Table 1. Average MSNs scalar parameters values.

	D	Hm	Q	As	$L(\mu m)$	$Ln(\mu m)$	$Lm(\mu m)$	Lp (µm)	$P_{(\mu m)}$
Real N=107	6.67(3.57)	4.57(1.67)	3.15(0.92)	0.362(0.23)	1156(668)	97(19)	15.6(10.8)	159(25)	195(29)
Model 1	6.68(6.22)	4.33(2.44)	2.95 <sub>(1.37)</sub>	0.405(0.22)	1121(1028)	111(42)	18.3(13.6)	161(32)	196(25)
N=1070	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	p<0.00	01 NS	NS	NS
Model 2	6.72 <sub>(3.66)</sub>	4.62(1.59)	3.15(0.87)	0.395 <sub>(0.2)</sub>	1146 <sub>(614)</sub>	98(22)	18.4(11)	159 <sub>(26)</sub>	197 <sub>(20)</sub>
N=1070	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Mean values (standart deviations in brackets) for real and simulated MSNs scalar parameters. Ten times more trees than in the real sample were simulated for each model.

For model 1 branching probability coefficients were  $k_b=0.088$  and  $\alpha=0.04274$ . For model 2,  $k_b=0.1563$ ,  $\alpha=0.04264$  and  $\sigma=0.78$ . The two models were simulated with 0.0002975 and 0.0203 for the  $k_t$  and a coefficients respectively for the terminating probability. Statistical difference between each model generated population and the real one was estimated with the student's t test (p values). NS: no statistically significant difference.