1998 to 2001. Annu Rev Public Health 2005, 26:259-279.
- Tianwu H, Watanabe Y, Asai M, Shimizu K, Takada S, Mizukoshi K: Effects of alcohol ingestion on vestibular function in postural control. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 1995, 519:127-131.
- Laget J: [Psychotropic drug prescription in adolescent]. Rev Med Suisse Romande 2000, 120:117-122.
- Challier B, Chau N, Predine R, Choquet M, Legras B: Associations of family environment and individual factors with tobacco, alcohol, and illicit drug use in adolescents. Eur J Epidemiol 2000, 16:33-42.
- Kato I, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Toniolo PG, Akhmedkhanov A, Koenig K, Shore RE: Psychotropic medication use and risk of hormone-related cancers: the New York University Women's Health Study. J Public Health Med 2000, 22:155-160.

- Licinio J, Wong ML: Depression, antidepressants and suicidality: a critical appraisal. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2005, 4:165-171.
- 21. Nardi DA, Barrett S: Potential effects of antidepressant agents on the growth and development of children and adolescents. | Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv 2005, 43:22-35.
- Virk S, Schwartz TL, Jindal S, Nihalani N, Jones N: Psychiatric medication induced obesity: an aetiologic review. Obes Rev 2004, 5:167-170
- Lorhandicap-group: Relationships of demanding work conditions with fatigue and psychosomatic disorders: A community-based study. Occup Environ Med 2004, 61:46-46.
- 24. Sass C, Moulin JJ, Guéguen R, Abric L, Dauphinot V, Dupré C, Giordanella JP, Girard F, Guenot C, Labbe E, et al.: Le score Epices: un score individual de précarité. Construction du score et mesure des relations avec des données de santé, dans une population de 197389 personnes. Bulletin épidemiologique hebdomadaire 2006, 14:93-96.
- Guilbert P, Baudier F, Gautier A: Baromètre santé 2000 Méthodes Volume 1. Vanves: Editions CFES; 2001.
- Chau N, Ravaud JF, Otero-Sierra C, Legras B, Macho-Fernandez J, Guillemin F, Sanchez J, Mur JM: Prevalence of impairments and social inequalities: A community-based study in Lorraine. Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique 2005, 53:614-628.
- 27. Gauchard GC, Deviterne D, Guillemin F, Sanchez J, Perrin PP, Mur JM, Ravaud JF, Chau N: Prevalence of sensory and cognitive disabilities and falls, and their relationships: a community-based study. Neuroepidemiology 2006, 26:108-118.
- Niggébrugge A, Haynes R, Jones A, Lovett A, Harvey I: The index of multiple deprivation 2000 access domain: a useful indicator for public health? Soc Sci Med 2005, 60:2743-2753.
- Regidor E: Social determinants of health: a veil that hides socioeconomic position and its relation with health. J Epidemiol Community Health 2006, 60:896-901.
- Messer LC, Laraia BA, Kaufman JS, Eyster J, Holzman C, Culhane J, Elo I, Burke JG, O'Campo P: The development of a standardized neighborhood deprivation index. J Urban Health 2006, 83: 1041-1062.
- Cubbin C, Smith GS: Socioeconomic inequalities in injury: critical issues in design and analysis. Annu Rev Public Health 2002, 23:349-375.
- Kawachi I, Subramanian SV, Almeida-Filho N: A glossary for health inequalities. J Epidemiol Community Health 2002, 56:647-652.
- Kelly S, Miles-Doan R: Social inequality and injuries: do morbidity patterns differ from mortality? Social Science and Medicine 1997, 1:63-70.
- Mackenbach JP, Kunst AE, Cavelaars AE, Groenhof F, Geurts JJ: Socioeconomic inequalities in morbidity and mortality in western Europe. The EU Working Group on Socioeconomic Inequalities in Health. The Lancet 1997, 349:1655-1659.
- Haustein KO: Smoking and poverty. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2006, 13:312-318.
- 36. Sher L: Alcoholism and suicidal behavior: a clinical overview. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2006, 113:13-22.
- Gubéran E, Usel M: Permanent work incapacity, mortality and survival without work incapacity among occupations and social classes: a cohort study of ageing men in Geneva. Int J Epidemiol 1998, 27:1026-1032.
- 38. Mesrine A: Les differences de mortalité par milieu social restent fortes la Société Française; 1999.
- Aïach P, Marseille M, Theis I: Pourquoi ce lourd tribut payé au cancer?
  Le cas exemplaire du Nord-Pas de Calais Rennes: éditions de l'ENSP;
  2004.
- Lynch JW, Smith GD, Kaplan GA, House JS: Income inequality and mortality: importance to health of individual income, psychosocial environment, or material conditions. *Bmj* 2000, 320:1200-1204.
- 41. Lapeyre-Mestre M, Sulem P, Niezborala M, Ngoundo-Mbongue TB, Briand-Vincens D, Jansou P, Bancarel Y, Chastan E, Montastruc JL: Taking drugs in the working environment: a study in a sample of 2106 workers in the Toulouse metropolitan area. Therapie 2004, 59:615-623.
- 42. Alonso J, Angermeyer MC, Bernert S, Bruffaerts R, Brugha TS, Bryson H, de Girolamo G, Graaf R, Demyttenaere K, Gasquet I, et al.: Prevalence of mental disorders in Europe: results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 2004:21-27.

- 43. Baumann M, Spitz E, Predine R, Choquet M, Chau N: **Do male and female adolescents differ in the effect of individual and family characteristics on their use of psychotropic drugs?** Eur J Pediatr 2007, **166:**29-35.
- 44. Ledoux S, Choquet M, Manfredi R: Self-reported use of drugs for sleep or distress among French adolescents. J Adolesc Health 1994, 15:495-502.
- Aïach P, Baumann M: Décrypter les inégalités géographiques de santé: proposition d'une approche critique. Revue Sociologie de la Santé 2006:2007-2222.
- 46. Couffinhal A, P PD, Geoffard P, Grignon M, Jusot F, Lavis J, Naudin F, Polton D: Politiques de réduction des inégalités de santé, quelle place pour le système de santé? Un éclairage européen. Bulletin d'information en économie de la santé 2005, 92:.
- 47. Aïach P: Construction des inégalités de santé. Santé, Société et Solidarité 2004, 2:39-49.
- 48. Leclerc A, Fassin D, Grandjean H, Kaminski M, Lang T: Les inégalités sociales de santé Paris: La Découverte; 2000.
- Lorhandicap-group: Approches méthodologiques dans une enquête épidémiologique sur les handicaps en Lorraine. Handicap Revue de Sciences Humaines et Sociales 2000, 88:1-23.
- Institut\_National\_de\_la\_Statistique\_et\_des\_Etudes\_Economiques: Recensement de la population de 1990. Sondage au 1/4 Nancy. INSEE; 1993.
- Lewis RJ, Friedlander BR, Bhojani FA, Schorr WP, Salatich PG, Lawhorn EG: Reliability and validity of an occupational health history questionnaire. J Occup Environ Med 2002, 44:39-47.
- Etter JF, Perneger TV: Analysis of non-response bias in a mailed health survey. J Clin Epidemiol 1997, 50:1123-1128.
- Kant IJ, Bultmann U, Schroer KA, Beurskens AJ, Van Amelsvoort LG, Swaen GM: An epidemiological approach to study fatigue in the working population: the Maastricht Cohort Study. Occup Environ Med 2003, 60(Suppl 1):i32-39.
- Baumann M, Bonnetain F, Briancon S, Alla F: Quality of life and attitudes towards psychotropics and dependency: consumers vs. non-consumers aged 50 and over. J Clin Pharm Ther 2004, 29:405-415.
- Bruffaerts R, Bonnewyn A, Van Oyen H, Demarest S, Demyttenaere K: [Psychotropic drug use in the Belgian non-institutionalized population]. Rev Med Liege 2005, 60:181-188.
- Bültmann U, Kant IJ, Schroer CA, Kasl SV: The relationship between psychosocial work characteristics and fatigue and psychological distress. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2002, 75:259-266.
- Janssen N, Kant IJ, Swaen GM, Janssen PP, Schroer CA: Fatigue as a predictor of sickness absence: results from the Maastricht cohort study on fatigue at work. Occup Environ Med 2003, 60(Suppl 1):i71-76.
- Godin I, Kittel F, Coppieters Y, Siegrist J: A prospective study of cumulative job stress in relation to mental health. BMC Public Health 2005, 5:67.
- Verger P, Aulagnier M, Protopopescu C, Villani P, Gourrheux JC, Bouvenot G, Paraponaris A: Hypnotic and tranquillizer use among general practitioners in south-eastern France and its relation to occupational characteristics and prescribing habits. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 2004, 18:379-385.
- Giron MS, Forsell Y, Bernsten C, Thorslund M, Winblad B, Fastbom J: Sleep problems in a very old population: drug use and clinical correlates. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2002, 57:M236-240.
- 61. Rundberg J, Lidfeldt J, Nerbrand C, Samsioe G, Romelsjo A, Ojehagen A: Few middle-aged women with severe mental symptoms use psychotropic drugs: the Women's Health in Lund Area (WHILA) study. Scand J Public Health 2005, 33:384-391.
- 62. Hibell B, Anderson B, Bjarnasson T, Ahlström S, Bamakireva O, Kokkevi A, Morgan M: The ESPAD report 2003. Alcohol and other drug use among students in 35 European countries. Can. Stockholm. 2004.
- 63. Humpheys K, Carr-Hill R: Area variation in health outcomes: artefact or ecology? Int J Epidemiol 1991, 20:251-258.
- Pampalon R, Duncan C, Subramanian SV, Jones K: Geographies of health perception in Quebec: a multilevel perspective. Soc Sci Med 1999, 48:1483-1490.
- 65. Menahem G: [Social inequalities in terms of health and problems experienced in childhood]. Rev Prat 2004, 54:2255-2262.
- Galobardes B, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G: Childhood socioeconomic circumstances and cause-specific mortality in adult-

- hood: systematic review and interpretation. *Epidemiol Rev* 2004, **26**:7-21.
- 67. Ross CE, Wu CL: Education, age, and the cumulative advantage in health. J Health Soc Behav 1996, 37:104-120.
- DiPrete TA, Eirich GM: Cumulative Advantage as a Mechanism for Inequality: A Review of Theoretical and Empirical Developments. Annu Rev Sociol 2006, 32:271-297.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- $\bullet$  yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

