

# HAL author manuscript

Diabetes & metabolism 12/2005; 31 534-41

Reliability of bioimpedance analysis compared with other adiposity

measurements in children: The FLVS II Study.

Running title: Bioimpedance fat measurement in children

A Kettaneh<sup>1</sup>, B Heude<sup>1</sup>, A Lommez<sup>2</sup>, JM Borys<sup>2</sup>, P Ducimetière<sup>1</sup>, MA Charles<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INSERM U 258,16 Avenue Paul Vaillant Couturier, 94807 Villejuif, France.

<sup>2</sup>Association Fleurbaix-Laventie Ville Santé, 22 rue du 11 Novembre, 62840 Laventie, France.

\*Address correspondence and reprint requests to:

A Kettaneh, INSERM U 258, 16 Av Paul Vaillant Couturier, 94807 Villejuif, France.

Tel.: 33–145-59-51-01; fax: 33-147-26-94-54; *E-mail*: kettaneh@vjf.inserm.fr

## **Summary**

Objective: To evaluate the reproducibility of the measurement of % body fat by bipedal biometrical impedance analysis (BIA) compared with anthropometric measurements of adiposity in children and the correlations between these methods in children and adults.

Methods: A cross-sectional study in a total of 1080 adults and children enrolled in 1999 in the Fleurbaix-Laventie Ville Santé II (FLVS II) population-based study in northern France.

The reproducibility of anthropometrical and BIA methods was determined by a nested analysis of variance of repeated measurements by 2 investigators and a bipedal BIA device (Tanita® TBF 310) in 64 pupils of two 5<sup>th</sup> grade classes. The correlation of BIA and anthropometric adiposity measurements with the unknown relative fat mass or volume of the body estimated by a latent adiposity variable (LAV) was established by the triads' method in 1080 subjects of the FLVS II cohort.

**Results:** The reproducibility was similar for the sum of skinfolds, waist circumference and BIA % fat measurements (intraclass correlation coefficients: 0.979-0.992). Correlation coefficient between BIA body fat % and the LAV was higher than 0.86 in all sex and Tanner stage related groups, and similar in children and adults, except in pubertal boys (0.76). **Conclusion:** With a high level of reproducibility, foot-to-foot BIA analysis provides a valuable measurement of total % fat for epidemiologic studies in children. However further studies are needed before extrapolating these results to overweight children.

**Key-words:** Epidemiology; Anthropometric; Reproducibility; Correlations; Bioimpedance

### Résumé

Validité de l'impédancemétrie comparée à d'autres mesures de l'adiposité chez l'enfant: l'étude FLVS II.

**Objectif:** Evaluer la reproductibilité de la mesure de proportion de masse grasse par impédancemétrie bipodale (BIA) par rapport aux mesures anthropométriques chez l'enfant et comparer les corrélations entre ces méthodes chez l'enfant et l'adulte.

Méthodes: Une étude transversale conduite auprès de 1080 adultes et enfants recrutés en 1999 en population générale dans le cadre de la cohorte Fleurbaix-Laventie Ville Santé II (FLVS II) située dans le nord de la France. La reproductibilité des mesures a été déterminée par une analyse de variance hiérarchisée des mesures répétées effectuées par 2 examinateurs à l'aide d'un appareil de BIA bipodale (Tanita® TBF 310) chez 64 élèves de deux classe de CM1. La corrélation entre les mesures (BIA et mesures anthropométriques) et l'adiposité relative inconnue, évaluée par une variable latente d'adiposité (LAV), a été calculée par la méthode de la triade chez 1080 sujets de la cohorte FLVSII.

**Résultats:** La reproductibilité était similaire pour les mesures de la somme des plis, le tour de taille et l'adiposité relative évaluée par BIA (coefficients de corrélation intra-classe: 0.979-0.992). Le coefficient de corrélation entre l'adiposité relative évaluée par BIA et la LAV était supérieur à 0.86 dans les deux sexes, indépendamment du stade pubertaire; il était similaire entre enfants et adultes, excepté chez les garçons pubères (0.76).

Conclusion: L'impédancemétrie bipodale a une reproductibilité élevée et peut être utilisée pour la mesure de l'adiposité relative dans le cadre des études épidémiologiques chez l'enfant. Cependant l'extrapolation de ces résultats à des enfants en surpoids reste à déterminer.

**Mots-clés:** Epidémiologie; Mesures anthropométriques; Reproductibilité; Corrélations; Impédancemétrie.

Adiposity is a predictive factor for diabetes [1, 2], cardiovascular diseases [3], and disability in the elderly [4]. Prevalence of obesity has increased dramatically over the past 30 years in both developed and developing countries [5-7]. This trend is apparent in adults but also in children. In France, prevalence of childhood obesity and overweight reached 12 to 18% in the past ten years with a fourfold rise since 1960 [8, 9]. An accurate measurement of absolute or relative (i.e. proportional to total body mass or volume) fat mass is a key point to study this obesity epidemic, its causes and consequences. Relative fat mass estimation from the body mass index (BMI) is corrupted by fat-free mass variations, particularly in normal or underweighted subjects in which the ratio between fat-free and fat masses is high. Reference methods, such as underwater weighting or isotope dilution used in medical research, are difficult to perform in large populations where anthropometrical methods are preferred. Even though relying on human intervention, anthropometrical measurements are highly reproducible [10, 11]. Skinfolds and circumference measurements are less dependent on fatfree mass than the BMI but may reflect more subcutaneous than visceral or total fat [12]. Nevertheless, predictions of adiposity by BMI, skinfolds and circumference measurements, in adult and children populations, are all correlated satisfactorily and robust enough for use in epidemiological studies [12-15].

In the recent years, foot-to-foot biometrical impedance analysis (BIA) provided a convenient method for the measurement of body composition in large populations. According to the National Institutes of Health Technology Assessment Conference Statement on BIA in body composition measurement [16], BIA is more accurate than BMI and may be more accurate than skinfolds measurements for the estimation of comparative fat mass. Accuracy of BIA and skinfolds estimation of fat percentage have been validated against reference methods in adults [17-20]. However it has been suggested that BIA based on equations established in adults may not provide a reliable measurement of fat mass in children, and that skinfolds-

based estimation should then be preferred or that specific equations be used [21, 22]. By using a dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) body composition reference, Tyrrell et al. [23] established, in children with a Tanner pubertal stage  $\leq$  2, a prediction equation of fat mass from foot-to-foot BIA, that provided better accuracy than anthropometric methods in this population. DXA had been shown to be a precise method for assessing body composition but may slightly underestimate the % body fat compared to reference methods [24]. In addition, heavy cost and time-consumption and lack of portability limit the use of this method in the field of epidemiologic studies.

As there is no perfect measure of total % body fat, an alternative approach to the use of a reference measurement method for the validation of BIA is to construct a reference latent adiposity variable (LAV) from the combination of three adiposity markers. This structural equations model approach described by Kaaks [25] for the validation of a dietary questionnaire is based on the assumption that the markers are uncorrelated for their random measurement errors. He proposed the use of a biochemical marker as the mean of controlling this assumption. Plasma leptin is highly correlated with adiposity measurements and may then be viewed as a biochemical marker of adiposity [26], and combined with anthropometrical and BIA measurements to construct a LAV of adiposity.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the use of foot-to-foot bioimpedance as a tool for measurements of % body fat in children in an epidemiologic study by:

- 1) Comparing the reproducibility of the method to that of the widely used anthropometric measurements
- 2) Comparing in children adolescents and adults, the correlations between BIA % body fat and the measurements of adiposity provided by the different methods, including plasma leptin, and between each measurement and the unknown relative fat mass or volume of the body represented by a latent adiposity variable.

### Methods

#### **Study population**

The reproducibility study included 64 pupils of two 5<sup>th</sup> grade classes, in the city of Laventie (north of France) who underwent repeated anthropometrical measurements and BIA determination of fat percent of body mass at one to 2 week intervals.

The correlation study included subjects participating in the Fleurbaix-Laventie Ville Santé II (FLVS II) study which purpose is to investigate genetic, metabolic and environmental determinants of adiposity development in children and adults. This community-based cohort was constituted on voluntary basis by 1175 inhabitants 8 year-old and over of the cities of Fleurbaix and Laventie previously enrolled in the Fleurbaix-Laventie Ville Santé I study [27]. We included 1080 of these subjects who completed the entire assessment protocol on adiposity measurements and biological parameters at inclusion in 1999. The study protocol of FLVS II had been previously approved by the ethic committee of Lille on July 1998 and the data files have been declared to the "Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté".

#### Measurements

Height (to the nearest 1 mm) and weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg) were determined barefoot, in light clothes with a stadiometer and the Tanita® TBF 310 body fat analyser (Tanita Corp, France). Prevalence of overweight and obesity was assessed with reference to the body mass index (BMI), according to the standard definitions (respectively 25 and 30 kg/m2) in adults and to the age and sex related cut-off points established by Cole et al. [28] in children and adolescents. The bicipital, tricipital, suprailiac and subscapular skinfolds were measured twice (to the nearest 0.1 mm) with a Harpenden calliper and averaged. The waist circumference was recorded at midpoint between the iliac crest level and the lowest rib. Fat percent of total body

mass was determined with a tetrapolar foot-to-foot biometrical impedance analyser (Tanita® TBF 310). In the reproducibility study, all measurements above were performed twice by two investigators on two occasions 6 to 14 days apart. In the correlation study, an alternative determination of the fat % was calculated in children with Tanner stage  $\leq$  2, with Tyrrell's formula [23] using the value of body resistance provided by the TBF 310. A 20 ml fasting venous blood sample was used for a radioimmunoassay determination of leptin levels (Human leptin RIA kitDSL-23100, Webster USA GMBH, Germany).

#### Statistical analysis

The reproducibility study. A 2 level nested analysis of variance model computed the between-subjects, the within-subject (between-days), and the remaining measurement error. Moreover for skinfolds and waist circumference measurements, a 3 level analysis of variance model determined the between-investigators part of the variance, nested in the within subject factor. Pearson's correlation coefficients between the means of the 3 anthropometrical measurements and the BIA measurement, were also computed.

The correlation study. As adiposity level and variation differs among genders and during puberty, we conducted an analysis separated in 6 groups according to sex, age (<18 or  $\ge18$  years-old) and Tanner stage ( $\le2$  or >2) to avoid bias of differential relations among variables according to these parameters. Logarithmic transformation of all indicators of adiposity was performed because of a skewed distribution. In each group, we estimated the correlation coefficients between a LAV and each of three adiposity indicators by the triads method [25]. This methods uses 2x2 Pearson's correlation ( $r_{ab}$ ,  $r_{bc}$ ,  $r_{ac}$ ) coefficients between 3 variables (a, b, c) related to an unknown latent variable (t), to estimate correlation coefficients ( $r_{at}$ ,  $r_{bt}$ ,  $r_{ct}$ ) between each of these variables and the latent variable:

$$r_{at} = \sqrt{\frac{r_{ab}r_{ac}}{r_{bc}}}$$
;  $r_{bt} = \sqrt{\frac{r_{ab}r_{bc}}{r_{ac}}}$ ;  $r_{ct} = \sqrt{\frac{r_{ac}r_{bc}}{r_{ab}}}$ 

The model assumes linear interrelations and independence of errors between the 3 variables.

We constructed 2 triad's models, hypothesizing that measurement errors were independent and that variables were linked only through their relation to the theoretical true adiposity figured by the LAV. Both models comprised the BIA fat % of total mass and plasma leptin variables. An anthropometric measurement served as the third marker in each model: skinfolds or height-adjusted waist circumference. As BIA estimation of fat mass and waist circumference both depend on height, we used the residuals of a linear regression adjusting waist circumference on height to ensure that correlation between the two variables was only related to their link with adiposity. All 2x2 Pearson's correlation coefficients between adiposity variables were determined and used to calculate a correlation coefficient between each adiposity marker and the LAV. In the groups of children with Tanner stage ≤2, the fat % of total mass was estimated either by the value given by the Tanita® TBF 310 or by Tyrrell's formula [23].

All analyses were performed with the  $SAS^{\circledast}$  statistical package (version 8.2, SAS, Cary, NC).

### Results

#### The reproducibility study

The population included 25 boys (mean age 10.7, range 9-12) and 39 girls (mean age 10.9, range 10-12). One girl (2.6%) was obese, two boys (8%) and 6 girls (15.4%) were overweight. Mean values of measurements revealed a systematic difference between

investigators in the determination of the sum of skinfolds and the waist circumference (Table I).

For all adiposity measurements, the between-subjects factor was the main source of variation. The reproducibility, assessed by the intra-class correlation coefficient equivalent to the % of total variance attributable to the between-subjects factor (Table I), was similar for sum of skinfolds, waist circumference and BIA fat % of mass (97.9-99.2% of total variance). For all measurements, a significant within-subject source of variation was distinguished from the remaining measurement error. The 4 level analyses of variance for the sum of skinfolds and the waist circumference (Table II) showed that the main source of within-subject variation was actually the between-investigators factor which in the model accounted for 2.7-2.8% of total variance. Anthropometric and BIA measurements were highly correlated in both sexes (Table III).

## The correlation study

Sum of skinfolds, BIA % body fat and plasma leptin were higher in females than in males whatever the age-group (all P values <0.003) (Table IV). Waist circumference was systematically lower in females (all P values <0.03) and BMI higher in adult males than adult females (P=0.0001).

In both sexes, all adiposity measurements increased with age, except for plasma leptin and BIA % body fat in boys that were lower in the pubertal (Tanner > 2) than in other groups (all P values <0.0001). The Tyrrell formula [23] applied to our population of children with Tanner stage  $\le 2$  yielded mean % body fat values almost two times greater than the values given by the Tanita<sup>®</sup> TBF 310.

Correlations between adiposity measurements. Except in boys at pubertal stage, correlations between BIA fat % and other adiposity measurements (Table V) were high and similar in children and adults. In pre-pubertal children, the Tyrrell formula [23] strengthened slightly, but not significantly, the correlations of BIA fat % with all other adiposity measurements except for waist circumference in girls.

Correlations with the latent adiposity variable. Pearson's correlation coefficients between adiposity variables (Table V) were used to construct 2 models predicting correlation coefficients between 3 adiposity indicators and a latent unknown true adiposity value (Table 6). In Model 1, sum of skinfolds yielded a higher correlation coefficient with the latent adiposity variable than BIA body fat % or plasma leptin in all groups. Discrepancies between sum of skinfolds and BIA body fat % correlation coefficients were more pronounced in boys than in girls and were minimal in adult groups. In Model 2, BIA body fat % gave a higher correlation coefficient than waist circumference or plasma leptin in all groups. Discrepancy between BIA body fat % and waist circumference correlations with the LAV was more pronounced in children than in adults. Among children, discrepancy between BIA body fat % and waist circumference correlations with the LAV was lower in pubertal boy than in other groups. In both models, correlation coefficient between BIA body fat % and the LAV were similar in children and adults, higher than 0.86 in all cases except in pubertal boys in model 1. However, this group was also that in which correlation coefficient between leptin and theoretical adiposity was the lowest in both models.

### **Discussion**

Anthropometric measurements are commonly used indicators of adiposity in epidemiologic studies. The new foot-to-foot BIA devices provide an easy and time sparing measurement of

total and % body fat mass. However few data are available in children in the condition of field studies to help epidemiologists decide whether they can rely on these measurements. A level of reproducibility at least equivalent to that of waist circumference and skinfolds measurements, thought to be investigator-dependent, would be a first argument. In our study, within-subject variability of measurement with the TBF 310 was low, similar to that of anthropometrical measurements. Our results confirm that anthropometrical measurement of adiposity vary between investigators. However, with trained investigators, such as our investigator 1, these sources of variation and error of measurement are rather low. Except in pubertal boys, correlations between BIA and anthropometrical measurements were strong, similar in children and adults.

Underwater weighting, isotope dilution or dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) are not convenient for epidemiologic purpose but are often used as references for comparison of more approximate methods. However these methods can not be viewed as providing a perfect measure of adiposity as they all rely on assumptions to estimate the body compartments. By taking into account three distinct markers of adiposity, the triads method [25] is a mathematical approach to theoretical "true" adiposity through a latent variable. Our correlation study confirms that bipedal BIA body fat % is strongly correlated to theoretical "true" adiposity, giving coefficients similar to those obtained with anthropometrical measurements. These coefficients were in the same range as those previously established between a precise method such as dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and anthropometrical measurements [29] or BIA [30]. However correlations measured with the Tanita® TBF 310 tended to be weaker in children and teenagers than in adults, especially in boys. BIA is designed to measure body water and then calculate fat % of mass. This method tends to underestimate fat when the water content of fat-free mass increases [24]. Sex,

pubertal stage and age are important short term sources of variation of the body composition [29], and water content of the fat-free mass [31].

By using a DXA body composition reference, Tyrrell et al. [23] established a prediction equation of fat mass from bipedal BIA in a population of children of Tanner stage  $\leq 2$ . BIA was strongly correlated with DXA measurements in children, even more than what was previously reported in adults. Furthermore they demonstrated that, with reference to DXA, bipedal BIA was more accurate than the body mass index in this population. In our study, in children with Tanner stage ≤2, the Tyrrell formula [23] provided slightly higher correlation with adiposity than the TBF 310, similar to those in adult groups. However, we disclosed a systematic difference between the body fat % given by the Tyrrell formula and the TBF 310. The mean values of fat mass % provided by the Tanita<sup>®</sup> TBF 310 in our Tanner stage  $\leq 2$ groups were much closer to that determined by DXA in similar European populations than the values calculated with Tyrrell's formula [30]. Tyrrell's formula has been validated in a population with an age range of 4-11. Compared to our Tanner stage \( \)2 groups, this population was younger and had a much higher prevalence of obesity (30%). Compared to DXA, BIA may underestimate fat mass in obese children and adolescents [32]. Thus adjusting a BIA equation in obese children with reference to DXA may have lead to an overestimation of fat mass % in normal weight children.

In the triad models, both including leptin concentration, correlation of bipedal BIA to adiposity in children groups, was weaker than that of skinfolds measurements but stronger than that of waist circumference. In pre-pubertal and pubertal children, serum leptin levels are better correlated to subcutaneous than to global adiposity [33]. Thus the model 1 may better reflect the correlation between bipedal BIA and subcutaneous adiposity than between bipedal BIA and total adiposity which may be better estimated by the model 2 which includes waist circumference.

Leptin concentration is strongly correlated to percentage of fat body mass in adults [34]. However in normally weighted children a sexual dimorphism exists for the relation between adiposity and leptin concentration [35]. Before puberty, leptin concentration is more elevated in girls than in boys and increases with age in both sexes. After the onset of puberty, leptin concentration continues increasing in girls while it declines in boys [33-35]. Short term changes in leptin concentrations in boys around puberty onset, may then explain that the weakest correlations between the body fat % and the theoretical adiposity were objectified in Model 1, in boys with Tanner stage >2.

In conclusion, the reproducibility of anthropometrical and BIA foot-to-foot measurements of fat mass were comparable in children. Except in pubertal boys, the correlation of foot-to-foot BIA measurements of fat mass with anthropometric measurements of fat mass, or plasma leptin were similar in adults and children. The weaker performances in pubertal boys are probably related to their relatively lower proportion of fat mass and decreasing leptin concentrations. The BIA provides a valuable and time sparing estimation of total adiposity, convenient for epidemiologic studies in children. However further studies are needed before extrapolating these results to overweight children.

## **Acknowledgments**

The FLVS II study was supported by Knoll, CEDUS, Groupe Fournier, Lesieur, Nestlé France, Produits Roche and CISB. We thank directors and teachers of the schools who made the study possible, and all the children and their parents who agreed to participate.

## References

- 1. Fagot-Campagna A. Emergence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in children: Epidemiological evidence. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2000;13: 1395-402
- 2. Hypponen E, Virtanen SM, Kenward MG, Knip M, Akerblom HK. Obesity, increased linear growth, and risk of type 1 diabetes in children. Diabetes Care 2000;23: 1755-60
- 3. Singh RB, Niaz MA, Beegom R, Wander GS, Thakur AS, Rissam HS. Body fat percent by bioelectrical impedance analysis and risk of coronary artery disease among urban men with low rates of obesity: The indian paradox. J Am Coll Nutr 1999;18: 268-73
- 4. Visser M, Langlois J, Guralnik JM, et al. High body fatness, but not low fat-free mass, predicts disability in older men and women: The cardiovascular health study. Am J Clin Nutr 1998;68: 584-90
- 5. Gutierrez-Fisac JL, Banegas Banegas JR, Artalejo FR, Regidor E. Increasing prevalence of overweight and obesity among spanish adults, 1987-1997. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2000;24: 1677-82
- 6. Olds TS, Harten NR. One hundred years of growth: The evolution of height, mass, and body composition in australian children, 1899-1999. Hum Biol 2001;73: 727-38
- 7. Caballero B. Introduction. Symposium: Obesity in developing countries: Biological and ecological factors. J Nutr 2001;131: 866S-70S

- 8. Prévalence de l'obésité chez l'enfant. In: Expertise, Collective, eds. "Obésité." INSERM 2000, 17-27
- 9. Rolland-Cachera MF, Castetbon K, Arnault N, et al. Body mass index in 7-9-y-old french children: Frequency of obesity, overweight and thinness. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2002;26: 1610-6
- 10. Wilmore JH, Stanforth PR, Domenick MA, et al. Reproducibility of anthropometric and body composition measurements: The heritage family study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1997;21: 297-303.
- 11. Mueller WH, Malina RM. Relative reliability of circumferences and skinfolds as measures of body fat distribution. Am J Phys Anthropol 1987;72: 437-9
- 12. Bonora E, Micciolo R, Ghiatas AA, et al. Is it possible to derive a reliable estimate of human visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue from simple anthropometric measurements? Metabolism 1995;44: 1617-25
- 13. Deurenberg P, Weststrate JA, Seidell JC. Body mass index as a measure of body fatness: Age- and sex-specific prediction formulas. Br J Nutr 1991;65: 105-14
- 14. Lean ME, Han TS, Deurenberg P. Predicting body composition by densitometry from simple anthropometric measurements. Am J Clin Nutr 1996;63: 4-14

- 15. Lohman TG, Caballero B, Himes JH, et al. Estimation of body fat from anthropometry and bioelectrical impedance in native american children. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2000;24: 982-8
- 16. Anonymous. Bioelectrical impedance analysis in body composition measurement: National institutes of health technology assessment conference statement. Am J Clin Nutr 1996;64: 524S-32S
- 17. Eaton AW, Israel RG, O'Brien KF, Hortobagyi T, McCammon MR. Comparison of four methods to assess body composition in women. Eur J Clin Nutr 1993;47: 353-60
- 18. Desport JC, Preux PM, Guinvarc'h S, et al. Total body water and percentage fat mass measurements using bioelectrical impedance analysis and anthropometry in spinal cordinjured patients. Clin Nutr 2000;19: 185-90
- 19. Swinburn BA, Ley SJ, Carmichael HE, Plank LD. Body size and composition in polynesians. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1999;23: 1178-83
- 20. Reilly JJ, Murray LA, Wilson J, Durnin JV. Measuring the body composition of elderly subjects: A comparison of methods. Br J Nutr 1994;72: 33-44
- 21. Hammond J, Rona RJ, Chinn S. Estimation in community surveys of total body fat of children using bioelectrical impedance or skinfold thickness measurements. Eur J Clin Nutr 1994;48: 164-71

- 22. Mast M, Sonnichsen A, Langnase K, et al. Inconsistencies in bioelectrical impedance and anthropometric measurements of fat mass in a field study of prepubertal children. Br J Nutr 2002;87: 163-75
- 23. Tyrrell VJ, Richards G, Hofman P, Gillies GF, Robinson E, Cutfield WS. Foot-to-foot bioelectrical impedance analysis: A valuable tool for the measurement of body composition in children. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001;25: 273-8
- 24. Bray GA, DeLany JP, Volaufova J, Harsha DW, Champagne C. Prediction of body fat in 12-y-old african american and white children: Evaluation of methods. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;76: 980-90
- 25. Kaaks RJ. Biochemical markers as additional measurements in studies of the accuracy of dietary questionnaire measurements: Conceptual issues. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;65: 1232S-9S.
- 26. Ruhl CE, Everhart JE. Leptin concentrations in the united states: Relations with demographic and anthropometric measures. Am J Clin Nutr 2001;74: 295-301
- 27. Lafay L, Basdevant A, Charles MA, et al. Determinants and nature of dietary underreporting in a free-living population: The Fleurbaix Laventie Ville Sante (FLVS) study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1997;21: 567-73
- 28. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: International survey. Bmj 2000;320: 1240-3

- 29. Ogle GD, Allen JR, Humphries IR, et al. Body-composition assessment by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry in subjects aged 4-26 y. Am J Clin Nutr 1995;61: 746-53
- 30. Boot AM, Bouquet J, de Ridder MA, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM. Determinants of body composition measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry in dutch children and adolescents. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;66: 232-8
- 31. Roemmich JN, Clark PA, Weltman A, Rogol AD. Alterations in growth and body composition during puberty. I. Comparing multicompartment body composition models. J Appl Physiol 1997;83: 927-35
- 32. Eisenkolbl J, Kartasurya M, Widhalm K. Underestimation of percentage fat mass measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis compared to dual energy x-ray absorptiometry method in obese children. Eur J Clin Nutr 2001;55: 423-9
- 33. Roemmich JN, Rogol AD. Role of leptin during childhood growth and development. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 1999;28: 749-64
- 34. Ho SC, Tai ES, Eng PH, Ramli A, Tan CE, Fok AC. A study in the relationships between leptin, insulin, and body fat in asian subjects. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1999;23: 246-52
- 35. Nakanishi T, Li R, Liu Z, Yi M, Nakagawa Y, Ohzeki T. Sexual dimorphism in relationship of serum leptin and relative weight for the standard in normal-weight, but not in overweight, children as well as adolescents. Eur J Clin Nutr 2001;55: 989-93

**Table I**Nested analysis of variance for anthropometric and BIA measurements of adiposity in 64 children.

	Sum of ski	nfolds (mm)	Waist circur	Fat % of	
	Investigator 1	Investigator 2	Investigator 1	Investigator 2	mass
Mean value	34.1	31.3	58.7	59.7	16.8
(95% CI)	(32.0-36.4)	(29.5-33.2)	(58.0-59.4)	(59.0-60.4)	(15.7-17.8)
% of total variance					
Between subjects	99.2	98.0	98.6	97.9	98.9
Within subjects (between days)	0.64	1.7	0.92	1.3	0.92
Error of measurement	0.16	0.30	0.48	0.74	0.14

Sum of skinfolds, and waist circumference were logtransformed and expressed as geometric mean values and 95% CIs.

P values are the result of the comparison between the variability of the corresponding factor and the factor immediately nested inside. All between-subjects and within-subjects P values <0.0001.

**Table II**Nested analysis of the variance of anthropometrical measurements in 64 children.

	% of total variance (P)					
Source of variation	Sum of skinfolds	Waist circumference				
D. ( 11 )	97.0	96.7				
Between subjects	(<0.0001)	(<0.0001)				
Between-days within	0.00	0.00				
subjects	(0.99)	(0.99)				
Between investigators	2.78	2.67				
within subjects and days	(<0.0001)	(<0.0001)				
Error of measurement	0.22	0.60				

P values are the result of the comparison between the variability of the corresponding factor and the factor immediately nested inside.

**Table III**Coefficients of correlation between anthropometric and BIA subjects mean values of measurements in 64 children.

	Boys	Girls
Body mass index <sup>a</sup>	0.87	0.95
Sum of skinfolds <sup>a</sup>	0.94	0.90
Waist circumference <sup>ab</sup>	0.88	0.93

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Logtransformed.

all P values < 0.0001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Adjusted for height.

Table IV Population characteristics.

	A JI	ulta	Puberta	al stage	Pre-pubertal stage		
	Adults		(Tanner	stage>2)	(Tanner stage≤2)		
	Males	Females	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	
N	277	337	145	155	95	71	
Age (range)	39.6 (18.0-67.1)	38.6 (18.0-60.6)	15.1 (11.3-17.9)	14.9 (10.5-17.9)	11.3 (8.1-15.5)	10.5 (8.00-13.8)	
Body mass index (kg/m2) <sup>a</sup>	24.9 (24.5-25.4)	23.7 (23.3-24.2)	19.3 (18.9-19.8)	19.8 (19.4-20.3)	16.9 (16.4-17.4)	16.5 (16.0-17.0)	
Overweight N (%)	109 (39.4)	75 (22.3)	12 (8.3)	12 (7.8)	9 (9.6)	8 (11.3)	
Obese N (%)	27 (9.8)	36 (10.7)	2 (1.4)	4 (2.6)	1 (1.06)	1 (1.41)	
Body Fat (%) <sup>a</sup>	20.1 (19.2-21.0)	30.8 (29.9-31.7)	11.4 (10.5-12.3)	24.0 (22.0.25.2)	13.5 (12.4-14.7)	15.8 (13.8-18.1)	
Body Fat (%)	20.1 (19.2-21.0)	30.8 (29.9-31.7)	11.4 (10.3-12.3)	24.0 (23.0-25.2)	25.0 (23.6-26.5) <sup>b</sup>	29.5 (28.1-31.0) <sup>b</sup>	
Sum of Skinfolds (mm) <sup>a</sup>	50.7 (48.0-53.6)	66.2 (63.2-69.4)	33.8 (31.2-36.5)	49.2 (46.2-52.4)	29.1 (26.5-31.9)	37.1 (33.7-41.0)	
Waist circumference (cm) <sup>a</sup>	88.6 (87.3-89.9)	77.2 (76.0-78.3)	70.2 (69.0-71.5)	67.1 (66.1-68.1)	62.6 (61.1-64.1)	59.3 (57.9-60.7)	
Plasma leptin (ng/ml) <sup>a</sup>	3.35 (3.01-3.74)	12.4 (11.5-13.4)	1.97 (1.69-2.31)	9.7 (8.7-10.7)	3.02 (2.51-3.63)	5.05 (4.14-6.15)	

Values are expressed as mean (95% CI) unless stated otherwise.

<sup>a</sup>Geometric mean.

<sup>b</sup>Tyrrell's formula.

HAL author manuscript inserm-00135063, version 1

Table V Pearson's correlation coefficients between adiposity measurements.

	Adults		Pubertal stage		Pre-pubertal stage	
			(Tanner stage>2)		(Tanner stage≤2)	
	Males	Females	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
N	277	337	145	155	145	71
BIA body fat % / Sum of skinfolds	0.76	0.80	0.73	0.81	$0.79, 0.84^{b}$	$0.77, 0.80^{b}$
BIA body fat % / Waist circumference <sup>a</sup>	0.85	0.83	0.70	0.72	$0.80, 0.77^{b}$	$0.57, 0.77^{b}$
BIA body fat % / Leptin	0.75	0.71	0.60	0.74	$0.74, 0.76^{b}$	$0.72 , 0.76^{b}$
BIA body fat % / BMI	0.90	0.85	0.74	0.85	$0.82 \; ,  0.90^{b}$	$0.72 , 0.88^{b}$
Leptin / Sum of skinfolds	0.76	0.77	0.76	0.79	0.87	0.73
Leptin / Waist circumference <sup>a</sup>	0.73	0.70	0.57	0.66	0.68	0.68
Leptin / BMI	0.74	0.74	0.55	0.76	0.76	0.76
Sum of skinfolds / Waist circumference <sup>a</sup>	0.77	0.81	0.80	0.77	0.80	0.72
Sum of skinfolds / BMI	0.80	0.83	0.80	0.85	0.88	0.80
Waist circumference <sup>a</sup> / BMI	0.90	0.90	0.86	0.82	0.84	0.80

All variables Logtransformed .

All P values < 0.0001

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for Height; <sup>b</sup>Tyrrell's formula

 $\label{thm:continuous} \textbf{Table VI}$  Correlation coefficients between adiposity measurements and a latent adiposity variable by the triads method .

		Model 1	Model 2			
N	BIA	Sum of	Leptin	BIA	Waist	Leptin
	body fat %	skinfolds		body fat %	circumference <sup>a</sup>	
277	0.86	0.89	0.86	0.93	0.92	0.80
337	0.86	0.93	0.83	0.92	0.90	0.78
145	0.76	0.96	0.79	0.86	0.82	0.70
155	0.87	0.93	0.85	0.90	0.81	0.82
95	0.82, †0.86	0.96, †0.98	0.90, †0.88	0.93, 0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.86, 0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.79, 0.82 <sup>t</sup>
71	0.87, †0.91	0.88, †0.87	0.83, †0.84	0.78, 0.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.74, 0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.93, 0.82
	277 337 145 155 95	body fat %  277 0.86  337 0.86  145 0.76  155 0.87  95 0.82 , †0.86	N         BIA body fat % skinfolds           277         0.86         0.89           337         0.86         0.93           145         0.76         0.96           155         0.87         0.93           95         0.82 , †0.86         0.96 , †0.98	N         BIA body fat % skinfolds         Sum of skinfolds         Leptin           277         0.86         0.89         0.86           337         0.86         0.93         0.83           145         0.76         0.96         0.79           155         0.87         0.93         0.85           95         0.82 , †0.86         0.96 , †0.98         0.90 , †0.88	N         BIA body fat %         Sum of skinfolds         Leptin         BIA body fat %           277         0.86         0.89         0.86         0.93           337         0.86         0.93         0.83         0.92           145         0.76         0.96         0.79         0.86           155         0.87         0.93         0.85         0.90           95         0.82 , †0.86         0.96 , †0.98         0.90 , †0.88         0.93 , 0.93 <sup>b</sup>	N         BIA body fat %         Sum of skinfolds         Leptin body fat %         BIA body fat %         Waist body fat %           277         0.86         0.89         0.86         0.93         0.92           337         0.86         0.93         0.83         0.92         0.90           145         0.76         0.96         0.79         0.86         0.82           155         0.87         0.93         0.85         0.90         0.81           95         0.82 , †0.86         0.96 , †0.98         0.90 , †0.88         0.93 , 0.93b         0.86 , 0.83b

The correlation coefficients measure the strength of the relation between each adiposity variable and a latent adiposity variable taking into account 3 adiposity variables.

All variables Logtransformed

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for Height; <sup>b</sup>Tyrrell's formula