



HAL
open science

Human sat III and Drosophila hsr omega transcripts: a common paradigm for regulation of nuclear RNA processing in stressed cells.

Caroline Jolly, Subhash C. Lakhotia

► To cite this version:

Caroline Jolly, Subhash C. Lakhotia. Human sat III and Drosophila hsr omega transcripts: a common paradigm for regulation of nuclear RNA processing in stressed cells.. Nucleic Acids Research, 2006, 34 (19), pp.5508-14. 10.1093/nar/gkl711 . inserm-00334259

HAL Id: inserm-00334259

<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00334259>

Submitted on 24 Oct 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SURVEY AND SUMMARY

Human sat III and *Drosophila* hsr ω transcripts: a common paradigm for regulation of nuclear RNA processing in stressed cells

Caroline Jolly^{1,2,*} and Subhash C. Lakhotia^{3,4}

¹INSERM, U309, La Tronche, F-38700, France, ²Université Joseph Fourier—Grenoble I, Institut Albert Bonniot, Domaine de la Merci F-38700, La Tronche, France, ³Department of Zoology and ⁴Department of Molecular & Human Genetics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221 005, India

Received July 28, 2006; Revised September 8, 2006; Accepted September 11, 2006

ABSTRACT

Exposure of cells to stressful conditions elicits a highly conserved defense mechanism termed the heat shock response, resulting in the production of specialized proteins which protect the cells against the deleterious effects of stress. The heat shock response involves not only a widespread inhibition of the ongoing transcription and activation of heat shock genes, but also important changes in post-transcriptional processing. In particular, a blockade in splicing and other post-transcriptional processing has been described following stress in different organisms, together with an altered spatial distribution of the proteins involved in these activities. However, the specific mechanisms that regulate these activities under conditions of stress are little understood. Non-coding RNA molecules are increasingly known to be involved in the regulation of various activities in the cell, ranging from chromatin structure to splicing and RNA degradation. In this review, we consider two non-coding RNAs, the hsr ω transcripts in *Drosophila* and the sat III transcripts in human cells, that seem to be involved in the dynamics of RNA-processing factors in normal and/or stressed cells, and thus provide new paradigms for understanding transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulations in normal and stressed cells.

INTRODUCTION

The heat shock response is a highly conserved cellular response to a variety of stresses and principally involves a transient reprogramming of transcriptional and translational

activities (1) besides other physiological changes in cellular organization. The transcriptional reprogramming includes not only a widespread inhibition of transcription of most genes and activation of the heat shock or stress genes, but also significant changes in post-transcriptional processing. Several of the pioneering studies on heat shock response in eukaryotes established that heat and other cellular stresses blocked splicing, other post-transcriptional processing and transport of products of most of the nuclear genes that were active prior to the stress (2–4).

Post-transcriptional processing of most gene products is elaborate and requires multitudes of proteins and other factors (5), which are organized into a variety of distinct nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments (6–8). The blockage of RNA-processing events following stress affects the organization and composition of these sub-structures and functional compartments. The significance of the blockage of post-transcriptional processing following heat shock lies in the fact that many of the RNA-processing proteins may themselves be denatured or mis-folded or otherwise affected by the elevated temperature (and other cellular stresses) and thus may not function optimally and precisely. An error in RNA processing would generate a cascade of deleterious consequences for the cell and, therefore, it is a good survival strategy to block most of the routine RNA processing and translational activities during stress.

Molecular and cell biological studies, typically involving immunofluorescent staining, have documented a variety of changes in cellular sub-structures in diverse eukaryotic cells following heat shock or other stresses. Notable among these stress-induced changes are (i) aggregation and round-up of the various speckled domains like interchromatin granules or splicing speckles (9–11), omega speckles (12,13), Lamin A/C speckles (10,14,15), coiled or Cajal bodies (16,17), paraspeckles (18) or other hnRNP speckles (19) etc., (ii) release of snRNPs from the splicing speckles (9,20), (iii) formation of novel nuclear stress bodies in human cells (21–24), (iv) formation of cytoplasmic stress granules in

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +33 476549470; Fax: +33 476549595; Email: caroline.jolly@ujf-grenoble.fr

plant and several animal cell types (25–28) and (v) changes in translocation of acetylcholinesterase splice variants in neuritic cells (29,30).

Although the actual movement of several RNA-processing proteins from one compartment to another under conditions of stress (or conditions where transcription is inhibited) has been documented in many cases, the specific mechanisms that regulate and actually bring about these changes under conditions of stress are little understood. In this review, we consider two non-coding RNAs, the *hsr ω* transcripts in *Drosophila* and the sat III transcripts in human cells that appear to be involved in the dynamics of some of the RNA processing factors in normal and/or stressed cells. These non-coding transcripts seem to provide new paradigms for understanding dynamics of transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulations in normal and stressed cells.

hsr ω* transcripts and omega speckles in *Drosophila

Among the stress-induced loci in *Drosophila melanogaster*, the 93D or *hsr ω* (heat shock RNA omega) gene is unique (31). Although it produces several transcripts, it does not code for any protein. The 93D locus is conserved among *Drosophila* species. In *D.melanogaster*, it is one of the most active genes after heat exposure. This locus, however, is not *sensu stricto* a heat shock gene, since it is constitutively expressed at relatively high levels in different cell types and it is also uniquely responsive to amides like benzamide, colchicine etc. Genomic organization of the gene, rather than its base sequence, is conserved among species. The locus, which spans 10–20 kb, is composed of two small exons (~475 and 700 bp in *D.melanogaster*) separated by a 700 bp intron, and followed by a long stretch (5–15 kb) of short (280 bp in *D.melanogaster*) tandem repeat units. The *hsr ω* loci in different species of *Drosophila* share a common organization with two exons and one intron and a long stretch of tandem repeats at the 3' end of the gene. However, it is interesting that in spite of the comparable organization in different species, the base sequence of all the regions in general shows high divergence (32). In all the species of *Drosophila* examined so far, this gene produces three transcripts using alternative polyadenylation sites and splicing. A first polyadenylation site located at the 3' end of exon 2 generates a precursor nuclear RNA of ~1.9 kb termed *hsr ω -pre-c*, which by splicing of the intron gives rise to the mature 1.2 kb cytoplasmic *hsr ω -c* transcript. The third nucleus-limited transcript, the *hsr ω -n*, covers the entire length of the gene including the tandem repeats, and is thus typically larger than 10 kb. It is also polyadenylated, but curiously the intron is not spliced out. The three transcripts display distinct cellular localization, with only the short *hsr ω -c* being cytoplasmic.

A short translatable open reading frame, coding for 23–27 amino acids in different *Drosophila* species, is present in the sequence of the *hsr ω -c* transcript; however no corresponding protein has been detected (33), confirming the earlier suggestions that these transcripts are indeed non-coding (32,34,35).

Although not coding for any protein, this gene is essential not only under conditions of stress but for normal development as well. The multiple *hsr ω* transcripts are expressed in nearly all cells from embryo to adult stages in

a developmentally regulated pattern (36–38). *Hsr ω -nullosomics* mostly die at embryonic stage; the few surviving flies are weak, sterile and die within a few days of emergence. In addition, cells of *hsr ω* nullosomics fail to acquire thermo-tolerance and the organisms cannot survive at 31°C. Recent studies (M. Mallik and S. C. Lakhotia, unpublished data) using conditional and targeted over- or under-expression of the *hsr ω* transcripts further underscore the vital importance of the *hsr ω* non-coding transcripts in normal development as well as following heat shock. In agreement with this gene's highly regulated and dynamic expression in different cell types, the promoter region of *hsr ω* is rather long and includes multiple regulatory elements (37,39,40).

An interesting feature of the *hsr ω -n* transcripts is that these are present at the gene locus and within specific nuclear structures termed omega speckles, which are seen in varying numbers in different cell types (12,13). Analyses of the proteins associated with the omega speckles have suggested possible function of the *hsr ω -n* transcripts. The following proteins are known to be associated with the nucleus-limited *hsr ω -n* transcripts: (i) various hnRNPs (12,13): Hrp40 (hnRNP A) (41), Hrb87F (hnRNP A1/A2) (42), Hrb57A (hnRNP K) (43), S5 (hnRNP M, H. Saumweber, personal communication), Hrb98DE (44), etc., (ii) other nuclear RNA-binding proteins like NonA, PEP (45), Sxl (46), nuclear non-histone proteins recognized by Q14, Q16, T29, P75 antibodies (12,47), (iii) Snf (45), (iv) Hsp83 (48) and (v) Tpr (45), etc. Most of these proteins (in groups i–iii) are normally associated, in addition to their presence in the nucleoplasmic omega speckles, with many sites on chromosomes, specially those that are transcriptionally active. However, following heat shock, most of them disappear from almost all the chromosome sites, except the *hsr ω* gene site (at 93D4 band in polytene chromosomes). Interestingly, the omega speckles also disappear following heat shock and after ~30–60 min of exposure of cells to 37°C, the only site where the *hsr ω -n* and most of the above proteins are seen is the *hsr ω* gene locus (12,13).

It is believed that the omega speckles are dynamic storage sites for the various RNA-processing and related proteins from which the different proteins are released as required by the state of nuclear activities at any given moment. It seems that the very high turnover of the *hsr ω -n* transcripts (49) is related to the release of the various proteins from these storage sites. Under conditions of heat shock and other cellular stresses, which affect new transcription and processing of the transcripts, the *hsr ω -n* RNA-binding proteins are released from their chromosomal locations and are quickly sequestered by the concomitantly elevated levels of *hsr ω -n* transcripts. With increasing levels of sequestration, the omega speckles themselves coalesce, initially forming larger nucleoplasmic clusters and finally all the nuclear *hsr ω -n* RNA and the associated proteins get restricted to the *hsr ω* gene locus itself. As the cells recover from stress, the hnRNPs and other RNA processing proteins resume their chromosomal locations and at the same time the fine omega speckles also appear in the nucleoplasm (13,31). An altered organization of the omega speckles has been shown to be associated with specific phenotypes. For example, clustering of omega speckles in cyst cells in testis is associated with formation of unindividualized sperm bundles (50). Likewise,

altered organization of omega speckles and conditional over-expression of *hsr ω* also dominantly enhance the neurodegeneration caused by expression of proteins with expanded polyglutamine in developing eye imaginal disks (51).

Sat III transcripts and nSBs in human cells

No homologue of the *hsr ω* gene has been described so far in mammalian cells. However, new heat-induced non-coding transcripts, which display intriguing similarities with the *hsr ω* transcripts, have recently been described in human cells. These are transcribed from satellite III repeated sequences, present mainly in the pericentromeric region of human chromosome 9 (9q12 region), described as one of the largest heterochromatic blocks of the genome (23,52). The sat III transcripts are not expressed constitutively, at least in the different cell types examined so far. However, it has been shown that a conditional loss-of-function mutation of Dicer in chicken DT40-derived hybrid cells containing human chromosome 21, leads to an accumulation of transcripts from both centromeric α -satellite and pericentromeric satellite III human sequences, suggesting that these sequences may be normally expressed but barely detectable due to their very rapid degradation by Dicer (53). The expression of sat III sequences is strongly induced upon heat shock (23). They are transcribed by the RNA polymerase II, and this transcription is strictly dependent on the heat shock transcription factor HSF1 (23). The sat III transcripts are polyadenylated (52), but their size is not definitively known. Indeed, while the group of Claire Vourc'h found very large sat III transcripts (23), Giuseppe Biamonti's group reported smaller transcripts of 2–5 kb size (52). Because of its repeated nature, the genomic organization of the 9q12 locus remains unknown. In a recent work, some of the sat III transcripts have been cloned, and they appear to contain numerous repetitions of the GGAAT motif typical of the satellite III repeats (54).

The sat III transcripts remain associated with the 9q12 locus after synthesis, even after transcription is arrested (23). These sites of accumulation of sat III transcripts are visible at the light microscopic level, and appear in heat-shocked cells as large nuclear foci, each one being associated with a 9q12 locus. These structures were first described as massive accumulation sites of HSF1, appearing transiently during heat exposure (21), and were recently renamed as nuclear stress bodies or nSBs (55). Like the *hsr ω* transcripts, several, but not all, splicing factors and hnRNPs associate with sat III transcripts in the nSBs (Table 1). Heat shock induces the accumulation of these proteins within nSBs and this relocalization is strictly dependent on the presence of sat III transcripts (56,57) similar to the restriction of hnRNPs to the *hsr ω* locus in heat shocked cells being dependent upon the presence of the *hsr ω -n* transcripts (13).

One suggested possible function of sat III transcripts is a role in chromatin structure (23,55). This hypothesis is mainly based on the fact that several non-coding RNAs are now recognized as major players in establishing specific chromatin structure. For instance, small RNAs are involved in the initiation of heterochromatin formation at centromeric regions, while the nucleus-restricted, non-coding Xist transcripts

appear essential for the establishment of the heterochromatic state of the inactive X chromosome (58). Interestingly, the 9q12 locus appears to have a particular chromatin structure, displaying features of both heterochromatin and euchromatin. Indeed, despite the highly repeated nature of this chromosomal region, epigenetic marks typical of heterochromatin are not detected at this locus (23,52). In addition, separation of nuclease digested chromatin fragments on sucrose gradient has shown that the 9q12 contains both compact and open chromatin fibers, representative of heterochromatin and euchromatin, respectively (59). It may also be noted in this context that the 9q12 is a region of the genome sensitive to DNA breakage and chromosome rearrangements in tumor cells, for example. One can thus imagine a role for these transcripts in the maintenance of the particular chromatin structure of the 9q12 locus during stress, as has been shown for other tandem repeats (60), or in protecting the region against stress-induced damage. In this hypothesis, the various splicing factors and hnRNPs associated with the nSBs would be involved in the processing of sat III transcripts.

Sat III transcripts as functional analogues of *hsr ω* transcripts

The sat III and *hsr ω -n* transcripts display many interesting similarities (Table 1). Besides their repeated and non-coding nature, both transcripts massively accumulate at the site of synthesis during stress and associate with a variety of RNA-processing factors, several of which are common. This suggests that these transcripts may perform comparable functions. A tempting hypothesis is that formation of the nSBs and the clustering of omega speckles at the *hsr ω* gene locus following stress reflect similar roles in sequestering key regulatory factors involved in transcriptional and splicing events. Stress exposure, particularly heat shock, is well known to inhibit transcription, transcript maturation and transport (2,3). Association of several splicing factors and other RNA-processing proteins with nSBs and the *hsr ω* locus (see Table 1) is significant in the context of this inhibition. The inhibition of synthesis, processing and transport of the various non-heat shock nuclear transcripts during stress may be related, at least in part, to the sequestration of the various RNA-binding proteins and/or transcription factors within nSBs or at the *hsr ω* locus.

This interpretation finds support in the observed alternative splicing of some viral transcripts (52) or of some endogenous transcripts in heat shocked HeLa cells (A. Metz, C. Vourc'h and C. Jolly, unpublished data). It is known that alternative splicing is regulated, at least in part, by two classes of factors with opposite functions, namely the SR proteins and the hnRNPs (61–63). As noted above, during heat stress, only certain, but not all, splicing factors are retained within nSBs or at the *hsr ω* locus (see Table 1). For example, members of the SR family such as SF2/ASF or SRp30c are retained in nSBs while hnRNPs such as the hnRNP A1 are not (24), although HAP1, an hnRNP A1 associated protein, accumulates in the nSBs (64). Such a differential sequestering of the processing factors is likely to not only largely inhibit RNA processing in general in stressed cells but may also be responsible for a modification in the alternative splicing pattern of some transcripts as noted above. It is likely that

Table 1. Comparison of *hsr ω* and human sat III transcripts and their associated proteins

Feature	<i>hsrω</i> locus/ <i>hsrω-n</i> transcripts	9q12 locus/sat III transcripts/nSBs
Nature of base sequence	5' unique sequence followed by long stretch (>5 kb) of short tandem repeats at the 3' end (31)	Size and specific sequence not known but transcripts contain repetitions of the 158 bp sat III consensus sequence (82)
Intranuclear distribution of transcripts	At the site of transcription and in varying numbers of nucleoplasmic omega speckles in unstressed cells but in stressed cells, mostly at the site of transcription (12,13)	Transcripts apparently absent in unstressed cells but in stressed cells, exclusively at the site of transcription in form of nuclear stress bodies (23,52)
Acetylated core histones	Not known	Yes (23)
Methylated H3 K9	Not known	Not present (52)
HP1	Not known	Not present (23)
Transcription by	RNA pol II (83)	RNA pol II (23)
Proteins associated with the transcripts/nuclear structures		
hnRNPs	Yes (12,13)	The hnRNP M and the hnRNP A1-associated protein HAP are present in the nSBs (57), but not the hnRNPA1, A2, C1/C2, I and U (64). Association with the sat III transcripts is not known
HSF	Binds the <i>hsrω</i> locus transiently after heat shock (84)	Yes for HSF1 (21), HSF2 (85) and HSF4b (86). No evidence that any of these factors is associated with the sat III transcripts
RNA pol II	Present at the site of transcription; presence in omega speckles not known	Present in the nSBs in addition to thousands remaining nuclear foci (23)
Hsp90	Yes in heat shocked cells at the site of transcription (48) and to some extent also in the omega speckles (R. Fatima and S. C. Lakhotia, unpublished data)	Not known
SWI/Snf	Yes (45)	Not known
CBP	Present in stressed cells at the site of transcription (87); binding to <i>hsrω-n</i> transcripts not known	Present in nSBs in addition to a diffuse population in the nucleoplasm (23)
Sam68	Not known	Present in the nSBs (24)
Srp30c	Not known	Present in the nSBs (24)
SF2/ASF	Not known	Present in the nSBs (24) and associated to sat III transcripts (56,57)
C2PA	Not known	Yes (88)
Simplekin	Not known	Yes (89)
SC35	Not present	Not present (24)
Hsp70	Reported to be present at the <i>hsrω</i> locus after heat shock in <i>D.hydei</i> but not in <i>D.melanogaster</i> (48)	Not present (23,85)
snRNPs	Not present in omega speckles or at the <i>hsrω</i> locus (13)	Not detected in the nSBs but associated with the sat III transcripts (56)
Sxl	Present in omega speckles and exclusively at the <i>hsrω</i> locus after heat shock (46)	Not applicable
Bx34C (Tpr)	Present after heat shock at the site (45)	Not known
Nona, PEP	Present after heat shock at the site (45)	Not known

the retention of some of the RNA processing factors within specific nuclear structures during heat shock is part of the cellular strategy to modulate splicing patterns, to restrain splicing factors from action under non-physiological conditions and to safeguard the splicing machinery components themselves from heat/stress-induced damage. In addition to a role in regulating the availability of transcription and splicing factors, these sites of accumulation could also serve as storage sites for active factors and/or as places where the different proteins are post-translationally modified and recycled. For example, it is well known that the activity of at least certain splicing factors is regulated by phosphorylation (65,66), and one can thus imagine that certain steps in the regulation of the phosphorylation status occurs within the nSBs or the omega speckles.

It is interesting that the various nuclear speckled domains, which are distinguished by their structure, their proteome and their distinct roles in RNA processing, show comparable aggregation following stress (10,12,14,16–18). Under normal cellular conditions, the speckled domains are believed to represent storage sites for the different components of RNA synthesis and processing. It is logical to presume that the

conditions, which block these activities, would necessitate greater and more stringent conditions for storage of these components. Apparently, aggregation of the individual speckles provides a physical basis for this.

An open question for the moment is how *hsr ω* and sat III transcripts are retained at the site of transcription in stressed cells? This may occur via interaction with protein complexes, in particular those involving RNA-binding proteins such as splicing factors. However, one could also imagine that a physical interaction exists between the sat III transcripts and DNA in the corresponding gene loci, perhaps in the form of triplex structures. Indeed, sat III transcripts are very stable and remain associated with the 9q12 within nSBs for long recovery times, even after transcription has ceased and all transcription factors have been released. The only factors still present within nSBs at these particular time points are RNA-binding proteins, and it is unlikely that these proteins could participate in the anchoring of nSBs onto chromatin.

Why is it a good strategy for the stressed cell to sequester certain proteins in specific nuclear structures? From a kinetic and energetic point of view, it seems beneficial to relocate

and retain proteins in a particular place, rather than to degrade them when transiently not in use. Indeed, the later solution may actually lead to a saturation of the degradation machinery in stressed cells, which are already loaded with stress-induced mis-folded proteins awaiting degradation. In addition, once the environmental conditions become normal, the different functions need to be rapidly recovered. A rapid release of the sequestered proteins would facilitate this recovery without any requirement of *de novo* transcription and protein synthesis. Since transcripts like *hsr ω* are extremely rapidly produced in response to changes in the environment in the cell, they can effectively provide a dynamic sink for proteins that need to be transiently withdrawn from active compartment. This rapid response is another attractive feature of the RNAs that function as regulatory molecules.

Several examples are known where specific RNA molecules bind with specific proteins and thereby regulate their activities through sequestration. The transcripts of the mutated DMPK gene, responsible for myotonic dystrophy of types 1 and 2 (67,68) contain numerous extra-CUG repeats; these transcripts remain in the nucleus and accumulate as foci. Interestingly, specific splicing factors of the muscleblind (MBNL) family, which display a high affinity for CUG repeats, are sequestered within these transcript foci, thereby altering the splicing pattern of several other transcripts and cumulatively these lead to the disease phenotype (69).

This hypothesis can be extended further to a model of regulation of transcription factor activity via association with particular chromatin loci. Indeed, similar to the stress-induced accumulation of HSF1 on the 9q12 locus, several transcription factors have been shown to accumulate under certain circumstances on specific heterochromatic regions, through a direct DNA-protein interaction with repeated sequences. This is the case for example for the C/EBP proteins during adipocyte differentiation in mouse (70,71) or for the GAGA factor in *Drosophila* (72,73). Thus the accumulation of certain key regulatory factors within nSBs or at the *hsr ω* site in stressed cells also appear to represent a way to regulate their availability and their function at particular time points of cell life.

Functional analogues of sat III and *hsr ω* transcripts in other species?

What about other species? All species of the genus *Drosophila* that have been examined have a functional homolog of the *hsr ω* locus (32,34,74). A bioinformatics analysis of the *hsr ω* homologues in recently sequenced genomes of several species of *Drosophila* reveals remarkable similarities in the locations of the ORF-omega, the 5' and 3' splice sites, although the base sequence itself shows high variability (E. Mutt and S. C. Lakhotia, unpublished data). In view of the high sequence divergence at this locus in different species, it is suggested that the structure of the transcripts rather than their sequence is conserved. One of the major heat shock puffs in *Chironomus* genus also produces non-coding transcripts reminiscent of *Drosophila* *hsr ω* transcripts (48). In mammalian cells, a functional analog of sat III and/or *hsr ω* transcripts may be expected to exist, even though nSBs have only been observed in human cells so far. In monkey cells, focal concentration sites of HSF1

are also observed in the nucleus of heat-shocked cells, strongly suggesting the existence of similar structures in these species (C. Jolly and R. I. Morimoto, unpublished data). It is interesting to note that the expression of several non-coding transcripts is induced by stress. In mouse for example, heat shock induces the transcription of SINE B2 repetitive elements (75). Stress also induces an increase in Alu and SINE transcription in human, mouse, rabbit and silkworm (75–79). However, unlike *hsr ω* and sat III transcripts, these stress-induced repeated transcripts do not display a particular nuclear focal localization. Nevertheless, they can have comparable functions in titrating key regulatory factors to control their activity. This is the case for example for the stress-induced B2 transcripts in mouse, which interact directly with RNA polymerase II to inhibit transcription during heat exposure (80,81).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The acts of transcription and post-transcriptional processing of nascent RNA involve myriads of proteins in specific combinations to produce the highly regulated transcriptome unique to a given cell at a given time. These highly dynamic processes obviously necessitate the existence of specific and dynamic systems that can precisely withdraw or release the given sub-set of the protein factors for a particular act. It appears that the sat III and the *hsr ω* -n transcripts are but two examples of a large variety of non-coding RNA species that actually exist in different cell types to provide dynamic systems to sequester and release specific protein factors in normal and/or stressed cells. It is likely that specific non-coding RNA species act as platforms for the association of specific proteomes with each of the various nuclear sub-structures. A proactive search for the existence of such RNA species and detailed characterization of their nature and regulation will help us understand how cells can rapidly adapt to the ever-changing internal and external environments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr Claire Vourc'h for critical reading of the manuscript. Research on the *hsr ω* locus in S.C.L.'s laboratory has been supported by research grants from the Department of Science & Technology, Government of India, New Delhi. C.J. is a member of the laboratory of Dr Vourc'h, who is granted by the French Ministère de la Recherche, the Région Rhône-Alpes, and the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (grant 3449). Funding to pay the Open Access publication charges for this article was provided by the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Schlesinger, M.J., Ashburner, M. and Tissières, A. (eds) *Heat Shock Proteins: From Bacteria to Man*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Bond, U. (1988) Heat shock but not other stress inducers leads to the disruption of a sub-set of snRNPs and inhibition of *in vitro* splicing in HeLa cells. *EMBO J.*, 7, 3509–3518. Erratum in *EMBO J.*, 7, 4020.

3. Yost, H.J. and Lindquist, S. (1986) RNA splicing is interrupted by heat shock and is rescued by heat shock proteins synthesis. *Cell*, **45**, 185–193.
4. Bond, U. (2006) Stressed out! Effects of environmental stress on mRNA metabolism. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, **6**, 160–170.
5. Matlin, A.J., Clark, F. and Smith, C.W. (2005) Understanding alternative splicing: towards a cellular code. *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, **6**, 386–398.
6. Dunder, M. and Misteli, T. (2001) Functional architecture in the cell nucleus. *Biochem. J.*, **356**, 297–310.
7. Lamond, A.I. and Spector, D.L. (2003) Nuclear speckles: a model for nuclear organelles. *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, **4**, 605–612.
8. Misteli, T. (2005) Concepts in nuclear architecture. *Bioessays*, **27**, 477–487.
9. Spector, D.L., Fu, X.D. and Maniatis, T. (1991) Associations between distinct pre-mRNA splicing components and the cell nucleus. *EMBO J.*, **10**, 3467–3481.
10. Mintz, P.J., Patterson, S.D., Neuwald, A.F., Spahr, C.S. and Spector, D.L. (1999) Purification and biochemical characterization of interchromatin granule clusters. *EMBO J.*, **18**, 4308–4320.
11. Engelsman, J., Bennink, E.J., Doerwald, L., Onnekink, C., Wunderink, L., Andley, U.P., Kato, K., de Jong, W.W. and Boelens, W.C. (2004) Mimicking phosphorylation of the small heat-shock protein α B-crystallin recruits the F-box protein FBX4 to nuclear SC35 speckle. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **271**, 4195–4203.
12. Lakhotia, S.C., Ray, P., Rajendra, T.K. and Prasanth, K.V. (1999) The noncoding transcripts of hsr-omega gene in *Drosophila*: Do they regulate trafficking and availability of nuclear RNA processing factors? *Curr. Sci.*, **77**, 553–563.
13. Prasanth, K.V., Rajendra, T.K., Lal, A.K. and Lakhotia, S.C. (2000) Omega speckles—A novel class of nuclear speckles containing hnRNPs associated with noncoding hsr-omega RNA in *Drosophila*. *J. Cell Sci.*, **113**, 3485–3497.
14. Jagatheesan, G., Thanumalayan, S., Muralikrishna, B., Rangaraj, N., Karande, A.A. and Parnaik, V.K. (1999) Colocalization of intranuclear lamin foci with RNA splicing factors. *J. Cell Sci.*, **112**, 4651–4661.
15. Adhikari, A.S., Sridhar Rao, K., Rangaraj, N., Parnaik, V.K. and Rao, C.M. (2004) Heat stress-induced localization of small heat shock proteins in mouse myoblasts: intranuclear laminA/C speckles as target for α B-crystallin and Hsp25. *Exp. Cell Res.*, **299**, 393–403.
16. Carmo-Fonseca, M., Pepperkok, R., Carvalho, M.T. and Lamond, A.I. (1992) Transcription-dependent colocalization of the U1, U2, U4/U6, and U5 snRNPs in coiled bodies. *J. Cell Biol.*, **117**, 1–14.
17. Handwerker, K.E., Wu, Z., Murphy, C. and Gall, J.G. (2002) Heat shock induces mini-Cajal bodies in the *Xenopus* germinal vesicle. *J. Cell Sci.*, **115**, 2011–2020.
18. Fox, A.H., WahLam, Y., Leung, A.K.L., Lyon, C.E., Andersen, J., Mann, M. and Lamond, A.I. (2004) Paraspeckles: a novel nuclear domain. *Curr. Biol.*, **12**, 13–25.
19. Gattoni, R., Mahe, D., Mahl, P., Fischer, N., Mattei, M.-G., Stevenin, J. and Fuchs, J.P. (1996) The human hnRNP-M proteins: structure and relation with early heat shock-induced splicing arrest and chromosome mapping. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **24**, 2535–2542.
20. Jolly, C. and Morimoto, R.I. (1999) Stress and the cell nucleus: Dynamics of gene expression and structural reorganization. *Gene Expr.*, **7**, 261–270.
21. Sarge, K.D., Murphy, S.P. and Morimoto, R.I. (1993) Activation of heat shock gene transcription by heat shock factor 1 involves oligomerization, acquisition of DNA-binding activity, and nuclear localization and can occur in the absence of stress. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **13**, 1392–1407.
22. Jolly, C., Usson, Y. and Morimoto, R.I. (1999) Rapid and reversible relocation of heat shock factor 1 within seconds to nuclear stress granules. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **96**, 6769–6774.
23. Jolly, C., Metz, A., Govin, J., Vigneron, M., Turner, B.M., Khochbin, S. and Vourc'h, C. (2004) Stress-induced transcription of satellite III repeats. *J. Cell Biol.*, **164**, 25–33.
24. Denegri, M., Chioldi, I., Corioni, M., Cobiauchi, F., Riva, S. and Biamonti, G. (2001) Stress-induced nuclear bodies are sites of accumulation of pre-mRNA processing factors. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **12**, 3502–3514.
25. Anderson, P. and Kedersha, N. (2006) RNA granules. *J. Cell Biol.*, **172**, 803–808.
26. Kim, W.J., Back, S.H., Kim, V., Ryu, I. and Jang, S.K. (2005) Sequestration of TRAF2 into stress granules interrupts tumor necrosis factor signaling under stress conditions. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **25**, 2450–2462.
27. Scharf, K.D., Heider, H., Hohfled, I., Lyck, R., Schmidt, E. and Nover, L. (1998) The tomato Hsf system: HsfA2 needs interaction with HsfA1 for efficient nuclear import and may be localized in cytoplasmic heat stress granules. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **18**, 2240–2251.
28. Thomas, M.G., Martinez Tosar, L.J., Loschi, M., Pasquini, J.M., Correale, J., Kindler, S. and Boccaccio, G.L. (2005) Staufen recruitment into stress granules does not affect early mRNA transport in oligodendrocytes. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **16**, 405–420.
29. Meshorer, E., Erb, C., Gazit, R., Pavlovsky, L., Kaufer, D., Friedman, A., Glick, D., Ben-Arie, N. and Soreq, E. (2002) Alternative splicing and neuritic mRNA translocation under long-term neuronal hypersensitivity. *Science*, **295**, 508–512.
30. Meshorer, E., Bryk, B., Toiber, D., Cohen, J., Podoly, E., Dori, A. and Soreq, H. (2005) SC35 promotes sustainable stress-induced alternative splicing of neuronal acetylcholinesterase mRNA. *Mol. Psychiatry*, **10**, 985–997.
31. Lakhotia, S.C. (2003) The non coding developmentally active and stress inducible hsrw gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* integrates post-transcriptional processing of other nuclear transcripts. In Barciszewski, J. and Erdmann, V.A. (eds), *Noncoding RNAs: Molecular Biology and Molecular Medicine*. New York, USA: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, pp. 202–219.
32. Garbe, J.C., Bendena, W.G., Alfano, M. and Pardue, M.L. (1986) A *Drosophila* heat shock locus with a rapidly diverging sequence but a conserved structure. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **261**, 16889–16894.
33. Fini, M.E., Bendena, W.G. and Pardue, M.L. (1989) Unusual behavior of the cytoplasmic transcript of hsr omega: an abundant, stress-inducible RNA that is translated but yields no detectable protein product. *J. Cell Biol.*, **108**, 2045–2057.
34. Lakhotia, S.C. and Singh, A.K. (1982) Conservation of the 93D puff of *D. melanogaster* in different species of *Drosophila*. *Chromosoma*, **86**, 265–278.
35. Ryseck, R.P., Walldorf, U. and Hovemann, B. (1985) Two major RNA products are transcribed from heat-shock locus 93D of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chromosoma*, **93**, 17–20.
36. Bendena, W.G., Ayme-Southgate, A., Garbe, J.C. and Pardue, M.L. (1991) Expression of heat-shock locus hsr-omega in nonstressed cells during development in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Dev. Biol.*, **144**, 65–77.
37. Mutsuddi, M. and Lakhotia, S.C. (1995) Spatial expression of the hsr-omega (93D) gene in different tissues of *Drosophila melanogaster* and identification of promoter elements controlling its developmental expression. *Dev. Genet.*, **17**, 303–311.
38. Lakhotia, S.C., Rajendra, T. and Prasanth, K.V. (2001) Developmental regulation and complex organization of the promoter of the noncoding hsr ω gene of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Biosci.*, **26**, 25–38.
39. Lakhotia, S.C. and Mutsuddi, M. (1996) Heat shock but not benzamide and colchicine response elements are present within the -844 bp upstream region of the hsr ω gene of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Biosci.*, **21**, 235–246.
40. Lakhotia, S.C. and Tapadia, M. (1998) Genetic mapping of the amide response element(s) of the hsr ω locus of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chromosoma*, **107**, 127–135.
41. Hovemann, B.T., Reim, I., Werner, S., Katz, S. and Saumweber, H. (2000) The protein Hrb57A of *Drosophila melanogaster* closely related to hnRNP K from vertebrates is present at sites active in transcription and coprecipitates with four RNA-binding proteins. *Gene*, **245**, 127–137.
42. Hovemann, B.T., Dessen, E., Mechler, H. and Mack, E. (1991) *Drosophila* snRNP associated protein P11 which specifically binds to heat shock puff 93D reveals strong homology with hnRNP core protein A1. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **19**, 4909–4914.
43. Buchenau, P., Saumweber, H. and Arndt-Jovin, D.J. (1997) The dynamic redistribution of an hnRNP K-homologous protein during *Drosophila* embryo development and heat shock. Flexibility of transcription sites *in vivo*. *J. Cell Biol.*, **137**, 291–303.
44. Zu, K., Sikes, M.L., Haynes, S.R. and Beyer, A.L. (1996) Altered levels of the *Drosophila* HRB87F/hrp36 hnRNP protein have limited effects on alternative splicing *in vivo*. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **7**, 1059–1073.

45. Zimowska, G. and Paddy, M.R. (2002) Structures and dynamics of *Drosophila* Tpr inconsistent with a static, filamentous structure. *Exp. Cell Res.*, **276**, 223–232.
46. Samuels, M.E., Bopp, D., Colvin, R.A., Roscigno, R.F., Garcia-Blanco, M.A. and Schedl, P. (1994) RNA binding by Sxl proteins *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **14**, 4975–4990.
47. Dangli, A. and Bautz, E.K. (1983) Differential distribution of nonhistone proteins from polytene chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster* after heat shock. *Chromosoma*, **88**, 201–207.
48. Morcillo, G., Diez, J.L., Carbajal, M.E. and Tanguay, R.M. (1993) HSP90 associates with specific heat shock puffs (hsr omega) in polytene chromosomes of *Drosophila* and *Chironomus*. *Chromosoma*, **102**, 648–659.
49. Bendena, W.G., Garbe, J.C., Traverse, K.L., Lakhota, S.C. and Pardue, M.L. (1989) Multiple inducers of the *Drosophila* heat shock locus 93D (hsr omega): inducer-specific patterns of the three transcripts. *J. Cell Biol.*, **108**, 2017–2028.
50. Rajendra, T.K., Prasanth, K.V. and Lakhota, S.C. (2001) Male sterility associated with overexpression of the noncoding hsr omega gene in cyst cells of testis of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Genet.*, **80**, 97–110.
51. Sengupta, S. and Lakhota, S.C. (2006) Altered expression of the noncoding hsr omega gene enhances poly-Q-induced neurotoxicity in *Drosophila*. *RNA Biol.*, **3**, 28–35.
52. Rizzi, N., Denegri, M., Chioldi, I., Corioni, M., Valgardsdottir, R., Cobianchi, F., Riva, S. and Biamonti, G. (2004) Transcriptional activation of a constitutive heterochromatic domain of the human genome in response to heat shock. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **15**, 543–551.
53. Fukagawa, T., Nogami, M., Yoshikawa, M., Ikeno, M., Okazaki, T., Takami, Y., Nakayama, T. and Oshimura, M. (2004) Dicer is essential for formation of the heterochromatin structure in vertebrate cells. *Nature Cell Biol.*, **6**, 784–791.
54. Valgardsdottir, R., Chioldi, I., Giordano, M., Cobianchi, F., Riva, S. and Biamonti, G. (2005) Structural and functional characterization of noncoding repetitive RNAs transcribed in stressed human cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **16**, 2597–2604.
55. Biamonti, G. (2004) Nuclear stress bodies: a heterochromatin affair? *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, **5**, 493–498.
56. Metz, A., Soret, J., Vourc'h, C., Tazi, J. and Jolly, C. (2004) A key role for stress-induced satellite III transcripts in the relocalization of splicing factors into nuclear stress granules. *J. Cell Sci.*, **117**, 4551–4558.
57. Chioldi, I., Corioni, M., Giordano, M., Valgardsdottir, R., Ghigna, C., Cobianchi, F., Xu, R.M., Riva, S. and Biamonti, G. (2004) RNA recognition motif 2 directs the recruitment of SF2/ASF to nuclear stress bodies. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **32**, 4127–4136.
58. Heard, E. (2005) Delving into the diversity of facultative heterochromatin: the epigenetics of the inactive X chromosome. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, **15**, 482–489.
59. Gilbert, N., Boyle, S., Fiegler, H., Woodfine, K., Carter, N.P. and Bickmore, W.A. (2004) Chromatin architecture of the human genome: gene-rich domains are enriched in open chromatin fibers. *Cell*, **118**, 555–566.
60. Martienssen, R.A. (2003) Maintenance of heterochromatin by RNA interference of tandem repeats. *Nature Genet.*, **35**, 213–214.
61. Caceres, J.F., Stamm, S., Helfman, D.M. and Krainer, A.R. (1994) Regulation of alternative splicing *in vivo* by overexpression of antagonistic splicing factors (1994). *Science*, **265**, 1706–1709.
62. Misteli, T. (2000) Different site, different splice. *Nature Cell Biol.*, **2**, E98–E100.
63. van der Houven Van Oordt, W., Newton, K., Screaton, G.R. and Caceres, J.F. (2000) Role of SR protein modular domains in alternative splicing specificity *in vivo*. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **28**, 4822–4831.
64. Weighardt, F., Cobianchi, F., Cartegni, L., Chioldi, I., Villa, A., Riva, S. and Biamonti, G. (1999) A novel hnRNP protein (HAP/SAF-B) enters a subset of hnRNP complexes and relocates in nuclear granules in response to heat shock. *J. Cell Sci.*, **112**, 1465–1476.
65. Misteli, T. (1999) RNA splicing: what has phosphorylation got to do with it? *Curr. Biol.*, **9**, R198–R200.
66. Bourgeois, C.F., Lejeune, F. and Stevenin, J. (2004) Broad specificity of SR (serine/arginine) proteins in the regulation of alternative splicing of pre-messenger RNA. *Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol.*, **78**, 37–88.
67. Phillips, A.V., Timchenko, L.T. and Cooper, T.A. (1998) Disruption of splicing regulated by a CUG-binding protein in myotonic dystrophy. *Science*, **280**, 737–741.
68. Lu, X., Timchenko, N.A. and Timchenko, L.T. (1999) Cardiac elav-type RNA-binding protein (ETR-3) binds to RNA CUG repeats expanded in myotonic dystrophy. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **8**, 53–60.
69. Mankodi, A., Teng-Ummuay, P., Krym, M., Henderson, D., Swanson, M. and Thornton, C.A. (2003) Ribonuclear inclusions in skeletal muscle in myotonic dystrophy types 1 and 2. *Ann. Neurol.*, **54**, 760–768.
70. Tang, Q.Q. and Lane, M.D. (1999) Role of C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP-10) in the programmed activation of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein-beta during adipogenesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **97**, 12446–12450.
71. Tang, Q.Q. and Lane, M.D. (2000) Activation and centromeric localization of CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins during the mitotic clonal expansion of adipocyte differentiation. *Genes Dev.*, **13**, 2231–2241.
72. Raff, J.W., Kellum, R. and Alberts, B. (1994) The *Drosophila* GAGA transcription factor is associated with specific regions of heterochromatin throughout the cell cycle. *EMBO J.*, **13**, 597–5983.
73. Platero, J.S., Csink, A.K., Quintanilla, A. and Henikoff, S. (1998) Changes in chromosomal localization of heterochromatin-binding proteins during the cell cycle in *Drosophila*. *J. Cell Biol.*, **140**, 1297–1306.
74. Hovemann, B., Walldorf, U. and Ryseck, R.P. (1986) Heat shock locus 93D of *Drosophila melanogaster*: an RNA with limited coding capacity accumulates precursor transcripts after heat shock. *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, **204**, 334–340.
75. Fornace, A.J., Jr and Mitchell, J.B. (1986) Induction of B2 RNA polymerase III transcription by heat shock: enrichment for heat shock induced sequences in rodent cells by hybridization subtraction. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **14**, 5793–5811.
76. Liu, W.M., Chu, W.M., Choudary, P.V. and Schmid, C.W. (1995) Cell stress and translational inhibitors transiently increase the abundance of mammalian SINE transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **23**, 1758–1765.
77. Li, T.H., Spearow, J., Rubin, C.M. and Schmid, C.W. (1999) Physiological stresses increase mouse short interspersed element (SINE) RNA expression *in vivo*. *Gene*, **239**, 367–372.
78. Kimura, R.H., Choudary, P.V. and Schmid, C.W. (1999) Silkworm Bm1 SINE RNA increases following cellular insults. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **27**, 3381–3387.
79. Kimura, R.H., Choudary, P.V., Stone, K.K. and Schmid, C.W. (2001) Stress induction of Bm1 RNA in silkworm larvae: SINES, an unusual class of stress genes. *Cell Stress Chaperones*, **6**, 263–272.
80. Allen, T.A., Von Kaenel, S., Goodrich, J.A. and Kugel, J.F. (2004) The SINE-encoded mouse B2 RNA represses mRNA transcription in response to heat shock. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **11**, 816–821.
81. Espinoza, C.A., Allen, T.A., Hieb, A.R., Kugel, J.F. and Goodrich, J.A. (2004) B2 RNA binds directly to RNA polymerase II to repress transcript synthesis. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **11**, 822–829.
82. Moyzis, R.K., Albright, K.L., Bartholdi, M.F., Cram, L.S., Deaven, L.L., Hildebrand, C.E., Joste, N.E., Longmire, J.L., Meyne, J. and Schwarzacher-Robinson, T. (1987) Human chromosome-specific repetitive DNA sequences: novel markers for genetic analysis. *Chromosoma*, **95**, 375–386.
83. Bonner, J.J. and Kerby, R.L. (1982) RNA polymerase II transcribes all of the heat shock induced genes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chromosoma*, **85**, 93–108.
84. Westwood, J.T., Clos, J. and Wu, C. (1991) Stress-induced oligomerization and chromosomal relocalization of heat-shock factor. *Nature*, **353**, 822–827.
85. Alastalo, T.P., Hellesuo, M., Sandqvist, A., Hietakangas, V., Kallio, M. and Sistonen, L. (2003) Formation of nuclear stress granules involves HSF2 and coincides with the nucleolar localization of Hsp70. *J. Cell Sci.*, **116**, 3557–3570.
86. Zhang, Y., Frejtak, W., Dai, R. and Mivechi, N.F. (2001) Heat shock factor-4 (HSF-4a) is a repressor of HSF-1 mediated transcription. *J. Cell. Biochem.*, **82**, 692–703.
87. Smith, S.T., Petruk, S., Sedkov, Y., Cho, E., Tillib, S., Canaani, E. and Mazo, A. (2004) Modulation of heat shock gene expression by the TAC1 chromatin-modifying complex. *Nature Cell Biol.*, **6**, 162–167.
88. Hirabayashi, S., Ohno, H., Iida, J. and Hata, Y. (2002) C2PA is a nuclear protein implicated in the heat shock response. *J. Cell Biochem.*, **87**, 65–74.
89. Xing, H., Mayhew, C.N., Cullen, K.E., Park-Sarge, O.K. and Sarge, K.D. (2004) HSF1 modulation of Hsp70 mRNA polyadenylation via interaction with symplekin. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **279**, 10551–10555.