

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Impact of Acute Hypercapnia and Augmented Positive End-Expiratory Pressure on Right Ventricle Function in Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

Armand Mekontso Dessap;^{1,2,3} Cyril Charron;^{4*} Jérôme Devaquet;^{1*} Jérôme Aboab;¹ François
Jardin;⁴ Laurent Brochard;^{1,2,3} and Antoine Vieillard-Baron⁴

*CC and JD contributed equally to this work.

¹Medical Intensive Care Unit, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Henri Mondor - Albert
Chenevier Teaching Hospital, Créteil, France

²INSERM Unité 955, IMRB, Equipe 8, Créteil, France.

³Paris XII University, Créteil, France.

⁴Medical Intensive Care Unit, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Ambroise Paré
Teaching Hospital, Boulogne-Billancourt, France

This study was performed at the Henri Mondor Teaching Hospital, Créteil, France; and at the
Ambroise Paré Teaching Hospital, Boulogne-Billancourt, France.

Correspondence should be addressed to:

Dr Armand Mekontso Dessap, Service de Réanimation Médicale, CHU Henri Mondor, 51
avenue du M^{al} de Lattre de Tassigny, 94 010 Créteil Cedex, France

E-mail: armand.dessap@hmn.aphp.fr

Tel: +33 149 812 391, Fax: +33 142 079 943

Running head: Hypercapnia and right ventricle during severe ARDS

Text word count: 3301

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the effects of acute hypercapnia induced by positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) variations at constant plateau pressure (Pplat) in patients with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) on right (R) and left ventricular (LV) function.

Methods: Prospective observational study in two academic intensive care units enrolling 11 adults with severe ARDS ($\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 < 150$ mm Hg at $\text{PEEP} > 5$ cm H_2O). We compared three ventilatory strategies, each used for 1 hour, with Pplat at 22 [20-25] cm H_2O : low PEEP (5.4 cm H_2O) or high PEEP (11.0 cm H_2O) with compensation of the tidal volume reduction by either a high respiratory rate (high PEEP / high rate) or instrumental dead space decrease (high PEEP / low rate). We assessed RV function (transesophageal echocardiography), alveolar dead space (expired CO_2), and alveolar recruitment (pressure-volume curves).

Results: Compared to low PEEP, $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio and alveolar recruitment were increased with high PEEP. Alveolar dead space remained unchanged. Both high-PEEP strategies induced higher PaCO_2 levels (71 [60-94] and 75 [53-84], vs. 52 [43-68] mm Hg) and lower pH values (7.17 [7.12-7.23] and 7.20 [7.16-7.25] vs. 7.30 [7.24-7.35]), as well as a significant decrease in cardiac index, RV dilatation and LV deformation. The decrease in stroke index tended to be negatively correlated to the increase in alveolar recruitment with high PEEP.

Conclusions: Acidosis and hypercapnia induced by tidal volume reduction and increase in PEEP at constant Pplat was associated with impaired RV function and hemodynamics despite a positive effect on oxygenation and alveolar recruitment.

Key words: lung injury; acidosis; right heart; alveolar recruitment; alveolar dead space

INTRODUCTION

At present, a consensus emerges concerning the need for limiting plateau pressure (P_{plat}) values during ventilation of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [1, 2], but the optimal level of positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) remains contentious in a given patient. Although many studies have demonstrated that increasing PEEP has beneficial effects on alveolar recruitment, oxygenation and duration of mechanical ventilation [3, 4], augmented airway pressures levels have also been shown to be deleterious on right ventricular (RV) systolic function and hemodynamics [5, 6]. At present, it is not clear whether these noxious effects are specifically related to PEEP level or P_{plat} level, since the studies were completed while modifying both PEEP and P_{plat} [5, 6].

In addition, respiratory acidosis is a common feature in patients ventilated for severe ARDS according to a lung recruitment strategy, with tidal volume (V_T) limitation and augmented PEEP. Although some studies suggest that hypercapnic acidosis per se may contribute to the benefits of lung-protective ventilation [7, 8], experimental and clinical data also suggest that hypercapnia may impair hemodynamic function, despite the cardiac-index increase due to tachycardia and peripheral vasodilatation [9-11]. In particular, it has been found that hypercapnia may induce vasoconstriction of the pulmonary vascular bed [11] leading to RV systolic overload [10]. Therefore, a ventilatory strategy resulting in augmented partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) levels might be deleterious in patients who have severe ARDS, especially if induced abruptly.

The goal of this prospective two-center physiological study was to evaluate the relative roles of acute permissive hypercapnia and PEEP variations at constant P_{plat}, i.e., at variable V_T, on hemodynamics and RV function evaluated by transesophageal echocardiography in patients with severe ARDS. We characterized the properties of the respiratory system by measuring dead space (using expired CO₂) and alveolar recruitment (using pressure-volume curves). Our

1
2
3 hypothesis was that hypercapnia and PEEP variations may alter RV function and
4
5
6 hemodynamics in patients with severe ARDS.
7
8
9

10 11 12 PATIENTS AND METHODS

13 14 15 16 17 Patients

18
19
20 Patients diagnosed with ARDS (defined according to the criteria of the American–
21
22 European Consensus Conference) [12] within the last 2 days were included prospectively if
23
24 their PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio was less than 150 mm Hg at PEEP greater than 5 cm H₂O. Noninclusion
25
26 criteria were contraindications to transesophageal echocardiography, chronic obstructive
27
28 pulmonary disease, pneumothorax, intracranial hypertension, profound hypoxemia
29
30 (PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio <50 mm Hg), and hemodynamic instability (defined as a need for
31
32 epinephrine or norepinephrine in doses greater than 2 mg/hour, fluid bolus, or catecholamine
33
34 dosage escalation within the last 2 hours). The protocol was approved by our institutional
35
36 review board (N° P040202), and informed consent was obtained from each patient's next of
37
38 kin. Sedation (midazolam, Sanofi-Aventis, France) and neuromuscular blockade
39
40 (cisatracurium, or atracurium, GlaxoSmithKline, France) were used in all patients. The
41
42 Simplified Acute Physiologic Score (SAPS II) and Lung Injury Score (LIS) were computed as
43
44 previously described [13, 14]. The patients did not present pre-existing myocardial or
45
46 pulmonary diseases except for one patient with a history of tuberculosis and another one with
47
48 a history of coronary heart disease.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tested ventilatory strategies

The ventilator was a Servo 900C (Siemens-Elema AB, Solna, Sweden) or a Servo-i (Maquet SA, Ardon, France). Three ventilatory strategies were evaluated in each patient, using volume-controlled ventilation (Figure 1). In the low-PEEP strategy, PEEP was increased by steps of 1 cm H₂O to counterbalance intrinsic PEEP, as previously explained [15]. In patients without intrinsic PEEP, PEEP was set at 5 cm H₂O. Respiratory rate was set at 15/min. If pH was less than 7.30, the respiratory rate was increased to 20/min in order to obtain a pH of at least 7.30 without inducing intrinsic PEEP, if possible. V_T was set close to 8 ml/kg of predicted body weight [16]. If Pplat was 30 cm H₂O or more, V_T was lowered by steps of 1 ml/kg until Pplat was less than 30 cmH₂O or V_T was 4 ml/kg. The second strategy combined a lung-protective ventilation and the same Pplat, using a high PEEP and a high respiratory rate (high PEEP / high rate strategy). PEEP was twice as high as in the low PEEP strategy, whereas V_T was lower in order to keep Pplat constant. To counterbalance the effect of V_T reduction on alveolar ventilation, the respiratory rate was increased to the highest rate that did not induce intrinsic PEEP. In the third strategy, PEEP was high and the respiratory rate was low (high PEEP / low rate strategy). The only difference with the high PEEP / high rate strategy was that the effect of V_T reduction was counterbalanced by decreasing the instrumental dead space, instead of by increasing the respiratory rate. The dead space decrease was achieved by substituting a heated humidifier for the heat-and-moisture exchanger. Thus, we were able to evaluate the effect of PEEP and PaCO₂ variations at constant Pplat (low PEEP vs. high PEEP / high rate and low PEEP vs. high PEEP / low rate), while controlling for possible effects of respiratory rate variations (high PEEP / high rate vs. high PEEP / low rate) [17].

The low PEEP strategy was used first, to determine the target Pplat. The order of the other two strategies was then determined by random allocation using the sealed-envelope

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

method. During the study, treatment changes that might influence the ventilatory or hemodynamic conditions (e.g., fluid bolus or changes in the dosages of catecholamines, sedatives, or neuromuscular blockers) were avoided unless clinically urgent. Each ventilatory strategy was used for 1 hour, at the end of which the following were recorded: arterial pressure, Pplat, PEEPtot, arterial blood gases, expired CO₂, pressure-volume curves, and transesophageal echocardiography. Pplat was recorded after a 0.5-sec end-inspiratory pause, and PEEPtot was the pressure value recorded after a 2-sec end-expiratory pause. Static compliance of the respiratory system was calculated as $V_T/(P_{plat}-PEEP_{tot})$. Mean airway pressure (Paw) was calculated as $Paw = [(P_{plat} \times \text{inspiratory time}) + (PEEP_{tot} \times \text{expiratory time})] / \text{respiratory cycle time}$. Blood gases were analysed using a RAPID Lab 1265 System (Siemens Healthcare, Deerfield, IL, USA) or a GEM Premier 3000 Analyser (Instrumentation Laboratory, Lexington, MA, USA).

Echocardiographic study

Transesophageal echo-Doppler studies were performed using a Sequoia C 256 (Siemens, Malvern, PA) or Envisor (Philips Ultrasound, Bothell, WA) machine equipped with a multiplane 5-MHz transesophageal echocardiographic transducer. Using the signal from the ventilator, airway pressure was displayed on the screen of the echo-Doppler machine, ensuring accurate determination of the timing of cardiac events relative to the respiratory cycle. Echocardiographic images were recorded on videotape, and a computer-assisted quantitative evaluation was performed by a single trained investigator who was unaware of the ventilatory strategy being used. Echocardiographic variables were measured at end-expiration and averaged over five respiratory cycles in the 2 patients who had atrial fibrillation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The collapsibility index of the superior vena cava, i.e., the inspiratory decrease in superior vena cava diameter, was determined as previously described [18] and used as an index of RV preload dependency. Patients who had evidence of hypovolemia received fluid bolus therapy before the study. With the ultrasonic beam parallel to the long axis of the pulmonary artery, pulmonary artery flow was assessed using pulsed Doppler spectrum recordings at a high speed of 5 cm/sec. By tracing the pulmonary flow envelope, the velocity-time integral was automatically processed. RV stroke index was computed as the product of the velocity-time integral by the pulmonary area (which was calculated from the systolic pulmonary diameter) normalized for body surface area. Cardiac index was calculated as the product of stroke index by heart rate. Left ventricular (LV) areas at end-systole and end-diastole were measured from the four-chamber view of the cardiac chambers. The long axis of the LV was measured at end-systole and end-diastole as the distance from the apex to the midpoint of the mitral valve ring. The volumes of the LV at end-systole and end-diastole and the LV ejection fraction were computed using the single-plane, area-length method. On the same view, RV end-diastolic area was measured, and the end-diastolic RV/LV area ratio was computed as previously described [19]. LV maximum systolic elastance, a measure of LV systolic contractility, was computed as the systolic artery pressure divided by the LVES volume [20]. Left ventricular end-systolic area (LVESA) and left ventricular end-diastolic area (LVEDA) were measured on a short-axis cross-sectional view of the LV at the midpapillary muscle level, and LV fractional area contraction was computed as $(LVEDA - LVESA)/LVEDA$. Two diameters were measured on the same view: D_1 bisecting and perpendicular to the plane of the interventricular septum, and D_2 perpendicular to D_1 and therefore parallel to the interventricular septum. The end-systolic eccentricity index, reflecting RV systolic overload, was computed as D_2/D_1 , as proposed by Ryan et al [21].

Pressure-volume curves

At the end of each ventilatory strategy, elastic pressure-volume curves were recorded and analyzed using a constant [22] or sinusoidal low-flow inflation technique [23]. The PEEP-induced increase in end-expiratory lung volume was calculated by recording the expiratory volume during PEEP removal [3]. The volume recruited by PEEP was measured by superimposing the curves [24].

Dead space

Expiratory CO₂ recordings were used to assess end-tidal CO₂, and the alveolar dead space fraction (expressed as the percent of V_T) was calculated using the Bohr equation [25]. In addition, total dead space fraction and its partitions were calculated (as percents of V_T), using the single breath test for CO₂ and a CO₂ Analyzer 930 (Siemens-Elema, Solna, Sweden), as previously described [26]. The latest evaluation was impossible in 4 patients because of the unavailability of the CO₂ analyzer during the protocol.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the SPSS Base 10.0 statistical software package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Continuous data were expressed as median [interquartile range] and compared using nonparametric analysis of variance (Friedman test) and the Wilcoxon paired test. In order to better scrutinize the relationship between hemodynamic changes and respiratory parameters, we pooled the results of the three respiratory strategies and explored the correlations between respiratory parameters (V_T, PEEP, mean airway pressure, respiratory frequency, PaCO₂ and arterial pH) on the one hand and hemodynamic variables (RV stroke index and end-systolic eccentricity index) on the other hand. In addition, to evaluate

1
2
3 independent respiratory factors associated with RV stroke index and end systolic eccentricity
4 index, significant or marginally significant ($p \leq 0.20$) univariate factors were examined in a
5 multivariate fashion using a backward stepwise multiple linear regression. Two-tailed P
6 values less than 0.05 were considered significant. Correlations were tested using the
7 Spearman's or Pearson's method. In one patient who could not tolerate the last experimental
8 condition, the latest data recorded before end of protocol were considered for analysis and
9 unavailable data (pressure volume curves) were considered as missing values.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

RESULTS

Patients

We included 11 patients (8 men and 3 women), with severe ARDS. Age was 74 [57-79] years, SAPSII was 50 [32-63], and predicted body weight was 59 [51-65] kg. LIS was 2.8 [2.5-3.0], $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ was <150 mm Hg (110 [80-130] mm Hg), and respiratory system compliance was 30 [24-35] ml/cm H_2O . Causes of ARDS included pneumonia (n=6), aspiration (n=3), alveolar hemorrhage (n=1), and massive blood transfusion (n=1).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Respiratory variables (Table 1)

P_{plat} was similar with all three strategies. PaCO_2 , PEEP, and V_T were similar with the high PEEP / high rate and high PEEP / low rate strategies. Respiratory rate was similar with the low PEEP and high PEEP / low rate strategies. With the two high PEEP strategies, hypercapnia and acidosis were more pronounced, and the $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio was significantly improved, compared to the low PEEP strategy. Alveolar recruitment was also significantly superior with the high PEEP strategies than with the low PEEP strategy. Instrumental and
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 total dead space fractions were significantly higher with high PEEP / high rate than with the
4
5 two other strategies. Alveolar dead space fraction was similar with the three strategies when
6
7 evaluated using the single breath test for CO₂ (Table 1) or the Bohr equation (29 [24-37] %
8
9 with low PEEP, 30 [27-39] % with high PEEP / high rate, and 30 [24-38] % with high PEEP /
10
11 low rate, p=0.42).
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Hemodynamics** (Table 2)

18
19
20 Nine of 11 included patients received norepinephrine (dose range from 0.8 mg/hour to
21
22 2.0 mg/hour) and the 2 others did not receive any catecholamine. Vasopressor drug doses
23
24 were kept constant throughout the study in all but one patient, in whom an arterial pressure
25
26 drop when changing from low PEEP to high PEEP / high rate required an increase in the
27
28 norepinephrine dose and premature end of the study. Heart rate was faster with the two HP
29
30 strategies than with the low PEEP strategy. Systolic and mean arterial pressures were lower
31
32 with high PEEP / high rate than with low PEEP strategy. The base excess was **more negative**
33
34 with the two high PEEP strategies than with the low PEEP strategy.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

43 **Echocardiography** (Table 3)

44
45 LV systolic function was not affected by changes in ventilation: LV ejection fraction,
46
47 LV fractional area contraction, and LV systolic maximal elastance were similar with the three
48
49 **ventilation** strategies. The superior vena cava collapsibility index did not change. In contrast,
50
51 high PEEP was associated with deterioration in RV function, as indicated by the significantly
52
53 higher end-diastolic RV/LV area ratio and end-systolic eccentricity index with the two high
54
55 PEEP strategies compared to the low PEEP strategy. RV stroke index and cardiac index were
56
57 significantly lower with the two high PEEP strategies than with the low PEEP strategy.
58
59
60

Correlates between respiratory and hemodynamic variables

There was a trend towards a negative correlation between the decrease in stroke index and the increase in alveolar recruitment when shifting ventilatory settings from low PEEP to high PEEP ($r = -0.50$, $p=0.06$, figure 2). When pooling the results of the three strategies, we found by univariate analysis that RV stroke index was significantly correlated to arterial pH whereas end-systolic eccentricity index was significantly correlated to PEEP_{tot}, V_T , and arterial pH (Table 4). Multivariate regression analysis identified arterial pH as the sole independent correlate of RV stroke index and end-systolic eccentricity index (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The main results of this study were that increasing PEEP at constant P_{plat} in patients with severe ARDS induced acute respiratory acidosis, which resulted in RV dysfunction and decreased RV stroke index.

This RV dysfunction was not related to PEEP-induced impairment of venous return, as shown by the absence of variations in the superior vena cava collapsibility index and the increase in RV end diastolic area; in addition, patients who had evidence of hypovolemia received fluid bolus therapy before the study. RV dysfunction was related to systolic overload, as evidenced by an increase in RV size (higher end-diastolic RV/LV area ratio) associated with LV deformation (higher end-systolic eccentricity index).

In our study, RV systolic overload could be due to augmented PEEP per se or to acute hypercapnia. Deleterious effects of high PEEP levels on RV systolic function have been reported previously, but both PEEP and P_{plat} were modified in these studies, precluding a separate assessment of the role for each factor [5, 6]. Other arguments do not support the

1
2
3 hypothesis of PEEP induced RV systolic overload in our patients. First, the so-called high-
4 PEEP level used in our study (10-11 cm H₂O) was lower than the levels shown in previous
5 studies to impair RV systolic function [5, 27]. Second, respiratory acidosis but not PEEP was
6 associated with RV stroke index and end-systolic eccentricity index by multivariate analysis.
7
8
9
10
11

12 Acute permissive hypercapnia has been reported to induce a hyperdynamic state in
13 patients with ARDS [28, 29]. However, hypercapnia can exacerbate hypoxic vasoconstriction
14 [30, 31] and can also directly induce vasoconstriction of the pulmonary vasculature, as shown
15 in experimental animals [32], young healthy volunteers [33], brain-dead patients subjected to
16 apnea tests [34], cardiac-surgery patients [35], and patients with ARDS [11, 36]. In an animal
17 model of progressive balloon occlusion of the RV outflow tract, acute hypercapnic acidosis
18 had no effect on RV function when the outflow tract was normal but significantly impaired
19 RV performance when the RV afterload was increased, leading to a greater rise in RV end-
20 diastolic pressure compared to normocapnic controls [10]. Interestingly, our patients had
21 substantial RV systolic overload related to severe ARDS, with an end-diastolic RV/LV area
22 ratio greater than 0.6 in more than 60% of patients with low PEEP strategy.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Although RV dilatation, as assessed using the end-diastolic RV/LV area ratio, was
more marked with both high PEEP strategies than with the low PEEP strategy, it was also
slightly more marked with high PEEP / low rate than with high PEEP / high rate. Given that
the echocardiographic measurements were performed at end-expiration, the greater increases
in pleural (and pericardial) pressure with the high PEEP / high rate strategy related to the
higher PEEP_{tot} value might affect RV effective elastance [6, 37], thereby limiting RV
dilatation compared to the high PEEP / low rate strategy. Indeed, whereas an increase in RV
outflow impedance tends to reduce the ejection volume and to increase the end-diastolic
volume, an increase in RV diastolic elastance reduces the end-diastolic volume, and the net
result of these opposite effects on RV size depends on which factor predominates [6].

1
2
3 Hemodynamic impairments in our study were not more severe with high PEEP / high rate
4 compared to high PEEP / low rate, indicating that the higher respiratory rate had no specific
5 effect on the RV afterload increase.
6
7
8

9
10 We found no evidence that hypercapnia impaired LV contractility. LV ejection
11 fraction, LV fractional area contraction, and LV maximal systolic elastance were similar with
12 the three ventilatory strategies in our study. Although LV ejection fraction and LV fractional
13 area contraction are of limited value for precisely estimating LV contractility, in particular
14 because they vary with loading conditions, LV maximal systolic elastance is theoretically less
15 dependent on loading. **Contrary to previous reports [9], the lack of impairment of LV**
16 **contractility in our study suggests that respiratory acidosis was not associated with a decrease**
17 **in the developed force of the cardiac muscle per se. Conversely, respiratory acidosis induced**
18 **RV systolic overload through afterload increase.**
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Our results are in accordance with a previous study who established that increasing
52 PEEP improves alveolar recruitment, even when Pplat is kept constant [3]. We found a trend
53 (p=0.06) towards a negative correlation between the decrease in stroke index and the increase
54 in alveolar recruitment when shifting ventilatory settings from low PEEP to high PEEP. This
55 is an interesting finding since lung recruitability varies widely among patients with ARDS
56 [38]. The amount of recruited lung with PEEP may positively influence the hemodynamic
57 response to ventilatory settings. Poor recruitment capabilities may be associated with a poorer
58 hemodynamic response to PEEP in severe ARDS patients.
59
60

Our study has some limitations. It is a physiological protocol and we included a relatively small number of selected patients with severe ARDS (PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio < 150 mm Hg) and continuous neuromuscular blockade. This may limit the interpretation of **our data**. Moreover, the very short study period of one hour per ventilation strategy might not be enough to reflect the consequences for the patients with ARDS, who are ventilated for several

1
2
3 days or even weeks. Although our results suggest caution when implementing acute
4 hypercapnia in such patients with severe ARDS, these data do not formally contradict
5 previous studies reporting a benefic role for hypercapnia **in less severe patients**. Recent
6 randomized clinical trials aimed at testing strategies for setting PEEP in large cohorts of
7 patients with ALI/ARDS did not individually find any difference in mortality [4, 39, 40], but
8 evidenced an improvement in lung function [4, 40] and a reduced duration of mechanical
9 ventilation and organ failure with higher levels of PEEP [4].
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

CONCLUSIONS

Increasing PEEP at constant P_{plat} during severe ARDS induces acute hypercapnia that may impair RV function and decrease cardiac index. Because hypercapnia is frequently considered as benign or even beneficial during mechanical ventilation, these findings may have important clinical implications. **In case of impaired RV function, lung protective ventilation should be gradually adapted to limit hypercapnia and RV overload.**

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Patrick Maison (Unité de Recherche Clinique, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil, France) for valuable statistical advice in interpreting the data.

GRANTS

This study was funded by the nonprofit public organization Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris.

DISCLOSURES

No potential conflict of interest to disclose.

For Peer Review

REFERENCES

1. (1999) International consensus conferences in intensive care medicine: Ventilator-associated Lung Injury in ARDS. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 160: 2118-2124.
2. (2000) Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. *N Engl J Med* 342: 1301-1308
3. Richard JC, Brochard L, Vandelet P, Breton L, Maggiore SM, Jonson B, Clabault K, Leroy J, Bonmarchand G (2003) Respective effects of end-expiratory and end-inspiratory pressures on alveolar recruitment in acute lung injury. *Crit Care Med* 31: 89-92.
4. Mercat A, Richard JC, Vielle B, Jaber S, Osman D, Diehl JL, Lefrant JY, Prat G, Richecoeur J, Nieszkowska A, Gervais C, Baudot J, Bouadma L, Brochard L (2008) Positive end-expiratory pressure setting in adults with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 299: 646-655
5. Schmitt JM, Vieillard-Baron A, Augarde R, Prin S, Page B, Jardin F (2001) Positive end-expiratory pressure titration in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients: impact on right ventricular outflow impedance evaluated by pulmonary artery Doppler flow velocity measurements. *Crit Care Med* 29: 1154-1158.
6. Jardin F, Vieillard-Baron A (2003) Right ventricular function and positive pressure ventilation in clinical practice: from hemodynamic subsets to respirator settings. *Intensive Care Med* 29: 1426-1434.
7. Hickling KG (2000) Lung-protective ventilation in acute respiratory distress syndrome: protection by reduced lung stress or by therapeutic hypercapnia? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 162: 2021-2022
8. Kavanagh BP, Laffey JG (2006) Hypercapnia: permissive and therapeutic. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 72: 567-576
9. Weber T, Tschernich H, Sitzwohl C, Ullrich R, Germann P, Zimpfer M, Sladen RN, Huemer G (2000) Tromethamine buffer modifies the depressant effect of permissive hypercapnia on myocardial contractility in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 162: 1361-1365
10. Rose CE, Jr., Van Benthuyzen K, Jackson JT, Tucker CE, Kaiser DL, Grover RF, Weil JV (1983) Right ventricular performance during increased afterload impaired by hypercapnic acidosis in conscious dogs. *Circ Res* 52: 76-84
11. Puybasset L, Stewart T, Rouby JJ, Cluzel P, Mourgeon E, Belin MF, Arthaud M, Landault C, Viars P (1994) Inhaled nitric oxide reverses the increase in pulmonary vascular resistance induced by permissive hypercapnia in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Anesthesiology* 80: 1254-1267
12. Bernard GR, Artigas A, Brigham KL, Carlet J, Falke K, Hudson L, Lamy M, Legall JR, Morris A, Spragg R (1994) The American-European Consensus Conference on ARDS. Definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and clinical trial coordination. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 149: 818-824
13. Le Gall JR, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F (1993) A new Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. *JAMA* 270: 2957-2963
14. Murray JF, Matthay MA, Luce JM, Flick MR (1988) An expanded definition of the adult respiratory distress syndrome. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 138: 720-723

15. Vieillard-Baron A, Jardin F (2003) The issue of dynamic hyperinflation in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients. *Eur Respir J Suppl* 42: 43s-47s.
16. Page B, Vieillard-Baron A, Beauchet A, Aegerter P, Prin S, Jardin F (2003) Low stretch ventilation strategy in acute respiratory distress syndrome: eight years of clinical experience in a single center. *Crit Care Med* 31: 765-769.
17. Hotchkiss JR, Jr., Blanch L, Murias G, Adams AB, Olson DA, Wangensteen OD, Leo PH, Marini JJ (2000) Effects of decreased respiratory frequency on ventilator-induced lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 161: 463-468
18. Vieillard-Baron A, Chergui K, Rabiller A, Peyrouset O, Page B, Beauchet A, Jardin F (2004) Superior vena caval collapsibility as a gauge of volume status in ventilated septic patients. *Intensive Care Med* 30: 1734-1739
19. Vieillard-Baron A, Schmitt JM, Augarde R, Fellahi JL, Prin S, Page B, Beauchet A, Jardin F (2001) Acute cor pulmonale in acute respiratory distress syndrome submitted to protective ventilation: incidence, clinical implications, and prognosis. *Crit Care Med* 29: 1551-1555.
20. Nivatpumin T, Katz S, Scheuer J (1979) Peak left ventricular systolic pressure/end-systolic volume ratio: a sensitive detector of left ventricular disease. *Am J Cardiol* 43: 969-974
21. Ryan T, Petrovic O, Dillon JC, Feigenbaum H, Conley MJ, Armstrong WF (1985) An echocardiographic index for separation of right ventricular volume and pressure overload. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 5: 918-927
22. Vieillard-Baron A, Prin S, Schmitt JM, Augarde R, Page B, Beauchet A, Jardin F (2002) Pressure-volume curves in acute respiratory distress syndrome: clinical demonstration of the influence of expiratory flow limitation on the initial slope. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 165: 1107-1112.
23. Jonson B, Richard JC, Straus C, Mancebo J, Lemaire F, Brochard L (1999) Pressure-volume curves and compliance in acute lung injury: evidence of recruitment above the lower inflection point. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 159: 1172-1178
24. Maggiore SM, Jonson B, Richard JC, Jaber S, Lemaire F, Brochard L (2001) Alveolar derecruitment at decremental positive end-expiratory pressure levels in acute lung injury: comparison with the lower inflection point, oxygenation, and compliance. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 164: 795-801
25. Nunn JF (1969) Respiratory dead space and distribution of the inspired gas. *Applied respiratory Physiology*. Butterworths, London, pp. 177-208
26. Beydon L, Uttman L, Rawal R, Jonson B (2002) Effects of positive end-expiratory pressure on dead space and its partitions in acute lung injury. *Intensive Care Med* 28: 1239-1245
27. Jardin F, Farcot JC, Boisante L, Curien N, Margairaz A, Bourdarias JP (1981) Influence of positive end-expiratory pressure on left ventricular performance. *N Engl J Med* 304: 387-392.
28. Carvalho CR, Barbas CS, Medeiros DM, Magaldi RB, Lorenzi Filho G, Kairalla RA, Deheinzelin D, Munhoz C, Kaufmann M, Ferreira M, Takagaki TY, Amato MB (1997) Temporal hemodynamic effects of permissive hypercapnia associated with ideal PEEP in ARDS. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 156: 1458-1466
29. Mancini M, Zavala E, Mancebo J, Fernandez C, Barbera JA, Rossi A, Roca J, Rodriguez-Roisin R (2001) Mechanisms of pulmonary gas exchange improvement during a protective ventilatory strategy in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 164: 1448-1453
30. Noble WH, Kay JC, Fisher JA (1981) The effect of PCO₂ on hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction. *Can Anaesth Soc J* 28: 422-430

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
31. Yamamoto Y, Nakano H, Ide H, Ogasa T, Takahashi T, Osanai S, Kikuchi K, Iwamoto J (2001) Role of airway nitric oxide on the regulation of pulmonary circulation by carbon dioxide. *J Appl Physiol* 91: 1121-1130
32. Zobel G, Rodl S, Urlesberger B, Dacar D, Schwinger W, Bermoser M (1998) Effects of inhaled nitric oxide during permissive hypercapnia in acute respiratory failure in piglets. *Crit Care Med* 26: 1231-1237
33. Kiely DG, Cargill RI, Lipworth BJ (1996) Effects of hypercapnia on hemodynamic, inotropic, lusitropic, and electrophysiologic indices in humans. *Chest* 109: 1215-1221
34. Combes JC, Nicolas F, Lenfant F, Cros N, D'Athis P, Freysz M (1996) [Hemodynamic changes induced by apnea test in patients with brain death]. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 15: 1173-1177
35. Chang AC, Zucker HA, Hickey PR, Wessel DL (1995) Pulmonary vascular resistance in infants after cardiac surgery: role of carbon dioxide and hydrogen ion. *Crit Care Med* 23: 568-574
36. Ranieri VM, Mascia L, Fiore T, Bruno F, Brienza A, Giuliani R (1995) Cardiorespiratory effects of positive end-expiratory pressure during progressive tidal volume reduction (permissive hypercapnia) in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Anesthesiology* 83: 710-720
37. Jardin F, Brun-Ney D, Hardy A, Aegerter P, Beauchet A, Bourdarias JP (1991) Combined thermodilution and two-dimensional echocardiographic evaluation of right ventricular function during respiratory support with PEEP. *Chest* 99: 162-168.
38. Gattinoni L, Caironi P, Cressoni M, Chiumello D, Ranieri VM, Quintel M, Russo S, Patroniti N, Cornejo R, Bugedo G (2006) Lung recruitment in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 354: 1775-1786
39. Brower RG, Lanken PN, MacIntyre N, Matthay MA, Morris A, Ancukiewicz M, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT (2004) Higher versus lower positive end-expiratory pressures in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 351: 327-336
40. Meade MO, Cook DJ, Guyatt GH, Slutsky AS, Arabi YM, Cooper DJ, Davies AR, Hand LE, Zhou Q, Thabane L, Austin P, Lapinsky S, Baxter A, Russell J, Skrobik Y, Ronco JJ, Stewart TE (2008) Ventilation strategy using low tidal volumes, recruitment maneuvers, and high positive end-expiratory pressure for acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 299: 637-645
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Tested ventilatory strategies. HME, heat-and-moisture exchanger; HH, heated humidifier; RR, respiratory rate; Pplat, plateau pressure; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; LP, low PEEP; HP/HR, high PEEP and high respiratory rate; HP/LR, high PEEP and low respiratory rate.

Figure 2. Correlation between decrease in stroke index (fractional percent change) and increase in alveolar recruitment (fractional percent change) when changing ventilatory settings from low PEEP to high PEEP / high rate. ($r = -0.50$, $p = 0.06$).

Table 1. Respiratory variables

	LP strategy	HP/HR strategy	HP/LR strategy
Pplat, cm H ₂ O	22.1 [18.9-27.0]	23.0 [20.1-28.0]	22.0 [19.5-26.2]
PEEP _{tot} , cm H ₂ O	5.4 [5.0-6.0]	11.0 [10.0-12.0]*	10.0 [10.0-12.0]*
Paw, cm H ₂ O	11.8 [10.8-12.7]	15.5 [14.8-16.9]*	15.5 [13.8-16.7]*
V _T , mL	548 [468-605]	336 [260-360] *	356 [281-398]*
V _T , mL/kg	8.5 [8.3-8.9]	5.3 [4.5-6.1]*	5.8 [4.5-7.4]*
Respiratory rate, bpm	15 [15-20]	26 [25-30]*	15 [15-20]#
Minute ventilation, L/min	8.3 [7.2-9.8]	8.4 [7.1-8.8]	5.3 [4.6-7.5]* #
PaCO ₂ , mm Hg	52 [43-68]	71 [60-94]*	75 [53-84]*
pH	7.30 [7.24-7.35]	7.17 [7.12-7.23]*	7.20 [7.16-7.25]*
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio, mm Hg	88 [60-110]	103 [74-138]*	101 [81-137] *
Alveolar recruitment at elastic distending pressure of:			
15 cm H ₂ O (mL) ¶¶	64 [40-89]	151 [77-245] *	133 [67-197] *
17.5 cm H ₂ O (mL) ¶¶	42 [36-65]	117 [50-184] *	116 [44-146] *
PEEP-induced increase in end-expiratory lung volume, mL	215 [110-269] *	520 [310-614] *	547 [392-561] *
Dead space fraction, % of V _T (n=7)¶¶¶			
Total dead space	72 [60-77]	88 [73-90]*	72 [55-80]#
Airway dead space	35 [31-47]	61 [46-67]*	42 [29-50]#
Alveolar dead space	25 [23-29]	20 [14-23]	25 [16-31]

LP, low positive end-expiratory pressure; HP, high positive end-expiratory pressure; HR, high respiratory rate; LR, low respiratory rate; Pplat, plateau pressure; PEEP_{tot}, total positive end-expiratory pressure; V_T, tidal volume; Paw, mean airway pressure. Data are medians

1
2
3 [interquartile range]. n=11 patients unless otherwise stated. ¶ In one patient who could not
4 tolerate the last experimental condition, unavailable data (pressure volume curves) were
5 considered as missing values. ¶¶ In seven patients, total dead space fraction and its partitions
6 were calculated (as percents of V_T), using the single breath test for CO_2 and a CO_2 Analyzer
7 930 (Siemens-Elema, Solna, Sweden). * $P<0.05$ compared to the low PEEP strategy and #
8 $P<0.05$ compared to the high PEEP / high rate strategy.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Table 2. Hemodynamic variables

	LP strategy	HP/HR strategy	HP/LR strategy
Heart rate, bpm	108 [81-114]	113 [105-119]*	114 [103-124]*
Systolic arterial pressure, mm Hg	117 [108-137]	103 [91-117]*	110 [100-118]
Mean arterial pressure, mm Hg	70 [65-80]	65 [60-73]*	70 [62-75]
Base excess, mmol/L	0.00 [-5.4 to 1.00]	-3.70 [-6.45 to 0.30]*	-2.75 [-6.43 to 0.73]*

LP, low positive end-expiratory pressure; HP, high positive end-expiratory pressure; HR, high respiratory rate; LR, low respiratory rate. Data are medians [interquartile range]; * $P < 0.05$ compared to the LP strategy.

Table 3. Echocardiographic variables.

	LP strategy	HP/HR strategy	HP/LR strategy
LV ejection fraction, %	62 [57-71]	64 [49-67]	59 [53-66]
LV fractional area contraction, %	58 [55-65]	57 [53-70]	62 [54-65]
LV maximal systolic elastance, mmHg/mL	5.5 [3.9-6.3]	5.2 [4.1-6.6]	5.4 [4.3-7.2]
Superior vena cava collapsibility index, %	0 [0-26]	0 [0-8]	0 [0-23]
RV stroke index, cm ³ /m ²	22 [20-32]	17 [10-26]*	16 [11-27]*
Cardiac index, L/min/m ²	2.60 [1.53-3.54]	1.87 [1.16-2.98]*	1.89 [1.38-3.35]*
End-systolic eccentricity index	1.10 [1.02-1.25]	1.19 [1.07-1.54]*	1.28 [1.00-1.52]*
End-diastolic RV/LV area ratio	0.64 [0.56-0.77]	0.85 [0.62-1.10]*	1.0 [0.69-1.20]* #
End-diastolic RV/LV area ratio > 0.6	7 (64%)	9 (82%)	9 (82%)

LP, low positive end-expiratory pressure; HP, high positive end-expiratory pressure; HR, high respiratory rate; LR, low respiratory rate; LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; see text for definitions. Data are medians [interquartile range]

* $P < 0.05$ compared to the LP strategy and # $P < 0.05$ compared to the HP/HR strategy

Table 4. Correlates between hemodynamic variables (right ventricle stroke index and end-systolic eccentricity index) and respiratory variables.

	Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis
	<i>rho</i> (<i>p</i> value)	<i>p</i> value
Correlation with right ventricle stroke index		
PEEP _{tot}	-0.29 (0.13)	0.70
P _{aw}	-0.30 (0.13)	0.72
V _T	0.10 (0.78)	-
Respiratory rate	- 0.19 (0.32)	-
PaCO ₂	- 0.16 (0.42)	-
pH	0.41 (0.03)	0.04
Correlation with end-systolic eccentricity index		
PEEP _{tot}	0.39 (0.03)	0.87
P _{aw}	0.19 (0.31)	0.53
V _T	-0.39 (0.03)	0.32
Respiratory rate	0.11 (0.55)	-
PaCO ₂	0.34 (0.05)	0.10
pH	-0.54 (<0.01)	<0.01

P_{plat}, plateau pressure; PEEP_{tot}, total positive end-expiratory pressure; V_T, tidal volume;

P_{aw}, mean airway pressure.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1.




Figure 2.

Review