

Marfan syndrome in the third Millennium.

Gwenaëlle Collod-Béroud, Catherine Boileau

▶ To cite this version:

Gwenaëlle Collod-Béroud, Catherine Boileau. Marfan syndrome in the third Millennium.. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2002, 10 (11), pp.673-81. 10.1038/sj.ejhg.5200876 . inserm-00143603v1

HAL Id: inserm-00143603 https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00143603v1

Submitted on 5 Dec 2008 (v1), last revised 20 Dec 2017 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Review article :
2	
3	
4	Marfan syndrome in the third Millenium.
5	
6	
7	
8	Gwenaëlle Collod-Béroud ¹ and Catherine Boileau ^{1,2}
9	
10	
11	1: INSERM U383, Université Paris V, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, 149-161 rue de sèvres,
12	75743 Paris Cedex 15, France,
13	2: Laboratoire de Biochimie, d'Hormonologie et de Génétique Moléculaire, Hôpital Ambroise
14	Paré AP-HP, 9 av Charles de Gaulle, 92104 Boulogne Cedex, France.
15	
16	
17	Correspondence should be addressed to :
18	Pr. Catherine BOILEAU
19	INSERM U383,
20	CHU Necker-Enfants Malades,
21	Clinique Maurice LAMY,
22	149-161, rue de sèvres, 75743 Paris Cedex 15, France,

boileau@necker.fr

1 Introduction:

A hundred years have now elapsed since Dr. Antonin Marfan¹ reported on the case of Gabrielle P. thus describing some of the skeletal features that today define the syndrome that carries his name. Since then, substantial progress has been made with respect to the description of the pleiotropic manifestations of this disease, the understanding of underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and the availability of prevention and treatment of major complications.

8

9 1. Nosology: What is Marfan syndrome today ?

10 Marfan syndrome (MFS, OMIM#154700) is an autosomal dominant connective tissue 11 disorder that has an estimated incidence of 1/5 000 with probably over 25 % of sporadic 12 cases. The syndrome involves many systems (skeletal, ocular, cardiovascular, pulmonary, 13 skin and integument, and dura) but its more prominent manifestations are skeletal, ocular 14 and cardiovascular. In 1986, an international group of experts agreed upon diagnostic criteria 15 to distinguish classic Marfan syndrome from many related disorders. These criteria constitute what is currently referred to as the "Berlin nosology" ². Patients are diagnosed based on 16 17 involvement of the skeletal system and two other systems with at least one major manifestation (ectopia lentis, aortic dilation/dissection, or dural ectasia). Patients with an 18 affected first degree relative are required to have involvement of at least two other systems 19 20 with one major manifestation preferred but not required.

This nosology has been found wanting in many individual cases and revised criteria were subsequently proposed that constitute the "Ghent nosology" ³. This new formulation requires involvement of three systems with two major diagnostic manifestations. It provides

for major skeletal manifestations and considers affected first-degree relatives or molecular
 data as major diagnostic criteria.

Finally, development of preventive measures and surgery for aortic aneurysms and 3 dissection have lead to treatment of life-threatening cardiovascular complications associated 4 5 with the Marfan syndrome and have considerably altered life expectancy for patients. 6 Interestingly, the review of the medical problems of surviving patients has revealed possible 7 unidentified pleiotropic manifestations of the Marfan syndrome or manifestations that could 8 be related to aging of this population. These medical problems include the onset of arthritis 9 at an early age, varicose veins, ruptured or herniated discs, and prolapse of the uterus or 10 bladder in women. These medical problems now need to be properly investigated and 11 monitored.

12 The continued efforts to redefine diagnostic criteria emphasize persistent 13 shortcomings. The phenotype of the Marfan syndrome remains incompletely defined. Most 14 manifestations are age-dependant and are difficult to quantify. The Ghent nosology has been 15 field-tested in The National Institutes of Health ⁴. Their study shows that 19% of patients 16 diagnosed under the Berlin criteria failed to meet the Ghent standard. Molecular data are 17 important to better characterize this subset, to study its natural history and implement 18 relevant preventive measures.

19

20 2. The Marfan syndrome and FBN1.

Scientists, as early as 1931, suggested that the basic defect in Marfan syndrome lay in a defect in the mesoderm ⁵. In 1955, Victor McKusick considered the syndrome as a prominent member of the new nosologic group he named "the heritable disorders of connective tissue"

1 ⁶. The Marfan syndrome was long considered to be due to a defect either in one of the 2 collagens or elastin since their abnormalities are prominent features of the disease. However, protein and gene studies conclusively demonstrated that neither was involved. In 1986, Sakai 3 and co-workers identified a new extracellular matrix protein that they named "fibrillin"⁷ 4 5 (OMIM#134797). This protein is the major component of microfibrils that are structures found 6 in the extracellular matrix either as isolated aggregates or closely associated with elastin 7 fibers. Ultrastructurally, microfibrils display a typical "beads-on-a-string" appearance 8 consisting of a long series of globules connected by multiple filaments. In 1990, Hollister et al. 9 using a monoclonal antibody against fibrillin, reported abnormalities of the microfibrillar system in the Marfan syndrome⁸. The following year, the gene encoding fibrillin-1 (*FBN1*) 10 was cloned and the first mutations in the gene were identified in Marfan syndrome patients ⁹⁻ 11 ¹¹. Interestingly, the year before the *FBN1* gene was cloned, Kainulainen et al. ¹² demonstrated 12 through linkage analysis that the gene involved in classic complete forms of the Marfan 13 syndrome was located on human chromosome 15 precisely where the FBN1 gene was later 14 located. Therefore the identification of the gene defect in Marfan syndrome is a rare example 15 16 in which both positional and functional cloning strategies converged rapidly to identify a disease gene. 17

18

19 3. The FBN1 gene and other members of the fibrillin family.

The gene encoding type 1 fibrillin (*FBN1*) lies on the long arm of chromosome 15 at 15q15-q21.1. This very large gene [first estimated at 110 kb, now at over 230 kb (Human Genome Sequencing Project NT_034890 sequence)] is highly fragmented into 65 exons, transcribed in a 10 kb mRNA that encodes a 2871 amino acid protein ^{10, 11, 13, 14}. Three additional

alternatively-spliced exons, likely untranslated, were found upstream of exon 1 ¹⁵.
 Conservation of nucleotide sequences within this region between human, mouse and porcine
 suggests that this region of the gene may harbor important regulatory elements. This region
 is GC-rich, contains a CpG island, and lacks conventional TATA or CCAAT boxes.

5 The deduced primary structure reveals a highly repetitive protein that contains 6 essentially three repeated modules (figure 1):

7 • The first repeated module is the EGF-like module that is homologous to one found in the 8 epidermal growth factor. These modules contain six cysteine residues that form three intra-9 domain disulfide bonds. There are 47 of these throughout the fibrillin-1 protein. Among 10 these, 43 contain a conserved consensus sequence for calcium binding and are called cb EGF-11 like modules. In these domains, the residues putatively involved in calcium binding are numbered sequentially in figure 2 as in Dietz and Pyeritz¹⁶. They include the aspartic acid at 12 position 2, glutamic acid at position 5, asparagine at position 10 and tyrosine or 13 14 phenylalanine at position 15.

• The second repeated module, found 7 times interspaced with cb EGF-like in the protein, is 15 16 called **TGF β1-binding protein-like module** (TGF β1-BP-like module) since it is homologous 17 to modules found in the Transforming Growth Factor -\beta1 binding protein. This domain 18 appears to be limited to proteins that localize to matrix fibrils [fibrillins and latent 19 transforming growth factor β -binding proteins (LTBPs)]. These modules contain eight cysteine residues. The fourth TGF-β1-BP-like module contains the RGD sequence which can 20 interact with cell receptors ¹⁷. No specific function has yet been ascribed to these modules. 21 22 However, some evidence suggests that these domains mediate specific protein-protein interactions ¹⁸. 23

6/27

Finally, the protein contains a third module consisting of approximately 65 amino acids,
and found twice in the protein. These are called "hybrid modules" since they combine
features of the EGF-like and the TGF-β1-BP-like modules. This module is also found in
LTBPs, which have a single hybrid domain.

5 Finally the protein contains three unique regions: a proline-rich region that may act as a "hinge-like" region ¹³ and the amino and carboxy terminal domains. The N- and C-6 7 terminal domains of the fibrillins display two prominent features : the presence of an even 8 number of cysteine residues, four in the N-terminal and two in the C-terminal domains and 9 the presence of the basic consensus sequence for processing by furin-types enzymes BXBB 10 (B=basic amino acid residue, K or R) in each domain. The 4-cysteine domain in the N-11 terminus of fibrillins is homologous to similar 4-cysteine domains in the N-terminal extended forms of the LTBPs. The C-terminal domains of the fibrillins are homologous to the C-12 terminal domain of all four members of the fibulin family, and thus a new type of 13 14 extracellular module of approximately 120 amino acid residues in length has been proposed 15 ¹⁹. This type of homology is not shared by the LTBPs.

When the *FBN1* gene was cloned, a second gene sharing a high degree of homology was identified and located on chromosome 5. This gene was named *FBN2* and the protein it encodes **fibrillin-2**¹⁰. *FBN2* has been genetically linked to a rare disorder that shares features of Marfan syndrome: congenital contractural arachnodactyly (CCA) (OMIM#120150). The clinical manifestations of CCA are essentially found in the skeleton and associated with distinctive manifestations including crumpled ears and campodactyly. Several mutations were identified in this gene in CCA patients²⁰.

Ikegawa et al. described the structure and chromosomal assignment to 2p16 of a
"fibrillin-like" gene (FBNL), that is highly homologous to fibrillin ²¹. The FBNL gene is

expressed in many tissues but it is not expressed in brain and lymphocytes. The amino acid 1 2 sequence of the FBNL gene is 36.3% identical to FBN1 (OMIM#134797) and 35.4% identical to FBN2. FBNL contains 1 EGF-like module and 5 repeated cb EGF-like modules. The gene 3 spans approximately 18 kb of genomic DNA and contains 12 exons. The FBNL gene was 4 5 thought to possibly be involved in Marfan-like conditions such as hypermobility syndrome 6 or mitral valve prolapse. In 1999, Stone et al. identified a single nonconservative mutation in 7 the FBNL gene, also named EFEMP1 (EGF-containing fibulin-like extracellular matrix 8 protein 1) in 5 families with Doyne honeycomb retinal dystrophy (DHRD; OMIM#126600), or 9 malattia Leventinese (MLVT)²². This autosomal dominant disease is characterized by yellow-10 white deposits known as drusen that accumulate beneath the retinal pigment epithelium.

11

12 **4.** The fibrillin proteins.

13 The fibrillins are extracellular matrix glycoproteins that show a wide distribution in 14 both elastic and non-elastic tissues and are integral components of 10 nm diameter microfibrils ^{7, 23}. Fibrillin-1 is synthesized as profibrillin and proteolytically processed to 15 16 fibrillin. The cleavage site has been mapped to the carboxy-terminal domain of profibrillin-1. The propeptide starts at position S²⁷³² directly C-terminal to the R²⁷²⁸KRR sequence. Wild type 17 profibrillin is not incorporated into extracellular matrix until it is converted to fibrillin²⁴. The 18 19 N-terminal region of each protein directs the formation of homodimers within a few hours after secretion and disulphide bonds stabilize the interaction ²⁵. Dimer formation occurs 20 21 intracellularly, suggesting that the process of fibrillin aggregation is initiated early after biosynthesis of the molecules. Fibrillin is post-translationally modified by β -hydroxylation 22 23 and N-and O-linked carbohydrate formation²⁶.

The solution structure of the TGF-β-like module from human fibrillin-1 identified a novel fold which was globular in nature ²⁷ and appears to break up linear regions within fibrillin-1 molecules after rotary shadowing electron microscopy. If these linker regions are effectively flexible, the kinks and bends observed in fibrillin-1 molecules would be required for proper alignment of molecules within the assembled microfibril ¹⁸.

6 Baldock et al. have derived a model of fibrillin alignment in microfibrils based on 7 automated electron tomography, immunolocalization in directionally orientated untensioned 8 microfibrils, mass changes on microfibril extension, immunofluorescence studies and 9 published observations²⁸. Their model predicts maturation from a parallel head-to-tail 10 alignment to an approximately one-third stagger that is stable as a 56-nm folded form, but not as an ~100-nm form. This model accounts for all microfibril structural features, suggests 11 that inter- and intramolecular interactions drive conformation changes to form extensible 12 microfibrils, and defines the number of molecules in cross section. 13

14 Fibrillin-1 and -2 co-distribute in elastic and non-elastic connective tissues of the 15 developing embryo, with a preferential accumulation of the FBN2 gene product in elastic fiber-rich matrices²³. Mouse study of the developmental expression of the fibrillin genes has 16 17 revealed different patterns. Except for the cardiovascular system, in which *Fbn1* gene activity 18 is early and always higher than *Fbn2*, *Fbn2* transcripts appear earlier than *Fbn1* transcripts 19 and accumulate for a short period of time just before overt tissue differentiation i.e. a 20 window of time immediately preceding elastogenesis. In contrast, the amount of Fbn1 21 transcripts increases at an apparently gradual rate throughout morphogenesis and is mainly 22 expressed during late morphogenesis and well-defined organ structures. Furthermore, Fbn1 23 transcripts are predominantly represented in stress- and load-bearing structures like aortic 24 adventitia, suspensory ligament of the lens, and skin. Spatio-temporal patterns of gene

expression thus suggest distinct but related roles in microfibril physiology. Fibrillin-1 would
provide mostly force-bearing structural support whereas fibrillin-2 would predominantly
regulate the early process of elastic fiber assembly ²⁹. Fibrillins would contribute to the
structural and functional heterogeneity of microfibrils.

5

6

5. Role of Ca²⁺ in fibrillin,

7 The implication of the variable calcium binding affinities observed in fibrillin fragments is biologically significant. A number of studies have shown that the presence of 8 9 calcium ions significantly protects full-length or recombinant fragments of fibrillin-1 from 10 proteolysis by trypsin, elastase, endoproteinase Glu-C, plasmin and matrix metalloproteinases ³¹⁻³⁴. Moderate to high affinities for calcium suggest that fibrillin cb EGF-11 12 like modules would be close to fully saturated in vivo. Particular regions of fibrillin may 13 need to be rigid for appropriate function. For example, cb EGF-like#12-13, located in the 14 neonatal Marfan syndrome region (see paragraph 8) where mutations leading to severe 15 phenotypes cluster, may be part of a region where rigidity is required for function. Fully 16 saturated calcium binding sites may be required for stabilization of the microfibril against 17 proteolytic degradation, when low-affinity sites not fully saturated in vivo may contribute to 18 flexibility of the polypeptide chain or to biomechanical function. It may be advantageous to 19 allow some degree of extensibility of assembled microfibrils in tissues subjected to 20 mechanical forces. The importance of domain context for modulating the structural effects of 21 calcium binding mutations suggests an explanation why MFS phenotypes associated with apparently similar mutations may be diverse ³³. 22

23

1

6. FBN1 gene mutations in Marfan syndrome and related disorders,

To date over 500 mutations have been identified in the *FBN1* gene in Marfan syndrome patients and related diseases (Figure 3) ³⁴ (Collod-Béroud et al., In preparation). No major rearrangements have been identified except for three cases of multi-exon deletions ^{35, 36}. Three categories of mutations have been described: 1) missense mutations, 2) small insertions or deletions, mutations causing premature termination of translation and 3) exon-skipping mutations.

8 FBN1 gene mutations have been identified in complete and incomplete forms of 9 Marfan syndrome but also in various disorders: severe neonatal Marfan syndrome, dominantly inherited ectopia lentis ³⁷, isolated skeletal features of MFS ³⁸, the Shprintzen-10 Goldberg syndrome ³⁹ and, more recently, familial or isolated forms of aortic aneurysms ⁴⁰. 11 12 These results define the new molecular group of "type 1 fibrillinopathies" that comprises a 13 spectrum of overlapping diseases. Presently no genotype/phenotype correlations have been 14 identified except for neonatal mutations (see paragraph 8). To facilitate their identification, a 15 "Marfan database" has been developed that includes not only molecular but also clinical 16 data. The database is attached to a software that provides various tools for its analysis and allows optimized multicriteria research ^{34, 41-43}. It is only through a large collaborative 17 18 international effort that genotype/phenotype correlations will be eventually identified.

19 No case of incomplete penetrance has ever been demonstrated for families in which 20 patients carrying fibrillin-1 mutations are associated with Marfan syndrome. However, 21 patients with the same mutation can show a wide degree of phenotypic variability. This has 22 been exemplified in large pedigrees with sharp differences in clinical severity of 23 musculoskeletal and cardiovascular features of the syndrome ⁴⁴. 1

2 7. Neonatal Marfan syndrome and FBN1 gene mutations

3 Neonatal Marfan syndrome is the most severe form of the disorder. Affected new-4 borns display severe cardiac valve regurgitation and dilatation of the proximal aorta which 5 usually lead to heart failure and death in the first year of life. Skeletal manifestations such as 6 arachodactyly, dolichostenomelia, and pectus deformities are typically present. Such infants 7 may also display congenital flexion contractures, crumpled ears, loose redundant skin, and a characteristic "senile" facial appearance ⁴⁵. The mean life span is usually low (approximately 1 8 9 year ⁴⁶). The primary cause of death is congestive heart failure associated with mitral and 10 tricuspid regurgitation. Family investigation usually reveals that the Marfan patients with the 11 severe neonatal phenotype are sporadic cases: Buntinx et al. reported that 37 of 44 cases with 12 neonatal manifestations were sporadic ⁴⁵. For a longtime it was generally thought that the 13 neonatal phenotype could be explained by mutations in a distinct gene than that involved in 14 the classic "adolescent-adult" form of the syndrome as the observed symptoms were 15 extremely severe and overlapped with congenital contractural arachnodactyly. Godfrey et al. 16 showed an abnormal morphology of fibrillin microfibrils in fibroblast cultures from patients with the neonatal phenotype ⁴⁶. As in the classic "adolescent-adult" form, there was an 17 18 apparent decrease in accumulation of immunostainable fibrillin, but they appeared shorter, 19 fragmented and frayed. Molecular analyses revealed that the neonatal Marfan syndrome was 20 also due to mutations within the FBN1 gene. Furthermore a clustering of mutations in the 21 protein region encoded by exons 24 to 32 was observed (figure 4), suggesting an unknown but critical function of these domains ⁴⁷. The severe phenotype associated with these specific 22 23 mutations in this region of the gene represents, to date, the only genotype/phenotype

relationship established. The observed clustering of mutations enables, in a first step, direct
screening of this region of the *FBN1* gene to help in diagnosis of neonatal Marfan syndrome
in patients. Finally, confirmation of the sporadic nature of the mutation is important for
genetic counseling since perinatal lethal Marfan syndrome can also result from compound
heterozygosity ⁴⁸ or potential homozygosity.

6

7 8. Pathogenic mechanisms

8 Fibrillins are important components of the microfibrillar system that may act as a 9 scaffold for elastogenesis. Elastic fibers first appear in fetal development as aggregates of 10 microfibrils. These microfibrils are arranged in parallel arrays on which elastin is deposited 11 and appears as an amorphous material. Elastin-containing microfibrillar bundles aggregate 12 to form true elastic fibers. These observations suggest that microfibrils determine the form 13 and the orientation of elastic fibers, therefore directing fiber assembly as a scaffold on which elastin is deposited²⁹. This model explains the typical fragmentation and disarray of elastic 14 15 fibers observed in the media of Marfan patients. However, unlike elastin, fibrillin-1 is also 16 highly expressed in the vascular adventia. Therefore reduction of this protein in the adventia 17 is very likely also involved in the mechanism for dilatation and for increased risk of 18 aneurysm since the role of the adventia is to maintain the vascular diameter. The pleiotropic 19 manifestations of the disease can be explained by the observation that numerous 20 microfibrillar aggregates devoid of elastin are found in the zonule, as well as cartilage and the extracellular matrix of many organs. However, the actual pathogenic mechanisms in 21 22 these tissues still remain speculative.

At the molecular level, two different groups of mutations are distinguishable: 1 mutations leading to a truncated protein and missense mutations. The first group correspond 2 to one third of the mutations and is constituted of nonsense mutations (~10% of all 3 4 mutations), splicing errors (~12%, only one demonstrated case of exon addition), small 5 deletions leading to premature STOP codon (~8%), small inframe deletions (~2%), multi-exon 6 deletions (~0.6%), and insertions leading to premature STOP codon (~4%). Mutations can be 7 responsible for the appearance of a premature STOP codon that reduces the stability of the 8 mutant transcript and consequently greatly reduces protein production from the mutated 9 copy of the gene (in the affected subjects, the amount of fibrillin-1 protein produced is 50 % 10 that of normal and is produced only from the normal gene copy), or for the production from 11 the mutated copy of an abnormal monomere that considerably interferes with the assembly 12 (polymerization) of fibrillin molecules (the amount of fibrillin is greatly reduced, < 35 %). 13 The second group represent two third of mutations and correspond to missense mutation. 14 Among them, three quarters are located in calcium binding modules. They are implicated 15 either in creating (~3% of all mutations) or substituting (~24%) cysteine residues potentially 16 implicated in disulfide bonding and consequently in the correct folding of the monomere. The majority of remaining mutations of this type of module affects residues of the calcium 17 consensus sequence that play a major role in defining interdomain linkage ⁵⁵. An increased 18 19 protease susceptibility is a mechanism also suggested for missense mutations. Other modules 20 are carriers of one quarter of missense mutations and pathological mechanisms have yet to be 21 clearly demonstrated.

22 What is still unknown are the multiple consequences triggered by the various 23 mutations and the effect of unknown modifier (enhancing or protecting) genes on the clinical

expression. These mechanisms and the great number of mutations identified in the *FBN1* gene explain the great variability of the disease observed not only between families but also
 among affected individuals in a single family.

4

5 9. Genetic heterogeneity in Marfan syndrome

The clinical variability of Marfan syndrome is only partly explained by the great 6 7 number of mutations identified in the FBN1 gene. In effect, we have demonstrated the 8 existence of genetic heterogeneity, i.e. the involvement, in certain cases of Marfan syndrome 9 of mutations located in another gene named MFS2 (for Marfan syndrome type 2). Genetic 10 heterogeneity was demonstrated through the study of a large French family in which affected 11 individuals display an incomplete form of the syndrome: typical skeletal and cardiovascular features as well as involvement of the skin and integument. No ocular manifestations were 12 13 observed until recently when one of the children developed ectopia lentis. We showed that 14 fibrillin-1 was normal in several affected family members and excluded linkage between the *FBN1* gene and the disease in the family ⁵⁰. By exclusion mapping we located the MFS2 gene 15 on the short arm of chromosome 3⁵¹. In this area is located the gene that encodes fibuline-2 16 17 (FBLN2), another microfibrillar component. Again through a double approach (genetic and protein) we showed that MFS2 and FBLN2 were not identical ⁵². We are now identifying 18 19 MFS2 through positional cloning. Other teams have already identified families comparable to 20 the French family in that they are not linked to or do not carry a mutation in the FBN1 gene 21 (M. Boxer, L. Peltonen and Beat Steinmann, personal communications). Clinically these 22 families are indistinguishable from other families linked to FBN1. Therefore, we are also 23 trying to determine the percentage of Marfan syndrome cases that are associated with

mutations in MFS2 through genetic analyses as well as their clinical spectrum. Other teams, through protein studies have identified between 7 and 16 % of Marfan syndrome patients with normal fibrillin metabolism ^{53, 54}. The precise determination of this % is important for laboratories involved in diagnosis of Marfan syndrome since it will give the risk associated with investigation of only the *FBN1* gene.

6

7 10. Animal model.

8 The first animal model described was a limousine calve which presented with skeletal 9 (kyphosis, long, thin limbs), integuments (severe joint and tendon laxity), ocular 10 (microspherophakia, ectopia lentis) and cardiovascular (heart murmurs, aortic dilatation, sudden death at a young age due to aortic rupture) abnormalities ⁵⁵. The similarities between 11 12 the human and the bovine diseases suggest that similar metabolic defects could be 13 responsible. To date, although reduced immunostained fibrillin in cultured aortic smooth 14 muscle cells in this limousine calve ⁵⁶, no mutation in the corresponding bovine *FBN1* gene or 15 in another gene was yet identified in this model.

16 Mice carrying the Tight skin (Tsk) mutation harbor a genomic duplication within the fibrillin-1 (Fbn1) gene that results in a larger than normal in-frame Fbn1 transcript ⁵⁷. Tsk/+ 17 18 mice exibit a thickening of the skin with loss of elasticity, larger skeletal size because of 19 excessive bone and cartilage growth, emphysema-like condition, myocardial hypertrophy 20 and small tendons with tendon sheath hyperplasia. Tsk fibrillin-1 is produced, assembled, 21 and deposited in the extracellular matrix but beaded *Tsk* fibrillin-1 microfibrils have a longer 22 than normal periodicity and an altered morphology and organization in skin. Vascular 23 complications were thought to be absent in these animals because the level of functional

microfibrils does not drop below the critical threshold. The heterozygous mice have a normal
 life span contrary to the human counterpart.

Gene-targeting experiments in mice resulted in two mutant lines in mice: the mg Δ 3 mutant from the J1 lines of ES cells (deletion of exons 19 to 24)⁵⁸ and the mgR mutant from 4 5 R1 lines of ES cells (integration of the PGK*neo*-cassette without loss of endogenous sequence) 6 ⁵⁹. Homozygous mg Δ mice begin life with a drastic reduction in protein (5%) and die early 7 because of structural failure of the vascular system. Homozygous mgR mice produce a 8 quarter of the normal amount of fibrillin-1 and display phenotypic features in the skeleton 9 and the aorta similar to those of patients with classic Marfan syndrome. The mgR/mgR mice 10 support the notion that microfibrils control bone overgrowth negatively.

Finally, Jaubert et al. demonstrated the implication of type C receptor for natriuretic peptides (NPR-C) in the strigosus (*stri*) mutation ⁶⁰. Homozygous mutant mice show as early as 6 days of age increased body length, longer digits, and a typical cone-shaped implantation of the tail. When older, mutant mice are exceptionally thin and have arachnodactyly, thoracic kyphosis and frequent tail and/or sacral kinks. The unexpected expression of mutations within this gene as a Marfan-like skeletal phenotype should not be overlooked in the investigation of the pathogenesis of Marfan syndrome.

18

19 11. Marfan syndrome is still an essentially clinical diagnosis,

Although no specific therapy exists for Marfan syndrome, it is of great importance to confirm or firmly exclude the diagnosis in family members at risk as early as possible because of the potential fatal complications of the disease. At present, diagnosis is still based on thorough clinical examination, including measurements of body proportions,

echocardiography of the aorta, slit-lamp ophthalmological evaluation and radiographs. A
complete family history is also an essential part of the diagnosis. However in some cases the
manifestations are not evident until adolescence and the clinical expression of the disease
varies greatly between affected members of a single family. Therefore, there is an absolute
need for an accurate diagnostic test.

6 The discovery of the involvement of fibrillin-1 has raised high hopes for a protein or 7 DNA test applicable to Marfan syndrome patients. Immunofluorescence studies of cultured 8 fibroblasts and skin sections of patients using monoclonal antibodies against fibrillin have revealed that the amount of fibrillin deposition or of fibrillin microfibrils is greatly reduced ⁸. 9 10 Therefore, immunofluorescence analysis could be helpful in diagnosis. However the method 11 has proven to be insufficiently sensitive and specific because of the existence of non-Marfan 12 syndrome type 1 fibrillinopathies and of genetic heterogeneity. Therefore, an abnormal test 13 result does not diagnose Marfan syndrome, and a normal test result does not exclude Marfan syndrome. 14

The identification of the FBN1 gene has allowed the development of two types of 15 16 diagnostic tests: either genetic family studies or mutation identification. Family studies can be performed with specific FBN1 polymorphic markers to identify the mutation-bearing 17 haplotype ⁶¹. These studies are only reliable in families in which several affected individuals 18 19 are available since the involvement of a *FBN1* mutation (and not that of another gene) must 20 be clearly demonstrated. However, most family structures do not comply with this 21 requirement. Furthermore, the method is inappropriate in sporadic cases. In practice, these 22 instances represent over 40 % of the cases referred for biological diagnosis. The second 23 molecular test is mutation identification. Mutation identification is very costly and long. In 24 effect, there is no quick and 100 % reliable method to investigate a large (~ 230 kb) and

highly fragmented (10 kb of coding sequence fragmented in 65 exons) gene, knowing that 1 almost each family has its own specific defect and that the mutations are essentially point 2 mutations. Finally, this very costly analysis may fail to identify a mutation since only the 3 4 coding sequence and closely surrounding regions are investigated. However, in the case of neonatal Marfan syndrome, where a clustering of mutations is found in a specific region, 5 molecular diagnosis can be performed. In all other instances and until better molecular tools 6 7 are available, mutation identification cannot be performed on a systematic basis. However, in 8 a few cases where the family mutation had been identified, it was possible to perform 9 prenatal diagnosis on chorionic villus samples or offer presymptomatic diagnosis in children at risk of affected subjects ^{62,63}. 10

11

12 **References**

Marfan A. Un cas de déformation congénitale des quatre membres, plus prononcée
 aux extrémités, caractérisée par l'allongement des os avec un certain degré d'amincissement.
 Bull Mém Soc Méd Hôp Paris 1896, 13: 220-227.

Beighton P, de Paepe A, Danks D, et al. International nosology of heritable disorders
of connective tissue. Am J Med Genet 1988, 29: 581-594.

18 3 De-Paepe A, Devereux R, Dietz H, Hennekam R, Pyeritz R. Revised diagnostic criteria
19 for the Marfan syndrome. Am J Med Genet 1996, 62: 417-426.

20 4 Rose P, Levy H, Ahn N et al. A comparison of the Berlin and Ghent nosologies and the
21 influence of dural ectasia in the diagnosis of Marfan syndrome. Genetics in medicine 2000, 2:
22 278-282.

23 5 Weve H. Über Arachnodaktylie (dystrophia mesodermalis congenita, Typus Marfan).
24 Archiv Augenheilk 1931, 104: 1-46.

McKusick VA. The cardiovascular aspects of Marfan's syndrome: A heritable disorder
 of connective tissue. Circulation 1955, 11: 321-341.

3 7 Sakai L, Keene D, Engvall E. Fibrillin, a new 350 kD glycoprotein is a compound of
4 extracellular microfibrils. J Cell Biol 1986, 103: 2499-2509.

Hollister D, Godfrey M, Sakai L, Pyeritz R. Immunohistologic abnormalities of the
microfibrillar system in the Marfan syndrome. N Eng J Med 1990, **323**: 152-159.

Dietz H, Cutting G, Pyeritz R et al. Marfan syndrome caused by a recurrent de novo
missense mutation in the fibrillin gene. Nature 1991, 352: 337-339.

9 10 Lee B, Godfrey M, Vitale E et al. Linkage of Marfan syndrome and a phenotypically
10 related disorder to two different fibrillin genes. Nature 1991, 352: 330-334.

11 Maslen C, Corson G, Maddox B, Glanville R, Sakai L. Partial sequence of a candidate
12 gene for the Marfan syndrome. Nature 1991, **352**: 334-337.

13 12 Kainulainen K, Pulkkinen L, Savolainen A, Kaitila I, Peltonen L. Location on
14 chromosome 15 of the gene defect causing Marfan syndrome. N Eng J Med 1990, 323: 93515 939.

16 13 Corson G, Chalberg S, Dietz H, Charbonneau N, Sakai L. Fibrillin binds calcium and is
17 coded by cDNAs that reveal a multidomain structure and alternatively spliced exons at the 5'
18 end. Genomics 1993, 17: 476-484.

19 14 Pereira L, d'Alessio M, Ramirez F et al. Genomic organization of the sequence coding
20 for fibrillin, the defective gene product in Marfan syndrome. Hum Mol Genet 1993, 2: 96121 968.

Biery N, Eldadah Z, Moore C, Setten G, Spencer F, Dietz H. Revised genomic
organization of *FBN1* and significance for regulated gene expression. Genomics 1999, 56: 7077.

1	16 Dietz HC, Pyeritz RE. Mutations in the human gene for fibrillin-1 (FBN1) in the
2	Marfan syndrome and related disorders. Hum Mol Genet. 1995, 4 Spec No:1799-809. Review.
3	17 Sakamoto H, Brockelmann T, Cheresh D, Ramirez F, Rosenbloom J, Mecham R. Cell-
4	type specific recognition of RGD- and non-RGD-containing cell binding domains in fibrillin-
5	1. J Biol Chem 1996, 271: 4916-4922.
6	18 Handford PA, Downing AK, Reinhardt DP, Sakai LY. Fibrillin: From domain structure
7	to supramolecular assembly. Matrix Biology 2000, 19: 457-470.
8	19 Giltay R, Timpl R, Kostka G. Sequence, recombinant expression and tissue localization
9	of two novel extracellular matrix proteins, fibulin-3 and fibulin-4.Matrix Biol, 1999, 18: 469-
10	480.
11	20 Wang M, Clericuzio CL, Godfrey M. Familial occurrence of typical and severe lethal
12	congenital contractural arachnodactyly caused by missplicing of exon 34 of fibrillin-2. Am J
13	Hum Genet 1996, 59: 1027-34.
14	21 Ikegawa S, Toda T, Okui K, Nakamura Y. Structure and chromosomal assignment of
15	the human S1-5 gene (FBNL) that is highly homologous to fibrillin. Genomics 1996, 35: 590-
16	592.
17	22 Stone EM, Lotery AJ, Munier FL et al. A single EFEMP1 mutation associated with both
18	malattia Leventinese and Doyne honeycomb retinal dystrophy. Nature Genetics 1999, 22:
19	199-202.
20	23 Zhang H, Apfelroth S, Hu W et al. Structure and expression of fibrillin-2 a novel
21	microfibrillar component preferentially located in elastic matrices. J Cell Biol 1994, 124: 855-

22 863.

21/27

Raghunath M, Putnam EA, Ritty T et al. Carboxy-terminal conversion of profibrillin to
 fibrillin at a basic site by PACE/furin-like activity required for incorporation in the matrix. J
 Cell Science 1999, 112: 1093-1100.

Trask TM, Ritty TM, Broekelmann T, Tisdale C, Mecham RP. N-terminal domains of
fibrillin 1 and fibrillin 2 direct the formation of homodimers: a possible first step in
microfibril assembly. Biochem J. 1999, 340 : 693-701.

Glanville RW, Qian RQ, McClure DW, Maslen CL. Calcium binding, hydroxylation,
and glycosylation of the precursor epidermal growth factor-like domains of fibrillin-1, the
Marfan gene protein. J Biol Chem 1994, 269:26630-4

Yuan X, Downing AK, Knott V, Handford PA. Solution structure of the transforming
growth factor β-binding protein-like module, a domain associated with matrix fibrils. EMBO
J 1997, 16: 6659-6666.

Baldock C, Koster A, Ziese U et al. The supramolecular organization of fibrillin-rich
microfibrils. J Cell Biol 2001, 152: 1045-1056.

15 29 Zhang H, Hu W, Ramirez F. Developmental expression of fibrillin genes suggests
16 heterogeneity of extracellular microfibrils. J Cell Biol 1995, 129: 1165-1176.

17 30 Reinhardt DP, Ono RN, Sakai LY. Calcium stabilizes fibrillin-1 against proteolytic
18 degradation. J Biol Chem 1997, 272: 1231-6.

Reinhardt DP, Ono RN, Notbohm H, Muller PK, Bachinger HP, Sakai LY. Mutations in
 calcium-binding epidermal growth factor modules render fibrillin-1 susceptible to
 proteolysis. A potential disease-causing mechanism in Marfan syndrome. J Biol Chem 2000,
 275: 12339-12345.

32 Ashworth J, Murphy G, Rock M et al. Fibrillin degradation by matrix
 metalloproteinases: Implications for connective tissue remodeling. Biochem J, 1999, 340: 171 181.

McGettrick AJ, Knott V, Willis A, Handford PA. Molecular effects of calcium binding
mutations in Marfan syndrome depend on domain context. Hum Mol Genet 2000, 9: 19871994.

7 34 Collod-Béroud G, Béroud C, Adès L et al. Marfan Database (third edition): new
8 mutations and new routines for the software. Nucleic Acids Researc 1998, 26: 229-233.

9 35 Kainulainen K, Sakai LY, Child A et al. Two mutations in Marfan syndrome resulting
10 in truncated fibrillin polypeptides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992, 89 : 5917-21.

11 36 Liu W, Schrijver I, Brenn T, Furthmayr H, Francke U. Multi-exon deletions of the
12 *FBN1* gene in Marfan syndrome. BMC Med Genet. 2001, 2:11-19.

13 37 Kainulainen K, Karttunen L, Puhakka L, Sakai L, Peltonen L. Mutations in the fibrillin
14 gene responsible for dominant ectopia lentis and neonatal Marfan syndrome. Nature
15 Genetics 1994, 6: 64-69.

16 38 Milewicz D, Grossfield J, Cao SN, Kielty C, Covitz W, Jewett T. A mutation in *FBN1* 17 disrupts profibrillin processing and results in isolated skeletal features of the Marfan 18 syndrome. J Clin Invest 1995, **95:** 2373-2378.

39 Sood S, Eldadah Z, Krause W, McIntosh I, Dietz H. Mutation in fibrillin-1 and the
20 Marfanoid-craniosynostosis (Shprintzen-Goldberg) syndrome. Nature Genetics, 1996, 12: 20921 211.

22 40 Milewicz D, Michael K, Fisher N, Coselli J, Markello T, Biddinger A. Fibrillin-1 (FBN1)

23 mutations in patients with thoracic aortic aneurysms. Circulation 1996, 94: 2708-2711.

23/27

1	41 Collod G, Béroud C, Soussi T, Junien C, Boileau C. Software and database for the
2	analysis of mutations in the human FBN1 gene. Nucl Acids Res 1996, 24: 137-140.
3	42 Collod-Béroud G, Béroud C, Adès L et al. Marfan database (second edition): Software
4	and database for the analysis of mutations in the human FBN1 gene. Nucl Acids Res 1997, 25
5	147-150.
6	43 Beroud C, Collod-Beroud G, Boileau C, Soussi T, Junien C. UMD (Universal mutation
7	database): A generic software to build and analyze locus-specific databases. Hum Muta
8	2000, 15: 86-94.
9	44 Dietz HC, Pyeritz RE, Puffenberger EG et al. Marfan phenotype variability in a family
10	segregating a missense mutation in the epidermal growth factor-like motif of the fibrilling
11	gene. J Clin Invest. 1992, 89 : 1674-80.
12	45 Buntinx I, Willems P, Spitaels S, VanReempst P, DePaepe A, Dumon J. Neonata
13	Marfan syndrome with congenital arachnodactyly, flexion contractures, and severe cardia
14	valve insufficiency. J Med Genet 1991, 28: 267-273.
15	46 Godfrey M, Raghunath M, Cisler J et al. Abnormal morphology of fibrillin microfibril
16	in fibroblast cultures from patients with neonatal Marfan syndrome. Am J Pathol. 1995, 146
17	1414-21.
18	47 Putnam E, Cho M, Zinn A, Towbin J, Byers P, Milewicz D. Delineation of the Marfai

phenotype associated with mutations in exons 23-32 of the *FBN1* gene. Am J Med Genet 1996,
62: 233-242.

48 Karttunen L, Raghunath M, Lonnqvist L, Peltonen L. A compound heterozygous
Marfan patient: Two defective fibrillin alleles result in a lethal phenotype. Am J Hum Genet
1994, 55: 1083-1091.

24/27

1	49	Knott V, Downing A, Cardy C, Handford P. Calcium binding properties of an
2	epide	ermal growth factor-like domain pair from human fibrillin-1. J Mol Biol 1996, 255: 22-27.
3	50	Boileau C, Jondeau G, Babron MC et al. Familial Marfan-like aortic dilatation and
4	skele	tal anomalies are not linked to the fibrillin genes. Am J Hum Genet 1993, 53: 46-57.
5	51	Collod G, Babron MC, Jondeau G et al. A second locus for Marfan syndrome maps to
6	chroi	nosome 3p24.2-p25. Nature Genetics 1994, 8: 264-268.
7	52	Collod G, Chu ML, Sasaki T et al. Fibuline-2: Genetic mapping and exclusion as a
8	cand	idate in Marfan syndrome type 2. Eur J Hum Genet 1996, 4: 292-295.
9	53	McGookey-Milewicz D, Pyeritz R, Crawford ES, Byers P. Marfan syndrome: Defective
10	syntł	nesis, secretion, and extracellular matrix formation of fibrillin by cultured dermal
11	fibro	blasts. J Clin Invest 1992, 89: 79-86.
12	54	Aoyama T, Francke U, Dietz H, Furthmayer H. Quantitative differences in
13	biosy	onthesis and extracellular deposition of fibrillin in cultured fibroblasts distinguish five
14	grou	ps of Marfan syndrome patients and suggest distinct pathogenic mechanisms. J Clin

15 Invest 1994, **94:** 130-137.

16 55 Besser TE, Potter KA, Bryan GM, Knowlen GG. An animal model of the Marfan
17 syndrome. Am J Med Genet 1990, 37: 159-165.

18 56 Potter KA, Hoffman Y, Sakai LY, Byers PH, Besser TE, Milewicz DM. Abnormal
19 fibrillin metabolism in bovine Marfan syndrome. Am J Pathol 1993, 142: 803-810.

57 Kielty CM, Raghunath M, Siracusa L et al. The tight skin mouse: demonstration of
mutant fibrillin-1 production and assembly into abnormal microfibrils. J Cell Biol 1998,
140:1159-1166.

23 58 Pereira L, Andrikopoulos K, Tian J et al. Targetting of the gene encoding fibrillin-1

recapitulates the vascular aspect of Marfan syndrome. Nature Genetics 1997, **17**: 218-222.

1	59 Pereira L, Lee SY, Gayraud B et al. Pathogenetic sequence for aneurysm revealed in
2	mice underexpressing fibrillin-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999, 96: 3819-23.
3	60 Jaubert J, Jaubert F, Martin N et al. Three new allelic mouse mutations that cause
4	skeletal overgrowth involve the natriuretic peptide receptor C gene (Npr3). Proc Natl Acad
5	Sci USA 1999, 96: 10278-10283.
6	61 Pereira L, Levran O, Ramirez F et al. A molecular approach to the stratification of
7	cardiovascular risk in families with Marfan's syndrome. N Eng J Med 1994, 331: 148-153.
8	62 Godfrey M, Vandemark N, Wang M et al. Prenatal diagnosis and a donor splice site
9	mutation in fibrillin in a family with Marfan syndrome. Am J Hum Genet, 1993, 53: 472-480.
10	63 Rantamäki T, Raghunath M, Karttunen L, Lönnqvist L, Child A, Peltonen L. Prenatal
11	diagnosis of Marfan syndrome: Identification of a fibrillin-1 mutation in chorionic villus
12	sample. Prenat Diag, 1995, 15: 1176-1181.

1 Legends to figures

2

3	Figure 1: Schematic representation of the deduced primary structure of fibrillin-1.
4	
5	Figure 2: Schematic diagram of a normal cb EGF-like module.
6	The cysteine residues which are disulfide-bonded and stabilize the native fold of the domain
7	are represented in white. Other highly conserved residues are designated by their single-
8	letter amino acid code. Residues with putative significance for calcium binding are
9	numbered sequentially as in Dietz and Pyeritz ¹⁷ .
10	
11	Figure 3: Distribution of the mutations identified in FBN1 gene.
12	

13 Figure 4: Distribution of mutations identified in *FBN1* gene associated with a
14 neonatal form of Marfan syndrome.

15