

Synthesis of new sulfonate and phosphonate derivatives for cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor targeting.

Audrey Jeanjean, Magali Gary-Bobo, Philippe Nirdé, Simon Leiris, Marcel Garcia, Alain Morère

► To cite this version:

Audrey Jeanjean, Magali Gary-Bobo, Philippe Nirdé, Simon Leiris, Marcel Garcia, et al.. Synthesis of new sulfonate and phosphonate derivatives for cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor targeting.. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 2008, 18 (23), pp.6240-3. 10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.09.101. inserm-00339550

HAL Id: inserm-00339550 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00339550

Submitted on 20 Nov 2008 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Synthesis of new sulfonate and phosphonate derivatives for cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor targeting

Jeanjean Audrey¹, Gary-Bobo Magali², Nirdé Philippe², Leiris Simon¹, Garcia Marcel², Morère Alain^{1*}

¹ IBMM, Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron CNRS : UMR5247, Université Montpellier I, Université Montpellier II - Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, Faculté de pharmacie 15, Avenue Charles Flahault 34060 MONTPELLIER CEDEX 2,FR

² IRCM, Institut de Recherche en Cancérologie de Montpellier INSERM : U896, Université Montpellier I, CRLCC Val d'Aurelle - Paul Lamarque, 208, rue des Apothicaires - 34298 Montpellier Cedex 5,FR

* Correspondence should be adressed to: Alain Morère <alain.morere@univ-montp2.fr>

Abstract

The cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CI-M6PR) is essential for the endocytosis of proteins bearing the mannose 6-phosphate (M6P) recognition marker. This study described the synthesis of M6P and M6S analogues presenting greater affinity for CI-M6PR than their natural compounds. Moreover, the finding of their lack of cytotoxicity for human cells and of their increased stability in human serum supports the high potential of these isosteric derivatives in therapies requiring CI-M6PR targeting.

MESH Keywords Binding, Competitive ; Glycoproteins ; metabolism ; Humans ; Lysosomes ; enzymology ; Mannosephosphates ; blood ; chemistry ; Molecular Structure ; Neoplasms ; drug therapy ; Phosphonic Acids ; blood ; chemical synthesis ; chemistry ; Receptor, IGF Type 2 ; blood ; Receptors, Cytoplasmic and Nuclear ; drug effects ; Structure-Activity Relationship ; Sulfonic Acids ; blood ; chemical synthesis ; chemistry

Author Keywords Mannose 6-phosphate analogues ; Mannose 6-sulfate analogues ; Cation independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor ; Binding affinity.

The cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CI-M6PR), a transmembrane glycoprotein of 300 kDa, plays very important roles in many biological processes 1–3. The main role of CI-M6PR is transporting and sorting those lysosomal enzymes that contain the mannose 6-phosphate (M6P) recognition marker in their structure from the trans-Golgi network to the lysosomes 4. CI-M6PR also mediates the endocytosis of extracellular ligands such as insulin-like growth factor II (IGF2) 5, retinoic acid 6 and M6P-containing proteins 7, which differ from lysosomal enzymes and are internalized through CI-M6PR transport, include Granzyme B 8; the herpes simplex virus (HSV) 9; leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), 10,11 and partially renin 12. CI-M6PR also acts on molecules that do not penetrate into cells such as the latent precursor of transforming growth factor-beta (L-TGFβ) 13 and on plasminogen/plasmin conversion 14, 15.

The phosphate moiety as well as the hydroxyl groups on the mannopyranosidic ring of M6P contribute to a hydrogen-bonding network with two binding sites of CI-M6PR 16. This ability to recognize two M6P residues allows CI-M6PR to bind lysosomal enzymes with high affinity (Kd = 10^{-9} M) 17.

Among the various proteins and lysosomal enzymes bearing the M6P signal, CI-M6PR binds particular lysosomal enzymes with high affinity, such as those of Dictyostelium discoideum, which contains in its structure the phosphodiester Man-P-OCH₃ 18 and M6S residues 19. This flexibility in the binding of various derivatives of mannose, functionalized at the 6-position with phosphate or sulfate moieties, led us to study the impact of mannose derivatives, modified at 6-position, on the binding to CI-M6PR. It has been shown that an isosteric phosphonate analogue of M6P binds with high affinity to the receptor 20, 21 while a non-isosteric phosphonate 20 or β -hydroxyphosphonates 22 are not recognized. Moreover, the flexibility of CI-M6PR with regard to the binding of C-6-modified mannose derivatives was confirmed by the biological study of a series of isosteric carboxylate analogues of M6P for subsequent use of these derivatives in such fields as healing improvement 26 or enzyme replacement therapy 23 which main limiting factor is the instability of enzyme M6P residues.

Two isosteric sulfonate analogues of M6S were synthesized. The unconjugated one (Scheme 1) was prepared starting from methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-mannopyranoside 27, which was converted to an aldehyde by Swern oxidation followed by a Wittig-Horner reaction using the anion of triethyl phosphonomethanesulfonate 28. Deprotection of the sulfonate moiety of **1** was then performed by an S_N 2 procedure 29, 30 using tetrabutylammonium iodide to lead to **2** in 81% yield. Complete debenzylation and double bond reduction of **2** was achieved by catalytic hydrogenation (H₂/Pd/C) to afford the saturated sulfonate **3**.

The conjugated sulfonate (Scheme 2) was prepared starting from methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-trimethylsilyl- α -D-mannopyranoside 31, which was directly converted to an aldehyde at 6-position in a one-step procedure 32. Compound **4** was obtained by addition of the triethyl

phosphonomethanesulfonate anion to this aldehyde. Deprotection of the sulfonate moiety was performed by treatment with tetrabutylammonium iodide, as described above. Compound **5**, thus obtained, was converted to the target unsaturated sulfonate **6** by a desilylation method using a catalytic amount of ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) in a mixture of acetonitrile and water (95/5, v/v).

The first step in the preparation of unsaturated phosphonate was performed similarly to **6** (Scheme 2). It consisted of the direct oxidation of methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-trimethylsilyl- α -D-mannopyranoside 31 in aldehyde using the Collins oxidation procedure, followed by the Wittig-Horner reaction using the anion of tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate. The phosphonate **7** 31 was then desilylated by treatment with HCl 1M to afford **8** 31 in 95% yield. The last step was the deprotection of the phosphonate moiety using the classical Rabinowitz procedure 33. The target unsaturated phosphonate **9** was obtained in 65% yield.

The binding affinities of the isosteric analogues of M6P for CI-M6PR were determined using the protocol we recently described 24. Briefly, increasing concentrations of the analogues were added to the biotinylated CI-M6PR (CI-M6PRb), which was bound to pentamannose 6-phosphate (PMP) adsorbed on a microtiter plate, in order to displace the receptor from its ligand (PMP). The remaining bound CI-M6PRb was quantified using the streptavidin/peroxidase couple and o-phenylenediamine (OPD) substrate by optical density measurements. From these experiments, dose-inhibition curves were drawn (Figure 1) and used to calculate the IC_{50} values for each isosteric analogue of M6P (Table 1). In a previous paper we demonstrated that M6S shows high affinity for CI-M6PR 25. Indeed, as it is the case with M6P itself, 2.5 mM of the M6S was demonstrated to be sufficient to displace the CI-M6PR retained on the PMP-sepharose column. This result was confirmed by determination of the binding affinity (Table 1), which was in good agreement with the literature data. Therefore, M6S bound to CI-M6PR 10-fold less well than M6P. Contrary to M6S, the sulfonates were more effectively bound to CI-M6PR. Indeed, unsaturated sulfonate 6 and saturated sulfonate 3 had affinities for CI-M6PR, respectively, 3.2-fold and 1.5-fold higher than this of M6S. The finding that compound 6 has higher affinity for CI-M6PR than 3 was not surprising. Indeed, we recently reported that the unsaturated carboxylate M6C-u displayed 2-fold higher affinity for CI-M6PR than the saturated carboxylate M6C-s 24. We assume that in both cases, the unsaturated carboxylate and sulfonate derivatives better matched the binding pocket of CI-M6PR than the saturated analogues. Therefore, in order to complete this study, we extended the comparison to phosphonate derivatives, which are well known to be good bioisosteres of phosphates 34. The binding affinity for CI-M6PR of the saturated phosphonate (M6Pn-s) isosteric analogue of M6P was found to be 1.8-fold higher than that of M6P (Table 1) and this result was in very good accordance with the literature data 21. Surprisingly, the unsaturated phosphonate 9 bound to CI-M6PR less well than the M6Pn-s (Table 1). Indeed, the binding affinity of 9 for CI-M6PR was 2-fold lower than that of M6Pn-s. However, it is noteworthy that the conjugated phosphonate 9 still binds to CI-M6PR as well as M6P itself (Table 1). This suggests that, contrary to the sulfonate and carboxylate series, the double bond in 9 leads to a partial inhibitory effect on ligand binding compared with M6Pn-s.

We constructed the analogues based on the X-Ray structure of the M6P (Fig. 2A) liganded into the CI-M6PR (PDB entry 1SZ0). The M6Pn-s displayed more hydrogen bonds with the essential residues in the binding pocket than the M6P counterpart. This better stability of the ligand might explain the better affinity of the phosphonate for the receptor. The phosphonate binding site was composed of six residues that stabilized the ligand (Figure 2B). In contrast, the sulfonate analogue **3** (Figure 2C) was associated with a marked decrease in the binding affinity, and only five residues stabilized this ligand. However, after minimization, moderate orientations of the side chains could have occurred and the direct interaction of residue Y324, which is involved in ligand stability as revealed by single amino acid substitutions 35, with mannose was missing for this analogue **3**. Taken together, these structural parameters might explain the low binding affinity of this analogue for CI-M6PR.

Since we previously showed that M6P is unstable in human serum 24, we compared the stability of the analogues **3**, **6**, **9**, M6Pn-s and M6S to M6P. After a 2 day-incubation in human serum at 37 °C the binding capacity of all analogues to CI-M6PR was maintained while the affinity of M6P decreased about 5-fold (Figure 3). This indicates that, in contrast to M6P, all the analogues tested were stable after a long exposure in human serum.

We also analysed the cytotoxicity of these analogues on human fibroblast cell line and two breast cancer cell lines, MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 (Figure 4 and 5). Increasing concentrations (up to 100μ M) of the compounds **3**, **6**, **9**, M6Pn-s and M6S were incubated for 4 days in culture medium. The growth of all cell lines was unaffected by these compounds indicating that their binding to CI-M6PR, even for a long time period, is neither cytostatic nor cytotoxic. So the replacement of phosphate by a phosphonate or sulfonate group did not cause any toxicity.

In conclusion, chemical modifications on M6P or M6S induced a gain in efficiency resulting in better binding affinity for CI-M6PR and, importantly, greater stability of these compounds in human serum. This study underlines the fact that CI-M6PR can bind a broad range of M6P analogues, and the great diversity of ligands bearing an M6P signal suggests the numerous potential therapeutic applications offered by CI-M6PR. For example, it has already been proposed that exogenous M6P could inhibit the activation of L-TGF-β 36. This prevention of TGFβ1 and TGFβ2 activation at the wound site results in markedly improved healing and less scarring 37, 38. This type of

competitive inhibition could be applied to other pathological processes that are mediated through CI-M6PR interactions, such as the activation of complex structures in plasminogen activation, growth-regulating proteins (i.e., LIF, Granzyme B) or viral proteins such as HSV glycoprotein D.

Ackowledgements:

We would like to thank Michel Gleizes for technical assitance. We also wish to thank the organisations "Vaincre les Maladies Lysosomales (VML)" for the grant assigned to Magali Gary-Bobo and "Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (ARC)" for financial support.

Footnotes:

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated to this article can be found in the online version at...

References:

- 1. Dahms NM , Hancock MK Biochim Biophys Acta. 2002; 1572: 317-
- 2. Ghosh P , Dahms NM , Kornfeld S Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2003; 4: 202-
- 3. Hawkes C , Kar S Brain Res Rev. 2004; 44: 117-
- 4. Kornfeld S Annu Rev Biochem. 1992; 61: 307-
- 5. Oka Y , Rozek LM , Czech MP J Biol Chem. 1985; 260: 9435-
- 6. Kang JX , Li Y , Leaf A Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997; 94: 13671-
- 7. Kornfeld S , Mellman I Annu Rev Cell Biol. 1989; 5: 483-
- 8. Wowk ME , Trapani JA Microbes Infect. 2004; 6: 752-
- 9. Brunetti CR , Dingwell KS , Wale C , Graham FL , Johnson DC J Virol. 1998; 72: 3330-
- 10. Blanchard F, Raher S, Duplomb L, Vusio P, Pitard V, Taupin JL, Moreau JF, Hoflack B, Minvielle S, Jacques Y, Godard A J Biol Chem. 1998; 273: 20886-
- 11. Metcalf D Stem Cells. 2003; 21: 5-
- 12. Saris JJ, van den Eijnden MM, Lamers JM, Saxena PR, Schalekamp MA, Danser AH Hypertension. 2002; 39: 573-
- 13. Yang L , Tredget EE , Ghahary A Wound Repair Regen. 2000; 8: 538-
- 14. Godar S , Horejsi V , Weidle UH , Binder BR , Hansmann C , Stockinger H Eur J Immunol. 1999; 29: 1004-
- 15. Nykjaer A, Christensen EI, Vorum H, Hager H, Petersen CM, Roigaard H, Min HY, Vilhardt F, Moller LB, Kornfeld S, Gliemann J J Cell Biol. 1998; 141: 815-
- 16. Olson LJ , Dahms NM , Kim JJ J Biol Chem. 2004; 279: 34000-
- 17. Tong PY, Gregory W, Kornfeld S J Biol Chem. 1989; 264: 7962-
- 18. Gabel CA , Costello CE , Reinhold VN , Kurz L , Kornfeld S J Biol Chem. 1984; 259: 13762-
- 19. Freeze HH Arch Biochem Biophys. 1985; 243: 690-
- 20. Vidil C , Morère A , Garcia M , Barragan V , Hamdaoui B , Rochefort H , Montero JL Eur J Org Chem. 1999; 447-
- 21. Berkowitz DB , Maiti G , Charette BD , Dreis CD , MacDonald RG Org Lett. 2004; 6: 4921-
- 22. Vidil C , Vidal S , Morère A , Garcia M , Montero J-L Eur J Org Chem. 2000; 3433-
- 23. Gary-Bobo M , Nirdé P , Jeanjean A , Morère A , Garcia M Curr Med Chem. 2007; 14: 2945-
- 24. Jeanjean A, Garcia M, Leydet A, Montero JL, Morère A Bioorg Med Chem. 2006; 14: 3575-
- 25. Vidal S, Garcia M, Montero JL, Morère A Bioorg Med Chem. 2002; 10: 4051-
- 26. Dennis PA, Rifkin DB Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991; 88: 580-
- 27. Boren HB , Eklind K , Garegg PJ , Lindberg B , Pilotti A Acta Chem Scand. 1972; 26: 4143-
- 28. Carretero JC , Demillequand M , Ghosez L Tetrahedron. 1987; 43: 5125-
- 29. Borbas A , Szabovik G , Antal Z , Herczegh P , Agocs A , Liptak A Tetrahedron Lett. 1999; 40: 3639-
- 30. Roush WR, Gwaltney SL, Cheng J, Scheidt KA, McKerrow JH, Hansell EJ Am Chem Soc. 1998; 120: 10994-
- 31. Vidil C, Vidal S, Morère A, Montero JL Phosphorus, Sulfur and Silicon and the Related Elements. 2000; 158: 125-
- 32. Mahrwald R , Theil F , Schick H , Schwarz S , Palme HJ , Weber GJ Prakt Chem. 1986; 328: 777-
- 33. Ben-Bari M, Dewynter G, Aymard C, Jei T, Montero JL Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon and the Related Elements. 1995; 105: 129-
- 34. Engel R Chem Rev. 1977; 77: 349- 367
- 35. Hancock MK, Haskins DJ, Sun G, Dahms NM J Biol Chem. 2002; 277: 11255-
- 36. Purchio AF, Cooper JA, Brunner AM, Lioubin MN, Gentry LE, Kovacina KS, Roth RA, Marquardt H J Biol Chem. 1988; 263: 14211-
- 37. Shah M, Foreman DM, Ferguson MW Lancet. 1992; 339: 213-
- 38. Shah M, Foreman DM, Ferguson MW J Cell Sci. 1994; 107: 1137-

Dose-dependent inhibition of CI-M6PR binding to PMP by M6P analogues

The binding of CI-M6PRb to PMP was inhibited by increasing concentrations of M6P, saturated (M6Pn-s) or unsaturated (9) M6-phosphonates, M6S or saturated (3) or unsaturated (6) M6-sulfonates. The data represent the mean of triplicates from a typical experiment and were confirmed in two additive experiments.



Ligand docking in the CI-M6PR ligand binding pocket

(A) Close-up view of M6P taken as a reference 16. (B, C) Close-up views of the hydrogen bonds between M6Pn-s (B) and **3** (C) and the residues Tyr 421, Gln 348, Tyr 324, Ser 386 and Arg 391.



Stability of M6P analogues in human serum

The competitive inhibition of CI-M6PRb binding to PMP by M6P and its analogues was assayed in the presence or absence of serum (0 day \pm serum). These inhibitions were considered as controls and expressed as 100% (grey). The inhibitions obtained after incubation of M6P and M6P analogues for 2 days at 37°C in the absence (white) or presence of 75 % of human serum (black) were compared to the respective controls. Values represent the mean \pm standard deviations of triplicates from a typical experiment and confirmed in two additive experiments.



Figure 4

Growth of human fibroblasts in presence of M6P or M6P analogues

Cells were treated for 4 days in absence (control) or in presence of M6P or M6P analogues as saturated or unsaturated M6-phosphonates or -sulfonates or with M6S. After treatment, cell proliferation was measured by MTT assay as described in the Supporting informations. The data represent a typical experiment and were confirmed in two additive experiments. All standard deviations from triplicate were $\leq 5\%$.



Growth of MCF7 or MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell lines in the presence of M6P analogues

The growth was evaluated in the presence of M6P analogues as described in Figure 3. The data represent a typical experiment and were confirmed in two additive experiments. All standard deviations from triplicate were $\leq 5\%$.



Scheme 1

Reagents and conditions: (a) i-(COCl)₂, DMSO, iPr₂NEt, -60°C; ii-EtO₃SCH₂PO(OEt)₂, nBuLi, -68°C, THF, 55%; (b) nBu₄NI, acetone, reflux, 15 h, 81%; (c) iv-DOWEX Na⁺, H₂O/MeOH (8/2), 1 h; v-H₂/Pd/C, EtOH/AcOEt (7/3), 4 h, 82%.



Scheme 2

Reagents and conditions: (a) i- CrO_3 /pyridine, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 1 h; ii-4: $(EtO)_2OPCH_2SO_3Et$, nBuLi, THF, -68°C, 57%; 7: $((EtO)_2OP)_2CH_2$, NaH, THF, 20°C, 30 min, 49%; (b) nBu₄NI/acetone, reflux, 15 h, 95%; (c) CAN, CH_3CN/H_2O (5/5), 2 h then DOWEX Na⁺, 4 h, 98%; (d) HCl 1 M, THF, 20°C, 10 min, 95%; (e) TMSBr, Pyridine, 2 h then DOWEX Na⁺ 5 h, 65%.



Table 1 Binding affinities for CI-M6PR.

Compds.	IC50 (M) ^a	RBA ^b
M6P	$2.3 \times 10^{-5} (\pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5})$	1.0
M6Pn-s ²⁰ , ²¹	$1.3 \times 10^{-5} (\pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5})$	1.8
9	$2.2 \times 10^{-5} (\pm 0.3 \times 10^{-5})$	1.05
M6S ²⁵	$2.3 \times 10^{-4} (\pm 0.9 \times 10^{-4})$	0.1
3	$1.5 \times 10^{-4} (\pm 0.6 \times 10^{-4})$	0.15
6	$7.1 \times 10^{-5} (\pm 1.1 \times 10^{-5})$	0.3

^{**a**} IC_{50} were obtained from 3 to 5 independent experiments (± SD). ^{**b**} Relative binding affinity = RBA= $IC_{50 \text{ (M6P)}}/IC_{50 \text{ (Analogue)}}$.