

RelB NF-kappaB represses estrogen receptor alpha expression via induction of the zinc finger protein Blimp1.

Xiaobo Wang, Karine Belguise, Christine F. O'Neill, Nuria Sánchez-Morgan, Mathilde Romagnoli, Sean F. Eddy, Nora D. Mineva, Ziyang Yu, Chengyin Min, Vickery Trinkaus- Randall, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Xiaobo Wang, Karine Belguise, Christine F. O'Neill, Nuria Sánchez-Morgan, Mathilde Romagnoli, et al.. RelB NF-kappaB represses estrogen receptor alpha expression via induction of the zinc finger protein Blimp1.. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 2009, 29 (14), pp.3832-44. 10.1128/MCB.00032-09 . inserm-00396241

HAL Id: inserm-00396241 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00396241v1

Submitted on 17 Jun 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RelB NF-κB Represses Estrogen Receptor α Expression via Induction of the Zinc Finger Protein Blimp1

4	Xiaobo Wang ^{1,2,8} , Karine Belguise ^{1,3,8} , Christine F. O'Neill ^{1,4} , Nuria Sánchez-Morgan ¹ , Mathilde
5	Romagnoli ¹ , Sean F. Eddy ^{1,5} , Nora D. Mineva ¹ , Ziyang Yu ¹ , Chengyin Min ¹ , Vickery Trinkaus-
6	Randall ⁶ , Dany Chalbos ⁷ , and Gail E. Sonenshein ^{1,*}
7	¹ Department of Biochemistry, and the Women's Health Interdisciplinary Research Center, and
8	⁶ Departments of Ophthalmology and Biochemistry, Boston University School of Medicine,
9	Boston, MA 02118, USA, and ⁷ INSERM, U896; Université Montpellier 1; CRLC Val d'Aurelle
10	Paul Lamarque, Montpellier, France
11	² Current address: Department of Biological Chemistry, Center for Cell Dynamics, Johns
12	Hopkins School of Medicine, 755 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD, 21205, USA
13	³ Current address: INSERM U896, IRCM, Parc Euromédecine-Val d'Aurelle, 34298 Montpellier,
14	France
15	⁴ Current address: Center for Molecular Medicine, Maine Medical Center Research Institute, 81
16	Research Drive, Scarborough, ME 04074
17	⁵ Current address: Genstruct, Inc., One Alewife Center, Cambridge, MA 02140
18	⁸ These authors contributed equally
19	
20	*Corresponding author. Mailing address: Boston University School of Medicine, Department of
21	Biochemistry K615, 72 E. Concord Street, Boston MA 02118. Phone: (617) 638-4120. FAX:
22	(617) 638-4252. E-mail: gsonensh@bu.edu.

- 1 Short title: RelB represses ERα transcription by inducing Blimp1
- 2
- 3 Word counts:
- 4 Materials and Methods: 1,592
- 5 Introduction, Results, Discussion: 3,878
- 6

1 Aberrant constitutive expression of NF-kB subunits, reported in over 90% of breast 2 cancers and multiple other malignancies, plays pivotal roles in tumorigenesis. Higher RelB 3 subunit expression was demonstrated in estrogen receptor alpha (ERa) negative breast 4 cancers vs ERa positive ones, due in part to repression of RelB synthesis by ERa signaling. 5 Notably, RelB promoted a more invasive phenotype in ER α negative cancers via induction 6 of the BCL2 gene. Here, we report that RelB reciprocally inhibits ERa synthesis in breast 7 cancer cells, which contributes to a more migratory phenotype. Specifically, RelB is shown 8 for the first time to induce expression of the zinc finger repressor protein Blimp1 (B 9 lymphocyte-induced maturation protein), the critical mediator of B and T cell 10 development, which is transcribed from the *PRDM1* gene. Blimp1 protein repressed $ER\alpha$ (ESR1) gene transcription. Commensurately, higher Blimp1/PRDM1 expression was 11 12 detected in ERa negative breast cancer cells and primary breast tumors. Induction of 13 PRDM1 gene expression was mediated by interaction of Bcl-2, localized in the 14 mitochondria, with Ras. Thus, induction of Blimp1 represents a novel mechanism whereby 15 the RelB NF-kB subunit mediates repression, specifically of ERa, thereby promoting a 16 more migratory phenotype.

- 17
- 18

19 NF- κ B is a structurally and evolutionary conserved family of dimeric transcription factors with 20 subunits having an N-terminal region of approximately 300 amino acids that shares homology 21 with the v-Rel oncoprotein (17, 44). The conserved Rel homology domain (RHD) is responsible 22 for DNA binding, dimerization, nuclear translocation, and interaction with inhibitory proteins of 23 NF- κ B (I κ Bs). Mammals express five NF- κ B members, including c-Rel, RelB, RelA (p65), p50 and p52, which can form either homo-dimers or hetero-dimers. RelB differs from the other
 members in that it only binds DNA as a hetero-dimer with either p52 or p50, and interacts only
 poorly with the inhibitory protein IκBα. In most untransformed cells, other than B lymphocytes,
 NF-κB complexes are sequestered in the cytoplasm bound to specific IκB proteins.

5 Aberrant activation of NF- κ B has been implicated in the pathogenesis of many 6 carcinomas (37). Constitutive activation of c-Rel, RelA, p50, and p52 was first detected in breast 7 cancer (9, 30, 45). RelB appeared to have more limited involvement and functioned 8 predominantly in lymphoid organs and their malignancies (25, 58). For example, Stoffel and 9 coworkers found p50/RelB complexes in mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) 10 lymphoma (46) and RelB complexes were implicated in Notch1-induced T-cell leukemia (53). 11 More recently, RelB has been implicated in carcinomas of the breast and prostate. RelB was the 12 most frequently detected NF- κ B subunit in nuclear preparations from advanced prostate cancer 13 tissue and correlated directly with Gleason score (26), suggesting an association with prostate 14 cancer progression. We observed elevated nuclear RelB levels in mouse mammary tumors driven 15 by ectopic c-Rel expression in transgenic mice or following carcinogen treatment (14, 40). More 16 recently, we demonstrated that RelB synthesis, which is mediated via synergistic transactivation 17 of the *RELB* promoter by p50/RelA NF-κB and c-Jun/Fra-2 AP-1 complexes, was selectively 18 active in estrogen receptor (ER) a negative vs positive breast cancers and led to the induction of 19 transcription of the BCL2 gene (56).

20 While studying the mechanism of the inverse correlation between RelB and ER α levels 21 in breast cancer, more recently we observed that RelB complexes robustly inhibited *ER* α (*ESR1*) 22 gene expression. Since RelB is not by itself inhibitory, we postulated that it controls the 23 expression of an intermediate negative regulatory factor that represses *ER* α gene transcription.

1 TransFac analysis identified putative binding sites for the B lymphocyte-induced maturation 2 protein (Blimp1), which is expressed from the PRDM1 gene (24) and has been reported to be 3 regulated by NF- κ B, although it is not known whether this control is exerted directly or 4 indirectly (22). The Zn finger protein Blimp1 is a repressor of transcription and has been shown 5 to function as a master regulator of development of antibody secreting B lymphocytes and more 6 recently of T cell homeostasis and function (6, 28), and specification of primordial germ cells 7 (33, 54). Blimp1 was originally identified as a silencer of *IFN-* β gene transcription (24). 8 Although the exact mechanism by which repression occurs is not fully understood, Blimp1 9 possesses DNA-binding activity and can recruit histone deacetylases, histone methyltransferases 10 and the co-repressor Groucho (19, 39, 63). Here, we demonstrate for the first time that Blimp1 11 functions as a potent repressor of ER α synthesis in breast cancer cells and is induced by 12 activation of a Bcl-2/Ras pathway by RelB.

13

14 MATERIALS AND METHODS

15 Cell culture and treatment conditions. ERa positive MCF-7, T47D, ZR-75 and BT474 16 cells, and ER α negative Hs578T and MDA-MB-231 cells were purchased from the American 17 Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) and maintained in standard culturing medium 18 as recommended by ATCC. The NF639 cell line, kindly provided by Philip Leder (Harvard 19 Medical School, Boston MA) was derived from a mammary gland tumor in a mammary tumor 20 virus (MMTV)-ERBB2 transgenic mouse and cultured as described (18). Hs578T cell lines 21 containing human pRELB-siRNA or control pRELB sense (si-Control) were established as 22 described (56) and grown in the presence of 1 µg/ml puromycin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). MCF-7 23 cell lines containing pBABE and pBABE-Bcl-2 were established by retroviral infection, as

1 described (56), and grown in the presence of 1 μ g/ml puromycin. Hs578T or NF639 cell lines 2 carrying pSM2c-sh-*BCL2* (V2HS_111806, Open Biosystems) or scrambled control were 3 established by retroviral infection, as above. MCF-7 cells containing inducible C4_{bsr}R(TO) or 4 C4_{bsr}R(TO-RelB) were established as described (56). Expression of RelB was induced with 1 5 μ g/ml doxycycline treatment for 48 h.

6

7 Plasmids and transfection analysis. A long promoter B $ER\alpha$ construct (ER α -proB) was 8 cloned via PCR from a full-length $ER\alpha$ promoter (kindly provided by Ronald Weigel, University 9 of Iowa College of Medicine, Iowa City, Iowa) using *Pfu* Hotstart Ultra with the following PCR 10 primers (restriction sites are italicized and ER α sequence is underlined):

11 KpnI-proB -3489: 5'-CGCTCGGTACCTCCCATGCTCACCAAGCC-3'

12 *HindIII*-proB -1814: 5'-CGCTCAAGCTTTCAAGCCTACCCTGCTGG-3'

13 Following amplification, the product was digested with KpnI and HindIII and subcloned into 14 pGL3Basic; sequencing confirmed that no mutations were introduced during amplification. The 15 pC4_{bsr}R(TO-RelB) construct was generated by subcloning the RelB cDNA into the Eco RI 16 restriction site of the doxycycline inducible pC4_{bsr}R(TO) retroviral vector. The Ras C186S 17 mutant and the ER α expression vector were kindly provided by Mark R. Philips (NYU School of 18 Medicine, NY) and Pierre Chambon (Strasbourg, France), respectively. The Ras-EGFP-C1 19 vector was generously provided by Wen-Luan Wendy Hsiao (Hong Kong Baptist University) 20 The Ras CA (RasV12) vector was as previously described (20). The human full-length PRDM1 21 construct in pcDNA3 was as reported (39). The TBlimp vector expressing a dominant negative 22 (dn)Blimp1 protein, containing the DNA binding domain of Blimp1 in the Vxy-puro vector, and 23 the 7 kB human *PRDM1* promoter construct in pGL3 were kindly provided by Kathryn Calame

1 (Columbia University, NY) (1, 49). pRELB sense and pRELB-siRNA containing control or si-2 *RELB* oligonucleotides in pSIREN-RetroQ vector were described previously (41). The human 3 full-length BCL2 constructs in pcDNA3 or pBabe were as reported (42). The BCL-2 mutant 4 L14G, with the indicated point mutation, was kindly provided by Tristram G. Parslow (Emory 5 University School of Medicine, Atlanta GA). The pRc/CMV-Bcl-2, pRc/CMV-Bcl-acta and 6 pRc/CMV-Bcl-nt constructs, which were kindly provided by David W. Andrews (McMaster 7 University, Hamilton, Canada), were as previously described (64). Briefly, the Bcl-acta 8 (mitochondria-localized Bcl-2 mutant) and the Bcl-nt (cytoplasm-localized Bcl-2 mutant) were 9 established by exchanging the Bcl-2 carboxy-terminal insertion sequence for an equivalent 10 sequence from *Listeria* ActA or by deletion of the Bcl-2 carboxy-terminal insertion sequence, 11 respectively. The SV40 β-galactosidase (SV40-β-gal) reporter vector was as reported (57). The 12 estrogen response element (ERE)-TK luciferase construct was as reported (18). For transfection 13 into six well or P100 plates, 3 or 10 µg total DNA was used, respectively. For transient 14 transfection, cultures were incubated for 48 h in the presence of DNA and Fugene6 (Roche 15 Diagnostics Co., Indianapolis, IN) or Geneporter2 (Gene Therapy System Inc., San Diego, CA) 16 Transfection Reagent. All transfection reporter assays were performed, in triplicate, a 17 minimum of three times. Luciferase assays were performed as described (40, 45). Co-18 transfection of the SV40-β-gal expression vector was used to normalize for transfection 19 efficiency, as described (40). *PRDM1* siRNA duplex sequences have been previously described 20 (61). Duplexes (0.8 nM final) were introduced in cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) 21 according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Isolation of membrane and cytosolic fractions. Membrane and cytosolic proteins were
 extracted using Mem-PER Eukaryotic Membrane Protein Extraction Kit (PIERCE, 89826)
 according to the manufacturer's protocol.

4

5 Immunoblot analysis, antibodies, and immunoprecipitation. Whole cells extracts (WCEs) 6 were prepared in RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 7 0.1% SDS, 1% sodium sarcosyl, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 1 8 mM dithiothreitol). Nuclear extracts were prepared as previously described (18). Immunoblotting 9 was performed as we have described (18). Antibodies against RelB (sc-226), Bcl-2 (sc-492), Ras 10 (sc-35), or Lamin B (sc-6217), were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, 11 CA). Antibody against ERa was purchased from NeoMarker (Fremont, CA). Antibodies against 12 E-cadherin, γ -catenin, and Ras (610001) used in immunoprecipitation analyses were purchased 13 from BD Transduction Lab (Franklin Lakes, NJ), and for β-actin (AC-15) from Sigma. 14 Antibodies against mouse Blimp1 (ab13700) and human Blimp1 (NB600-235) were purchased 15 from Novus Biologicals and Abcam, respectively. For immunoprecipitation, WCEs were prepared in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 0.5% 16 17 deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 10mM NaF, 4mM Na₃VO₄, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride, 10 18 μg/ml leupeptin, 10mM β-glycerophosphate) and precleared WCEs (500 μg) were incubated 19 overnight at 4°C with antibodies against Bcl-2 or Ras or the corresponding normal IgG in NETN 20 buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, plus protease and 21 phosphatase inhibitors). Immunoprecipitates were collected using protein A-Sepharose beads, 22 washed four times in NETN buffer and then subjected to immunoblotting.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA). Nuclear extracts were prepared and samples
 (5 µg) subjected to binding and EMSA as described (45). The sequences of the oligonucleotide
 used are as follows:

4 c-MYC Blimp1 site, 5'-ACAGAAAGGGAAAGGACTAGCGGATC-3'

5 Puta-1 Blimp1 site, 5'-GATCCGGAAAGGAAAGGGGATCTG-3'

6 Puta-2 Blimp1 site, 5'-GATCCAGTTGAGAAAGTGGTCAAG-3'

7 Puta-3 Blimp1 site, 5'-GATCCGCCAGAGAAAGCTGTACTG-3'

8 The Oct-1 sequence is as reported previously (45). For supershift analysis, 200 ng goat anti-9 Blimp1 antibody (Abcam) was incubated overnight with 5 μ g nuclear extract at 4°C and then 10 labeled probe was added and the mixture incubated at room temperature for 30 min, as described 11 previously (57).

12

13 Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay (ChIP). Cells were crosslinked with 1% 14 formaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min and the reaction stopped by addition of glycine to 15 a final concentration of 125 mM. After three washes in cold PBS, cell pellets were resuspended 16 in ChIP lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100 plus protease 17 inhibitor cocktail) and incubated 30 min on ice. Following sonication and centrifugation, the 18 supernatants were incubated with 2 µg salmon sperm DNA, 150 µg BSA and 50 µl protein G-19 Sepharose for 2h at 4°C and centrifuged to pre-clear. The resulting supernatants were 20 immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C with 2 µg Blimp1 antibody (ab13700) plus 50 µl protein 21 G-Sepharose and 2 µg salmon sperm DNA. Immunoprecipitates were washed sequentially for 5 22 min each time at 4°C with ChIP lysis buffer, ChIP lysis high salt buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 23 500 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100), ChIP wash buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5%

NP40, 1 mM EDTA) and twice with TE buffer. Immunoprecipitates were then eluted twice with elution buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA) at 65°C for 10 min and both input and pooled eluates were incubated overnight at 65°C to reverse the crosslinking. DNA was purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit and 1 µl from 50 µl DNA extraction was used for PCR. (Information on PCR primers and conditions are presented in Supplementary Information).

6

Reverse Transcriptase (RT)-PCR analysis. RNA, isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), was quantified by measuring A₂₆₀. RNA samples, with A₂₆₀:A₂₈₀ ratios between 1.8 and 2.0, were treated with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega Corporation, Madison WI). For RT-PCR, 1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed with Superscript RNase H-RT in the presence of 10 ng of random primers (Invitrogen). PCR for different targets was performed in a Thermal Cycler. (Information on PCR primers and conditions are presented in Supplementary Information).

14

15 **Migration assays.** Suspensions of 1 to 2.5×10^5 cells were layered, in triplicate, in the upper 16 compartments of a Transwell (Costar, Cambridge, MA) on an 8-mm diameter polycarbonate 17 filter (8 µm pore size), and incubated at 37°C for the indicated times. All migration assays were 18 performed three independent times, each in triplicate. Migration of the cells to the lower side of 19 the filter was evaluated with the acid phosphatase enzymatic assay using p-nitrophenyl 20 phosphate and OD_{410nm} determination. Assays were performed three independent times, each in 21 triplicate, and the mean ± SD are presented.

1 **Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy.** ZR 75 cells were transfected with 1 µg Ras-GFP 2 expression plasmid or pEGFP-C1 EV DNA using Fugene. At 24 h, cultures were incubated for 3 15 min in 100 nM Mitotracker (Molecular Probes), washed with PBS, and incubated in fixative 4 solution [2% Electron Microscopy (EM)-grade paraformaldehyde (EMS), PBS, 5 mM MgCl₂]. 5 Cells were blocked in PBS/2% bovine serum albumin for 30 min, and incubated in blocking 6 buffer containing Bcl-2 antibody (Santa Cruz; sc-492) for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were subsequently 7 washed in PBS and incubated in PBS/BSA containing an Alexa 647 secondary antibody 8 (Invitrogen; A2143) for 1 h, then washed in PBS and mounted in anti-fade medium (Invitrogen) 9 containing DAPI to stain nuclei. Fluorescent signals were visualized using a Zeiss LSM 510 10 200M Confocal Microscope (Thornwood, NY) using a x63 objective. Z-sections were taken at 11 an optical slice of 2 µm to determine the localization of mitotracker (red), Bcl-2 (purple) and 12 Ras-GFP (green). Confocal settings were optimized to control for signal cross-over. Detector 13 gain and amplitude offset were set to maximize the linear range without saturation and were kept 14 consistent throughout experiments. Images were stacked and composites generated and an 15 orthogonal slice analysis was performed on the composite using LSM 510 Image Software.

16

17 **RESULTS**

RelB represses synthesis of ERa. The inverse relationship between NF- κ B and ER α that typifies many breast cancer cells and tissues has previously been related to the inhibition of NF- κ B synthesis or activity by ER α signaling (23, 51, 56). Unexpectedly, we observed the reciprocal repression of ER α activity by RelB in cultures of stable clones of ER α positive MCF-7 cells that ectopically express elevated RelB levels, as described previously (56). Specifically, MCF-7 clones RELB(1) and RELB(2) showed marked reduction of estrogen response element

1 (ERE) driven reporter activity compared to control MCF-7 EV(1) and EV(2) clones, with 2 parental pcDNA3 empty vector (EV) DNA (Fig. 1A). To verify that the observed effects of RelB 3 on ERE activity were not due to clonal selection, transient transfection analysis was performed 4 using ER α positive ZR-75 cells with vectors expressing either RelB or binding partners p50 or 5 p52 alone, or in combination. RelB expression resulted in a substantial decrease in ERE driven reporter activity, which was further decreased upon co-expression of p50 or p52 (Fig. 1B). 6 7 (Similar data were obtained using transient transfection of MCF-7 cells, not shown). To verify 8 the effects of RelB on endogenous expression of ERa target genes, RNA was isolated from 9 MCF-7/RELB(1) and MCF-7/EV(1) cells. RT-PCR analysis confirmed decreased RNA levels of 10 target genes CATHEPSIN D, Retinoic Acid Receptor (RAR) α , pS2, and MTA3 in MCF-11 7/RELB(1) compared to MCF-7/EV(1) cells (Fig. 1C). Similarly, endogenous mRNA levels of 12 CATHEPSIN D and RAR α were decreased in ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells upon transient transfection 13 of RelB/p50 or RelB/p52 (Fig. 1D, upper and lower panels). To determine whether RelB 14 decreases $ER\alpha$ levels, transient transfection analysis of vectors expressing RelB in the presence 15 of either p50 or p52 was performed in ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells. Decreased endogenous levels of 16 ER α protein (Fig. 1E) and ER α mRNA (Fig. 1F) were seen with both RelB complexes. (All 17 protein and RT-PCR samples in Fig. 1E and Fig. 1F, respectively were from the same gels.) ERa 18 has two major promoters, A and B, of which the latter is predominantly used in breast cancer 19 cells. Co-transfection of RelB and p52 expression vectors with the $ER\alpha$ promoter B reporter 20 decreases its activity approximately 5-fold in MCF-7 and ZR-75 cells (Fig. 1G). Lastly, we 21 monitored the effects of RelB on endogenous ER α levels and ER α promoter B reporter activity 22 in the stable MCF-7/RELB(1) and RELB(2) clones and the control MCF-7/EV(1) and EV(2)

clones. RelB expression reduced levels of ERα protein (Fig. 1H) and RNA (Fig. 1I) as well as
 promoter activity (Fig. 1J). Thus, RelB complexes robustly inhibit ERα expression and activity.

3 **RelB induces expression of the zinc finger repressor protein Blimp1.** Since RelB has a 4 transactivation domain and has not been shown to function itself directly as an inhibitor of 5 transcription, we tested the hypothesis that it controls the expression of an intermediate negative 6 regulatory factor that represses ER α . TransFac analysis (at 80% certainty) of promoter B 7 sequences identified 3 putative binding sites for Blimp1, a master regulator of B and T cell 8 development. The Zn finger protein Blimp1, which functions as a repressor of key regulatory 9 genes in these cells, is expressed from the gene termed *PRDM1* (human) or *Blimp1* (mouse) (24). 10 Since expression of Blimp1 has not been reported in epithelial cells, we first tested for 11 endogenous Blimp1 protein in nuclear extracts of human and mouse breast cancer cells. 12 Substantial Blimp1 levels were detectable in ER α negative Hs578T human breast cancer cells, 13 and more moderate levels in ERa negative MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 2A). Very low, but 14 detectable Blimp1 levels were seen with ERa positive ZR-75, MCF-7, T47D, and BT474 human 15 breast cancer cell lines (better seen on darker exposures and see Fig. 2F below). The murine 16 NF639 Her-2/neu-driven ER α low breast cancer cell line displayed a moderate level of Blimp1 17 protein. RT-PCR confirmed the presence of PRDM1 mRNA in human breast cancer cells and 18 demonstrated that the levels of expression were much higher in ER α negative vs positive lines 19 (Fig. 2B). To test whether the inverse correlation between Blimp1 and ER α predicted by these 20 findings exists in primary human breast cancers, microarray gene expression datasets publicly 21 available at Oncomine.org were analyzed. The levels of *PRDM1* mRNA were significantly 22 higher in ERa negative vs positive breast cancers (Fig. 2C, left panel) in the Van de Vijver_Breast carcinoma microarray dataset (reporter number NM 001198) (50) ($P = 1.3e^{-6}$ by 23

Student's *t*-test), consistent with the analysis of the cell lines. Data from an additional microarray study (by Bittner: https://expo.intgen.org/expo/public/ 2005/01/15) publicly available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE2109) confirmed these findings ($P = 7.3e^{-7}$ by Student's *t*-test) (Fig. 2C, right panel). Thus, *PRDM1* gene expression occurs in patient samples and breast cancer cell lines, with higher levels in ER α negative cells, consistent with the pattern of RelB expression (56).

7 Previously, we prepared stable Hs578T transfectants expressing either RELB siRNA 8 (Hs578T RELB siRNA) or sense RELB control siRNA (Hs578T Control siRNA) (56). Analysis 9 of these cells demonstrated that knockdown of RelB leads to decreased levels of *PRDM1* mRNA 10 (Fig. 2D) and Blimp1 protein (Fig. 2E). Conversely, RelB/p52 expression in human ZR-75 and 11 MCF-7 cells, and in mouse NF639 cells effectively induced PRDM1 or Blimp1 RNA, 12 respectively (Fig. 2F) and Blimp1 protein (Fig. 2G). Furthermore, RelB increased levels of 13 Blimp1 protein (Fig. 2H) and PRDM1 RNA (Fig. 2I) in the stable MCF-7/RELB(1) and 14 RELB(2) clones, indicating functional RelB complexes can induce Blimp1 expression in ERa 15 positive or low breast cancer cells.

16 Next we sought to test whether the $ER\alpha$ promoter is indeed repressed by ectopic Blimp1 17 expression and to localize the functional Blimp1 binding element(s). An ~4-fold reduction in 18 activity of an $ER\alpha$ proB construct (Fig. 2J), which contains all three putative Blimp1 binding 19 sites, was seen with ectopic Blimp1 expression in co-transfection analysis in MCF-7 cells 20 compared to EV DNA. The three Blimp1 sites all contain the core GAAA sequence, but differ in 21 surrounding sequencing (see Materials and Methods). To identify the functional sites, 22 competition and supershift EMSA were performed using nuclear extracts of ZR-75 cells 23 ectopically expressing Blimp1 protein or control EV DNA and an oligonucleotide containing the

1 Blimp1 binding site of the c-MYC gene as probe. Putative site 2, but not sites 1 or 3, competed 2 well for binding to the c-MYC Blimp1 site (Fig. 3A). When used as a probe, the putative site 2 3 oligonucleotide effectively bound Blimp1 protein (Fig. 3B), as judged by supershift EMSA (Fig. 4 3C), confirming this site is a *bona fide* Blimp1 element. The position of this confirmed Blimp1 5 site is indicated on the map in Fig. 3D. ChIP analysis confirmed intracellular binding of Blimp1 6 following ectopic expression to the $ER\alpha$ promoter B region containing the element in MCF-7 7 cells (Fig. 3E, upper panels). Furthermore, ChIP analysis in Hs578T cells, which expressed the 8 highest levels of Blimp1, confirmed binding of endogenous Blimp1 to this $ER\alpha$ promoter B 9 region (Fig. 3E, lower panels).

10 To assess the functional role of the low endogenous levels of Blimp1 in the ER α positive 11 lines, a dominant negative form of Blimp1, termed TBlimp or dnBlimp (1), which contains only 12 the DNA binding domain, was used. Ectopic expression of the dnBlimp caused an increase in 13 ER α protein (Fig. 3F), indicating that endogenous Blimp1 represses ER α in these lines. 14 Consistently, as seen in Figure 4A below, knockdown of Blimp1 levels using an siRNA strategy 15 in NF639 cells induced ER α protein levels. Conversely, ectopic Blimp1 expression substantially 16 reduced ERa expression in ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells (Fig. 3G), indicating it can repress the 17 endogenous $ER\alpha$ gene in both lines. Blimp1 expression also led to reduced $ER\alpha$ RNA levels in 18 ZR-75 cells, while inhibition of Blimp1 activity with the dnBlimp led to their induction (Fig. 19 3H). Of note, expression of the dnBlimp ablated the decrease in ER α expression induced by 20 ectopic RelB in MCF7 cells (Fig. 3I), further implicating Blimp1 in RelB-induced 21 downregulation of ER α gene expression. Thus, Blimp1 is expressed in breast cancer cells and 22 mediates repression of ER α .

1 Blimp1 promotes a more migratory phenotype in breast cancer cells via inhibition of 2 **ERα.** In primordial germ cells, the absence of Blimp1 led to defects in cell migration (33). Given 3 our previous findings showing that $ER\alpha$ negative breast cancer cells have a more migratory 4 phenotype than ER α positive ones, we next tested whether Blimp1 regulated migration and 5 reduced expression of epithelial markers, which are required for maintenance of a non-migratory 6 phenotype. First, an siRNA was used to reduce Blimp1 levels in NF639 cells, which are highly 7 invasive and display robust Blimp1 expression. Knockdown of Blimp1 in NF639 cells decreased 8 migration (Fig. 4A, left panel) and increased expression of E-cadherin and ER α (Fig. 4A, right 9 panel). Conversely, ectopic Blimp1 expression in ERa positive ZR-75 cells, which repressed 10 ERα levels (see Fig. 3G above), substantially increased migration (Fig. 4B, left panel), and 11 commensurately decreased levels of E-cadherin and γ -catenin (Fig. 4B, right panel). We next 12 assessed the role of ER α in the ability of Blimp1 to promote a more migratory phenotype. 13 Expression of ERa prevented the increased ability of ZR-75 cells to migrate resulting from 14 Blimp1 expression (3.1-fold vs 1.1-fold) (Fig. 4C, left panel) as well as the decrease in E-15 cadherin and γ -catenin induced by Blimp1 (Fig. 4C, right panel). Lastly, dnBlimp expression 16 induced the levels of E-cadherin expression in ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells, and these increases were 17 prevented upon knockdown of ER α using siER α RNA (Fig. 4D). Together, these results 18 demonstrate Blimp1 induces a more migratory phenotype and implicate the inhibition of ER α in 19 this control.

Bcl-2 induces Blimp1 via functional interaction with Ras. Previously, we demonstrated *BCL2* is a critical RelB target that mediates the migratory phenotype of breast cancer cells (56).
For example, knockdown of Bcl-2 in Hs578T cells impaired the ability of the cells to migrate,
whereas stable ectopic expression of Bcl-2 in MCF-7 cells (MCF-7/Bcl-2 vs MCF-7/pBABE

1 stable cells) was sufficient to promote a more migratory phenotype via decreasing E-cadherin 2 levels (56). Thus, we tested the hypothesis that Bcl-2 mediates the induction of Blimp1 by RelB. 3 Knockdown of Bcl-2 with the Bcl2 shRNA in NF639 cells led to increased ERa levels (Fig 5A, 4 left panel), whereas Bcl-2 overexpression decreased ERa level in ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells (Fig. 5 5A, right panel), indicating Bcl-2 can negatively regulate ER α expression. Consistently, 6 knockdown of Bcl-2 levels led to downregulation of Blimp1 protein and Blimp1 RNA levels in 7 NF639 cells (Fig. 5B, upper panels), whereas ectopic Bcl-2 expression robustly induced their 8 levels in these cells (Fig. 5B, lower panels). Similarly Bcl-2 led to substantial increases in the 9 levels of PRDM1 RNA (Fig. 5C, upper panels) and Blimp1 protein expression (Fig. 5C, lower 10 panels) in both ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells, while ectopic BCL2 shRNA, which inhibited RelB/p52-11 mediated induction of Bcl-2 (56), prevented the induction of Blimp1 by RelB/p52 in ZR-75 cells 12 as well as the reduction of ER α levels (data not shown). Lastly, the dnBlimp1 robustly impaired 13 the previously observed ability of Bcl-2 to enhance migration of MCF-7 cells in MCF-7/Bcl-2 14 cells (Fig 5D).

15 WT Bcl-2, which has been shown to interact with and activate Ras in the mitochondria of 16 cells, leads to the induction of NF-kB and AP-1, whereas a Bcl-2 14G mutant unable to associate 17 with Ras is functionally inactive (7, 15, 35). Notably, Ohkubo and coworkers identified an AP-1 18 element upstream of the *Blimp1* promoter (34) leading us to hypothesize a role for Bcl-2/Ras 19 interaction in the activation of Blimp1. As a first test, we compared the effects of the wild type 20 and Bcl-2 14G mutant on the *PRDM1* promoter reporter in ZR-75 cells. Transfection of a vector 21 expressing WT Bcl-2 resulted in a substantial induction of the PRDM1 promoter activity in ZR-22 75 cells (mean of 3.5 ± 1.7 -fold, P = 0.05), whereas the Bcl-2 14G mutant led to a reduction in 23 activity of approximately 5-fold [mean of 0.2 ± 0.06 -fold, P = 0.002]. To assess for association

of Bcl-2 and Ras in breast cancer cell lines, WCEs were prepared from ZR-75 cells transfected with vectors expressing H-Ras and Bcl-2. Immunoprecipitation of Bcl-2 led to co-precipitation of Ras, whereas, control rabbit IgG protein did not (Fig. 6A, left panel). Furthermore, coimmunoprecipitation analysis of endogenous proteins in NF639 cell extracts similarly detected association between endogenous Ras and Bcl-2 (Fig. 6A, right panels). Confocal microscopy confirmed the partial association of transfected Ras-GFP with Bcl-2 in the mitochondria of ZR-75 cells (Fig. 6B, and see projection images in Supplementary Information, Fig. S1).

Interestingly, ectopic Bcl-2 expression led to enhanced membrane and reduced cytosolic 8 9 localization of endogenous Ras protein in ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells (Fig. 6C). To evaluate the 10 requirement for Ras membrane localization, we compared the effects on ERa levels of 11 expression of Ras WT vs a Ras C186S mutant, which is unable to localize to the membrane (5, 12 8). The Ras C186S mutant, which interacts with Bcl-2 as well as Ras WT (data not shown), was 13 unable to reduce ERa levels or to induce Blimp1 expression in contrast to findings with Ras WT 14 (Fig. 6D). Furthermore, Ras C186S prevented the reduction in ERa levels mediated by Bcl-2 15 (Fig. 6E) and activation of the *PRDM1* promoter (Fig. 6F), suggesting it functions as a dominant 16 negative variant. Lastly, we tested the role of mitochondrial localization using two Bcl-2 17 mutants: Bcl-acta, which localizes to the mitochondria, and Bcl-nt, which predominantly 18 localizes to the cytoplasm (64). Whereas Bcl-acta and Bcl-2 WT cooperated with Ras to induce 19 the *PRDM1* promoter, the Bcl-nt was unable to induce its activity (Fig. 6G), consistent with an 20 important role for co-localization in the mitochondria.

To test whether Ras signaling is sufficient to induce Blimp1 expression, constitutively active Ras was ectopically expressed in ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells; potent upregulation of Blimp1 was observed (Fig. 7A). We next assessed data publicly available on microarray gene expression

1 profiling of primary human mammary epithelial cells following transformation with various 2 genes. Consistent with the data presented above, a significant induction of PRDM1 mRNA expression was detected upon expression of activated H-Ras vs GFP ($P = 1.0e^{-7}$), but not with 3 4 E2F3, activated β -catenin, c-Myc or c-Src (2) (Fig. 7B). A significant difference was also 5 observed in PRDM1 mRNA levels between the means of the H-Ras group vs all others combined $(P = 1.7e^{-10})$. Lastly, we sought to elucidate the role of Ras on cell migration induced by Bcl-2 in 6 7 MCF-7 cells using the dominant negative function of the Ras C186S mutant. Ras C186S mutant, 8 but not Ras WT, inhibited the Bcl-2-mediated decrease in E-cadherin and ERα levels (Fig. 7C) 9 and the ability of MCF-7 cells to migrate (Fig. 7D), consistent with the effects seen above in ZR-10 75 cells (Figs. 6D-F). Together these data strongly argue that Bcl-2 association with Ras, likely 11 in the mitochondrial membrane, leads to the induction of the levels of Blimp1 and to a more 12 migratory phenotype (see scheme in Fig. 7E).

- 13
- 14

DISCUSSION

15 Our findings identify a novel mechanism whereby the RelB NF- κ B family member acts to 16 repress ER α gene expression via induction of the zinc finger protein Blimp1. Previously, we 17 demonstrated RelB induces transcription of the BCL2 gene via interaction with C/EBP binding to 18 an upstream CREB site (56) (Figure 7E). Here, we show that the association of Bcl-2 with Ras, 19 activates this proto-oncogene and leads to expression of the Zn finger repressor Blimp1. In turn, 20 Blimp1 binds to an element upstream of $ER\alpha$ promoter B, reducing promoter activity and ER α 21 levels, and thereby causing a reduction in the levels of E-cadherin and γ -catenin and a 22 commensurate increase in migratory phenotype in breast cancer cells (see scheme in Figure 7E). 23 To our knowledge, this is the first study identifying a crucial role for Blimp1 in repression of

1 $ER\alpha$ transcription and also in increased migration of cancer cells. Indeed, Blimp1 is a well-2 known zinc finger transcriptional repressor that is critical for terminal differentiation of B cells 3 into immunoglobulin-secreting plasma cells and functions by attenuating proliferation while 4 promoting the differentiated B-cell gene expression program (10). More recently, Blimp1 has 5 been implicated in T cell homeostasis (16), where it similarly represses expression of genes 6 promoting proliferation, e.g., IL-2 and Bcl-6 while enhancing IL-10 production. Interestingly, 7 studies have also indicated that Blimp1 is a critical determinant of primordial germ cells 8 differentiation (33). The absence of Blimp1 led to the formation of primordial germ cells 9 clusters, and to defects in primordial germ cells cell migration, as well as to apoptosis (33). Our 10 findings identify one mechanism for the effects of Blimp1 on migration of breast cancer cells 11 related, in part, to the downregulation of ER α gene expression. It remains to be determined 12 whether similar regulation of ER α by Blimp1 occurs in B cells and plays a role in autoimmune 13 disease. Overall, our data identify Blimp1 as a potent negative regulator of ER α expression and 14 thereby as an activator of migration of breast cancer cells.

15 RelB has been reported to activate gene transcription via several different mechanisms. RelB has 16 been shown to induce transcription of the c-Myb oncogene via releasing pausing that occurs 17 during elongation (47). The activation of the Blc, Elc, Sdf-1, and Slc promoters by RelB required 18 IKK α (4). Interestingly activation of the *dapk1*, *dapk3*, *c-flip* and *birc3* promoters by RelB can 19 be prevented by Daxx, which interacts selectively with RelB but not with RelA or c-Rel (36). In 20 addition to the BCL2 gene (56), RelB induces transcription of several other pro-survival genes, 21 including MNSOD in prostate cancer (60) and MNSOD and SURVIVIN in breast cancer (29). 22 However, RelB was unable to bind to the NF- κ B elements upstream of the *Bcl-xl* promoter and 23 led to decreased *Bcl-xl* gene expression, in contrast to the potent activation seen upon co1 expression of either c-Rel or RelA (21). In fibroblasts, RelB has also been reported to suppress 2 expression of several genes, including IL-1 α , IL-1 β and TNF α , indirectly via modulating I κ B α 3 stability (59), and to inactivate p65 via the formation of dimeric complex (27). Here, we report 4 that RelB can repress expression of the *ER* α promoter via activation of the zinc finger repressor 5 protein Blimp1. Consistent with this finding, ER α and RelB levels display an inverse pattern of 6 expression in many breast cancer cells and tissues, including inflammatory breast cancers (23, 30, 7 52, 56).

8 To our knowledge, this is the first report of a transcription factor that acts as a repressor 9 of $ER\alpha$ promoter activity. Previous work showing repression of $ER\alpha$ promoter transcription in 10 breast cancer cells implicated DNA methyltransferases and histone deacetylases in the regulation 11 (43, 62). To date, the identified transcription factors controlling $ER\alpha$ promoter activity have all 12 been shown to act as positive regulators. Fuqua, Clark and coworkers have identified SP1, USF-13 1 and ER α itself as essential factors required for full ER α gene transcription (12, 13). The 14 estrogen receptor factor (ERF-1) and the estrogen receptor promoter B associated factor 1 15 (ERBF-1) have been implicated in positive control of $ER\alpha$ promoter A and B activity, 16 respectively (11, 48). Moreover, our previous study also indicated that the Forkhead box O 17 protein 3a (FOXO3a) activates $ER\alpha$ promoter B transcription in breast cancer cells (18).

Activation of Ras via interaction with Bcl-2 has been previously reported in the context of Ras-mediated apoptosis of T cells (15, 38) and of adipocytes (31), although, the findings were somewhat contradictory. Ras-Bcl-2 interaction in T cells blocked Ras-induced apoptosis, whereas in adipocytes it led to the induction of apoptosis via inactivation of Bcl-2 pro-survival function. Bcl-2 has also been shown to interact with Raf, although the resulting effects differed depending upon the cellular conditions. In murine myeloid progenitor cells, Bcl-2 targeted Raf to

1 mitochondrial membranes allowing this kinase to protect against apoptosis by phosphorylating 2 BAD (55), whereas Taxol-induced activation of Raf was accompanied by the loss of Bcl-2 anti-3 apoptotic function in MCF-7 cells (3). Of note, we observed that a constitutively active Raf 4 could similarly induce Blimp1 expression, implicating this Ras-induced pathway in signaling 5 events (not shown). Interestingly, El-Ashry and coworkers showed that inhibition of Ras or Raf 6 activities led to re-expression of ER α in MCF-7 cells (32). Our findings that Blimp1 mediates 7 repression of ERa can explain these observations. Overall, our study indicates that RelB-8 mediated induction of Bcl-2 leads to the repression of $ER\alpha$ transcription and thus to a more 9 migratory phenotype of breast cancer cells by activating Ras.

- 10
- 11

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mark R. Philips, Pierre Chambon, Kathryn Calame, Wen-Luan Wendy Hsiao, David
W. Andrews and Tristram G. Parslow and Philip Leder for generously providing cloned DNAs
and cell lines, respectively.

These studies were supported by NIH grants P01 ES11624, R01 CA129129, R01 CA36355, R01
EY06000 and training grant T32 HL007501 and a fellowship from the American Institute for
Cancer Research with funding from the Derx Foundation.

- 18
- 19

REFERENCES

- 20 1. Angelin-Duclos, C., K. Johnson, J. Liao, K. I. Lin, and K. Calame. 2002. An interfering
- 21 form of Blimp-1 increases IgM secreting plasma cells and blocks maturation of peripheral B

22 cells. Eur J Immunol **32:**3765-75.

1	2. Bild, A. H., G. Yao, J. T. Chang, Q. Wang, A. Potti, D. Chasse, M. B. Joshi, D. Harpole,
2	J. M. Lancaster, A. Berchuck, J. A. Olson, Jr., J. R. Marks, H. K. Dressman, M. West, and
3	J. R. Nevins. 2006. Oncogenic pathway signatures in human cancers as a guide to targeted
4	therapies. Nature 439: 353-7.
5	
6	3. Blagosklonny, M. V., T. Schulte, P. Nguyen, J. Trepel, and L. M. Neckers. 1996. Taxol-
7	induced apoptosis and phosphorylation of Bcl-2 protein involves c-Raf-1 and represents a novel
8	c-Raf-1 signal transduction pathway. Cancer Res 56:1851-4.
9	
10	4. Bonizzi, G., M. Bebien, D. C. Otero, K. E. Johnson-Vroom, Y. Cao, D. Vu, A. G. Jegga,
11	B. J. Aronow, G. Ghosh, R. C. Rickert, and M. Karin. 2004. Activation of IKKalpha target
12	genes depends on recognition of specific kappaB binding sites by RelB:p52 dimers. Embo J
13	23: 4202-10.
14	
15	5. Cadwallader, K. A., H. Paterson, S. G. Macdonald, and J. F. Hancock. 1994. N-
16	terminally myristoylated Ras proteins require palmitoylation or a polybasic domain for plasma
17	membrane localization. Mol Cell Biol 14:4722-30.
18	
19	6. Calame, K. L., K. I. Lin, and C. Tunyaplin. 2003. Regulatory mechanisms that determine
20	the development and function of plasma cells. Annu Rev Immunol 21:205-30.
21	

1	7. Choi, J., K. Choi, E. N. Benveniste, S. B. Rho, Y. S. Hong, J. H. Lee, J. Kim, and K.
2	Park. 2005. Bcl-2 promotes invasion and lung metastasis by inducing matrix metalloproteinase-
3	2. Cancer Res 65: 5554-60.
4	
5	8. Choy, E., V. K. Chiu, J. Silletti, M. Feoktistov, T. Morimoto, D. Michaelson, I. E.
6	Ivanov, and M. R. Philips. 1999. Endomembrane trafficking of ras: the CAAX motif targets
7	proteins to the ER and Golgi. Cell 98:69-80.
8	
9	9. Cogswell, P. C., D. C. Guttridge, W. K. Funkhouser, and A. S. Baldwin, Jr. 2000.
10	Selective activation of NF-kappa B subunits in human breast cancer: potential roles for NF-
11	kappa B2/p52 and for Bcl-3. Oncogene 19: 1123-31.
12	
13	10. Davis, M. M. 2007. Blimp-1 over Budapest. Nat Immunol 8:445-7.
14	
15	11. deConinck, E. C., L. A. McPherson, and R. J. Weigel. 1995. Transcriptional regulation of
16	estrogen receptor in breast carcinomas. Mol Cell Biol 15:2191-6.
17	
18	12. deGraffenried, L. A., S. G. Hilsenbeck, and S. A. Fuqua. 2002. Sp1 is essential for
19	estrogen receptor alpha gene transcription. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 82:7-18.
20	
21	13. deGraffenried, L. A., T. A. Hopp, A. J. Valente, R. A. Clark, and S. A. Fuqua. 2004.
22	Regulation of the estrogen receptor alpha minimal promoter by Sp1, USF-1 and ERalpha. Breast
23	Cancer Res Treat 85:111-20.

1	
2	14. Demicco, E. G., K. T. Kavanagh, R. Romieu-Mourez, X. Wang, S. R. Shin, E.
3	Landesman-Bollag, D. C. Seldin, and G. E. Sonenshein. 2005. RelB/p52 NF-kappaB
4	complexes rescue an early delay in mammary gland development in transgenic mice with
5	targeted superrepressor IkappaB-alpha expression and promote carcinogenesis of the mammary
6	gland. Mol Cell Biol 25: 10136-47.
7	
8	15. Denis, G. V., Q. Yu, P. Ma, L. Deeds, D. V. Faller, and C. Y. Chen. 2003. Bcl-2, via its
9	BH4 domain, blocks apoptotic signaling mediated by mitochondrial Ras. J Biol Chem 278:5775-
10	85.
11	
12	16. Fink, P. J. 2006. T cells join the Blimp-1 brigade. Nat Immunol 7:445-6.
13	
14	17. Grilli, M., J. J. Chiu, and M. J. Lenardo. 1993. NF-kappa B and Rel: participants in a
15	multiform transcriptional regulatory system. Int Rev Cytol 143:1-62.
16	
17	18. Guo, S., and G. E. Sonenshein. 2004. Forkhead box transcription factor FOXO3a regulates
18	estrogen receptor alpha expression and is repressed by the Her-2/neu/phosphatidylinositol 3-
19	kinase/Akt signaling pathway. Mol Cell Biol 24:8681-90.
20	
21	19. Gyory, I., J. Wu, G. Fejer, E. Seto, and K. L. Wright. 2004. PRDI-BF1 recruits the
22	histone H3 methyltransferase G9a in transcriptional silencing. Nat Immunol 5:299-308.
23	

1	20. Jeay, S., S. Pianetti, H. M. Kagan, and G. E. Sonenshein. 2003. Lysyl oxidase inhibits ras-
2	mediated transformation by preventing activation of NF-kappa B. Mol Cell Biol 23:2251-63.
3	
4	21. Jiang, H. Y., C. Petrovas, and G. E. Sonenshein. 2002. RelB-p50 NF-kappa B complexes
5	are selectively induced by cytomegalovirus immediate-early protein 1: differential regulation of
6	Bcl-x(L) promoter activity by NF-kappa B family members. J Virol 76: 5737-47.
7	
8	22. Johnson, K., M. Shapiro-Shelef, C. Tunyaplin, and K. Calame. 2005. Regulatory events
9	in early and late B-cell differentiation. Mol Immunol 42:749-61.
10	
11	23. Kalaitzidis, D., and T. D. Gilmore. 2005. Transcription factor cross-talk: the estrogen
12	receptor and NF-kappaB. Trends Endocrinol Metab 16:46-52.
13	
14	24. Keller, A. D., and T. Maniatis. 1991. Identification and characterization of a novel
15	repressor of beta-interferon gene expression. Genes Dev 5:868-79.
16	
17	25. Lernbecher, T., U. Muller, and T. Wirth. 1993. Distinct NF-kappa B/Rel transcription
18	factors are responsible for tissue-specific and inducible gene activation. Nature 365 :767-70.
19	
20	26. Lessard, L., L. R. Begin, M. E. Gleave, A. M. Mes-Masson, and F. Saad. 2005. Nuclear
21	localisation of nuclear factor-kappaB transcription factors in prostate cancer: an
22	immunohistochemical study. Br J Cancer 93:1019-23.
23	

1	27. Marienfeld, R., M. J. May, I. Berberich, E. Serfling, S. Ghosh, and M. Neumann. 2003.
2	RelB forms transcriptionally inactive complexes with RelA/p65. J Biol Chem 278:19852-60.
3	
4	28. Martins, G. A., L. Cimmino, M. Shapiro-Shelef, M. Szabolcs, A. Herron, E.
5	Magnusdottir, and K. Calame. 2006. Transcriptional repressor Blimp-1 regulates T cell
6	homeostasis and function. Nat Immunol 7:457-65.
7	
8	29. Mineva N.D., X. W., S. Yang, H. Ying, Z-X.J. Xiao, M.F. Holick, and G.E. Sonenshein.
9	2009. Inhibition of RelB by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 promotes sensitivity of breast cancer
10	cells to radiation. J Cell Physiol in press.
11	
12	30. Nakshatri, H., P. Bhat-Nakshatri, D. A. Martin, R. J. Goulet, Jr., and G. W. Sledge, Jr.
13	1997. Constitutive activation of NF-kappaB during progression of breast cancer to hormone-
14	independent growth. Mol Cell Biol 17:3629-39.
15	
16	31. Navarro, P., A. M. Valverde, M. Benito, and M. Lorenzo. 1999. Activated Ha-ras induces
17	apoptosis by association with phosphorylated Bcl-2 in a mitogen-activated protein kinase-
18	independent manner. J Biol Chem 274:18857-63.
19	
20	32. Oh, A. S., L. A. Lorant, J. N. Holloway, D. L. Miller, F. G. Kern, and D. El-Ashry. 2001.
21	Hyperactivation of MAPK induces loss of ERalpha expression in breast cancer cells. Mol
22	Endocrinol 15:1344-59.
23	

1	33. Ohinata, Y., B. Payer, D. O'Carroll, K. Ancelin, Y. Ono, M. Sano, S. C. Barton, T.
2	Obukhanych, M. Nussenzweig, A. Tarakhovsky, M. Saitou, and M. A. Surani. 2005. Blimp1
3	is a critical determinant of the germ cell lineage in mice. Nature 436: 207-13.
4	
5	34. Ohkubo, Y., M. Arima, E. Arguni, S. Okada, K. Yamashita, S. Asari, S. Obata, A.
6	Sakamoto, M. Hatano, O. W. J, M. Ebara, H. Saisho, and T. Tokuhisa. 2005. A role for c-
7	fos/activator protein 1 in B lymphocyte terminal differentiation. J Immunol 174:7703-10.
8	
9	35. Omidvar, N., S. Kogan, S. Beurlet, C. le Pogam, A. Janin, R. West, M. E. Noguera, M.
10	Reboul, A. Soulie, C. Leboeuf, N. Setterblad, D. Felsher, E. Lagasse, A. Mohamedali, N. S.
11	Thomas, P. Fenaux, M. Fontenay, M. Pla, G. J. Mufti, I. Weissman, C. Chomienne, and R.
12	A. Padua. 2007. BCL-2 and mutant NRAS interact physically and functionally in a mouse
13	model of progressive myelodysplasia. Cancer Res 67:11657-67.
14	
15	36. Puto, L. A., and J. C. Reed. 2008. Daxx represses RelB target promoters via DNA
16	methyltransferase recruitment and DNA hypermethylation. Genes Dev 22:998-1010.
17	
18	37. Rayet, B., and C. Gelinas. 1999. Aberrant rel/nfkb genes and activity in human cancer.
19	Oncogene 18: 6938-47.
20	
21	38. Rebollo, A., D. Perez-Sala, and A. C. Martinez. 1999. Bcl-2 differentially targets K-, N-,
22	and H-Ras to mitochondria in IL-2 supplemented or deprived cells: implications in prevention of
23	apoptosis. Oncogene 18:4930-9.

2	39. Ren, B., K. J. Chee, T. H. Kim, and T. Maniatis. 1999. PRDI-BF1/Blimp-1 repression is
3	mediated by corepressors of the Groucho family of proteins. Genes Dev 13:125-37.
4	
5	40.Romieu-Mourez, R., D. W. Kim, S. M. Shin, E. G. Demicco, E. Landesman-Bollag, D. C.
6	Seldin, R. D. Cardiff, and G. E. Sonenshein. 2003. Mouse mammary tumor virus c-rel
7	transgenic mice develop mammary tumors. Mol Cell Biol 23:5738-54.
8	
9	41. Schjerven, H., T. N. Tran, P. Brandtzaeg, and F. E. Johansen. 2004. De novo synthesized
10	RelB mediates TNF-induced up-regulation of the human polymeric Ig receptor. J Immunol
11	173: 1849-57.
12	
13	42.Sentman, C. L., J. R. Shutter, D. Hockenbery, O. Kanagawa, and S. J. Korsmeyer. 1991.
14	bcl-2 inhibits multiple forms of apoptosis but not negative selection in thymocytes. Cell 67:879-
15	88.
16	
17	43. Sharma, D., J. Blum, X. Yang, N. Beaulieu, A. R. Macleod, and N. E. Davidson. 2005.
18	Release of methyl CpG binding proteins and histone deacetylase 1 from the Estrogen receptor
19	alpha (ER) promoter upon reactivation in ER-negative human breast cancer cells. Mol
20	Endocrinol 19: 1740-51.
21	
22	44. Siebenlist, U., G. Franzoso, and K. Brown. 1994. Structure, regulation and function of NF-
23	kappa B. Annu Rev Cell Biol 10:405-55.

2	45. Sovak, M. A., R. E. Bellas, D. W. Kim, G. J. Zanieski, A. E. Rogers, A. M. Traish, and
3	G. E. Sonenshein. 1997. Aberrant nuclear factor-kappaB/Rel expression and the pathogenesis of
4	breast cancer. J Clin Invest 100:2952-60.
5	
6	46. Stoffel, A., M. Chaurushiya, B. Singh, and A. J. Levine. 2004. Activation of NF-kappaB
7	and inhibition of p53-mediated apoptosis by API2/mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue 1 fusions
8	promote oncogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:9079-84.
9	
10	47. Suhasini, M., C. D. Reddy, E. P. Reddy, J. A. DiDonato, and R. B. Pilz. 1997. cAMP-
11	induced NF-kappaB (p50/relB) binding to a c-myb intronic enhancer correlates with c-myb up-
12	regulation and inhibition of erythroleukemia cell differentiation. Oncogene 15:1859-70.
13	
14	48. Tanimoto, K., H. Eguchi, T. Yoshida, K. Hajiro-Nakanishi, and S. Hayashi. 1999.
15	Regulation of estrogen receptor alpha gene mediated by promoter B responsible for its enhanced
16	expressionin human breast cancer. Nucleic Acids Res 27:903-9.
17	
18	49. Tunyaplin, C., M. A. Shapiro, and K. L. Calame. 2000. Characterization of the B
19	lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1 (Blimp-1) gene, mRNA isoforms and basal promoter.
20	Nucleic Acids Res 28:4846-55.
21	
22	50. van de Vijver, M. J., Y. D. He, L. J. van't Veer, H. Dai, A. A. Hart, D. W. Voskuil, G. J.
23	Schreiber, J. L. Peterse, C. Roberts, M. J. Marton, M. Parrish, D. Atsma, A. Witteveen, A.

1	Glas, L. Delahaye, T. van der Velde, H. Bartelink, S. Rodenhuis, E. T. Rutgers, S. H.
2	Friend, and R. Bernards. 2002. A gene-expression signature as a predictor of survival in breast
3	cancer. N Engl J Med 347: 1999-2009.
4	
5	51. Van den Eynden, G. G., I. Van der Auwera, S. Van Laere, C. G. Colpaert, P. van Dam,
6	S. Merajver, C. G. Kleer, A. L. Harris, E. A. Van Marck, L. Y. Dirix, and P. B. Vermeulen.
7	2004. Validation of a tissue microarray to study differential protein expression in inflammatory
8	and non-inflammatory breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 85:13-22.
9	
10	52. Van Laere, S. J., I. Van der Auwera, G. G. Van den Eynden, H. J. Elst, J. Weyler, A. L.
11	Harris, P. van Dam, E. A. Van Marck, P. B. Vermeulen, and L. Y. Dirix. 2006. Nuclear
12	factor-kappaB signature of inflammatory breast cancer by cDNA microarray validated by
13	quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR, immunohistochemistry, and nuclear factor-
14	kappaB DNA-binding. Clin Cancer Res 12: 3249-56.
15	
16	53. Vilimas, T., J. Mascarenhas, T. Palomero, M. Mandal, S. Buonamici, F. Meng, B.
17	Thompson, C. Spaulding, S. Macaroun, M. L. Alegre, B. L. Kee, A. Ferrando, L. Miele,
18	and I. Aifantis. 2007. Targeting the NF-kappaB signaling pathway in Notch1-induced T-cell
19	leukemia. Nat Med 13:70-7.
20	
21	54. Vincent, S. D., N. R. Dunn, R. Sciammas, M. Shapiro-Shalef, M. M. Davis, K. Calame,
22	E. K. Bikoff, and E. J. Robertson. 2005. The zinc finger transcriptional repressor

1	Blimp1/Prdm1 is dispensable for early axis formation but is required for specification of
2	primordial germ cells in the mouse. Development 132:1315-25.
3	
4	55. Wang, H. G., U. R. Rapp, and J. C. Reed. 1996. Bcl-2 targets the protein kinase Raf-1 to
5	mitochondria. Cell 87:629-38.
6	
7	56. Wang, X., K. Belguise, N. Kersual, K. H. Kirsch, N. D. Mineva, F. Galtier, D. Chalbos,
8	and G. E. Sonenshein. 2007. Oestrogen signalling inhibits invasive phenotype by repressing
9	RelB and its target BCL2. Nat Cell Biol 9:470-8.
10	
11	57. Wang, X., and G. E. Sonenshein. 2005. Induction of the RelB NF-kappaB subunit by the
12	cytomegalovirus IE1 protein is mediated via Jun kinase and c-Jun/Fra-2 AP-1 complexes. J Virol
13	79: 95-105.
14	
15	58.Weih, F., D. Carrasco, S. K. Durham, D. S. Barton, C. A. Rizzo, R. P. Ryseck, S. A. Lira,
16	and R. Bravo. 1995. Multiorgan inflammation and hematopoietic abnormalities in mice with a
17	targeted disruption of RelB, a member of the NF-kappa B/Rel family. Cell 80:331-40.
18	
19	59. Xia, Y., S. Chen, Y. Wang, N. Mackman, G. Ku, D. Lo, and L. Feng. 1999. RelB
20	modulation of IkappaBalpha stability as a mechanism of transcription suppression of interleukin-
21	1alpha (IL-1alpha), IL-1beta, and tumor necrosis factor alpha in fibroblasts. Mol Cell Biol
22	19: 7688-96.

1	60. Xu, Y., F. Fang, D. K. St Clair, S. Josson, P. Sompol, I. Spasojevic, and W. H. St Clair.
2	2007. Suppression of RelB-mediated manganese superoxide dismutase expression reveals a
3	primary mechanism for radiosensitization effect of 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3) in prostate
4	cancer cells. Mol Cancer Ther 6:2048-56.
5	
6	61. Yan, J., J. Jiang, C. A. Lim, Q. Wu, H. H. Ng, and K. C. Chin. 2007. BLIMP1 regulates
7	cell growth through repression of p53 transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:1841-6.
8	
9	62. Yan, L., S. J. Nass, D. Smith, W. G. Nelson, J. G. Herman, and N. E. Davidson. 2003.
10	Specific inhibition of DNMT1 by antisense oligonucleotides induces re-expression of estrogen
11	receptor-alpha (ER) in ER-negative human breast cancer cell lines. Cancer Biol Ther 2:552-6.
12	
13	63. Yu, J., C. Angelin-Duclos, J. Greenwood, J. Liao, and K. Calame. 2000. Transcriptional
14	repression by blimp-1 (PRDI-BF1) involves recruitment of histone deacetylase. Mol Cell Biol
15	20: 2592-603.
16	
17	64. Zhu, W., A. Cowie, G. W. Wasfy, L. Z. Penn, B. Leber, and D. W. Andrews. 1996. Bcl-2
18	mutants with restricted subcellular location reveal spatially distinct pathways for apoptosis in
19	different cell types. Embo J 15: 4130-41.
20	

Figure Legends

2	FIG. 1 RelB represses ER α synthesis in breast cancer cells. (A) Stable MCF-7 clones
3	expressing either RELB or EV DNA, isolated as described previously (56) and termed RELB(1),
4	RELB(2), EV(1), and EV(2), were transfected with 0.5 μ g ERE-TK luciferase reporter, 0.5 μ g
5	SV40- β -gal. Normalized ERE activity, set to 100% in the stable EV cells, was decreased in both
6	RelB-expressing lines (mean ± S.D. from three separate experiments). (B) ZR-75 cells were
7	transiently transfected with 0.5 μ g ERE-TK Luciferase DNA plus 0.5 μ g of the indicated NF- κ B
8	subunit expression vectors, 0.5 μ g SV40- β -gal and EV pcDNA3 DNA to a 3.0 μ g DNA total.
9	Normalized ERE activity, set to 100% in the EV-transfected cells, was reduced by RelB alone or
10	in combination with p50 or p52 (mean \pm S.D. from three separate experiments). (C) RNA,
11	isolated from EV(1) and RELB(1) stable MCF-7 clones, was subjected to RT-PCR analysis for
12	expression of ERα target genes CATHEPSIN D (CATHD), RARα, pS2, MTA3, and GAPDH, as
13	loading control. (D) ZR-75 or MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with 3 μ g each of vectors
14	expressing RelB and either p50 or p52 or 6.0 μ g of EV DNA. Isolated RNA was subjected to
15	RT-PCR analysis for RARa, CATHEPSIND (CATHD), and GAPDH. (E-F) ZR-75 or MCF-7
16	cells were transiently transfected with 3.0 μg of vectors expressing RelB, and p50 or p52 or EV
17	DNA, and WCEs and RNA isolated, which were subjected to immunoblot analysis for ER α and
18	β -actin (E), and RT-PCR for <i>ER</i> α and <i>GAPDH</i> (F), respectively. (Samples in E and F were run
19	on the same gels and the lanes brought into contiguous positions.) (G) ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells
20	were transiently transfected with 0.5 μ g of ER α proB luciferase reporter plus 0.25 μ g of vectors
21	expressing RelB and p52 or 0.5 μg EV pcDNA3 DNA, 0.5 μg SV40- β -gal and EV DNA to a 3.0
22	μ g DNA total. Normalized proB activity values are presented as the mean \pm S.D. from three

1	experiments (control EV DNA set to 1). (H-I). Protein and RNA, isolated from RELB(1),
2	RELB(2), EV(1), and EV(2) stable MCF-7 clones, were subjected to immunoblotting for
3	expression of ER α , RelB and β -actin (H), and to RT-PCR analysis for expression of ER α and
4	GAPDH (I), respectively. (J) RELB(1), RELB(2), EV(1), and EV(2) MCF7 clones were
5	transiently transfected with 0.5 μ g of ER α proB luciferase reporter, 0.5 μ g SV40- β -gal and EV
6	DNA to a 3.0 μ g DNA total. Normalized proB activity values are presented as the mean ± S.D.
7	from three experiments (control EV DNA set to 1).
8	
9	FIG. 2 RelB induces Blimp1 in breast cancer cells. (A) Nuclear extracts were isolated from
10	the indicated human and mouse breast cancer cell lines and subjected to immunoblotting for

11 Blimp1 and β -actin, which confirmed equal loading. (B) RNA, isolated from the indicated

12 human breast cancer lines, was subjected to RT-PCR for *PRDM1* for either 28 or 30 cycles (as

13 indicated) and for GAPDH levels. (C) (left panel) Box plot of data from the Van de

14 Vijver_Breast carcinoma microarray dataset (reporter number NM_001198) (50) was accessed

15 using the ONCOMINETM Cancer Profiling Database (<u>www.oncomine.org</u>) and is plotted on a

16 log scale. The dataset includes 69 ER α negative and 226 ER α positive human primary breast

17 carcinoma samples. A Student's *t*-test, performed directly through the Oncomine 3.0 software,

18 showed the difference in *PRDM1* expression between the two groups was significant ($P = 1.3e^{-1}$

⁶). (right panel) Box plot of data from the Bittner study: https://expo.intgen.org/expo/public/

20 2005/01/15) publicly available at:

21 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE2109) showed the difference in

22 *PRDM1* expression between the two groups was significant ($P = 7.3e^{-7}$). (D) RNA was isolated

23 from stable Hs578T transfectants expressing either *RELB* siRNA (Hs578T *RELB* siRNA) or

1	sense RELB (Hs578T Control siRNA) (Con) and analyzed for PRDM1 levels. (E) Nuclear
2	proteins were isolated from Hs578T RELB siRNA and Hs578T Control siRNA (Con) cells and
3	analyzed for Blimp1, Lamin B and RelB levels by immunoblotting. (F) ZR-75, MCF-7 and
4	NF639 cells were transiently transfected with 3 μ g each of RelB and p52 expression vectors or 6
5	μg of EV DNA and RNA subjected to RT-PCR for human <i>PRDM1</i> or mouse <i>Blimp1</i> RNA
6	levels. (G) ZR-75, MCF-7 and NF639 cells were transiently transfected with 3 μ g each of RelB
7	and p52 expression vectors or 6 μ g of EV DNA. Nuclear extracts (ZR-75, MCF-7; left panels)
8	and WCEs (NF639, right panels) were analyzed by immunoblotting for Blimp1 and either Lamin
9	B or β -actin, respectively. (H-I). Nuclear extracts and RNA, isolated from RELB(1), RELB(2),
10	EV(1), and EV(2) stable MCF-7 clones, were subjected to immunoblotting for expression of
11	Blimp1 and Lamin B (H), and to RT-PCR analysis for expression of <i>PRDM1</i> and <i>GAPDH</i> (I),
12	respectively. (J) MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with 0.5 μ g of ER α proB luciferase
13	reporter, 0.5 μ g SV40- β -gal and EV DNA to a 3.0 μ g DNA total. Normalized proB activity
14	values are presented as the mean \pm S.D. from three experiments (control EV DNA set to 1).
15	
16	FIG. 3 Blimp1 represses $ER\alpha$ gene expression in breast cancer cells. (A) Nuclear extracts,
17	prepared from ZR-75 cells transfected with Blimp1 expression vector, were used in competition
18	EMSA with the Blimp1 element from the c-MYC gene as probe and 50x molar excess of
19	oligonucleotides containing the c-MYC or putative $ER\alpha$ promoter Blimp1 sites 1,2 or 3 (P1 –
20	P3). (B) Nuclear extracts, prepared from ZR-75 cells transfected with EV (-) or Blimp1
21	expression vector (+) were used in EMSA with the putative $ER\alpha$ gene P1, P2 and P3 Blimp1
22	sites, as probe. (C) Nuclear extracts, prepared from ZR-75 cells transfected with Blimp1
23	expression vector were used in supershift EMSA in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 200 ng of

1	Blimp1 antibody and the putative $ER\alpha$ gene Blimp1 P2 site, as probe. (D) $ER\alpha$ promoter A and
2	B regions with confirmed Blimp1 site indicated with the element sequence given below, where
3	core is underlined. (E) ChIP analyses were performed on MCF-7 cells transiently transfected
4	with Blimp1 (top panels) or Hs578T cells (bottom panels) using anti-Blimp1 antibody or the
5	corresponding normal IgG as indicated. The DNA, extracted from the immunoprecipitates or the
6	input, were amplified by PCR using pairs of primers specific to the confirmed Blimp1 site of
7	$ER\alpha$ promoter (Blimp1 site) (left panels) or an upstream region as negative control (right
8	panels). (F) ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with 5.0 μ g Vxy-puro TBlimp
9	vector expressing the 35 kDa dnBlimp protein or EV DNA, and WCEs subjected to
10	immunoblotting for ER α , dnBlimp and β -actin. (G) ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells were transiently
11	transfected with 5.0 μ g of Blimp1 expression vector or EV DNA, and WCEs subjected to
12	immunoblotting for ER α , Blimp1 and β -actin. Densitometry indicated the ER α levels in the ZR-
13	75 and MCF-7 cells were reduced to 28.0 ± 3.4 and 73.9 ± 5.0 , respectively upon ectopic Blimp1
14	expression compared to EV DNA set at 100] (H) ZR-75 cells were transiently transfected with
15	$5.0 \ \mu g$ of vector expressing either Blimp1 or dnBlimp protein (left or right panels, respectively)
16	or the corresponding EV DNA, and RNA subjected to RT-PCR for $ER\alpha$ and $GAPDH$. (I) MCF-7
17	cells carrying an inducible C4 _{bsr} R(TO-RelB) RelB construct, plated in the absence or presence of
18	1 µg/ml doxycycline, were transiently transfected with 6.0 µg dnBlimp expression vector. After
19	48 h, WCEs were analyzed for levels of ER α , RelB, dnBlimp and β -actin.
• •	

FIG. 4 Blimp1 induces a migratory phenotype in breast cancer cells via repression of ERα.
(A) NF639 cells were transiently transfected with control *siRNA* (si-con) or si*Blimp1* RNA. (left
panel) Cells were subjected, in triplicate, to a migration assay for 4 h. Cells that migrated to the

1	lower side of the filter were quantified by spectrometric determination at OD _{410nm} . Average
2	migration from three independent experiments \pm S.D. is presented relative to si-cont (set at
3	100%) (* $P = 7.0e^{-5}$). (right panel) WCEs were subjected to immunoblotting for E-cadherin (E-
4	Cadh), ER α , Blimp1, and β -actin. (B) ZR-75 cells were transiently transfected with 6 μ g of EV
5	or Blimp1 expression vector. (left panel) Transfect ZR-75 cells were subjected, in triplicate, to a
6	migration assay for 24 h, as in part (A). $*P = 0.0007$. (right panel) WCEs were analyzed by
7	immunoblotting for Blimp1, E-cadherin, γ -catenin (γ -Caten) and β -actin. (C) ZR-75 cells were
8	transiently transfected with 6 μ g of Blimp1 expression vector or EV DNA in the absence or
9	presence of ER α expression vector (1.5 µg) or EV DNA, as indicated. (left panel) After 24 h,
10	ZR-75 cells were subjected to migration assays for 24 h, as in part (A). The enhanced migration
11	induced by Blimp1 is significantly decreased by ER α . * <i>P</i> = 0.0001. (right panel) After 48 h,
12	WCEs were prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting for E-cadherin, γ -catenin, ER α , Blimp1
13	and β -actin. (D) ZR75 and MCF7 cells were transiently transfected with dnBlimp (+) or EV
14	DNA (-). Twenty four h later, $ER\alpha$ validated Stealth TM RNAi (+) or Stealth RNAi negative
15	control (-) were introduced in cells using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent by reverse
16	transfection as previously described (56). After 24 h, WCEs were prepared and analyzed by
17	immunoblotting for E-cadherin (E-Cadh), ER α , and β -actin.

FIG. 5 Induction of Blimp1 is mediated via Bcl-2. (A) (left panels) NF639 cells were
transiently transfected with expression vectors for either control shRNA (contl shRNA) or *Bcl2*shRNA and WCEs analyzed for ERα, Bcl-2 (which confirmed effective knockdown) and β-actin
protein levels. (right panels) ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with EV or full
length Bcl-2 expression vector. WCEs were analyzed for ERα and β-actin protein levels, and for

1	Bcl-2 (which confirmed the expected changes in levels, not shown). (B) NF639 cells were
2	transiently transfected with expression vectors for either control shRNA (contl shRNA) or Bcl2
3	shRNA (upper panels) or EV or full length Bcl-2 (bottom panels). (left panels) WCEs were
4	analyzed for Blimp1 and β -actin. (right panels) RNA was subjected to RT-PCR analysis for
5	levels of <i>Blimp1</i> and <i>Gapdh</i> . (C) RNA (top panels) and nuclear extracts (bottom panels) were
6	prepared from ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells transfected with control EV DNA or vector expressing
7	Bcl-2 and subjected to RT-PCR for PRDM1 and GAPDH expression (upper panels) and Blimp1
8	and Lamin B levels (lower panels), respectively. (D) Stable mixed populations of MCF-7 cells
9	expressing Bcl-2 or pBabe (pB) DNA were transiently transfected with EV DNA (-) or vector
10	expressing dnBlimp (+). Cells were subjected, in triplicate, to a migration assay for 24 h, as in
11	Fig. 4A. The increase in migration induced by Bcl-2 is significantly reduced by dnBlimp, $P =$
12	3.8e ⁻⁷ . Western blot analysis confirmed the expression of the dnBlimp (not shown).

14 FIG. 6 Bcl-2 interaction with Ras induces Blimp1. (A) WCEs (500 µg), prepared from ZR-15 75 cells transiently transfected with Bcl-2 and Ras expression vectors (left panels) or from 16 untransfected NF639 cells (right panels), were immunoprecipitated with rabbit anti-Bcl-2 or 17 mouse anti-Ras antibodies or the corresponding normal IgG as indicated. Immunoprecipitated 18 proteins were analysed by immunobloting for Bcl-2 and Ras. WCEs (5 µg) were used as input. 19 (B) ZR75 cells, grown on coverslips in 6-well dishes, were transfected with 1 µg Ras-GFP 20 expression plasmid. Following staining with Mitotracker, cells were fixed, and subjected to 21 immunohistochemistry for Bcl-2 using an Alexa 647 labeled secondary antibody (purple 694). 22 Mitochondria are labeled in red with Mitotracker, Ras-GFP is green and nuclei are labeled with 23 DAPI stain (blue). A composite image was generated from the z-sections and an Orthoganol

1 slice analysis was performed on the composite where XZ is depicted on the horizontal and YZ on 2 the vertical axis outside the dimension of the composite. Co-localization of Ras and Bcl-2 to the 3 mitochondria is demonstrated by the overlap of signals yielding white spots. 3-D projection 4 images were made from the composites. The Inset shows a forty-five degree rotation of the 5 image of the cell, with arrows indicating areas of co-localization of Ras and Bcl-2 in the 6 mitochondria. (C) ZR-75 and MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with EV or full length 7 Bcl-2 DNA. After 48 h cytosol and membrane fractions were prepared as described in Materials 8 and Methods and subjected to immunoblotting for Ras and β -actin. Immunoblotting for VDAC, 9 a mitochondrial ion channel membrane protein, confirmed effective separation of the membrane 10 from the cytoplasmic compartment (not shown). (D) ZR-75 cells were transiently transfected 11 with EV (-) or vectors expressing Ras WT or Ras C186S mutant protein. After 48 h, WCEs and 12 nuclear extracts were analyzed for levels of ER α and β -actin (upper panels) and Blimp1 and 13 Lamin B (lower panels). (E) ZR-75 cells were transiently transfected with EV or vector 14 expressing full length Bcl-2 in the absence (-) or presence (+) of a vector expressing Ras C186S 15 mutant protein. After 48 h, WCEs were analyzed for ER α protein levels. (F) ZR-75 cells were 16 transiently transfected in triplicate, with 0.5 µg of the 7 kB human PRDM1 promoter-Luc vector, 17 0.5 µg of Bcl-2 with 0.5 µg Ras WT (Ras) or 0.5 µg Ras C186S (C186S) expression vector, 0.5 18 μ g SV40- β -gal and pcDNA3 (EV) to make a total of 3.0 μ g DNA. Luciferase and β -gal activities 19 were determined and normalized *PRDM1* promoter activity values are presented as the mean \pm 20 S.D. (control EV DNA set to 1). (G) ZR-75 cells were transiently transfected in triplicate, with 21 0.5 µg of the 7 kB human *PRDM1* promoter-Luc vector, 0.5 µg of Ras expression vector with 22 0.5 µg of either EV DNA, WT Bcl-2, Bcl-acta (mitochondria-localized Bcl-2 mutant) or Bcl-nt 23 (cytoplasm-localized Bcl-2 mutant) expression vectors (64), 0.5 µg SV40-β-gal and pRc/CMV

1 (EV) to make a total of 3.0 mg DNA. Forty-eight h after transfection, luciferase and β -gal 2 activities were determined, and normalized *PRDM1* promoter activity values are presented as the 3 mean ± S.D. from three separate experiments (control EV DNA set to 1). Using a Mann Whitney 4 test, a *P* <0.05 was seen between each condition, except for Ras + WT Bcl-2 and Ras + Bcl-acta.

5

6 FIG. 7 Induction of Blimp1 is mediated via Bcl-2 and Ras activation. (A) ZR-75 and MCF-7 7 cells were transiently transfected with EV or vector expressing constitutively active Ras (Ras 8 CA), and nuclear extracts analyzed for Blimp1 and Lamin B. (B) Box plot of data from the 9 Bild_CellLine microarray dataset of primary human mammary epithelial cells transfected with 10 the vectors expressing GFP, E2F3, β-catenin (β-cat), c-Myc, c-Src or H-Ras (reporter number 228964_at) was accessed for *PRDM1* mRNA levels using the ONCOMINETM Cancer Profiling 11 12 Database (www.oncomine.org) and is plotted on a log scale (2). A Student's t-test, performed 13 directly through the Oncomine 3.0 software, showed the difference in *PRDM1* mRNA levels between the means of the H-Ras vs all others groups combined was significant (* $P = 1.7e^{-10}$). (C-14 15 D) Stable mixed populations of MCF-7 cells expressing Bcl-2 or pBabe DNA were transiently 16 transfected with 6 µg of vectors expressing Ras WT or Ras C186S, as indicated. (C) After 48 h, 17 WCEs were prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting for E-cadherin (E-Cadh), ERa, Bcl-2 and 18 β -actin. (D) Alternatively, cells were subjected to migration assays. ANOVA shows the 19 difference between MCF-7/pBABE + EV vs MCF-7/Bcl-2 + Ras C186S was not statistically 20 significant [P = 0.84], whereas the differences between MCF-7/pBABE + EV vs MCF-7/Bcl-2 21 +EV or MCF-7/Bcl-2 + Ras WT were significant, as indicated. (E) Summary scheme. Bcl-2, 22 induced by RelB/p52 as shown previously (56), interacts with and activates Ras, apparently in 23 the mitochondrial membrane. In turn, Ras induces expression of Blimp1, which represses $ER\alpha$.



EV1 RelB1 EV2 RelB2











ΕV

Blimp1



Fig. 2 Wang et al.











D





dnBlimp:

-

Bcl-2 Bcl-2

-

+



Fig. 5



Fig. 6, Wang et al.





β-actin