



**HAL**  
open science

## **Adenosine triphosphatase pontin is overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma and coregulated with reptin through a new posttranslational mechanism.**

Valérie Haurie, Ludovic Ménard, Alexandra Nicou, Christian Touriol, Philippe Metzler, Jérémy Fernandez, Danièle Taras, Patrick Lestienne, Charles Balabaud, Paulette Bioulac-Sage, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Valérie Haurie, Ludovic Ménard, Alexandra Nicou, Christian Touriol, Philippe Metzler, et al.. Adenosine triphosphatase pontin is overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma and coregulated with reptin through a new posttranslational mechanism.. *Hepatology*, 2009, 50 (6), pp.1871-83. 10.1002/hep.23215 . inserm-00408518

**HAL Id: inserm-00408518**

**<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00408518>**

Submitted on 2 Aug 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**The ATPase Pontin is overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma and co-regulated with Reptin through a new post-translational mechanism**

Journal:	<i>Hepatology</i>
Manuscript ID:	HEP-09-0792.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original
Date Submitted by the Author:	
Complete List of Authors:	Haurie, Valérie; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 Ménard, Ludovic; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 Nicou, Alexandra; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 Touriol, Christian; INSERM, U858; Université Paul Sabatier Metzler, Philippe; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 Fernandez, Jérémy; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 Taras, Danièle; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 Lestienne, Patrick; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 balabaud, charles; Hopital St Andre, Hepatology; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2 Bioulac-Sage, Paulette; INSERM, U889; Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2; CHU de Bordeaux, Département de Pathologie Prats, Hervé; INSERM, U858; Université Paul Sabatier Zucman-Rossi, Jessica; INSERM, U674; Université Paris Diderot Paris 7 Rosenbaum, Jean; Université Bordeaux 2, INSERM E362
Keywords:	proteasome, translation, helicase, prognosis, ubiquitin

HEP-09-0792

1

1  
2  
3  
4 **The ATPase Pontin is overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma and co-regulated with**  
5  
6 **Reptin through a new post-translational mechanism**  
7  
8

9  
10 Valérie Haurie<sup>1,2</sup>, Ludovic Ménard<sup>1,2</sup>, Alexandra Nicou<sup>1,2</sup>, Christian Touriol<sup>3</sup>, Philippe  
11 Metzler<sup>1,2</sup>, Jérémy Fernandez<sup>1,2</sup>, Danièle Taras<sup>1,2</sup>, Patrick Lestienne<sup>1,2</sup>, Charles Balabaud<sup>1,2, 4</sup>,  
12 Paulette Bioulac-Sage<sup>1,2, 5</sup>, Hervé Prats<sup>3</sup>, Jessica Zucman-Rossi<sup>6</sup>, Jean Rosenbaum<sup>1,2</sup>  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 From <sup>1</sup>INSERM, U889, F-33076 Bordeaux, France; <sup>2</sup>Université de Bordeaux, F-33076  
19 Bordeaux, France ; <sup>3</sup>INSERM, U858; Université Paul Sabatier, F-31000 Toulouse, France ;  
20 <sup>4</sup>CHU de Bordeaux, Groupement des Spécialités Digestive, F-33076 Bordeaux, France ;  
21 <sup>5</sup>CHU de Bordeaux, Département de Pathologie, F-33076 Bordeaux, France ; <sup>6</sup>INSERM,  
22 U674 ; Université Paris Diderot Paris 7, Paris F-75010, France  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Valérie Haurie ([valerie.haurie@inserm.fr](mailto:valerie.haurie@inserm.fr)); Ludovic Ménard ([ludome@gmail.com](mailto:ludome@gmail.com)); Alexandra  
34 Nicou ([alexandranicou@yahoo.fr](mailto:alexandranicou@yahoo.fr)); Christian Touriol ([Christian.touriol@inserm.fr](mailto:Christian.touriol@inserm.fr)); Philippe  
35 Metzler ([metzlerphilippe@hotmail.com](mailto:metzlerphilippe@hotmail.com)); Jérémy Fernandez ([j.fernandez40@yahoo.fr](mailto:j.fernandez40@yahoo.fr));  
36 Danièle Taras ([Daniele.Taras@inserm.fr](mailto:Daniele.Taras@inserm.fr)); Patrick Lestienne ([Patrick.lestienne@inserm.fr](mailto:Patrick.lestienne@inserm.fr));  
37 Charles Balabaud ([charles.balabaud@chu-bordeaux.fr](mailto:charles.balabaud@chu-bordeaux.fr)); Paulette Bioulac-Sage  
38 ([paulette.bioulac-sage@gref.u-bordeaux2.fr](mailto:paulette.bioulac-sage@gref.u-bordeaux2.fr)); Hervé Prats ([herve.prats@inserm.fr](mailto:herve.prats@inserm.fr)); Jessica  
39 Zucman-Rossi ([jessica@inserm-U674.net](mailto:jessica@inserm-U674.net)); Jean Rosenbaum ([jean.rosenbaum@gref.u-](mailto:jean.rosenbaum@gref.u-bordeaux2.fr)  
40 [bordeaux2.fr](mailto:jean.rosenbaum@gref.u-bordeaux2.fr))  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54 **Key words** : proteasome, ubiquitin, translation, helicase, prognosis  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

2

**Footnote page**

**Corresponding author :** Jean Rosenbaum, INSERM U889, Université Victor Segalen  
Bordeaux 2, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux, France : Tel : +33 5 5757 1594 ; e-mail :  
jean.rosenbaum@gref.u-bordeaux2.fr

**Abbreviations :** HCC, Hepatocellular carcinoma; AAA+, ATPases associated with various  
cellular activities.

**Grant support :** The work was supported by grants from Agence Nationale pour la  
Recherche sur le SIDA et les Hépatites Virales, Institut National du Cancer (PL06\_117),  
Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (4026), Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer and  
Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine. VH was the recipient of a fellowship from Agence Nationale  
pour la Recherche sur le SIDA et les Hépatites Virales, and AN from Institut National du  
Cancer.

**Abstract**

Reptin and Pontin are related ATPases associated in stoichiometric amounts in several complexes involved in chromatin remodeling, transcriptional regulation and telomerase activity. We found that Reptin was upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and that down-regulation of Reptin led to growth arrest. We show here that Pontin mRNA is also upregulated in human HCC 3.9 fold as compared to non-tumor liver ( $p = 0.0004$ ). Pontin expression was a strong independent factor of poor prognosis in a multivariate analysis. As for Reptin, depletion of Pontin in HuH7 cells with siRNAs led to growth arrest. Remarkably, Pontin depletion led to down-regulation of Reptin as shown with Western blot, and conversely. Whereas siRNAs induced a decrease of their cognate mRNA targets, they did not affect the transcripts of the partner protein. Translation of Pontin or Reptin was not altered when the partner protein was silenced. However, pulse-chase experiments demonstrated that newly synthesized Pontin or Reptin stability was reduced in Reptin or Pontin depleted cells; respectively. This phenomenon was reverted upon inhibition of proteasome or ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1). In addition, proteasome inhibition could partly restore Pontin steady-state levels in Reptin-depleted cells, as shown by Western blot. This restoration was no more observed when cells were also treated with cycloheximide, thus confirming that proteasomal degradation in this setting was restricted to newly synthesized Pontin. Conclusion : Reptin and Pontin protein levels are strictly controlled by a post-translational mechanism involving proteasomal degradation of newly synthesized proteins. These data demonstrate a tight regulatory and reciprocal interaction between Reptin and Pontin, which may in turn lead to the maintenance of their 1:1 stoichiometry.

HEP-09-0792

4

1  
2  
3 Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the main type of primary liver cancer. It is the fifth most  
4  
5 common cancer worldwide and bears a very poor prognosis, mainly because of the lack of  
6  
7 efficient therapy. Looking for new targets, we performed a comparative proteomic analysis  
8  
9 between HCC and peri-tumoral liver, which led to the discovery of many deregulated proteins  
10  
11 (1), including Reptin. Reptin overexpression was associated with a poor prognosis, and we  
12  
13 demonstrated that Reptin was required for HCC cell viability and growth, and that Reptin  
14  
15 overexpression conferred a greater ability to tumor cells for growth in xenografts (2).  
16  
17

18  
19 Reptin (TIP48, TIP49b, RuvBL2, TAP54 $\beta$ , ECP-51) and Pontin (or TIP49, RuvBL1,  
20  
21 TAP54 $\alpha$ , ECP-54) are closely-related members of the AAA+ family of ATPases (ATPases  
22  
23 Associated with various cellular Activities (3)) that show remarkable conservation from yeast  
24  
25 to human. Due to their participation in several distinct multi-protein complexes (reviewed in  
26  
27 (4, 5)), they are involved in chromatin remodeling (6-8), transcriptional regulation (6, 9, 10),  
28  
29 DNA damage repair (7, 11), snoRNA biogenesis (12) and telomerase activity (13). Because  
30  
31 Reptin is usually associated with Pontin in complexes where they are present in stoichiometric  
32  
33 amounts (7, 8, 10, 14-19), we studied Pontin expression and function in HCC. We found that  
34  
35 Pontin was also up-regulated in HCC and unexpectedly that Pontin and Reptin expression was  
36  
37 co-regulated via a novel post-translational mechanism.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

## 46 **Experimental procedures**

### 47 *Liver samples and real-time RT-PCR*

48  
49  
50  
51 Samples came from resected or explanted livers with HCC of patients treated in Bordeaux  
52  
53 from 1992 to 2005. Fragments of fresh tumor and non-tumor liver tissues (taken at a distance  
54  
55 of at least 2 cm from the tumor) were either snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -  
56  
57  
58 80°C, or fixed with formalin and embedded in paraffin. 104 HCC samples (supporting Table  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

5

1  
2  
3 1) were used for real-time RT-PCR analysis. 18 non-tumor liver samples were used as a  
4 control group. RNA extraction and real-time RT-PCR were performed as described (2, 20).  
5  
6 Pre-developed sequence detection reagents specific for human *RUVBL1* gene (Applied  
7 Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France) were used as described (20) using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method (21).  
8  
9 Gene expression results were normalized to internal control ribosomal 18S.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 *Immunohistochemistry*

18  
19 This was done as described (2) using a mouse monoclonal Pontin antibody (22) diluted to 1.6  
20  
21  $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ .  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

### 27 *Transient transfection of small interfering RNA (siRNA)*

28  
29 We used two targeting siRNAs for each Reptin (R1 and R2 (2)) and Pontin mRNAs (P1 and  
30  
31 P2, Supporting Table 2). Controls were either scrambled R2 and P2 sequences, or the GL2  
32  
33 siRNA targeting Firefly luciferase (MWG, Ebersberg, Germany). siRNAs were transfected at  
34  
35 a concentration of 125nM with Lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

### 41 *Cell proliferation assay and caspase 3 activity measurement*

42  
43 Cells were counted with a Coulter counter (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France) in duplicate  
44  
45 wells. DNA synthesis was measured by the quantification of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)  
46  
47 incorporation, and caspase 3 activity with a colorimetric assay (2).  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 *Western blot*

54  
55 Cell extracts were prepared in RIPA buffer (23). We used Reptin,  $\beta$ -catenin (BD Biosciences,  
56  
57 Pharmingen, Le Pont de Caix, France), FLAG-M2,  $\beta$ -actin (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin  
58  
59 Fallavier, France) mouse monoclonal, and Pontin rabbit polyclonal (ProteinTech, Chicago,  
60

HEP-09-0792

6

IL) antibodies. Primary antibodies were detected by horseradish peroxidase conjugated, or infrared dye-labeled secondary antibodies (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE). Detection was achieved with the ECL kit (GE Healthcare, Saclay, France) or the Odyssey IR imaging system (LI-COR), respectively.

#### *Polyribosome fractionation*

KGL2 and KR2 cells lines stably expressing an shRNA targeting Firefly luciferase or Reptin, respectively, in a doxycycline-dependent manner (Supporting Methods), were cultured with or without doxycycline. Cycloheximide (100  $\mu$ M) was added ten minutes before cell lysis. Sucrose-gradient fractionation and polysome-associated RNA purification were as described (24). RNAs were analyzed by cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification.

#### *Metabolic labeling and immunoprecipitation*

KGL2 and KR2 cells were stably transduced with a lentiviral vector coding HA-Pontin resulting in KGL2-HAP and KR2-HAP cell lines (Supporting Methods). Similarly, KP2 cells expressing the P2 Pontin shRNA (Supporting Methods), were transduced with Flag-Reptin (2), resulting in KP2-FR cells. Cells were incubated in Methionine/Cysteine-free medium for 30 min before pulse labeling with 150  $\mu$ Ci/ml EXPRE<sup>35</sup>S<sup>35</sup>S Protein Labeling Mix (Perkin Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France) for 15 min at 37°C. Cells were washed then scraped in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM EDTA, 1% Triton, 1% SDS) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Meylan, France). The amount of radiolabeled TCA-precipitated material was measured by scintillation counting.

For pulse-chase experiments, cells were labeled with 100  $\mu$ Ci/mL EXPRE<sup>35</sup>S<sup>35</sup>S Protein Labeling Mix for 1h, washed with medium supplemented with 2 mM cysteine/methionine,



HEP-09-0792

7

1  
2  
3 and cultured for various times in this chase medium supplemented with the indicated agents  
4  
5 before harvesting.  
6

7  
8 Cell extracts were diluted in lysis buffer without SDS and incubated for 2 hours at 4°C with  
9  
10 monoclonal anti-HA-Agarose or anti-Flag M2 beads (Sigma-Aldrich). The beads were  
11  
12 washed 5 times with lysis buffer and eluted with Laemmli sample buffer. Eluates were  
13  
14 separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE. In some cases, Pontin was precipitated with a polyclonal  
15  
16 anti-Pontin antibody.  
17

18  
19 Signals from radiolabeled protein bands were acquired in an Instant-Imager (Packard, Perkin-  
20  
21 Elmer). Protein degradation rate is expressed as half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ), the time where 50% of the  
22  
23 protein is degraded. The results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of five to six independent  
24  
25 determinations.  
26  
27

### 28 29 30 31 *Statistical analysis*

32  
33  
34 Statistical analyses were performed using the 2-tailed Student t test, or 1-way analysis of  
35  
36 variance (ANOVA) when comparing multiple means. Statistical significance was set at a p  
37  
38 value of less than 0.05. Correlations between mRNA levels of expression and qualitative  
39  
40 variables were calculated with the non-parametric Kruskal- Wallis test with STATA software  
41  
42 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). The ages of patients and diameters of the tumors were  
43  
44 partitioned with a median. For survival analysis, expression data were logarithm 10-  
45  
46 transformed and dichotomized according to the median. Survival comparisons were done by  
47  
48 log-rank test. Survival curves were obtained by the Kaplan-Meier method. Multivariate  
49  
50 analyses were performed using Cox model. All survival analyses were performed using  
51  
52 STATA software 8.2.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

8

## Results

### *Pontin is overexpressed in human HCC*

There was a 3.9-fold increase in Pontin transcripts in HCC as compared to non-tumor livers (Fig. 1A,  $p < 0.0004$ ). Pontin mRNA levels were highly correlated with those of Reptin mRNA ( $r^2 = 0.78$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ , Fig. 1B). Immunohistochemistry confirmed the overexpression of Pontin in HCC with the same pattern as Reptin, and showed that, similar to Reptin (2), Pontin was partly localized to the cytoplasm of tumor cells (Fig. 1C-E). Pontin mRNA levels were correlated with features of poor prognosis : levels were higher in large tumors ( $> 60$  mm,  $p = 0.026$ ), in tumors with vascular embolism ( $p = 0.047$ ), portal invasion ( $p = 0.008$ ), or chromosomal instability (fractional allelic loss  $> 0.128$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ), in patients with early relapse following curative surgery ( $p = 0.001$ ) or who died within 3 years of surgery ( $p = 0.001$ ). A significant shorter disease-free survival in patients with high Pontin level was observed as compared to those with low level (Fig. 1F,  $p = 0.0022$ ). Most importantly, using a Cox model multivariate analysis, high Pontin mRNA levels remained associated with shorter disease-free survival after adjustment for age, gender, tumor diameter and the presence of vascular embolism (Hazard Ratio = 3.9, 95% CI = [1.9-8.0],  $p=0.003$ ).

### *Pontin silencing reduced cell proliferation and induced apoptosis*

Pontin mRNA level was significantly reduced with P1 (70%  $\pm$  13%) and P2 (77%  $\pm$  12%) siRNAs (Fig. 2A). The siRNAs also greatly decreased Pontin protein expression (78.6%  $\pm$  6.9% with P1 and 87.7%  $\pm$  9.0% with P2) (Fig. 2B).

Starting from Day 3 after transfection, the growth of cells transfected with Pontin siRNAs strikingly decreased (Fig. 2C). This was associated with both reduced DNA synthesis,

HEP-09-0792

9

1  
2  
3 evidenced by decreased BrdU incorporation, and increased apoptosis, demonstrated by an  
4  
5 increased caspase 3 activity (Fig. 2D).  
6  
7  
8  
9

#### 10 *Concomitant down-regulation of Reptin and Pontin*

11  
12 Because findings with Pontin silencing were reminiscent of those we obtained previously  
13 upon Reptin silencing, we evaluated Reptin expression after transfection of Pontin siRNAs.  
14  
15 Surprisingly, Reptin protein level was markedly reduced after transfection of P1 or P2  
16 compared to control siRNA. The reciprocal observation was done in cells transfected with the  
17 Reptin-specific R1 and R2 siRNAs where Pontin protein level was also decreased (Fig. 3A-  
18  
19 B). Because of the significant homology between Reptin and Pontin, we performed extensive  
20  
21 control experiments that allowed excluding cross-reactivity of antibodies (Supporting Fig. 1).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 To rule out off-target effects of siRNAs, we used a rescue strategy. Cell lines resistant to  
33 siRNAs were established by transduction of lentiviral vectors bearing either HA-Pontin or  
34 Flag-Reptin cDNA in which silent mutations have been introduced in the sequence targeted  
35 by the P2 or R2 siRNAs (Supporting Fig. 2). Reptin protein expression was no more  
36 decreased by P2 siRNA in P2-resistant cells, whereas it was reduced as expected upon  
37 transfection of P1 siRNA (Fig. 3C). Similarly, Pontin expression was not affected in cells  
38 expressing FLAG-Reptin resistant to the R2 siRNA (Fig. 3D). Altogether, these results  
39 showed that the down-regulation of Pontin was a direct consequence of the silencing of  
40 Reptin and reciprocally.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 We then asked if our findings were specific of HuH7 cells. We examined the consequences of  
56 Reptin or Pontin silencing in another human liver cell line (HepG2), in human breast cancer  
57 cells (MCF7), in human prostatic cancer cells (LNCap) and in Hela cells. We also tested the  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

10

1  
2  
3 co-extinction of Pontin after transfection of the R2 siRNA in mouse (Hepa 1-6) or rat  
4  
5 hepatoma cells (FAO). In every case, we observed a concomitant reduction of Reptin and  
6  
7 Pontin protein levels (Supporting Fig. 3). These results argued in favor of a general rather  
8  
9 than a cell-specific process for regulation of Pontin and Reptin expression.  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15 *Reptin silencing does not decrease Pontin mRNA level (and reciprocally)*

16  
17 Reptin and Pontin interact with transcription factors and bind to gene promoters (reviewed in  
18  
19 (4)). We thus hypothesized that they could regulate reciprocally their expression through a  
20  
21 transcriptional mechanism. However, transfection of Pontin siRNAs did not alter Reptin  
22  
23 mRNA levels, whereas as expected, Pontin mRNA decreased. Reciprocally, Reptin, but not  
24  
25 Pontin mRNA levels were efficiently silenced with Reptin siRNAs (Fig. 4A-B).  
26  
27

28  
29 As an additional control, we tested whether Reptin produced from an mRNA devoid of its  
30  
31 natural regulatory sequences would also be depleted upon transfection of a Pontin siRNA. We  
32  
33 used HuH7 cells stably expressing N-term FLAG-tagged Reptin from the viral MND  
34  
35 promoter (2). We found that the level of both endogenous and Flag-tagged Reptin was  
36  
37 decreased after transfection of Pontin specific siRNAs (Supporting Fig. 4A). We obtained  
38  
39 similar results with HeLa cells expressing FLAG/HA-tagged Reptin or Pontin from the CMV  
40  
41 promoter (Supporting Fig. 4B).  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48  
49 Altogether, these experiments allow concluding that the reduction of Reptin or Pontin protein  
50  
51 levels upon silencing of the respective binding partner is not related to a transcriptional effect,  
52  
53 and thus occurs by a post-transcriptional mechanism.  
54  
55

56  
57 *Reptin silencing does not alter Pontin mRNA translation (and reciprocally)*  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

11

1  
2  
3 Given the pleiotropic role of Reptin and Pontin, we hypothesized that they might regulate  
4 their partner's translation. This was tested using polyribosome fractionation and RT-PCR  
5 analysis in HuH7 cell lines stably expressing shRNAs targeting either Firefly luciferase  
6 (KGL2) or Reptin (KR2), in a doxycycline-dependent manner (Supporting Fig. 5). The  
7 polysomal distribution of Pontin mRNA was analyzed after 4 days of culture with or without  
8 doxycycline (Fig. 5A-B). In KGL2 cells, Reptin and Pontin transcripts exhibited a similar  
9 profile and were found all along the gradient, with an enhancement in the heaviest fractions,  
10 associated with polyribosomes. As expected, in doxycycline-treated KR2 cells, Reptin mRNA  
11 became barely detectable, whereas the profile of Pontin mRNA was unchanged as compared  
12 to that in KGL2 cells, and its distribution over the fractions was similar to the profile of  
13 GAPDH mRNA. When cells were incubated with puromycin for one hour prior to extraction,  
14 the profile of Pontin and GAPDH mRNAs shifted from heavy fractions to the top of the  
15 gradient (Fig. 5B). Because puromycin is a polypeptide chain terminator that causes  
16 premature termination of translation, our results demonstrate a genuine association of Pontin  
17 mRNA with polyribosomes, even in conditions where Reptin is depleted.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41 To make sure that Pontin mRNA was indeed actively translated, we performed metabolic  
42 labeling after 4 days of culture with doxycycline using cells stably expressing HA-Pontin  
43 (KGL2-HAP and KR2-HAP). After a 15 min pulse of radiolabeled methionine/cysteine, the  
44 level of <sup>35</sup>S incorporation into newly synthesized proteins was not different between KGL2-  
45 HAP and KR2-HAP cells (78.8% ± 4.3% vs 71.6 ± 6.8%, respectively), suggesting no gross  
46 alteration in overall protein biosynthesis in Reptin silencing conditions. After  
47 immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibodies, the label accumulated in a 55-kDa band  
48 confirmed to be the full-length HA-Pontin polypeptide since it was reactive with an antibody  
49 against Pontin (Fig. 5C). When Reptin shRNA expression was induced in KR2-HAP cells, the  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

12

1  
2  
3 amount of labeled HA-Pontin immunoprecipitated after a 15 min pulse was 98% of the  
4 control. This experiment showed that the decrease in HA-Pontin expression in cells  
5 expressing Reptin shRNA was not the consequence of a defective translation. Therefore, the  
6 association of Pontin mRNA with polyribosomes and the completion of HA-Pontin  
7 translation argued in favor of a post-translational regulation that explains the co-depletion of  
8 Pontin and Reptin. In reciprocal experiments, we also found that Pontin silencing did not alter  
9 Reptin translation, although Reptin silencing with R1 siRNA did reduce Reptin translation as  
10 expected (Fig. 5D).  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

#### 25 *Reptin silencing induces Pontin destabilization*

26 Because Pontin and Reptin form a stoichiometric complex, we hypothesized that down-  
27 regulation of one of the two partners could disturb this stoichiometry and lead to post-  
28 translational destabilization of the binding partner. We thus measured Pontin half-life using  
29 metabolic labeling-chase experiments. Newly synthesized HA-Pontin was less stable upon  
30 Reptin silencing as shown by a significantly reduced half-life as compared to control ( $t_{1/2} =$   
31  $66.6 \pm 7.6$  vs  $118 \pm 21$  min,  $p = 0.002$ ) (Fig. 6A, 6C). Because the proteasome is the major  
32 intracellular proteolytic machinery in higher eukaryotic cells, we tested its involvement using  
33 specific inhibitors. Fig. 6B-C shows that MG132 was able to prolong the half-life of HA-  
34 Pontin in both Reptin-repleted and depleted conditions. The effect of Reptin depletion was  
35 specific since it did not alter the half-life of calnexin tested as a control (Fig. 6D).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 We then asked if proteasome inhibitors could restore Pontin steady-state level in Reptin-  
54 depleted cells. We performed many experiments using a variety of experimental settings. For  
55 instance, Fig. 7A shows an experiment where, three days after induction of Reptin shRNA  
56 expression, HuH7 cells were treated with MG132 or epoxomicin for 4h. This did not modify  
57  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

13

1  
2  
3 the co-depletion although effective inhibition of the proteasome was confirmed by the  
4  
5 accumulation of higher molecular weight forms of  $\beta$ -catenin, a proteasome target (25).  
6  
7  
8 Similar results were seen when using other MG132 concentrations, duration of treatment, or  
9  
10 with other inhibitors such as *clasto*-Lactacystin- $\beta$ -lactone (not shown). We hypothesized that  
11  
12 if the stabilizing effect of proteasome inhibition was restricted to newly synthesized protein  
13  
14 chains, our experiments might not be sensitive enough to detect an accumulation of proteins  
15  
16 within the short time course of the experiments. We thus repeated them using HuH7 cells that  
17  
18 stably express HA-Pontin. These cells have high levels of Pontin mRNA (not shown), and  
19  
20 interestingly a higher rate of Pontin translation than control cells (Fig. 7B). They do however  
21  
22 overexpress very little Pontin at the protein level, reminiscent of our previous results with  
23  
24 Flag-Reptin (2) (Fig. 7B). When these cells were treated with MG132 for 4 hours, we could  
25  
26 detect a significant increase in Pontin protein levels both in basal conditions and when Reptin  
27  
28 was previously depleted upon shRNA induction with doxycycline (Fig. 7C-D). On the other  
29  
30 hand, the low level of Reptin consecutive to R2 shRNA induction in doxycycline-treated cells  
31  
32 was not increased by MG132. Similar results were obtained when the proteasome was  
33  
34 inhibited with 2.5 $\mu$ M epoxomicin (not shown).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Altogether, these results suggested that the restoration seen with proteasome inhibition was restricted to the pool of freshly translated protein. This hypothesis was tested in experiments where, following Reptin depletion, proteasome inhibition with MG1342 or epoxomicin was done in the absence or in the presence of cycloheximide that blocks new protein synthesis. As shown in Fig. 7E-F, cycloheximide treatment prevented the restoration of Pontin levels in these conditions.

HEP-09-0792

14

1  
2  
3 Since in most cases proteins are targeted to the proteasome following poly-ubiquitylation, we  
4 examined the stability of newly synthesized Pontin in the presence of the ubiquitin activating  
5 enzyme inhibitor UBEI-41 (Biogenova). We found indeed that newly synthesized Pontin  
6 stability was enhanced in cells with Reptin depletion when they were treated with UBEI-41  
7 (Fig. 8A). We also performed the reverse experiment. We first showed that the stability of  
8 newly synthesized Reptin was reduced upon Pontin silencing (Fig. 8D, compare lanes 2 and  
9 6), an effect reverted in great part by proteasome inhibition (Fig. 8D). Furthermore, Reptin  
10 stability in Pontin-depleted cells was also largely restored following inhibition of ubiquitin  
11 activating enzyme (Fig. 8D). These data proved that an ubiquitylation step was involved in  
12 the destabilization of Pontin and Reptin in this setting.

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 We next attempted to detect whether Pontin and Reptin underwent polyubiquitylation upon  
28 silencing of their partner. Because endogenous ubiquitin might be limiting, and in order to  
29 maximize the sensitivity of detection, we transduced KR2 cells with adenoviral vectors  
30 (kindly provided by H. Wodrich, Bordeaux) expressing either HA-ubiquitin and GFP, or GFP  
31 alone. After 3 days with or without Dox, the proteasome was inhibited with epoxomycin in  
32 order to allow accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins, and ubiquitin was precipitated using the  
33 HA tag. Western blot with a Pontin antibody failed to reveal ubiquitylated Pontin whereas  
34 ubiquitylated  $\beta$ -catenin was indeed detected (Supporting Fig. 6A). The same results were  
35 obtained when looking for Reptin ubiquitylation in KP2-FR cells (Supporting Fig. 6B).

## 50 51 **Discussion**

52  
53  
54  
55 We show that Pontin is overexpressed in a large series of human HCC. High levels of Pontin  
56 mRNA were associated with features of poor prognosis. Using a multivariate analysis, we  
57 even found that Pontin level was an independent factor of poor prognosis when taking into  
58  
59  
60



HEP-09-0792

15

1  
2  
3 account other poor prognosis factors including the presence of vascular embolism. In  
4  
5 addition, in vitro experiments showed that Pontin was required for HCC cell growth and  
6  
7 viability. All these findings, also including the cytoplasmic localization of Pontin in tumor  
8  
9 cells, are highly reminiscent of those that we obtained with Reptin (2) and are in keeping with  
10  
11 the known association of Pontin with Reptin into functional complexes (4, 5).  
12  
13  
14  
15

16  
17 Surprisingly, silencing experiments led to a similar co-depletion of Pontin and Reptin, as  
18  
19 recently found in HeLa cells (13). Our results were extended to a series of human cells from  
20  
21 liver and non-liver origin and to other species, suggesting that co-depletion of Reptin and  
22  
23 Pontin is a general finding. We demonstrate that Pontin and Reptin co-depletion is linked to a  
24  
25 post-translational regulation since no changes in mRNA levels nor in translation were  
26  
27 observed following silencing. On the other hand, we show that the stability of newly  
28  
29 synthesized Pontin is reduced when Reptin is previously depleted, and reciprocally. This  
30  
31 defect can be reverted when using a proteasome inhibitor. In addition, we show that  
32  
33 proteasome inhibition is able to increase Pontin steady-state level whether Reptin levels are  
34  
35 high or low. Proteasome inhibition had on the other hand no effect on the residual low levels  
36  
37 of Reptin consecutive to RNAi. Together with our results with cycloheximide, this suggests  
38  
39 that the restoration of Pontin levels due to proteasome inhibition is mainly the consequence of  
40  
41 stabilization of newly translated Pontin, whereas no effect is seen for Reptin which translation  
42  
43 is impaired because of RNAi. We suggest that Pontin and Reptin heteromers are formed  
44  
45 immediately upon completion of translation, likely co-translationally. In that case, in the  
46  
47 absence of its partner, newly translated Pontin or Reptin cannot be correctly folded and is  
48  
49 targeted for proteasomal degradation. This is also in agreement with our data showing that  
50  
51 Reptin and Pontin depletion follow identical kinetics upon use of any siRNA (Supporting Fig.  
52  
53 7). It is also possible that Pontin and Reptin act as chaperones for each other. A chaperone  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

16

1  
2  
3 role can be inferred from their presence in a HSP90 complex conserved in human and yeast  
4  
5 (26, 27), their role in the assembly of the Ino80 complex in yeast (28), and is coherent with  
6  
7 the fact that many other members of the AAA+ family are indeed bona fide chaperones (3). In  
8  
9 most cases, proteins undergo proteasome degradation following prior polyubiquitylation.  
10  
11 Polyubiquitylation involves an ordered set of reactions beginning with activation of ubiquitin  
12  
13 by the ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 (29). We thus used an E1 inhibitor and found that the  
14  
15 stability of both Pontin and Reptin was enhanced. We were however unable to directly detect  
16  
17 polyubiquitylation of any of them even when ubiquitin was greatly overexpressed and  
18  
19 proteasome inhibited. It may be that the sensitivity of ubiquitylation detection is still  
20  
21 insufficient or that there is an intermediary protein that is ubiquitylated and regulates Pontin  
22  
23 and Reptin turnover (30). Further experiments are needed to clarify this point.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 Besides the co-depletion, results from our study also shed light on our previous observation  
33  
34 that transduction of cells with a lentiviral vector coding Flag-tagged Reptin induced very little  
35  
36 protein overexpression despite highly increased mRNA levels (2). We obtained similar data  
37  
38 here with a vector coding HA-Pontin, and found that inhibition of proteasome enhanced the  
39  
40 stability of newly synthesized Pontin and allowed a higher accumulation of Pontin (Fig. 7C-  
41  
42 D). This gives support to the hypothesis that the lack of high-level protein expression is  
43  
44 explained by a degradation of the newly translated protein that cannot find its binding partner.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 A co-depletion of proteins engaged in functional complexes has been already shown for  
51  
52 instance in the case of the Ku70-Ku80 heterodimer (31) or the  $\gamma$ -secretase complex (32).  
53  
54 However, in no case were full mechanistic data provided. In addition, our findings are unique  
55  
56 in that we show that destabilization of the protein partner intervenes at an early post-  
57  
58 translational stage.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 From our findings, one would expect that the depletion of either protein would result in the  
7  
8 same cellular phenotype. This is indeed what was observed in our experiments when  
9  
10 comparing depletion of Reptin (2) and Pontin (this study) on cell growth and apoptosis, and  
11  
12 also by others on the formation of RAD51 nuclear foci after DNA damage in prostate cancer  
13  
14 cells (11). In the yeast, genome-wide microarray analysis after acute deletion of either protein  
15  
16 showed a striking correlation between the genes regulated by each protein (6). More  
17  
18 generally, knockdown, inactivation or deletion of either gene in *Drosophila* (10) or yeast (33)  
19  
20 resulted in a similarly lethal phenotype. These data have been interpreted as Pontin and Reptin  
21  
22 having non-redundant functions. We suggest that they could be re-interpreted on the basis of  
23  
24 the co-depletion of both proteins. Still, it is likely that Pontin and Reptin have some unique  
25  
26 functions as suggested by several observations. Indeed, whereas Pontin depletion with  
27  
28 siRNAs led to spindle defects in *Drosophila* S2 and HeLa cells, Reptin siRNAs had no effect  
29  
30 (34). Pontin and Reptin also antagonistically modulate  $\beta$ -catenin transcriptional activity (10,  
31  
32 14, 16, 22), likely because they are incorporated into different complexes and differentially  
33  
34 recruited onto target promoters (9, 35). These apparently unique functions may be due to a  
35  
36 small pool of Pontin and Reptin not engaged in heteromeric complexes but present as  
37  
38 monomers of homo-oligomers. Although structural studies suggest mostly hetero-complex  
39  
40 formation (17, 18), they do not exclude the possibility of other forms (18).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 In summary, Pontin, like Reptin, is overexpressed in HCC and is required for HCC growth  
52  
53 and viability. We demonstrate that the expression of both proteins is strictly co-regulated by a  
54  
55 post-translational mechanism involving the proteasomal degradation of newly synthesized  
56  
57 proteins. We also found that Pontin and Reptin expression are highly correlated at the mRNA  
58  
59 level in HCC thus suggesting some additional co-regulation at the mRNA level. Altogether,  
60

HEP-09-0792

18

1  
2  
3 the whole data indicate the importance to maintain a strict control of the stoichiometry  
4  
5 between Pontin and Reptin for proper cell homeostasis and likely for their role in  
6  
7 carcinogenesis.  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 **Acknowledgments** 13

14  
15  
16  
17 We thank Pierre Laurent-Puig (Paris, France) for help with statistical analysis, Otmar Huber  
18  
19 (Berlin, Germany) for antibodies, Michael Cole (Lebanon, NH, USA) and Irina Tsaneva  
20  
21 (London, UK) for plasmids, Harald Wodrich (Bordeaux, France) for ubiquitin tools and  
22  
23 know-how, and Marc Piechaczyk (Montpellier, France) for helpful discussions.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**References**

1. Blanc J, Lalanne C, Plomion C, Schmitter J, Bathany K, Gion J, et al. Proteomic analysis of differentially expressed proteins in hepatocellular carcinoma developed in patients with chronic viral hepatitis C. *Proteomics* 2005;5:3778-3789.
2. Rousseau B, Menard L, Haurie V, Taras D, Blanc JF, Moreau-Gaudry F, et al. Overexpression and role of the ATPase and putative DNA helicase RuvB-like 2 in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2007;46:1108-1118.
3. Hanson PI, Whiteheart SW. AAA+ proteins: have engine, will work. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2005;6:519-529.
4. Gallant P. Control of transcription by Pontin and Reptin. *Trends Cell Biol* 2007;17:187-192.
5. Huber O, Menard L, Haurie V, Nicou A, Taras D, Rosenbaum J. Pontin and reptin, two related ATPases with multiple roles in cancer. *Cancer Res* 2008;68:6873-6876.
6. Jonsson ZO, Dhar SK, Narlikar GJ, Auty R, Wagle N, Pellman D, et al. Rvb1p and Rvb2p are essential components of a chromatin remodeling complex that regulates transcription of over 5% of yeast genes. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:16279-16288.
7. Ikura T, Ogryzko VV, Grigoriev M, Groisman R, Wang J, Horikoshi M, et al. Involvement of the TIP60 histone acetylase complex in DNA repair and apoptosis. *Cell* 2000;102:463-473.
8. Shen X, Mizuguchi G, Hamiche A, Wu C. A chromatin remodelling complex involved in transcription and DNA processing. *Nature* 2000;406:541-544.
9. Kim JH, Kim B, Cai L, Choi HJ, Ohgi KA, Tran C, et al. Transcriptional regulation of a metastasis suppressor gene by Tip60 and beta-catenin complexes. *Nature* 2005;434:921-926.

HEP-09-0792

20

10. Bauer A, Chauvet S, Huber O, Usseglio F, Rothbacher U, Aragnol D, et al. Pontin52 and reptin52 function as antagonistic regulators of beta-catenin signalling activity. *Embo J* 2000;19:6121-6130.
11. Gospodinov A, Tsaneva I, Anachkova B. RAD51 foci formation in response to DNA damage is modulated by TIP49. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2009;41:925-933.
12. King TH, Decatur WA, Bertrand E, Maxwell ES, Fournier MJ. A well-connected and conserved nucleoplasmic helicase is required for production of box C/D and H/ACA snoRNAs and localization of snoRNP proteins. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001;21:7731-7746.
13. Venteicher AS, Meng Z, Mason PJ, Veenstra TD, Artandi SE. Identification of ATPases pontin and reptin as telomerase components essential for holoenzyme assembly. *Cell* 2008;132:945-957.
14. Bauer A, Huber O, Kemler R. Pontin52, an interaction partner of beta-catenin, binds to the TATA box binding protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:14787-14792.
15. Kanemaki M, Makino Y, Yoshida T, Kishimoto T, Koga A, Yamamoto K, et al. Molecular cloning of a rat 49-kDa TBP-interacting protein (TIP49) that is highly homologous to the bacterial RuvB. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1997;235:64-68.
16. Wood MA, McMahon SB, Cole MD. An ATPase/helicase complex is an essential cofactor for oncogenic transformation by c-Myc. *Mol Cell* 2000;5:321-330.
17. Puri T, Wendler P, Sigala B, Saibil H, Tsaneva IR. Dodecameric structure and ATPase activity of the human TIP48/TIP49 complex. *J Mol Biol* 2007;366:179-192.
18. Torreira E, Jha S, Lopez-Blanco JR, Arias-Palomo E, Chacon P, Canas C, et al. Architecture of the pontin/reptin complex, essential in the assembly of several macromolecular complexes. *Structure* 2008;16:1511-1520.

HEP-09-0792

21

- 1  
2  
3 19. Zhao R, Davey M, Hsu YC, Kaplanek P, Tong A, Parsons AB, et al. Navigating the  
4  
5 chaperone network: an integrative map of physical and genetic interactions mediated by the  
6  
7 hsp90 chaperone. *Cell* 2005;120:715-727.
- 8  
9  
10 20. Bioulac-Sage P, Rebouissou S, Sa Cunha A, Jeannot E, Lepreux S, Blanc JF, et al.  
11  
12 Clinical, morphologic, and molecular features defining so-called telangiectatic focal nodular  
13  
14 hyperplasias of the liver. *Gastroenterology* 2005;128:1211-1218.
- 15  
16  
17 21. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time  
18  
19 quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta C(T)}$  Method. *Methods* 2001;25:402-408.
- 20  
21  
22 22. Weiske J, Huber O. The histidine triad protein Hint1 interacts with Pontin and Reptin  
23  
24 and inhibits TCF-beta-catenin-mediated transcription. *J Cell Sci* 2005;118:3117-3129.
- 25  
26  
27 23. Neaud V, Gillibert Duplantier J, Mazzocco C, Kisiel W, Rosenbaum J. Thrombin Up-  
28  
29 regulates Tissue Factor Pathway Inhibitor-2 Synthesis through a Cyclooxygenase-2-  
30  
31 dependent, Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-independent Mechanism. *J Biol Chem*  
32  
33 2004;279:5200-5206.
- 34  
35  
36 24. Bastide A, Karaa Z, Bornes S, Hieblot C, Lacazette E, Prats H, Touriol C. An  
37  
38 upstream open reading frame within an IRES controls expression of a specific VEGF-A  
39  
40 isoform. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008;36:2434-2445.
- 41  
42  
43 25. Aberle H, Bauer A, Stappert J, Kispert A, Kemler R. beta-catenin is a target for the  
44  
45 ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *Embo J* 1997;16:3797-3804.
- 46  
47  
48 26. Te J, Jia L, Rogers J, Miller A, Hartson SD. Novel subunits of the mammalian Hsp90  
49  
50 signal transduction chaperone. *J Proteome Res* 2007;6:1963-1973.
- 51  
52  
53 27. Zhao R, Kakihara Y, Gribun A, Huen J, Yang G, Khanna M, et al. Molecular  
54  
55 chaperone Hsp90 stabilizes Pih1/Nop17 to maintain R2TP complex activity that regulates  
56  
57 snoRNA accumulation. *J Cell Biol* 2008;180:563-578.
- 58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

22

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
28. Jonsson ZO, Jha S, Wohlschlegel JA, Dutta A. Rvb1p/Rvb2p recruit Arp5p and assemble a functional Ino80 chromatin remodeling complex. *Mol Cell* 2004;16:465-477.
29. Glickman MH, Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway: destruction for the sake of construction. *Physiol Rev* 2002;82:373-428.
30. Jariel-Encontre I, Bossis G, Piechaczyk M. Ubiquitin-independent degradation of proteins by the proteasome. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2008;1786:153-177.
31. Nussenzweig A, Chen C, da Costa Soares V, Sanchez M, Sokol K, Nussenzweig MC, Li GC. Requirement for Ku80 in growth and immunoglobulin V(D)J recombination. *Nature* 1996;382:551-555.
32. Edbauer D, Winkler E, Haass C, Steiner H. Presenilin and nicastrin regulate each other and determine amyloid beta-peptide production via complex formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:8666-8671.
33. Kanemaki M, Kurokawa Y, Matsu-ura T, Makino Y, Masani A, Okazaki K, et al. TIP49b, a new RuvB-like DNA helicase, is included in a complex together with another RuvB-like DNA helicase, TIP49a. *J Biol Chem* 1999;274:22437-22444.
34. Ducat D, Kawaguchi S, Liu H, Yates JR, 3rd, Zheng Y. Regulation of Microtubule Assembly and Organization in Mitosis by the AAA+ ATPase Pontin. *Mol Biol Cell* 2008;19:3097-3110.
35. Diop SB, Bertaux K, Vasanthi D, Sarkeshik A, Goirand B, Aragnol D, et al. Reptin and Pontin function antagonistically with PcG and TrxG complexes to mediate Hox gene control. *EMBO Rep* 2008;9:260-266.
36. Chevet E, Wong HN, Gerber D, Cochet C, Fazel A, Cameron PH, et al. Phosphorylation by CK2 and MAPK enhances calnexin association with ribosomes. *Embo J* 1999;18:3655-3666.



## Figure legends

### Figure 1. Expression of Pontin in human hepatocellular carcinoma

(A) Pontin mRNA levels were measured with real-time RT-PCR in 104 HCC and 18 non-tumoral livers (NT). Gene expression results were normalized to internal control ribosomal 18S. The graph shows the mean  $\pm$  1 SD of mRNA levels. (B) Correlations between Pontin and Reptin mRNA levels in HCC and non-tumor (NT) samples. (C) Pontin immunostaining at the junction between the HCC (left) and the surrounding non-tumoral liver that is separated from the tumor by a fibrous capsule. Staining appears as a brown color. The inset shows a consecutive section stained with a control IgG. (D) Reptin immunostaining on a consecutive section. (E) Pontin immunostaining on a high-power view of the tumor where an intense cytoplasmic staining is detectable. (F) Disease-free survival (DFS) curves according to Pontin mRNA levels. Log-rank test comparison of low versus high levels gave a P value at 0.0022.

### Figure 2. Efficiency and effects of silencing of Pontin by siRNAs in HuH7 cells

(A) Relative expression of Pontin mRNA in HuH7 24h post-transfection of Pontin siRNA (P1 and P2) or control siRNA (scP). Gene expression was measured by real-time RT-PCR. Results are normalized on the basis of the expression of GAPDH and on the level of the non-transfected cells (NT). The graph shows the mean  $\pm$  1 SD of 3 independent experiments. (B) Western blot showing Pontin expression 3 days after siRNA transfection in HuH7. The same blot was re-hybridized with a  $\beta$ -actin antibody. (C) Cell proliferation was measured at indicated times after siRNAs transfection. Shown are the means  $\pm$  1 SEM of 3 experiments conducted in duplicate. Proliferation of P1 and P2 transfected cells was significantly different in comparison with controls (NT and scP) ( $p < 0.001$ ). (D) BrdU incorporation and caspase 3 activity measured in cells after transfection of siRNA against Pontin (P1 and P2) or scrambled P2 duplexes (scP). Values are means  $\pm$  1 SD of three experiments. BrdU incorporation was

HEP-09-0792

24

1  
2  
3 significantly reduced and caspase-3 activity increased in P1 and in P2 transfected cells  
4 compared to scrambled-transfected cells ( $p < 0.001$  and  $p < 0.05$ , respectively).  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 **Figure 3. Silencing of Reptin or Pontin by specific siRNAs leads to down-regulation of**  
11 **both proteins.**  
12

13  
14 (A) Western blot of Pontin and Reptin expression in HuH7 cells three days after transfection  
15 of siRNAs targeting Reptin (R1 and R2), Pontin (P1 and P2) or Luciferase (GL2). (B) The  
16 graph shows the relative amounts of Pontin and Reptin. All values are the mean  $\pm$  1SD of at  
17 least 3 independent experiments. (C) HuH7 cells expressing HA-Pontin (wt) or HA-Pontin  
18 resistant to P2 siRNA (res) were transfected with control (GL2) or Pontin siRNAs (P1 or P2).  
19 Expression of Pontin and Reptin was analyzed by western blot. In cells expressing HA-Pontin  
20 resistant to P2, Reptin level was not decreased after transfection of P2. In contrast, P1 siRNA  
21 depleted together Pontin, HA-Pontin and Reptin (note that HA-Pontin cannot be distinguished  
22 from endogenous Pontin on these gels). (D) HuH7 cells expressing Flag-Reptin resistant to  
23 R2 siRNA were transfected with control (GL2) or Reptin siRNAs (R1 or R2). In cells  
24 expressing Flag-Reptin resistant to R2, the level of Pontin was not decreased after transfection  
25 of R2. In contrast, R1 siRNA depleted together Reptin, Flag-Reptin and Pontin. The arrow  
26 indicates the upper band revealed with the anti-Reptin antibody, corresponding to Flag-  
27 Reptin.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 **Figure 4. Expression of Reptin and Pontin transcripts following silencing**  
51

52 (A) Total RNA was purified 24h after transfection of Pontin (P1 and P2) or Reptin specific  
53 siRNAs (R1 and R2). Scrambled P2 (scP) or R2 (scR) duplexes were used as controls. RNAs  
54 were used for RT-qPCR analysis of Pontin (left) or Reptin (right) mRNAs. The results are  
55 expressed as relative expression of Reptin and Pontin normalized to GAPDH and are the  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

25

1  
2  
3 mean  $\pm$  1SD of at least three independent experiments. Pontin and Reptin mRNAs were  
4  
5 significantly decreased only following transfection of their targeting siRNAs ( $p < 0.001$  by  
6  
7 ANOVA). (B) Same experiment performed 48 h after transfection of siRNAs.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 **Figure 5. Distribution of Reptin and Pontin mRNA in polysomes, and translation of**  
13  
14 **Pontin.**  
15

16  
17 (A) Representative distribution of ribosomal RNAs in a sucrose gradient visualized by  
18  
19 ethidium bromide staining. RNA from each fraction was analyzed by RT-PCR. (B)  
20  
21 Distribution of GAPDH, Reptin and Pontin mRNA in polysomes. KGL2 or KR2 cells that  
22  
23 stably express a doxycycline-inducible shRNA against luciferase or Reptin, respectively, were  
24  
25 grown with or without 0.02  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  doxycycline for 3 days before cell fractionation. Polysome  
26  
27 profile analyses were carried out 3 times, with similar results. (C) Normal translation of  
28  
29 Pontin in Reptin-depleted cells. Cells were grown for 4 days with or without doxycycline, then  
30  
31 pulsed for 15 min with [ $^{35}\text{S}$ ] methionine/cysteine. The overall profile of newly synthesized  
32  
33 proteins is not altered upon Reptin depletion (top left panel). Depletion of Pontin in KR2-  
34  
35 HAP treated with doxycycline was shown by immunoblotting with an anti-Pontin antibody  
36  
37 (bottom left). HA-pontin was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody. Signals from  
38  
39 immunoprecipitated radiolabeled polypeptides were acquired with an Instant-Imager (top  
40  
41 right). The identity of the labeled band was confirmed by immunodetection with an anti-  
42  
43 Pontin antibody (bottom right). As a control, no labeled polypeptide was immunoprecipitated  
44  
45 with anti-HA in extracts from wild type HuH7 cells. (D) Normal translation of Flag-Reptin in  
46  
47 Pontin-depleted cells. Cells were grown for 5 days after tranfection of control (GL2) , Reptin  
48  
49 (R1) or Pontin (P1) siRNAs, then pulsed for 15 min with [ $^{35}\text{S}$ ] methionine/cysteine. The  
50  
51 overall profile of newly synthesized proteins is not altered upon Reptin or Pontin depletion  
52  
53 (top left panel). Depletion of Pontin and Flag-Reptin in Huh7 cells after transfection of R1  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

26

1  
2  
3 and P1 siRNAs was shown by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG and anti-Pontin antibodies  
4  
5 (bottom left). FLAG-Reptin was immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody. Signals from  
6  
7 immunoprecipitated radiolabeled polypeptides were acquired with an Instant-Imager (top  
8  
9  
10 right).

### 15 **Figure 6. Half-life of Pontin**

16  
17 (A) Pulse-chase analysis of HA-tagged Pontin in KR2-HAP cells in Reptin-replete (“w/o  
18  
19 dox”) or Reptin-depleted conditions (“with dox”). Equal amounts of cell lysates were  
20  
21 immunoprecipitated with an anti-HA antibody. Eluates were loaded onto a SDS-PAGE. As a  
22  
23 control, no labeled polypeptide was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA in extracts from wild  
24  
25 type HuH7 cells (lane 1). (B) Same experiment except that the chase medium was  
26  
27 supplemented or not with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (25  $\mu$ M). (C) The graph shows  
28  
29 relative quantitation of radiolabeled protein from at least 3 independent experiments. Counts  
30  
31 from t0 were arbitrarily set at 100%. (D) Pulse-chase analysis of Calnexin half-life. Calnexin  
32  
33 was immunoprecipitated from the flow-through fractions after centrifugation of anti-HA-  
34  
35 agarose bead, using a rabbit polyclonal anti-Calnexin antibody (36), followed by protein A-  
36  
37 Sepharose beads. (E) Graphical analysis of (D) following signal quantitation.

### 46 **Figure 7. Effect of proteasome inhibitors on the decrease in Pontin levels upon Reptin** 47 48 **depletion**

49  
50 (A) Western blot of Reptin and Pontin expression in KR2 cells. After three days with or  
51  
52 without doxycyclin, cells were treated with 25 $\mu$ M MG132, 2.5  $\mu$ M epoxomycin, or DMSO  
53  
54 during 4 hours. The same samples were also analyzed for  $\beta$ -catenin expression. (B)  
55  
56 Expression of Pontin in KR2-HAP cells. Left, cells were pulse-labeled and Pontin was  
57  
58 immunoprecipitated. The top panel shows newly synthesized Pontin, and the bottom one total  
59  
60

HEP-09-0792

27

1  
2  
3 Pontin. There was a 4.9 fold increase in newly synthesized Pontin in cells expressing HA-  
4  
5  
6 Pontin as compared to control cells. On the right side, Western blot for Pontin. Pontin level  
7  
8 was increased by only 1.2 fold in KR2 HAP cells as compared to control cells. (C) After 3  
9  
10 days of culture with or without doxycycline, cells were treated for 4h with 25  $\mu$ M MG132 or  
11  
12 DMSO. Cell extracts were subjected to Western blot with the indicated antibodies. (D)  
13  
14 Quantification of experiments shown in (C) (n = 3). (E) Treatment with cycloheximide  
15  
16 prevents the restoration by MG132 of Pontin levels in Reptin-depleted cells. Cells were  
17  
18 treated as in (C). In some cases (cycloheximide (CHX, 50  $\mu$ M) was added at the same time  
19  
20 than MG132. (F) Same as in (E) except that epoxomycin was used instead of MG132.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 **Figure 8. Evidence for the involvement of ubiquitylation in the destabilization of Pontin**  
28  
29 **and Reptin**

30  
31 (A-B) Effect of proteasome and ubiquitin-activating enzyme inhibitors on the stability of  
32 newly-synthesized Pontin. HuH7 cells expressing the inducible R2 Reptin shRNA together  
33 with HA-Pontin (KR2-HAP) were treated or not with Dox for 3 days. Metabolic labelling and  
34 immunoprecipitation were as in Fig. 6. The chase period was 180 min and was done in the  
35 presence of 25  $\mu$ M MG132, 50  $\mu$ M UBEI-41 (UbeI) or DMSO (CTRL). (A) Overall pattern  
36 of radiolabeled neosynthesized proteins (top) and western blots for Pontin, Reptin and  $\beta$ -actin  
37 in the cell extract (bottom). (B) Detection of newly-synthesized HA-Pontin following  
38 immunoprecipitation with the HA antibody (top); the bottom part shows the quantification of  
39 two independent experiments. (C-D) Effect of proteasome and ubiquitin-activating enzyme  
40 inhibitors on the stability of newly-synthesized Reptin. HuH7 cells expressing the inducible  
41 P2 Pontin shRNA together with Flag-Pontin (KP2-FR) were treated as above, except that  
42 immunoprecipitation was carried out with the Flag antibody. (C) Overall pattern of  
43 radiolabeled neosynthesized proteins (top) and western blots for Reptin, Pontin and  $\beta$ -actin in  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


HEP-09-0792

28


1  
2  
3 the cell extract (bottom). (D) Detection of newly-synthesized Flag-Reptin following  
4 immunoprecipitation with the Flag antibody Note that all lanes from the IP experiment come  
5 from the same gel and were exposed simultaneously.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60







170x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)



164x136mm (300 x 300 DPI)




1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



146x109mm (300 x 300 DPI)

review


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



139x102mm (300 x 300 DPI)

review






1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



159x107mm (300 x 300 DPI)






Review

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60







90x224mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



179x180mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



160x205mm (300 x 300 DPI)

HEP-09-0792

## Supporting information

## Supporting methods

### Cell culture

HuH7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, non essential amino acids, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> -containing atmosphere at 37°C.

### Construction of cell lines with a conditional expression of Reptin or Pontin shRNA

The Reptin siRNA sequence chosen was the R2 sequence previously described (1). For the construction of plasmids expressing Luciferase and Reptin-specific short hairpin RNAs, the following oligonucleotides were used: for luciferase, GL2-sense, 5'-cgcgtccccCACGTACGCGGAATACTTTCGAttcaagagaTCGAAGTATTCCGCGTACGTGtttttggaaat-3'; GL2 antisense, 5'-cgatttcaaaaaCACGTACGCGGAATACTTTCGAtctcttgaaTCGAAGTATTCCGCGTACGTGgggga-3'; for Reptin, R2-sense, 5'-cgcgtccccGAAGATGTGGAGATGAGTGAGttcaagagaCTCACTCATCTCCACATCTTCTttttggaaat-3'; R2-antisense, 5'-cgaatttcaaaaaGAAGATGTGGAGATGAGTGAGtctcttgaaCTCACTCATCTCCACATCTTTCgggga-3'. After denaturation at 70°C during 10 min, oligonucleotides were annealed in 100 mM potassium acetate, 30 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 2mM magnesium acetate. For annealing, the temperature was gradually reduced to 20°C. Then, the oligonucleotides duplexes were subcloned into the PLVTHM vector (2) between restriction sites ClaI and MluI downstream of the H1 promoter, resulting in PLVTHM-GL2 and PLVTHM-R2 vectors.

VSV-G pseudotyped lentivectors were produced by triple-transient transfection of 293T cells (3). Titers were determined by the transduction of 293T cells through the serial dilution of the lentiviral supernatant and were analyzed for EGFP expression 5 days later.

Finally, HuH7 cells conditionally expressing GL2- or R2- shRNA were obtained as follows. First, HuH7 cells were transduced with the PLVtTR/KRAB-Red lentiviral vector (2) at a multiplicity of infection of 5 in Dulbecco/Vogt modified Eagle's minimal essential medium (DMEM)/10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) medium with 8 µg/ml protamine sulfate (Sigma, St.

HEP-09-0792

Louis, MO). This vector drives the expression of the transcriptional repressor tTR-KRAB and of the marker dsRED. Then, HuH7 cells expressing tTR-KRAB were selected through dsRED expression in a cell sorter (FACS Aria, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Secondly, HuH7 expressing tTR-KRAB were transduced with PLVTHM-GL2 or PLVTHM-R2 at a multiplicity of infection of 15. The resulting cell lines were named KGL2 and KR2. The transduction efficiency was checked through testing for EGFP expression by flow cytometry after three days of cultivation in medium containing 0.02 µg/ml doxycycline.

The KP2 cell line expressing the Pontin P2 shRNA was constructed similarly using the following oligonucleotides : P2 sense, 5'-cgcgtCCCCGGTGAAGTCACAGAGCTAAttcaagaTTAGCTCTGTGACTTCACCTTTTTGGAAat-3' ; P2 5'-antisense, cgatTTCCAAAAGGTGAAGTCACAGAGCTAAAtctcttgaaTTAGCTCTGTGACTTCACCGGGGa-3'.

### **Construction of cell lines expressing HA-tagged Pontin**

N-term HA-tagged Pontin cDNA was amplified by PCR from CBS-Tip49 (4) and subcloned in pGEM-Teasy plasmid (Promega). The cDNA was sequenced and cloned downstream of the MND (Myeloproliferative sarcoma virus enhancer, Negative control region Deleted, d1587rev primer-binding site substituted) promoter in a lentiviral vector (1). Finally, KGL2 and KR2 cell lines were transduced with this vector at a multiplicity of infection of 2. The resulting cell lines were named KGL2-HAP and KR2-HAP.

### **Construction of cell lines expressing Reptin or Pontin resistant to siRNA**

Human N-term FLAG-tagged Reptin and N-term HA-tagged Pontin cDNAs were amplified by PCR from CBS-Tip48 and CBS-Tip49(4) and subcloned in pGEM-Teasy plasmid. Silent mutations were introduced by in vitro site-directed mutagenesis using the Stratagen QuikChange® II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit in the regions that are targeted by siRNAs (Supporting Figure 2). The inserts were sequenced to verify that selected clones contain the desired mutations and cloned downstream of the MND promoter in a lentiviral vector (1).

### **RNA isolation from cells, reverse transcription, real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted with Trizol Reagent. One µg RNA was reverse-transcribed with Superscript III (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR was performed in 25µl with SybrGreen Supermix (BioRad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). The sequences of primers used are in Supporting Table 2. Five µl of a 50-fold dilution of cDNA were used as template.



HEP-09-0792

### Northern blot

Fifteen  $\mu\text{g}$  total RNA was separated by electrophoresis into a formaldehyde-agarose gel (1.2% agarose). At the end of migration, RNAs were transferred by capillarity and UV-cross linked on a HyBond N+ nylon membrane.

The probes used were the full-length Pontin and Reptin cDNA, purified after restriction digestion of plasmids CBF-Tip48 and CBF-Tip49 (4). The probes were radio-labeled using 5ng of template DNA with  $\alpha^{32}\text{P}$ -dCTP by random priming labeling according to the supplier's instruction (GE Healthcare Ready-to-Go DNA Labelling Beads). Hybridization was performed for 16 hours at 42°C in Expresshyb™ Hybridization solution (Clontech, BD). The signals were acquired in an Instant-Imager.

## Supporting Figure legends

### Supporting Fig. 1. Specificity of Reptin and Pontin antibodies

(A) Recombinant Reptin and Pontin were subjected to immunoblot analysis simultaneously with mouse mAb Reptin (left) and rabbit pAb Pontin (right). Detection was achieved with infrared dye-labeled secondary goat anti-mouse (green) and goat anti-rabbit (red). No band was detected with the Reptin antibody (green) in the lane containing purified Pontin and no band was detected with the Pontin antibody (red) in the lane containing purified Reptin. (B) HuH7 cells were transfected with either CBF-Tip48 (Flag-Reptin) or CBF-Tip49 (Flag-Pontin). Cells were harvested 72h after transfection, and extracts were subjected to Western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies. (C) Co-depletion of Pontin and Reptin shown in HuH7 cells 3 days after transfection of siRNA revealed using several other antibodies. Reptin (MC) and Pontin (MC) antibodies were a gift from M. Cole (4). Reptin (2E9-5) antibody was a gift from O. Huber (5).

HEP-09-0792

**Supporting Fig. 2. Design of Pontin and Reptin siRNA-resistant cDNAs**

Schematic representation of the HA-tagged Pontin and Flag-tagged Reptin cDNA sequences. The Pontin-siRNA(P2) targeted sequence and the Reptin-siRNA (R2) targeted sequences are indicated by capital letters. Silent mutations are indicated by bold/italic letters.

**Supporting Fig. 3. Co-depletion of Pontin and Reptin occurs in a variety of cell lines from several species**

Western blot of Pontin and Reptin expression in several cell lines transfected with control siRNA (GL2, scR or scP) or Reptin specific siRNA (R1, R2) or Pontin specific siRNA (P1, P2). The relative amounts of Pontin and Reptin below the lanes were estimated by densitometry and are shown below the blots. NT: non transfected. HepG2, MCF7, FAO, Hepa 1-6 and Hela cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's media, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), non essential amino acids, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> -containing atmosphere at 37°C. LNCap cells were cultured in RPMI, supplemented with 10% FBS, non essential amino acids, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin in Corning® CellBIND® Surface plates in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> -containing atmosphere at 37°C.

siRNAs were transfected at a concentration of 125nM into HepG2, Hepa1-6, FAO, MCF7 and HeLa cells with Lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France). We used the Nucleofector technology (Amaxa) to transfect siRNAs in LNCap cells (20pmol of siRNA for 10<sup>6</sup> cells).

**Supporting Fig. 4. Co-depletion of ectopically-expressed proteins**

(A) Knock-down of Pontin leads to down-regulation of endogenous Reptin and of ectopically expressed Flag-Reptin in HuH7 cells. HuH7 cells that stably express a N-term Flag-tagged Reptin from the MND viral promoter were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. Three days after transfection, the cells were lysed and processed for western blot with antibodies specific to Reptin, FLAG tag and β-actin. The arrow indicates the migration of Flag-tagged Reptin. This experiment shows that both endogenous and ectopically-expressed Reptin were depleted with Pontin siRNAs. In order to rule out a possible confounding factor, we first checked that Pontin was not required for transcription from the MND promoter by demonstrating that the expression of GFP driven by the MND promoter was not altered in Pontin-depleted cells (not shown). (B) Co-depletion of ectopically expressed Pontin and Reptin and endogenous proteins in Hela cells. Western blot of Reptin and Pontin expression in siRNA transfected

HEP-09-0792

Hela cell lines that stably expressed a N-term Flag-HA-tagged Reptin (FH-Reptin) or FH-Pontin from CMV promoter. Three days after transfection of siRNAs, the cells were lysed and processed for western blot with antibodies specific to Reptin, Pontin and  $\beta$ -actin.

### **Supporting Fig. 5. Co-depletion in cells expressing an inducible Reptin shRNA**

(A) Knock-down of Reptin with an inducible shRNA vector leads to down-regulation of Pontin in HuH7. Western blot of Pontin and Reptin expression in HuH7 cell lines stably transduced to express either the GL2 shRNA (against firefly luciferase, KGL2 cells) or the R2 shRNA (against Reptin, KR2 cells) in a doxycycline-dependent manner. Four days after adding of doxycycline in the culture medium, the cells were lysed and processed for western blot with antibodies specific to Pontin, Reptin and  $\beta$ -actin. Protein levels of Reptin and Pontin were decreased by  $72\% \pm 15\%$  and  $84\% \pm 15\%$ , respectively, four days after addition of doxycycline in KR2 cells, whereas they remained stable in KGL2 cells. (B) Pontin mRNA level is not decreased in response to Reptin shRNA expression. Real-time PCR analysis of Reptin and Pontin cDNAs from KR2 cells treated or not with doxycycline during 48h. The results show the expression of Reptin and Pontin in doxycycline treated KR2 cells normalized to untreated cells (set as 100%) and are the mean  $\pm$  1 SD of three independent experiments. GAPDH mRNA level is used as a standard.

### **Supporting Fig. 6. Lack of evidence for Pontin or Reptin ubiquitylation**

(A) No evidence for Pontin ubiquitylation following Reptin silencing. KR2 cells were grown for 3 days after infection by an adenoviral vector expressing HA-Ubiquitin + GFP, or GFP alone in medium supplemented or not with doxycycline. After 4 hours of treatment with  $2.5\mu\text{M}$  epoxomicin, cells were lysed in lysis buffer supplemented with 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide and 2 mM DTT, and expression of HA-ubiquitylated proteins and GFP was detected in the input (left). Ubiquitylated proteins were immunoprecipitated using an anti-HA monoclonal antibody (right). The overall profile of ubiquitylated proteins was not altered by Reptin silencing (top panel). Ubiquitylated  $\beta$ -catenin was readily detected in the immunoprecipitate (middle panel). In contrast, ubiquitylated Pontin could not be detected (lower panel). (B) No evidence for Reptin ubiquitylation following Pontin silencing. Same as in (B) except that KP2-FR cells were used.

HEP-09-0792

**Supporting Fig. 7. Kinetics of co-depletion**

(A) HuH7 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and protein expression was monitored by Western blot at various time points. (B) KR2 cells were grown in medium supplemented or not with doxyxcycline and Pontin or Reptin protein expression was monitored by Western blot at various time points.

**Supporting references**

1. Rousseau B, Menard L, Haurie V, Taras D, Blanc JF, Moreau-Gaudry F, et al. Overexpression and role of the ATPase and putative DNA helicase RuvB-like 2 in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2007;46:1108-1118.
2. Wiznerowicz M, Trono D. Conditional suppression of cellular genes: lentivirus vector-mediated drug-inducible RNA interference. *J Virol* 2003;77:8957-8961.
3. Richard E, Mendez M, Mazurier F, Morel C, Costet P, Xia P, et al. Gene therapy of a mouse model of protoporphyria with a self-inactivating erythroid-specific lentiviral vector without preselection. *Mol Ther* 2001;4:331-338.
4. Wood MA, McMahon SB, Cole MD. An ATPase/helicase complex is an essential cofactor for oncogenic transformation by c-Myc. *Mol Cell* 2000;5:321-330.
5. Weiske J, Huber O. The histidine triad protein Hint1 interacts with Pontin and Reptin and inhibits TCF-beta-catenin-mediated transcription. *J Cell Sci* 2005;118:3117-3129.

<b>Patients characteristics</b>		
Sex (Male/Female)		82/22
<b>Etiology</b>		
	Hepatitis B	32
	Hepatitis C	29
	Alcohol	34
	Hemochromatosis	5
	No etiology	21
<b>Histology of surrounding liver</b>		
	Cirrhosis	45
	Fibrosis	39
	Normal histology	16

**Supporting Table 1.** Patients characteristics and histology of the surrounding liver

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**siRNA sequences**


Name	Sequence	nucleotides	gi
P1	5'-GUUUACUCAACUGAGAUCA-3'	377-395	4506752
P2	5'-GGUGAAGUCACAGAGCUAA-3'	473-491	4506752
scR2	5'-GGATGTAAGTGGGAAAGTGGA-3'		
scP2	5'-GAAACATGCACGGATGAGT-3'		

**PCR primers**

GAPDH forward	5'-TGGGGAAGGTGAAGGTCGG-3'
GAPDH reverse	5'-CCTGGAAGATGGTGTATGGG-3'
Pontin forward	5'- AAAGAGCGAGTAGAAGCTGGA-3'
Pontin reverse	5'- CATGCAAGGTCACATCTTGGAT-3'
Reptin forward	5'- AAGTCCCGGAGATCCGTGAT-3'
Reptin reverse	5'- CGACCGGCAATCTTCCCTTC-3'

**Supporting Table 2.** Sequences of siRNAs and PCR primers

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




117x258mm (200 x 200 DPI)



**E G E V T E L T**

WT gaa GGT GAA GTC ACA GAG CTA Act

Res gaa GGA GAG GTG ACA GAG CTG Act



**E E D V E M S E D**


WT gaa GAA GAT GTG GAG ATG AGT GAG gac

Res gaa GAG GAC GTC GAA ATG AGT GAG gac

170x152mm (200 x 200 DPI)





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



174x185mm (200 x 200 DPI)



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



165x149mm (200 x 200 DPI)




1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



111x228mm (200 x 200 DPI)



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




198x116mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

A



B



185x268mm (200 x 200 DPI)